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## NOMENCLATURE

Abbreviations are utilized in this thesis as illustrated below:

- $\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ represents an carbonyl group,
- CO represents an aldehyde group in group additivity
- (C) represents a methyl substituent on the preceding carbon atom,
- TVR denotes translation, vibration and external rotation,
- IR denotes internal rotation,
- Hydrogen atoms are used to complete the valence and serve as an abbreviation for the chemical formula,
- J represents a radical on the molecule,
- Hydrogen atoms are used to complete the valence and serve as an abbreviation for the chemical formula,
- BDE represents bond dissociation energy,
- Ts represents transition state,
- Y represents a cyclic group,
- = (equal sign) represents a double bond between to atoms,
- Q represents OOH peroxy group.
- \# represents a triple bond.


## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

The increased atmospheric concentrations of halogenated organic compounds are partially responsible for the change in global climate ${ }^{1,2}$. With concentrations of haloalkanes being nearly a millionth of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, these compounds have a $13 \%$ share in the radiative forcing. Radiative forcing is a large contributing factor and can be explained by considering their higher global warming potentials; they are typically a couple of thousand times of the reference $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{3}$.

In the evaluation of climate change, a focus has been placed on fluorinated hydrocarbons. Fluorinated hydrocarbons are present in the atmosphere, hydrosphere, and lithosphere as a result of the past use of solvents and propellants; in addition to the current wide spread use of refrigerants, heat exchange fluids and polymers. Other uses include lubricants, blowing and sterilizing agents, coatings, anaesthetics, and agents in the preparation of semiconductors. Fluorinated hydrocarbons have been regarded as the replacement of greenhouse gases like chlorofluorocarbons, because of the nonexistent or less adverse effects on global warming from the greenhouse gas effect in the troposphere layer ${ }^{4}$.

Quantum chemical calculations on small molecules can result in thermochemical values with a low degree in uncertainties, often outperforming experimental results ${ }^{1,5-8}$. The precise knowledge of the thermodynamic and kinetic properties of the atmospheric reactions as well as the physical parameters of the corresponding species is required for chemistry-climate models. Databases such as NIST-JANAF ${ }^{9}$, CODATA $^{10}$, ATcT $^{11}$, JPL $^{12}$
and Burcat's Third Millennium Thermodynamic Database ${ }^{13}$, contain the relevant physicochemical parameters, where many discrepancies exist and contain considerably large error bars. Such data uncertainty is mostly due to the fact that the chemistry of the troposphere and stratosphere is dominated by free radical reactions and experimental determination of physical and chemical parameters of radicals and radical reaction is still challenging ${ }^{3}$.

One of the studies presented displays calculated standard enthalpy of formation for a series of fluoro and multifluoro acetaldehydes $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{x} \mathrm{OF}_{4-\mathrm{x}}\right)$ and propanals $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{OF}_{6-\mathrm{x}}\right)$ using computational chemistry and isodesmic work reactions. This study will determine the ideal gas thermodynamic properties, with the purpose for these values being to understand reactions, warming potentials, lifetime, etc. The final portion of the fluorinated aldehyde study is to determine group additivity values for groups for $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 3$, $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 2, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H}$ and interaction group terms, in addition to verifying values from previous works based on calculated values.

Fuel and energy sources are a major component of the global community, which will certainly continue into the future, so their continual monitoring and analysis is essential. As stated in the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, "The observed widespread warming of the atmosphere and ocean, together with ice-mass loss, support the conclusion that it is extremely unlikely that global climate change of the past 50 years can be explained without external forcing and very likely that it is not due to known natural causes alone ${ }^{14}$." There are several research evaluations that have shown, with the continued growth of the world population, that within a few decades the current petroleum industry and supply chain will not be able to meet the
projected demands ${ }^{15,16}$. Another issue arises from the storage of ethers under improper conditions and exposure to oxygen in air for an extended period of time is known to result in formation of peroxide bearing intermediates. This is considered to be a primary factor in laboratory accidents resulting from the stored ethers ${ }^{17-19}$.

Reactions of hydrocarbon radicals substituted as saturated with oxygen have received more investigational study in recent years, due to the advancement of engine designs and large effort over the past years to focus our attention on cleaner air and atmospheric pollution. As engines are developed with more advanced designs, it is required that there be more detailed knowledge of auto ignition chemistry for predictive modeling as a result of vast concentrations of oxygenated compounds such as ethers present in the atmosphere, where they are culminated from solvents, fuel additives or biodiesel fuels ${ }^{20,21}$.

With the development of bio-fuels, modeling of ignition chemistry of a wide range of substituted hydrocarbons ${ }^{22}$. Bio-fuels are not limited to the prediction of alcohols and fatty acid esters, there has been an increased aim at designing biochemical pathways for efficient combustible organic molecules ${ }^{14,23}$, many with nearly unexplored ignition or combustion chemistry.

Auzmendi Muria et al. ${ }^{24}$ have noted that the carbon radical adjacent to the ether, in cyclic ethers, has a stronger bond to molecular oxygen $\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ forming peroxy radicals, then more distant carbons in the ethers and also stronger than corresponding alkyl carbon radical ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ bonds. This stronger bonding is a result of interaction of the two oxygen bonded to the carbon.

The structural features such as, alpha activated hydrogen atom on the primary and secondary carbon causes ethers to readily react in the process of autoxidation ${ }^{6}$, and the radical and self-propagating process have the ability to generate a large array of peroxide bearing species. The carbon - hydrogen bonds and the carbon - carbon bonds on carbons adjacent to the ether carbon are several $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}-1$ weaker than corresponding carbon hydrogen or carbon - carbon bonds on alkanes resulting from the radical resonance with the ether oxygen.

The oxidation chemistry of branched ethers has been compared and suggested to have similarities in comparison to the more well-known alkanes ${ }^{25-28}$. There are detailed mechanistic studies in both dimethyl ether ${ }^{29}$ as well as diethyl ether ${ }^{30}$. These studies show that the ether radicals can undergo beta scission reactions, intramolecular isomerization (hydrogen atom transfers) or undergo reaction with can travel in a path of $\beta$-scission or isomerization or association with ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ to form a peroxy radical ${ }^{29,30}$. The peroxy radical is formed with the added energy of the R-OO bond and this initially energized peroxy radical can undergo reactions before stabilization, where these reactions play a central role in further oxidation reactions of hydrocarbons as well as ethers.

With a number of experimental and theoretical studies performed on the formation of cyclic ethers under ambient conditions, in addition to early stages of combustion ${ }^{31-34}$, the data remains minimal. Of studies performed, Baldwin et al. ${ }^{35}$ has been noted to one of the earliest of such reactions, where the formation of molecular oxirane from an ethyl radicals with oxygen was observed. Daqaut et al..$^{32,33}$ and Yakyaoui et al. ${ }^{34}$ have also reported results showing the formation of cyclic ethers in significant concentrations from the oxidation of hydrocarbons over a low to moderate temperature for combustion
chemistry. There have been computational studies performed on the formation of three to five membered cyclic ethers from hydroxyl radicals by Wijaya et al. ${ }^{36}$ and Zadar et al. ${ }^{22}$.

In the process utilized by Wijaya and Zador, hydrocarbon radicals react with oxygen forming a peroxyl radical. This allows the hydrocarbon to undergo hydrogen transfer, forming an alkyl radical. The radical site then attacks the oxygen atom located on the peroxy group, due to the weak bond energy associated with the RO-OH bound resulting in the formation of a cyclic ether and a hydroxyl radical.

Another focus will be aimed at ethyl oxirane, radicals and many other species that can be formed under oxidation and decomposition reactions to determine the fate of the species. Thermochemical properties of each species in the study will be determined, with bond dissociation energies, activation energies and dissociation and oxidation kinetics. Data from works similar to the ethyl oxirane system will also be evaluated.

DEE may play a role in the formation of photochemical aerosols or smog ${ }^{37,38}$. DEE has the ability to form explosive peroxides ${ }^{39-43}$, and is known to form unstable peroxides during distillation, evaporation and concentration processes. DEE molecule will be the focal point of another study with a target on secondary carbon radical reactions with molecular oxygen. Diethyl-ether there is more limited data on mechanistic studies on the oxidation kinetics, than there is for the more widely used dimethyl ether diesel additive. The diethyl ether has been widely studied from an experimental as well as the theoretical perspectives ${ }^{29,} 44-49$ with specific studies on combustion ${ }^{29}$, pyrolysis ${ }^{50}$, or atmospheric chemistry ${ }^{51,52}$.

This study illustrates favorable thermodynamics applied in ethers proposed by a study performed by Crounse et al. ${ }^{53}$. Following Crounse et al. study on the atmospheric
oxidation of 3-pentanone, a set of consecutive reactions starting with radical site formation via OH abstraction, where the H atom is removed from a resonantly stabilized $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond adjacent to the carbonyl group. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond dissociation energy is lower than a conventional secondary bond by $5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ due to the resonance of the carbon radical site with the carbonyl group. This work suggests the oxidation of diethyl ether in the atmospheric conditions will undergo the reaction set below:


It is accepted that ethers undergo the oxidation that is initiated by a hydroxyl radical under atmospheric conditions ${ }^{50,54-56}$. DEE in experimental literature of low temperature oxidation is the decomposition of the alkyl radical as shown in a kinetic study done by Waddington et al. ${ }^{56}$. This study thoroughly investigates DEE oxidation, where lists of all possible reaction paths were reached through the use of thermochemical data and previous literature ${ }^{30}$. This study is similar to works carried out by S. Di Tommaso et al. Data in this study will be directed towards molecules in the gas phase, where Tommaso et al. work focuses on molecules in solution. Di Tommaso used Density Function Theory (DFT) to study the process of low temperature oxidation of several ether species such as dimethyl ether, methyl ethyl ether and diethyl ether. From past works performed, DEE was utilized as the focus where a mechanism was created to show different reaction pathways in competition for the fate of DEE in the atmospheric conditions. Where there may be a lack of experimental data available, the purpose of this study is to take a in-depth look of two
steps of oxidation in DEE. The focus of this study is mainly the secondary ether radical site, the oxygen adding to this and the intermolecular H transfers of the secondary peroxy radical to new radicals and products to further reaction of the new carbon radical formed.

In recent years, computational chemistry evolved to a stage where the calculation of thermodynamic functions of small molecules can achieve experimental or even higher accuracy. Density function theory and Composite $a b$ initio methods in the Gaussian suite program was used to develop thermochemical properties and a group additivity scheme for estimation of larger hydrocarbons values. Computational chemistry methods used for accuracy include CBS-APNO, CBS-4M, CBS-QB3, M062X, $\omega$ B97X, B3LYP, G2, G3, G4 and Weizmann-1 (W1U) methodology with the basis set 6-31G+ (d,p) and6-31G++ (d,p).

By using computational methods based on Density Functional Theory and Compostie ab initio methodology, accurate thermochemical data are provided in addition to presenting a theoretical investigation that will help identify possible reaction paths at low temperature oxidation.

Results of mechanistic studies of possible reactions involved in ethyl oxirane and diethyl ether processes will be displayed and used to create a kinetic model using Chemkin. A Chemkin reaction mechanism is generated for initial unimolecular reactions and the oxidation of this initial secondary ether radical and the formation of its initial products as well as new hydroperoxide carbon radicals on the ether. The mechanism includes reactions of molecular oxygen to the secondary carbon radical of ethyl oxirane and diethyl ether.

The Chemkin program determines species concentrations at a set temperature, and pressure and initial reaction concentrations versus time. Species profiles are calculated
using both forward and reverse reactions, where reverse reactions rate constants are calculated thermodynamics.

## CHAPTER 2 <br> COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

### 2.1 BACKGROUND

Electronic structure theory, based on the laws of motion for microscopic particles from quantum mechanics, is used to determine the energy of a species using different approximations to solve the Schrödinger equation. This energy, with several other calculated properties from statistical mechanics, allows for the determination of key fundamental thermochemical properties, which are imperative due to their influence on reaction mechanisms and in constructing detailed chemical kinetic models.

The Schrödinger equation can be represented as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H} \Psi=\mathrm{E} \Psi \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$, is the Hamiltonian operator composed of kinetic and potential energy terms; $\Psi$ is the wave function, a set of solutions of the Hamiltonian, describing the positions of the electrons and nuclei; and E is the energy of the system, an eigenvalue of the Eigen function $\Psi$. The wave function describes the state of the system, which is a function of the particles' coordinates $(x)$ and time $(t)$, giving the relationship $\Psi=\Psi(x, t)$ for a one-particle, onedimension system. This is used to define an equation which describes the system and how the wave function changes with time,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\hbar}{i} \frac{\partial \Psi(x, t)}{\partial t}=-\frac{\hbar}{2 m} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}+V(x, t) \Psi(x, t) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hbar$ is Planck's constant divided by $2 \pi, i$ is $\sqrt{ }-1, m$ is the mass of the particle, and $V(x, t)$ is the potential energy of the system. Specific positions of the coordinates cannot be determined with certainty, but the probability density,

$$
|\Psi(x, t)|^{2}
$$

can be used to find the probability,

$$
|\Psi(x, t)|^{2} \partial x
$$

of locating the particle within a specific region between $x$ and $x+d x$ at a certain time.
A simplifying approximation can be made using a stationary state where a timeindependent potential energy exists. Solutions of equation 2.2 can be found which satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(x, t)=f(t)_{\psi}(x) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(t)$ is a function of time and $\psi$ is a function of just position. The system can then exist in a number of fixed energy stationary states where the wave function satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(x, t)=e^{\frac{-i E t}{\hbar}} \psi(x) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the one-particle, one-dimensional case, the time-independent Schrödinger equation can then be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\hbar}{2 m} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}+V(x) \psi(x)=E \psi(x) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the left-hand side of the equation can be rearranged into an operator expression, denoted by brackets, which transforms a function into another function as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m} \frac{d^{2}}{d x^{2}}+V(x)\right] \psi(x)=E \psi(x) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation implies that an allowed energy value can be determined from an energy operator operating on the wave function. The Hamiltonian operator $\hat{H}$ is the energy operator representing the total energy from the potential, $V^{\wedge}$, and kinetic, $T^{\sim}$, energy operators as shown below.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{H}}=T+V=-\frac{\hbar}{2 m} \frac{\partial^{2} \Psi(x, t)}{\partial x^{2}}+V(x) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hamiltonian can also be written to include $n$ particles where each particle, $i$, has mass,
$m i$, and coordinates (xi,yi,zi),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{H}}=-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m_{i}} \nabla_{i}^{2}+V\left(x_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\mathrm{V})^{2}$ is the Laplacian operator defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{i}^{2}=\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x_{i}{ }^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y_{i}{ }^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{i}{ }^{2}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The time-independent Schrödinger equation in equation 2.6 can then be written as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\hbar^{2}}{2 m_{i}} \nabla_{i}^{2}+V\left(x_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)\right] \psi=E \psi \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the time-independent wave function incorporates each of the coordinates from the $n$ particles as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\psi\left(x_{1} y_{1} z_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} y_{n} z_{n}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Born-Oppenheimer approximation can also be applied allowing for the separation of the nuclear and electronic motions. The mass of an electron is negligible compared to the nuclei that electrons appear to be moving through a system of the fix positioned nuclei. Electrons will assume an optimal distribution representing the lowest energy, the ground state, based on the arrangement of the nuclei. This approximation creates two independent problems and reduces the complexity of the solution to the Schrödinger equation. The Hamiltonian operator in equation 2.8 involving interactions of numerous electrons and nuclei can then be represented as

$$
\hat{\mathrm{H}}=T_{N}+T_{E}+V_{N E}+V_{E E}+V_{N N}
$$

which includes the kinetic energy operators of the nuclei $\left(T_{N}\right)$ and electrons $\left(T_{E}\right)$ and the potential energy operators of the repulsions between the nuclei $\left(V_{N N}\right)$, attractions between the electrons and nuclei $\left(V_{N E}\right)$, and the repulsions from the electrons $\left(V_{E E}\right)$.

Solving the Schrödinger equation at this point is still a daunting task. For $n$ electrons, there are $3 n$ degrees of freedom and $n$ spin coordinates, resulting in calculating many electronic wave functions while the repulsions from the other electrons are simultaneously considered. Different methods, or levels of theory, utilize approximations affecting both accuracy and computational cost, in addition to time and computer resources. These approximations depend on the calculation method and can be broadly categorized as semi-empirical, ab initio, and density functional theory.

The first two calculation types, semi-empirical and ab initio, are based on molecular orbital theory, where the lower-energy orbitals are occupied with electrons before the higher-energy orbitals. Semi-empirical methods are the most basic quantum mechanical methods and require minimal computational resources. Approximate solutions to the Schrödinger equation are calculated using a simpler Hamiltonian with parameters fit to experimental data. Higher-level calculations are currently available, but semi-empirical methods are still practical for the analysis of very large species.

The second type of calculation method, ab initio, is more resource-demanding and uses mathematical representations of orbitals from linear combinations of basis functions, called a basis set, which constrains electrons into specific orbitals. These approximated orbitals are centered on the nucleus of an atom and range in size. Larger basis sets allow for a more accurate representation of the orbitals by decreasing the restrictions on the electrons, but as a result bring forth computational cost. These calculations do not incorporate empirical parameters and vary in the degrees of treating instantaneous electronelectron interaction, recognized as electron correlation energy. Hartree-Fock (HF) is the simplest $a b$ initio method, which calculates a wave function based on an average repulsion
between the electrons in place of the instantaneous interaction. This limitation in HF decreases its accuracy but serves as a starting point for other methods. Higher-level methods such as configuration interaction, perturbation theory, and coupled cluster theory, referred to as post-HF, improve on the HF wave function but have higher computational costs to accompany the increase in accuracy.

The final calculation method, density functional theory (DFT), does not calculate molecular wave functions. Basis sets are used to determine the electron probability density, then a functional calculates the electronic energy as well as electron correlation. Hohenberg and Kohn put forth two mathematical theorems which serve as the basis for DFT. The first theorem demonstrates that the ground state electronic energy, E0, from the Schrödinger equation is a unique functional of the ground state electron probability density, $\rho 0(x, y, z)$, which relies only on three variables. The Hohenberg-Kohn variational theorem then shows that the minimal energy functional corresponds to the true ground state electron density.

The Kohn-Sham (KS) method serves as a blueprint to solve the Hohenberg-Kohn theorem by finding $\rho 0$ and then $E 0$ from a set of the KS equations, which in theory can determine the exact solution. Approximations are still required through the functional describing the exchange and correlation treatment if the electron interaction and repulsion energies are unknown.

### 2.2 Density Functional Theory Methods

The steady developments of more efficient and accurate functionals have allowed DFT calculations for chemical properties in a variety of fields. Analyses of larger molecules are
possible with DFT, considering its lower calculation time and computational costs, while providing equivalent accuracy compared to other calculation methods. DFT is the primary tool for the analysis of species in this dissertation, while use of ab initio calculations is primarily restricted to their use in composite methods.

One of the most widely used DFT methods is B3-LYP which combines the threeparameter Becke exchange functional, $\mathrm{B} 3{ }^{57}$, with the Lee-Yang-Parr correlation functional, LYP ${ }^{58}$. B3-LYP is one of the most frequently used and reliable DFT methods available. ${ }^{59}$ Curtiss et al. ${ }^{60}$ reported that it has the smallest average absolute deviation, 3.11 kcal mol1, of the seven DFT methods studied using the G2 test set of molecules. For the analysis of certain species, other DFT methods including $\omega$ B97x, G2, G3, G4 and M06-2X ${ }^{61,62}$.

M06 and M06-2x are hybrid meta exchange-correlation functionals that are a high nonlocality functionals with double the amount of nonlocal exchanges ${ }^{61} . \omega \mathrm{B} 97 \mathrm{X}$ is a longrange corrected functional, created by Head-Gordon and coworkers, which includes empirical dispersion ${ }^{63}$.

Molecular orbitals are represented using linear combinations of basis functions, which are commonly the resource efficient Gaussian Type Orbtials (GTO). Pople basis sets, including the split-valence double-zeta basis set $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$, are selected for analysis of the species. This basis set is of moderate size and provides a good combination between accuracy are computational resources. Six primitives for each core atomic orbital basis functions and used with two basis functions for the valence shells, where one is composed of three primitives and the other only one primitive. Five d-type and three p-type polarization functions are added to the non-hydrogen and hydrogen species, respectively, adding additional orbital space for the electron. A larger split-valence triple-zeta 6-
$311 \mathrm{G}(2 \mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{p})$ basis set is also utilized and serves as a comparison to $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$. This basis set includes an additional basis function for the valence shells and an additional two sets of d-type and p-type polarization functions to the non-hydrogen and hydrogen species, respectively.

### 2.3 Composite Methods

The tradeoff between accuracy and computational resources invariably exists. To obtain the most accurate calculations, high level methods using large basis sets are necessary, but often the size of the molecular system makes applications of such methods difficult, if not impossible.

The development of composite and/or compound methods has allowed for highlevel calculations to be performed on large chemical systems, producing values within standard chemical accuracy of $1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. Composite methods use predetermined procedures of combined results from multiple levels of theory to mimic much higher, and more expensive, calculations while running in significantly less time. These methods are continually modified for improved accuracy and efficiency to help offset the high computational cost and resource demands of these methods. Higher-level corrections, which compensate for remaining deficiencies, are incorporated in the energy calculation.

Another commonly employed composite method is the complete basis set method CBS-QB3 ${ }^{64,65}$, from Peterson and coworkers, where energies from several calculations are extrapolated to the complete basis set limit. A mean absolute deviation of $1.10 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ from the G2/97 test set for CBS-QB3 was calculated ${ }^{61}$. Geometries and frequencies are determined from the B3LYP/6-311G(2d,d,p) level with ZPVE, scaled by 0.99 and single-
point energy calculations at the $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T}) / 6-31+\mathrm{G}\left(\mathrm{d}^{\prime}\right)$, MP4SDQ/CBSB4, and MP2/CBSB3 levels. The complete basis set is extrapolated with corrections for spin contamination to calculate final energies.

CBS-APNO is a more expensive procedure than other CBS methods. The acronym APNO stands for atomic pair natural orbital, which allows for accurate extrapolations to the complete basis set limit. This is accomplished with (U)HF/6/311G(d,P) geometry optimization and frequency calculations to obtain enthalpic and free-energy corrections. This step is followed by a second geometry optimization at the QCISD(T), MP2(full), HF and MP2 levels, each with different basis sets. The CBS-4M method is a complete basis set method that is parameterized to the original CBS-4 method, where M is referring to the use of minimal population localization. In addition, this method includes empirical corrections ${ }^{28}$.

The final method that will be used in this study is W1U theory, which is a modification of $\mathrm{W} 1^{66}$. The mechanism of calculation in this method is very similar to that of CBS methods, where the basis function is extrapolated to infinity with the use of very large basis sets up to cc-pVQZ +2 d 1 g and cc-pV5Z +2 d 1 f and calculations at the CCSD and $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$ level. W1U theory is an unrestricted coupled cluster spin contamination corrected method.

### 2.4 Gaussian-n Methods

Gaussian-n is a series of quantum chemical methods based on a sequence of single-point energy calculations. These methods are able to provide high-accuracy complex-energy computations in Gaussian, which uses defined calculations in tandem to compute energies
with a low error tolerance. All of the methods are constructed from row 1 and 2 atoms on the periodic table. Each method was developed over the one before, where G-2 is an improvement of G-1, G-3 on G-2 and G-4 on G-3. High accuracy in these methods is obtained by determining a higher-level correction based on calculations for the hydrogen atom and hydrogen molecule.

G-2 improves on G-1 by correcting for the non-additivity of diffuse sp polarization by including 2 df basis set extension. The use of a basis set that contains a third d-function on non-hydrogen atoms and a second p-function on hydrogen and the modification of the high-level calculations which included MP4 FU/6-31G* in G-1, where G-2 uses 6-311G*.

G-3 takes a step further by using 6-31G* instead of using 6-311G*, this decreases computational cost. G-3 also corrects for spin-orbit coupling in atoms and core electron correlation. By making these changes, accuracy is improved. The calculations are split into two parts: atoms and molecules. This allows for significant impacts on calculated values for electron affinity and ionization potential.

G-4 is different that the other Gaussian-n methods; it depends on the cancellation of error for its accuracy. The mechanism of G-4 is identical to that of G-3. The gain in accuracy comes from determining the heat of formation limit for total inclusion of energy. Increasing d-polarization to 3 d on first row atoms, and 4 d on second-row atoms. G4 replaces $\operatorname{QCISD}(\mathrm{T})$ with $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$ in high-level calculations and calculates geometry and SPE at B3-LYP/6-31G (2df,p) level.

### 2.5 Calculated Thermochemical Properties

Calculation of thermochemical properties for each species is outlined in the following sections.

### 2.5.1 Initial Species Parameters

Species are initially optimized using the B3-LYP/6-31G(d,p) method ${ }^{57,58}$ implemented in the Gaussian $09^{67}$ program suites. Vibrational frequencies were examined to verify stable, all-positive frequencies, and transition state, one-negative-frequency, species. Potential energy curves for single-bond internal rotations are calculated at 10 degree intervals as the rest of the species is allowed to relax. Potential energy curves verify that the converged optimized species is in the lowest energy conformation. If a lower energy conformation is found, this geometry is optimized and potential energy curves are calculated again. The potential energy barriers from these curves are also utilized to determine entropy and heat capacity contributions from internal rotations.

### 2.5.2 Enthalpy

DFT methods are popular in this type of analysis because of their lower computation costs, but their accuracy is not as high compared to the results of composite methods ${ }^{68-71}$. Errors encountered may seem miniscule in small-molecule systems but compound as the molecule size increases. To improve the accuracy in the enthalpy calculations, it is common to implement diverse work reactions, which conserve mass balance, hybridization, and bond
type for reactants and products. Work reactions grant significant systematic method error cancellation due to similar chemical environments on both sides of the reaction. This allows lower-level and less computationally demanding methods, such as DFT, to be used without sacrificing accuracy ${ }^{70}$.

Work reactions are used to calculate $\Delta H^{\circ} f 298$ for all species which incorporate molecules with similar atomic and bonding arrangement on both sides of the reaction. Total enthalpies, including zero-point vibrational and thermal corrections, for the optimized products and reactants are calculated at different levels of theory. The enthalpy change for each reaction, $\Delta H^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} x n 298$, is then calculated using Hess's Law in equation 2.13. Combining $\Delta H^{\circ} r x n 298$ with literature enthalpies of formation values, Lit $\Delta H^{\circ} f 298$, of the known products and reactants results in a $\Delta H^{\circ} f 298$ for the target species in equation 2.14. An example calculation is provided in Appendix A.
$\Delta H^{\circ}$ rxn $298=\sum($ Total Enthalpy of Products $)-\sum($ Total Enthalpy of Reactants $)$
$\Delta H^{\circ} r x n 298=\sum\left(\right.$ Lit $\Delta H^{\circ} f 298$ Products $)-\sum\left(\right.$ Lit $\Delta H^{\circ} f 298$ Reactants $+\Delta H^{\circ} f 298$ Target Species $)$

Comparison of the DFT values to experimental data or higher-level composite methods provides more accurate thermochemical properties ${ }^{69,72}$, which allows for comparing and gauging the accuracy of work-reaction methodology using DFT calculations.

### 2.5.3 Bond Dissociation Energy

Bond dissociation energies (BDE) are important to determine initial reaction pathways and kinetics. The stability of the radicals determines the relative energy needed to cleave a carbon-hydrogen bond or a carbon-carbon bond via beta scission reactions in hydrocarbons, for instance. Lower-bond energies are more vulnerable to hydrogen abstraction by radical species.

BDEs for the species in this study include carbon-hydrogen ( $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ ), oxygenhydrogen $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H})$, carbon-carbon $(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})$, and oxygen-oxygen $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O})$ bonds. For the homoand heteroatomic bonds, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$, and $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$, a bond cleavage reaction with the calculated $\Delta H^{\circ} f 298$ value is utilized. For example, the bond cleavage reaction used to calculate $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ BDEs is the difference in the calculated $\Delta H^{\circ} f 298$ for the parent compound $(\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{H})$ and the corresponding radical $(\mathrm{R} \bullet)$ plus hydrogen atom $(\mathrm{H} \bullet)$,

$$
\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{R} \bullet+\mathrm{H} \bullet
$$

A sample calculation is provided in Appendix A. In the case of the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ BDE calculation, the bond is in a hydroperoxide group, so a hydroxyl radical is formed and a similar bond cleavage reaction is used:

$$
\mathrm{RO}-\mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{RO} \bullet+\mathrm{OH} \bullet
$$

Established literature values of 52.103 kcal mol-1 for a hydrogen atom ${ }^{9}$ and 8.93 kcal mol1 for a hydroxyl radical ${ }^{73}$ (update reference) were used in these calculations.

The calculated C-C and C-O BDEs are in all hydrocarbon species and cyclic ethers that correspond to ring opening, and do not generate two separate species. For these calculations, the difference between the $\Delta H^{\circ} f 298$ values for the parent and radical is used to determine the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ BDEs.

### 2.5.4 Entropy and Heat Capacity

Entropy $(S(T))$ and heat capacity $(C p(T))$ calculations utilize the simple rigid-rotor harmonic-oscillator $(\mathrm{HO})$ to describe the $3 n-6$ vibrations for non-linear species. In a Simple rigid-rotor harmonic-oscillator, it is well-known that there are accuracy issues while determining the lower frequency torsions corresponding to internal rotations using this approximation. Replacing these frequencies with methods to treat the internal rotations as hindered rotors increases the accuracy for $S(T)$ and $C p(T)$.

The initial research of Pitzer and Gwinn ${ }^{74-76}$ addressed contributions from symmetrical and asymmetrical rotating groups on a rigid frame. Later, work by Kilpatrick and Pitzer ${ }^{76}$ was expanded to include balanced and unbalanced linked rotating groups. These studies still serve as a basis for current research and development for new methods for treating internal rotations.

Determining which low-range frequency corresponds to a given bond rotation can oftentimes be challenging and is characteristic of large molecules containing multiple rotating species. Coupling can also occur between various rotations or other types of motion, which increases the difficulty in properly accounting for rotational contributions. A number of studies utilizing different techniques for handling coupled and uncoupled internal rotors contributions have been reported ${ }^{77-85}$. Despite the fact that more advanced methods addressing coupled internal rotator are available, basic treatment of internal rotations as uncoupled rotations provides improved accuracy over the HO approximation alone ${ }^{80,82,86}$.

The HO approximation from translations, vibrations, and external rotation contributions to entropy and heat capacity are determined using the Statistical Mechanics
for Heat Capacity and Entropy (SMCPS) program ${ }^{87}$. SMCPS uses the geometry, frequencies, and moments of inertia from the optimized methods calculation for the structure along with the mass, electronic degeneracy, symmetry, and number of optical isomers for the species. Vibrations corresponding to torsion frequencies are removed and treated using a hindered rotor model. Internal rotation contributions are then added to the SMCPS values.

The equations for entropy and heat capacity used in SMCPS come from standard statistical mechanics, allowing for macroscopic thermochemical properties to be calculated based on molecular energies from electronic structure calculations. These equations are summed from the individual contributions where entropy is calculated as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{S}(\mathrm{~T})=\mathrm{S}_{\text {Trans }}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{Rot}}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{Vib}}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{Elec}}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{OI}}+\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{Sym}}  \tag{2.15}\\
& S_{\text {Trans }}=37.0+\frac{3}{2} R \ln \left(\frac{m}{40}\right)+\frac{3}{2} R \ln \left(\frac{T}{298}\right) \\
& S_{\text {Rot }}=11.5+\frac{R}{2} \ln \left(\frac{I_{m}^{3}}{\sigma_{e}}\right)+\frac{3}{2} R \ln \left(\frac{T}{298}\right)(\text { non }- \text { linear molecules }) \\
& S_{\text {Rot }}=6.9+R \ln \left(\frac{I}{\sigma_{e}}\right)+R \ln \left(\frac{T}{298}\right)(\text { linear molecules }) \\
& S_{\text {Rot }}=4.6+R \ln \left(\frac{I_{r}^{1 / 2}}{\sigma_{l}}\right)+\frac{R}{2} \ln \left(\frac{T}{298}\right)(\text { one }- \text { dimensional, free rotor }) \\
& S_{\text {Vib }}=R \sum_{K=1}^{3 N-6}\left(\frac{h v_{k}}{e^{\frac{n v_{t}}{k_{b} T}}-1}-\ln \left(1-e^{-\frac{h_{v}}{k_{b} T}}\right)\right) \\
& S_{\text {Elec }}=R \ln (\operatorname{sm}) \\
& S_{\text {OI }}=R \ln (n)
\end{align*}
$$

$S_{S y m}=-R \ln \left(\sigma_{e}\right)$
and heat capacity is calculated as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad C_{p}(T)=C_{\text {Trans }} C_{\text {Rot }} C_{\text {Vib }} C_{\text {Elec }}+R  \tag{2.16}\\
& C_{\text {Trans }}=\frac{3}{2} R \\
& C_{\text {Rot }}=\frac{3}{2} R(\text { non }- \text { linear molecules }) \\
& C_{\text {Rot }}= \\
& C_{\text {Rot }}= \\
& \frac{1}{2} R(\text { linear molecules }) \\
& C_{\text {vib }}= \\
& R \sum_{K=1}^{3 N-6}\left(\frac{\left(h v_{k} / k_{B} T^{2}\right) e^{-\frac{h v_{k}}{k_{b} T}}}{e^{\frac{h v_{k}}{k_{b} T}-1^{2}}}\right) \\
& C_{\text {Elec }}=
\end{align*}
$$

Notation in equations 2.15 and 2.16 includes the following: molecular weight in amu ( $m$ ), temperature in Kelvin $(T)$, ideal gas constant $(R)$, number of optical isomers ( $n$ ), Planck's constant (h), vibrational frequency for the kth normal mode ( $v k$ ), Boltzmann's constant $(k B)$, external symmetry number of the molecule $(\sigma e)$, symmetry of the internal rotation ( $\sigma i$ ), moment of inertia for a linear molecule about its center of mass ( $I$ ), product of the three principle moments of inertia about its center of gravity (Im3), reduced moment of inertia for the internal rotation (Ir), and spin multiplicity (sm).

Internal rotation contributions are calculated using the Pitzer and Gwinn ${ }^{74,} 75,88$ approximation method as calculated in the VIBIR ${ }^{89}$ code. This method is best suited for rotations where the potential energy as a function of the angle, $V(\Phi)$, can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\phi)=\sum_{m} \frac{1}{2} V_{m} 1-\cos \sigma_{m} \phi_{m} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{m}$ is the height of the potential barriers and $m$ is the foldness of the potential energy graphs for each bond rotation. Reduced moments of inertia are calculated based on the optimized geometries using the mass and radius of rotation for the rotational groups. There are no adjustments for coupling of internal rotor motion with vibration, and VIBIR assumes that the rotational groups are symmetrical, which is accurate for primary and terminal methyl group rotation, for example. Other types of rotational barriers are also estimated using averages of the calculated barrier heights. A second method for calculation of internal rotation contribution is the ROTATOR code ${ }^{90}$. ROTATOR uses the potential energy curves with expansion of the hindrance potential at discrete torsion angles in the truncated Fourier series,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\phi)=a_{0}+\sum a_{i} \cos (i \phi)+\sum b_{i} \sin (i \phi), \text { where } i=l-7 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a o$, ai, and bi provide the minima and maxima of the torsion potentials with allowance for a shift of the theoretical extreme angular positions. ROTATOR calculates the Hamiltonian matrix in the basis of wave functions of free internal rotor with subsequent calculation of energy levels by direct diagonalization. Direct summation over the energy levels allows for calculation of the partition function, where the entropy and heat capacity contributions are found using standard statistical thermodynamics. By fitting the actual potential energy graph of a rotational bond, ROTATOR can accurately describe both symmetrical and asymmetrical group rotations.

### 2.5.5 Group Additivity

The group additivity (GA) method, as developed by Benson ${ }^{91}$, is a practical method for rapid estimation of thermochemical properties, especially for larger compounds. The success of this empirical method is based on the accurate knowledge of the contributions of representative groups, obtained from smaller molecules, and their established linear consistency in thermochemical property contribution. Corrections for rotors, symmetry, electron degeneracy, optical isomers, and other interactions are also taken into account. The hydrogen-bond increment (HBI) method for group additivity ${ }^{89}$ allows calculation of the thermochemical properties of radicals with only one additional group to that of the parent species. Thermodynamic properties, including $\Delta H^{\circ} f 298, S^{\circ} 298$, and $C p(T)$, can be approximated as the sum of the individual groups and used as a comparison for calculated values ${ }^{91-93}$. The GA and HBI methods are implemented using the Thermodynamic Property Estimation for Radicals and Molecules (THERM) code ${ }^{94,95}$. Adaptability of the GA and HBI methods allows method application to a wide range of compounds, where thermochemical properties are estimated for the development and engineering of chemical kinetic modeling. Groups are constantly being developed for unique classes of compounds which are gaining attention. Possible biofuel compounds, for example, can be easily approximated and applied in models as the search for alternative fuel sources advance.

### 2.5.6 Kinetic Analysis

Canonical transition state theory (CTST), where a transition state maximum energy barrier connecting the reactants and products exists, is used to calculate high-pressure rate
constants, $k(\mathrm{~T})$, in the $298-2000 \mathrm{~K}$ temperature range. Using the previously calculated enthalpies, entropies, and heat capacities for the reactants and the transition state species, high-pressure rate constants are calculated:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(T)=\frac{k_{b} T}{h} \exp \left(\frac{\Delta \rho^{\ddagger}}{R}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{\Delta H^{\ddagger}}{R T}\right)\left(\frac{R T}{P^{0}}\right)^{\Delta n^{\ddagger}} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{b}$ is Boltzmann's constant, $h$ is Planck's constant, $T$ is temperature, $p_{o}$ is standard pressure, $R$ is the ideal gas constant, and $\Delta S \neq \Delta H \neq$, and $\Delta n \neq$ are the changes in entropy, enthalpy, and the number of molecules between the reactant and transition state, respectively.

These high-pressure rate constants were fit using a nonlinear least-squares method to the modified form of the Arrhenius equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(T)=A T^{n} \exp \left(\frac{-E_{a}}{R T}\right) \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

to determine the elementary rate parameters, A, n, and Ea. The program Thermkin was used in calculating both the high-pressure rate constants and the elementary rate parameters.

Variational transition state theory (VTST) was used in barrier-less, no transition states, situations common for radical and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ association. A scan of the bond length for the radical $+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ adduct was completed by incrementing the length until a limit in the maximum energy was reached. High-pressure rate constants for each bond length position were then calculated, equation 2.19 , for the $298-2000 \mathrm{~K}$ temperature range. The minimum rate constants at each temperature were fit to the modified Arrhenius equation, equation 2.20, to determine the elementary rate parameters.

Chemical activation of bimolecular reactions involves the formation of energized adducts containing a large excess of energy from bond formation. The adduct can undergo
unimolecular reactions, including isomerization and dissociation, in addition to returning to the original reactants, and deactivation through collisional stabilization. These reactions compete with both temperature- and pressure-dependencies, but the energy dependence of the rate constant, $k(\mathrm{E})$, must also be considered to correctly account for product distributions. Full descriptions of the models utilized for chemical activation and unimolecular dissociation are given by Sheng et al. ${ }^{96}$.

Quantum Rice-Ramsperger-Kassel (QRRK) analysis is used for $k(\mathrm{E})$ calculation based on statistical assumptions for the number of ways in which energy can be distributed among the vibrational degrees of freedom in a molecule. The proportion of energy located in a critical oscillator leading to a reaction allows for the calculation of rate constants. While more accurate models exist, such as Rice-Ramsperger-Kassel-Marcus (RRKM), higher demands for specific details about the transition state species are necessary. With uncertainty and questionable accuracy of geometrical structure and modes of vibration in some of these transition state structures, QRRK provides acceptable analysis with fewer input parameters.

Bimolecular chemical activation reactions use QRRK in the analysis of rate constants and can be schematically represented in Figure 2.1, where $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{*}$ is the entrance of isomer activated complex formed from the initial reactants $R$ and $R^{\prime}$, and $A_{e}$ is the entrance isomer collisionally stabilized adduct. $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{e}}{ }^{*}$ can go products, return to reactants, or subsequent isomerizations, $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{i}^{*}}$, which can further dissociate to products, by collisionally stabilized to $A_{i}$, or reisomerize.


Figure 2.1 Schematic representation of chemical activation reactions.
Rate constants for chemical activations can be defined as a function of temperature, pressure, and collision parameters as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d\left[A_{i}\right]}{d t} & =[R]\left[R^{\prime}\right] k_{i}^{\text {stab }}(T, P)  \tag{2.21}\\
\frac{d[\text { Products }]}{d t} & =[R]\left[R^{\prime}\right] k_{p}^{p r o d}(T, P) \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

the overall rate constants to a given product channel can be determined from parameters in the master equation model by summing the dissociation differential rate constant, $d_{p i}{ }^{q}$, from isomer $i$ to product $p$ at energy $q$ times the population vectors, $n_{i}{ }^{q}$, which are functions of temperature, pressure, and collider molecule properties as shown below.

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{p}^{p r o d}(T, P)=\sum_{q} d_{p, i}^{q} n_{i}^{q} \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rate constants for the formation of a stabilized adduct were calculated from the product of the population, $n_{i}^{r}$, and frequency of collisions between the adduct and the bath gas, $\omega$, using the standard Lennard-Jones model, and the probability matrix representing the fraction of deactivating collisions resulting in a change from energy level $r$ to $q$ (where $q_{\min }{ }^{i}$ is the lowest activated energy level) of isomer $i, P_{i}^{q r}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{i}^{s t a b}(T, P)=\omega \sum_{r}\left(1-\sum_{q>q_{\min }^{i}} P_{i}^{q r}\right) n_{i}^{r} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substantial information was generated from the rate constants from chemical activation analysis; additional dissociation analysis is necessary to completely build chemical kinetic models. Dissociation reactions are schematically represented as

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{e} \xrightarrow{k_{c}^{m / d}} \text { Products (1) } \\
\xrightarrow{k_{\rho}^{m o t}} \text { Products (2) }
\end{gathered}
$$

where isomers are treated as irreversible product channels, which immediately stabilized with no distinction made towards the activated and stabilized adducts. With the simplification of product channels including isomers, the rate constant calculation is defined as Equation 2.25.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d[\text { Products }]}{d t}=\left[A_{i}\right] k_{p}^{\text {prod }}(T, P) \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rate constants can be determined from parameters in the master equation model by summing, over all energy levels $q$, the product of the differential rate constant, $d^{q}{ }_{p}$, and the normalized population distribution function, $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}{ }^{\mathrm{q}}$, illustrated in equation 2.26.

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{p}^{p r o d}=\sum_{q} d_{p, i}^{q} g_{i}^{q} / \sum_{q} g_{i}^{q} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

These calculations for the temperature-and-pressure dependent rate constants were implemented in the CHEMASTER code ${ }^{96}$, which uses a multi-frequency QRRK analysis for $k(\mathrm{E})$ with master equation for falloff and stabilization. The steady-state assumption was applied to the energized adduct, where both forward and reverse reaction paths are calculated while formation of adjacent products is not reversible. Chemical activation analysis includes all products, while dissociation analysis only considers immediate reactions from the species in the well. Further reactions need to be considered separately.

The CHEMASTER input file includes temperature and pressure ranges of interest, mass of chemical species, the previously calculated elementary rate parameters from the high pressure rate constants, Lennard-Jones transport parameters of collisional diameter and well-depth for the collider molecule, the third-body bath gas, and reactants, as well as a reduced set of three representative vibrations and their degeneracies. The vibrations used are from the full set of $3 n-6$ frequency vibrations and reproduce heat capacity values, including one external rotation which can be used for estimation of the molecular density of states. ${ }^{108}$ The average energy removed on a per-collision basis from the adduct, and the energy grid integration interval with corresponding maximum energy level for the adduct, are also included in the input file.

## CHAPTER 3

## THERMOCHEMISTRY OF FLUORINATED ALDEHYDES

### 3.1 Overview

Fluorinated hydrocarbons are present in the atmosphere, hydrosphere, and lithosphere as a result of the past use of solvents and propellants; in addition to the current wide spread use of refrigerants and heat exchange fluids and polymers. Other uses include lubricants, blowing and sterilizing agents, coatings, anaesthetics, and agents in the preparation of semiconductors. They have been regarded as the replacement of greenhouse gasses like chlorofluorocarbons, because of their nonexistent or less adverse effects on global warming from the greenhouse gas effect in the troposphere layer ${ }^{4}$.

As stated in the Fourth Assessment Report of the Inter-governmental Panel on Climate Change, "The observed widespread warming of the atmosphere and ocean, together with ice-mass loss, support the conclusion that it is extremely unlikely that global climate change of the past 50 years can be explained without external forcing and very likely that it is not due to known natural causes alone ${ }^{97}$." The emission of greenhouse gasses and ozone depletion agents as a byproduct of human activities remains the most important factor for the drive in climate change.

The increased atmospheric concentrations of halogenated organic compounds are partially responsible for the change in global climate ${ }^{98,99}$. Due to the concentration of haloalkanes being nearly a millionth of that of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, these compounds have a $13 \%$ share in the radiative forcing. Being that this is a large contributing
factor, it can be explained by considering their higher global warming potentials; they are typically a couple of thousand times of the reference $\mathrm{CO}_{2}{ }^{3}$.

Fluorocarbons and hydrofluorocarbons have been identified as promising candidates as fire surpressants ${ }^{100}$, and considerable effort is being devoted to their study, what has resulted in the generation of extensive thermochemical and kinetic databases ${ }^{101,}$ 102.

Quantum chemical calculations on small molecules can result in thermochemical values with low degrees of uncertainty, sometimes outperforming experimental results ${ }^{25-}$ ${ }^{8}$. The precise knowledge of the thermodynamic and kinetic properties of the atmospheric reactions as well as the physical parameters of the corresponding species is required for chemistry-climate models. Databases such as NIST-JANAF ${ }^{9}$, CODATA $^{10}$, ATcT $^{11}$, JPL $^{12}$ and Burcat's Third Millennium Thermodynamic Database ${ }^{13}$, contain the relevant physicochemical parameters, where many discrepancies exist and contain considerably large error bars. Such data uncertainty is mostly due to the fact that the chemistry of the troposphere and stratosphere is dominated by free radical reactions and experimental determination of physical and chemical parameters of radicals and radical reaction is still challenging ${ }^{3}$.

In recent years, computational chemistry evolved to a stage where the calculation of thermodynamic functions of small molecules and can achieve experimental or even higher accuracy. DFT, and Composite ab initio methods in the Gaussian suite of programs, were used to develop thermochemical properties and a group additivity scheme for estimation of larger flourinated hydrocarbons values.

The standard enthalpy of formation for this series of fluoro and multifluoro acetaldehydes $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{OF}_{4-\mathrm{x}}\right)$ and propanals $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{OF}_{6-\mathrm{x}}\right)$ have been calculated using computational chemistry and isodesmic work reactions. Eleven computational chemistry methods have been used for accuracy; these include CBS-APNO, CBS-4M, CBS-QB3, M062X, $\omega$ B97X, B3-LYP, G-2, G-3, G-4 and Weizmann-1 (W1U) methodology. Group additivity values for $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 3, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 2$, and $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H}$ have also been determined for use in estimation of the thermochemistry larger fluorinated aldehydes. Comparisons are made to previously published values for a number of the fluorinated aldehydes.

### 3.2 Nomenclature

Table 3.1: Nomenclature, IUPAC nomenclature and Molecular Formula of Fluorinated Aldehydes

| IUPAC Nomenclature | Nomenclature | Species | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fluoroacetaldehyde | CFC( $=0$ ) | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{OF}$ |
| Fluoroacetyl fluoride | CFCF(=0) | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OF}_{2}$ |
| difluoroacetaldehyde | CF2C(=0) | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OF}_{2}$ |
| Difluoroacetyl fluoride | CF2CF(=0) | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{HOF}_{3}$ |
| Trifluoroacetyl fluoride | CF3CF(=O) | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{OF} 4$ |
| trifluoroacetaldehyde | CF3C(=0) | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{OF}$ |
| Acetyl fluoride | $\mathrm{CCF}(=0)$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{OF}$ |
| 3-fluoropropanal | $\mathrm{CFCC}(=0)$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OF}$ |
| 2,3-difluoropropanal | CFCFC(=0) | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCHFCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OF}_{2}$ |
| 2,3-propanoyl fluoride | CFCFCF(=0) | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCHFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{OF}_{3}$ |
| 3,3-difluoropropanal | CF2CC(=O) | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OF}_{2}$ |
| 2,3,3-trifluoropropanal | CF2CFC(=0) | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CHFCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{OF}_{3}$ |
| 2,3-dipropanoyl fluoride | CF2CFCF(=O) | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CHFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OF}_{4}$ |
| 3,3,3-trifluoropropanal | CF3CC(=O) | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{OF}_{3}$ |
| 2,3,3,3-tetrafluoropropanal | CF3CFC(=0) | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CHFCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OF}_{4}$ |
| 2,3,3,3-tetrapropanoyl fluoride | CF3CFCF( $=0$ ) | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CHFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{HOF}_{5}$ |
| 2-fluoropropanal | CCFC( $=0$ ) | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHFCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OF}$ |
| 2-propanoyl fluoride | $\operatorname{CCFCF}(=0)$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OF}_{2}$ |
| 2,2-difluoropropanal | CCF2C(=0) | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OF}_{2}$ |

Table 3.1: Nomenclature, IUPAC nomenclature and Molecular Formula of Fluorinated Aldehydes (Continued)

| IUPAC Nomenclature | Nomenclature | Species | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2,2,3-trifluoropropanal | CFCF2C(=O) | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{OF}_{3}$ |
| 2,2,3-tripropanoyl fluoride | CFCF2CF(=0) | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OF}_{4}$ |
| 2,2,3,3-tetrafluoropropanal | CF2CF2C(=0) | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OF}_{4}$ |
| 2,2,3,3-tetrapropanoyl fluoride | CF2CF2CF(=O) | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{HOF}_{5}$ |
| 2,2,3,3,3-pentafluoropropanal | CF3CF2C(=0) | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CHFCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{HOFF}_{5}$ |
| 2,2,3,3-tetrapropanoyl fluoride | CF3CF2CF(=O) | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{OF}_{6}$ |
| 2,2-dipropanoyl fluoride | CCF2CF(=O) | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{OF}_{3}$ |
| propanoyl fluoride | $\operatorname{CCCF}(=0)$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OF}$ |
| 3-propanoyl fluoride | $\operatorname{CFCCF}(=0)$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OF}_{2}$ |
| 3,3-dipropanoyl fluoride | CF2CCF(=O) | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{OF}_{3}$ |
| 3,3,3-tripropanoyl fluoride | CF3CCF(=O) | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OF}_{4}$ |
| Fluoroacet-2-yl aldehyde | CJFC(=0) | CHFCH(=O) | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OF}$ |
| Acet-2-yl fluoride | $\operatorname{CJCF}(=0)$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OF}$ |
| Fluoroacet-1-yl aldehyde | CFCJ(=O) | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OF}$ |
| Fluoroacet-2-yl fluoride | $\operatorname{CJFCF}(=0)$ | CHFCF(=O) | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{HOF}_{2}$ |
| Difluoroacet-2-yl aldehyde | CJF2C(=O) | $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{HOF}_{2}$ |
| Difluoroacet-1-yl aldehyde | CF2CJ(=O) | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{HOF}_{2}$ |
| Difluoroacet-2-yl fluoride | CJF2CF( $=0$ ) | $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{OF}_{3}$ |
| Trifluoroacet-2-yl aldehyde | CF3CJ(=O) | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{OF}_{3}$ |

### 3.3 Computational Mehods

Optimized geometries for the parent and radicals are initially calculated at the B3-LYP46$31 \mathrm{G}++(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ level of theory ${ }^{123}$. Potential energy curves for the single bond internal rotation barriers are used to verify the lowest energy conformation and for calculation of entropies and heat capacities. These potential energy graphs are available in Appendix B.

Molecular heat of formation values are calculated using a set of isodesmic reactions, in which the number of each bond type is conserved in products and reactants. This is to try and affect a cancellation of systematic errors in the molecular calculations ${ }^{35}$.

Table 3.2 lists the reference specie $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ} \mathrm{f}(298)$ values used in the isodesmic reactions.

Table 3.2 Reference Species $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{\mathrm{f}(298)}$ Values used in the Isodesmic Reactions

| Species | $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\circ} 298\left(\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Error Values | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | -17.78 | $\pm 0.10$ | 103 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -20.03 | $\pm 0.10$ | 103 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~F}$ | -56.30 | $\pm 0.30$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}_{3}$ | -65.42 | $\pm 1.11$ | 104 |
| CHF( $=0$ ) | -92.00 | $\pm 2.00$ | 56 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -25.96 | $\pm 0.12$ | 103 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -39.70 | $\pm 0.12$ | 103 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -28.9 | $\pm 0.10$ | 103 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -70.24 | $\pm 1.30$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -120.87 | $\pm 1.62$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | -108.07 | $\pm 1.46$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHFCH}_{3}$ | -75.26 | $\pm 1.30$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -30.00 | $\pm 0.10$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -133.25 | $\pm 1.65$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -51.89 | $\pm 0.16$ | 9 |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{3}$ | -166.71 | $\pm 1.97$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -44.36 | $\pm 0.19$ | 103 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -50.61 | $\pm 0.22$ | 106 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -51.57 | $\pm 0.37$ | 106 |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{2}$ | -160.3 | $\pm 1.40$ | 107 |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | -110.0 | $\pm 2.50$ | 107 |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CJF}_{2}$ | -264.1 | $\pm 1.10$ | 107 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 28.9 | $\pm 0.40$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}$ | -90.5 | $\pm 0.10$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CJ}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}$ | -43.7 | $\pm 0.50$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -2.3 | $\pm 0.90$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 4.6 | $\pm 0.30$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 60.5 | $\pm 0.90$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cj}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -6.9 | $\pm 0.90$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | 4.4 | $\pm 0.90$ | 105 |
| CH3J | 35.2 | $\pm 0.2$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CJHF}$ | -110.6 | $\pm 1.6$ | 107 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -28.9 | $\pm 0.10$ | 103 |
| $\mathrm{CJH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 24.3 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -30.1 | $\pm 0.1$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CJH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 19.3 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CH}$ | -32 | $\pm 0.4$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CJH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 17.8 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |

Table 3.2 Reference Species $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{\mathrm{f}(298)}$ Values used in the Isodesmic Reactions (Continued)

| Species | $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{298}\left(\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Error Values | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | -107.65 | $\pm 1.17$ | 107 |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -180.51 | $\pm 2.05$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{CJHFCH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | -58.1 | $\pm 1.10$ | 107 |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | -161.1 | $\pm 2.20$ | 107 |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | -214.15 | $\pm 1.9$ | 107 |

Isodesmic work reactions are implemented for the calculation of enthalpies of formation ( $\Delta H_{f 298}^{\circ}$ ) using computational methodology from CBS-APNO, CBS-4M, CBSQB3, M062X/6-31G+(d,p), $\omega$ B97X/6-31G+(d,p), B3-LYP/6-31G+(d,p), G-2, G-3, G-4 and Weizmann-1 (W1U). All calculations performed were accomplished using Gaussian 09 program suite ${ }^{18}$. Taking the following three isodesmic reactions Table 3.3 are selected to determine the $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f} 298}$ of the target molecule, fluoro-acetaldehyde, as an example.

Table 3.3 Isodesmic Reactions and Enthalpies of Formation for Fluoroacetaldehyde using $\varpi \mathrm{B} 97 \mathrm{x} / 6-31++\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ Methodology

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{298} \\ (\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Eqn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~F}$ |  |  |
| -252.956646* |  | -40.457381* |  | -153.738297* |  | -139.673045* | X |  |
| X |  | $-17.78^{103^{* *}}$ |  | $-39.70^{103 * *}$ |  | -56.30 ${ }^{104 * *}$ | -79.90 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH} 3$ |  |  |
| -252.956646* |  | -79.731404* |  | -153.738297* |  | -178.957686* | X |  |
| X |  | -20.03 ${ }^{103^{* *}}$ |  | -39.70 ${ }^{39 * *}$ |  | -65.42 ${ }^{\text {104** }}$ | -80.11 | 2 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | CHF(=O) |  |  |
| -252.956646* |  | -114.445571* |  | -153.738297* |  | -213.703787* | X |  |
| X |  | $-25.96^{103^{* *}}$ |  | $-39.70^{103 * *}$ |  | -91.60 ${ }^{104^{* *}}$ | -80.33 | 3 |

The good agreement between the 3-5 isodesmic reactions used in our calculations and the computational methods as well comparison to available literature supports the accuracy of our calculations. The overall average of fluoroacetaldehyde was observed
using the 11 methods discussed over the set of isodesmic reactions and was observed to be $-80.41 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. The methods averages for fluoroacetaldehyde are M06 (-80.12), M06$2 \mathrm{X}(-80.27), \mathrm{Wb} 97 \mathrm{x}(-80.11)$, B3-LYP (-80.18), CBS-APNO (-80.86), CBS-4M (-81.00), CBS-QB3 (-80.04), G-2 (-81.05), G-3 (-81.04), G-4 (-79.97) and W1U (-79.90) kcal mol ${ }^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$.

Entropies and heat capacities were determined using B3-LYP/6-31++G(d,p) optimized geometries and frequencies. Entropy and heat capacity values were calculated as a function of temperature from the optimized structures, moments of inertia, vibration frequencies, internal rotor potentials, symmetry, electron degeneracy, the number of optical isomers and the mass of each molecule. This calculation uses a standard formula from statistical mechanics for the contributions of translation, vibrations, and external rotation (TVR) using the SMCPS (Statistical Mechanics-Heat Capacity, and Entropy) program ${ }^{87}$. SMCPS utilizes the rigid- rotor-harmonic oscillator approximation from the frequencies, as well as moments of inertia from the optimized B3-LYP/6-31G++(d,p) level calculations. Contributions from internal rotors are calculated using the program Rotator are substituted for contributions from the corresponding internal rotor torsion frequencies. The Rotator program calculates thermodynamic functions from hindered rotations with arbitrary potentials based on the method developed by Krasnoperov, Lay, and Shokhirev ${ }^{90}$. The technique employs expansion of the hindrance potential in the fourier series, calculation of the Hamiltonian matrix in the subsequent calculate ion of energy levels by direct diagonalization barrier versus dihedral angle are fit by a cosine curve. In This study, the rotational potential calculated at discrete torsional angles is represented by a truncated tenparameter Fourier series (equation 1) of the following for:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}(\emptyset)=a_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{10}\left(a_{0} \cos (\mathrm{i} \varnothing)\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{10}\left(b_{\mathrm{j}} \cos (\mathrm{j} \varnothing)\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of the coefficients $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$ are calculated to provide minimum and maximum values of torsional potentials with the allowance for a shift of theoretical extreme angular positions. Vibrational frequencies for B3-LYP/6-31+G(d,p) are scaled by a factor of 0.964 in the calculation of standard entropy and heat capacity based on computational chemistry comparison and benchmark databases ${ }^{108}$.

Groups were developed for use in the Group Additivity (GA) method developed by Benson ${ }^{91}$, and comparisons are made between the values determined using the developed group values and the computational chemistry calculated $\Delta_{f} \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{298}, \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}(\mathrm{T})$, and $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{T})$ values. The GA method is based on knowledge of the contributions of representative groups in similar molecules and their properties showing linear consistency in thermochemical properties. Thermodynamic properties of larger species, such as those in this study, were accurately approximated based on the sum of smaller representative groups where there are corrections for rotors, symmetry, electron degeneracy, optical isomers, and gauche and other interactions are included.

The following groups were determined by averaging values of entropy and heat capacities of molecules that have the same group present:
$\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{F}, \quad \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 3, \quad \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2, \quad \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H}, \quad \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 2, \quad \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{F} 2 / \mathrm{H}$ and

## C/C/CO/F/H.

Calculated enthalpies formations and hydrocarbon molecule groups that have been published are used to solve for the unknown fluorocarbon groups where the molecules have hydrocarbon components. We show in this chapter that when a fluorine atom is present on
adjacent carbon atoms - Fluorine / Fluorine interaction terms needed to be developed, and included in the group additivity, to adjust the enthalpy values for the F/F repulsions.

### 3.4 Results and Discussion

Isodesmic work reactions are used to calculate the standard enthalpy of formation $\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ} \mathrm{f}\right.$ 298) for each target species at the CBS-APNO, CBS-4M CBS-QB3, M062X/6-13+(G(d,p), $\omega B 97 \mathrm{X} / 6-13+(\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}), \quad \mathrm{B} 3-L Y P / 6-13+(\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}), \mathrm{G}-2, \mathrm{G}-3, \mathrm{G}-4$ and Weizmann-1 (W1U) levels of theory. All of the species in the work reactions, except for the target compound, have standard well-established standard enthalpy of formation $\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}} 298\right) ;{ }^{83,120,121,168}$ these are listed in Table 3.3. In some cases, the work reactions incorporate an aldehyde compound that is analyzed in this study. The reference standard enthalpy of formation $\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ} \mathrm{f} 298\right)$ values in these cases are from literature values ${ }^{169-172}$ shown in Table 3.4. The work reactions are presented in Table 3.5. Reactions that are not shown in table 3.5 are located in Appendix B.

Table 3.4 Standard Enthalpies of Formation for Reference Species for Fluoroaldehydes

| Species | $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f} 298}\left(\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | $-17.78 \pm 0.10$ | 103 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $-20.03 \pm 0.10$ | 103 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~F}$ | $-56.30 \pm 0.24$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}$ | 104 |  |
| $\left.\mathrm{CHF}_{3}=\mathrm{O}\right)$ | $-65.42 \pm 1.11$ | 56 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-91.60 \pm 1.70$ | 103 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-25.96 \pm 0.12$ | 103 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ | $-39.70 \pm 0.12$ | 103 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}_{3} \mathrm{CH} 3$ | $-28.9 \pm 0.10$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $-70.24 \pm 1.30$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | $-120.87 \pm 1.62$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHFCH}_{3}$ | $-108.07 \pm 1.46$ | 104 |
|  | $-75.26 \pm 1.30$ |  |

Table 3.4 Standard Enthalpies of Formation for Reference Species for Fluoroaldehydes

| Species | $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f} 298}^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $-80.25 \pm 1.28$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $-133.25 \pm 1.65$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $-65.42 \pm 2.05$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-51.89 \pm 0.16$ | 9 |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{3}$ | $-166.71 \pm 1.97$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-44.36 \pm 0.19$ | 103 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-50.61 \pm 0.22$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-51.57 \pm 0.37$ | 105 |

Table 3.5 Isodesmic Work Reactions and Calculated $\Delta H^{\circ}{ }_{f 298}$ for Fluoroaldehydes

| CFC( $=0$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | mo62x | m06 | wb97x | b3plyp | $\begin{gathered} \text { CBS- } \\ \text { APNO } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CBS- } \\ \mathbf{4 M} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CBS- } \\ & \text { QB3 } \end{aligned}$ | G-2 | G-3 | G-4 | W1U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CFC(=O) | $+$ | CH4 | $=$ | $\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | CH3F | -79.7 | -79.91 | -79.9 | -79.5 | -80.04 | -81.08 | -80.64 | -80.74 | -80.71 | -80.66 | -80.02 |
| CFC( $=0$ ) | + | CC | $=$ | $\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | CFC | -80.2 | -80.08 | -80.11 | -79.94 | -80.68 | -81.18 | -80.45 | -81.34 | -81.33 | -80.89 | -80.28 |
| $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | $\mathrm{C}=0$ ) | $=$ | $\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -79.31 | -78.78 | -78.73 | -79.49 | -80.26 | -79.14 | -77.43 | -79.48 | -79.47 | -76.77 | -77.79 |
| CFCF(=0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CFCF(=O) | + | $\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -141.37 | -141.55 | -140.68 | -140.76 | -141.51 | -141.5 | -141.4 | -141.64 | -141.64 | -142.36 | -141.33 |
| CFCF $=0$ ) | + | CH4 | $=$ | $\operatorname{CCF}(=0)$ | $+$ | CH3F | -141.25 | -141.61 | -141.08 | -141.04 | -141.29 | -141.03 | -142.84 | -141.99 | -141.97 | -143.65 | -142.25 |
| CFCF(=0) | $+$ | CC | $=$ | $\mathrm{CCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | CFC | -141.74 | -141.78 | -141.3 | -141.48 | -141.92 | -141.12 | -142.65 | -142.59 | -142.58 | -143.88 | -142.5 |
| CF2C(=O) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF2C(=O) | $+$ | CFCC | $=$ | $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | CCCF2 | -129.25 | -128.81 | -129.32 | -129.58 | -129.36 | -127.14 | -129.96 | -129.57 | -129.58 | -130.23 | -129.86 |
| CF2C(=0) | $+$ | CFC | $=$ | $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | CCF2 | -129.06 | -128.76 | -129.13 | -129.39 | -129.18 | -127.57 | -129.74 | -129.45 | -129.46 | -130.11 | -129.66 |
| $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | CH3F | $=$ | $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | CH2F2 | -129.41 | -129.23 | -129.29 | -129.31 | -129.06 | -129.09 | -129.76 | -129.72 | -129.73 | -130.4 | -129.47 |
| CF2CF(=O) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | $\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | $\operatorname{CCF}(=0)$ | -188.28 | -188.54 | -187.96 | -188.15 | -187.86 | -185.88 | -188.68 | -188.58 | -188.58 | -190.47 | -188.92 |
| $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{CF}(=0)$ | + | CFC(=0) | $=$ | $\mathrm{CFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | CF2C(=0) | -187.06 | -187.16 | -187.11 | -186.94 | -186.95 | -186.26 | -186.81 | -187.66 | -187.65 | -187.82 | -187.02 |
| CF2CF(=0) | $+$ | $\mathrm{CC}(=0)$ |  | $\mathrm{CCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -188.28 | -188.54 | -187.96 | -188.15 | -187.86 | -185.88 | -188.68 | -188.58 | -188.58 | -190.47 | -188.92 |
| CF3CF(=O) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF3CF(=O) | + | CFC(=0) | $=$ | CF3C(=O) | $+$ | $\mathrm{CFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -243.14 | -243.38 | -243.23 | -243.03 | -242.93 | -241.99 | -243.17 | -243.81 | -243.81 | -244.24 | -243.25 |
| CF3CF(=O) | + | CF2C(=0) | $=$ | CF3C(=O) | $+$ | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -242.44 | -242.58 | -242.48 | -242.46 | -242.34 | -242.09 | -242.72 | -242.51 | -242.52 | -242.78 | -242.59 |
| $\mathrm{CF3CF}(=0)$ | + | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | CF3C(=0) | -245.91 | -246.05 | -245.95 | -245.92 | -245.81 | -245.56 | -246.19 | -245.98 | -245.98 | -246.25 | -246.06 |
| CF3C(=0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF3C(=O) | + | CH2F2 | $=$ | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | CHF3 | -185.16 | -185.2 | -184.76 | -184.64 | -184.34 | -185.06 | -184.8 | -184.48 | -184.47 | -185.69 | -184.82 |
| $\mathrm{CF} 3 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | CCF2 |  | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | CF3C | -184.97 | -185.03 | -184.84 | -185 | -184.48 | -183.4 | -185.34 | -184.6 | -184.59 | -185.88 | -185.39 |
| $\operatorname{CCF}(=0)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\operatorname{CCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | $\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  | $\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -104.19 | -104.2 | -103.86 | -103.59 | -104.63 | -105.91 | -103.56 | -104.76 | -104.75 | -103.74 | -103.47 |
| $\operatorname{CCF}(=0)$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  | $\mathrm{CC}(=0)$ | $+$ | CFCF $(=0)$ | -104.61 | -104.45 | -104.97 | -104.62 | -104.91 | -106.21 | -103.96 | -104.91 | -104.91 | -103.17 | -103.93 |

Table 3.5 Isodesmic Work Reactions and Calculated $\Delta H^{\circ}{ }_{f 298}$ for Fluoroaldehydes (continued)

| CFCC( $=0$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { mo62x } \\ -87.48 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\mathbf{m 0 6}}{-87.72}$ | wb97x$-87.64$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { b3plyp } \\ \hline-87.4 \end{gathered}$ | CBS- <br> APNO <br> -87.85 | CBS- <br> 4M <br> -89.41 | CBS- <br> QB3 <br> -88.44 | $\frac{\text { G-2 }}{-88.19}$ | $\frac{\text { G-3 }}{-88.16}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { G-4 } \\ \hline-88.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { W1U }}{-87.78}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CFCC( $=0$ ) | $+$ | CH4 | $=$ | $\mathrm{CCC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | CH3F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CFCC(=0) | + | CC | $=$ | $\operatorname{CCC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | CFC | -87.98 | -87.89 | -87.85 | -87.83 | -88.49 | -89.51 | -88.26 | -88.78 | -88.78 | -88.33 | -88.04 |
| CFCC(=0) | $+$ | $\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CCC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -86.00 | -86.03 | -85.96 | -86.11 | -86.03 | -86.55 | -86.02 | -85.66 | -85.66 | -85.66 | -85.98 |
| CFCFC(=0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CFCFC( $=0$ ) | + | $\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $=$ | CFCC( $=0$ ) | + | $\mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -129.36 | -128.77 | -128.54 | -129.4 | -129.6 | -128.72 | -127.83 | -129.14 | -129.13 | -127.86 | -128.11 |
| CFCFC( $=0$ ) | + | $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $=$ | CFCC( $=0$ ) | + | CFCF(=O) | -129.78 | -129.02 | -129.65 | -130.43 | -129.88 | -129.02 | -128.22 | -129.29 | -129.29 | -127.3 | -128.58 |
| CFCFC( $=0$ ) | $+$ | $\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $=$ | $\operatorname{CCCF}(=0)$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -130.24 | -129 | -129.1 | -131.06 | -130.97 | -127.82 | -127.47 | -129.2 | -129.2 | -126.31 | -128.18 |
| CFCFCF(=0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CFCFCF $(=0)$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $=$ | CFCFC(=0) | $+$ | $\mathrm{CFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -189.33 | -189.26 | -189.28 | -189.4 | -189.13 | -189.47 | -189.44 | -189.35 | -189.35 | -189.78 | -189.44 |
| CFCFCF (=O) | + | $\mathrm{CCC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | = | CFCFC( $=0$ ) | + | $\operatorname{CCCF}(=0)$ | -190.14 | -190.09 | -189.7 | -190.08 | -189.58 | -188.25 | -190.89 | -189.77 | -189.78 | -192.03 | -190.94 |
| CFCFCF (=O) | + | $\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | = | CFCFC(=0) | $+$ | $\mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -188.91 | -189.01 | -188.17 | -188.36 | -188.85 | -189.17 | -189.04 | -189.2 | -189.2 | -190.34 | -188.98 |
| CFCFCF $(=0)$ | + | $\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | = | $\operatorname{CFCFC}(=0)$ | $+$ | $\operatorname{CCF}(=0)$ | -188.96 | -189.05 | -188.55 | -189.02 | -188.46 | -187.5 | -189.72 | -188.68 | -188.69 | -190.85 | -189.75 |
| CF2CC(=O) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF2CC( $=0$ ) | + | CFCC | $=$ | CFCC( $=0$ ) | $+$ | CCCF2 | -140.98 | -140.92 | -140.97 | -140.97 | -141.03 | -140.73 | -141.26 | -141.12 | -141.12 | -141.48 | -141.19 |
| CF2CC( $=0$ ) | + | CFC | $=$ | $\mathrm{CFCC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | CF2C | -140.79 | -140.88 | -140.78 | -140.78 | -140.84 | -141.16 | -141.05 | -141.01 | -141 | -141.36 | -140.99 |
| CF2CC( $=0$ ) | + | CH3F | $=$ | CFCC( $=0$ ) | $+$ | CH2F2 | -141.14 | -141.34 | -140.93 | -140.71 | -140.73 | -142.68 | -141.07 | -141.28 | -141.27 | -141.64 | -140.8 |
| CF2CC( $=0$ ) | + | $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $=$ | CFCC( $=0$ ) | $+$ | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -141.23 | -141.61 | -141.15 | -140.89 | -141.16 | -143.09 | -140.8 | -141.05 | -141.04 | -140.74 | -140.83 |
| CF2CC( $=0$ ) | + | CFCF(=0) | $=$ | CFCC(=0) | $+$ | CF2CF(=O) | -140.52 | -140.81 | -140.4 | -140.31 | -140.57 | -143.19 | -140.35 | -139.75 | -139.75 | -139.29 | -140.16 |
| $\text { CF2CFC }=0 \text { ) }$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF2CFC(=O) | + | $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $=$ | CFCFC(=O) | + | CF2C(=O) | -180.11 | -180.63 | -179.84 | -179.48 | -180.18 | -181.02 | -179.46 | -180.64 | -180.63 | -180.35 | -179.65 |
| CF2CFC(=O) | + | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $=$ | CFCFC(=0) | $+$ | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -178.7 | -177.72 | -178.08 | -178.12 | -179.56 | -180.16 | -177.33 | -179.79 | -179.8 | -176.96 | -177.21 |
| CF2CFC( $=0$ ) | $+$ | CFCC | $=$ | CFCFC(=0) | $+$ | CCCF2 | -179.86 | -179.94 | -179.66 | -179.56 | -180.05 | -178.66 | -179.92 | -180.71 | -180.71 | -181.08 | -180.01 |
| CF2CFCF(=O) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{CFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -239.17 | -238.82 | -239.16 | -239.34 | -239.12 | -239.65 | -239.74 | -239.68 | -239.68 | -240.2 | -239.5 |
| $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{CFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{CFC}(=0)$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -238.47 | -238.02 | -238.41 | -238.76 | -238.53 | -239.75 | -239.29 | -238.38 | -238.39 | -238.74 | -238.74 |
| CF2CFCF( $=0$ ) | $+$ | CFCFC(=0) | $=$ | CF2CFC(=0) | $+$ | CFCFCF $(=0)$ | -238.86 | -238.58 | -238.9 | -238.96 | -239.01 | -239.21 | -239.33 | -239.35 | -239.35 | -239.44 | -239.08 |

Table 3.5 Isodesmic Work Reactions and Calculated $\Delta H_{f 298}^{\circ}$ for Fluoroaldehydes (continued)

| CF3CC( $=0$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\operatorname{mo6} \mathbf{x}}{-198.97}$ | $\frac{\mathbf{m 0 6}}{-199.63}$ | $\frac{\text { wb97x }}{-198.75}$ | b3plyp <br> -198.6 | CBS-APNO-198.19 | CBS-$\mathbf{4 M}$ | CBS-QB3-198.32 | $\frac{\mathbf{G - 2}}{-198.41}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { G-3 } \\ \hline-198.41 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\mathbf{G - 4}}{-198.93}$ | $\frac{\text { W1U }}{-198.4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CF3CC( $=0$ ) | $+$ | CH2F2 |  | CF2CC(=0) | $+$ | CHF3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF3CC(=O) | + | CF2C(=O) |  | CF2CC(=O) | + | CF3C(=O) | -198.68 | -199.3 | -198.85 | -198.82 | -198.71 | -199.61 | -198.38 | -198.79 | -198.8 | -198.1 | -198.44 |
| CF3CFC( $=0$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF3CFC $(=0)$ | $+$ | CF2CC( $=0$ ) |  | CF3CC(=O) | + | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -216.17 | -215.24 | -215.85 | -215.97 | -216.19 | -216.06 | -216.47 | -216.49 | -216.48 | -216.85 | -216.26 |
| CF3CFC( $=0$ ) | + | CFCC( $=0$ ) |  | CF3CC(=O) | + | CFCFC( $=0$ ) | -216.48 | -215.7 | -215.98 | -215.99 | -216.64 | -215.42 | -216.56 | -217.5 | -217.51 | -217.89 | -216.51 |
| CF3CFC( $=0$ ) | + | $\operatorname{CCC}(=0)$ |  | CF3CC(=0) | + | CCFC(=0) | -217.49 | -215.91 | -216.76 | -216.87 | -217.38 | -215.83 | -217.9 | -218.4 | -218.4 | -219.98 | -217.91 |
| CCFC(=0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCFC( $=0$ ) | $+$ | CH4 |  | CCC(=O) | $+$ | CH3F | -89.17 | -90.1 | -89.35 | -88.95 | -89.05 | -90.68 | -90.12 | -89.93 | -89.9 | -90.09 | -89.36 |
| CCFC(=0) | $+$ | CC |  | $\mathrm{CCC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | CFC | -89.67 | -90.27 | -89.57 | -89.38 | -89.68 | -90.78 | -89.94 | -90.53 | -90.52 | -90.32 | -89.62 |
| CCFC(=0) | + | CFCC(=0) |  | $\mathrm{CCC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | CFCFC(=0) | -88.37 | -89.16 | -88.59 | -88.49 | -88.63 | -88.97 | -88.04 | -88.48 | -88.48 | -87.28 | -87.98 |
| CCFCF(=0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\operatorname{CCFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CCC}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  | $\operatorname{CCFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | $\operatorname{CCCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -151.67 | -150.87 | -151.3 | -151.62 | -151.4 | -150.46 | -152.32 | -151.54 | -151.55 | -153.18 | -152.27 |
| $\operatorname{CCFCF}(=0)$ | + | CF2C(=O) |  | $\operatorname{CCFC}(=0)$ | + | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -150.16 | -149.25 | -150.13 | -150.36 | -150.36 | -151.78 | -150.4 | -149.82 | -149.82 | -149.48 | -150.11 |
| $\operatorname{CCFCF}(=0)$ | + | $\mathrm{CC}(=0)$ |  | $\operatorname{CCFC}(=0)$ | + | $\operatorname{CCF}(=0)$ | -150.49 | -149.84 | -150.14 | -150.56 | -150.28 | -149.71 | -151.14 | -150.45 | -150.46 | -152.01 | -151.08 |
| $\operatorname{CCFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | CFCFC(=O) |  | $\operatorname{CCFC}(=0)$ | $+$ | CFCFCF(=0) | -150.55 | -149.81 | -150.62 | -150.56 | -150.84 | -151.24 | -150.44 | -150.79 | -150.79 | -150.18 | -150.35 |
| CCF2C(=0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCF2C(=O) | + | CH3F |  | $\operatorname{CCFC}(=0)$ | + | CH2F2 | -143.01 | -142.73 | -142.74 | -142.43 | -142.19 | -143.92 | -142.92 | -142.88 | -142.88 | -143.58 | -142.42 |
| CCF2C(=O) | + | ccfc |  | $\operatorname{CCFC}(=0)$ | + | ccf2c | -142.68 | -141.86 | -142.73 | -142.96 | -142.65 | -141.43 | -143.4 | -142.77 | -142.77 | -143.56 | -143.18 |
| CFCF2C(=O) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CFCF2C(=0) | + | CH3F |  | CFCFC(=0) | + | CH2F2 | -179.71 | -180 | -179.24 | -178.8 | -179.18 | -180.9 | -179.58 | -180.58 | -180.58 | -180.91 | -178.96 |
| CFCF2C(=O) | + | ccfc |  | CFCFC(=O) | + | ccf2c | -179.38 | -179.13 | -179.23 | -179.33 | -179.65 | -178.41 | -180.06 | -180.47 | -180.47 | -180.89 | -179.72 |
| CFCF2C(=0) | + | $\mathrm{CCC}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  | CCF2C(=0) | + | $\mathrm{CFCC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -180.5 | -180.3 | -180.09 | -180.06 | -180.55 | -180.2 | -180.81 | -181.4 | -181.4 | -182.23 | -180.74 |
| CFCF2CF(=O) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CFCF2CF(=O) | $+$ | CFC( $=0$ ) |  | $\operatorname{CFCFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -237.8 | -236.88 | -237.1 | -236.73 | -238.29 | -239.63 | -236.35 | -239.99 | -239.99 | -237.28 | -235.82 |
| CFCF2CF(=0) | $+$ | CF2C(=0) |  | $\operatorname{CFCFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{CF}(=0)$ | -236.55 | -235.53 | -235.8 | -235.6 | -237.16 | -239.18 | -235.35 | -238.15 | -238.15 | -235.28 | -234.61 |
| CFCF2CF(=O) | + | $\mathrm{CC}(=0)$ |  | CFCFCF(=0) | $+$ | $\operatorname{CCF}(=0)$ | -237.43 | -236.67 | -236.37 | -236.35 | -237.62 | -237.66 | -236.63 | -239.33 | -239.33 | -238.35 | -236.13 |
| CFCF2CF(=O) | $+$ | $\operatorname{CCC}(=0)$ |  | CFCFCF(=0) | $+$ | $\mathrm{CFCC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -237.73 | -237.48 | -236.96 | -235.75 | -237.38 | -239.31 | -238.16 | -240.36 | -240.36 | -241.08 | -237.24 |

Each of the fluorinated compounds are analyzed using two to five isodesmic work reactions where the reference species reacts and yields a molecule with the number of each bond types conserved in products and reactants. This leads to the cancellation of systematic errors in the molecular orbital calculations ${ }^{109}$.

Optimized structure parameters, symmetry values, moments of inertia, vibrational frequencies, internal rotor potentials, entropies, and heat capacities for each species from the B3-LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory are presented in Appendix B.

### 3.4.1 Heat of Formation $\Delta H^{\circ}{ }_{f 298}$

A summary of the standard enthalpy of formation $\left(\Delta H_{f}^{\circ} 298\right)$ averages from DFT and composite methods for species from work reactions illustrated in Table 3.3 are summarized in Table 3.4. Evaluation of the error for these standard enthalpies of formation are provided in several ways. Table 3.4 list the average reference species uncertainty when available, along with the standard deviation from the calculated standard enthalpy of formation ( $\Delta H^{\circ}{ }_{f}$ 298) values from the computational methodology utilized in work reactions. The resulting standard deviations from the reactions show an average standard deviation of 0.96 kcal mole ${ }^{-1}$. These standard deviations coincide with the average standard deviations, on a per work reaction basis determined in Table 3.3. Values in Table 3.3 are recommended for evaluation of accuracy and note that the uncertainty in the reference species also needs to be considered.

On the basis of the correlations in these different techniques, error values are provided in Table 3.6 using the standard deviation from the individual calculated standard enthalpy of formation $\left(\Delta H^{\circ} f_{298}\right)$ values.

Table 3.6 Summary of Average $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ} f 298$ and Literature Values ${ }^{\text {a }}$ for Fluoroaldehydes

| Species | Calculated Enthalpy of Formation (kcal mole ${ }^{-1}$ ) | Literature Values (kcal mole ${ }^{-1}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-80.4 \pm 0.7$ | $-60.17{ }^{109},-80.2^{56}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-143.6 \pm 0.7$ | $-143.6{ }^{56}$ |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-130.0 \pm 0.6$ | $-83.80{ }^{109},-130.0^{56}$ |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-190.1 \pm 1.0$ | $-190.2{ }^{56}$ |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-185.4 \pm 0.5$ | $-107.43{ }^{109}, 186.5{ }^{56}$ |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-245.8 \pm 1.4$ | $-239.30^{111},-246.3^{56}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-105.8 \pm 0.7$ | $-106.7 \pm 0.2^{112},-106.4{ }^{113},-105.8^{56}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-87.4 \pm 1.2$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCHFCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-130.6 \pm 1.1$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCHFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-192.3 \pm 0.7$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-141.1 \pm 0.6$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CHFCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-181.8 \pm 0.8$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CHFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-242.8 \pm 0.4$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-181.8 \pm 0.8$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CHFCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-220.4 \pm 0.8$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CHFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-282.7 \pm 2.2$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHFCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-89.9 \pm 0.5$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-152.6 \pm 0.6$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-143.4 \pm 0.6$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-181.4 \pm 0.7$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-242.0 \pm 1.4$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-230.7 \pm 0.6$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-289.9 \pm 1.5$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-330.5 \pm 2.6$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-344.7 \pm 2.0$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-203.9 \pm 0.5$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-110.7 \pm 1.2$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-153.1 \pm 0.8$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-206.7 \pm 1.2$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-262.5 \pm 0.6$ | This work |
| CHFCH $=0$ ) | $-45.3 \pm 1.6$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-60.1 \pm 0.7$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-40.9 \pm 2.2$ | $-41.9^{56}$ |
| CHFCF( $=0$ ) | $-104.1 \pm 1.7$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-92.6 \pm 2.5$ | This work |

${ }^{a}$ Units kcal mole ${ }^{-1}$. Error is standard deviation from work reaction table; see also table 3.2 for reference species uncertainties.

Table 3.6 Summary of Average $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ} f 298$ and Literature Values ${ }^{\text {a }}$ for Fluoroaldehydes (Continued)

| Species | Calculated Enthalpy of <br> Formation $\left(\mathbf{k c a l}\right.$ mole $\left.^{-1}\right)$ | Literature Values <br> $($ kcal mole |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-89.3 \pm 2.2$ | $-90.3^{56}$ |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-148.5 \pm 2.9$ | This work |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-140.6 \pm 0.9$ | $-145.6^{56}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Units kcal mole ${ }^{-1}$. Error is standard deviation from work reaction table; see also table 3.2 for reference species uncertainties.

These data demonstrate good agreement for the $\Delta H^{\circ} f 298$ values between the DFT and the higher level methods, which results from the use of work reactions. The average difference in species comparable to literature values is reported as $0.31 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$, with the maximum difference being $1.11 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$. Good agreement from the computational methods and work reactions utilized in this segment provide acceptable values.

## Trends in the enthalpy change when a fluorine atom is substituted for a hydrogen

 atom.The replacement of a hydrogen atom on the methyl group of acetaldehyde, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{O}$, with a fluorine atom, results in a decrease in standard enthalpy of $40 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. A second and a third fluorine atom substitution on this methyl group decrease the enthalpy by 50 and $55 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, respectively.

Alkane fluorocarbons $\Delta \Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{H}$ atom F atom: ch3ch3 => ch2fch $3=>\operatorname{chf} 2 \operatorname{ch} 3=>$ cf3ch3 Aldehyde $=>$ acetyl fluoride $\Delta \Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H} \quad$ ch3cho $=>$ ch3fcho $=>$ chf2cho $=>$ cf3cho

$$
-40 \quad-50
$$

The above trend shows that the addition of an fluorine atom to the carbonyl oxygen group results in a near consistent $\sim 5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ smaller lowering of enthalpy per fluorine for hydrogen substitution, relative to a fluorine atom substitution on an alkane carbon. In substitution of a fluorine atom for a hydrogen on the carbonyl $(\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}))$ carbon: the enthalpy
of formation decrease is $66.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ from the acetyl aldehyde to acetyl fluoride. From fluoroaldehyde to fluoroacetyl fluoride the enthalpy of formation decrease is $63.2 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$; the enthalpy of formation decreases $60.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ from difluoroaldehyde to difluoroacetyl fluoride; (vi) from trifluoroaldehyde to trifluoroacetyl fluoride, the enthalpy of formation decreases $60.4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { ch3cho } \Rightarrow> & \text { ch3cfo }=> \\
& \text { ch2fcfo }=>\text { chf2cfo }=>\text { cf3cfo } \\
-66.1 & -63.2 \quad-60.1 \quad-60.4 \text { Changes in enthalpy }
\end{aligned}
$$

Changes in enthalpy for substitution of fluorines on the methyl group of propanol ch3ch2cho $=>$ ch2fch2cho $=>$ chf2ch2cho $=>$ cf3ch2cho

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
-53.6 & -53.6 & -57.8 \quad \text { Changes in enthalpy }
\end{array}
$$

Table 3.7 shows the trends in enthalpy change when a fluorine atom is substituted for a hydrogen atom in the noted reactions. The remaining calculations of changes in enthalpy for substitution of fluorines on aldehyde species is located in Appendix B.

Table 3.7 Trends in Enthalpy Change when a Fluorine Atom in Substituted for a Hydrogen Atom in the Noted Reactions


Table 3.7 Trends in Enthalpy Change when a Fluorine Atom is Substituted for a Hydrogen Atom in the Noted Reactions (Continued)

|  | ch3chfcho | => | ch2fchfcho | => | chf 2 chfcho | => | cf3chfcho |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathbf{0}}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ 98 |  | -40.7 |  | -51.2 |  | -38.6 |  |  |  |
|  | ch3chfch3 | => | ch2fchfch3 | => | chf2chfch3 | => | cf3chfch3 |  |  |
| $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{0}}{ }^{\mathbf{2 9 8}}$ |  | -34.5 |  | -54.9 |  | -56.4 |  |  |  |
|  | ch3chfco | => | ch3chfcfo | => | ch2fchfcfo | => | chf2chfcfo | => | cf3chfcfo |
| $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathbf{0}}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ 98 |  | -62.7 |  | -39.7 |  | -50.5 |  | -39.9 |  |
|  | ch3cf2ch3 | => | ch2fcf2ch3 | => | chf2cf2ch3 | => | cf3cf2ch3 |  |  |
| $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{0}}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | -41.8 |  | -53.0 |  | -51.2 |  |  |  |
|  | ch3cf2cho | => | ch2fcf2cho | => | chf2chfcho | => | cf3chfcho |  |  |
| $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{0}}{ }_{298}$ |  | -38.4 |  | -49.3 |  | -99.8 |  |  |  |
|  | ch3cf2cho | => | ch3cf2cfo | => | ch2fcf2cfo | => | chf2chfcfo | => | cf3chfcfo |
| $\Delta_{\text {f }} \mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{2 9 8}$ |  | -60.9 |  | -38.1 |  | -47.7 |  | -54.8 |  |

### 3.4.2 Internal Rotors

Potential energy curves for internal rotations within the parent and radical species are calculated using the B3-LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory. Relaxed scans at 10 degree intervals are used to determine the lowest energy geometries. If a lower energy conformation is found, previous scans are re-run to insure the lowest energy conformation is located. These potential energy curves are also used to determine entropy and heat capacity internal rotational contributions.

All of the parent ketone terminal methyl (not adjacent to the carbonyl) groups, exhibit three-fold symmetry with energy barriers between 2-3 kcal mol-1. The three-fold barriers are 0.5 kcal mol-1 for methyl rotations adjacent to the carbonyl group. Upon radical formation at the methyl site, there is a decrease to two-fold symmetry and a decrease in the barrier energy ranging from below 0.1 to 3.0 kcal mol-1 except for the groups adjacent to the carbonyl. Radical sites adjacent to the carbonyl group, regardless of primary,
secondary, or tertiary location, have energy barriers over 10 kcal mol-1 upon radical formation resulting from the resonance with the carbonyl group.

### 3.4.3 Entropies ( $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{T})$ ) and Heat Capacities $\left(\mathrm{C}_{p}(\mathbf{T})\right.$ )

Contributions from each species translations, vibrational frequencies, and external rotations, represented as TVR, are calculated using the rigid-rotor harmonic-oscillator approximation SMCPS ${ }^{87}$ code with the zero-point vibration energies (ZPVE) scaled by 0.9806 for B3-LYP/6-31G(d,p) as recommended by Scott and Radom ${ }^{114}$.

The contributions from internal rotations, represented by IR, are determined using the calculated potential energy rotational barriers, moments of inertia for each group in the rotor, and barrier foldness. Internal rotor torsion frequencies, including terminal methyl groups, are identified using visual inspection in GaussView and removed from vibration contribution. In cases where identification of a frequency is uncertain due to coupling to other motions, the lower frequency is selected. These are replaced with entropy and heat capacity contributions from the Pitzer and Gwinn method for hindered rotor analysis. Use of the Pitzer and Gwinn methods is described in detail ${ }^{90}$. Complete entropy and heat capacity values from the $\mathrm{B} 3-\mathrm{LYP} / 6-31++\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ geometries and frequencies are listed in Supporting Information, section S6. TVR represents the sum of the translation, vibration and rotational contributions; IR indicates the contribution from hindered internal rotations, which replace the contribution calculated from reported torsion frequency for the internal rotor(s) in the TVR heat capacity and entropy data.

Table 3.8 illustrates results from several different models for inclusion of internal rotor versus torsion frequency contributions; it also provides comparison with experimental data for the species where it is available. Values from the group additivity (GA) method are also included which coincide well with data observed in this study and literature data providing some support for considering the GA data as reference in the comparisons.

Table 3.8 Entropy and Heat Capacities for Fluoroaldehyde Species for Fluoroaldehydes

| Species | $\mathbf{S}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$ | CP300 | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {P }} 400$ | C ${ }_{\text {P }} 500$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}} 600$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}} 800$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {P }} 1000$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\text {P }} 1500$ | Rotors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CFC(=0) | 65.61 | 14.27 | 16.88 | 19.44 | 21.71 | 25.36 | 28.05 | 32.14 |  |
|  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
|  | 65.61 | 14.27 | 16.88 | 19.44 | 21.71 | 25.36 | 28.05 | 32.14 |  |
| CFCF(=O) | 68.42 | 15.97 | 18.90 | 21.55 | 23.79 | 27.24 | 29.65 | 33.17 |  |
|  | 7.56 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 75.98 | 16.96 | 19.89 | 22.54 | 24.78 | 28.23 | 30.64 | 34.16 |  |
| CF2C(=O) | 69.36 | 16.25 | 19.07 | 21.66 | 23.89 | 27.33 | 29.74 | 33.23 |  |
|  | 8.66 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.96 |  |
|  | 78.02 | 17.24 | 20.06 | 22.65 | 24.88 | 28.32 | 30.73 | 34.19 |  |
| CF2CF(=O) | 73.55 | 18.00 | 21.10 | 23.78 | 26.00 | 29.23 | 31.37 | 34.28 |  |
|  | 7.88 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 81.43 | 18.99 | 22.09 | 24.77 | 26.99 | 30.22 | 32.36 | 35.27 |  |
| CF3CF(=O) | 76.10 | 20.48 | 23.90 | 26.61 | 28.72 | 31.63 | 33.41 | 35.59 |  |
|  | 8.01 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 84.11 | 21.47 | 24.89 | 27.60 | 29.71 | 32.62 | 34.40 | 36.58 |  |
| CF3C(=O) | 72.27 | 18.55 | 21.73 | 24.39 | 26.54 | 29.67 | 31.73 | $34.51$ |  |
|  | $7.29$ | $0.99$ | $0.99$ | $0.99$ | $0.99$ | $0.99$ | $0.99$ | $0.99$ |  |
|  | 79.56 | 19.54 | 22.72 | 25.38 | 27.53 | 30.66 | 32.72 | 35.50 |  |
| $\operatorname{CCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | 64.41 | 14.43 | 17.20 | 19.75 | 21.97 | 25.50 | 28.10 | 32.13 |  |
|  | 5.71 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 70.12 | 15.42 | 18.19 | 20.74 | 22.96 | 26.49 | 29.09 | 33.12 |  |
| CFCC(=O) | 71.75 | 18.61 | 22.72 | 26.74 | 30.31 | 36.02 | 40.24 | 46.70 |  |
|  | 7.90 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 7.21 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 86.86 | 20.60 | 24.71 | 28.73 | 32.30 | 38.01 | 42.23 | 48.69 |  |
| CFCFC(=O) | 76.38 | 21.00 | 25.23 | 29.23 | 32.71 | 38.14 | 42.03 | 47.83 |  |
|  | 7.90 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 7.32 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | $0.99$ | $0.99$ | $0.99$ | $0.99$ |  |
|  | 91.60 | 22.99 | 27.22 | 31.22 | 34.70 | 40.13 | 44.02 | 49.82 |  |

${ }^{a}$ Units cal mol-1 K-1. ${ }^{b}$ No rotors. Use of torsion frequencies for rotor contributions without reduction (correction) in entropy for equivalent hydrogen atoms in CH 3 groups. ${ }^{c}$ Only methyl rotors. ${ }^{d}$ All internal rotors.

Table 3.8 Entropy and Heat Capacities for Fluoroaldehyde Species (continued)

| Species | $\mathrm{S}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$ | CP 300 | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}} 400$ | CP 500 | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}} 600$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}} 800$ | CP 1000 | C 1500 | Rotors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CFCFCF $=0$ ) | 79.96 | 22.87 | 27.36 | 31.42 | 34.85 | 40.06 | 43.67 | 48.88 |  |
|  | 8.02 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 8.05 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 96.03 | 24.86 | 29.35 | 33.41 | 36.84 | 42.05 | 45.66 | 50.87 |  |
| CF2CC(=O) | 75.57 | 20.67 | 25.07 | 29.16 | 32.68 | 38.15 | 42.05 | 47.85 |  |
|  | 8.34 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 7.34 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 91.26 | 22.66 | 27.06 | 31.15 | 34.67 | 40.14 | 44.04 | 49.83 |  |
| CF2CFC(=O) | 80.08 | 23.04 | 27.51 | 31.57 | 35.01 | 40.22 | 43.82 | 48.98 |  |
|  | 8.41 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 7.39 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 95.88 | 25.03 | 29.50 | 33.56 | 37.00 | 42.21 | 45.81 | 50.96 |  |
| CF2CFCF(=O) | 84.18 | 25.02 | 29.70 | 33.79 | 37.18 | 42.15 | 45.46 | 50.04 |  |
|  | 8.53 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.98 |  |
|  | 8.77 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.93 |  |
|  | 101.48 | 27.01 | 31.69 | 35.78 | 39.17 | 44.14 | 47.44 | 51.95 |  |
| CF3CC(=O) | 79.72 | 23.20 | 27.91 | 32.02 | 35.44 | 40.56 | 44.08 | 49.14 |  |
|  | 7.38 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 8.32 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 95.42 | 25.19 | 29.90 | 34.01 | 37.43 | 42.55 | 46.07 | 51.12 |  |
| CF3CFC(=O) | 83.52 | 25.59 | 30.35 | 34.42 | 37.75 | 42.62 | 45.84 | 50.27 |  |
|  | 7.41 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | $8.62$ | $0.99$ | $0.99$ | $0.99$ | $0.99$ | $0.99$ | $0.99$ | $0.97$ |  |
|  | 99.55 | 27.58 | 32.34 | 36.41 | 39.74 | 44.61 | 47.83 | 52.23 |  |
| CF3CFCF(=O) | 86.35 | 27.40 | 32.44 | 36.58 | 39.88 | 44.53 | 47.49 | 51.33 |  |
|  | 8.77 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.94 |  |
|  | 8.27 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 103.39 | 29.39 | 34.43 | 38.57 | 41.87 | 46.52 | 49.47 | 53.26 |  |

${ }^{a}$ Units cal mol-1 K-1. ${ }^{b}$ No rotors. Use of torsion frequencies for rotor contributions without reduction (correction) in entropy for equivalent hydrogen atoms in CH 3 groups. ${ }^{c}$ Only methyl rotors. ${ }^{d}$ All internal rotors.

Table 3.8 Entropy and Heat Capacities for Fluoroaldehyde Species (continued)

| Species | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{2 9 8}}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{1 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{1 5 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rotors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCFC(=O) | 72.41 | 19.44 | 23.53 | 27.42 | 30.86 | 36.39 | 40.48 | 46.81 |
|  | 5.76 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
|  | 7.25 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
|  | $\mathbf{8 5 . 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 . 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 9 . 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 8 . 3 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 8 . 8 0}$ |
| CCFCF(=O) | 75.02 | 21.23 | 25.60 | 29.58 | 32.99 | 38.29 | 42.11 | 47.84 |
|  | 5.76 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
|  | 7.91 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
|  | $\mathbf{8 8 . 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 5 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 . 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 9 . 8 3}$ |
| CCF2C(=O) | 75.09 | 21.99 | 26.33 | 30.21 | 33.53 | 38.69 | 42.41 | 48.01 |
|  | 5.76 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
|  | 7.30 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
|  | $\mathbf{8 8 . 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 . 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 . 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 2 . 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 6 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 . 0 0}$ |
| CFCF2C(=O) | 78.81 | 23.64 | 28.07 | 32.02 | 35.37 | 40.44 | 43.96 | 49.04 |
|  | 8.07 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
|  | 7.85 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
| CFCF2CF |  | $\mathbf{9 4 . 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 . 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 . 3 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 2 . 4 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 . 9 5}$ |
|  | 83.24 | 25.51 | 30.22 | 34.24 | 37.55 | 42.39 | 45.63 | 50.11 |
|  | 8.21 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |

${ }^{a}$ Units cal mol-1 K-1. ${ }^{b}$ No rotors. Use of torsion frequencies for rotor contributions without reduction (correction) in entropy for equivalent hydrogen atoms in CH 3 groups. ${ }^{c}$ Only methyl rotors. ${ }^{d}$ All internal rotors.

### 3.4.4 Group Additivity (GA)

In this section we attempt to develop Benson Group Additivity values for the C2 and C3 fluorinated aldehydes for estimation of the standard enthalpy, entropy and heat capacity values versus temperature. Benson Group Additivity is based on the knowledge of the contributions of representative groups in similar molecules and the experimentally observed linear consistency in thermochemical properties such as heat capacity, entropy and enthalpy values with addition of different hydrocarbon groups.

Group values are initially determined when fluorines are added to only one of the carbons atoms in the acetaldehyde and in propanal in order to circumvent energy effects from fluorine / fluorine interactions on adjacent carbons. For example: the $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{co} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{f}$ and $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{co} / \mathrm{f} 2$ groups are determined from ch3chfcho and ch3cf2cho respectively; the c/c/h2/f and $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{f} 2$ and $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{f} 3$ are determined from $\mathrm{ch} 2 \mathrm{fch} 2 \mathrm{ch}=\mathrm{o}, \operatorname{chf} 2 \mathrm{ch} 2 \mathrm{ch}=\mathrm{o}$ and $\mathrm{cf} 3 \mathrm{ch} 2 \mathrm{ch}=\mathrm{o}$ respectively.

The $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{F}(-\mathrm{CF}=\mathrm{O})$ group is developed from $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CF}=\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{CF}=\mathrm{O}$
Thermochemical data were developed for the following groups $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{F}$, $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 2 / \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 3, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 2$ and $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H}$.

These groups can then be used to estimate properties of larger mono-fluorohydrocarbon aldehydes.

## Fluoroacetaldehyde CH2FCH(=O)

Groups for group additivity contributions to mono fluoroacetaldehyde are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}(=\mathrm{O})=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2+\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{H} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fluoroacetaldehyde $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}(=\mathrm{O})\right)$ consists of two groups: $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2$ and $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{H}$.

The contribution of carbonyl group $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{H}$ is taken from known hydrocarbon aldehydes and has a value of $-29.10 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}{ }^{-191}$.

The group $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2$ is not reported in the literature and is determined in this study using our calculated value of the standard enthalpy of formation for $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ above in Equation 4.

$$
\begin{align*}
&-80.41=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2+-29.10 \quad \text { units: } \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}-  \tag{3.5}\\
& \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2=-80.41-(-29.10)  \tag{3.6}\\
& \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2=-51.31 \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

Difluoroacetaldehyde $\left(\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})\right)$, enthalpy of formation of the $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 2 / \mathrm{H}$ group.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 2 / \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{H}  \tag{3.8}\\
-130.03=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 2 / \mathrm{H}+(-10.08)  \tag{3.9}\\
\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 2 / \mathrm{H}=-100.93 \tag{3.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Trifluoroacetaldehyde $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})\right.$ ), enthalpy of formation of the $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 3$ group.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 3+\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{H}  \tag{3.11}\\
-185.39=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 3+(-10.08) \tag{3.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 3=-156.29$

## Fluorine on the carbonyl group - acetylfluoride $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})\right.$ )

The example below utilizes our calculated enthalpy of formation of acetylfluoride $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})\right)$, (-105.84) and the enthalpy of formation for the $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{H} 3$ group from the oxygenated hydrocarbon aldehyde and ketone literature, to determine the values for the enthalpy of formation of the $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{F}$ group.

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{H} 3+\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{F}  \tag{3.14}\\
-105.84=(-10.08)+\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{F}  \tag{3.15}\\
\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{F}=-95.76 \tag{3.16}
\end{gather*}
$$

A similar process is used for entropy and heat capacity values. It is important to note at this point that the groups derived above are only useful for fluoro acetaldehydes and fluoropropanals where fluorine(s) are only on one of the carbon atoms in the two or three carbon species. Group additivity will be shown (below) to require additional terms
(non next neighbor interaction terms) when fluorine atoms are on more than 1 of the carbon atoms in the C 2 and C 3 aldehydes.

Standard molar enthalpy, entropy values and heat capacity values for the fluoroaldehydes in this study are listed in Table 3.8. These values are used to determine the Group Additivity values for the groups listed below. Each target group was determined in a manner similar to the $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{F}$ and $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2$ examples, where there are fluorine atoms only on one of the carbons in the molecule. There are no fluorine atoms on adjacent or on other carbons. Table 3.9 lists the Benson type groups in each of the 30 species in this study.

Table 3.9 Groups for Fluorinated Aldehydes

| Molecule | CFC(=O) | CFCF(=O) | CF2C(=O) | CF2CF(=O) | CF3CF(=O) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group 1 | C/CO/F/H2 | C/CO/F/H2 | C/CO/F2/H | C/CO/F2/H | C/CO/F3 |
| Group 2 | CO/C/H | CO/C/F | CO/C/H | CO/C/F | CO/C/F |
| Group 3 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Molecule | CF3C(=O) | $\mathrm{CCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | CFCC(=O) | CFCFC(=O) | CFCFCF(=O) |
| Group 1 | C/CO/F3 | C/CO/H3 | C/C/F/H2 | C/C/F/H2 | C/C/F/H2 |
| Group 2 | CO/C/H | CO/C/F | C/C/CO/H2 | C/C/CO/F/H | C/C/CO/F/H |
| Group 3 | - | - | CO/C/H | CO/C/H | CO/C/F |
| Molecule | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | CF2CFC(=0) | CF2CFCF(=0) | CF3CC(=0) | CF3CFC(=0) |
| Group 1 | C/C/F/H2 | C/C/F2/H | C/C/F2/H | C/C/F3 | C/C/F3 |
| Group 2 | C/C/CO/F/H | C/C/CO/F/H | C/C/CO/F/H | C/C/CO/H2 | C/C/CO/F/H |
| Molecule | CF3CFCF(=0) | CCFC $(=0)$ | $\operatorname{CCFCF}(=0)$ | CCF2C(=0) | CFCF2C(=O) |
| Group 1 | C/C/F3 | C/C/H3 | C/C/H3 | C/C/H3 | C/C/F/H2 |
| Group 2 | C/C/CO/F/H | C/C/CO/F/H | C/C/CO/F/H | C/C/CO/F2 | C/C/CO/F2 |
| Group 3 | CO/C/H | CO/C/H | CO/C/F | CO/C/H | $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{H}$ |
| Molecule | CFCF2CF(=O) | CF2CF2CF(=O) | CF3CF2CF(=O) | CF3CF2C(=O) | CCF2CF(=O) |
| Group 1 | C/C/F/H2 | C/C/F2/H | C/C/F3 | C/C/F3 | C/C/H3 |
| Group 2 | C/C/CO/F2 | C/C/CO/F2 | C/C/CO/F2 | C/C/CO/F2 | C/C/CO/F2 |
| Group 3 | CO/C/F | CO/C/F | CO/C/F | CO/C/H | CO/C/F |
| Molecule | $\operatorname{CCCF}(=0)$ | CFCCF(=O) | CF2CCF(=O) | CF3CCF(=O) | CF2CF2C(=O) |
| Group 1 | C/C/H3 | C/C/F/H2 | C/C/F2/H | C/C/F3 | C/C/F2/H |
| Group 2 | C/C/CO/H2 | C/C/CO/H2 | C/C/CO/H2 | C/C/CO/H2 | C/C/CO/F2 |
| Group 3 | CO/C/F | CO/C/F | CO/C/F | CO/C/F | CO/C/H |
| Group 3 | CO/C/H | CO/C/H | CO/C/F | CO/C/H | CO/C/F |

Table 3.10 lists the calculated enthalpy of formation value for the groups calculated as above, where there were no fluorine atoms on adjacent carbons.

Table 3.10 Standard Molar Enthalpy, Entropy and Heat Capacities (300-1500K) for Groups used in Benson ${ }^{38}$ Group Additivity for the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ Fluoroaldehydes

| Group | HF | $\mathrm{S}_{298}$ | CP300 | CP400 | CP500 | CP600 | CP800 | CP1000 | CP1500 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c/co/h3 ${ }^{38}$ | -10.08 | 30.41 | 6.19 | 7.84 | 9.4 | 10.79 | 13.02 | 14.77 | 17.58 |
| c/co/f/h2* ${ }^{1}$ | -51.31 | 60.98 | 18.00 | 21.63 | 24.74 | 27.32 | 31.01 | 33.61 | 50.96 |
| c/co/f2/h *2 | -100.93 | 53.25 | 16.95 | 20.45 | 23.38 | 25.84 | 29.48 | 32.20 | 50.00 |
| c/co/f3 *3 | -156.29 | 44.66 | 12.51 | 14.85 | 16.56 | 17.85 | 19.46 | 20.52 |  |
| $\mathrm{co} / \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{h}^{38}$ | -29.1 | 34.9 | 7.03 | 7.87 | 8.82 | 9.68 | 11.2 | 12.2 |  |
| co/c/f *4 | -95.76 | 39.71 | 9.23 | 10.35 | 11.34 | 12.17 | 13.47 | 14.32 | 15.54 |
| c/c/f/h2 ${ }^{104}$ | -55.28 | 34.86 | 7.45 | 9.03 | 10.54 | 11.85 | 13.92 | 15.42 | 17.84 |
| $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{co} / \mathrm{h} 2^{38}$ | -5.2 | 9.6 | 6.2 | 7.7 | 8.7 | 9.5 | 11.1 | 12.2 | 14.07 |
| $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{co} / \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{h}^{5}$ | -46.23 | 6.22 | 2.48 | 2.99 | 3.18 | 3.25 | 3.11 | 3.02 | 16.32 |
| c/c/f3 ${ }^{104}$ | -168.2 | 42.55 | 12.75 | 15.05 | 16.71 | 17.86 | 19.27 | 19.98 | 20.68 |
| c/c/co/f2* 6 | -104.60 | 22.84 | 10.76 | 12.61 | 13.98 | 15.05 | 16.46 | 17.43 | 32.42 |
| c/co/f2/h * ${ }^{\text {/ }}$ | -96.95 | 59.74 | 18.26 | 21.85 | 24.88 | 27.36 | 30.90 | 33.29 | 36.55 |
| $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c} 2 / \mathrm{co} / \mathrm{h}^{38}$ | -1.7 | -11.70 | 4.16 | 5.91 | 7.34 | 8.19 | 9.46 | 10.19 |  |
| $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c} 2 / \mathrm{h} 2^{38}$ | -5.00 | 9.40 | 5.50 | 6.95 | 8.25 | 9.35 | 11.07 | 12.34 | 14.20 |
| $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c} 3 / \mathrm{co}^{38}$ | 1.40 | -34.72 | 3.99 | 6.04 | 7.43 | 8.26 | 8.92 | 8.96 | 8.23 |

*This study, note the c/co notation assumes a hydrogen atom on the aldehyde carbon; a group derived from acetaldehyde, 1 group derived from fluoroaldehyde, 2 group derived from di fluoroaldehyde, 3 group derived from tri fluoroaldehyde, 4 group derived from acetyl fluoride, 5 group derived from $\operatorname{cfcfc}(=0), 6$ group derived from $\mathrm{ccf} 2 \mathrm{c}(=0), 7$ group derived from $\mathrm{cf} 2 \mathrm{cf} 2 \mathrm{c}(=0)$, H atoms are assumed to fill valence.
Thermochemical data of species in this study calculated by group additivity can be found in Supporting Information, S7.

### 3.4.5 Interaction Group Terms (GA)

In conventional hydrocarbon and oxy-hydrocarbon group additivity the $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{CO}$ and C/CO/H3 group enthalpy values accurately predict enthalpy and heat capacities for acetaldehyde $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{O}$ and for larger aldehydes, where no additional non next nearest neighbor groups are needed. For the hydrocarbons and oxy-hydrocarbons one group for
each central atom (atom with two or more bonds, provide accurate estimates of thermochemical values.

Example groups for $\mathrm{ch} 3 \mathrm{ch}=\mathrm{o}$ (a) groups are $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{co} / \mathrm{h} 3, \mathrm{co} / \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{h}$
ch3ch $2 \mathrm{ch}=\mathrm{o}$ (b) groups are $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{h} 3, \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{co} / \mathrm{h} 2$ and $\mathrm{co} / \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{h}$
ch $3 \mathrm{ch} 2 \mathrm{ch} 2 \mathrm{ch}=\mathrm{o}$ (c) groups are $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{h} 3, \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c} 2 / \mathrm{h} 2, \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{co} / \mathrm{h} 2$ and $\mathrm{co} / \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{h}$
$\mathrm{cc}(\mathrm{c}) \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{o} \quad$ (d) groups $\mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{h} 3, \quad \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{c} 2 / \mathrm{co} / \mathrm{h}$ and $\mathrm{co} / \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{h}$
The $\mathrm{co} / \mathrm{c} / \mathrm{h}$ group used in these pure hydrocarbon aldehydes provide correct data for $\operatorname{ch} 3 \mathrm{ch}=\mathrm{O}, \operatorname{ch} 3 \mathrm{ch} 2 \mathrm{ch}=\mathrm{o}, \operatorname{ch} 3 \mathrm{ch} 2 \mathrm{ch} 2 \mathrm{ch}=\mathrm{o}$ and $\mathrm{cc}(\mathrm{c}) \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{o}$ and the sum of group values shows good agreement with literature values $-39.7,-44.36,-50.61,-51.57 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$, respectively. Similarly results for $\operatorname{ch} 3 \operatorname{ch}=0, \operatorname{ch} 3 \operatorname{ch} 2 \operatorname{ch}=0, \operatorname{ch} 3 \operatorname{ch} 2 \operatorname{ch} 2 \operatorname{ch}=0$ and $\operatorname{cc}(\mathrm{c}) \mathrm{c}=0$ from group additivity values are -39.18, $-44.30,-49.3$ and $-50.80 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$, respectively. The literature and group additivity values show good agreement; there is no need for non next nearest neighbor specie contributions.

This lack of non next neighbor interactions in group additivity is not the case for fluorocarbons (ref h1, h2). As an example it is reported for $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ would have two C/C/F/H2 groups; but sum value of the two $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2$ groups determined from the CH 3 CH 2 F molecule results in is an under estimate by some $3 \mathrm{xx} . \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ due to the repulsions and electronic interactions of the two fluorine atoms, one on each of the adjacent carbons ${ }^{104,} 113,115$. Here the fluorine atoms present interactions by non next nearest neighbors (NNN interaction).

The groups determined from the fluoro aldehydes above had fluorine atoms on only one of the carbon atoms. H. Wang et al. ${ }^{104}$ and T. Yamada et al. ${ }^{114,115}$ have shown that molecules with fluorine on two adjacent carbon atoms do not follow conventional Benson Group Additivity where a group is identified by only the atoms bonded to it. Additional groups or interaction terms have to be implemented when fluorine and other halogen atoms
are on two adjacent carbon atoms; this is a result of interactions between the halogens on adjacent carbons. The interaction terms incorporate adjustments for the electronic and steric interactions of the halogens on next nearest neighbor atoms. The examples below illustrate that interaction terms are needed when a fluorine atom is on each of any two adjacent carbons for the aldehydes in this study.

## Molecules with fluorine atoms on the adjacent carbons.

Group additivity for multi-fluoro, fluorocarbon aldehydes. We show below that groups developed above: $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 2 / \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 3$, do not result in accurate enthalpy values for the three respective fluoroaldehydes when the CO/C/F fluoroacetyl group is present.

Table 3.11 illustrates the calculated fluorine/fluorine / CFO interaction group term enthalpies of formation in comparison for the fluoro acetaldehydes in the current study. The CFO nomenclature in the interaction term signifies a $-\mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ - group.

The standard enthalpy of formation values for multifluorinated acetaldehydes and propanaldehydes in this study as listed in Table 3.11 are used as the target enthalpy for the group calculations. The following interaction groups have been developed to account for the structural difference new groups were created to better fit fluoroaldehydes. These groups include: F/CFO, F2/CFO, F3/CFO, F2/F/CO, F2/F2/CO, F3/F/CO, F3/F2/CO, $\mathrm{RF} / \mathrm{CFO}$, RF2/CFO and RF3/CFO.

Table 3.11 Fluorine-Fluorine Interaction Terms Needed for Group Additivity for Formation Enthalpy on C2-C3 Aldehydes

| Interaction Terms | $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{\mathrm{f} 298\left(\text { kcal mole }^{-1}\right)}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| F/F | $2.6^{104}$ |
| 2F/F | $5.2^{104}$ |
| 2F/2F | $9.3^{104}$ |
| 3F/F | $7.4^{104}$ |
| 3F/2F | $13.4^{104}$ |
| F/CFO | 3.50 |
| F2/CFO | 6.59 |
| F3/CFO | 13.45 |
|  |  |
| F2/F/CO | -4.23 |
| F3/F/CO | 25.43 |
| F3/F2/CO | -26.3 |
| RF/CFO | 3.14 |
| RF2/CFO | 4.96 |
| RF3/CFO | 8.98 |

## Calculation of C2 Fluorine Interaction Terms

The following interaction groups have been developed to account for the different fluorine - fluorine interactions for the fluorinated aldehydes: F/CFO, F2/CFO and F3/CFO.
$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ is reported to have a enthalpy of formation of $-143.6 \mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{kc}} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. Utilizing only the group additivity terms $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2$ and $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{F}$, the group term values requires a new group term as shown below. As a result of this inconsistency, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ was utilized to develop the interaction group F/CFO. Using additivity groups C/CO/F/H2 derived from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ and $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F}$ group derived from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$, we now follow the same steps in equations used to calculate new group additivity terms, only differing in the addition of $\Delta . \Delta$ represents the interaction group being calculated. Calculation of $\Delta$ in Equation 3.17-3.19 is for interaction group F/CFO

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCF}(=\mathrm{O})=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2+\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{F}+\Delta  \tag{3.17}\\
& \quad-143.6=(-51.31)+(-95.76)+\Delta(\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{CFO}) \tag{3.18}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{CFO})=+3.50 \mathrm{kcal}-\mathrm{mol}^{-1} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ was utilized to develop the interaction group F / CFO because of the same reason observed in the group terms utilized for $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCF}(=\mathrm{O})$. Using additivity group terms $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 2 / \mathrm{H}$ derived from $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ and $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F}$. The group additivity method resulted in an observed enthalpy of formation of $-196.69 \mathrm{kcal}-\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. The poor agreement requires the same steps used during the calculation of F/CFO for F2/CFO. Calculation of $\Delta$ in Equations 3.20-3.22 are for interaction group F2/CFO.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(\mathrm{O}) & =\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 2 / \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{F}+\Delta  \tag{3.20}\\
-190.1 & =(-100.93)+(-95.76)+\Delta(\mathrm{F} 2 / \mathrm{CFO})  \tag{3.21}\\
\Delta & (\mathrm{F} 2 / \mathrm{CFO})=+6.59 \mathrm{kcal}-\mathrm{mol}^{-1} \tag{3.22}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ was utilized to develop the interaction group $\mathrm{F} 3 / \mathrm{CFO}$ as a result of poor agreement only using the additivity group terms $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 3$ derived from $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ and $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F}$. Following the same steps used for $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{CFO}$ and $\mathrm{F} 2 / \mathrm{CFO}$. $\mathrm{F} 3 / \mathrm{CFO}$, represented as $\Delta$ will be the interaction group being calculated. Calculation of the interaction group F3/CFO is shown in Equations 3.23-3.25.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CF}(\mathrm{O})=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 3+\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{F}+\Delta  \tag{3.23}\\
& -238.6=(-156.29)+(-95.76)+\Delta(\mathrm{F} 3 / \mathrm{CFO})  \tag{3.24}\\
& \Delta(\mathrm{F} 3 / \mathrm{CFO})=+13.45{\mathrm{kcal}-\mathrm{mol}^{-1}}^{2} \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

The remainder of the interaction term calculations are located in Appendix B.

### 3.4.6 Bond Dissociation Energies of Fluorinated Aldehydes

Fluorocarbons have been shown to have strong carbon - fluorine bonds, they are highly stable, persist for long periods in the environment and are often a component in fire
retardants. The presence of hydrogen on a fluorocarbon make the molecule subject to reactions with OH or other active radicals forming a fluorocarbon radical ${ }^{56}$.

It is of value to determine the bond strength of fluorine and hydrogen to carbon bonds in fluorocarbons to understand and evaluate their reactions in various environments. The bond dissociation energies are determined for the fluoro acetaldehydes, fluoropropanals and corresponding fluoroacetyls using calculated enthalpies of formation for the radicals. This was accomplished by using bond dissociation reaction equations.

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {reaction }}=\Sigma \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {product }}-\Sigma \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {reactants }}
$$

Where $\Delta H_{\text {reaction }}$ corresponds to the bond dissociation energy, $\Sigma \Delta H_{\text {product }}$ is the radical species enthalpy of formation with the enthalpy of a hydrogen atom, which has a $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{\circ} 298$ of $52.103 \mathrm{kcal}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$ or a fluorine atom which has a $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{\circ} 298$ of $18.97 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$.

When observing fluorinated C 2 aldehyde species $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4-\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{OF}_{\mathrm{x}}\right)$, where x in this case corresponds to the number of fluorine atoms present in the structure. All BDE values calculated are located in Table 3.12 and compared with literature values to bolster results when available.

## $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}(=\mathrm{O})$

BDE in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ can be evaluated at both the primary carbon and the carbonyl carbon. On the primary carbon the carbon-hydrogen bond has an observed BDE of 87.2 kcal mole ${ }^{-1}$, and a carbon-flourine BDE of $103.8 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$. The carbonyl carbon shows an observed BDE of $91.6 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$, consistent with literature where the same bond has a reported BDE of $90.6^{56} \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$.

## $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$

There are two sites where a radical can be formed on the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ species. These include the fluorine-carbon bond on the carbonyl group and the carbon-hydrogen bond located on the primary carbon. The fluorine-carbon bond at the carbonyl site is observed to have the highest bonding energy at $122.5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$, consistent with the literature value of $122.7^{56} \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}{ }^{-1}$. The carbon-hydrogen bond on the primary site has a bonding energy of $97.9 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$.

## $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCF}(=\mathrm{O})$

In the chemical species $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ there are three BDE observed. The primary carbon bonds include the carbon-hydrogen and carbon-fluorine bonds that have a BDE of 91.6 and $102.5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$, respectively. The carbonyl carbon-fluorine bond has a BDE of $121.6 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$, consistent with the literature value of $120.9 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-156}$.

## $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$

Similar to that of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}(=\mathrm{O}), \mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ has three bonding sites which are analyzed at both the primary carbon and the carbonyl carbon. On the primary carbon the carbon-hydrogen bond has an observed BDE of 89.5 kcal mole-1, and a carbon-fluorine BDE of $103.7 \mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{kc}} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$. The carbon-fluorine bond is this species is consistent with the BDE of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}(=\mathrm{O})$. The carbonyl carbon shows an observed BDE of $92.8 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$, consistent with literature where the same bond has a BDE of $92.7^{56} \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$.

## $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$

The CHF2CF $(=\mathrm{O})$ species has a BDE at the primary carbon site has a BDE of 105.0 and $93.7 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$ for the carbon-fluorine and carbon-hydrogen bond, respectively. The
carbonyl group has a BDE of $119.8 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$, consistent with the literature value reported at $120.3^{56} \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$.

## $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$

The BDE for the species $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ are evaluated at the primary carbon site and the carbonyl group. The primary carbon site is a carbon-fluorine bond has a reported BDE of $111.8 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$. The carbonyl site has a BDE for the carbon-hydrogen bond of 96.9 $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$, which is consistent with the literature value of $93.8^{56} \mathrm{kcal}^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$.

## $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$

The BDE of the carbon-fluorine bond in the species $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ at the primary carbon and carbonyl carbon location are 116.3 and $124.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$, respectively. The carbonyl carbon-fluorine bond is $\sim 3 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$ strong than that compared with literature where the same bond is reported to be $120.8^{56} \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$.

Table 3.12 Bond Dissociation Energies (BDE) of C2 Fluoroaldehydes Species in this Study

|  | Reaction |  |  | Bond Dissociation Energy (kcal mole ${ }^{-1}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{CCF}(=0)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\operatorname{CCF}(=0)$ | $=$ |  | + | $\mathrm{CjCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  |  |
| -105.8 |  | 52.1 |  | -60.1 | 97.9 | This Study |
| $\operatorname{CCF}(=0)$ | = | F | $+$ | $\mathrm{CCj}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  |  |
| -105.8 |  | 18.97 |  | -2.3 | 122.5 | $122.7{ }^{69}$ |
| CFC( $=0$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | = | F | $+$ | $\mathrm{CjC}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  |  |
| -80.4 |  | 18.97 |  | 4.4 | 103.8 | This Study |
| $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | = | H | + | $\mathrm{CFCj}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  |  |
| -80.4 |  | 52.1 |  | -40.9 | 91.6 | $90.6{ }^{56}$ |
| $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $=$ | H | $+$ | $\mathrm{CjFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  |  |
| -80.4 |  | 52.1 |  | -45.3 | 87.2 | This Study |
| CFCF(=0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | = | F | + | $\mathrm{CFCj}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  |  |
| -143.6 |  | 18.97 |  | -40.9 | 121.6 | $120.9{ }^{569}$ |
| CFCF(=O) | $=$ | F | + | $\mathrm{CjCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  |  |
| -143.6 |  | 18.97 |  | -60.1 | 102.5 | This Study |
| CFCF(=O) | $=$ | H | + | $\mathrm{CjFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  |  |
| -143.6 |  | 52.1 |  | -104.1 | 91.6 | This Study |
| CF2C(=0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF2C(=O) | $=$ | F | $+$ | $\mathrm{CjFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  |  |
| -130.0 |  | 18.97 |  | -45.3 | 103.7 | This Study |
| CF2C(=O) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF2C(=O) | $=$ | H | $+$ | $\mathrm{CjF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  |  |
| -130.0 |  | 52.1 |  | -92.6 | 89.5 | This Study |
| CF2C(=O) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF2C(=O) | $=$ | H | $+$ | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{Cj}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  |  |
| -130.0 |  | 52.1 |  | -89.3 | 92.8 | $92.7{ }^{56}$ |

Table 3.12 Bond Dissociation Energies (BDE) of C2 Fluoroaldehydes Species in this Study (Continued)

| CF2CF(=0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $=$ | F | + | $\mathrm{CjFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  |  |
| -190.1 |  | 18.97 |  | -104.1 | 105.0 | This Study |
| CF2CF( $=0$ ) | $=$ | F | + | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{Cj}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  |  |
| -190.1 |  | 18.97 |  | -89.3 | 119.8 | $120.3{ }^{56}$ |
| CF2CF(=O) | = | H | + | $\mathrm{CjF} 2 \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  |  |
| -190.1 |  | 52.1 |  | -148.5 | 93.7 | This Study |
| CF3C(=0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF3C(=O) | $=$ | H | + | CF3Cj(=O) |  |  |
| -185.3948581 |  | 52.103 |  | -140.629 | 96.9 | $93.8{ }^{56}$ |
| CF3C(=O) | $=$ | F | + | $\mathrm{CjF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  |  |
| -185.3948581 |  | 18.97 |  | -92.6 | 111.8 | This Study |
| CF3CF(=0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF3CF(=O) | $=$ | F | + | $\mathrm{CF} 3 \mathrm{Cj}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  |  |
| -245.8 |  | 18.97 |  | -140.629 | 124.1 | $120.8{ }^{56}$ |
| CF3CF(=O) | $=$ | F | + | $\mathrm{CjF} 2 \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  |  |
| -245.8 |  | 18.97 |  | -148.5 | 116.3 | This Study |

### 3.5 Conclusions

Thermodynamic properties standard enthalpy, entropy and heat capacity of fluorinated two and three carbon aldehydes were calculated using density functional, ab initio and composite methods with the use of isodesmic reaction schemes for cancellation of calculation errors in enthalpy. Standard enthalpies of formation were determined using the average value observed in each species at the CBS-APNO, CBS-4M, CBS-QB3 M062X, $\omega$ B97X, B3-LYP, G-2, G-3, G-4 and Weizmann-1 (W1U) calculation levels and multiple
work reactions. Entropies and heat capacities were determined using the B3-LYP/6$31++\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ optimized geometries and frequencies. Hindered internal rotational contributions to entropy and heat capacities were calculated by intramolecular torsion potential curves at the B3-LYP/6-31+G(d,p) level, with an entropy correction of mixing of rotational conformers. This study also established a trend of for values when a fluorine is added to an aldehyde molecule, whether it is located on the primary, secondary or aldehyde double bond site. In addition, thermochemical data were established for groups CO/C/F, $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 3, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 2$ and $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H}$.

## CHAPTER 4

# THERMOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES ENTHALPY, ENTROPY, AND HEAT CAPACITY OF FLUORINATED KETONES AND CORRESPONDING RADICALS; COMPARISON OF FLUOROCARBON GROUP ADDIVITY 

### 4.1 Overview

During the last several decades significant research efforts have been undertaken to find efficient and environmentally friendly substances with zero contribution to ozone depletion ${ }^{116}$. This is due to recent international restrictions on the production and deployment of chloro-fluorocarbons and bromo-fluorocarbons. Fluorinated hydrocarbons are present in the atmosphere, hydrosphere, and lithosphere as a result of the past use of solvents and propellants, in addition to the current wide spread use of refrigerants, fire retardants, and heat exchange fluids and polymers. Other uses include lubricants, blowing and sterilizing agents, coatings, anaesthetics, and agents in the preparation of semiconductors.

Fluorocarbons have no ozone depleting potential; they do however exhibit one important disadvantage: The long atmospheric lifetimes and strong absorption in the infrared spectral region give rise to high global warming potentials. Fluorocarbons and hydrofluorocarbons have been identified as promising candidates as fire surpressants ${ }^{2}$, and considerable effort is being devoted to their study, which has resulted in the generation of extensive thermochemical and kinetic databases ${ }^{101,117}$.

Multiple studies have demonstrated the occurrence, bioaccumulation, and toxicity of perfluorinated chemicals (PFCs) in wildlife and humans around the world ${ }^{118}$. Perfluorinated chemicals are a class of anthropogenic chemicals that make up a large group of persistent anthropogenic chemicals used in industrial processes and commercial
products over the past 60 years ${ }^{119,120}$. Due to their widespread use and subsequent emissions, PFCs, have been detected not only in environmental matrices from populated urban areas ${ }^{100}$, but also in samples from remote Arctic regions and mountaintops ${ }^{121}$.

The 2011-2012 U.S. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey reported detectable serum PFCS concentrations in virtually all individuals $(97 \%)^{100,110}$. Sources of human exposure to PFCs include drinking water, food, food packaging, treated carpets, upholstery, clothing, house dust, protective sprays and waxes, and indoor and outdoor air ${ }^{116,110}$. Human PFCS exposure has been linked to cancer, elevated cholesterol, obesity, immune suppression, and endocrine disruption ${ }^{3,5,117}$. Because of the high percentage of human exposure, PFCs are present in human breast milk and umbilical cord blood, and serum levels in infants and children are generally higher than in adults. ${ }^{116,110}$ These prenatal and early life exposures are relevant to potential developmental effects ${ }^{119,120}$.

Bioaccumulation studies in fish and rodents have shown that linear PFCs, such as PFOS and PFOA, are more bioaccumulative compared to the corresponding branched isomers. It has also been reported that major branched isomers of PFOA and PFOS were more efficiently excreted from humans through urine compared to their corresponding linear isomers ${ }^{38}$.

PFCs, more specifically PFAAs, were proposed to undergo long-range transport to the Arctic regions through a combination of ocean currents and atmosphere. Atmospheric particulate matter acts as a sink to accumulate atmospheric contaminants and brings the associated contaminants to the earth's surface via dry deposition. The settled outdoor dust, especially the fine particles $(1-50 \mu \mathrm{~m})$, can also migrate globally via resuspension. As a
result, the remigration of outdoor dust can contribute to the LRAT potential of contaminants via atmospheric resuspension and re-entrainment ${ }^{118}$.

Quantum chemical calculations on small molecules can result in thermochemical values with a low degree in uncertainties, often outperforming experimental results ${ }^{3,5-7,9}$. The precise knowledge of the thermodynamic and kinetic properties of the atmospheric reactions, as well as the physical parameters of the corresponding species, is required for chemistry-climate models. Databases such as NIST-JANAF ${ }^{9}$, CODATA $^{10}$, ATcT $^{11}$, JPL $^{12}$ and Burcat's Third Millennium Thermodynamic Database ${ }^{13}$, contain the relevant physicochemical parameters; unfortunately, there are limited data for oxygenated fluorocarbon species and these data are needed to understand and evaluate the reactions of the many fluorocarbon species routinely detected in the environment ${ }^{118-121}$. The limited available data and its uncertainty may well be due to the fact that the chemistry of the troposphere and stratosphere is dominated by free radical reactions and experimental determination of physical and chemical parameters of radicals and radical reaction is still challenginG-3. With respect to atmospheric concerns, C-F bonds are chemically inert and the fluorine atoms in the molecules also tend to deactivate the remaining C-H bonds ${ }^{122}$.

In recent years computational chemistry has evolved to a stage where the calculation of thermodynamic functions of small molecules and can achieve experimental or even higher accuracy. DFT, and Composite $a b$ initio methods in the Gaussian suite of programs, were used to develop thermochemical properties and a group additivity scheme for estimation of larger flourinated hydrocarbons values. We calculate the standard enthalpy of formation for this series of acetone and fluoro ketones $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{OF}_{6-\mathrm{x}}\right)$ using computational chemistry and isodesmic work reactions. Eleven computational chemistry
methods have been used for accuracy; these include CBS-APNO, CBS-4M, CBS-QB3, M062X, $\omega$ B97X, B3-LYP, G-2, G-3, G-4 and Weizmann-1 (W1U) methodology.

In this work, we also present details of an addition to the group additivity method approach to estimate thermodynamic properties for a series of fluorinated ketone species. An advantage of this approach is the use of single hydrogen-bond increment (HBI) groups to predict accurate properties of corresponding radical species. This method utilizes the thermodynamic properties of stable parent molecules incorporated with HBI groups for each corresponding radical species reflecting the thermodynamic changes due to the loss of a hydrogen atom.

HBI groups are derived on the basis of the fundamental principles of statistical mechanics and thermochemistry. Considering the following homolytic reaction in Equation 4.1:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{RH} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{\bullet}+\mathrm{H}^{\bullet}, \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}, 298}^{\circ}=\mathrm{BDE}_{(\mathrm{R}--\mathrm{H})} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The radical, $\mathrm{R}^{\mathbf{\bullet}}$, enthalpy of formation can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}, 298}^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\bullet}\right)=\mathrm{BDE}_{(\mathrm{R}--\mathrm{H})}+\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}^{\circ}(\mathrm{RH})-52.1{\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{~mole}^{-1}}^{-1} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To calculate $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{\mathrm{rxn}, 298}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\bullet}\right)$, we must know the $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{\mathrm{rxn}}(\mathrm{RH})$ and the bond strength of the R---H bond broken to form the radical and $H$ atom. Bond dissociation energies, $\mathrm{BDE}_{(\mathrm{R}--\mathrm{H})}$, in the HBI database, correspond to radicals that are adopted from the evaluation of the literature if they exist.

The molecular structure of a radical is similar to that of the corresponding stable molecule. The unpaired electron located on the radical-centered atom is replaced by a bond to a hydrogen atom in the stable molecule, while most of the atom sequence and chemical
bonds remain the same in the two species. The differences in molecular structure and thermochemical properties for the radical and parent species are properly taken into account to calculate entropy and heat capacity values for radical species from properties that correspond to parent species, in addition to increment group values for $\Delta \mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T})$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$ that account for these changes:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{S}_{\text {inter }}{ }^{\circ} 298\left(\mathrm{R}^{\bullet}\right) & =\mathrm{S}_{\text {inter }}{ }^{\circ} 298(\mathrm{RH})+\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}{ }_{298}  \tag{4.3}\\
\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{~T})\left(\mathrm{R}^{\bullet}\right) & =\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{~T})(\mathrm{RH})+\Delta \mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{~T}) \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Where $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{int}}{ }^{\circ}$ represents intrinsic entropy, excluding symmetry.
These $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T})(300 \leq \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{K} \leq 1500)$ increments are group values for estimating the radical from the parent and are termed HBIs $\left(\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}{ }_{298}(\mathrm{HBI})\right.$ and $\Delta \mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T})(\mathrm{HBI})$ ). They are used to calculate $\mathrm{S}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$ and $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T})$ for a free radical species formed during the elimination of a hydrogen atom from its parent molecule. The values we report for $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T})$ are obtained by applying principles of the rigid-rotor harmonic oscillator model to account for the differences in molecular structures between $\mathrm{R}^{\bullet}$ (radical species) and the corresponding RH (parent species). Increment changes in the potential barriers of internal rotations about $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ bonds next to the radical center are also incorporated. Some increment values are obtained by calculating the difference using known thermochemical properties of the parent molecule and the corresponding radical.

The classification of HBI terms is based on generic types of $\mathrm{R}---\mathrm{H}$ bonds. This work considers 11 types of fluorocarbon radicals. The development of HBI groups incorporates the following: (1) evaluated literature data corresponding to the bond energies, $\operatorname{BDE}(\mathrm{R}---$ H) of the specific R---H bond for species containing one to five fluorine atoms attached;
(2) entropy and heat capacity corrections accounting for the differences in rotational barriers of internal rotors in parent and radical.

Entropy corrections accounting for changes in symmetry between the parent molecule and radical are not included in the HBI group values. These corrections need to be separately considered for each radical and parent molecule.

The objective of this study is to determine thermochemical properties of oxygenated fluorocarbons and their derivatives for understanding the stability and reaction processes in environmental systems.

### 4.2 Nomenclature

Table 4.1 Nomenclature of Species in Fluoroketone Study

| Nomenclature | Species | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CFC(=O)C | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OF}$ |
| CFC(=O)CF | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OF}_{2}$ |
| CF2C(=0)C | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OF}_{2}$ |
| CF2C(=O)CF | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{OF}_{3}$ |
| CF2C(=0)CF2 | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OF}_{4}$ |
| CF3C(=0)C | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{OF}_{3}$ |
| CF3C(=O)CF | $\left.\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OF}_{4}$ |
| CF3C(=O)CF2 | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{HOF}_{5}$ |
| CF3C(=O)CF3 | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{OF}_{6}$ |
| $\mathrm{CjFC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | CHFC(=O) $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OF}$ |
| CFC(=O)Cj | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OF}$ |
| $\mathrm{CjFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}$ | $\mathrm{CHFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{OF}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{CjF2C}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{OF}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Cj}$ | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{OF}_{2}$ |
| CF2C(=O)CjF | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OF}_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{CjF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=0) \mathrm{CF}$ | $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OF}_{3}$ |
| CjF2C(=O)CF2 | $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{HOF}_{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{CjF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=0) \mathrm{CF} 3$ | $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{OF}_{5}$ |
| CF3C(=0)Cj | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OF}_{3}$ |
| CF3C(=O)CjF | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{HOF}_{4}$ |

### 4.3 Computational Methods

All calculations were performed using the Gaussian 09 program suite ${ }^{67}$. Molecule structures were initially optimized using the B3-LYP DFT method with the $6-31 \mathrm{G}++(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set. The B3-LYP method combines the three parameter Becke exchange functional, B3, with the Lee-Yang-Parr correlation functional, LYP ${ }^{123}$. It is used to scan each dihedral angle corresponding to an internal rotor between zero and 360 degrees in steps of 10 degrees, in order to find the minimum energy conformer. A new set of scans was initiated each time a lower energy conformer was identified. The total energy corresponding to the most stable conformation was obtained and used as reference in plots of the potential barriers.

The composite methods CBS-APNO ${ }^{124}$, CBS-4M ${ }^{125}$, CBS-QB3 ${ }^{64}$, Gaussian-n methods G-2 $2^{126}$, G-3 ${ }^{127}$, G-4 ${ }^{128}$ and Weizmann-1 (W1U) ${ }^{129}$ plus the DFT methods M062X ${ }^{130}, \omega \mathrm{~B}^{2} 7 \mathrm{X}^{131}$, B3-LYP ${ }^{123}$ with the $6-13+(\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set were utilized in the study.

Entropy and heat capacity values were calculated as a function of temperature from the optimized structures, moments of inertia, vibration frequencies, internal rotor potentials, symmetry, electron degeneracy, the number of optical isomers and the mass of each molecule. This calculation uses a standard formula from statistical mechanics for the contributions of translation, vibrations, and external rotation (TVR) using the SMCPS (Statistical Mechanics-Heat Capacity, and Entropy) program ${ }^{87}$. SMCPS utilizes the rigidrotor harmonic oscillator approximation from the frequencies, as well as moments of inertia from the optimized B3-LYP/6-31G++(d,p) level calculations. Contributions from internal rotors calculated using the program Rotator are substituted for contributions from the
corresponding internal rotor torsion frequencies. Rotator calculates thermodynamic functions from hindered rotations with arbitrary potentials based on the method developed by Krasnoperov, Lay, and Shokhirev ${ }^{90}$. This technique employs expansion of the hindrance potential in the Fourier series, calculation of the Hamiltonian matrix in the subsequent calculation of energy levels by direct diagonalization barrier versus dihedral angle are fit by a cosine curve. In this work, the rotational potential calculated at discrete torsional angles is represented by a truncated ten-parameter Fourier series (Equation 4.5) of the following for:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}(\phi)=a_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{10}\left(a_{0} \cos (\mathrm{i} \varnothing)\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{10}\left(b_{\mathrm{j}} \cos (\mathrm{j} \phi)\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of the coefficients $a_{i}$ and $b_{j}$ are calculated to provide minimum and maximum values of torsional potentials with the allowance for a shift of theoretical extreme angular positions. Vibrational frequencies for B3-LYP/6-31+G(d,p) are scaled by a factor of 0.964 in the calculation of standard entropy and heat capacity based on computational chemistry comparison and benchmark databases ${ }^{87}$.

Groups comparisons for use in the group additivity method, developed by Benson ${ }^{91}$ were established and compared to our calculated values for parent $\mathrm{H}^{\circ} \mathrm{f} 298, \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}(\mathrm{T}) ; \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{T})$ values are illustrated. This method is based on the knowledge of the contributions of representative groups in similar molecules and their properties in linear consistency in thermochemical properties. Thermodynamic properties of larger species, such as those in this study, were accurately approximated based on the sum of smaller representative groups where there are corrections for rotors, symmetry, electron degeneracy, optical isomers, gauche and other interactions. The group additivity and calculated Density Functional Theory values are compared.

The calculation of $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{298}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\bullet}\right)$ for a specific radical species uses literature values or group additivity (GA) for enthalpy of the parent molecule $\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ} 298(\mathrm{RH})\right.$ ) and a bond energy, $\mathrm{BDE}(\mathrm{R}---\mathrm{H})$, for the specific hydrogen atom removed from the parent molecule to form the desired radical; see Equation 4.2. $\mathrm{S}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$ and heat capacities $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T})$ of free radicals are calculated by applying HBI values $\left(\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}{ }_{298}\right.$ and $\left.\Delta \mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T})\right)$ in Equation 4.3 and 4.4.

### 4.4 Results and Discussion

Molecular structures were initially optimized using B3-LYP Density Functional Theory ${ }^{123}$ methodology with the $6-31 \mathrm{G}++(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set. The B3-LYP method combines the three-parameter Becke exchange functional, B3, with the Lee-Yang-Parr (LYP) correlation. The calculations scanned each dihedral angle corresponding to an internal rotor, between zero and 360 degrees in steps of 10 degrees. The molecular structure was optimized at each fixed scan step (point). The minimum energy point was taken from each scan; if a lower energy point was found in subsequent scan, then that structure was taken as a start point and all other scans were repeated to verify the lowest energy. The minimum energy was used as a reference in plots of the potential barriers. Figure 4.1 illustrates the potential energy for the internal rotor scan of fluoroacetone $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$.

Data for the optimized structures are located in Appendix B. Vibrational frequencies and moments of inertia can be found in Appendix B, respectively.

## CFC(=0)C Rotors



Figure 4.1 Potential Energy Profiles of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$.
The Rotator code ${ }^{36}$ was utilized to calculate the contributions to entropy and heat capacity for each internal rotor. These contributions were substituted for the corresponding, calculated torsion frequencies. Rotator code uses a calculated sine-cosine hindrance potential (Fourier series), when calculating the Hamiltonian matrix, using free rotor wave functions, calculates energy levels by direct diagonalization of the Hamiltonian matrix. The Hindered internal rotational contributions to entropy and heat capacities were calculated from intramolecular torsion potential curves calculated at the B3-LYP/6-31+G(d,p) level.

The isodesmic work reactions were used in the process in the analysis of reference species $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{\mathrm{f}}(298)$ values. Fluorocarbon enthalpies of formation were calculated using composite methods CBS-APNO, CBS-4M, and CBS-QB3. DFT methods M06-2X, $\omega$ B97X, B3-LYP with $6-13+(G(d, p)$ basis set were also utilized in the study. Gaussian-n methods G-2, G-3, G-4 and Weizmann-1 (W1U). Nomenclature for species identification and molecular formulas are listed in Table 4.1. Each isodesmic work reaction can be found in Appendix B.

### 4.4.1 Heat of Formation $\Delta \boldsymbol{H}^{\circ}{ }_{f 298}$

Table 4.2 lists the reference species $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ} \mathrm{f}(298)$ values used in isodesmic reactions, and Table 4.3 illustrates several isodesmic reactions, where 1,1,3 trifluoroacetone $(\mathrm{CHF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{~F})$ is used as an example. The three isodesmic reactions (Table 4.3) are selected to determine the $\Delta \mathrm{Hf} 298$ using the B3-LYP/6-13+(G) $\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ computational chemistry calculation method to determine the standard enthalpy of formation, $\Delta \mathrm{fH}^{\mathrm{o}}(298)$ of fluoroacetone.

Table $4.2 \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{\mathrm{f}} 298$ for Reference Species in the Isodesmic Reactions for Fluorinated Ketones

| Species | $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}} 298\left(\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}\right)$ | Error Values | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | -17.78 | $\pm 0.10$ | 103 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~F}$ | -56.3 | $\pm 0.24$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | -108.07 | $\pm 1.46$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{3}$ | -166.71 | $\pm 1.97$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -20.03 | $\pm 0.10$ | 103 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}_{3}$ | -65.42 | $\pm 1.11$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -120.87 | $\pm 1.62$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -180.51 | $\pm 2.05$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -28.9 | $\pm 0.10$ | 103 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -70.24 | $\pm 1.30$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -44.36 | $\pm 0.19$ | 103 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -87.44 | $\pm 1.16$ | 132 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -51.89 | $\pm 0.16$ | 30 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -30.10 | $\pm 0.10$ | 9 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 35.20 | $\pm 0.20$ | 9 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 28.90 | $\pm 0.40$ | 9 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 24.30 | $\pm 0.90$ | 9 |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -125.82 | $\pm 1.65$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -185.48 | $\pm 2.15$ | 104 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -39.7 | $\pm 0.12$ | 103 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -80.41 | $\pm 0.73$ | 132 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -143.57 | $\pm 0.71$ | 132 |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -130.03 | $\pm 0.64$ | 132 |
| $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | -32.00 | $\pm 0.40$ | 9 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | 17.80 | $\pm 0.90$ | 9 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | -161.10 | $\pm 2.20$ | 56 |

Table $4.2 \Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{\mathrm{f} 298}$ for Reference Species in the Isodesmic Reactions for Fluorinated Ketones (Continued)

| Species | $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f} 298}\left(\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Error Values | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CHFCH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | -110.60 | $\pm 1.60$ | 56 |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CHF}$ | -58.10 | $\pm 1.10$ | 56 |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CHF}$ | -168.30 | $\pm 5.60$ | 56 |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -72.30 | $\pm 0.40$ | 56 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -30.10 | $\pm 0.10$ | 9 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 19.30 | $\pm 0.90$ | 9 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | -7.70 | $\pm 0.10$ | 56 |
| $\mathrm{CHFCH}_{3}$ | -18.20 | $\pm 1.40$ | 56 |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | -110.00 | $\pm 2.50$ | 56 |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{CHF}_{2}$ | -160.30 | $\pm 1.40$ | 56 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -6.9 | $\pm 1.10$ | 9 |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -190.14 | $\pm 1.02$ | 132 |

Table 4.3 Isodesmic Reactions and Enthalpies of Formation for fluoroacetone using B3-LYP/6-31++G(d,p) Methodology

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\Delta \mathrm{Hf}_{298}$ (kcal/mol) | $\Delta \mathrm{Hf}_{\mathrm{rxn}}$ (kcal/mol) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CF2C(=O)CF | + | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $=$ | CFC(=O)CF | + | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |  |  |
| -490.914671 |  | -218.338626 |  | -391.641572 |  | -317.621512 | X |  |
| X |  | -70.24 ${ }^{\text {9 }}$ |  | -133.28 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | -125.82 ${ }^{104}$ | -182.6 | -6.16 |
| CF2C(=0)CF | + | CFC | $=$ | CFC(=O)CF | + | CF2C |  |  |
| -490.914671 |  | -179.043334 |  | -391.641572 |  | -278.326356 | X |  |
| X |  | -65.42 ${ }^{104}$ |  | -133.28 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | -120.87 ${ }^{104}$ | -182.4 | -6.23 |
| CF2C(=O)CF | + | CH3F | $=$ | CFC(=O)CF | + | CH2F2 |  |  |
| -490.914671 |  | -139.739967 |  | -391.641572 |  | -239.017088 | X |  |
| X |  | -56.3 ${ }^{104}$ |  | -133.28 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | -108.07 ${ }^{104}$ | -182.4 | -2.55 |

*Hartrees, ${ }^{* *}$ kcal mole ${ }^{-1},{ }^{\text {a }}$ this study

The agreement between the 3-5 isodesmic reactions and the computational methods, as well as a comparison to available literature, supports the accuracy of our calculations. Entropies and heat capacities were determined using B3-LYP/6-31++G(d,p) optimized geometries and frequencies.

In this case, the overall average of 1,1,3- trifluoro acetone was observed using the 11 methods discussed over the set of isodesmic reactions and was observed to be -182.1 $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. The methods averages for fluoro acetaldehyde are M06 (-182.5), M06-2X (181.5), $\omega$ b97x (-182.2), B3-LYP (-182.3), CBS-APNO (-182.0), CBS-4M (-181.9), CBSQB3 (-182.4), G-2 (-182.2), G-3 (-182.2), G-4 (-182.7) and W1U (-182.4) kcal mol ${ }^{-1}$.

A summary of the standard enthalpy of formation $\left(\Delta H_{f}^{\circ}{ }_{f 298}\right)_{\text {averages }}$ from DFT and composite methods for species from work reactions illustrated in Table 4.4. Table 4.4 shows the average of each molecule, in addition to the average of the molecule with each method with the standard deviation. With many of these molecules never being studied or due to the wide range of inconsistencies observed from past works, this portion will also compare literature data to what is observed in this study.

Table 4.4 Average, Method Average from each Calculation Method and the Overall Average Enthalpy of Formation for Fluorinated Ketones and Corresponding Radicals, and Differences between the Calculation vs. Experimental/Literature Reference Values


Table 4.4 Average, Method Average from each Calculation Method and the Overall Average Enthalpy of Formation for Fluorinated Ketones and Corresponding Radicals, and Differences between the Calculation vs. Experimental/Literature Reference Values (Continued)


Table 4.4 Average, Method Average from each Calculation Method and the Overall Average Enthalpy of Formation for Fluorinated Ketones and Corresponding Radicals, and Differences between the Calculation vs. Experimental/Literature Reference Values (Continued)

|  | $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ} \mathrm{f} 298(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { M062 } \\ x \end{gathered}$ | M06 | $\omega \mathrm{b} 97 \mathrm{x}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B3- } \\ & \text { LYP } \end{aligned}$ | CBS- <br> APNO | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBS- } \\ & 4 \mathrm{M}^{*} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBS- } \\ & \text { QB3 } \end{aligned}$ | G-2 | G-3 | G-4 | W1U |
|  | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}_{2} \quad-249.3 \pm 2.2$ Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Method Average | $249.4$ | -251.4 | -248.8 | -249.8 | -247.7 | -258.4 | -250.8 | -247.5 | -247.5 | -251.5 | - |
| Standard Deviation | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.0 | - |
|  | $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF} \quad-202.4 \pm 1.6$ Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Method Average | -201.0 | -202.7 | -202.0 | -202.3 | -202.4 | -210.9 | -202.2 | -202.7 | -203.3 | -202.9 | -202.2 |
| Standard Deviation | 1.8 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.6 |
| Literature | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF}_{2} \quad-193.0 \pm 1.8$ Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Method Average | -193.2 | -194.0 | -192.7 | -192.7 | -190.9 | -201.0 | -194.3 | -190.7 | -190.7 | -195.2 | -194.5 |
| Standard Deviation | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.0 |
| Literature | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Literature | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 4.4.2 Internal Rotors

Potential energy curves for internal rotations within the parent and radical species are calculated using the B3-LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory. Relaxed scans at 10 degree intervals are used to determine the lowest energy geometries. If a lower energy conformation is found, previous scans are re-run to insure the lowest energy conformation is located. These potential energy curves are also used to determine entropy and heat capacity internal rotational contributions.

All of the parent ketone terminal methyl (not adjacent to the carbonyl) groups, exhibit three-fold symmetry with energy barriers between $2-3 \mathrm{kcal}$ mol-1. The three-fold barriers are 0.5 kcal mol-1 for methyl rotations adjacent to the carbonyl group. Upon radical formation at the methyl site, there is a decrease to two-fold symmetry and a decrease in the barrier energy ranging from below 0.1 to 3.0 kcal mol-1 except for the groups
adjacent to the carbonyl. Radical sites adjacent to the carbonyl group, regardless of primary, secondary, or tertiary location, have energy barriers over $10 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ upon radical formation resulting from the resonance with the carbonyl group.

### 4.4.3 Entropies ( $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{T})$ ) and Heat Capacities $\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{p}}(\mathbf{T})\right.$ )

Contributions from each species translations, vibrational frequencies, and external rotations, represented as TVR, are calculated using the rigid-rotor harmonic-oscillator approximation SMCPS $^{87}$ code with the zero-point vibration energies (ZPVE) scaled by 0.9806 for B3-LYP/6-31G(d,p) as recommended.

The contributions from internal rotations, represented by IR, are determined using the calculated potential energy rotational barriers, moments of inertia for each group in the rotor, and barrier foldness. Internal rotor torsion frequencies, including terminal methyl groups, are identified using visual inspection in GaussView and removed from vibration contribution. In cases where identification of a frequency is uncertain due to coupling to other motions, the lower frequency is selected. These are replaced with entropy and heat capacity contributions from the Pitzer and Gwinn method for hindered rotor analysis. Values from the group additivity (GA) method are also included which coincide well with data observed in this study and literature data providing some support for considering the GA data as reference in the comparisons.

For this limited set of ketones, contributions to entropy and heat capacity from all of the internal rotors in each of the parent ketones need to be included in order to match the literature data if available, entropy is significantly underestimated when only the low
barrier methyl rotors are considered, in one case by more than 5 cal mol- $1 \mathrm{~K}-1$, relative to values observed prior to this analysis.

Ketone sp3 carbon bonds have lower barriers than those in alkane hydrocarbons, and these internal rotors should have important contributions, but there are also internal rotors in the larger ketones that have barriers higher than 6 kcal mol-1. There are a number of studies on methods and the importance for calculating contributions from internal rotations to obtain more accurate entropies and heat capacity estimates. ${ }^{89-96}$ It is known that torsion frequency estimates of the contributions to heat capacity contribute a full R (ideal gas constant) to $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T})$ at high temperatures, whereas a free rotor contributes only $R / 2$. The computer code THERM, ${ }^{93,94}$ which is often used to extrapolate $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T})$ data to higher temperatures and generate NASA polynomials, allows researchers to incorporate the number of internal rotors in the target $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T})$ (infinity) value. This allows some adjustment for anharmonic effects by under-representing the number of rotors, one can add $\mathrm{R} / 2$ to $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T})$ (infinity), where each rotor omitted would be counted by THERM as frequency contribution. Our recommendation is to underestimate the number of rotors contribution to $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T})$ (infinity) by one-half.

Entropies and heat capacities in the 298-1500 K temperature range for the fluorinated aldehydes from B3-LYP/6-31G(d,p) calculations are presented in Appendix C. For the fluorinated aldehyde species, all of the single bond rotations are included. The energy barriers for these primary and secondary locations are all in excess of $10 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}-$ 1 where contributions are treated as torsion frequencies. These barriers result from resonance between the radical site and the adjacent, electronegative carbonyl group. The resonance also accounts for the low bond dissociation energies. Potential barriers for rotors,
where $S(T)$ and $C p(T)$ values are determined from torsion frequencies, are denoted in the potential energy diagrams in Appendix C.

TVR represents the sum of the Translation External Rotation and Vibration contributions. IR indicates the contribution from hindered internal rotation, which replaces the calculated contribution for these internal rotors in the TVR heat capacity and entropy data. Table 4.5 lists the standard entropy and heat capacities as a function of temperature. Entropy and heat capacity results are from B3-LYP/6-31++G(d,p) calculated geometries and frequencies, which are listed in Appendix C. Each molecule is labeled as seen in Table 4.1.

Table 4.5 TVR Ideal Gas Phase Entropy and Heat Capacity Obtained by B3-LYP/6$31+G(d, p)$ for Fluorinated Ketones

| Species | $\mathbf{S}$ | $\mathbf{C P} \mathbf{3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 86.42 | 22.62 | 27.08 | 31.11 | 34.54 | 39.86 | 43.72 | 49.60 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | 93.63 | 24.32 | 28.96 | 33.09 | 36.53 | 41.71 | 45.34 | 50.66 |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 91.41 | 24.90 | 29.49 | 33.51 | 36.85 | 41.89 | 45.43 | 50.67 |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | 95.17 | 26.60 | 31.37 | 35.48 | 38.84 | 43.74 | 47.05 | 51.73 |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF}_{2}$ | 102.63 | 28.94 | 33.83 | 37.93 | 41.18 | 45.80 | 48.79 | 52.82 |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 96.81 | 27.62 | 32.42 | 36.39 | 39.58 | 44.23 | 47.38 | 51.88 |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | 104.47 | 29.32 | 34.29 | 38.35 | 41.55 | 46.07 | 49.00 | 52.94 |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF}_{2}$ | 107.80 | 31.63 | 36.75 | 40.80 | 43.91 | 48.14 | 50.73 | 53.95 |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 108.25 | 34.34 | 39.67 | 43.68 | 46.64 | 50.47 | 52.67 | 55.09 |
| $\left.\mathrm{CHFC}_{3}=\mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 86.74 | 22.47 | 26.45 | 29.87 | 32.64 | 36.57 | 39.05 | 42.23 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 84.07 | 22.00 | 26.30 | 29.93 | 32.89 | 37.32 | 40.45 | 45.16 |
| $\left.\mathrm{CHFC}_{2}=\mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | 91.97 | 23.97 | 28.18 | 31.77 | 34.70 | 38.99 | 41.92 | 46.15 |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 94.18 | 24.96 | 28.81 | 32.15 | 34.92 | 39.09 | 41.99 | 46.19 |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 94.53 | 24.31 | 28.75 | 32.38 | 35.25 | 39.39 | 42.18 | 46.17 |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF}_{2}$ | 92.34 | 24.27 | 28.63 | 32.22 | 35.06 | 39.07 | 41.69 | 45.27 |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | 97.47 | 26.44 | 30.54 | 34.01 | 36.82 | 40.88 | 43.55 | 47.22 |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF}_{2}$ | 98.83 | 26.44 | 30.54 | 34.01 | 36.82 | 40.88 | 43.55 | 47.20 |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF}_{3}$ | 106.95 | 31.42 | 35.92 | 39.35 | 41.93 | 45.30 | 47.24 | 49.36 |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 94.16 | 27.02 | 31.68 | 35.26 | 37.98 | 41.73 | 44.15 | 47.47 |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF}^{2}$ | 103.07 | 28.91 | 33.51 | 37.07 | 39.78 | 43.41 | 45.63 | 48.46 |

### 4.4.4 Group Additivity

Group additivity is a straightforward and reasonably accurate calculation method to estimate thermodynamic properties of hydrocarbons and oxygenated hydrocarbons; it is however useful for application to larger molecules and in codes or databases for the estimation of thermochemical properties in reaction mechanism generation. Groups used in the group additivity as designed by Benson ${ }^{34}$ are based on knowledge of the contributions of representative groups in similar molecules and experimentally observed in the determination of thermochemical properties such as heat capacity and enthalpy values. In this study, groups for fluoro-ketones species such as CJFC(=O)C, CJF2(=O)C, CF3C(=O)CJ, CJF2C(=O)CF2 and CF3C(=O)CJF2 were derived to calculate enthalpy, entropy and heat capacity values versus temperature $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f} 298}, \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}(\mathrm{T})\right.$, and $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{T})$ ).

## Example fluoroacetone $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$

The example below utilizes calculated enthalpy of formation of groups present in fluoroacetone $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$. Data for thermodynamic properties for the group $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2$ were calculated in previous work ${ }^{29}$ and groups $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} 2$ and $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{H} 3$ were calculated by Benson et al. ${ }^{34}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2+\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} 2+\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{H} 3  \tag{4.8}\\
& \left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=(-51.31)+(-32.10)+(-10.08)  \tag{4.9}\\
& \left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)=-93.49 \tag{4.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Table 4.6 labels standard molar enthalpy and entropy values and heat capacities for Benson Group Additivity. Table 4.7 lists the groups that are found in each of the species identified in this study.

Table 4.6 Standard Molar Enthalpy and Entropy Values and Heat Capacities (3001500K) for use in Benson ${ }^{34}$ Group Additivity for Fluorinated Ketones

| Group | HF | S | CP300 | CP400 | CP500 | CP600 | CP800 | CP1000 | CP1500 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C/C/H3 | -10.00 | 30.30 | 6.19 | 7.84 | 9.40 | 10.79 | 13.02 | 14.77 | 17.58 |
| C/C/CO/H2 ${ }^{91}$ | -5.2 | 9.6 | 6.2 | 7.7 | 8.7 | 9.5 | 11.1 | 12.2 | 14.07 |
| C/C2/H2 ${ }^{91}$ | -5.00 | 9.40 | 5.50 | 6.95 | 8.25 | 9.35 | 11.07 | 12.34 | 14.20 |
| CO/C2 $^{91}$ | -32.10 | 34.90 | 7.03 | 7.87 | 8.82 | 9.68 | 11.20 | 12.20 |  |
| C/CO/H3 ${ }^{91}$ | -10.08 | 30.41 | 6.19 | 7.84 | 9.4 | 10.79 | 13.02 | 14.77 | 17.58 |
| C/ CO/F/H2*9 | -51.31 | 60.98 | 18.00 | 21.63 | 24.74 | 27.32 | 31.01 | 33.61 | 50.96 |
| C/ CO/F2/H *9 | -100.93 | 53.25 | 16.95 | 20.45 | 23.38 | 25.84 | 29.48 | 32.20 | 50.00 |
| C/ CO/F3 *9 | -156.29 | 44.66 | 12.51 | 14.85 | 16.56 | 17.85 | 19.46 | 20.52 |  |
| CJC(=O)C | 96.05 | -1.16 | 0.32 | 0.19 | -0.15 | -0.57 | -1.43 | -2.22 | -3.67 |
| CJFC(=O)C | 89.00 | -0.32 | 0.15 | 0.63 | 1.24 | 1.90 | 3.29 | 4.67 | 7.37 |
| CJF2C(=O)C | 92.40 | -2.77 | -0.06 | 0.68 | 1.36 | 1.93 | 2.8 | 3.44 | 4.48 |
| CF2C(=O)CJ | 96.10 | -3.12 | 0.59 | 0.74 | 1.13 | 1.60 | 2.50 | 3.25 | 4.50 |
| CF3C(=O)CJ | 95.60 | 2.65 | 0.60 | 0.74 | 1.13 | 1.60 | 2.50 | 3.23 | 4.41 |
| CJF2C(=O)CF2 | 89.10 | 3.80 | 2.50 | 3.29 | 3.92 | 4.36 | 4.92 | 5.24 | 5.62 |
| CJF2C(=O)CF3 | 88.30 | 0.85 | 0.21 | 0.83 | 1.45 | 1.98 | 2.84 | 3.49 | 4.59 |

Table 4.7: Groups for the Fluorinated Ketones in this Study not including fluorinefluorine repulsive interactions between fluorine atoms on adjacent or nearby carbon atoms

| Species | Group 1 | Group 2 | Group 3 | Group 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CFC(=O)C | C/CO/H3 | CO/C2 | - | - |
| $\mathrm{CFC}(=0) \mathrm{CF}$ | C/CO/F/H2 | CO/C2 | - | - |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ | C/CO/F2/H | CO/C2 | C/CO/H3 | - |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}$ | C/CO/F2/H | CO/C2 | C/ CO/F/H2 | - |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}_{2}$ | C/CO/F2/H | CO/C2 | - | - |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ | C/CO/F3 | CO/C2 | C/CO/H3 | - |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}$ | C/CO/F3 | CO/C2 | C/ CO/F/H2 | - |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}_{2}$ | C/CO/F3 | CO/C2 | C/ CO/F2/H | - |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | C/CO/F3 | CO/C2 | - | - |
| $\mathrm{CHFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | C/CO/F/H2 | C/CO/H3 | CO/C2 | $\operatorname{CJFC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | C/CO/F/H2 | C/CO/H3 | CO/C2 | $\mathrm{CJC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathrm{CHFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | C/CO/F/H2 | C/CO/F/H2 | CO/C2 | CJFC( $=0$ ) C |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | C/CO/F2/H | C/CO/H3 | CO/C2 | CJF2(=O) C |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | C/CO/F2/H | C/CO/H3 | CO/C2 | CF3C(=0)CJ |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF}$ | C/CO/F2/H | C/CO/F/H2 | CO/C2 | CJFC(=O)C |

Table 4.7: Groups for the Fluorinated Ketones in this Study not including fluorinefluorine repulsive interactions between fluorine atoms on adjacent or nearby carbon atoms (continued)

| Species | Group 1 | Group 2 | Group 3 | Group 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 2 / \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2$ | $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} 2$ | $\mathrm{CJFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 2 / \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2$ | $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} 2$ | $\mathrm{CJF} 2(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 2 / \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 2 / \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} 2$ | $\mathrm{CJF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF} 2$ |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 3$ | $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{H} 3$ | $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} 2$ | $\mathrm{CF} 3 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CJ}$ |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF}^{2} /$ | $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 3$ | $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2$ | $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} 2$ | $\mathrm{CJFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 3$ | $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2$ | $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} 2$ |  |

### 4.4.5 Interaction Terms for Fluorinated Acetone Species

In conventional hydrocarbon and oxy-hydrocarbon group additivity, the $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{H} 3, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{H} 2$, $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} 2, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{H} 2$ and $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{H} 3$ group enthalpy values accurately predict enthalpy and other thermochemical properties for acetone, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$, and for larger ketone molecules with no additional groups needed. For the hydrocarbons and oxy-hydrocarbons, one group for each central atom (atom with two or more bonds), provide accurate thermochemical values.

Example groups for $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (a) groups are $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C}^{34}$ and $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{H} 3^{34}$
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (b) groups are $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{H} 3, \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C}^{34}, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{H} 2$
and $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{H} 3^{34}$
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (c) groups are $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{H} 3, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} 2$,
$\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{H} 2$ and $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{H} 3$
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (d) groups are $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{H} 3, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C}^{34}$
and $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{H} 2$
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (e) groups are $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{H} 3, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{H} 2$,
$\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C}^{34}$ and $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{H} 2$

The groups used in these ketone species provide correct data for $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \quad \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \quad \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \quad$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ agrees with literature values $-51.89^{134}$ (a), $-57.02^{121}$ (b), $61.91^{135}$ (c), $-60.6^{136}$ (d) and $-66.50^{135}$ (e) kcal mole ${ }^{-1}$, respectively. Similarly, results for
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ from group additivity values are $-51.56,-56.68,-61.91,-61.80$ and $-66.80 \mathrm{kcal}^{\mathrm{kch}} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$, respectively. The literature and group additivity values show good agreement, and there is no need for additional (interaction) groups.

In a previous study ${ }^{132}$, we have shown that fluorinated aldehydes required interactions terms from very strong F/F interactions. For example, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ was utilized to develop the interaction group $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{CFO}$. Using additivtiy groups $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2$ and $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F}$, the enthalpy of formation using group additivity calculation for the species $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ was calculated. The values from the two groups mentioned gives this species an enthalpy of formation of -147.07. Computational calculations in this study and in the literature show $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ to have an enthalpy of formation of $-143.57{ }^{132}$ and -143.6 45 kcal mole ${ }^{-1}$, respectively. Here, calculated values for this $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ molecule are not in agreement. As shown in Equation 3.8-3.9

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCF}(=\mathrm{O})=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2+\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{F}  \tag{3.8}\\
& \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCF}(=\mathrm{O})=(-51.31)+(-95.76)  \tag{3.9}\\
& \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCF}(=\mathrm{O})=-147.07 \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Due to this groups not being in good agreement, a third group is needed. Here needs to be a fluorine/fluorine interaction group added to the group addivity calculation to account for the interactions of the two fluorine atoms in order for the group additivity to accurately estimate the thermochemistry of fluoroaldehydes where there is a fluorine atom on adjacent carbons of the aldehyde. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ was utilized to develop the interaction group $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{FCO}$. $\Delta$ represents the value needed by the interaction group being calculated. The calculation of $\Delta$ below is for the interaction group F/CFO.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCF}(=\mathrm{O}) & =\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2+\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{F}+\Delta  \tag{3.11}\\
-143.57 & =(-51.31)+(-95.76)+\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{CFO}  \tag{3.12}\\
\mathrm{~F} / \mathrm{FCO} & =3.50 \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Following the same procedure as above, we will analyze 1,3 difluoro acetone. In this specific molecule, there are three groups that contribute to the enthalpy of formation. These groups include two $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2$ groups and one $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} 2$ group.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}=2 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2+\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} 2  \tag{3.14}\\
& \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}=2(-51.31)+(-32.1)  \tag{3.15}\\
& \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}=-134.72 \tag{3.16}
\end{align*}
$$

We illustrate that the thermochemistry for fluorinated ketones such as $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ is not accurately predicted by three groups, in this case one $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} 2$ and two C/CO/F/H2 groups. For this same species, computational calculations show an observed enthalpy of formation of $-133.2 \mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{kc}}$ mole $^{-1}$, in comparison to the group additivity methodology which gives a value of $-134.72 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$. Here an additional term will be added to account for the interaction of the fluorine interactions on each of the methyl groups to accurately estimate the thermochemistry of fluorinated ketones where there are fluorine atoms located on each side of the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ of the molecule. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ was utilized to develop the interaction group $\mathrm{CF} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{CF}$. Below, $\Delta$ represents the value needed by the interaction group being calculated. The calculation of $\Delta$ below is for the interaction group $\mathrm{CF} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{CF}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F} & =2(\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2)+\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{C} 2+\Delta  \tag{17}\\
-133.2 & =2(-51.31)+(-32.1)+\mathrm{CF} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{CF}  \tag{18}\\
\mathrm{CF} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{CF} & =1.52 \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Fluorinated ketone molecule types require new set of interaction terms from those that are pre-existing, as shown in previous study ${ }^{29}$ and work performed by Heng et al. ${ }^{28}$. The nomenclature of these new interaction terms are $\mathrm{CFx} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{CFy}$. As shown in Figure
4.2, the CFx represents the Fx portion of the interaction term, where x coincides with the number of fluorines attached to the primary carbon site. Similarly, CFy follows the same scheme as CFx. The CO portion of the term represents the $\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ group located between the methyl groups.


Figure 4.2 Structural formula for $\mathrm{Fx} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{Fy}$ interaction group terms.
Species $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF}_{2}, \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$, $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ were utilized to calculate interaction group terms for new interaction terms $\mathrm{CF} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{CF}, \mathrm{CF} 2 / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{CF}, \mathrm{CF} 2 / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 2, \mathrm{CF} 3 / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{CF}, \mathrm{CF} 3 / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{CF} 2$ and CF3/CO/CF3. These groups were developed to be utilized in the group additivity method determined within this study. Table 4.8 displays interaction term data observed.

Table 4.8 Enthalpy of Formation Values of Formation of Fluorine-Fluorine Interaction Terms

|  | $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{\mathrm{f} 298\left(\mathrm{kcal} \text { mole }{ }^{-1}\right)}$ <br> In This Study (avg) |
| :---: | :---: |
| CF/CO/CF | 1.52 |
| CF2/CO/CF | 1.54 |
| CF2/CO/F2 | 3.26 |
| CF3/CO/CF | 1.80 |
| CF3/CO/CF2 | 3.12 |
| CF3/CO/CF3 | 3.98 |

When comparing these interaction terms with the previous study ${ }^{132}$, we observed that the interactions of those species that have multiple fluorines on adjacent carbon atoms have a much larger interaction energy than those with a carbonyl group between them.

This is due to the repulsive nature of the fluorine atom. For example, when comparing interaction terms from ketones and aldehydes, the interaction terms in the aldehydes are larger. For instance, the $\mathrm{CF} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{CF}$ interaction group in ketones and the F/CFO interaction group both contain two fluorines. However, the CF/CO/CF interaction term has an enthalpy of formation of $1.44 \mathrm{kcal}^{\mathrm{kcole}}{ }^{-1}$, where the $\mathrm{F} / \mathrm{CFO}^{132}$ interaction group term has an observed enthalpy of formation of $3.50 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$. The lower energy observed in the ketone interaction groups is a result of the carbonyl group in between the methyl groups reducing the amount of interactions possible in the structure.

During this study a comparison between calculated enthalpies of formation with computational methodology and group additivity was made. In the absence of missing literature values, we relied on the accuracies our reference species in work reactions to determine precise enthalpies of formations of each species. Table 4.9 illustrates results of the calculated enthalpy of formation in comparison with group additivity values and literature values when observed. Literature values in the comparison have been observed to be a $\sim 2 \mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{kc}}$ mole $^{-1}$ lower than our calculated values; this could be a result of the amount or level of fluorocarbon data present during the time of the study.

Table 4.9 Enthalpy of Formation of Species in Study Compared to Group Additivity Calculations for Fluorinated Ketones

| Species | Calculated Enthalpy of <br> Formation (kcal mole $\mathbf{m}^{-1}$ | \% <br> Error | Group Additivity <br> (kcal mole | Lit. Values (kcal <br> mole |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -94.3 | $\pm 1.4$ | -92.79 | $-96.68^{133}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | -133.2 | $\pm 1.5$ | -132.50 | This work |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -144.5 | $\pm 0.4$ | -142.4 | This work |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | -182.1 | $\pm 0.6$ | -182.10 | This work |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF}_{2}$ | -230.0 | $\pm 0.8$ | -230.00 | This work |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -200.0 | $\pm 0.4$ | -198.47 | This work |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | -237.2 | $\pm 1.6$ | -237.20 | This work |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF}_{2}$ | -285.5 | $\pm 1.0$ | -285.50 | This work |

Table 4.9 Enthalpy of Formation of Species in Study Compared to Group Additivity Calculations for Fluorinated Ketones (Continued)

| Species | Calculated Enthalpy of <br> Formation (kcal mole ${ }^{-1}$ ) | \% <br> Error <br> Group Additivity <br> (kcal mole | Lit. Values (kcal <br> mole |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | -340.0 | -340.00 | This work |  |
| $\mathrm{CHFC}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -57.4 | $\pm 1.6$ | -57.40 | This work |
| $\mathrm{CHFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | -97.2 | $\pm 1.6$ | -97.11 | This work |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -104.2 | $\pm 2.6$ | -104.20 | This work |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | -100.5 | $\pm 2.8$ | -100.50 | This work |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF}^{2}$ | -147.1 | $\pm 1.6$ | -146.71 | This work |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ | -142.8 | $\pm 2.2$ | -1423.89 | This work |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF}_{2}$ | -193.0 | $\pm 1.8$ | -193.00 | This work |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF}_{3}$ | -249.3 | $\pm 2.2$ | --249.3 | This work |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | -156.5 | $\pm 2.9$ | -156.50 | This work |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHF}^{2}$ | -202.4 | $\pm 1.6$ | -201.81 | This work |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}_{2}$ | -249.3 | $\pm 2.2$ | -249.3 | This work |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | -50.3 | $\pm 1.9$ | -47.06 | This work |

### 4.4.6 Bond Dissociation Energies for Fluorinated Acetone Species

Fluorocarbons have been shown to have strong bonds even in the presences of fire making them very stable and very effective fire retardants. Unlike other halogens such as bromine and chlorine, fluorine bonds are much stronger due to their electronegative nature. In the presence of flame it has been shown by Haworth et al. ${ }^{56}$ that the hydrogen bond will be terminated, forming a radical. To determine the bond strength, fluorine-carbon bonds and hydrogen-carbon bonds must be calculated. This was accomplished by using the following equation,

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {reaction }}=\Sigma \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {product }}-\Sigma \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {reactants }}
$$

Where $\Delta H_{\text {reaction }}$ corresponds to the bond dissociation energy, $\Sigma \Delta H_{\text {product }}$ is the radical species enthalpy of formation with the enthalpy of a hydrogen atom, which has a
$\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{\circ} 298$ of $52.103 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$ or a fluorine atom which has a $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{\circ} 298$ of $18.97 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$, depending on the site being analyzed.

A bond dissociation enthalpy reflects the thermodynamic stability of two species: the parent molecule and the corresponding radical fragment. A low bond dissociation energy can be a result of the stabilization of the radical, a destabilization of the parent, or a combination of the two. By understanding this principle, it is of interest to further investigate at the molecular level what factors may contribute to the thermodynamic stabilization of radical species.

When observing fluorinated acetone species $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6-x} \mathrm{O}\right)$, where x in this case corresponds to the number of fluorine atoms present in the structure. All BDE values calculated are located in Table 9 and compared with literature values to bolster results when available.

## Acetone

BDE in acetone shows bond dissociation energy of $97.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$, consistent with that in literature. The same primary bond has an observed BDE of $97.2^{105}$. Comparing the primary bond from acetone with the bond energies associated with hydrocarbons, the bonding energy is $\sim 4 \mathrm{kcal}^{\text {mole }}{ }^{-1}$ weaker.

## Fluoroacetone

There are three sites where a radical can be formed on the fluoro acetone species. These include the fluorine-carbon bond, the hydrogen-carbon bond adjacent to the fluorine atom and the hydrogen-carbon bond opposite of the fluorine atom. The fluorine-carbon bond is observed to have the highest bonding energy at $106.5 \mathrm{kcal}^{\mathrm{kc}}$ mole ${ }^{-1}$. The hydrogen-
carbon bond adjacent to the fluorine atom and the hydrogen-carbon bond opposite of the fluorine atom have a bonding energy of 89.0 and $96.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$, respectively.

## 1,3-Difluoroacetone

The bonding energies in 1,3-difluoroacetone are evaluated at the fluorine-carbon bond and the hydrogen-carbon with energy values of 101.9 and $88.1 \mathrm{kcal}^{\mathrm{mole}}{ }^{-1}$, respectively.

## Difluoroacentone

Similar to that of fluoroacetone, difluoroacetone has three bonding sites which are analyzed, including the fluorine-carbon bond, the hydrogen-carbon bond adjacent to the fluorine atom and the hydrogen-carbon bond opposite of the fluorine atom. The fluorinecarbon bond is observed to have the highest bonding energy at $106.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$. The hydrogen-carbon bond adjacent to the fluorine atom and the hydrogen-carbon bond opposite of the fluorine atom have a bonding energy of 92.4 and $96.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$, respectively.

## 1,1,3-Trifluoroacetone

There are four sites where a radical can be formed. These locations include a fluorine-carbon bond connected to the 1- carbon and 3- carbon sites, and the hydrogencarbon bond on the 1-carbon and the 3- carbon site. The fluorine-carbon bonds located on the 1 and 3 carbon site have recorded bond energies of 103.9 and $100.6 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$, respectively. The fluorine-carbon bond adjacent to another fluorine-carbon bond has a stronger bond in comparison to the fluorine-carbon bond with two existing hydrogencarbon bonds by $3.3 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$. The hydrogen-carbon bonds show energies on the 1 -
carbon and 3-carbon sites to have bond dissociation energies of 91.4 and $87.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$, respectively.

## 1,1,3,3-Tetrafluoroacetone

Bonding sites in 1,1,3,3-tetrafluoroacetone are similar to that of 1,3-difluoro acetone, only different by another fluorine-carbon bond being present on both the 1-and-3 carbon site. In this species, the fluorine-carbon bond has a recorded value of 101.9 kcal mole $^{-1}$ and the hydrogen-carbon bond has an observed value of 89.1 kcal mole ${ }^{-1}$.

## Trifluoroacetone

Trifluoroacetone has two bonding sites. The first site is the fluorine-carbon bound where the species shows bond dissociation energy of $114.8 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$. The other site that has been analyzed is the hydrogen-carbon bond with bond dissociation energy of 95.6 kcal mole ${ }^{-1}$.

## 1,1,1,3-Tetrafluoroacetone

The 1,1,1,3-tetrafluoroacetone molecule has three sites where bond dissociation energies were analyzed. These sites include the fluorine-carbon site on the 1-carbon, where three fluorine atoms are attached to the same carbon, the fluorine-carbon site on the 3carbon and a hydrogen-carbon bond. These sites have bond dissociation energies of 113.4, 99.6 and $86.9 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$, respectively.

## 1,1,1,3,3-Pentafluoroacetone

1,1,1,3,3-pentafluoroacetone has similar bonding sites to that of $1,1,1,3-$ tetrafluoroacetone. The fluorine-carbon site on the 1-carbon has bond dissociation energy of $111.5 \mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$. The fluorine-carbon site on the 3-carbon has an observed bond
dissociation energy of $102.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$. The final site is the hydrogen-carbon bond, which has a bond dissociation energy of $88.3 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$.

## 1,1,1,3,3,3-Hexafluoroacetone

1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoroacetone was analyzed to determine the bond dissociation energy of an acetone molecule that is completely saturated with fluorine atoms as substituents. This analysis reveals the fluorine-carbon bond to have a bond dissociation energy of 109.7.

Table 4.10 Bond Dissociation Energy (BDE) of Fluorinated Ketone Species in this Study

| $\mathbf{C C}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | = | H | + | $\operatorname{CJC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |
| -51.89 |  | 52.103 |  | -6.9 | 97.1 | $97.2^{105}$ |
| CFC(=0)C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ | $=$ | H | + | CJFC(=O)C |  |  |
| -94.3 |  | 52.103 |  | -57.4 | 89.0 | This Work |
| $\mathrm{CFC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | = | H | + | CFC( $=0$ ) Cj |  |  |
| -94.39 |  | 52.103 |  | -50.3 | 96.1 | This Work |
| $\mathrm{CFC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | = | F | + | $\operatorname{CJC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |
| -94.39 |  | 18.97 |  | -6.9 | 106.5 | This Work |
| $\mathbf{C F C}(=0) \mathrm{CF}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CFC}(=0) \mathrm{CF}$ | = | H | + | CJFC( $=0$ ) CF |  |  |
| -133.2 |  | 52.103 |  | -97.2 | 88.1 | This Work |
| $\mathrm{CFC}(=0) \mathrm{CF}$ | = | F | + | $\mathrm{CFC}(=0) \mathrm{Cj}$ |  |  |
| -133.2 |  | 18.97 |  | -50.3 | 101.9 | This Work |
| CF2C(=O)C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF2C( $=0$ ) C | $=$ | F | + | $\operatorname{CJFC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |
| -144.5 |  | 18.97 |  | -57.47 | 106.1 | This Work |
| CF2C( $=0$ ) C | $=$ | H | + | CF2C(=O)Cj |  |  |
| -144.5 |  | 52.103 |  | -100.55 | 96.1 | This Work |
| CF2C( $=0$ ) C | $=$ | H | + | CJF2C(=O)C |  |  |
| -144.5 |  | 52.103 |  | -104.21 | 92.4 | This Work |

Table 4.10 Bond Dissociation Energy (BDE) of Fluorinated Ketone Species in this Study (Continued)

| CF2C(=0)CF |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CF2C(=O)CF | $=$ | F | + | CJFC(=O)CF |  |  |
| -182.1 |  | 18.97 |  | -97.2 | 103.9 | This Work |
| CF2C(=O)CF | $=$ | F | + | CF2C(=O)Cj |  |  |
| -182.1 |  | 18.97 |  | -100.5 | 100.6 | This Work |
| CF2C(=O)CF | = | H | + | CF2C( $=0$ ) CjF |  |  |
| -182.1 |  | 52.103 |  | -147.1 | 87.1 | This Work |
| CF2C(=O)CF | $=$ | H | + | CjF2C(=O)CF |  |  |
| -182.1 |  | 52.103 |  | -142.8 | 91.4 | This Work |
| CF2C(=O)CF2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF2C(=0)CF2 | $=$ | H | + | $\mathrm{CjF2C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF} 2$ |  |  |
| -230.0 |  | 52.103 |  | -193.0 | 89.1 | This Work |
| CF2C( $=0$ ) CF 2 | = | F | $+$ | CF2C( $=0$ ) CjF |  |  |
| -230.0 |  | 18.97 |  | -147.1 | 101.9 | This Work |
| $\operatorname{CF3C}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF3C(=O)C | = | F | + | $\mathrm{CjF2C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |
| -200.0 |  | 18.97 |  | -104.2 | 114.8 | This Work |
| CF3C(=0)C | = | H | $+$ | CF3C( $=0$ ) Cj |  |  |
| -200.0 |  | 52.103 |  | -156.5 | 95.6 | This Work |
| CF3C( $=0$ ) CF |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF3C(=O)CF | = | F | + | CjF2C(=O)CF |  |  |
| -237.2 |  | 18.97 |  | -142.8 | 113.4 | This Work |
| CF3C(=O)CF | = | F | + | CF3C( $=0$ ) Cj |  |  |
| -237.2 |  | 18.97 |  | -156.5 | 99.6 | This Work |
| CF3C(=O)CF | = | H | + | CF3C( $=0$ ) CjF |  |  |
| -237.2 |  | 52.103 |  | -202.4 | 86.9 | This Work |
| $\text { CF3C }(=0) \mathrm{OF} 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF3C(=O)CF2 | = | F | + | $\mathrm{CjF2C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF} 2$ |  |  |
| $-285.5$ |  | 18.97 |  | -193.0 | 111.5 | This Work |
| CF3C( $=0$ ) CF2 | = | F | + | CF3C( $=0$ ) CjF |  |  |
| $-285.5$ |  | 18.97 |  | -202.4 | 102.1 | This Work |
| CF3C( $=0$ ) CF2 | = | H | $+$ | CF3C( $=0$ ) CjF 2 |  |  |
| -285.5 |  | 52.103 |  | -249.3 | 88.3 | This Work |
| CF3C(=0)CF3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF3C( $=0$ ) CF3 | = | F | + | CF3C(=O)CjF2 | 109.7 | This Work |
| -340.0 |  | 18.97 |  | -249.3 |  |  |

From the analysis of the BDE's, it was observed that the strongest bond in this specific system comes from fluorine-carbon bond in the trifluoro acetone species. Fluorine-carbon bonds attached to a single carbon site have stronger bonds in comparison to those species that have fluorine atoms on both the carbon sites where an atom could bind. In this same study, it has been observed that hydrogen-carbon bonds are weaker when they are attached to a carbon site where there is a fluorine-carbon bond located in comparison to a carbon site where there are no fluorine-carbon bonds present.

The HBI groups have the simplifying advantage that only one HBI term set is needed for a given generic class radical. In the normal GA scheme, a radical group is needed for each radical site, in addition to the groups for each central atom adjacent to the radical center.

The first requirement for obtaining thermodynamic property data on radical species using the HBI group approach is to acquire the corresponding properties of the parents. This implies that the absolute accuracy of thermodynamic data for radicals using the HBI group approach also relies on the accuracy of the data for the corresponding stable molecules. The discussion of the accuracy of current thermodynamic data for the fluorocarbons (FC) compounds is beyond the scope and context of this paper. In most cases, the ideal gas thermodynamic data for FC stable molecules determined by experiment or high-level ab initio MO calculations are more reliable than the calculated values for the corresponding free radicals. Benson's GA approach has also proven to be an accurate method for thermodynamic properties of stable FC molecules. Errors in the determination of the thermodynamic properties of the corresponding free radicals using the HBI procedure resulting from the errors of parent's thermodynamic data should be minor.

When the thermodynamic data of the parent molecules are not correct, equilibrium calculations for free radicals relative to the parent will not be affected, but both will be in error relative to other radicals and molecules.

The objective of developing the HBI database is to develop an accessible and fundamental approach to estimate thermodynamic properties for a wide range of radicals on where data are not currently available.

In this paper, we present an initial database of HBI terms for fluorinated ketone radicals relevant to fluorocarbon chemistry for combustion, atmospheric, and other chemical modeling communities.

### 4.5 Summary and Conclusion

Thermodynamic properties of fluorinated ketones and corresponding radicals were calculated at each site where hydrogen would be attached using density functional theory, $a b$ initio and composite methods with the use of isodesmic reaction schemes for cancellation of calculation errors. Standard enthalpies of formation were determined using the average value observed in each species with CBS-APNO, CBS-4M, CBS-QB3 M062X, $\omega$ B97X, B3-LYP, G-2, G-3, G-4 and Weizmann-1 (W1U) calculation levels and multiple work reactions. Entropies and heat capacities were determined using the B3-LYP/6-31++G(d,p) optimized geometries and frequencies. Hindered internal rotational contributions to entropy and heat capacities were calculated by intramolecular torsion potential curves at the $\mathrm{B} 3-\mathrm{LYP} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ level, with an entropy correction mixing of rotational conformers. In addition, thermochemical data were utilized from recent studies verify the accuracy of contributions for groups $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} / \mathrm{H} 2, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 2 / \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{F} 3$,
$\operatorname{cjfc}(\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{o}) \mathrm{c}, \operatorname{cjf} 2 \mathrm{c}(=\mathrm{o}) \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{cf} 3 \mathrm{c}(=\mathrm{o}) \mathrm{cj}$, and $\mathrm{cjf} 2 \mathrm{c}(=\mathrm{o}) \mathrm{cf} 2$. Interaction terms were developed to account for fluorine-fluorine interactions. Finally, bond dissociations energies were calculated to determine the bond strengths for each species.

We have calculated HBI groups, which when applied to the respective thermodynamic properties of a parent hydrocarbon molecule, yield the thermodynamic properties, $\left(\mathrm{S}^{\circ} 298\right.$ and $\mathrm{Cp}-(\mathrm{T}, 300 \leq \mathrm{T} / \mathrm{K} \leq 1500)$ of a radical corresponding to loss of a hydrogen atom. The enthalpy term $\left(\Delta \mathrm{Hf}^{\circ}{ }_{298}\right)$ in the HBI database is related to the bond energy (BDE) of the corresponding C-H bond for each radical group. We evaluate and present a listing of the respective C-H bond energies for the 11 fluorocarbon species. The entropy term $\left(\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}{ }_{298}\right)$ and heat capacity terms $\Delta \mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T})$ in the HBI database are added to the corresponding properties of the parent molecule, to obtain the thermodynamic properties of the radical. Values of the respective $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T})$ terms in the HBI groups include contributions resulting from changes in barriers of internal rotations and vibrational frequencies (including frequencies of radical center inversion). The entropy values include correction for the electron spin degeneracy, but do not include symmetry corrections from the parent to the radical. The calculations for hydrogen atom bond increments $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\circ} 298$ and $\Delta \mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T})$ are based on the listed vibration and internal rotation changes and are determined using principles of statistical mechanics. Comparisons to previously published literature values, where available, show good agreement.

## CHAPTER 5

# THERMOCHEMISTRY AND KINETICS FOR UNIMOLECULAR DISSOCIATION OF THE SECONDARY ALKY RADICAL ON ETHYL OXIRANE 

### 5.1 Overview

Substituted ethers such as piennes are present in the atmosphere in large quantities via emission of pine trees. This study is directed towards the unimolecular reactions of the secondary ethyl radical unimolecular and isomerization reactions of ethyl oxirane, as this species can be readily formed by reactions of molecular oxygen with secondary alkyl radicals. Specifically an alkyl radical can react with OH radical in the atmosphere or in combustion systems to form $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ plus an alkyl radical. Here the radical site favors secondary carbon sites because the secondary $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond is weaker than that of a primary C-H bond. We are interested in the fate of the reactions of alkyl oxiranes, specifically ethyl oxirane and its oxidation byproducts under atmospheric and combustion conditions. The ethyl oxirane moiety is used as a generic oxirane produced under atmospheric and combustion oxidation of a normal alkane. Previous research has focused more on reactions of hydrocarbon radicals and the peroxy radicals and there are few studies on product alkyloxiranes and other substituted cyclic ethers. It is of value to study the reactions relevant to auto ignition and atmospheric reactions for predictive modeling of the chemistry. Data for predictive modeling will help us understand the lifetime potentials of chemical species in this investigation over a range of temperatures for corresponding hydrocarbons ${ }^{10}$. The further study of the ethyl oxirane oxidation should also be relevant to thermal reactions and combustion of bio-fuels which also include cyclic ethers such as furans. There has been an
increase in research to understand biochemical oxidation pathways for their efficient combustible. ${ }^{14,105}$

There are a number of experimental and theoretical studies on the early stages of combustion ${ }^{31-34}$, but data of cyclic ethers under ambient and combustion conditions is limited. Baldwin et al. ${ }^{35}$ has shown, in one of the earliest and also a landmark study on alkyl oxidation reactions; the formation of molecular oxirane as a product of ethyl radicals with oxygen. Dagaut et al. ${ }^{32,33}$ and Yakyaoui et al. ${ }^{34}$ have also reported results showing the formation of cyclic ethers in significant concentrations from the oxidation of hydrocarbons from low to moderate temperatures for combustion chemistry. There have also been computational studies performed on the formation of three-to five-membered cyclic ethers from hydroxyl radicals by Wijaya et al. ${ }^{36}$ and Zadar et al ${ }^{22}$.

The focus in this study, is aimed at the initial unimolecular reaction of the ethyl oxirane secondary radical because this the radical most easily formed by reaction with hydroxyl and other radicals in both combustion and atmospheric chemistry. Thermochemical properties of target parent molecule, and other radicals corresponding to loss of a hydrogen atom are determined. Thermochemical properties are also determined for unimolecular reaction products of this secondary ethyl oxirane intermediate. In addition to the thermochemistry of oxirane and its radical data on bond dissociation energies, unimolecular reaction activation energies along with kinetic parameters for each reaction and modeling of the overall unimolecular dissociation kinetics versus time are presented.

### 5.2 Nomenclature

Table 5.1 Nomenclature of the reactants, intermediates and products for Oxirane System

| Nomenclature | Species | Formula |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CC-Y(COC) | CH3CH2-Y[CHOCH2] | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}$ |
| CJC-Y(COC) | CH2CH2-Y[CHOCH2] | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}$ |
| CCJ-Y(COC) | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{CHOCH} 2]$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}$ |
| CC-Y(CJOC) | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH} 2-\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{COCH} 2]$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}$ |
| CC-Y(COCJ) | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH} 2-\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{CHOCH}]$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}$ |
| C=C-Y(COC) | $\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{CHOCH} 2]$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}$ |
| CC=COCJ | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHOCH} 2$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}$ |
| CC=CCOJ | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH2O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}$ |
| TY2-(CCH)-Y(COC) | $\mathrm{CH} 3-\mathrm{CH}[\mathrm{Y} 4(\mathrm{CHOCH}) \mathrm{H}]$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}$ |
| TCY2-[C-Y(COC)-H] | $\mathrm{CH} 3-\mathrm{Y} 3[\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{COCH} 2)]$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}$ |
| TCY2-[C-Y(CCO)-H] | $\mathrm{Y} 3(\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{H})-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CHOCH} 2)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}$ |
| TS1 | $\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{CH} 2---\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CHOCH} 2)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}$ |
| TS2 | $\mathrm{CH} 2---(\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CHOCH} 2)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}$ |
| TS3 | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH})-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{O})---\mathrm{CH} 2)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}$ |
| TS4 | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CHCH}---\mathrm{OCH} 2$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}$ |
| TS5 | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CH}---\mathrm{OCH} 2)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}$ |
| TS6 | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CHCH}---\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}$ |

### 5.3 Computational Methods

All calculations performed were accomplished using the Gaussian 09 program suite ${ }^{67}$. Molecules were optimized using B3-LYP methodology with the 6-31G++(d,p) basis set. The B3-LYP method combines the three-parameter Becke exchange functional (B3), with the Lee-Yang-Parr correlation functional (LYP) ${ }^{12}$. The minimum energy conformation of each species is identified by performing a relaxed internal rotor scan for each of the two carbon - carbon single bonds in ethyl oxirane. The dihedral angle is scanned from zero to 360 degrees in 10-degrees increments with optimization of the remaining molecular structure at each step (relaxed scan). After scanning each of the dihedral angles
corresponding to an internal rotor, the total energy corresponding to the most stable conformation was identified and used as a reference in plots of the potential barriers.

Isodesmic work reactions were employed for molecules in the study, to obtain accurate standard enthalpy of formation - energy calculations $\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{\mathrm{f} 298}\right)$, using the composite methods CBS-APNO ${ }^{124}$, CBS-4M ${ }^{125}$ and CBS-QB3 ${ }^{137}$, and the DFT methods M06-2 $\mathrm{X}^{130}, \omega \mathrm{~B}^{2} 7 \mathrm{X}^{131}$, B2P-LYP ${ }^{123}$ with a $6-31+(\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set.

The CBS models are based on a series of calculations made on a defined optimized lowest, molecular geometry, combined with a complete basis set extrapolation using model chemistry corrections for basis set truncation errors. These methods show a degree of accuracy in structure and energies that require convergence in basis set size. CBS-APNO is a more computationally expensive procedure than the CBS-QB3 method. (The acronym APNO stands for atomic pair natural orbital.) The CBS steps of this method allows for accurate extrapolations of the structure to the complete basis set limit. This is accomplished with (U)HF/6-311G(d,p) geometry optimization and frequency calculations to obtain enthalpic and free energy corrections. This then followed by a second geometry optimization at the QCISD(T), MP2(full), HF and MP2 levels, each with different basis sets.

CBS-QB3 is a five-step methodology that employs geometry optimization utilizing B3-LYP level calculations, frequency calculations to obtain thermal corrections, zero-point vibrational energy, and entropic information. The next steps of the computations are single point calculations at the $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$, MP4SQ and MP2 levels. When all the steps are completed, CBS extrapolation then computes the final energies ${ }^{138}$.

CBS-4M method is a complete basis-set method that is parameterized to the original CBS-4 method, where M is referring to the use of minimal population localization. In addition, this method includes empirical corrections ${ }^{124}$.

M062x is a hybrid meta exchange-correlation functional that is a high nonlocality functional with double the amount of nonlocal exchange ${ }^{61}$.
$\omega \mathrm{B} 97 \mathrm{X}$ is a long range corrected functional created by Head-Gordon and coworkers, which includes empirical dispersion ${ }^{63}$.

B2P-LYP ${ }^{123}$ is a double hybrid density functional that expands the DFT exchange correlation energies by a combination of mixing Gradient-Corrected (GCA) functional and exact exchange. The sum of these terms derived from GCA functions and correlation energies is calculated with second order perturbation theory.

Data from the methods mentioned above allowed for the determination of entropy and heat capacity values as a function of the following parameters: optimized structure, moments of inertia, vibration frequencies, internal rotor potentials, molecular symmetry, electron degeneracy, number of optical isomers and the mass of each molecule with respect to temperature. This calculation uses standard formulas from statistical mechanics to determine the contributions of translational, vibrational, and external rotation (TVR) and mass, using the SMCPS (Statistical Mechanics-Heat Capacity, and Entropy) program ${ }^{87}$. SMCPS utilizes the rigid-rotor harmonic oscillator approximation on the vibrational frequencies of the individual chemical species with moments of inertia from the optimized structure at the B3-LYP/6-31G(d,p) level.

Transition-state properties (structures) for reactions that do not have potential barriers do not follow the same calculation procedures as transition states with saddle point

- potential barriers and other also species in this study. For reaction transition states where there is no barrier (saddle point); variational transition state theory (VTST) analysis is peroformed. Variational TST analysis is accomplished by running an energy scan along the selected bond of interest. Then from each optimized structure in the transition state region at the fixed bond distance, the vibrational frequencies were calculated. Rate constants are determined from the reactant to each of the points $\left(\mathrm{TST}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ : $\mathrm{AB} \rightarrow \mathrm{TST}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$ $>\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$. The distances that possess the minimum rate constant at each corresponding bond length are then reported with respect to the temperature at which they were evaluated. The variational rate constant is then determined from the fit of these rate constants to the modified Arrhenius equation:

$$
\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{A} \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{n}} \operatorname{Exp}(-\mathrm{Ea} / \mathrm{RT})
$$

The kinetic parameters for bimolecular chemical activation reactions, stabilization of chemically energized adducts, and unimolecular thermal dissociation reactions of the stabilized isomers were calculated by using a mutli frequency quantum Rice-RamspergerKassel (qRRK) analysis for $k(E)$. This was accomplished with steady state approximation on the energized adduct. Reaction kinetic parameters for the association forming chemically activated peroxy radical are reported to all stabilized adduct product channels as a function of pressure and temperature. Reaction kinetic parameters of stabilized intermediates are calculated only to adducts and products that are adjacent to the adduct/intermediate. The current version of the qRRRK computer code utilizes a reduced set of three vibrational frequencies that accurately reproduce the heat capacity of each species.

The group additivity method also known as second order approximation, developed by Benson ${ }^{91}$, was used as a comparison for the determined parent $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ} \mathrm{f} 298, \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}(\mathrm{T})$, and C (T) values. This method utilizes additivity behavior for each carbon and oxygen component in the molecules, - Group Additivity. This is based on the knowledge of the contributions of representative groups in similar molecules, and the thermochemical properties of the group, in linear consistency for oxygenated hydrocarbon molecules. Thermodynamic properties of species, such as those in this study, were accurately approximated based on the sum of smaller representative groups, where there are corrections for rotors, symmetry, electron degeneracy, optical isomers, and gauche and other interactions. The group additivity and calculated Density Functional Theory values are then compared. The good agreement between the two methods supports the accuracy of the study.

### 5.4 Results and Discussion

The isodesmic work reactions were used in the analysis of reference species $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{f}(298)$ values listed Table 5.2. The CBS-APNO, CBS-4M, CBS-QB3, M062X, $\omega$ B97X, B2PLYP composite and the DFT methods were used to calculate ethyl oxirane enthalpies of formation, along with radicals and products formed through oxidation reaction steps. Nomenclature for species identification and molecular formulas are listed in Table 5.1. Evaluation of the thermochemical enthalpies of the reactants, intermediates, and transition states using three different calculation methods provides a reinforcement of the accuracy and shows consistency of the data. The isodesmic reactions that were utilized have been averaged for each calculation method and are reported as method averages of the six methods. The standard deviation is listed for the calculation sets on each species. The
smallest standard deviation of a set of molecules is 0.1 and the largest value is $3.6 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$.

Table 5.2 Standard Enthalpies of Formation used as Reference Species in Isodesmic Reactions for Ethyl Oxirane System

| Species | $\Delta \mathbf{H}^{\circ}{ }_{\text {f }} 298$ (kcal mol ${ }^{-1}$ ) | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | $52.103 \pm 0.001$ | 139 |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C}$ | $4.6 \pm 0.3$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CJ}$ | $64.1 \pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| Y(COC) | $-12.4 \pm 0.6$ | 105 |
| Y(COCJ) | $40.0 \pm 0.6$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ | $12.5 \pm 0.1$ | 105 |
| CC | $-20.0 \pm 0.1$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COH}$ | $-29.9 \pm 0.6$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | $-76.0 \pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCOH}$ | $-30.1 \pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COC}$ | $-51.72{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 103 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CQ}$ | $-9.2 \pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| C2CC | $-32.0 \pm 0.4$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | $-65.4 \pm 0.3$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}$ (trans) | $-2.7 \pm 0.2$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}$ (cis) | $-1.5 \pm 0.2$ | 105 |
| CCC | $-25.2 \pm 0.3$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CCC})$ | $13.0 \pm 0.4$ | 105 |
| Y(CJCC) | $69.9 \pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{COH}$ | $-35.8 \pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OJ}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | $-18.3 \pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CQ}$ | $-15.3 \pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CCC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | $-70.1 \pm 0.35$ | 140 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | $-33.74{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 24 |
| $\mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CCC})$ | $0.3{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 141 |
| $\mathrm{CCJ}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | $-22.9 \pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| CCCQ | $-44.0{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 142 |
| CCCC | $-30.0 \pm 0.1$ | 105 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Species do not have uncertainty values available during the time of this study

Table 5.2 Standard Enthalpies of Formation used as Reference Species in Isodesmic Reactions for Ethyl Oxirane System (continued)

| Species | $\Delta \mathbf{H}^{\circ} \mathrm{f} 298$ (kcal mol ${ }^{-1}$ ) | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCCCOH | $-65.65 \pm 0.07$ | 106 |
| CCJCQ | $4.6 \pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| CCCOH | $-56.4 \pm 0.4$ | 105 |
| CCJCOH | $-13.0 \pm 0.4$ | 105 |
| C-Y(CCC) | $5.9{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 141 |
| CCJCCOH | -18.73 | 143 |
| $\mathrm{COC}=\mathrm{O}$ | $-85.6 \pm 0.2$ | 105 |
| CY(COC) | $-22.3 \pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| CJOC | $0.8 \pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| COC | $-43.9 \pm 0.2$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})-\mathrm{C}$ | -32.76 | 24 |
| CJOCOH | $-42.7 \pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| COCOH | -86.74 | 144 |
| CJOC=O | $-37.3 \pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CCJC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | $-22.58 \pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| CJ-Y(COC)-C | 13.59 | 24 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | 13.35 | 24 |
| C2-Y(COCJ) | 18.39 | 24 |
| C-Y(CJOC)-C | 17.76 | 24 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OJ}) \mathrm{C}$ | $-6.9 \pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCOH}$ | $-30.1 \pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCOJ}$ | $23.7 \pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COC}$ | -51.72 | 103 |
| CCCC | $-30 \pm 0.1$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | $-40.6 \pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| CC-Y(CCC) | 0.3 | 141 |
| CCCOJ | $-8.1 \pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| CCOCJ | $-7.3 \pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| CCCCOH | $-65.65 \pm 0.07$ | 106 |
| CCJCC | $16.6 \pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| C-Y(CCC) | 5.9 | 141 |
| COC=O | $-85.6 \pm 0.2$ | 105 |
| CJCCC | $19.3 \pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| CJCCOH | $-11.5 \pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| CCOC | $-51.9 \pm 0.9$ | 105 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Species do not have uncertainty values available during the time of this study

The work reactions are illustrated in table 5.3 along with the corresponding composite and hybrid DFT methods with the $6-31 \mathrm{G}++(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set. Each species is evaluated using three to five isodesmic work reactions and different calculation methods. The reference species in the reactions conserve bond types in products and reactants (Isodesmic Reactions). The use of isodesmic reactions leads to the cancellation of systemic errors in the molecular orbital calculations ${ }^{145}$.

Optimized structure parameters, symmetry values, moments of inertia, vibrational frequencies and internal rotor potentials for each species from B3-LYP/6-31G+(d,p) level of theory are present in Appendix D.

Table 5.3 Isodesmic Reactions of Species in Study for Oxirane System

| Isodesmic Reactions |  |  |  |  | Heat of Formation (kcal/mol) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | CBS-APNO | CBS-4M | CBS-QB3 | MO62X | wb97x | B2-LYP |
| $\mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CC-Y(COC) | $+\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CCC})$ | $=\mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CCC})$ |  | Y(COC) | -28.7 | -28.7 | -28.8 | -28.1 | -28.2 | -28.4 |
| CC-Y(COC) | $+\mathrm{CCCOH}$ | $=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ |  | $\mathrm{CCC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | -27.6 | -27.2 | -27.2 | -26.6 | -26.7 | -26.9 |
| CC-Y(COC) | $+\mathrm{CCCOH}$ | $=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ |  | CCCCOH | -27.1 | -27.4 | -27.2 | -26.9 | -26.7 | -27.0 |
| CC-Y(COC) | $+\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CCC})$ | $=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CCC})$ |  | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | -27.9 | -28.1 | -27.6 | -27.6 | -27.4 | -27.4 |
| $\mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | $+\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CCC})$ | $=\mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CCC})$ |  | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | -28.0 | -28.3 | -28.0 | -27.7 | -27.7 | -27.8 |
|  |  |  |  | average | -27.9 | -28.0 | -27.8 | -27.4 | -27.3 | -27.5 |
|  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| CJC-Y(COC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CJC-Y(COC) | $+\mathrm{CCCOH}$ | $=\mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | $+$ | CJCCOH | 22.7 | 22.7 | 23.2 | 23.5 | 23.2 | 23.1 |
| CJC-Y(COC) | + CCCC | $=\mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ |  | CJCCC | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.1 | 21.8 | 22.0 | 22.1 |
| CJC-Y(COC) | $+\mathrm{CY}-(\mathrm{COC})-\mathrm{C}$ | $=\mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ |  | CJY-(COC)-C | 19.7 | 20.9 | 21.4 | 20.9 | 20.6 | 21.1 |
| CJC-Y(COC) | + C2-Y(COC) | $=\mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ |  | CJ2-Y(COC) | 20.1 | 20.8 | 21.5 | 20.7 | 20.6 | 21.0 |
|  |  |  |  | average | 21.6 | 21.8 | 22.2 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.1 |
|  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
| CJC-Y(COC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CC-Y(CJOC) | $+\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | $=\mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | + | $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COCJ})$ | 23.0 | 23.1 | 22.9 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 23.0 |
| CC-Y(CJOC) | $+\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CCC})$ | $=\mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | + | Y(CJCC) | 25.3 | 25.6 | 22.4 | 23.8 | 23.0 | 22.6 |
| CC-Y(CJOC) | $+\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | $=\mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | + | $\mathrm{CCJ}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | 23.9 | 25.5 | 24.0 | 23.9 | 23.9 | 23.4 |
| CC-Y(CJOC) | $+\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})-\mathrm{C}$ | $=\mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | + | C-Y(CJOC)-C | 23.2 | 23.2 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 23.34 | 23.4 |
|  |  |  |  | average | 23.8 | 24.4 | 23.2 | 23.6 | 23.4 | 23.1 |
|  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 |

Table 5.3 Isodesmic Reactions of Species in Study for Oxirane System (Continued)


Table 5.3 Isodesmic Reactions of Species in Study for Oxirane System (Continued)


### 5.4.1 Heat of Formation $\Delta \boldsymbol{H}^{\circ}{ }_{f 298}$

A summary of the average standard enthalpy of formation ( $\Delta H^{\circ}{ }_{f} 298$ ) values from computational methods for species illustrated from work reactions in Table 5.3 are summarized in Table 5.4. Table 5.4 lists the average enthalpy of formation for species calculated enthalpy of formation $\left(\Delta H^{\circ}{ }_{f 298}\right)$ values from the computational methodology utilized in work reactions. On the basis of the correlations in these different techniques, error values are provided in Table 5.4 using the standard deviation from the individual calculated standard enthalpy of formation $\left(\Delta H^{\circ} f 298\right)$ values. The resulting standard deviations from the reactions show an average standard deviation of $1.06 \mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{kc}} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$. These standard deviations coincide with the average standard deviations, on a per work reaction basis determined in Table 5.3. Values in Table 5.4 are recommended for the evaluation of accuracy. It is noted that the uncertainty in the reference species also need to be considered.

Table 5.4 Summary of Average Heat of Formation $\Delta H^{\circ}{ }_{f 298}$ and Literature Values for Oxirane System

|  | Heat of Formation $(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Calculated Enthalpy of Formation $(\mathbf{k c a l}$ mole |  |
| CC-Y(COC) | $-27.6 \pm 0.63$ | Literature |
| CJC-Y(COC) | $21.7 \pm 1.04$ | This Study |
| CCJ-Y(COC) | $18.3 \pm 0.77$ | This Sudy |
| CC-Y(CJOC) | $23.6 \pm 0.83$ | This Sudy |
| CC-Y(COCJ) | $25.1 \pm 0.85$ | This Study |
| CC=COC | $-54.8 \pm 1.30$ | This Study |
| CC=COCJ | $-12.5 \pm 2.90$ | $52.11^{160}$ |
| CC=CCOH | $-37.9 \pm 0.60$ | This Study |
| CC=CCOJ | $16.3 \pm 1.22$ | This Sudy |
| C=C-Y(COC) | $2.1 \pm 0.43$ | This Sudy |

### 5.4.2 Carbon-Hydrogen Bond Dissociation Energies

As noted earlier in this study the formation of the ethyl oxirane secondary radical is initiated via reaction with a $(\mathrm{OH})$ hydroxyl radical. The OH radical abstracts a hydrogen atom, forming a water molecule and ethyl oxirane radical. To determine which carbon site the hydroxyl molecule would extract a hydrogen from, carbon-hydrogen bond dissociation energies for each site was calculated. This was achieved by using the following equation,

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {reaction }}=\Sigma \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {product }}-\Sigma \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {reactants }}
$$

The $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {reaction }}$ here corresponds to the carbon-hydrogen bond dissociation energy (BDE); $\Sigma \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {product }}$ represents the radical species enthalpy of formation plus the enthalpy of a hydrogen atom. The hydrogen atom has an enthalpy of formation $\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\circ} 298\right)$ of 52.103 kcal mole ${ }^{-1}$.

The Ethyl Oxirane specie, shown below, has for four sites where a hydrogen atom could be extracted from Figure 5.1. The BDE values calculated are listed in Table 4 and are compared with literature values.


Figure 5.1 Possible radical site positions for ethyl oxirane.
In the ethyl oxirane molecule, $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ is the primary carbon site. The primary carbonhydrogen bond has an observed BDE $101.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Comparing this calculated value with literature by Auzmendi-Murua et al. ${ }^{24}$, the average BDE of primary oxirane radicals was observed to be $101.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The secondary carbon-hydrogen site labeled $\mathrm{C}_{2}$, was observed to have a carbon-hydrogen bond dissociation energy of $98.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. For the secondary carbon-hydrogen site, data have not yet been reported during the time of this
study. Comparing data for primary and secondary carbon-hydrogen BDE with literature data, the results obtained are consistent with BDE values reported for alkanes having primary and secondary BDE of 101.3 and $98.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}^{146}$, respectively.

The carbon-hydrogen bond attached to the secondary carbon and the ring portion of the ethyl oxirane species, labeled $\mathrm{C}_{3}$, has a BDE of $103.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Studies by Auzmendi-Murua et al. ${ }^{24}$ report BDE of similar oxirane radical species to be on average $102.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} . \mathrm{C}_{4}$, the carbon-hydrogen bond, has been recorded to have a BDE of 104.8 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. In comparison to the other carbon-hydrogen bonds observed, this is the strongest bond in the ethyl oxirane molecule. This finding is in good agreement with literature values observed by Auzmendi-Murua et al. ${ }^{24}$, reporting $104.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}^{24}$ for the similar carbonhydrogen bond. $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ has the lowest BDE in comparison to the other carbon-hydrogen bonding energies. In this study, because of this carbon-hydrogen site having the weakest bond strength, this is the location where we believe the hydroxyl radical extraction of a hydrogen atom will be favored.

Table 5.5 Bond Dissociation Energy (BDE) of Ethyl Oxirane and 2-(sec-Butyl)Oxirane

| Reaction |  |  |  |  | Bond Dissociation Energies (kcal mol ${ }^{-1}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CC-Y(COC) | = | CCJ-Y(COC) | + | H |  |  |
| -27.6 |  | 18.4 |  | 52.103 | $98.1 \pm 0.7$ | $98.5{ }^{146}$ |
| CC-Y(COC) | = | CJC-Y(COC) | + | H |  |  |
| -27.6 |  | 21.7 |  | 52.103 | $101.4 \pm 1.0$ | $101.3{ }^{146}$ |
| CC-Y(COC) | = | CC-Y(CjOC) | + | H |  |  |
| -27.6 |  | 23.6 |  | 52.103 | $103.3 \pm 0.8$ | $103.1{ }^{24}$ |
| CC-Y(COC) | = | CC-Y(COCj) | + |  |  |  |
| -27.6 |  | 25.1 |  | 52.103 | $104.8 \pm 0.9$ | $104.4{ }^{24}$ |

### 5.4.3 Internal Rotors

Potential energy curves for internal rotations in the parent, radical and intermediate species resulting from unimolecular dissociation and oxidation reactions are calculated using the B3-LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory. Relaxed scans at 10 degree intervals are used to determine the lowest energy geometries. If a lower energy conformation is found, previous scans are re-run and reevaluated to insure the lowest energy conformation is located. These potential energy curves are also used to determine entropy, heat capacity and internal rotational contributions. These potential energy curves are presented in Appendix D and are used to calculate entropy and heat capacity contributions from internal rotations.

### 5.4.4 Entropies ( $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{T})$ ) and Heat Capacities $\left(\mathrm{C}_{p}(\mathrm{~T})\right.$ )

The method used to calculate entropies and heat capacities was SMCPS. SMCPS (Statistical Mechanics-Heat Capacity, and Entropy) applies only the rigid-rotor harmonic oscillator (HO) approximation without correction (subtraction) of $\mathrm{R} \ln (\sigma)$ for the three fold symmetry of primary methyl groups using the torsion frequencies. Table 5.6 presents the calculated entropies and heat capacities for parent, radical and intermediate species.

Table 5.6 Entropies and Heat Capacities for Parent, Radical and Intermediate Species for Ethyl Oxirane System

| Species | Hf $\left(\mathbf{k c a l ~ m o l}^{-1}\right)$ | S | Cp300 | Cp400 | Cp500 | Cp600 | Cp800 | Cp1000 | Cp1500 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CC-Y(COC) | -27.6 | 71.06 | 20.22 | 26.09 | 31.82 | 36.88 | 44.94 | 50.92 | 60.24 |
| CJC-Y(COC) | 21.7 | 70.10 | 19.20 | 24.69 | 29.81 | 34.23 | 41.12 | 16.19 | 54.12 |
| CCJ-Y(COC) | 18.3 | 71.00 | 19.09 | 24.43 | 29.52 | 33.94 | 40.90 | 46.04 | 54.06 |
| CC-Y(CJOC) | 23.6 | 59.48 | 18.20 | 23.51 | 28.64 | 33.16 | 40.34 | 45.64 | 53.88 |
| CC-Y(COCJ) | 25.1 | 68.99 | 18.09 | 23.55 | 28.77 | 33.32 | 40.49 | 45.77 | 53.95 |
| CC=COC | -54.8 | 69.86 | 20.58 | 25.44 | 29.60 | 33.12 | 38.67 | 42.80 | 49.27 |
| CC=COCJ | -12.5 | 72.33 | 20.79 | 25.76 | 30.47 | 34.60 | 41.22 | 46.19 | 54.07 |

Table 5.6 Entropies and Heat Capacities for Parent, Radical and Intermediate Species for Ethyl Oxirane System (Continued)

| Species | Hf $\left(\right.$ kcal mol $\left.^{-1}\right)$ | S | Cp300 | Cp400 | Cp500 | Cp600 | Cp800 | Cp1000 | Cp1500 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CC=CCOH | -37.9 | 83.04 | 23.93 | 29.26 | 34.16 | 38.51 | 45.56 | 50.76 | 58.66 |
| CC=CCOJ | 16.3 | 71.16 | 19.18 | 24.30 | 29.26 | 33.64 | 40.64 | 45.86 | 54.00 |
| C=C-Y(COC) | 2.1 | 69.52 | 18.39 | 23.61 | 28.50 | 32.69 | 39.18 | 43.89 | 51.17 |
| TY2-(CCH)-Y(COC) | 80.54 | 70.43 | 19.46 | 25.19 | 30.56 | 35.15 | 42.22 | 47.28 | 54.94 |
| TCY2-[C-Y(COC)-H] | 83.09 | 73.8 | 20.44 | 25.68 | 30.68 | 35.05 | 41.95 | 46.99 | 54.74 |
| TCY2-[C-Y(CCO)-H] | 71.45 | 72.2 | 20.26 | 25.86 | 31.01 | 35.4 | 42.19 | 47.12 | 54.73 |
| TS1 | 59.0 | 77.86 | 21.53 | 26.89 | 31.78 | 35.91 | 42.28 | 46.97 | 54.43 |
| TS2 | 57.7 | 73.45 | 21.79 | 27.5 | 32.63 | 36.93 | 43.44 | 48.11 | 55.28 |
| TS3 | 30.5 | 73.23 | 21.17 | 26.4 | 31.21 | 35.34 | 41.85 | 46.69 | 54.36 |
| TS4 | 22.8 | 82.39 | 23.75 | 28.49 | 32.88 | 36.68 | 42.74 | 47.32 | 54.68 |
| TS5 | 23.1 | 73.52 | 20.18 | 25.21 | 30.08 | 34.35 | 41.15 | 46.2 | 54.14 |
| TS6 | 48.5 | 80.56 | 22.98 | 27.72 | 32.18 | 36.07 | 42.3 | 47.01 | 54.54 |

The data in Table 5.6 for the parent molecules by the HO approximation method, as implemented in the SMCPS code, are shown to consistently under predict entropies by 4 to 9 cal mol-1 K-1 in this 298-1500 K temperature range. It is seen that as the branching of these isomers increases, the HO approximation provides a slight increase in accuracy.

### 5.4.5 Group Additivity

The group additivity (GA) method, as developed by Benson ${ }^{91}$ is a rapid estimation method for $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ} \mathrm{f} 298, \mathrm{~S}^{\circ} 298$, and $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T})$ of stable species. This method is based on the knowledge of the contributions of representative groups in similar molecules, in addition to experimental linear consistency observed in thermochemical properties such as heat capacity and enthalpy values.

This study utilizes group additivity terms values to compare calculated enthalpies of formation for ethyl oxirane and ethyl oxirane radicals. The group terms employed for
this section of research can be utilized to estimate enthalpy values to compare calculated enthalpy of formation values. Table 5.7 list the groups that are employed in group additivity methodology.

Table 5.7 Group Contribution Terms Used in the Calculation of Species

| Group | Hf | $\mathrm{S}_{298}$ | CP300 | CP400 | CP500 | CP600 | CP800 | CP1000 | CP1500 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C/C/H3 ${ }^{91}$ | -10.00 | 30.30 | 6.19 | 7.84 | 9.40 | 10.79 | 13.02 | 14.77 | 17.58 |
| C/C2/H2 ${ }^{91}$ | -5.00 | 9.40 | 5.50 | 6.95 | 8.25 | 9.36 | 11.07 | 12.34 | 14.20 |
| $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{O}^{91}$ | -7.20 | -11.00 | 4.80 | 6.64 | 8.10 | 8.73 | 9.81 | 10.40 | 11.51 |
| $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{C}^{91}$ | -23.20 | 8.68 | 3.40 | 3.70 | 3.70 | 3.80 | 4.40 | 4.60 | - |
| $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{H} 2 / \mathrm{O}^{91}$ | -8.10 | 9.80 | 4.99 | 6.85 | 8.30 | 9.43 | 11.11 | 12.33 | - |
| RJCOC ${ }^{91}$ | 102.32 | 1.23 | 0.48 | -0.11 | -0.76 | -1.36 | -2.36 | -3.11 | -4.21 |
| $\mathrm{T}^{91}$ | 96.50 | 5.24 | -0.78 | -2.48 | -3.55 | -4.15 | -4.75 | -5.02 | -5.39 |
| $\mathrm{S}^{91}$ | 98.45 | 4.44 | -1.50 | -2.33 | -3.10 | -3.39 | -3.75 | -4.45 | -5.20 |
| $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CD} / \mathrm{H} 3^{91}$ | -10.20 | 30.41 | 6.19 | 7.84 | 9.40 | 10.79 | 13.02 | 14.77 | 17.58 |
| CD/C/H ${ }^{91}$ | 8.59 | 7.97 | 4.16 | 5.03 | 5.81 | 6.50 | 7.65 | 8.45 | 9.62 |
| $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CD} / \mathrm{H} 2 / \mathrm{O}^{91}$ | -6.76 | 9.80 | 5.12 | 6.86 | 8.32 | 9.49 | 11.22 | 12.48 | 14.40 |
| $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{J}^{91}$ | -37.90 | 29.07 | 4.30 | 4.50 | 4.82 | 5.23 | 6.02 | 6.61 | 7.44 |
| O/C/D ${ }^{91}$ | -23.73 | 9.70 | 3.91 | 4.31 | 4.60 | 4.84 | 5.32 | 5.80 | - |
| $\mathrm{CD} / \mathrm{H} 2^{91}$ | 6.26 | 27.61 | 5.10 | 6.36 | 7.51 | 8.50 | 10.07 | 11.27 | 13.19 |
| $\mathrm{P}^{91}$ | 101.10 | 2.61 | -0.77 | -1.36 | -1.91 | -2.40 | -3.16 | -3.74 | -4.66 |

Comparisons are completed using the sum of the group present in each species with the calculated enthalpy of formation are presented in Table 5.8.

Table 5.8 Comparison of Heat of Formation with Methods and Group Additivity

|  | Heat of Formation (kcal/mol) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Species | CBS-APNO | CBS-4M | CBS-QB3 | M062X | Wb97X | B2-LYP | Average | Lit. | G.A. |
| CC-Y(COC) | -27.9 | -28.0 | -27.8 | -27.4 | -27.3 | -27.5 | -27.6 | This Study | -26.8 |
| CjC-Y(COC) | 21.6 | 21.8 | 22.2 | 22.0 | 22.0 | 22.1 | 21.7 | This Study | 22.2 |
| CCj-Y(COC) | 19.4 | 18.2 | 17.7 | 18.6 | 18.3 | 18.0 | 18.3 | This Study | 19.6 |
| CCYCjOC | 23.8 | 24.4 | 23.2 | 23.6 | 23.4 | 23.1 | 23.6 | This Study | 17.6 |
| CCYCOCj | 25.5 | 25.9 | 24.8 | 25.0 | 24.7 | 24.6 | 25.1 | This Study | 19.6 |
| CCDCOC | -58.1 | -58.9 | -58.6 | -58.4 | -58.4 | -58.0 | -54.8 | 52.44 | -41.3 |
| CCDCOCj | -12.7 | -11.6 | -12.6 | -11.5 | -12.4 | -12.4 | -12.5 | This Study | 16.3 |
| CCDCCOH | -38.0 | -36.9 | -37.7 | -38.1 | -38.3 | -38.6 | -37.9 | This Study | -37.7 |
| CCDCCOj | 15.2 | 16.4 | 15.8 | 16.2 | 16.5 | 16.8 | 16.3 | This Study | 14.3 |
| CDC-Y(COC) | 2.4 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.1 | This Study | 0.8 |

### 5.4.6 Reactions

In this study, the majority of reactions can be divided into four different classes:

- Intramolecular hydrogen transfer
- $\quad \beta$ scission ring opening
- $\quad \beta$ scission hydrogen elimination
- Molecular $\beta$ scission

These four classes will further the fate of the s-ethyloxirane radical species. Potential energy diagram can be see below in Figure 5.2.


Figure 5.2 Potential Energy Diagram for Secondary-Ethyl Oxirane Radical Unimolecular Reactions vertical distance represents energy of species.
5.4.6.1 Hydrogen Transfer of CCJ-Y(COC). The first set of reactions observed are hydrogen transfer reactions. The $\mathrm{CCj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ species has four possible sites which a radical can exist. There are three separate intramolecular hydrogen transfers that could occur. The transfer of a H atom from carbons $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ to the indicated radical site $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ are shown in Figure 5.2.

The $\mathrm{CCj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ radical abstract a H atom from the primary carbon, $\mathrm{C}_{1}$, by forming a three-membered ring Transition State (TST), structure TY2-(CCH)-Y(COC) to form $\mathrm{CjC}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$. This reaction has a relatively high activation energy of $61.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ to the transition state resulting from the strain of the three member ring, and a reverse barrier of $58.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

Abstraction of a hydrogen atom from carbon $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ follows the same trend, reacting through a three-membered ring TST to form TCY2-[C-Y(COC)-H] to further the reaction path to form the $\mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CjOC})$ species. This reaction has a forward barrier of $64.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and a reverse barrier of $59.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

The remaining hydrogen transfer occurs from the $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ carbon, forming a fourmember ring TST, TCY2-[C-Y(CCO)-H], to form $\mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COCj})$. This reaction has an activation barrier of $52.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and a reverse activation energy of $46.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Each of the hydrogen transfer reactions from sites $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ to the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ carbon are slightly endothermic reactions and have a positive enthalpy of reaction of $3.4,5.3$ and $6.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively.

### 5.4.6.2 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ Scission - Hydrogen Elimination CCJ-Y(COC). $\quad \beta$ scission reactions

involve the combination of two steps: (i.) the formation of a new $\pi$ bond, either olefin $(C=C)$ or carbonyl $(C=O)$ and loss of a sigma ( $\sigma$-bond) with both occurring simultaneously.

$$
\mathrm{CH} 2 \cdot-\mathrm{CH} 3=>\mathrm{CH} 2=\mathrm{CH} 2+\mathrm{H} \quad \text { beta scission of } \mathrm{H} \text { atom from ethyl radical }
$$

Energy is gained by the formation of the $\pi$ bond and lost in the cleaving of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond.
$\beta$ scission hydrogen elimination occurs when the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ labeled carbon starts to form a double bond to the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ carbon and simultaneously a hydrogen atom leaves the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$. The
overall reaction cleaves a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ single sigma bond and forms a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} \pi$ bond. The activation energy is observed to be $38.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ to the saddle point transition state, resulting in the formation of products $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})+\mathrm{H}$. The products vinyl-oxirane +H are $3.9 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ below the TST (saddle point), and the endothermicity of the reaction is $35.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.
5.4.6.3 $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ Scission Ring Opening CCJ-Y(COC). Two paths were observed for the the $\beta$ scission ring opening reactions $\mathrm{CCj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$. One path cleaves a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond in the oxirane ring and one path cleaves a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond in the oxirane ring; while both paths form a new $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C} \pi$ bond between the secondary ethyl carbon atom and the ring carbon. The ring C - C cleaving $\beta$ scission (ring opening) reaction forms $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{COCj}$ by cleaving the bond between the carbons labeled $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{4}$, reacting through a saddle point transition state structure, ts3. This reaction has an activation energy of $12.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$ and a reverse activation energy of $42.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. This results in an exothermicity for the reaction of 30.8 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The low forward barrier, is a result of release of ring strain in the 3-member ring, while the reverse reaction barrier includes the formation of the 3 member ring with its strain.

The second ring-opening $\beta$ scission reaction occurs when the secondary carbon radical site in the ethyl group forms a $\pi$ bond to C 3 as above, but now the $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ carbon oxygen bond cleaves $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CCOJ}$ is formed. The reaction also occurs through a saddle point transition state structure.

The reason for the significant difference in the thermochemistry for these two beta scission reactions lies in this last reaction forming $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CCOJ}$, which is an alkoxy radical
bonded to a - CH2- group. Here this - $\mathrm{CH} 2-$ group has all sp 3 bonds and this electronic configuration prevents resonance through this -CH 2 group; the resonance would want to be between the electron rich O atom and the $\pi$ bond. There is no resonance between these two unsaturated groups - the $\pi$ bond and the Oj radical.

In contrast the $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{COCj}$ as shown has a carbon radical site bonded through an oxygen atom to a $\pi$ bonded carbon system. This oxygen atom linkage allows significant resonance between the $\pi$ bond through the oxygen atom to the terminal, unsaturated carbon radical, which has a sp 2 electronic configuration.

The resonance forms of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{CO} \cdot \mathrm{C}$ are:

$$
\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{COC} \cdot \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{OC} \cdot=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{CO} \cdot \mathrm{C} \bullet
$$

The carbon radical here is sp 2 bonded which allows the resonance. The resonance accounts for the much lower energy of the $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{COCj}$ radical $\left(-12.5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right.$ versus the $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CCOj}$ radical at $16,4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ as shown in figure 5-2. It will be interesting to study the thermochemistry and reactions of the $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{COCj}$ and similar radical systems.

In a previous oxirane radical unimolecular decomposition study by Wang et al. ${ }^{147}$, they observed the saddle point transition state structure was formed at a bond angle of $\sim 75$ degrees when the carbon-oxygen bond was cleaved with an activation energy observed at $6.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and a reverse barrier of $4.2 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$. In this study, a bond angle for the transition state is reported at 76 degrees for the carbon-oxygen bond cleavage showing agreement with literature data. The barrier observed in this reaction is $4.8 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$, with a reverse barrier of $6.9 \mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{mole}}{ }^{-1}$. This exothermic reaction has a total heat of reaction of $2.2 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$ released. The difference in the thermochemistry for these two different ring opening reactions is described above.
5.4.6.4 Uni-molecular Beta ( $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ ) Scission Reaction. In a beta scission reaction the radical a radical starts to form a $\pi$ bond, sp 2 bonding, to an adjacent atom and the bond beta (2 atoms away) from the radical site cleaves. Most often one new $\pi$ bond (sp2 structure) forms and a single sigma ( $\sigma$ ) bonds is cleaved. The result of a new bond being formed for a bond being cleaved often results in the overall reaction barrier being relatively low.

The following chemical species $\mathrm{CjC}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}), \mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{COCj}$ and $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CCOj}$ in this ethyl oxirane system, each undergo unimolecular $\beta$ scission reactions. CjC-Y(COC moiety will a ch $2=\operatorname{ch} 2$ double bond (ethylene) with the $\mathrm{C}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{3}$ carbon-carbon bond being cleaved to form an oxirane radical with a saddle point barrier of $37 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The reaction has a reverse barrier $6.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$

The $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CCOj}$ radical will beta scission to from a carbon to oxygen double (carbonyl) bond and cleave the $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ bond forming products $\mathrm{CH} 2=\mathrm{O}$ (formaldehyde) and 2-methyl-vinyl radical. The transition state is formed as the carbon-carbon bond is stretched between the double bond carbon and the carbon adjacent to the hydroxyl radical site. This reaction has an activation energy of $35.3 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$ and a reverse barrier of 13 kcal mole ${ }^{-1}$.

The $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{COCj}$ radical will undergo beta scission to form the same products as just above, 2methylvinyl radical plus formaldehyde. The reaction has a forward and reverse barrier of 32.1 and $12.4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$, respectively. Each of these reactions are mildly endothermic as noted.

### 5.5 Kinetics

In the systems described in the introduction, many of the reactions have high reaction barriers and effectively do not occur. Table 5.9 lists high pressure limits for elementary rate parameters used as input data for the QRRK calculations at one atmosphere, where rate constants are reported at 800 K in this section. There are two reaction paths for the $\mathrm{CCj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ which results in the formation of $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{COCj}$ and $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CCOj}$. The formation of these two isomers have forward rate constants of $2.67 \times 10^{1}$ and $1.92 \times 10^{2} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$, respectively at $800^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$. . The reverse reactions for these species, reversing and forming the isomer $\mathrm{CCj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$, are unlikely for the $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{COCj}$ species due to the high barrier 12.1 kcal mole ${ }^{-1}$ needed to achieve this reverse reaction. $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CCOj}$ is can react back to $\mathrm{CCj}-$ $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ because of the barrier being only $7 \mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{mole}}{ }^{-1}$. As the chemical species, $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{COCj}$ and $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CCOj}$ are formed, it is observed under high temperature and pressure conditions, the beta scission rate constants become important allowing the two isomer paths to dissociate, forming $\mathrm{Cj}=\mathrm{CC}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. These reactions have rate constants of $3.74 \times 10^{3}$ and $1.05 \times 10^{6} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$, respectively at $800^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$.

Table 5.9 High Pressure-Limit Elementary Rate Parameters for Dominate Species Formation

| $\mathbf{k = A} \mathbf{T}^{\mathrm{n}} \mathbf{e x p}^{(\text {Ea/RT })}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reactions | $\mathbf{A}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} \text { molecules }^{-1} \mathbf{s}^{-1}\right)$ | n | Ea (kcal mol ${ }^{-1}$ ) | $\mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{sec}^{-1}\right)$ at 800 K |
| CCJYCOC $\rightarrow$ C=CYCOC +H | 5.33E+60 | -14.67 | 75.07 | $4.28 \times 10^{3}$ |
| CCJYCOC $\rightarrow$ CJCYCOC | $7.45 \mathrm{E}+32$ | -6.68 | $75.08$ | $9.30 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| CCJYCOC $\rightarrow$ CCYCJOC | $1.43 \mathrm{E}+11$ | -0.27 | $75.09$ | $7.23 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| CCJYCOC $\rightarrow$ CCYCOCJ | $2.49 \mathrm{E}+10$ | -0.16 | $75.09$ | $2.61 \times 10^{-11}$ |
| CCJYCOC $\rightarrow \mathrm{CC=COCJ}$ | $3.37 \mathrm{E}+67$ | $-15.70$ | $75.12$ | $2.67 \times 10^{1}$ |
| $\text { CCJYCOC } \rightarrow \mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CCOJ}$ | $3.09 \mathrm{E}+69$ | $-16.08$ | $75.13$ | $1.92 \times 10^{+2}$ |
| $\text { CJCYCOC } \rightarrow \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{YCOCJ}$ | $1.11 \mathrm{E}+74$ | $-16.75$ | $99.12$ | $2.19 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $\text { СJCYCOC } \rightarrow \text { CCJYCOC }$ | $1.13 \mathrm{E}+43$ | $-8.04$ | $99.13$ | $4.29 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| CCYCJOC $\rightarrow \mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{COCJ}$ | $6.28 \mathrm{E}+99$ | $-24.88$ | $85.84$ | $1.31 \times 10^{4}$ |
| CCYCJOC $\rightarrow$ CCJYCOC | $5.39 \mathrm{E}+38$ | -7.68 | $85.81$ | $9.88 \times 10^{-8}$ |
| $\mathrm{CCYCOCJ} \rightarrow \mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{COCJ}$ | $9.75 \mathrm{E}+70$ | $-16.25$ | $83.74$ | $8.69$ |
| $\text { CCYCOCJ } \rightarrow \text { CCJYCOC }$ | $1.92 \mathrm{E}+10$ | $1.00$ | $83.73$ | $2.06 \times 10^{-10}$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{COCJ} \rightarrow \mathrm{CJ}=\mathrm{CC}+\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}$ | $7.23 \mathrm{E}+70$ | -16.66 | $69.26$ | $3.74 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{COCJ} \rightarrow \mathrm{CCJYCOC}$ | $2.48 \mathrm{E}+68$ | $-17.12$ | $69.23$ | $6.04 \times 10^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CCOJ} \rightarrow \mathrm{CJ}=\mathrm{CC}+\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}$ | $4.17 \mathrm{E}+48$ | $-10.74$ | $41.80$ | $1.05 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CCOJ} \rightarrow \mathrm{CCJYCOC}$ | $5.79 \mathrm{E}+51$ | -16.00 | 71.20 | $7.30 \times 10^{-15}$ |

Figure 5.3 shows the rate constant plot as a function of temperature, ranging from
298 K to 1200 K , where temperatures are converted to $1000 / \mathrm{T}$ at one atmosphere for dominate channels during unimolecular dissociation.


Figure 5.3 Rate constant plot as a function of temperature, ranging from 298 K to 1200 K at one atmosphere.

## Chemkin

To determine the mole fractions of products formed from the $\mathrm{CCj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ molecule, an initial concentration of 1 mM was used. Steps were analyzed at for reaction up to twelve milliseconds at 800 K . Figure 5.4 shows the Chemkin modeling of CCj $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ undergoing unimolecular dissociation at 1 atmosphere pressure and 800 K .


Figure 5.4 Chemkin modeling of $\mathrm{CCj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ undergoing oxidation at 1 atm and 800 K analyzed at 150 points in 50 microsecond increments, followed by 50 steps at increments of 100 microseconds

In Chemkin, analysis for mole fractions on the fate of the unimolecular reactions of the 2 ethyl oxirane radical $\mathrm{CCj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ have been determined. The dissociation of the $\mathrm{CCj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ molecule into the $\mathrm{Cj}=\mathrm{CC}$ and CH 2 O species are most favored in this system, where $91.8 \%$ of the ethyl oxirane radical concentration is formed during beta-scission. The other two paths that are favorable are the formation of $\mathrm{CCj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ and $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{COCj}$, at $6.7 \%$ and $1.5 \%$ product formation under these conditions.

### 5.6 Conclusion

The thermochemical properties, including standard enthalpies for stable, radical and transition state structure of ethyl oxirane species, with $\beta$ scission ring opening and oxidation systems, were determined using ab-initio composite calculations. Several Density Function methods were compared. Ring opening data was compared with previous studies completed by Wang et al. ${ }^{147}$ and Joshi et al. ${ }^{148}$. Data was also compared with literature when available, and bond dissociation energies were compared to values reported by Goldsmith et al..$^{105}$ and Auzmendi-Murua et al. ${ }^{24}$. Results of this study show that the main products in the dissociation of the secondary ethyl oxirane radical are $\mathrm{CCj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ is $\mathrm{Cj}=\mathrm{CC}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ under typical peroxy chemistry conditions.

# CHAPTER 6 <br> OXIDATION OF THE ETHYL OXIRANE SECONDARY RADICAL: THERMOCHEMISTRY AND KINETICS 

### 6.1 Overview

Substituted cyclic ethers are formed in chemistry of the atmosphere and in combustion oxidation reactions of alkanes. This study is directed towards the reactions of the secondary ethyl radical on ethyl oxirane, with moleculear oxygen ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, along with the subsequent isomerization and dissociations for the oxidation of the initially formed peroxy radical complex. Formation steps and unimolecular reactions of the ethyl oxiranyl radical are discussed in the chapter 5. The thermochemical properties, oxidation reaction byproducts and the kinetics of the secondary alkyl radical on ethyl oxirane reacting with molecular oxygen which forms a chemically energized peroxy radical, are evaluated under atmospheric and combustion conditions. The chemical activation comes from the bond energy of the newly formed peroxy radical. Previous research has focused more on reactions of hydrocarbon peroxy radicals and there are few studies on oxiranes and other alkyl species bonded to cyclic ethers. This study describes important reaction paths that occur with the S-ethyloxirane radical reaction with molecular oxygen. Study these peroxy radical reactions on carbon atoms near cyclic ethers is relevant to chemistry in combustion systems ${ }^{10}$, auto ignition and atmospheric reactions. The study of ethyl oxirane oxidation should also be relevant to understanding reactions and combustion of bio-fuels which also include a number of cyclic ethers such as furans. There is continued interest in research to understand biofuel oxidation pathways for their applications in varied combustion systems. ${ }^{14,105}$

There are a number of experimental and theoretical studies on the early stages of combustion ${ }^{31-34}$, but data on the oxidation and reactions of cyclic ethers under ambient and combustion conditions is limited. Of these studies, Baldwin et al. ${ }^{35}$ have shown product formation and kinetics in one of the earliest studies on these reactions. They observed the formation of the three member ring cyclic ether, oxirane as a product of ethyl radicals with oxygen. Dagaut et al. ${ }^{32,33}$ and Yakyaoui et al. ${ }^{34}$ have also reported results showing the formation of cyclic ethers in significant concentrations from the oxidation of hydrocarbons from low to moderate temperatures for combustion chemistry. More recent studies using computational chemistry on the formation paths of the three-to five-membered cyclic ethers have been reported by Wijaya et al. ${ }^{36}$ and Zadar et al ${ }^{22}$.

This study starts with the secondary ethyl radical, a hydrocarbon like radical on ethyl oxirane, reacting with molecular oxygen ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ to form a peroxy radical. Hydrogen atom transfer reactions can then occur from the carbons on the ethyl-oxirane forming new alkyl radicals that can further react.

Reactions of conventional hydrocarbon radicals reacting with oxygen have received significant study over the past several decades, due to the need for understanding ignition and combustion chemistry used in modeling for optimization by controlling piston design and fuel inlet speeds ${ }^{14,22}$. Bio-fuel structures are not limited to alcohols and fatty acid esters, they also include ethers such as substituted furans ${ }^{14,23}$.

The standard enthalpies of formation were calculated for 2-(1-hydroperoxyethyl) oxirane, radicals and intermediates using computational chemistry isodesmic work reactions similar to that in the earlier chapters. Computational chemistry is also utilized to
determine the reaction kinetic parameters of the peroxy radical association with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and the intramolecular hydrogen atom transfer and beta scission reactions.

Six computational chemistry methods have been employed, they include the composte methods CBS-APNO, CBS-4M, CBS-QB3 and the density functional methods M062X/6-31G+(d,p), $\omega$ B97X/6-31G+(d,p) and B3-LYP/6-31G+(d,p). The ideal gas thermodynamic properties will be determined utilizing frequencies, moments of inertia and molecular geometries. Study will provide data including bond dissociation energies, dominate species and kinetics for reactions which take place.

### 6.2 Nomenclature

Table 6.1 Nomenclature of the Reactants, Intermediates and Products for Oxirane Peroxy System

| Nomenclature | Species | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CC•-Y(COC) | CH3CH-Y[CHOCH2] | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}$ |
| CCQ-Y(COC) | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH})-\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{CHOCH} 2]$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| CCQ--Y(COC) | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OO})-\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{CHOCH} 2]$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| CCQ-Y(COC•) | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH})-\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{CHOCH}]$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| CCQ-Y(C.OC) | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH})-\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{CHOCH} 2]$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| CC•Q-Y(COC) | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OOH})-\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{CHOCH} 2]$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{CQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | $\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH})-\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{CHOCH} 2]$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| $\operatorname{CCQC}(=0) \mathrm{C} \cdot$ | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH} 2$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}(=0) \mathrm{C}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH} 3$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{CC} \cdot \mathrm{QC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH} 3$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| CCQC=CO• | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHO}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CHCHCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{Q}) \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{CHCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | CH3-Y(CHOCH) $\mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{C} \cdot(=\mathrm{O})$ | CH3-Y(CHOCH) $\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C} 2=\mathrm{CHOCH}=\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| TCY2-[COOH-Y(CCO)] | CH3-Y6[CHOOH-Y(CHOCH)] | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| CCQC $\cdot \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{CHCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |

Table 6.1 Nomenclature of the Reactants, Intermediates and Products for Oxirane Peroxy System (Continued)

| Nomenclature | Species | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TY2-[(COOHC)-Y(COC)] | Y5(CH2CHOOH)-Y(CHOCH2) | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| TCY2-[(COOH)-Y(COC)] | CH3-Y5[CH-Y(COCH2)HOO] | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| TCY2-[(CQ)-C(O)CH] | CH3-Y4[C(OOH)C(=O)CH2H] | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| TS10 | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH})-\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH} 2$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| TS12 | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH}(---\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{CHCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| TS13 | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{O}--\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{CHCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| VTST9 | CH3-Y(CHOCH)---C(=O) | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| VTST10 | CH3-Y(CH---CHO)C( $=0$ ) | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| VTST11 | CH3-Y(COC)C---HC(=O) | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| VTST12 | CH3-CHO---CHC(=O) | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| TS7 | CH3CH(OOH)-Y(CH---OCH) | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| TS9 | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH})-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CO}---\mathrm{CH} 2)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| TS11 | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH})-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{C}---\mathrm{OCH} 2)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| TS8 | CH3CH(---OOH)-Y(COCH2) | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{CH} 2=\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ |
| OH | OH | HO |
| HO2 | HOO | $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ |
| H | H | H |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C} \cdot$ | $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | CH2( $=0$ ) | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| C-Y(COC•) | CH3-Y(CHOCH) | $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}$ |
| C\#C | CH\#CH | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{C} \cdot=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{HO}$ |

### 6.3 Computational Methods

All calculations were performed using the Gaussian 09 program suite ${ }^{67}$. Lowest energy molecule structures were identified using the B3-LYP methodology with the 6-31G++(d,p) basis set. The B3-LYP method combines the three-parameter Becke exchange functional (B3), with the Lee-Yang-Parr correlation functional (LYP) ${ }^{123}$ in order to scan each rotor dihedral angle from zero to 360 degrees in 10-degrees increments. As the scan is performed, energy is calculated at each step, while the rest of the molecule remains
optimized. After scanning all of the respected dihedral angles where a rotor is located, the total energy corresponding to the most stable conformation were used as a reference in plots of the potential barriers.

To obtain accurate energy calculations $\left(\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ} \mathrm{f} 298\right)$, isodesmic work reactions were employed for molecules within the study using composite methods CBS-APNO ${ }^{124}$, CBS$4 \mathrm{M}^{125}$ and CBS-QB3 ${ }^{137}$, and the M06-2 $\mathrm{X}^{130}, \omega$ B97X ${ }^{131}$, B2P-LYP ${ }^{123}$, DFT methods with a $6-31+(G(d, p)$ basis set.

CBS models are based on a series of calculations made on a defined molecular geometry, combined with a complete basis set of model chemistry corrections for basis set truncation errors. These methods show a good degree of accuracy in structure and energies that require convergence in basis set size and in the degree of correlation. CBS-APNO is a more expensive procedure than other CBS methods. (The acronym APNO stands for atomic pair natural orbital.) The APNO portion of this method allows for accurate extrapolations to the complete basis set limit. This is accomplished with (U)HF/6/311G(d,P) geometry optimization and frequency calculations to obtain enthalpic and free energy corrections. This step is followed by a second geometry optimization at the QCISD(T), MP2(full), HF and MP2 levels, each with different basis sets.

CBS-QB3 is a five-step methodology that employs geometry optimization utilizing the B3-LYP level calculations, frequency calculations to obtain thermal corrections, zeropoint vibrational energy, and entropic information. The next steps of the computations are single point calculations at the $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$, MP4SQ and MP2 levels. When all the steps are completed, CBS extrapolation then computes the final energies ${ }^{41}$.

CBS-4M method is a complete basis-set method that is parameterized to the original CBS-4 method, where M referrs to the use of minimal population localization. This method also includes empirical corrections ${ }^{124}$.

M062x is a hybrid meta exchange-correlation functional that is a high nonlocality functional with double the amount of nonlocal exchange ${ }^{61}$.
$\omega \mathrm{B} 97 \mathrm{X}$ is a long range corrected functional created by Head-Gordon and coworkers, which includes empirical dispersion ${ }^{63}$.

B2P-LYP ${ }^{123}$ is a double hybrid density functional that expands the DFT exchange correlation energies by a combination of mixing Gradient-Corrected (GCA) functional and exact exchange. The sum of these terms derived from GCA functions and correlation energies is calculated with second order perturbation theory.

Data from the methods mentioned above allowed for the determination of entropy and heat capacity values as a function of the following parameters: optimized structure, moments of inertia, vibrational frequencies, internal rotor potentials, molecular symmetry, electron degeneracy, number of optical isomers and the mass of each molecule with respect to temperature. This calculation used a standard formulas from statistical mechanics for the contributions of translational, vibrational, and external rotation (TVR) using the SMCPS (Statistical Mechanics-Heat Capacity, and Entropy) program ${ }^{87}$. SMCPS utilizes the rigid-rotor harmonic oscillator approximation from the vibrational frequencies of individual chemical species with moments of inertia from the optimized structure at the B3-LYP/6-31G(d,p) level.

Several transition-state structures do not follow the same calculation procedures as other species. This occurs because the transition state structure does not exhibit a maxima (saddle point). in the reaction path Variational transition state theory (VTST) is implemented when there is no saddle point. This method is for determination of rate constants for barrier-less reactions. The variational transition state analysis is accomplished by running a scan along the cleaving bond of interest. The bond distance is increased (lengthened) by one Angstrom at each point and calculating the energy and optimized structure. Then from each optimized structure, the vibrational frequencies were calculated. Rate constants are calculated at each point from the reactant to a complete bond separation point $\left(\mathrm{TST}_{\mathrm{i}}\right): \mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{TST}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{i}}\right)$. The distances that possess the minimum rate constant at each corresponding bond length are then reported with respect to the temperature(s) at which they were evaluated. The variational rate constant is then determined from the fit of these rate constants to the modified Arrhenius equation:

$$
\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{A} \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{n}} \exp (-\mathrm{Ea} / \mathrm{RT})
$$

Kinetic parameters for bimolecular chemical activation reactions, stabilization of chemically energized adducts, and unimolecular thermal dissociation reactions of the stabilized isomers were calculated using a mutli-frequency quantum Rice-RamspergerKassel (qRRK) analysis for $k(E)$. Steady state analysis was used for treatment of initial concentration on the chemically activated energized adducts.

Reaction kinetic parameters for the association reaction forming the chemically activated peroxy radical are reported for each of the stabilized product channels, as a function of pressure and temperature. Reaction kinetic parameters of stabilized
intermediates are calculated to adducts and products that are adjacent to the stable intermediate. The current version of the qRRRK computer code utilizes a reduced set of three vibrational frequencies that accurately reproduce the heat capacity of each species to calculate the density of states.

Group Additivity, (GA) which is known as second order approximation method, developed by Benson ${ }^{21}$, was utilized for comparison to our calculated values in order to provide added validation of the $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{\mathrm{f}} 298, \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}(\mathrm{T})$, and $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{T})$ values. This method utilizes additivity of the central atom groups composing the molecule. GA is based on the knowledge of the contributions of representative groups in similar molecules and their properties in linear consistency in thermochemical properties. Thermodynamic properties of larger species, such as those in this study, were accurately approximated based on the sum of smaller representative groups, where there are corrections for rotors, symmetry, electron degeneracy, optical isomers, and gauche and other interactions. The group additivity, Density Functional Theory and composite method values are compared.

### 6.4 Results and Discussion

Isodesmic reactions were utilized in order to calculate the standard Enthalpies of formation $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{\mathrm{f}}(298)$ values listed in Table 6.2. 2-(1-hydroperoxyethyl) oxirane, plus the radicals, products and intermediates. These specie enthalpies were all calculated using the composite methods CBS-APNO, CBS-4M, CBS-QB3, and the DFT methods M062X/6$31 \mathrm{G}+(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}), \omega \mathrm{B} 97 \mathrm{X} / 6-31 \mathrm{G}+(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$, B2PLYP/6-31G+(d,p). Nomenclature for species identification and molecular formulas are listed in Table 6.2. Evaluating the thermochemical enthalpies of the reactants, intermediates and transition states using the
different calculation methods and several different isodesmic reactions provides a reinforcement of accuracy and consistency of the data. The isodesmic reactions utilized have been averaged for each calculation method, and the averages of the six methods for each respected species are reported. The standard deviation (STD) is listed for each species. The smallest STD in a set of reactions is 0.1 and the largest is 3.8 .

Table 6.2 Standard Enthalpies of Formation used as Reference Species in Isodesmic Reactions for Oxirane Peroxy System

| Species | $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ} \mathrm{f} 298\left(\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Error Values | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 52.103 | $\pm 0.001$ | 9 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 0.0 | $\pm 0.0$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C}$ | 4.6 | $\pm 0.3$ | 105 |
| YCOC | -12.4 | $\pm 0.6$ | 105 |
| YCOCJ | 40.0 | $\pm 0.6$ | 105 |
| CDCDO | -11.7 | $\pm 0.1$ | 105 |
| C | -17.6 | $\pm 0.3$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}$ | -90.5 | $\pm 0.1$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ | 12.5 | $\pm 0.1$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | -26.2 | $\pm 0.1$ | 105 |
| CC | -20.0 | $\pm 0.1$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CC}=0$ | -39.6 | $\pm 0.1$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCj}=\mathrm{O}$ | 23.2 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | -15.1 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Oj}$ | -30.2 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}$ | -15.5 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCC}$ | 0.0 | $\pm 0.1$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCOH}$ | -30.1 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCOj}$ | 23.7 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CQ}$ | -9.2 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| C2CC | -32.0 | $\pm 0.4$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | -65.4 | $\pm 0.3$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}$ (trans) | -2.7 | $\pm 0.2$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}$ (cis) | -1.5 | $\pm 0.2$ | 105 |
| CCC(=0) | -45.0 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{Cj}=\mathrm{O}$ | 10.2 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CCC})$ | 13.0 | $\pm 0.4$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CjCC})$ | 69.9 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| CCCC | -30.0 | $\pm 0.1$ | 105 |
| C3C | -32.0 | $\pm 0.4$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CCC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | -70.1 | $\pm 0.35$ | 140 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Cj}$ | -6.9 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| C2-Y(COC) | -33.74 | - | 140 |
| C3Cj | 17.8 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ | -52 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CCC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ | -57.02 | $\pm 0.2$ | 134 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COH}$ | -29.9 | $\pm 0.6$ | 105 |

${ }^{a}$ Species do not have uncertainty values available during the time of this study

Table 6.2 Standard Enthalpies of Formation used as Reference Species in Isodesmic Reactions for Oxirane Peroxy System (continued)

| Species | $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ} \mathrm{f} 298\left(\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | Error Values | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCQ}$ | -11.9 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CjC}=\mathrm{O}$ | 4.4 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CCCj}=0$ | -6.9 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{Q})=\mathrm{O}$ | -78.2 | $\pm 0.4$ | 149 |
| CCQj | -6.7 | - | 142 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COCC}$ | -33.5 | $\pm 0.24$ | 150 |
| CCQ | -39.48 | - | 142 |
| $\mathrm{CCj}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | -22.9 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| CCCQ | -44.0 | - | 142 |
| CCCCOH | -65.65 | $\pm 0.07$ | 106 |
| CCJCC | 16.6 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| CCJCOH | -13 | $\pm 0.4$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CCCC}=\mathrm{O}$ | -50.61 | $\pm 0.22$ | 151 |
| CQ | -31.8 | - | 142 |
| CQj | 2 | - | 142 |
| CCJCCOH | -18.73 |  | 143 |
| CY(COC) | -22.3 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})-\mathrm{C}$ | -32.76 | - | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CQ}(\mathrm{OH})$ | -75.3 | $\pm 0.2$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CQj}(\mathrm{OH})$ | -39.5 | $\pm 0.2$ | 105 |
| CJCCC | 19.3 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| CJCCOH | -11.5 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CjCC=O}$ | 6.6 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| CCJC(OH)C | -22.58 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{Cj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ | 13.59 | - | 140 |
| Cj2-Y(COC) | 13.35 | - | 140 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COCj})$ | 18.39 | - | 140 |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CjOC})-\mathrm{C}$ | 17.76 | - | 140 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COC}=\mathrm{C}$ | -3.03 | $\pm 0.2$ | 150 |
| $\mathrm{CCC}=\mathrm{OH}$ | -42.23 | - | 152 |
| CCOH | -56.4 | $\pm 0.4$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCQ}$ | -11.9 | $\pm 0.4$ | 105 |
| CCC(=O) | -45.0 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| CCOj | -39.6 | $\pm 0.4$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ | 45.1 | $\pm 0.5$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | 31.2 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| CCCOH | -56.4 | $\pm 0.4$ | 105 |

[^0]The work reactions in table 6.3 are from both the composite, and the DFT methods, which use the $6-31 \mathrm{G}++(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set. Each species is analyzed using three to five isodesmic reactions, where the reference species react and yield a molecule with the number of each bond type conserved in products and reactants. This leads to the cancellation of systemic errors in the molecular orbital calculations ${ }^{145}$ and improved accuracy. Work reactions that are not present in table 6.3 are located in Appendix E.

Optimized geometries, symmetry values, moments of inertia, vibrational frequencies and internal rotor potentials for each species from B3-LYP/6-31G+(d,p) level of theory are present in Appendix E.

Table 6.3 Isodesmic Reactions of Species in Oxirane Peroxy Study


Table 6.3 Isodesmic Reactions of Species in Oxirane Peroxy Study (Continued)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CBS-APNO | CBS-4M | CBS-QB3 | m062x | wb97x | B2-LYP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CjCQ-Y(COC) | + | CCCOH | $=$ | CCQ-Y(COC) | + | CjCCOH | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 4.6 |
|  | CjCQ-Y(COC) | + | CCCC | $=$ | CCQ-Y(COC) | + | CjCCC | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
|  | CjCQ-Y(COC) | + | C-Y(COC)-C | $=$ | CCQ-Y(COC) | + | cj-y(coc)-c | 1.4 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 2.6 |
|  | CjCQ-Y(COC) | + | C2-Y(COC) | $=$ | CCQ-Y(COC) | + | cj2-Y(COC) | 1.8 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 2.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | average | 2.8 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 3.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 1.4 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.0 |
|  | CCQ-Y(CjOC) | + | $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | $=$ | CCQ-Y(COC) | + | $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COCj})$ | 3.3 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 3.0 |
|  | CCQ-Y(CjOC) | + | Y(CCC) | $=$ | CCQ-Y(COC) | + | Y(CjCC) | 5.5* | 3.8 | 1.2 | 3.3 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
|  | CCQ-Y(CjOC) | + | $\mathrm{CY}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{c}$ | $=$ | CCQ-Y(COC) | + | c-y(cjoc)-c | 3.5 | 1.4 | 2.26 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.4 |
|  | CCQ-Y(CjOC) | + |  | $=$ | CCQ-Y(COC) | + | C3Cj | 5.6* | 3.9 | 2.6 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 3.4 |
| 音 | CCQ-Y(CjOC) | + | $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | $=$ | CCQ-Y(COC) | + | $\mathrm{CCj}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | 4.2 | 4.6 | 2.8 | 3.4 | 3.4 | 3.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | average | 3.6 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 0.5 | 1.3 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
|  | CCQ-Y(COCj) |  | $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | $=$ | CCQ-Y(COC) | + | $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COCj})$ | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.7 |
|  | CCQ-Y(COCj) | + | C2-Y(COC) | $=$ | CCQ-Y(COC) | + | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COCj})$ | 5.6 | 5.7 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 6.1 |
|  | CCQ-Y(COCj) | + | $\mathrm{CY}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{c}$ | $=$ | CCQ-Y(COC) | $+$ | $\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{y}$ (cjoc)-c | 5.7 | 5.7 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 6.1 |
|  | CCQ-Y(COCj) | + | $\mathrm{CY}(\mathrm{COC})$ | $=$ | CCQ-Y(COC) | + | $\mathrm{CY}(\mathrm{COCj})$ | 5.2 | 5.3 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | average | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
|  | CCQCCDO |  | C | $=$ | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | CCCQ | -70.5 | -75.0 | -72.1 | -73.4 | -72.1 | -71.1 |
|  | CCQCCDO |  |  | = | CCCC=O | + | CCQ | -72.3 | -75.6 | -73.8 | -74.0 | -73.6 | -73.0 |
|  | CCQCCDO |  | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CCCC}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCQ}$ | -71.0 | -74.1 | -72.0 | -72.3 | -71.9 | -71.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | average | -71.3 | -74.9 | -72.7 | -73.2 | -72.5 | -71.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 0.9 | 0.7 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.0 |

Table 6.3 Isodesmic Reactions of Species in Oxirane Peroxy Study (continued)


Table 6.3 Isodesmic Reactions of Species in Oxirane Peroxy Study (continued)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | CBS-APNO | CBS-4M | CBS-QB3 | m062x | wb97x | B2-LYP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | C | $=$ | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C}$ | + | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}$ | -24.2 | -25.5 | -25.8 | -25.0 | -24.9 | -25.9 |
|  | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}=0$ | + | C | = | $\mathrm{CC=CC}$ (c) | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | -25.5 | -26.7 | -26.9 | -25.4 | -25.7 | -26.7 |
|  | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}=0$ | + | C | = | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{t})$ | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | -25.0 | -26.2 | -26.7 | -25.4 | -25.7 | -26.4 |
|  | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | C | = | $\mathrm{CCC}=\mathrm{C}$ | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | -24.9 | -25.7 | -27.4 | -25.7 | -26.0 | -26.7 |
|  | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}$ | + |  | = | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C}$ | + | $\mathrm{CCC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -25.0 | -24.7 | -26.4 | -25.2 | -25.3 | -26.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | average | -24.9 | -25.8 | -26.6 | -25.3 | -25.5 | -26.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
|  | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | CC | $=$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COCC}$ | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 268.8* | -11.9 | -12.5 | -11.0 | -11.5 | -11.8 |
|  | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ | = | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COC}=\mathrm{C}$ | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | -267.9* | -13.2 | -10.8 | -11.2 | -11.3 | -11.6 |
|  | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + |  | = | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COC}=\mathrm{C}$ | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | -10.9 | -17.5* | -15.2 | -12.6 | -12.6 | -12.0 |
| - |  |  |  |  |  |  | average | - | -12.6 | -12.8 | -11.6 | -11.8 | -11.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev. | - | 0.9 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
|  | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | C | = | $\mathrm{CY}(\mathrm{COC})$ | + | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}$ | -48.7 | -50.4 | -49.7 | -49.4 | -49.0 | -49.5 |
|  | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | CC | = | $\mathrm{CY}(\mathrm{COC})$ | + | $\operatorname{CCC}(=0)$ | -49.5 | -49.6 | -50.2 | -49.5 | -49.4 | -50.2 |
|  | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{C}=0$ | + |  | = | CCCC=O | + | Y(COC) | -50.5 | -50.5 | -51.7 | -50.5 | -50.5 | -51.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | average | -49.6 | -50.2 | -50.5 | -49.8 | -49.7 | -50.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 0.9 | 0.5 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 1.0 |
|  | C-Y(COC)-Cj=O | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | = | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | $\mathrm{Cj}=\mathrm{O}$ | -10.8 | -10.6 | -11.0 | -10.9 | -11.0 | -10.9 |
|  | C-Y(COC)-Cj=O | + | $\operatorname{CCC}(=0)$ | = | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | $\mathrm{CCCj}=\mathrm{O}$ | -10.0 | -9.5 | -10.7 | -10.4 | -10.1 | -10.1 |
|  | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})-\mathrm{Cj}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}$ | = | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCj}=\mathrm{O}$ | -9.7 | -8.9 | -10.9 | -10.9 | -10.7 | -10.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | average | -10.2 | -9.6 | -10.9 | -10.7 | -10.6 | -10.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 0.6 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.4 |

Table 6.3 Isodesmic Reactions of Species in Oxirane Peroxy Study (continued)

| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\infty}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | CBS-APNO | CBS-4M | CBS-QB3 | m062x | wb97x | B2-LYP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CCQC=COH | $+\mathrm{CC}$ | = | $\mathrm{CCC}=\mathrm{COH}$ | + | CCQ | -65.3 | -70.1 | -67.8 | -67.8 | -67.5 | -67.6 |
|  | CCQC=COH | + C | = | $\mathrm{CCC}=\mathrm{COH}$ | + | CQ | -64.8 | -69.9 | -67.3 | -67.7 | -67.3 | -67.2 |
|  | CCQC= COH | + $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ | = | $\mathrm{CCC}=\mathrm{COH}$ | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CQ}$ | -65.7 | -69.7 | -65.0 | -66.0 | -65.6 | -66.4 |
|  | CCQC= COH | + $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C}$ | = | $\mathrm{CCC}=\mathrm{COH}$ | + | C=CCQ | -63.9 | -68.7 | -66.0 | -66.1 | -65.9 | -66.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | average | -64.9 | -69.6 | -66.6 | -66.9 | -66.5 | -66.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.7 |
|  | $\mathrm{CCQC=COj}$ | $+\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}$ | = | $\mathrm{CCQC=COH}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Oj}$ | -32.3 | -27.7 | -33.8 | -37.1 | -37.6 | -36.6 |
|  | CCQC= $=0 j$ | + $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COH}$ | = | $\mathrm{CCQC=COH}$ |  | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COj}$ | -31.1 | -29.8 | -33.9 | -33.6 | -33.2 | -32.3 |
|  | CCQC=COj | + $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCOH}$ | = | CCQC= COH |  | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCOj}$ | -28.9 | -22.7* | -33.1 | -33.1 | -33.6 | -32.5 |
|  | CCQC=COj | + CCOH | = | CCQC= COH |  | CCOj | -28.3 | -21.0 | -31.3 | -32.4 | -33.1 | -31.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | average | -30.1 | -28.7 | -33.0 | -34.1 | -34.4 | -33.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 1.9 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.2 |
|  | CCOC=C=O | + $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ | = | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COCC}$ | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | -45.1 | -45.0 | -44.5 | -43.6 | -44.1 | -45.0 |
|  | CCOC=C=O | + $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ | = | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COCC}$ | + | $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{c}=0$ | -48.7 | -46.1 | -44.6 | -46.8 | -47.1 | -44.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | average | -46.9 | -45.6 | -44.5 | -45.2 | -45.6 | -44.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 2.6 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 0.4 |
|  | ccjoc=coo | + CCCOH | = | $\mathrm{CCOC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | CCjCOH | 1.1 | 0.8 | -0.8 | -0.4 | -0.6 | -0.3 |
|  | ccjoc=c=o | + CCCC | = | $\mathrm{CCOC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | CCjCC | 0.6 | 0.2 | -1.6 | -1.2 | -1.3 | -1.1 |
|  | ccjoc=c=o | + CCCCOH | = | $\mathrm{CCOC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | CCjCCOH | 1.3 | 0.3 | -1.2 | -0.7 | -0.8 | -0.5 |
|  | ccjoc=c=o | $+\mathrm{CCC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | = | $\mathrm{CCOC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | $\mathrm{CCjC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | 0.6 | 0.1 | -1.4 | -0.9 | -1.2 | -0.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | average | 0.9 | 0.4 | -1.2 | -0.8 | -1.0 | -0.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 |

### 6.4.1 Heat of Formation $\Delta \boldsymbol{H}^{\circ}{ }_{f 298}$

The standard enthalpy of formation values $\left(\Delta H^{\circ}{ }_{f} 298\right)$ averaged over the different computational methods from the isodesmic reactions, are listed in Table 6.3 and summarized in Table 6.4. ThisTable lists the average enthalpy of formation ( $\Delta H_{f 298}^{\circ}$ ) for species from the different computational methods utilized and over the varied work reactions. Error limits are also listed in Table 6.4 from calculated standard deviation values over the calculated standard enthalpy of formation $\left(\Delta H^{\circ} f_{298}\right)$ data. The uncertainty in the reference species is also considered in the error analysis. The standard deviation data over the reactions and methods show an average standard deviation of $1.06 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$. These standard deviations are comparable to the average standard deviations, on a per work reaction basis, determined in Table 6.3. Values in Table 6.4 are recommended.

Table 6.4 Summary of Average Heat of Formation $\Delta H^{\circ}{ }_{f 298}$ and Literature Values for Oxirane Peroxy System

| Species | Heat of Formation (kcal/mol) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Calculated Enthalpy of Formation (kcal mole ${ }^{-1}$ ) | Literature |
| CCJ-Y(COC) | $18.3 \pm 0.8$ | This Study |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | $2.1 \pm 0.4$ | This Study |
| CCQ-Y(COC) | $-47.3 \pm 2.7$ | This Study |
| CCQ- $-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | $-13.4 \pm 0.3$ | This Study |
| CCQ-Y(COC•) | $5.8 \pm 0.3$ | This Study |
| CCQ-Y(C.OC) | $2.8 \pm 0.9$ | This Study |
| CC•Q-Y(COC) | *** | This Study |
| C•CQ-Y(COC) | $3.4 \pm 1.1$ | This Study |
| CCQC(=O)C• | $-32.9 \pm 3.5$ | This Study |
| $\mathrm{CC}(=0) \mathrm{C}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | $-77.8 \pm 2.9$ | This Study |
| $\mathrm{CC} \cdot \mathrm{QC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | *** | This Study |
| CCQC=CO- | $-32.1 \pm 3.5$ | This Study |
| $\mathrm{CCQC} \cdot \mathrm{C}(=0)$ | $-30.9 \pm 2.5$ | This Study |
| $\mathrm{CC=CC}(=0)$ | $-25.8 \pm 0.8$ | This Study |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-50.0 \pm 0.8$ | This Study |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{C} \cdot(=\mathrm{O})$ | $-10.4 \pm 0.6$ | This Study |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | $-12.01 \pm 1.1$ | This Study |

### 6.4.2 Carbon-Hydrogen Bond Dissociation Energies

The secondary carbon located on the ethyl substituent of ethyl oxirane, labeled $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ is the more favorable site for loss of a Hydrogen atom and radical formation, as described in chapter 5; it has the lowest bond energy. Oxygen, $\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ which is present in the atmosphere in high concentrations and is a diradical will to undergo association, bonding, at the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ carbon site during the association step. This association reaction is exothermic by $34 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. Figure 6.1, illustrates the stable species structure of 2-(1-hydroperoxyethyl) oxirane with all the possible bonding sites. Carbon-Hydrogen bond dissociation energies (BDEs) were evaluated at $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{1}$ bond sites to determine the $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{H}$ bond dissociations energy for each site. The BDEs were calculated using the following equation,

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {reaction }}=\Sigma \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {product }}-\Sigma \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {reactants }}
$$

$\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {reaction }}$ corresponds to the bond dissociation energy, $\Sigma \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {product }}$ represents the enthalpy of formation of the radical species being observed and eh enthalpy of a hydrogen atom, which has a $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{\circ} 298$ of $52.103 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1} . \Sigma \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\text {reactants }}$ corresponds to the parent molecule CCQ-Y(COC) in this case. The 2-(1-hydroperoxyethyl) oxirane specie has five bonding sites, which hydrogen atoms could be abstracted as shown below in Figure 6.1. All BDE values calculated are located in Table 6.5, where they are also compared with literature values.


Figure 6.1: Possible radical site positions for 2-(1-Hydroperoxyethyl) oxirane

Table 6.5 Bond Dissociation Energy (BDE) of 2-(1-Hydroperoxyethyl) Oxirane

| Reaction |  |  |  |  | Bond Dissociation Energies ( $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCQ-Y(COC) | $=$ | CJCQ-Y(COC) | + | H |  |  |
| -47.3 |  | 3.4 |  | 52.103 | $102.8 \pm 1.1$ | $101.3{ }^{146}$ |
| CCQ-Y(COC) | $=$ | CCQ-Y(CJOC) | + | H |  |  |
| -47.3 |  | 2.8 |  | 52.103 | $102.2 \pm 0.9$ | $103.1{ }^{24}$ |
| CCQ-Y(COC) | $=$ | CCQ-Y(COCJ) | + | H |  |  |
| -47.3 |  | 5.8 |  | 52.103 | $105.1 \pm 0.3$ | $104.8{ }^{24}$ |
| CCQ-Y(COC) | $=$ | CCQJ-Y(COC) | + | H |  |  |
| -47.3 |  | -13.4 |  | 52.103 | $86.0 \pm 0.3$ | $84.4{ }^{105}$ |

The newly formed radical at the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ carbon will rapidly react with an oxygen molecule, which is an initial step in oxidation, under both atmospheric and combustion conditions. Figure 3 illustrates the molecular structure of the stable species 2-(sec-Butyl) oxirane and possible carbon-hydrogen and oxygen-hydrogen bonding sites. BDE values are evaluated at the primary carbon-hydrogen site, $\mathrm{C}_{1}$, the tertiary carbon-hydrogen sites $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{4}$, and the oxygen-hydrogen site labeled $\mathrm{O}_{1}$. Carbon-hydrogen bonds at the $\mathrm{C}_{1}, \mathrm{C}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ binding sites are reported to have BDE of $102.8,102.2$ and $105.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. Primary carbon, $\mathrm{C}_{1}$, has an observed BDE for the carbon-hydrogen bond that is in good agreement with the primary carbon-hydrogen bond calculated for ethyl oxirane as well as values reported by Hudzik et al ${ }^{146}$. Carbon-hydrogen bonds for carbons $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ agree with the literature values reported for the ethyl oxirane species in addition to studies performed by Auzmendi-Murua et al. ${ }^{24}$. The peroxide oxygen-hydrogen bonding site, labeled $\mathrm{O}_{1}$, has been determined in this study to have a BDE of $86.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. This value shows good agreement in comparison to species reported in the study performed by Goldsmith et al. ${ }^{105}$. Where similar species have calculated BDE values of $84.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ on average. In the 2-(sec-Butyl)oxirane species, a radical site cannot be formed at the
carbon-hydrogen bond located at the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ carbon, due to the instability of the molecule. If a radical is formed at the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ site, the molecule will dissociate very rapidly, cleaving the oxygen-oxygen bond resulting in the formation of a carbonyl group $(-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$, which is a ketone for this non terminal carbon, plus the release of a hydroxyl radical. Hydrogen bond dissociation energies values calculated are located in Table 6.5 and data includes comparison to literature.

### 6.4.3 Internal Rotors

Potential energy curves for internal rotations in the ethyl oxirane radical and peroxide and perocy radical species are calculated using the B3-LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory and relaxed scans at 10 degree intervals are used to determine the lowest energy geometries. If a lower energy conformation is found, previous scans are re-run and re-evaluated to insure the lowest energy conformation is located. These potential energy curves are also used to determine thermochemical properties such as entropy, heat capacity and internal rotational contributions. These potential energy curves are presented in Appendix E.

### 6.4.4 Entropies $\left(\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{T})\right.$ ) and Heat Capacities $\left(\mathrm{C}_{p}(\mathrm{~T})\right)$

Entropies and heat capacities were calculated utilizing the SMCPS computer code. SMCPS (Statistical Mechanics-Heat Capacity, and Entropy) applies the rigid-rotor harmonic oscillator (HO) approximation. The subtraction of $\mathrm{R} \ln (\sigma)$ for the three fold symmetry of primary methyl groups is included when the symmetry is entered to the program input file. torsion frequencies. Table 6.6 presents the calculated entropies and heat capacities for parent, radical and intermediate species.

Table 6.6 Entropies and Heat Capacities for Parent, Radical and Intermediate Species Oxirane Peroxy System

| Transition State | Hf | S | Cp300 | Cp400 | Cp500 | Cp600 | Cp800 | Cp1000 | Cp1500 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCJ-Y(COC) | 18.3 | 71.00 | 19.09 | 24.43 | 29.52 | 33.94 | 40.90 | 46.04 | 54.06 |
| C=C-Y(COC) | 2.1 | 67.01 | 16.55 | 22.05 | 27.43 | 32.28 | 40.47 | 47.11 | 58.66 |
| CCQ•-Y(COC) | -13.4 | 79.47 | 25.14 | 31.72 | 37.75 | 42.87 | 50.75 | 56.42 | 65.11 |
| CCQ-Y(COC•) | 5.8 | 82.38 | 26.66 | 33.02 | 38.84 | 43.77 | 51.32 | 56.76 | 65.17 |
| CCQ-Y(C•OC) | 2.8 | 78.80 | 25.28 | 31.75 | 37.70 | 42.79 | 50.62 | 56.26 | 64.92 |
| C•CQ-Y(COC) | 3.4 | 81.79 | 27.09 | 33.47 | 39.26 | 44.16 | 51.61 | 56.96 | 65.23 |
| CCQC(=O)C• | -32.9 | 81.05 | 27.69 | 34.05 | 39.69 | 44.44 | 51.75 | 57.04 | 65.28 |
| CC(=O)C(=O)C | -77.8 | 76.40 | 22.26 | 27.19 | 31.81 | 36.01 | 43.29 | 49.35 | 60.01 |
| CCQC $\cdot C=O)$ | -30.9 | 78.70 | 25.97 | 32.52 | 38.41 | 43.41 | 51.09 | 56.63 | 65.15 |
| CC=CC(=O) | -25.8 | 71.52 | 20.14 | 24.60 | 28.94 | 32.82 | 39.10 | 43.82 | 51.18 |
| C-Y(COC)C(=O) | -50.0 | 74.85 | 22.13 | 27.43 | 32.48 | 36.91 | 43.89 | 48.99 | 56.77 |
| C-Y(COC)C• $(=O)$ | -10.4 | 72.61 | 19.84 | 24.69 | 29.19 | 33.08 | 39.17 | 43.59 | 50.31 |
| C=COC=C=O | -10.0 | 75.78 | 21.32 | 25.98 | 29.99 | 33.32 | 38.40 | 42.06 | 47.66 |
| TCY2-[COOH-Y(COC)] | 33.94 | 77.03 | 24.63 | 31.75 | 38.21 | 43.65 | 51.87 | 57.61 | 66.08 |
| TY2-[(COOHC)-Y(COC)] | 39.33 | 79.44 | 24.74 | 31.85 | 38.33 | 43.77 | 51.94 | 57.63 | 66.04 |
| TCY2-[(COOH)-Y(COC)] | 40.57 | 79.62 | 25.42 | 32.08 | 38.27 | 43.57 | 51.69 | 57.44 | 65.97 |
| TCY2-[(CQ)-C(O)CH] | 31.18 | 87.13 | 29.71 | 35.72 | 41.14 | 45.77 | 52.95 | 58.13 | 66.07 |
| TS7 | 22.69 | 80.51 | 25.95 | 32.32 | 38.21 | 43.24 | 50.98 | 56.53 | 65.07 |
| TS8 | 24.95 | 86.33 | 29.63 | 36.05 | 41.65 | 46.29 | 53.33 | 58.4 | 66.24 |
| TS9 | 21.94 | 81.03 | 28.77 | 35.67 | 41.52 | 46.29 | 53.42 | 58.51 | 66.32 |
| TS10 | -30.14 | 81.43 | 27.78 | 34.12 | 39.74 | 44.48 | 51.78 | 57.06 | 65.28 |
| TS11 | 37.89 | 83.84 | 26.85 | 33.19 | 38.99 | 43.92 | 51.5 | 56.96 | 65.35 |
| TS12 | -7.03 | 87.43 | 29.01 | 34.93 | 40.32 | 44.92 | 52.07 | 57.28 | 65.42 |
| TS13 | 36.04 | 84.34 | 29.86 | 36.72 | 42.47 | 47.13 | 54.1 | 59.08 | 66.72 |
| Hf in units kcal mol $\boldsymbol{O}^{-1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

### 6.4.5 Group Additivity

The group additivity (GA) method, as designed by Benson ${ }^{91}$ is a rapid estimation method for the calculation of $\Delta H^{\circ} f 298, S^{\circ} 298$, and $C p(T)$ of stable species. Group additivity is based on the knowledge of the representative groups contributions in similar molecules. Experimental data has shown that there is linear consistency observed in thermochemical properties such as heat capacity and enthalpy values determined by use of group additivity.

This study utilizes group additivity terms values to compare calculated enthalpies of formation for 2-(1-hydroperoxyethyl)oxirane and radicals to compare and validate accuracy with the calculated values. The group terms employed can be utilized to estimate enthalpy values to compare calculated enthalpy of formation values calculated from computational and experimental studies. Table 6.7 list the groups that are employed in group additivity methodology.

Table 6.7 Group contribution Terms Used in the Calculation of Species

| Group | HF | $\mathrm{S}_{298}$ | CP300 | CP400 | CP500 | CP600 | CP800 | CP1000 | CP1500 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C/C/H3 ${ }^{91}$ | -10.00 | 30.30 | 6.19 | 7.84 | 9.40 | 10.79 | 13.02 | 14.77 | 17.58 |
| $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{H} 2^{91}$ | -5.00 | 9.40 | 5.50 | 6.95 | 8.25 | 9.36 | 11.07 | 12.34 | 14.20 |
| $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{O}^{91}$ | -7.20 | -11.00 | 4.80 | 6.64 | 8.10 | 8.73 | 9.81 | 10.40 | 11.51 |
| $\mathrm{O} / \mathrm{C}^{91}$ | -23.20 | 8.68 | 3.40 | 3.70 | 3.70 | 3.80 | 4.40 | 4.60 |  |
| $\mathrm{P}^{91}$ | 101.10 | 2.61 | -0.77 | -1.36 | -1.91 | -2.40 | -3.16 | -3.74 | -4.66 |
| RJCOC ${ }^{91}$ | 102.32 | 1.23 | 0.48 | -0.11 | -0.76 | -1.36 | -2.36 | -3.11 | -4.21 |
| $\mathrm{T}^{91}$ | 96.50 | 5.24 | -0.78 | -2.48 | -3.55 | -4.15 | -4.75 | -5.02 | -5.39 |
| $\mathrm{S}^{91}$ | 98.45 | 4.44 | -1.50 | -2.33 | -3.10 | -3.39 | -3.75 | -4.45 | -5.20 |
| $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CD} / \mathrm{H} 3^{91}$ | -10.20 | 30.41 | 6.19 | 7.84 | 9.40 | 10.79 | 13.02 | 14.77 | 17.58 |
| $\mathrm{CD} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{H}^{91}$ | 8.59 | 7.97 | 4.16 | 5.03 | 5.81 | 6.50 | 7.65 | 8.45 | 9.62 |
| $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CD} / \mathrm{H} 2 / \mathrm{O}^{91}$ | -6.76 | 9.80 | 5.12 | 6.86 | 8.32 | 9.49 | 11.22 | 12.48 | 14.40 |
| O/C/J ${ }^{\text {91 }}$ | -37.90 | 29.07 | 4.30 | 4.50 | 4.82 | 5.23 | 6.02 | 6.61 | 7.44 |
| ALKOXY $^{91}$ | 104.06 | -1.46 | -0.98 | -1.30 | -1.61 | -1.89 | -2.38 | -2.80 | -3.59 |
| O/C/D ${ }^{11}$ | -23.73 | 9.70 | 3.91 | 4.31 | 4.60 | 4.84 | 5.32 | 5.80 | - |
| $\mathrm{CD} / \mathrm{H}^{91}$ | 6.26 | 27.61 | 5.10 | 6.36 | 7.51 | 8.50 | 10.07 | 11.27 | 13.19 |

Comparisons are completed using the sum of the group present in each species with the calculated enthalpy of formation are presented in Table 6.8.

Table 6.8 Comparison of heat of formations with methods and group additivety

|  |  | Heat of Formation (kcal/mol) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | CBS-APNO | CBS-4M | CBS-QB3 | M062X | Wb97X | B2-LYP | Hf $_{\text {AVERAGE }}$ | Lit, | G.A. |
| CCj-Y(COC) | 19.4 | 18.2 | 17.7 | 18.6 | 18.3 | 18.0 | 18.3 | This Study | 19.6 |
| CDC-Y(COC) | 2.4 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.1 | This Study | 0.8 |
| CCQ-Y(COC) | -46.5 | -48.7 | -46.7 | -47.5 | -47.2 | -47.1 | -47.3 | This Study | -50.9 |
| CCQj-Y(COC) | -13.5 | -13.5 | -13.5 | -13.3 | -13.3 | -13.3 | -13.4 | This Study | -16.7 |
| CjCQ-Y(COC) | 2.8 | 3.3 | 3.8 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 3.4 | This Study | -1.9 |
| CCQ-Y(CjOC) | 3.6 | 2.8 | 2.1 | 3.1 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 2.8 | This Study | -4.5 |
| CCQ-Y(COCj) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.8 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.8 | This Study | -1.9 |
| CCQCCDO | -71.3 | -74.9 | -72.7 | -73.2 | -72.5 | -71.8 | -72.7 | This Study | -73.2 |
| CCQCjCDO | -31.6 | -30.5 | -31.4 | -31.0 | -30.6 | -30.2 | -30.9 | This Study | -26.9 |
| CCQCDOC | -76.6 | -79.6 | -77.1 | -78.6 | -77.9 | -77.7 | -77.9 | This Study | -79.5 |
| CC(=O)C(=O)C | -77.5 | -81.6 | -77.3 | -76.7 | -76.6 | -76.9 | -77.8 | This Study | -78.4 |
| CCQC=C=O | -41.0 | -42.7 | -42.7 | -43.6 | -42.7 | -43.2 | -42.7 | This Study | -47.6 |
| CC=CC=O | -24.9 | -25.8 | -26.6 | -25.3 | -25.5 | -26.4 | -25.8 | This Study | -28.2 |
| C=COC=C=O | -10.9 | -12.6 | -12.8 | -11.6 | -11.8 | -11.8 | -12.0 | This Study | -14.4 |
| C-Y(COC)C=O | -49.6 | -50.2 | -50.5 | -49.8 | -49.7 | -50.4 | -50.0 | This Study | -48.9 |
| C-Y(COC)-Cj=O | -10.2 | -9.6 | -10.9 | -10.7 | -10.6 | -10.6 | -10.4 | This Study | -6.0 |
| CCQC=COH | -64.9 | -69.6 | -66.6 | -66.9 | -66.5 | -66.8 | -66.9 | This Study | -66.6 |
| CCQC=COj | -30.1 | -28.7 | -33.0 | -34.1 | -34.4 | -33.3 | -32.1 | This Study | -32.5 |
| CCOC=C=O | -46.9 | -45.6 | -44.5 | -45.2 | -45.6 | -44.7 | -45.4 | This Study | -52.3 |
| CCjOC=C=O | 0.9 | 0.4 | -1.2 | -0.8 | -1.0 | -0.7 | -0.4 | This Study | -9.4 |
| CCQCDOCj | -33.8 | -33.0 | -31.7 | -31.9 | -31.4 | -31.1 | -32.9 | This Study | -30.5 |



Figure 6.2 Potential energy diagram of $\mathrm{CC} \cdot \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ oxidation and further reactions of the peroxy adduct $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OOJ}) \cdot \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})=\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{Q}) \cdot \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$.

### 6.4.6 Reactions

The reactions that take place can be divided into different reaction classes:

- ROO Stabilization
- Intramolecular hydrogen transfer from the carbon sites to the peroxy radical site
- Intramolecular Ring opening
- Hydrogen atom elimination (beta scissions)
- Molecular elimination (beta scissions)
- $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ molecular elimination

This study describes important reaction paths that occur with the S-ethyloxirane radical reaction with molecular oxygen. The potential energy diagram is shown in Figure 6.2. The Thermochemical properties are presented in the above tables.
6.4.6.1 Oxidation of CCJ-Y(COC). The oxidation of $\mathrm{CCj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ occurs with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ association with secondary carbon forming a new bond to the carbon radical with 31.8 kcal mol-1 of new energy in the molecule resulting from the new bond formed.. The energetics of this reaction can be observed in figure 6.3. Literature reported by Zador et al. ${ }^{1}$ reports well depths for the formation of alkyl peroxy radicals ( $\mathrm{R}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reactions) to typically have bond energy values of $30-35 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$ depending on the nature of the alkyl radical. This addition of oxygen is a barrier-less reaction and required accurate computational methodology referred to as the variational transition state theory to calculate the kinetic parameter of this association step. The potential energy scan in figure 5 was completed with the in B3-LYP method using 6-31G++(d,p) basis set. This potential energy
scan is accomplished by analyzing the dissociation of $\mathrm{CCQ} \cdot \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ to form $\mathrm{CC} \cdot \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ and an oxygen molecule.


Figure 6.3 VTST of $\mathrm{CCQ} \cdot \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CC} \cdot \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})+\mathrm{O}_{2}$. Similar to the reaction types described in the dissociation of the $\mathrm{CCj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ species, the same type of reactions will be employed for this system.

### 6.4.6.2 Intramolecular Hydrogen Atom Transfers in CCQJ-Y(COC).

peroxy radical can undergo hydrogen transfer reactions from each of the four carbon sites to the peroxy radical site - four intra-molecular transfer reactions.

The CCQj-Y(COC) molecule can undergo a number of intramolecular hydrogen atom transfer reactions. A hydrogen atom can transfer from the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ carbon to the peroxy oxygen radical site through a five-member ring transition state structure TY2-[(COOHC)$\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ ]. This reaction has an activation energy of $52.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ from the stabilized peroxy radical and the product is $16.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher in energy than the reactant; this is an endothermic reaction.

A hydrogen atom can transfer form a the C 2 carbon to the peroxy oxygen radical forming a radical on the C 2 carbon and a hydropeoxide on the C 2 carbon, this radical is unstable, the C 2 carbon radical immediately forms a $\pi$ bond ( $80 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ bond formed) with the oxygen atom bonded to it, which results in cleavage of the $\mathrm{RO}-\mathrm{OH}$ bond (45 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$, with no barrier to form $\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O})-\mathrm{YCOC}+\mathrm{OH}$.

A hydrogen atom can also transfer from the $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ carbon to the peroxy oxygen, via a five-member ring transition state structure TCY2-[(COOH)-Y(COC)]. This reaction has an activation energy to the CY2-[(COOH)-Y(COC)] transition structure of $54.0 \mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{Cl}} \mathrm{mole}{ }^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$. This atom movement through TCY2-[(COOH)-Y(COC)] to form $\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{OC})$ which is 38.7 kcal mol-1 below the TST.

A Hydrogen atom transfer can occur from the $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ carbon site via the six member ring TCY2-[COOH-Y(COC)] transition state structure, The C 4 hydrogen atom bonds to the peroxy oxygen. This reaction had an activation energy of $46.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and results in the formation of the chemical species $\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COCj})($ reminder $\mathrm{Q}=\mathrm{OOH})$. The formation of CCQ- $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COCj})$ is an endothermic reaction with an enthalpy change of $19.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

### 6.4.6.3 Ring Opening Reactions of the three stable radical intermediates formed by the Intramolecular H Atom Transfer Reactions <br> $\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}\left(\mathrm{COC} \cdot{ }^{\bullet}\right) \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}$ [Q equals -OOH$]$

The radical intermediates that are formed from the hydrogen transfers from the carbon atoms to the peroxy radical site can undergo further reactions. The $\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC} \bullet)$ radical can undergo two ring opening reactions; one cleaving the C3-Oxygen bond, and one cleaving the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond in the ring. These are similar to the reactions described above in the reactions for $\mathrm{CCj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$, where the $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ and C 4 carbon - oxygen bonds are cleaved.

In the opening of the $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{O}$ bond, this bond is stretched while the $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{O}$ bond shortens. The reaction proceeds through a saddle point transition state structure tst7. Figure 6.4 shows the reaction path described in this process.


Figure 6.4 Ring opening reactions observed cleaving $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{O}$ bond of $\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC} \bullet)$.

It is noted that the addition of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to the secondary radical forming a peroxy radical to the $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OOj})-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ modifies the bond angle of the ring to be slightly larger than 89 degrees when analyzing the cleavage of the $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{O}$ bond. This value show reasonable agreement to the non-substituted oxirane study of Wang et al. ${ }^{39}$, where the reaction was reported as exothermic, with a release of $36.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The calculated value in this study for a very slightly different (ethyl substituted oxiranyl radical) reaction has a value of $36.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The CCQ-Y(COC•) species can also undergo a ring opening reaction via cleavage forming CCQ-COC• as a product. This reaction was not thoroughly studied in this work but is outline in Figure 6.5. Here there is resonance between the oxygen and the terminal carbon atom, which has sp 2 bonding.


Figure 6.5 Ring opening reactions observed cleaving $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{C}_{4}$ bond of $\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC} \bullet)$

The final product that can be formed during ring opening reactions from the $\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC} \bullet)$ species is $\operatorname{CCQC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C} \cdot$. This reaction occurs when the $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{O}$ bond is cleaved. This reaction was not studied in detail during this study but is shown in Figure 6.6.


Figure 6.6 Ring opening reactions observed cleaving $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{O}$ bond of $\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC} \bullet)$

### 6.4.6.4 $\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathbf{C} \cdot \mathrm{OC}) \mathbf{C 4} \mathbf{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}$ Ring Opening Reactions. $\quad \mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{OC})$ can

 also undergo ring opening reactions similar to the reactions that occur in the CCQ$\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC} \bullet)$. The cleavage of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond in the ring results in a shorting of the $\mathrm{C}_{3}-\mathrm{O}$ bond and the $\mathrm{C}_{4}-\mathrm{O}$ bond is lengthened. This reaction forms a resonantly stabilized isomer $\operatorname{CCQC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C} \bullet=\operatorname{CCQC}(-\mathrm{O} \bullet)=\mathrm{C}$ This reaction has an activation energy of $18.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and is exothermic, releasing $30.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.. The resonance between the two structures above accounts for the lower activation energy relative to $\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COCj})$.$\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{OC})$ can undergo a ring opening reaction forming a $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4$ double bond and cleaving the $\mathrm{C} 3--\mathrm{O}$ bond in the ring with formation of the $\mathrm{CCQ}-(\mathrm{CCO} \cdot)$ radical. This reaction is exothermic and releases $33.7 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$ of energy with an energy barrier of $35.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. It is noted that because the carbons are all sp 3 in this structure there is no resonance in the $\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CCO} \bullet)$ radical and the energetics are higher than when the $\mathrm{C}_{3}-$ $\mathrm{C}_{4}$ bond in the ring is cleaved.

- Reactions of the intermediate products, formed in the ring opening reactions of the hydroperoxide oxirane radicals just above.
$\mathrm{CCQC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C} \cdot$ will react to form two different product sets. One reaction path is a beta scission forming [CC•Q] $+\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$. This $[\mathrm{CC} \cdot \mathrm{Q}]$ radical is however, not stable and it immediately reacts to $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{OH}$. The overall reaction is acetaldehyde plus ketene plus OH .

$$
\mathrm{CCQC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C} \bullet \Rightarrow[\mathrm{CC} \cdot \mathrm{Q}]+\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} \Rightarrow \mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} .
$$

This reaction had a total energy release of $10.0 \mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{mole}}{ }^{-1}$, after an activation energy of $2.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ through the transition state structure 5, (tst5).

The second path involves a transition state structure from $\left[\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{QC}_{3}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}_{4} \bullet\right]$, where a hydrogen atom from $\mathrm{C}_{2}$, transfers to $\mathrm{C}_{4}$, forming a radical on $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ in $\left.\left[\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{\bullet 2} \mathrm{QC}_{3}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}_{4}\right]\right]$. The radical on C 2 immediately forms a strong ( $\sim 80 \mathrm{kcal}$ mol-1) $\pi$ bond with the peroxy oxygen bonded to the C 2 carbon and this cleaves the weak ( 45 kcal mol-1) $\mathrm{RO}-\mathrm{OH}$ bond. As this reaction occurs, a hydroxyl molecule is cleaved from the peroxy group, leading to the formation of $\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{OH}$ as products.

This hydrogen transfer step reaction has a barrier of $67.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ to achieve the saddle point transition state, tst7, and is exothermic, with a $55 . \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ energy released.
6.4.6.5 $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ Molecular Elimination Reaction. The secondary peroxy radical of ethyl oxirane $\mathrm{CCQ} \bullet-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ can undergo $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ molecular elimination - loss of a $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ radical from the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ carbons and forming a new $\pi$ bond between the C 1 and C 2 carbons, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-(\mathrm{COC}) 2$ (vinyloxirane) $+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$. This reaction has saddle point barrier of 22.2 kcal mole ${ }^{-1}$ and is overall endothermic by $6.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

The CCQ-Y(CjOC) radical undergoes a $\beta$ scission reaction between the radical site and the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ carbon ( $\beta$-Carbon) with the loss of a $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ and formation of a $\pi$ bond between the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ carbons, 2-vinyloxirane. The reaction has a barrier $22.2 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$ and an endothermic reaction energy of $6.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} . \mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CjOC}) \Rightarrow \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CY}(\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{OC})+$ $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$.

$$
\mathrm{CCQC}=\mathrm{COj}==\mathrm{CCQCjC}=\mathrm{O} \text { (resonant structures) }
$$

There are two reaction paths for resonance forms of $\mathrm{CCQC}=\mathrm{COj}==\mathrm{CCQCjC}=\mathrm{O}$. The lowest energy path involves the beta scission from the carbon radical of the $\mathrm{CCQCjC}=\mathrm{O}$ to eliminate the a $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ peroxy radical with formation of $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$. This reaction has an activation energy of $23.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ to the saddle point, transition state structure, tst6, and is endothermic by $8.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$.

The second path involves formation of a new oxirane ring and elimination of an OH group (hydroxyl). This in an interesting path as the carbon radical site on $\mathrm{CCQC} \cdot \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$
site (C3) bonds to the oxygen on the peroxide carbon (C2), while simultaneously the peroxide $\mathrm{RO}-\mathrm{OH}$ bond is cleaving. The bonding to the peroxy oxygen allows the molecule to form a different (new) oxirane ring, creating the product $\mathrm{CY}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{OH}$. This reaction has a barrier of $67.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ to the saddle point transition state, tst7, and is overall, exothermic, with an energy release of $10.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.
6.4.6.5 $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ Molecular Elimination Reaction. The secondary peroxy radical of ethyl oxirane $\mathrm{CCQ} \bullet-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ can undergo $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ molecular elimination - loss of a $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ radical from the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ carbons and forming a new $\pi$ bond between the C 1 and C 2 carbons, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-(\mathrm{COC})$ 2-(vinyloxirane) $+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$. This reaction has saddle point barrier of 22.2 kcal mole ${ }^{-1}$ and is overall endothermic by $6.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

The CCQ-Y(CjOC) radical undergoes a $\beta$ scission reaction between the radical site and the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ carbon ( $\beta$-Carbon) with the loss of a $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ and formation of a $\pi$ bond between the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ carbons, 2-vinyloxirane. The reaction has a barrier $22.2 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$ and an endothermic reaction energy of $6.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} . \mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CjOC}) \Rightarrow \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CY}(\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{OC})+$ $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$.

## $\mathrm{CCQC}=\mathrm{COj}==\mathrm{CCQCjC}=\mathrm{O}$ (resonant structures)

There are two reaction paths for resonance forms of $\mathrm{CCQC}=\mathrm{COj}==\mathrm{CCQCjC}=\mathrm{O}$. The lowest energy path involves the beta scission from the carbon radical of the $\mathrm{CCQCjC}=\mathrm{O}$ to eliminate the $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ peroxy radical with formation of $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$. This reaction has an activation energy of $23.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ to the saddle point, transition state structure, tst6, and is endothermic by $8.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$.

The second path involves formation of a new oxirane ring and elimination of an OH group (hydroxyl). This in an interesting path as the carbon radical site on $\mathrm{CCQC} \cdot \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$
site (C3) bonds to the oxygen on the peroxide carbon (C2), while simultaneously the peroxide $\mathrm{RO}-\mathrm{OH}$ bond is cleaving. The bonding to the peroxy oxygen allows the molecule to form a different (new) oxirane ring, creating the product $\mathrm{CY}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{OH}$. This reaction has a barrier of $67.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ to the saddle point transition state, tst7, and is overall, exothermic, with an energy release of $10.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

### 6.4.6.6 Reaction Paths of $\mathbf{C}-\mathbf{Y}(\mathbf{C O C}) \mathbf{C j}=\mathbf{O}$. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{C} \cdot=\mathrm{O}$ radical is an

 important product in this reaction system. The reaction paths of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{C} \cdot=\mathrm{O}$ are illustrated in figure 6.7. One of the pathways involves the formation of a carbon monoxide and a methyl oxirane radical. The $-\mathrm{C} \cdot=\mathrm{O}$ radical forms a triple bond in carbon monoxide $(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{O}$ and cleaves from the carbon in the oxirane ring. The products are CO and a methyl oxirane radical. The reaction has an activation energy of $14.3 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$ to tst 9 . The total energy required is $14.2 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$ with an enthalpy of reaction of $13.8 \mathrm{kcal}_{\mathrm{mole}}{ }^{-1}$.

Figure 6.7 Potential energy diagram of $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{CCj}=\mathrm{O}$.

A second reaction needing consideration is double bond formation by the carbon C 4 radical site to the oxirane ring carbon. This will occur with opening of the ring system over a barrier of $46.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$, illustrated by tst 10 . Here a double bond, $\pi$ bond, is starting to form between the carbon radical site and the adjacent carbon on the oxirane ring at the transition state structure, forming a new $\pi$ bond and opening the ring. One continuing reaction from tst10 forms acetaldehyde with a ketenyl molecule through tst 12 , which is 0.9 $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$ below vtst10. The further reaction through tst12 is downhill releasing 32.4 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ from tst12 to form ketene + acetaldehyde (ethenal) $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$.

A second reaction from tst 10 is to a H atom plus $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$. This reaction has an activation energy of $85.3 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$. This reaction releases $80.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ from the TS11 saddle point.

### 6.5 Kinetics

Many of the reactions have high barriers and do not occur under atmospheric or initiation of combustion reactions in the systems described above. The high pressure limit elementary rate constant parameters are listed in Table 6.9. These are high pressure limit rate constants, which are the input data for the QRRK calculations. The QRRK calculations determine the reaction rate constants as a function of pressure. Rate constants here are reported for conditions of one atmosphere and and 800 K .

The association of $\mathrm{CCj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ with molecular oxygen is the next channel. The pre-exponential constant for this reaction was observed to be $7.27 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mol} * \mathrm{sec}$. This value is consistent with association values report in studies by Zador et al. ${ }^{1}$ The forward
rate constant for this reaction is $10^{11.6} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$. The dissociation rate constant from CCQj $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ to $\mathrm{CCj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is observed to be $10^{7.0} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$.

Table 6.9 High Pressure-Limit Elementary Rate Parameters for Dominate Specie Formation for Oxirane Peroxy System

| Reactions | $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{A} \mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{n}} \operatorname{expp}^{(-\mathrm{Ea} / \mathrm{RT})}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{molecules}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | n | $\mathrm{Ea}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |  |
| $\mathrm{CCJYCOC} \rightarrow \mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{COCJ}$ | $2.27 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 1.53 | 12.01 |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{COCJ} \rightarrow \mathrm{CCJ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | $5.26 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 1.28 | 42.91 |
| $\mathrm{CCJ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CCOJ}$ | $2.64 \mathrm{E}+10$ | 1.17 | 4.71 |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CCOJ} \rightarrow \mathrm{CCJ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | $1.21 \mathrm{E}+10$ | 1.27 | 68.51 |
| $\mathrm{CCDCCOJ} \rightarrow \mathrm{CJ}=\mathrm{CC}+\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}$ | $6.83 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 1.93 | 31.82 |
| $\mathrm{CCDCOCJ} \rightarrow \mathrm{CJ}=\mathrm{CC}+\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}$ | $2.00 \mathrm{E}+10$ | 1.80 | 35.00 |
| $\mathrm{CCJ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})+\mathrm{O} 2 \rightarrow \mathrm{CCQJ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | $8.81 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 2.12 | 23.84 |
| $\mathrm{CCQJ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CCJ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})+\mathrm{O} 2$ | $3.82 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 3.89 | -8.63 |
| $\mathrm{CCQJ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CJCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | $1.01 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 1.74 | 52.09 |
| $\mathrm{CJCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \rightarrow \mathrm{CCQJ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | $3.48 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 1.03 | 35.66 |

The reaction from $\mathrm{CCQj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ to $\mathrm{CjCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ has a forward and reverse rate constant of $10^{3.47}$ and $10^{5.7} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$. Figure 6.8 shows the rate constant plot as a function of temperature, ranging from 298 K to 1200 K , where the rate constants are plotted versus 1000/T at one atmosphere.


Figure 6.8 Rate constant plot as a function of temperature, ranging from 298 K to 1200 K at one atmosphere.

Figure 6.9 shows that the reaction of $\mathrm{CCj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})+\mathrm{O} 2$ (initial oxidation) goes directly into equilibrium forming the stable adduct $\mathrm{CCQj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ and that the major product is an equilibrium system with the stable secondary ethyloxirane peroxy radical, which is some 7.5 times lower than the separated products of $\mathrm{CCj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})+\mathrm{O} 2$ at 800 K . As a result, the formation of $\mathrm{CCj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})+\mathrm{O} 2$ product set dominates this reaction system under conditions of combustion temperatures, at $88.0 \%$ formation, and $\mathrm{CCQj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ is $11.9 \%$.

At room temperature and at atmospheric pressure the peroxy radical formation is complete, there is no further isomerization of unimolecular dissociation reaction of consequence. There will be further reactions in the atmosphere with species such as NO, nitric oxide and with $\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{HO}_{2}$ and other active species.


Figure 6.9 Chemkin modeling of $\mathrm{CCQj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ undergoing oxidation at 1 atm and 800 K .

### 6.6 Conclusion

The thermochemistry, the bond dissociation energies and reaction paths of secondary ethyl oxiranyl radical $\mathrm{CCj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ under atmospheric and oxidation conditions have been established. The weakest $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{H}$ bond present in the CCQ- $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ molecule is the ethyl bonded carbon-H radical in the oxiranyl ring $\mathrm{CC} \cdot \mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ species,. The reaction of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ association with this secondary radical of ethyl oxirane forms $\mathrm{CCQ} \cdot-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$, which does not undergo significant further, unimolecular reaction under conditions of atmospheric chemistry. The resulting the ethyl oxirane peroxy radical (CCQj-Y(COC)) has a well depth of $31.7 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ below the ethyl oxirane radical and molecular oxygen $((\mathrm{CCj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})+$ $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) entrance channel. Under combustion conditions (higher temperatures) the reverse reaction $\mathrm{CC} \cdot-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ is dominant. The unimolecular reactions of $\mathrm{CC} \cdot-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ described, will be most important under combustion conditions. In atmospheric chemistry the stabilized peroxy radical will react with NO to form NO 2 and an alkoxy radical $\mathrm{CCO} \bullet-$
$\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$. This alkoxy radical will further react by reaction paths similar to that of $\mathrm{CC} \cdot$ $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$.

## CHAPTER 7

## THERMOCHEMISTRY AND KINETICS OF OXIDATION OF THE DIETHYL ETHER SECONDARY RADICAL

### 7.1 Overview

Ethers are widely used as solvents, and as fuel additives for Diesel and Homogeneous Charge Compression Ignition (HCCI) engines. As a result of their use in such applications, ethers and other organic compounds are present in the atmosphere resulting in the formation of photochemical aerosols or $\operatorname{smog}^{37,38}$. Ethers are also important intermediates in isoprene and other pinene molecule oxidation reactions in the atmosphere where aerosols and partially oxygenated hydrocarbons initial to the formation of Peroxy Aceto- Nitrates (PANs) are formed. As the hazards of organic peroxides are relatively well studied, oxidation mechanisms of peroxidizable compounds like ethers reported in the literature are limited. For literature that is present, studies are typically based on experiments under atmospheric and combustion conditions.

Diethyl ether (DEE) is being considered as promising fuel; it is gaining an increasing level of recognition for its safe and cost-effective way of reducing levels of soot and particulate emissions due to its good ignition properties ${ }^{153}$. However, storage of ethers under improper conditions, such as exposure to oxygen in air, for an extended period of time, is known to result in the formation of peroxide bearing intermediates that have the ability to form explosive peroxides ${ }^{17-19}$. DEE is also known to form unstable peroxides during distillation, evaporation and concentration processes which are considered to be a primary factor in laboratory accidents ${ }^{17-19}$. As a result the DEE molecule will be the focal
point of this study, where a large emphasis will be placed on evaluating the oxidation of the secondary carbon radical site.

The dimethyl ether has been widely studied from experimental as well as theoretical perspectives ${ }^{42-47}$ with specific studies on combustion ${ }^{29}$, pyrolysis ${ }^{48}$, or atmospheric chemistry ${ }^{49,50}$. For DEE there is more limited data on mechanistic studies on the oxidation kinetics than there is for the more widely used dimethyl ether diesel additive.

The chemistry of the oxidation of branched ethers has been compared and suggested to have similarities in comparison to the more well-known alkanes ${ }^{25-28}$. There are detailed mechanistic studies in both dimethyl ether ${ }^{29}$ as well as diethyl ether ${ }^{30}$. It is accepted that ethers undergo the oxidation that is initiated by a hydroxyl radical under atmospheric conditions ${ }^{49,53,54}$. Studies by Di Tommaso et al. ${ }^{29,30}$ show that the ether radicals can undergo beta scission reactions, intramolecular isomerization (hydrogen atom transfers), or reactions ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ to form an energized peroxy radical ${ }^{29,}{ }^{30}$. The peroxy radical is formed with the added energy of the R-OO bond and this chemically energized peroxy radical can undergo reactions before stabilization, where these reactions play a central role in further oxidation reactions of hydrocarbons as well as ethers.

A detailed study of the secondary DEE radical oxidation mechanism has been performed in order to understand the kinetics of the oxidation steps initiated by this peroxy radical formation. Detailed chemical kinetics are developed to characterize and understand the reaction paths with specific attention to the exothermicity and the chain branching paths,; both of which are important to ignition. The most probable reactions paths involved in the oxidation process are determined.

There are two components in this study: (i.) an in-depth study on the oxidation of DEE by a series of reactions involving the initial oxygen molecule association to the secondary radical of diethyl ether - the reactions of this chemical energized system. The second component is the thermochemistry and kinetics of a second oxygen radical addition to a secondary radical site in the 2-diethyl hydroperoxide molecule. Results are presented using a theoretical study based on DFT calculations that provide accurate thermochemical data in addition to providing a theoretical investigation that will help identify possible reaction paths. The oxygen addition to the secondary site results in an energized species. Reaction of this energized peroxy radical, before it is stabilized by collisions with the bath gas, are termed chemical activation reactions. Both the chemical activation and the thermal reactions of the ether peroxides can include intermolecular hydrogen atom transfers from other carbons of the diethyl ether moiety to this newly formed secondary peroxy radical. This creates new carbon radical sites, which can undergo further reactions with the O 2 molecules present.

A detailed mechanistic study of the reactions involved in this process is developed and further used to create a kinetic model and the use of Chemkin ${ }^{163}$ to describe the reaction paths and products as a function of time and temperature. A Chemkin reaction mechanism is generated for initial unimolecular reactions and the oxidation of this initial secondary ether radical and the formation of its initial products as well as new hydroperoxy carbon radicals on the ether. The mechanism also includes reaction of molecular oxygen to the secondary carbon radical of the $\mathrm{CCQOC} \cdot \mathrm{C}$ diethyl ether hydroperoxy radical.

The Chemkin program calculates species concentrations at selected temperature, species concentrations and pressure, versus reaction time. The Chemkin program calculates
kinetics of species for each reaction in the mechanism, using both forward and reverse reaction rate constants and paths. The reverse reaction rate constants are from the developed thermochemistry.

### 7.2 Nomenclature

Table 7.1 Nomenclature of the reactants, intermediates and products for Diethyl Ether System

| Nomenclature | Species | Formula |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCOCC | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}$ |
| CC•OCC | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}$ |
| CCQ ${ }^{\text {OCC }}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOJ}) \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| CCQOC ${ }^{\text {C }}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OCHCH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{CQOCC}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| TC-Y2[COOH]OCC | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| TC-Y2[COOHCCO] | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{OOHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| TC-Y2[COOHCO]C | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{OOHCHO}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| TY2[COOH]OCC | $\mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOOH}\right)-\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| $\operatorname{CCOC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}$ |
| CCQ•OCQC | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Qj}) \mathrm{OCH}(\mathrm{Q}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ |
| C•CQOCQC | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Q}) \mathrm{OCH}(\mathrm{Q}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ |
| CC•QOCQC | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q}) \mathrm{OCH}(\mathrm{Q}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ |
| CCO•OC( $=0$ ) C | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Oj}) \mathrm{OC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| TC-Y8[COOHOOCCO] | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{y}\left(\mathrm{CHOCH}(\mathrm{Q}) \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ |
| TC $\cdot$ CQOCQC | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q}) \mathrm{OCH}(\mathrm{Q}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ |
| TC-Y2[C(Q)OCCOH]C | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q}) \mathrm{y}(\mathrm{OCOOH}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ |
| TCCQOC-Y2[C(OOH)]C | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{Q}) \mathrm{OC}-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ |
| Y(COC)OCQC | $\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OCH})-\mathrm{OCH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{CH} 3$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |
| CCQOC=C | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OCHCH}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ |
| CCQOC( $=0$ ) C | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| CCOCCQ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}(\mathrm{OOH})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| CCOC•CQ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCjHCH}_{2}(\mathrm{OOH})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| CC.OCCQ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CjHOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}(\mathrm{OOH})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| C•COCCQ | $\mathrm{CjH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}(\mathrm{OOH})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| CCOCCQ• | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}(\mathrm{OOj})$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{OCC}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{OCC}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}(\mathrm{Oj}) \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |

### 7.3 Computational Methods

The Gaussian 09 Program (G09) ${ }^{67}$ was used in the calculation of electronic structural parameters, vibration frequencies, zero point vibrational and thermal energies. Rotational conformers related to the peroxide group in this study were investigated to determine the conformation of each molecule which gave the lowest energy. The Internal rotor potential was also utilized for calculating both entropy and heat capacity contributions. The estimate potential energies for internal Rotator potentials were computed using MOPAC2009 ${ }^{154}$ using PM3 methodology to scan each dihedral angle where a rotator was located between 0 and 360 degrees in steps of 10 degrees. As this scan calculated the energy at each step, the rest of the molecule remained optimized. After scanning the dihedral angles corresponding to internal rotors, the total energy corresponding to the most stable conformation was calculated and used as a reference in plots of the potential barriers. Internal rotor potentials for the molecules were then calculated at the $\mathrm{M} 062 \mathrm{X}^{130}$ density function theory (DFT) with the $6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set respectively.

Isodesmic work reactions for molecules and radicals were used to obtain accurate calculations for $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}$ f298. The DFT methods M06-2x, $\omega$ B97X ${ }^{131}$, B2-LYP ${ }^{123}$, and B3-LYP with the $6-13+(G(d, p)$ basis set were used for the stable molecules and radicals. The B3LYP method combines the three parameter Becke exchange functional, B3, with the Lee-Yang-Parr correlation functional, LYP ${ }^{39}$. The M06-2x is a hybrid meta exchangecorrelation functional that is a high nonlocality functional with double the amount of nonlocal exchange ${ }^{61}$. The $\omega$ B97X is a long-range corrected functional created by HeadGordon and coworkers which includes empirical dispersion ${ }^{63}$.

Isodesmic reactions were used to calculate standard enthalpy of formation; these reactions conserve the number of types of bonds and reaction schemes with similar bonding on both product and reaction sides of the chemical equation. This provides a cancellation of systemic error that can occur in the quantum chemistry calculations, which can result from incomplete calculation of the electron correlation energies ${ }^{155,} 156$. The calculated $\Delta \mathrm{H} 298 \mathrm{rxn}$ of each work reaction is then used to calculate the $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\circ} 298$ of the target reactant, where the two products and one reactant were the reference molecules that have known, evaluated $\Delta \mathrm{fH}^{\circ}$ (298) from literature.

Entropy and heat capacity values were calculated as a function of temperature from the optimized structures, moments of inertia, vibration frequencies, internal rotor potentials, symmetry, electron degeneracy, number of optical isomers and the mass of each molecule. This calculation uses a standard formula from statistical mechanics for the contributions of translation, vibrations, and external rotation (TVR) using the SMCPS (Statistical Mechanics-Heat Capacity, and Entropy) program ${ }^{87}$. SMCPS utilizes the rigid rotor-harmonic oscillator approximation from the frequencies, as well as moments of inertia from the optimized B3-LYP/6-31G(d,p) level. Variational Transition State Theory (VTST) was employed to determine rate constants for barrierless reactions in order to determine enthalpy and entropy values for the transition state structures that do not have saddle points. This was accomplished by running a scan along the selected bond of interest at intervals of 0.1 Angstrom. Frequencies, moments of inertia, and enthalpies were determined for each of the 1 Ao interval in the vicinity of the minima of the rate constant calculations.

The group additivity method, developed by Benson ${ }^{43}$, was used as a comparison for determined parent $\Delta H^{\circ} \mathrm{f} 298, \mathrm{~S}^{\circ}(\mathrm{T})$, and $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{T})$ values. This method is based on the knowledge of the contributions of representative groups in similar molecules and their properties in linear consistency in thermochemical properties. Thermodynamic properties of larger species, such as those in this study, were accurately approximated based on the sum of smaller representative groups where there were corrections for rotors, symmetry, electron degeneracy, optical isomers, and gauche and other interactions. The group additivity and calculated Density Functional Theory values were compared.

High-pressure rate constants, $\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{T})$, are calculated for the $300-2000 \mathrm{~K}$ temperature range using canonical transition state theory (CTST):

$$
\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{~T})^{n}=\frac{k_{b} T}{h} \exp \left(\frac{\Delta S_{c}^{\ddagger}}{R}\right) \exp \left(\frac{-\Delta H^{\ddagger}}{R T}\right)\left(\frac{R T}{P^{0}} \Delta^{\Delta n^{\ddagger}}\right.
$$

Degeneracy is accounted for in the symmetry of reactants and products. These rate constants are then used to determine elementary rate parameters (A, n, Ea) using a modified form of the Arrhenius equation:

$$
k(T)=A T^{n} \exp ^{\left(\frac{-E_{a}}{R T}\right)}
$$

For the variational transition state calculation of rate constant $\mathrm{CCjOCC}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ adduct, bond length scans are performed with calculation of optimized structure, energy, and vibration frequencies at each $0.1 \AA$ step. A complete set of thermochemical properties are calculated for the different transition-state structures at each step.

Rate constants are calculated from the reactant to each structure at temperatures of 298 to 2000 K . The minimum rate constant is taken across the temperature-bond length data set, for each temperature. The minimum set of rate constants over the temperature range is fit to modified Arrhenius rate constant form. Temperature and pressure-dependent
rate constants are calculated using the multichannel, multifrequency quantum Rice-Ramsperger-Kassel (qRRK) analysis for $k(E)$ with master equation for falloff and stabilization as implemented in the CHEMASTER code ${ }^{161,162}$. Energy dependence of the rate constant, $\mathrm{k}(\mathrm{E})$, must be considered to correctly account for product distribution from chemically activated reactions. The steady-state assumption is applied to the energized adduct where both forward and reverse reaction paths are calculated. In comparison, the formation of products is not reversible, and only adjacent product formation is considered; subsequent dissociation needs to be handled separately. The required input for CHEMASTER includes temperature and pressure ranges of interest, the mass of the species, the Lennard-Jones transport parameters for the collider molecule, the third body bath gas, and reactants, and a reduced set of three representative vibrations and their degeneracies.

### 7.4 Results and Discussion

Diethyl Ether is an ether and it is noted that the carbon atoms adjacent to carbonyl groups in ketones and adjacent to the oxygen atom in ethers, have significantly lower carbonhydrogen bond dissociation energies than $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond energies on alkanes.

Crounse et al. ${ }^{51}$ have shown that the atmospheric oxidation of 3-pentanone proceeds via a set of consecutive reactions starting with the radical site formation via OH radical abstraction, where the H atom is removed from a resonantly stabilized $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond adjacent to the carbonyl group.

The C-H bond dissociation energy is lower than a conventional secondary bond by $5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ due to the resonance of the carbon radical site with the carbonyl group.

## $\mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{CH} 3 \rightarrow \mathrm{HOH}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH} \cdot \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ <br> ( $\Delta \mathbf{H r x n}-24 \mathrm{kcal}$ mol-1)

Secondary radical site on ketone
This carbon radical adjacent to a carbonyl group undergoes association reaction with ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ to form a peroxy radical with a well depth of 30 kcal mol-2, approximately 12 $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$ deeper than a resonantly stabilized allyl radical. The peroxy radical formed then abstracts the similarly weakly bound hydrogen atom from the corresponding secondary carbon just across the carbonyl to form a hydroperoxide and a new resonance-stabilized alkyl radical.
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}^{\circ} \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{\left(\mathrm{OO}^{\circ}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3} \rightarrow}$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}^{\cdot}(\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$
${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ then adds to this new carbon radical site, forming a $\mathrm{RC}(\mathrm{OOH})-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}-(\mathrm{COO} \cdot) \mathrm{R}{ }^{\prime}$ hydroperoxide - peroxy radical. The new peroxy radical undergoes an identical H atom abstraction from the hydroperoxide carbon; this forms a radical site on a carbon with a hydroperoxde group.

## $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH} \cdot{ }^{\circ}=\mathrm{OCH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{OO}^{\bullet}\right) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{OCH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{CH}_{3} \rightarrow$

## $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{C}=\mathbf{O C} \cdot(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{*}$

The product hydroperoxide carbon radical, $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ is not stable; it immediately undergoes electron rearrangement forming a strong ( $\sim 80 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}-1$ ) $\mathrm{RC}=\mathrm{O} \Pi$ bond (a carbonyl) and cleaving the weak ( $\sim 45 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{RO}-\mathrm{OH}$ ).
$\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{OC}^{\cdot}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]^{*} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{OC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{OH}$
( dHrxn - 35 kcal mol-1)

This last step is $\sim 35 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ exothermic, as the newly formed $\Pi$ bond contributes approximately $80 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ of new energy while the cleaved $\mathrm{RO}-\mathrm{OH}$ bond is only 45 kcal mol-1. Crounse et al. showed that this overall process is dominant over other reaction paths in the 3-pentanone oxidation. They also state that it will be important in the atmospheric oxidation of systems that form similar ketones, such as isoprene.

Our data show that this decrease in $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond dissociation energy is also present in cyclic ethers (Auzumendi-Murua and J W Bozzelli - J Phys Chem A 2014). Moreover, our work shows that the significant exothermicity of the ether carbon radical $+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ association reactions is similar to that of the ketones of the Crounse et al. data. The carbonyl study further compares the isomerization rates of the carbonyl in ketones with those of comparable structures in alkanes. They show the significant amplification in kinetics with the ketones. The present study illustrates that the same favorable thermodynamics apply in cyclic ethers, and we suggest that ether oxidation in the atmosphere will also undergo this reaction set.

| Example: | $\mathrm{CCOCC}+\mathrm{OH}$ | = | $\mathrm{CC} \cdot \mathrm{OCC}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{CC} \cdot \mathrm{OCC}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\rightarrow$ | CCQ•OCC |
|  | CCQ $\cdot$ OCC | $\rightarrow$ | CCQOC ${ }^{\text {C }}$ |
|  | CCQOC $\cdot \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\rightarrow$ | CCQ - ${ }^{\text {aCQC }}$ |
|  | CCQ•OCQC | $\rightarrow$ | [CC•QOCQC]* |
|  | [CC•QOCQC]* | $\rightarrow$ | CCQOC $=\mathrm{O}$ ) $\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{OH}$ |
| Overall : | $\overline{\mathbf{C C O C C}+\mathbf{O H}+\mathbf{2 O}_{2}}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{CCQOC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O} \\ &(\mathrm{OH} \text { regeneration) } \end{aligned}$ |

Under some conditions, further reaction can occur from the chemically activated adduct formed by the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ association reactions, resulting in branching. Each $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ association
reaction initially forms a chemically activated adduct with $\sim 32 \mathrm{kcal}$ mol-1 energy from the new bond formed.

The $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OC} \bullet(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{*}$ has approximately up to $50 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ chemical activation energy (resulting from energy of the new $\pi$ bond formed) in reaction through the transition state. This energy is sufficient to dissociate the RCO- OH bond (only 45 kcal mol- 1 is needed) on the remaining $\mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{OH}$ hydroperoxide group. Conditions for branching depend on temperature, pressure, and rates of the intramolecular reactions above. Some of the energized $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3} *$ will further dissociate to yield an alkoxy radical plus a second OH radical $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\circ}\right) \mathrm{OC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{OH}$ (chain branching). If this occurs in ethers as it does in ketones as Crounse indicates, the overall reaction is chain branching.

### 7.4.1 Standard Enthalpy (Heat) of Formation $\mathbf{\Delta H}{ }^{\circ}{ }_{f} 298$

The isodesmic work reactions were used in the process in the analysis of reference species $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{\mathrm{f}(298)}$ values listed in Table 7.2. DEE enthalpies of formation, along with radicals and products, formed through oxidation using the M062X, $\omega$ B97X, B2PLYP DFT methods. Nomenclature for species identification and molecular formulas are listed in Scheme 1. Evaluating the thermochemical enthalpies of the reactants, intermediates, and transition states using three different calculation methods provides a reinforcement of the accuracy and shows consistency of the data. The isodesmic reactions that were utilized have been averaged for each calculation method and were reported as method averages of the three
methods. The standard deviation was listed for each species. The smallest standard deviation for a set of molecules was 0.22 and the largest value was 2.44 .

Table 7.2 Standard Enthalpies of formation used as Reference Species in Isodesmic Reaction for Diethyl Ether System

| Species | $\Delta \mathbf{H}^{\circ}{ }_{\mathrm{f}} 298$ (kcal mol${ }^{-1}$ ) | Error Values | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 52.103 | $\pm 0.001$ | 9 |
| C (CH4) | -17.78 | $\pm 0.07$ | 157 |
| CC | -20.04 | $\pm 0.07$ | 158 |
| CCC | -25.02 | $\pm 0.12$ | 158 |
| CCOH | -56.21 | $\pm 0.5$ | 103 |
| $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{COH}$ | -5.9 | $\pm 0.4$ | 105 |
| CCO• | -3.1 | $\pm 0.4$ | 105 |
| CCOCC | -60.4 | $\pm 0.47$ | 61 |
| CCCOH | -60.97 | $\pm 0.7$ | 159 |
| $\mathrm{CCC} \cdot \mathrm{OH}$ | -17.60 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| CCCO• | -8.1 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| CCOC | -51.9 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{COC}$ | -1.30 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| CCOC | -7.30 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| COC | -43.99 | $\pm 0.12$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{OC}$ | 0.8 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |
| CQ | -31.0 |  | 142 |
| CQ• | 2.4 |  | 142 |
| CCQ | -39.0 |  | 142 |
| CCQ• | -6.2 |  | 142 |
| CCCQ | -44.0 |  | 142 |
| CCCQ• | -11.4 |  | 142 |
| C3C | -32.07 | $\pm 0.15$ | 158 |
| C3CQ | -58.77 |  | 66 |
| COCQ | -70.3 |  | 105 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ | -26.2 | $\pm 0.1$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CC} \cdot \mathrm{OH}$ | -13 | $\pm 0.3$ | 105 |
| $\mathrm{CC} \cdot \mathrm{OC}$ | -8.6 | $\pm 0.9$ | 105 |

The values determined by the different work reactions and different calculation methods for the enthalpy values of the parent molecules and radicals in this diethyl ether system were highly consistent and support accuracy in the enthalpy values. This data was compared with group additivity calculations and with literature data in Table 7.4. A trend
was observed with the B2PLYP method, where the standard enthalpy values calculated by B2PLYP were consistently low by about $0.36 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

Table 7.3 Work Reactions and Heats of Formation for CCOCC, CCQOCC and CCQOCQC radicals and products


Table 7.3 Work Reactions and Heats of Formation for CCOCC, CCQOCC and CCQOCQC radicals and products (continued)


Table 7.3 Work Reactions and Heats of Formation for CCOCC, CCQOCC and CCQOCQC radicals and products (continued)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 7.3 Work Reactions and Heats of Formation for CCOCC, CCQOCC and CCQOCQC radicals and products (continued)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 7.3 Work Reactions and Heats of Formation for CCOCC, CCQOCC and CCQOCQC radicals and products (continued)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 7.4 Calculated $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{\mathrm{f} 298}$ Comparison to Literature and Group Additivity for Diethyl Ether System

|  | Heat of Formation (kcal/mol) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | M06-2X | WB97X | B2PLYP | Average | Literature ${ }^{\text {(Reference) }}$ | GA |
| CCOCC | -61.1 | -61.5 | -62.0 | -61.5 | -60.4 ${ }^{63}$ | -59.80 |
| CC•OCC | -17.2 | -17.0 | -17.4 | -17.0 | $-17.9^{30}$ | -16.89 |
| C $\cdot \mathrm{COCC}$ | -9.5 | -9.3 | -8.5 | -8.8 | $-11.8{ }^{30}$ | -9.25 |
| CCQOCC | -88.9 | -88.1 | -88.3 | -88.4 | -91.7 ${ }^{30}$ | -89.50 |
| CCQOC $\cdot \mathrm{C}$ | -46.5 | -46.4 | -46.4 | -46.4 | $-44.0{ }^{30}$ | -46.59 |
| CCQOCC• | -40.4 | -39.5 | -39.4 | -39.8 | $-36.1{ }^{63}$ | -38.95 |
| $C C \cdot Q O C C$ | - | - | - | - | - | -46.59 |
| C•CQOCC | -37.9 | -37.8 | -37.4 | -37.7 | $-33.2{ }^{63}$ | 38.95 |
| CCQ - ${ }^{\text {che }}$ | -52.8 | -52.9 | -53.0 | -52.9 | $-54.5^{63 *}$ | -55.30 |
| CCOCCQ | -78.5 | -78.3 | -78.4 | -78.4 | $-74.7{ }^{63 *}$ | -79.05 |
| C•COCCQ | -29.7 | -29.3 | -29.4 | -29.5 | This work | -28.95 |
| CC•OCCQ | -33.8 | -34.0 | -33.5 | -33.8 | This work | -35.60 |
| CCOC•CQ | -35.9 | -36.2 | -36.8 | -36.3 | This work | -35.60 |
| CCOCCQ• | -45.9 | -45.7 | -45.4 | -45.6 | $-44.1{ }^{63^{*}}$ | -45.30 |
| CCOCC ${ }^{\text {Q }}$ | - | - | - | - | - | -28.95 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{OCC}$ | -106.5 | -106.0 | -105.7 | -106.1 | This work | -105.20 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O} \cdot) \mathrm{OCC}$ | -52.5 | -52.7 | -52.6 | -52.6 | $-58.9^{160}$ | -53.24 |
| $\operatorname{CCOC}=0) \mathrm{C}$ | -107.1 | -107.4 | -106.6 | -107.0 | $-106.5{ }^{103}$ | -105.67 |
| CCQOCQC | -122.4 | -121.2 | -120.2 | -121.3 | This work | -119.20 |
| CCQ - OCQC | -85.2 | -85.5 | -85.9 | -85.4 | -81.2 ${ }^{160}$ | -85.00 |
| CCQOCQC• | -70.7 | -70.3 | -70.4 | -70.5 | This work | 68.45 |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OCQC}$ | -133.5 | -132.9 | -132.1 | -132.9 | This work | -135.37 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COCQC}$ | -63.0 | -62.6 | -62.3 | -62.7 | This work | -62.84 |
| Y(COC)OCQC | -102.9 | -102.5 | -101.8 | -102.7 | This work | -91.67 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O} \cdot) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{Q}) \mathrm{C}$ | -84.3 | -84.4 | -84.1 | -84.3 | $91.3{ }^{159}$ | -83.34 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -125.0 | -124.7 | -124.7 | -124.8 | This work | -123.48 |

*Values obtained from experimental studies in aqueous solution
Enthalpies, entropies, heat capacities for groups are listed in section 7.4.5.

### 7.4.2Bond Dissociation Energies of Diethyl Ether Species

C-H bonds on the primary and secondary carbon sites and the peroxide $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond dissociation energies located in Table 7.5. Bond dissociation energies were computed from
a bond cleavage reaction using, the heat of formation recorded for a parent molecule and the corresponding radical, and the $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{\circ} 298$ of Hydrogen atom; which is $52.103 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mole}^{-1}$. The data calculated was consistent with BDE values reported for alkanes having primary and secondary BDE of 101.3 and $98.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}^{52}$, respectively, where the respective primary and secondary radical carbon sites of the DEE radical have a an average BDE of 102.3 and $96.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Moreover, when comparing peroxy radical sites in the systems studied it was observed in this particular system that the average BDE was $86.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. This is similar to that of alkanes containing peroxides at $85.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}^{142}$. The BDE of the molecules that have gone through its first oxygen addition step the BDE for the primary carbon of DEE-Q the bond dissociation energies were for molecules (a- primary site on the other side of the peroxide, b- primary site on the same side as the peroxide) 100.8 (a) for CCQOCC $\cdot 102.8$ (b) for $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{CQOCC}$, and secondary and peroxy sites for molecules $\mathrm{CCQOC} \cdot \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CCQ} \cdot \mathrm{OCC}$ were 94.1 and $87.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. When comparing BDE of CCOCCQ and its radicals the secondary site for DEE-Q the dissociations were molecules (c-secondary site on the other side of the peroxide, $d$ - primary site on the same side as the peroxide) it was observed that species $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{COCCQ}$, (c) $\mathrm{CCOC} \cdot \mathrm{CQ}$, (d) CC•OCCQ and CCOCCQ• have BDE values of 101.0, 94.1, 96.7 and $84.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. Where $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{CQOCC}$ bond was $0.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ greater than (a) $\mathrm{CCQOCC} \cdot$ and 1.8 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ lower than (b) $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{CQOCC} . \mathrm{CCQOC} \cdot \mathrm{C}$ has a BDE that was $0.1,2.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ less than (c) $\mathrm{CCOC} \cdot \mathrm{CQ}$ and (d) $\mathrm{CC} \cdot \mathrm{OCCQ}$, respectively. The final comparison between peroxy radicals CCQ•OCC was $2.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ greater than that of CCOCCQ• Whereas, species that have undergone two steps of oxidation have observed BDE at the primary site of $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{CQOCQC}$ and the peroxy site of $\mathrm{CCQ} \cdot \mathrm{OCQC}$ was 96.3 and 81.3 , respectively.

Table 7.5 Bond Dissociation Energy (BDE) Values for Diethyl Ether System

|  |  | Reaction |  |  | Bond Dissocia | kcal mol ${ }^{-1}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCOCC | $=$ | CC•OCC | + | H |  |  |
| -60.4 |  | -17.0 |  | 52.103 | 95.5 | $96.2{ }^{30}$ |
| CCOCC | $=$ | C-COCC | + | H |  |  |
| -60.4 |  | -8.8 |  | 52.103 | 103.7 | $104.6{ }^{30}$ |
| CCQOCC | $=$ | C.CQOCC | + | H |  |  |
| -88.4 |  | -37.7 |  | 52.103 | 102.8 | $110.6{ }^{\text {159** }}$ |
| CCQOCC | $=$ | CCQOC ${ }^{\text {C }}$ | + | H |  |  |
| -88.4 |  | -46.4 |  | 52.103 | 94.1 | $104.1^{159 *}$ |
| CCQOCC | $=$ | CCQOCC• | + | H |  |  |
| -88.4 |  | -39.8 |  | 52.103 | 100.8 | $107.7^{\text {159**}}$ |
| CCQOCC | $=$ | CCQ $\cdot \mathrm{OCC}$ | + | H |  |  |
| -88.4 |  | -52.9 |  | 52.103 | 87.6 | $89.3{ }^{30}$ |
| CCOCCQ | $=$ | C.COCCQ | + | H |  |  |
| -78.4 |  | $-29.5$ |  | 52.103 | 101.4 | This work |
| CCOCCQ | $=$ | CC.OCCQ | + | H |  |  |
| -78.4 |  | $-33.8$ |  | 52.103 | 96.7 | This work |
| CCOCCQ | $=$ | CCOC $\cdot \mathrm{CQ}$ | + | H |  |  |
| $-78.4$ |  | $-36.3$ |  | 52.103 | 94.2 | This work |
| CCOCCQ | = | CCOCCQ• | + |  |  |  |
| -78.4 |  | -84.9 |  | 52.103 | 84.9 | $82.7^{30}$ |
| CCQOCQC | $=$ | CCQ •OCQC | + |  |  |  |
| $-121.3$ |  | $-85.4$ |  | 52.103 | 88.0 | This work |
| CCQOCQC | $=$ | C•CQOCQC | + |  |  |  |
| -121.3 |  | -70.5 |  | 52.103 | 102.9 | This work |

*Values obtained from experimental studies in aqueous solution

### 7.4.3 Internal Rotors

Properties for all species including optimized structure parameters, symmetry, moments of inertia, vibration frequencies and internal rotor potentials were calculated using B3-LYP with the basis set of $6-31+G(d, p)$ methodology with scans performed at each dihedral angle in intervals of 10 degree for 37 steps to achieve the lowest energy conformation after an intial scan using MOPAC. When completed with this step, a list was
compiled of results giving the $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{\mathrm{f} 298}$ values for the parent as well as the radicals of species from the methods of M06-2x, $\omega \mathrm{B} 97 \mathrm{x}$ and B2PLYP. The work reactions in Table 2 are from the noted DFT methods and 6-31G+ $(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set. Data is in supporting information, including stable species, radicals and transition states.

### 7.4.4 Entropies ( $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{T})$ ) and Heat Capacities $\left(\mathrm{C}_{p}(\mathrm{~T})\right.$ )

## Transition States Structures these are structures that exist for maybe 1x10 ${ }^{-14}$ seconds

 they are not stable itemsA transition state is a first-order saddle point on a potential energy surface. The vibration spectrum of a transition state is characterized by one imaginary (negative) frequency. This means that in one direction in the nuclear configuration space, the energy has a maximum, but at the same time all orthogonal directions have a vibrational frequency analysis at the same method level as that of the geometry optimization.

Once the transition state had been identified, the enthalpy was obtained from the calculated energy of the structure. This value was then utilized to calculate the differences between the transition state structure and the products and reactants to obtain the reaction barrier. Table 7.6 lists the transition states and their enthalpies of formation. M062x, wB97x, and B2-LYP, with the basis set $6-31+G(d, p)$ were used to gather this data. The enthalpies of formation were calculated with the average values obtained from the three methods results. Frequencies and moments of inertia to calculate the entropy and heat capacities ranging from 298 K to 1500 K were completed by using M062x/6-31+G(d,p) methodology. The thermochemical properties of the transition states were then placed into
the NASA polynomial format of the species were converted for further analysis using Chemkin.

Table 7.6 Heat of Formations, Entropy and Heat Capacity for Transition State Structures for Diethyl Ether System

| Transition State | Hf | S | Cp300 | Cp400 | Cp500 | Cp600 | Cp800 | Cp1000 | Cp1500 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TY2[COOHC]OCC | -17.7 | 79.38 | 29.23 | 37.53 | 44.87 | 50.96 | 60.14 | 66.63 | 76.31 |
| TC-Y2[COOH]OCC | -17.9 | 86.35 | 30.58 | 38.10 | 44.95 | 50.79 | 59.79 | 66.28 | 76.08 |
| TC-Y2[COOHCO]C | -36.2 | 81.11 | 29.66 | 37.52 | 44.63 | 50.64 | 59.82 | 66.37 | 76.17 |
| TC-Y2[COOHCCO] | -31.7 | 83.41 | 29.53 | 37.61 | 44.84 | 50.87 | 60.01 | 66.51 | 76.24 |
| TCCQO-Y2[COOHC] | -54.2 | 96.81 | 37.37 | 46.65 | 54.66 | 61.19 | 70.83 | 77.53 | 87.52 |
| TC-Y8[COOHOOCCO] | -66.3 | 92.90 | 37.04 | 46.46 | 54.56 | 61.15 | 70.87 | 77.61 | 87.60 |
| TCCQOC-Y2[COOH]C | -52.7 | 92.24 | 37.48 | 46.45 | 54.25 | 60.69 | 70.36 | 77.17 | 87.36 |
| TC-Y2[C(Q)OCCOH]C | -71.9 | 91.97 | 37.53 | 46.45 | 54.28 | 60.76 | 70.47 | 77.27 | 87.41 |
| TCY5OCQC | -57.7 | 95.43 | 38.97 | 47.58 | 55.03 | 61.19 | 70.53 | 77.18 | 87.28 |

Hf in units kcal mol ${ }^{-1}$

### 7.4.5 Group Additivity

The group additivity (GA) method, as designed by Benson ${ }^{38}$ is a rapid estimation method for the calculation of $\Delta H^{\circ} f 298, S^{\circ} 298$, and $C p(T)$ of stable species. Group additivity methodology is based on the knowledge of the representative groups contributions in similar molecules. Experimental data also illustrates linear consistency observed in thermochemical properties such as heat capacity and enthalpy values.

This study utilizes group additivity terms values to compare calculated enthalpies of formation for 2-(1-hydroperoxyethyl)oxirane and radicals. The group terms employed can be utilized to estimate enthalpy values to compare calculated enthalpy of formation values calculated from computational and experimental studies. Tables 7.7 list the groups that are employed in group additivity methodology.

Table 7.7 Group Contribution Terms Used for Group Addivitiy

| Group | Hf | S | CP300 | CP400 | CP500 | CP600 | CP800 | CP1000 | CP1500 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| C/C/H3 | -10.00 | 30.30 | 6.19 | 7.84 | 9.40 | 10.79 | 13.02 | 14.77 | 17.58 |
| CD/H2 | 6.26 | 17.61 | 5.10 | 6.36 | 7.51 | 8.50 | 10.07 | 11.27 | 13.19 |
| C/C/H2/O | -8.10 | 9.80 | 4.99 | 6.85 | 8.30 | 9.43 | 11.11 | 12.33 |  |
| O/C2 | -23.20 | 8.68 | 3.40 | 3.70 | 3.70 | 3.80 | 4.40 | 4.61 |  |
| O/C/O | -5.25 | 8.54 | 3.90 | 4.31 | 4.60 | 4.84 | 5.32 | 5.80 |  |
| O/H/O | -16.30 | 27.83 | 5.21 | 5.72 | 6.17 | 6.66 | 7.15 | 7.61 | 8.43 |
| C/C/H/O2 | -16.00 | -12.07 | 5.25 | 7.10 | 8.81 | 9.55 | 10.31 | 11.05 |  |
| O/C/H | -37.90 | 29.07 | 4.30 | 4.50 | 4.82 | 5.23 | 6.02 | 6.61 | 7.44 |
| C/CO/H3 | -10.08 | 30.41 | 6.19 | 7.84 | 9.40 | 70.79 | 13.02 | 14.77 | 17.58 |
| O/CO2 | -46.20 | 10.26 | 3.20 | 3.83 | 4.09 | 4.25 | 4.28 | 4.32 |  |
| CO/C/O | -35.10 | 10.04 | 6.10 | 6.70 | 7.40 | 8.02 | 8.87 | 9.36 |  |
| O/C/CO | -42.19 | 8.40 | 3.91 | 4.31 | 4.60 | 4.84 | 5.32 | 5.80 |  |
| CD/H/O | 2.03 | 6.20 | 4.75 | 6.46 | 7.64 | 8.35 | 9.10 | 9.56 | 10.46 |
| O/C/CD | -23.73 | 9.70 | 3.91 | 4.31 | 4.60 | 4.84 | 5.32 | 5.80 |  |
| P | 101.10 | 2.61 | -0.77 | -1.36 | -1.91 | -2.40 | -3.16 | -3.74 | -4.66 |
| S | 98.45 | 4.44 | -1.50 | -2.33 | -3.10 | -3.39 | -3.75 | -4.45 | -5.20 |
| ALKOXY | 104.06 | -1.46 | -01.98 | -1.30 | -1.61 | -1.89 | -2.38 | -2.80 | -3.59 |
| ALPEROX | 88.2 | 0.22 | -2.05 | -2.84 | -3.55 | -4.09 | -4.72 | -4.97 | -5.08 |

Table 7.7 list all of the group contribution terms that are used in the calculation of species using group additivity. Comparisons are completed using the sum of the groups present in each species with the calculated enthalpy of formation are presented in Table 7.8.

Table 7.8 Calculated $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{\mathrm{f} 298}$ Comparison to Literature and Group Additivity

|  | Heat of Formation (kcal/mol) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | M06-2X | WB97X | B2PLYP | Average | Literature ${ }^{\text {(Reference) }}$ | GA |
| CCOCC | -61.1 | -61.5 | -62.0 | -61.5 | -60.4 ${ }^{49}$ | -59.80 |
| CC•OCC | -17.2 | -17.0 | -17.4 | -17.0 | $-17.9^{30}$ | -16.89 |
| $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{COCC}$ | -9.5 | -9.3 | -8.5 | -8.8 | $-11.8{ }^{30}$ | -9.25 |
| CCQOCC | -88.9 | -88.1 | -88.3 | -88.4 | -91.7 ${ }^{30}$ | -89.50 |
| CCQOC ${ }^{\text {C }}$ | -46.5 | -46.4 | -46.4 | -46.4 | $-44.0{ }^{30}$ | -46.59 |
| CCQOCC• | -40.4 | -39.5 | -39.4 | -39.8 | $-36.1{ }^{50}$ | -38.95 |
| C.CQOCC | -37.9 | -37.8 | -37.4 | -37.7 | $-33.2{ }^{50 *}$ | 38.95 |
| CC•QOCC | - | - | - | - | - | -46.59 |
| CCQ ${ }^{\text {OCC }}$ | -52.8 | -52.9 | -53.0 | -52.9 | $-54.5{ }^{30^{* *}}$ | -55.30 |
| CCOCCQ | -78.5 | -78.3 | -78.4 | -78.4 | $-74.7{ }^{30^{*}}$ | -79.05 |
| C.COCCQ | -29.7 | -29.3 | -29.4 | -29.5 | This work | -28.95 |
| CC•OCCQ | -33.8 | -34.0 | -33.5 | -33.8 | This work | -35.60 |
| CCOC ${ }^{\text {CQ }}$ | -35.9 | -36.2 | -36.8 | -36.3 | This work | -35.60 |
| CCOCCQ• | -45.9 | -45.7 | -45.4 | -45.6 | $-44.1{ }^{30^{*}}$ | -45.30 |
| CCOCC•Q | - | - | - | - | - | -28.95 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{OCC}$ | -106.5 | -106.0 | -105.7 | -106.1 | This work | -105.20 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{OCC}$ | -52.5 | -52.7 | -52.6 | -52.6 | $-58.9{ }^{51}$ | -53.24 |
| $\mathrm{CCOC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ | -107.1 | -107.4 | -106.6 | -107.0 | $-106.5^{38}$ | -105.67 |
| CCQOCQC | -122.4 | -121.2 | -120.2 | -121.3 | This work | -119.20 |
| CCQ ${ }^{\text {OCQC }}$ | -85.2 | -85.5 | -85.9 | -85.4 | -81.2 ${ }^{51}$ | -85.00 |
| CCQOCQC• | -70.7 | -70.3 | -70.4 | -70.5 | This work | 68.45 |
| $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{OCQC}$ | -102.9 | -102.5 | -101.8 | -102.7 | This work | -91.67 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O} \cdot) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{Q}) \mathrm{C}$ | -84.3 | -84.4 | -84.1 | -84.3 | $91.3^{51}$ | -83.34 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -125.0 | -124.7 | -124.7 | -124.8 | This work | -123.48 |

$Q$ represents the -OOH group on the adjacent carbon , example $\mathrm{CCQ}=\mathrm{CCOOH}$

### 7.4.6 Reaction Paths

Similar to that of alkane's auto ignition mechanisms, oxidation begins with an initiation step where a radical $(\mathrm{R} \cdot)$ is produced as a result. The reaction responsible for DEE oxidation begins with the loss (abstraction) of a hydrogen atom from the neutral
molecule, brought about by an initiator. A typical initiator of this reaction is a hydroxyl radical.

The radicals formed can decompose in the absence of oxygen by beta scission reactions, but this requires an energy barrier that limits this dissociation under atmospheric conditions. Another pathway that can result in chain propagation is where the radical $(\mathrm{R} \bullet)$ interacts (associates) with an oxygen molecule forming a $\mathrm{ROO} \cdot$ peroxy radical species.

This scheme was further complicated by the possible decomposition of the hydroperoxide radicals that represent the stoichiometric products of the ideal chain propagating cycle. These channels were $\beta$-scission, isomerization and hydro-peroxide formation. To carry out the reaction mechanism that was covered in the "Important Reactions of Ethers in Atmospheric and Combustion Environments" portion of this article, isomerization by hydrogen transfer from the secondary carbon of the di-ethyl ether secondary peroxy radical was the focus of this study.


Figure 7.1 Potential energy diagram of $\mathrm{CC} \cdot \mathrm{OCC}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ oxidation.

Molecular oxygen can be a possible initiator but these reactions are highly endothermic and prohibitive under atmospheric conditions. The reactions involve a barrierless path involving the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ molecule abstracting a hydrogen from the ether or hydrocarbon and forming HO 2 plus the alkyl radical. The $\mathrm{CC} \cdot \mathrm{OCC}$ radical when formed will react further with ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. This reaction analysis uses VTST to determine the pre-exponential factor for the reaction, where there is effectively no barrier. Reaction of the secondary radical with O 2 is highly exothermic and was calculated to have a well depth of $35.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. A study by S. Di Tommaso ${ }^{30}$ has shown that the CCQ•OCC peroxy radical is the important peroxy in this diethyl ether system, and that the intramolecular hydrogen atom transfer reaction pathways are important. The fate of this $\mathrm{CCQ} \cdot \mathrm{OCC}$ species in this study involves hydrogen transfer, OH elimination, and oxygen (atom and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) elimination reactions. There are four sites that hydrogen could be transferred from to form a new radical structure. To help describe the reactions the carbon positions are labeled $a, b$, $c$ and $d\left(C_{a} C_{b}(Q \cdot) \mathrm{OC}_{c} \mathrm{C}_{d}\right)$. The most favorable hydrogen transfer site, is from the secondary carbon c to the peroxy oxygen radical. This is a result of the lower energy needed to break the bond of a hydrogen located on a primary carbon. The reaction barrier of this reaction was $14.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ with an overall reaction energy of $6.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} . \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}$ are both primary sites. Transfer from the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}}$ carbon has an activation energy of $34.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and a total reaction energy of 15.2 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Transfer from the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}$ has anactivation energy of $19.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and a total reaction energy of $13.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Transfer from the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}}$ resulting in hydroxyl elimination with an activation energy of $34.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$; but has an exothermicity of $45.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. One other important reaction for this peroxy radical, CCQjOCC, is oxygen elimination reaction (
$\mathrm{ROO}=\mathrm{RO}+\mathrm{O}$, which is chain branching. This reaction has an endothermic reaction energy of $59.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.


Figure 7.2 Energy scan of $\mathrm{CCQ} \cdot \mathrm{OCC} \rightarrow \mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O} \bullet) \mathrm{OCC}+\mathrm{O}$.
This study will review this initial hydrogen atom step and move to the second oxidation - the molecular oxygen addition to the secondary carbon of $\mathrm{CCQOC} \cdot \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 7.3 Potential energy diagram of $\mathrm{CCQOC} \cdot \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ oxidation

A similar $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ association will occur for the secondary radical produced from the previous low energy hydrogrn transfer, $\mathrm{CCQOC} \cdot \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$. This is barrier-less reaction similar to that of the first oxidation of $\mathrm{CC} \cdot \mathrm{OCC}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ with a well depth of $35.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. This is consistent with studies performed by Zador et al. ${ }^{54}$ that show that $30-38 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ are typical for $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ association with alkyl radicals.

The $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ association potential energy scan for a second $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ association was completed with the B3LYP/6-31G+(d,p) method. Here the $\mathrm{CCQOC} \cdot \mathrm{C}$ combines with a second $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to form $\mathrm{CCQOCQ} \cdot \mathrm{C}$ - a di peroxide system. The scan diagram is in Figure 7.4.


Figure 7.4 VTST of $\mathrm{CCQ} \cdot \mathrm{OCQC} \rightarrow \mathrm{CCQOC} \cdot \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$
This new diperoxy adduct $(\mathrm{CCQOCQ} \cdot \mathrm{C})$ can further react via intramolecular isomerizations, as above. The CCQOCQ•C peroxy radical can undergo intramolecular hydrogen transfer reactions similar to the non hydroperoxide formed above $\mathrm{CCQ} \cdot \mathrm{OCC}$. These reaction paths are illustrated below in Figure 7.5. $\mathrm{CCQ} \cdot \mathrm{OCQC}$ can react to $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{CQOCQC}$ via two reaction paths: through transition state structures TS5 (TCCQOY2 [COOHC]) and TS6 (TC-Y2[COOHOOCCO]). Transition state TS6 is the lower
energy and more likely path, with an activation energy that is $19.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, some 12.1 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ lower than. TS 5 , which has a barrier of $31.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. These paths are shown in Figure 7.5. These reactions occur through cyclic transition state structures, where the seven-membered cyclic rings have lower reaction energies a five-membered ring. It is noted that the reactions with larger ring structure, have lower pre-exponential factors.


Figure 7.5 Intramolecular hydrogen atom from secondary carbon site.
When this isomerization occurs, the $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{CQOCQC}$ moiety has access to a number of further reaction channels. It can undergo HO 2 or OH elimination. The OH elimination occurs via the carbon radical site attacking the oxygen atom on the carbon of the hydroperoxide group forming a 3 member oxirane ring, and cleaving of the $\mathrm{RO}-\mathrm{OH}$ bond. This transition state, TCjCQOCQC has a barrier of $2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and an endothermicity of $23.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Kinetics of this reaction are from the literature ${ }^{30}$.

There are two intramolecular hydrogen atom transfer sites; one was from the secondary carbon bonded hydroperoide. The newly formed radical site from this H transfer, is unstable and the hydroperoxide carbon radical site dissociates to $\operatorname{CCQOC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ +OH . This TC-Y[C(Q)OCCOH]C (TS8) has an activation energy of $13.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$,

There is also an IPSO hydrogen transfer from the newly formed peroxy carbon site; this has the higher kcal mole ${ }^{-1}$ barrier for and TCCQOC-Y[COOH]C (TS7) has an activation energy of $32.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

The $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OOj}) \mathrm{OCQC}$ to $\mathrm{CCQOC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{OH}$ has an exothermic reaction energy of $38.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.
$\mathrm{TC}-\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Q}) \mathrm{OCCOH}] \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{TS} 8)$ is the reaction that would be most favored to react under atmospheric conditions because the barrier was lower than that of TCCQOC$\mathrm{Y}[\mathrm{COOH}] \mathrm{C}$.


Figure 7.6 Structure of TC-Y2[C(Q)OCCOH $] \mathrm{C}$ and TCCQOC-Y2[COOH $] \mathrm{C}$.

The $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{CQOCQC}$ radical can also pass through an $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ elimination path, this is transition state TCY5OCQC which has a barrier of $34.43 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

One other chain branching reaction that is evaluated is the simple dissociation reaction $\mathrm{ROO} \bullet=\mathrm{RO} \bullet+\mathrm{O} \bullet$ of $\mathrm{CCQ} \bullet \mathrm{OCQC}$ producing $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O} \bullet)-\mathrm{OCQC}+\mathrm{O}$. This is illustrated in Figure 7.7. This reaction is endothermic by $40.03 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ above that of the stabilized peroxy radical; but only $26 . x \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ above the newly formed - chemically activated peroxy radical.

CCQOC(OOj)C --> CCQOC(Oj)C +0


Figure 7.7 Energy Scan of $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{OO} \bullet) \mathrm{C} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{O}---\mathrm{O} \cdot) \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{CCQOCO} \cdot \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{O}$.

The $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{Oj}) \mathrm{OC}(=\mathrm{O})$ radical can undergo a beta scission reaction to eliminate a methyl radical and from a new carbonyl $\pi$ bond. This reaction is $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{Oj}) \mathrm{OC}(=\mathrm{O})$ reacts to $\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ plus a $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$. This reaction has a barrier of $14.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. This reaction was what we would have liked to have seen to see if it were a reaction path that were possible if the formation of $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{Q}) \mathrm{OC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ was a dominate species. In Figure 7.7 is the saddle point transition state data that was used to determine the barrier of this path.


Figure 7.8 Energy scan of $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O} \cdot) \mathrm{OC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OC}(=\mathrm{O})+\mathrm{CH} 3$

The secondary carbon was the most stable site for this hydrogen transfer from the carbon to the peroxy radical site to occur, based on calculations done for BDE's. This isomerization path with the lowest activation energy began with the abstraction of a hydrogen atom from the $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ - methylene group adjacent to the ether oxygen. The transition state in this reaction involves a six-membered ring and the reaction is only slightly endothermic by $8.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. After this hydrogen transfer completed, the carbon radical could then decompose by forming a new $\pi$ bond and eliminating the OH radical. These products lead to the formation of two acetaldehyde molecules.

Chemical activation reaction analysis on this system showed the following paths were important: for $\mathrm{CC} \bullet \mathrm{OCC}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$.

- Stabilization or peroxy radical $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OO} \bullet) \mathrm{OCC}$
- Beta scission of $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{CQOCC}$
- Intramolecular H transfer peroxy group OH elimination $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OC} \cdot \mathrm{C}$
- Molecular Elimination $\mathrm{CCQOC}=\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$

In the absence of further oxidation the formed $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OC} \cdot \mathrm{C}$ can undergo unimolecular dissociation. This occurrs when the $\mathrm{CC} \cdot(\mathrm{OOH})$ molecule was formed after beta scission. In the presence of oxidation of $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OC} \cdot \mathrm{C}$ the main products were these reactions, $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Ca}+\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{CCQOC}=\mathrm{Cb}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CCQOC}=\mathrm{Ca}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$. The main product was $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Ca}+\mathrm{OH}$ from $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OO} \bullet) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{C}$. The next important product was elimination of HOI 2 radicla (hydroperoxide radical) to $\mathrm{CCQOC}=\mathrm{Ca}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ from $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{C}$. A third pathway was peroxy elimination, where a double bond was formed from $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OO} \cdot) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{C} \Rightarrow \mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{OH}$.


Figure 7.9 Chemical Activations of Dominant Species in CCjOCC Oxidation at 1 atm

In this study only the important species are illustrated shown; all other species concentrations are more than five orders of magnitude below the stabilized adduct. The di ethyl ether peroxy radical can dissociate into an ethyl radical plus an aldehyde, or to $\mathrm{CCOC}=\mathrm{C}$ plus a hydrogen atom. The three main paths for this diethyl ether radical O 2
system are $\beta$-scission, isomerization and OH elimination. These reactions occur via a hydrogen transfer from the secondary and primary carbons to the peroxy group.

## Unimolecular Reaction of $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OC} \cdot \mathrm{C}$

$\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OC} \cdot \mathrm{C}$ dissociates in the absence of further reaction with a second O 2 , (oxidation) to two aldehydes and a hydroxyl radical. This occurs when the $\mathrm{CC} \cdot(\mathrm{OOH})$ moiety on the molecule was formed after beta scission reaction: $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OC} \cdot \mathrm{C}=>$ within the molecule and goes through a fast intermediate step in the presence of oxidation:

$$
\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OC} \cdot \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CC} \cdot(\mathrm{OOH})+\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O})
$$

Then $\mathrm{CC} \cdot(\mathrm{OOH})$ immediately dissociates to $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{OH}$

## Reaction of $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OC} \bullet \mathrm{C}$ with second $\mathrm{O}_{2}$

Molecular oxygen is present in high concentrations in combustion environments and in atmospheric chemistry. Under these common conditions the secondary radical $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OC} \bullet \mathrm{C}$ hydroperoxide will react with O 2 via association, with no barrier, to form a hydroperoxide peroxy radical with the peroxy systems on the two secondary carbon sites $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OCOO} \bullet \mathrm{C} *$. The * indicates that the adduct is initially formed energized, in this system with some $49.7 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ excess energy (relative to thermal conditions) from the new bond formed.

The study by S . Di Tommasso, has noted that $\mathrm{CCOC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{OH}$ is an important channel in liquid phase reactions. After completing a full mechanism of reaction, the data indicated that there are three reaction paths that are in the reaction.

The main products are $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}+\mathrm{OH}$, formed by $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OO} \cdot) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{C}$. The next product was a hydroperoxy radical elimination form $\mathrm{CCQOC}=\mathrm{C}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$, which is formed via a beta scission reaction of the $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{C}$ radical. The third and final pathway is ketone formation and OH elimination where a double bond was formed from $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OO} \cdot) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{C}$ via an ipso intramolecular H atom transfer. Table 7.9 lists high-pressure limits for elementary rate parameters used as input data for the QRRK calculations at one atmosphere, and rate constants are reported.

Table 7.9 High Pressure-Limit Elementary Rate Parameters for Dominate Specie Formation

| Reactions | $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{A} \mathrm{~T}^{\mathrm{n}} \exp ^{(\text {Ea/RT })}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{A}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{3}\right.$ molecules $\left.{ }^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | n | Ea ( $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ ) | $\mathrm{k}\left(\mathrm{sec}^{-1}\right)^{*}$ |
| CCQJOCC $\rightarrow$ CCJOCC+O2 | $3.55 \times 10^{56}$ | -14.01 | 42.93 | $1.42 \times 10^{4}$ |
| CCQJOCC $\rightarrow$ CCOCDOC +OH | $1.33 \times 10^{65}$ | -17.08 | 51.53 | $2.90 \times 10^{1}$ |
| CCQJOCC $\rightarrow$ CCQOCCJ | $2.67 \times 10^{31}$ | -6.55 | 24.05 | $6.92 \times 10^{5}$ |
| CCQJOCC $\rightarrow$ CCQOCJC | $2.13 \times 10^{21}$ | -3.23 | 15.81 | $4.30 \times 10^{7}$ |
| CCQJOCC $\rightarrow$ CJCQOCC | $5.13 \times 10^{-2}$ | 3.22 | 16.34 | $3.94 \times 10^{3}$ |
| CCQOCCJ $\rightarrow$ CCQJOCC | $6.85 \times 10^{24}$ | -4.21 | 12.59 | $1.49 \times 10^{9}$ |
| CCQOCJC $\rightarrow$ CCQJOCC | $1.32 \times 10^{35}$ | -7.33 | 17.00 | $1.58 \times 10^{9}$ |
| CJCQOCC $\rightarrow$ CCQJOCC | $2.21 \times 10^{69}$ | -17.1 | 40.41 | $4.59 \times 10^{8}$ |
| CCQJOCQC $\rightarrow$ CCQOCJC +O 2 | $5.88 \times 10^{48}$ | -11.75 | 25.45 | $5.07 \times 10^{7}$ |
| CCQJOCQC $\rightarrow$ CCQOCDOC ${ }^{\text {A }}+\mathrm{OH}$ | $1.01 \times 10^{45}$ | -10.42 | 22.81 | $3.33 \times 10^{8}$ |
| CCQJOCQC $\rightarrow$ CCQOCDOC ${ }^{\text {B }}+\mathrm{OH}$ | $4.08 \times 10^{63}$ | -17.33 | 42.59 | $4.64 \times 10^{1}$ |
| CCQJOCQC $\rightarrow$ CDCOCQC + HO 2 | $7.83 \times 10^{63}$ | -17.48 | 44.19 | $1.19 \times 10^{1}$ |
| CCQJOCQC $\rightarrow$ CCQOCOJC + O | $4.34 \times 10^{62}$ | -17.77 | 47.64 | $1.09 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| CCQJOCQC $\rightarrow$ CJCQOCQCB | $2.42 \times 105$ | 2.00 | 17.55 | $2.49 \times 106$ |
| CJCQOCQCA $\rightarrow$ CCQOCDCA + HO 2 | $3.69 \times 1011$ | -0.31 | 2.87 | $7.65 \times 109$ |
| CJCQOCQC $\rightarrow$ YCOCOCQC + OH | $4.44 \times 10-7$ | 3.02 | 21.37 | $3.79 \times 10-4$ |
| CJCQOCQCA $\rightarrow$ CCQJOCQC | $3.15 \times 10-51$ | 16.4 | 10.38 | $1.87 \times 10-6$ |
| CJCQOCQCB $\rightarrow$ CCQOCDCB +HO 2 | $1.00 \times 10-7$ | 5.86 | -3.67 | $1.04 \times 1011$ |
| CJCQOCQCB $\rightarrow$ CCQJOCQC | $2.83 \times 10-9$ | 5.19 | 15.57 | $1.84 \times 102$ |
| CCQJOCQC $\rightarrow$ CJCQOCQC ${ }^{\text {A }}$ | $1.84 \times 10^{-5}$ | 4.53 | 18.92 | $1.77 \times 10^{3}$ |



Figure 7.10 Chemkin Results of $\mathrm{CCQOCjC}+\mathrm{O} 2$ oxidation at 800 K and 1 atm .

### 7.6 Conclusion

The thermochemistry and reaction kinetics of diethyl ether, secondary diethyl ether peroxide, secondary diethyl ether hydroperoxide-secondary radical and secondary diethyl ether hydroperoxide- secondary peroxy radical have been studied. Reaction kinetics predicts that the secondary diethyl ether peroxy radical and the secondary diethyl ether hydroperoxide -secondary peroxy radical are important products from the oxidation reactions.

The mechanism suggests that ether oxidation in the atmosphere will also undergo this reaction set below. During the completion of this reaction, the formation of the CCQOCCj radical dominated the over the secondary bond radical, CCQOCjC.

## Example:

| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH} \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}\left(\mathrm{OO} \cdot{ }^{*}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}^{\circ} \mathrm{OCH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH} \cdot \mathrm{OCH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH} \cdot \mathrm{OCH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{OO} \cdot \mathrm{OCH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{OO}{ }^{+}\right) \mathrm{OCH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\left[\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OC} \cdot(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]^{*}$ |
| $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OC}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right]^{*} \rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{OH}$ |  |

## Overall : ${\overline{\mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}+\mathbf{O H}+\mathbf{2 O}_{2}} \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathbf{O O H}) \mathrm{OC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathbf{O H}}_{\mathbf{O}}$ ( OH Regeneration)

Under some conditions, further reactions can occur from the chemically activated adduct formed by the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ association reactions, resulting in branching. Each $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ association reaction initially forms a chemically activated adduct with ~ 32 kcal mol-1 energy from the new bond formed.
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OC} \bullet(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}{ }^{*}$ has approximately up to $50 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ activation energy from the transition state as it was formed. This energy was sufficient to dissociate the $\mathrm{RCO}-\mathrm{OH}$ bond on the $\mathrm{CO}-\mathrm{OH}$ hydroperoxide group, where only $45 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}-1$ was needed. Conditions for branching depend on temperature, pressure and rates of the intramolecular reactions above. Some of the energized $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{OC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ * will further dissociate to yield an alkoxy radical plus a second OH radical $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\circ}\right) \mathrm{OC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{OH}$ (chain branching). If this occurs in ethers as it does in ketones as Crounse indicates, the overall reaction was chain branching.

## APPENDIX A

## CALCULATION OF HEATS OF FORMATIONS AND BOND DISSOCIATION ENERGIES

This appendix contains sample calculations for enthalpies of formations, dH f298, and carbon hydrogen bond dissociation energies (C-H BDE). An example of the work reactions used to calculate the dHf 298 for unknown target species, $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O})$, is presented in Table A.1. These reactions relate the calculated energies for all four species to known literature dHf298 values for the non-targer species using Hess's Law, see equations 2.13 and 2.14. Due to the error cancelling in these isodesmic reactions, accurate dHf 298 values are calculated.

Table A. 1 Example of the Work Reactions Used to Calculate the dHf298 using CFC(=O)

| Isodesmic Work Reaction | $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{OC})$ | + | CH 4 | $>$ | $\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{H} 298 \mathrm{wb} 97 \mathrm{x} / 6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})($ Hartree $)$ | -292.249 | -40.457381 | -193.028052 | -139.673045 |  |
| $\mathrm{dHf}_{298}\left(\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | X | -17.78 | -52.23 | -56.3 |  |
| $\mathrm{dH}_{\mathrm{rxn}}\left(\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | 3.11 |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{dHf}_{298}\left(\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | -93.86 |  |  |  |  |


| Bond Dissociation Reaction | $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CjFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ | + |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{dHf}_{298}\left(\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)$ | -94.39 | -57.47 | 52.10 |  |
| C-H Bond Dissociation Energy |  |  |  |  |
| (kcal mol-1) | 89.02 |  |  |  |

Based on the example in Table A.1, all of the species are first optimized using the same method and basis set, B3-LYP/6-31G(d,p). Enthalpies, $\mathrm{H}_{298}$, are calculated in Gaussian 09 according to equations in A. 1 as the sum of the total electronic energy, E, and thermal enthalpy corrections, $\mathrm{H}_{\text {corr }}$, in units of Hatrees.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{298}=\mathrm{E}+\mathrm{H}_{\text {Corr }} \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{H}_{\text {Corr }}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {Corr }}+\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{~T} \\
& \mathrm{E}_{\text {Corr }}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {Trans }}+\mathrm{E}_{\text {Rot }}+\mathrm{E}_{\text {Vib }}+\mathrm{E}_{\text {Elec }}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{ZPVE}}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{H}_{\text {Corr }}$ includes the sum of an energy correction, $\mathrm{E}_{\text {Corr }}$, and the product of Boltzmann's constant and the temperature in Kelvin. $\mathrm{E}_{\text {Corr }}$ includes corrections from translational $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\text {Trans }}\right)$, rotational ( $\mathrm{E}_{\text {Rot }}$ ), vibrational $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Vib}}\right)$, and electronic ( $\mathrm{E}_{\text {Elec }}$ ) motions along with the zero-point vibration energy ( $\mathrm{E}_{\text {ZPVE }}$ ). These quantities are derived from the molecular partition functions using standard statistical mechanics.

The difference in the $\mathrm{H}_{298}$ energies for the products and reactants, $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}^{\circ}$, is calculated using Hess's Law and reported in units of $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ using the conversion of one Hartree equal $627.509 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. Combining the $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}^{\circ}$ with the known literature $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f} 298}^{\circ}$ values generates the $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\circ} \mathrm{f} 298$ value for the target species.

The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond dissociation reaction has the parent species and sets it equal to the radical and H atom. The difference between the literature $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f} 298}^{\circ}$ value for the parent, $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$, species and the H atom with the previously calculated $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f} 298}^{\circ}$ value for radical, $\mathrm{CjFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$, species determines the energy needed to remove a H atom from $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ to generate $\mathrm{CjFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$. Similar types of bond dissociation reactions can also be utilized to determine fluorine-carbon (C-F), oxygen-hydrogen $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H})$ and oxygen-oxygen $(\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O})$ BDEs.

## APPENDIX B

## THERMOCHEMISTRY OF C2-C3 FLUOROALDEHYDES

This appendix contains the optimized geometries with corresponding Gaussian atom numbering and symmetry values in parenthesis, moments of inertia, vibrational frequencies internal rotor potential energy graphs, entropies and heat capacities for all of the parent and radical species from B3-LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory.

Figure B. 1 C2-C3 Fluoroaldehyde Optimized Species.
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Table B.1: Moments of Inertia for Fluoroaldehydes

| Species | Moments of Inertia (GHZ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CFC(=0) | 40.69451 | 4.41395 | 4.08355 |
| CFCF( $=0$ ) | 10.91093 | 3.94734 | 2.95181 |
| $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | 9.68005 | 3.95909 | 3.08492 |
| CF2CF(=0) | 5.73793 | 2.80597 | 2.80313 |
| CF3C(=0) | 5.66731 | 3.07312 | 3.01687 |
| CF3CF(=0) | 3.98260 | 2.55667 | 2.11882 |
| $\mathrm{CCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | 11.49155 | 9.84067 | 5.47903 |
| CFCC( $=0$ ) | 16.87791 | 2.61430 | 2.32803 |
| CFCFC( $=0$ ) | 5.77480 | 2.53469 | 1.85520 |
| CFCFCF ( $=0$ ) | 4.55706 | 1.83842 | 1.41492 |
| CF2CC( $=0$ ) | 7.37794 | 2.33798 | 2.01134 |
| CF2CFC( $=0$ ) | 3.93944 | 2.04958 | 1.72469 |
| CF2CFCF(=O) | 3.07711 | 1.65727 | 1.21852 |
| CF3CC(=O) | 5.35362 | 1.62669 | 1.60961 |
| CF3CFC( $=0$ ) | 2.90282 | 1.85045 | 1.43482 |
| CF3CFCF(=O) | 2.51708 | 1.40953 | 1.12688 |
| $\operatorname{CCFC}(=0)$ | 8.56635 | 4.05910 | 2.94890 |
| $\operatorname{CCFCF}(=0)$ | 5.27786 | 3.20002 | 2.25663 |
| CCF2C(=O) | 5.06446 | 3.00024 | 2.85434 |
| CFCF2C(=0) | 2.95681 | 2.74330 | 1.96887 |
| CFCF2CF( $=0$ ) | 2.41497 | 1.92528 | 1.69376 |
| CF2CF2C( $=0$ ) | 2.70718 | 1.93897 | 1.54068 |
| CF2CF2CF(=O) | 2.55087 | 1.36083 | 1.17170 |
| CF3CF2C(=O) | 2.12827 | 1.69143 | 1.39693 |
| CF3CF2CF(=O) | 1.94992 | 1.19796 | 1.12894 |
| CCF2CF(=O) | 3.74784 | 2.50290 | 2.05666 |
| $\operatorname{CCCF}(=0)$ | 10.36711 | 3.80225 | 2.87904 |
| $\operatorname{CFCCF}(=0)$ | 7.39453 | 2.20490 | 1.97678 |
| CF2CCF(=O) | 5.67843 | 1.52158 | 1.35061 |
| CF3CCF(=O) | 4.06244 | 1.33103 | 1.21799 |

Table B. 2 Vibrational Frequencies for Fluoroaldehydes

| Species | Frequencies ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1)}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CFC(=0) | 80.9110 | 353.5044 | 575.6218 |
|  | 791.4528 | 1128.3223 | 1192.8684 |
|  | 1230.0885 | 1364.8865 | 1469.5354 |
|  | 1538.8532 | 1605.5402 | 2022.1571 |
|  | 3131.7593 | 3222.3258 | 3278.3691 |
| $\mathrm{CFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | 126.9503 | 273.0645 | 497.3571 |
|  | 595.8890 | 718.1166 | 968.4888 |
|  | 1143.8357 | 1239.7364 | 1286.2801 |
|  | 1384.2389 | 1574.5425 | 1611.2553 |
|  | 2144.4897 | 3227.4037 | 3279.0402 |
| CF2C(=O) | 85.9190 | 357.2560 | 412.6740 |
|  | 469.6095 | 667.4027 | 1091.3380 |
|  | 1199.1611 | 1249.1458 | 1255.0447 |
|  | 1454.1299 | 1516.0301 | 1550.3813 |
|  | 2046.5086 | 3155.5491 | 3321.9441 |
| CF2CF(=O) | 52.5848 | 262.4294 | 269.5396 |
|  | 454.8770 | 640.8866 | 781.1135 |
|  | 859.3191 | 963.6748 | 1248.5328 |
|  | 1266.4594 | 1424.4990 | 1526.6023 |
|  | 1537.9290 | 2136.5095 | 3335.7959 |
| CF3C(=O) | 86.4237 | 280.7402 | 350.2083 |
|  | 475.9267 | 581.5911 | 584.6993 |
|  | 776.4381 | 929.9044 | 1096.8352 |
|  | 1349.6493 | 1354.3947 | 1472.7534 |
|  | 1534.0699 | 2066.8930 | 3178.7749 |
| CF3CF(=O) | 55.9016 | 249.6474 | 267.3353 |
|  | 420.9664 | 472.2900 | 570.9096 |
|  | 652.9081 | 769.6088 | 867.8604 |
|  | 898.6149 | 1238.4066 | 1371.3055 |
|  | 1426.5837 | 1520.1506 | 2165.2339 |
| CCF(=O) | 147.4358 | 444.8425 | 632.6635 |
|  | 661.1312 | 929.6313 | 1106.0939 |
|  | 1173.9561 | 1339.8275 | 1538.0897 |
|  | 1584.5342 | 1592.9796 | 2106.7078 |
|  | 3195.3137 | 3260.4224 | 3308.7473 |
| CFCC(=O) | 108.6714 | 181.2204 | 212.2706 |
|  | 409.8286 | 741.6247 | 750.5973 |
|  | 966.0733 | 972.8370 | 1156.9357 |
|  | 1175.2648 | 1249.3811 | 1346.1389 |
|  | 1417.4736 | 1452.9664 | 1532.9696 |
|  | 1567.5805 | 1597.7975 | 1645.8836 |
|  | 2002.1083 | 3106.3620 | 3174.3993 |
|  | 3202.6802 | 3237.0391 | 3291.4187 |
| CFCFC(=0) | 96.1751 | 117.8265 | 224.6601 |
|  | 303.7535 | 474.1930 | 501.3029 |
|  | 657.7607 | 906.9080 | 998.3510 |
|  | 1173.4184 | 1198.0524 | 1231.0776 |
|  | 1240.8656 | 1392.7479 | 1423.4637 |
|  | 1472.3062 | 1529.0479 | 1582.7467 |
|  | 1628.4826 | 2017.9093 | 3147.9212 |
|  | 3225.4701 | 3235.2547 | 3303.1950 |
| CFCFCF(=O) | 70.2423 | 104.5705 | 210.3643 |
|  | 278.9885 | 377.0926 | 439.0884 |
|  | 550.2939 | 702.2146 | 793.2839 |
|  | 986.0543 | 1029.3148 | 1193.4790 |
|  | 1215.0998 | 1245.3497 | 1309.9410 |
|  | 1394.7045 | 1437.7782 | 1533.9400 |
|  | 1581.2121 | 1630.6407 | 2142.8161 |
|  | 3232.0470 | 3246.7299 | 3296.3855 |
| CF2CC(=O) | 85.1793 | 149.8506 | 192.0497 |
|  | 384.8226 | 481.7624 | 607.8138 |
|  | 755.1936 | 800.3721 | 985.4780 |
|  | 1058.5662 | 1214.5140 | 1233.9101 |
|  | 1257.2644 | 1356.0825 | 1452.5007 |
|  | 1531.0965 | 1539.7573 | 1560.7114 |
|  | 1601.9277 | 2011.9605 | 3117.8596 |
|  | 3189.2051 | 3230.8313 | 3324.0705 |
| CF2CFC(=O) | 84.6467 | 95.9036 | $200.4461$ |
|  | 258.5658 | 296.7492 | 495.5229 |
|  | 581.5826 | 634.9793 | 799.7785 |
|  | 924.9229 | 1066.5922 | 1179.6994 |
|  | 1229.5942 | 1261.8214 | 1306.0228 |
|  | 1424.0912 | 1467.4472 | 1529.7207 |
|  | 1545.3645 | 1569.4056 | 2021.3892 |
|  | 3164.7189 | 3243.9762 | 3314.6932 |
| CF2CFCF(=O) | 51.1946 | 74.0282 | 167.0945 |
|  | 279.2661 | 323.3382 | 407.1398 |
|  | 437.6464 | 552.1380 | 638.0336 |
|  | 755.1418 | 804.9914 | 1032.6011 |
|  | 1196.7886 | 1241.6420 | 1256.7706 |
|  | 1274.0751 | 1311.5515 | 1421.7937 |
|  | 1503.8241 | 1546.9515 | 1584.7551 |
|  | 2136.1135 | 3274.4616 | 3304.3918 |
| CF3CC(=O) | 62.0825 | 101.9330 | 197.1501 |
|  | 377.9742 | 411.6575 | 484.7772 |
|  | 584.0222 | 611.5726 | 721.1580 |
|  | 835.1507 | 942.0397 | 1104.3043 |
|  | 1139.1973 | 1272.3969 | 1320.1605 |
|  | 1405.1629 | 1427.4284 | 1505.6213 |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1556.0292 \\ & 3143.6784 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1583.5849 \\ & 3209.7550 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2020.1243 \\ & 3283.3948 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CF3CFC(=O) | 57.3057 | 86.6775 | 208.1261 |
|  | 247.6503 | 300.2365 | 414.4298 |
|  | 480.2010 | 561.4744 | 594.2733 |
|  | 645.8385 | 751.2695 | 909.0886 |
|  | 1092.8230 | 1173.7892 | 1237.2606 |
|  | 1315.9042 | 1384.6762 | 1421.1799 |
|  | 1442.5301 | 1516.3603 | 1545.3635 |
|  | 2037.3844 | 3164.5077 | 3282.9028 |
| CF3CFCF(=O) | 38.5970 | 81.2312 | 169.2880 |
|  | 244.2997 | 286.5265 | 372.2999 |
|  | 394.0783 | 526.0405 | 573.9974 |
|  | 610.6446 | 682.8970 | 744.3931 |
|  | 835.8880 | 972.3753 | 1039.2857 |
|  | 1261.6143 | 1289.3819 | 1344.1784 |
|  | 1390.2820 | 1436.6669 | 1523.3631 |
|  | 1540.4025 | 2150.3434 | 3265.8867 |
| CCFC(=O) | 65.5784 | 245.2440 | 277.0534 |
|  | 414.9568 | 471.4170 | 601.4537 |
|  | 907.5296 | 994.5346 | 1044.5308 |
|  | 1207.7609 | 1248.4778 | 1252.2660 |
|  | 1459.6891 | 1473.6573 | 1518.1420 |
|  | 1540.9341 | 1602.3704 | 1615.1245 |
|  | 2018.3475 | 3131.3482 | 3184.2264 |
|  | 3214.5792 | 3256.3182 | 3272.1105 |
| $\operatorname{CCFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | 78.4428 | 241.3389 | 251.1340 |
|  | 324.6343 | 424.1511 | 526.8933 |
|  | 686.3301 | 801.4251 | 892.4670 |
|  | 1012.6624 | 1157.4946 | 1219.9516 |
|  | 1248.2172 | 1309.3182 | 1473.0061 |
|  | 1527.1877 | 1543.3886 | 1606.2587 |
|  | 1617.3779 | 2136.1288 | 3188.1696 |
|  | 3233.8144 | 3265.6789 | 3273.4519 |
| CCF2C(=O) | 85.3491 | 238.5914 | 266.7975 |
|  | 351.8649 | 433.7052 | 471.5802 |
|  | 515.8687 | 682.4877 | 843.0871 |
|  | 1050.0140 | 1073.2169 | 1102.7508 |
|  | 1317.8568 | 1340.1504 | 1412.3004 |
|  | 1516.0946 | 1566.9826 | 1596.1142 |
|  | 1599.7511 | 2044.2916 | 3152.7101 |
|  | 3202.1681 | 3282.4008 | 3289.8817 |
| CFCF2C(=O) | 75.2385 | 121.2151 | 204.1007 |
|  | 300.9173 | 336.6148 | 459.0244 |
|  | 485.7747 | 568.2499 | 692.9154 |
|  | 878.3664 | 1056.0740 | 1092.6433 |
|  | 1210.0692 | 1286.7111 | 1319.0158 |
|  | 1391.5866 | 1435.7860 | 1516.1193 |
|  | 1581.4716 | 1624.6406 | 2045.8338 |
|  | 3165.9293 | 3248.4177 | 3317.7837 |
| CFCF2CF(=O) | 63.8129 | 95.4541 | 189.6795 |
|  | 252.7954 | 307.7982 | 403.0838 |
|  | 444.9668 | 546.8152 | 607.3049 |
|  | 682.0203 | 849.8918 | 863.9917 |
|  | 1059.5841 | 1206.5054 | 1224.1958 |
|  | 1301.7264 | 1354.4088 | 1398.3512 |
|  | 1480.4523 | 1572.5708 | 1630.8719 |
|  | 2160.2813 | 3251.6971 | 3319.3515 |
| CF2CF2C(=O) |  | 90.0723 | $174.1007$ |
|  | 259.8987 | 305.6763 | 334.3230 |
|  | 460.0495 | 496.9107 | 584.6640 |
|  | 689.7622 | 737.3403 | 919.0988 |
|  | 1086.1314 | 1250.2055 | 1280.3854 |
|  | 1296.9418 | 1346.4359 | 1397.4921 |
|  | 1514.3214 | 1530.3475 | 1595.7524 |
|  | 2044.7488 | 3173.7417 | 3334.1055 |
| CF2CF2CF(=O) | 33.8799 | 89.9720 | 164.8605 |
|  | 249.8732 | 269.7622 | 306.6014 |
|  | 381.9667 | 462.1437 | 480.2135 |
|  | 628.0345 | 677.5649 | 799.4252 |
|  | 865.8777 | 925.6357 | 1146.9885 |
|  | 1266.2339 | 1293.4942 | 1307.9539 |
|  | 1422.2792 | 1483.9980 | 1526.5563 |
|  | 1587.6073 | 2132.8443 | 3335.0689 |
| CF3CF2C(=O) |  | $90.2164$ | $171.2316$ |
|  | 232.6790 | 305.8565 | 343.4726 |
|  | 383.7516 | 468.4569 | 475.2054 |
|  | 568.7991 | 648.1574 | 655.1868 |
|  | 760.6276 | 853.7429 | 1093.5929 |
|  | 1226.6504 | 1324.9745 | 1349.2037 |
|  | 1394.6845 | 1409.7657 | 1492.1056 |
|  | 1582.9557 | 2058.0692 | 3167.0577 |
| CF3CF2CF(=O) | 32.1450 | 72.9381 | 156.1501 |
|  | 231.2411 | 262.0606 | 311.6665 |
|  | 387.5731 | 407.5201 | 416.6027 |
|  | 547.2245 | 596.8251 | 638.5379 |
|  | 676.4608 | 776.1869 | 845.8088 |
|  | 867.4686 | 1133.5149 | 1268.6175 |
|  | 1381.9727 | 1396.4391 | 1402.6132 |
|  | 1469.2971 | 1529.5588 | 2158.5699 |


| CCF2CF(=O) | 51.4505 | 242.9349 | 242.9799 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 276.5560 | 380.3113 | 417.5332 |
|  | 462.7157 | 622.6159 | 690.1334 |
|  | 852.3901 | 865.6622 | 1020.7481 |
|  | 1069.9125 | 1252.0833 | 1329.4806 |
|  | 1377.1592 | 1478.3046 | 1564.2191 |
|  | 1596.9990 | 1600.0226 | 2128.5533 |
|  | 3205.2834 | 3287.7792 | 3293.5514 |
| $\operatorname{CCCF}(=0)$ | 90.6031 | 235.8660 | 263.0800 |
|  | 496.8277 | 599.6573 | 683.5573 |
|  | 879.4517 | 900.4962 | 1073.5209 |
|  | 1181.8275 | 1215.5424 | 1262.8286 |
|  | 1392.7585 | 1517.5467 | 1553.4983 |
|  | 1583.4700 | 1611.1605 | 1618.6325 |
|  | 2097.6360 | 3181.9013 | 3189.4301 |
|  | 3218.1241 | 3249.1362 | 3257.4243 |
| CFCCF(=O) | $\begin{array}{r}61.1457 \\ \hline 798523\end{array}$ | ${ }^{119.0324}$ | 262.8466 |
|  | 379.5423 | 552.9503 | 615.8726 |
|  | 688.8207 | 898.1291 | 969.0256 |
|  | 1085.6288 | 1180.6971 | 1203.2516 |
|  | 1270.5240 | 1347.7980 | 1398.7367 |


|  | 1533.1013 | 1570.4505 | 1574.0340 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1638.3646 | 2107.1946 | 3192.6688 |
|  | 3231.9080 | 3249.7084 | 3291.3414 |
| CF2CCF(=O) | 61.0984 | 99.8766 | 179.3491 |
|  | 379.3117 | 387.9549 | 566.4008 |
|  | 592.2436 | 636.4366 | 732.9544 |
|  | 984.1302 | 996.1282 | 1173.6414 |
|  | 1210.8566 | 1256.1440 | 1297.1136 |
|  | 1352.4854 | 1476.9122 | 1542.5019 |
|  | 1570.2394 | 1603.1028 | 2106.8215 |
|  | 3213.9802 | 3263.1369 | 3319.7586 |
| CF3CCF(=O) | 59.2603 | 106.3842 | 164.9540 |
|  | 356.9108 | 378.1735 | 379.0010 |
|  | 570.7080 | 583.2456 | 634.2291 |
|  | 699.0596 | 776.9898 | 944.3462 |
|  | 996.5488 | 1038.6657 | 1228.5571 |
|  | 1275.8401 | 1355.3941 | 1423.7944 |
|  | 1451.2143 | 1564.8185 | 1587.1008 |
|  | 2127.1758 | 3228.2095 | 3274.9325 |

## Trends in change in enthalpy with substitution of a fluorine atom for a hydrogen atom in fluorinated acetaldehydes and propanals

## $\mathbf{C C}(=0)$ Primary methyl group only

The replacement of a hydrogen atom on the methyl group of acetaldehyde, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{O}$, with a fluorine atom, results in a decrease in standard enthalpy of $40 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. A second and a third fluorine atom substitution on this methyl group decrease the enthalpy by 50 and $55 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, respectively.

$$
\text { Aldehyde }=>\text { fluoroaldehyde } \Delta \Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{298} \quad \mathrm{H}
$$

atom => F atom:
ch3cho => ch2fcho => chf2cho =>
cf3cho
$-40 \quad-50$
55
Compare to alkane fluorocarbons.

F atom:

$$
\text { Alkane Fluorocarbons } \Delta \Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{298} \quad \mathrm{H} \text { atom => }
$$

ch3ch3 => ch2fch3 => chf2ch3 =>
cf3ch3
-45 -55
-59
The above trend shows that the addition of a fluorine atom to the primary methyl group $\left(-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ neighboring a carbonyl oxygen group results in a near consistent $\sim 5 \mathrm{kcal}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ smaller decrease in enthalpy per fluorine for hydrogen substitution, relative to a fluorine atom substitution on an alkane carbon.

## $\operatorname{CCf}(=0)$ Primary methyl group with fluorine on carbonyl

Substitution of a fluorine atom for a hydrogen on the carbonyl $\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ carbon: the enthalpy of formation decrease is $66.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ from acetyl aldehyde to acetyl fluoride. From fluoroaldehyde to fluoroacetyl fluoride the enthalpy of formation decrease is 63.2 $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$; the enthalpy of formation decreases to $\sim 60.2 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ from difluoroaldehyde to difluoroacetyl fluorid and from trifluoroaldehyde to trifluoroacetyl fluoride.

Alkane fluorocarbons $\Delta \Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{298} \quad \mathrm{H}$ atom $=>$ Fatom: ch3ch3 $=>$ ch2f ch3 $=>$ chf2ch3 $=>~ c f 3 c h 3$
-45 . -55 . -59 .
Acetyl fluoride and fluoro acetyl fluorides
Aldehydes $\quad$ Ch3cho $=>$ ch3cfo $=>$ ch2fcfo $=>$ chf2cfo => cf3cfo $-66.1-63.2 \quad-60.1 \quad-60.4$

## Propanal to 3-fluoropropanal ... 3,3 tri fluoro propanal CCC(=0) Primary methyl group only

Substitution of a fluorine for a hydrogen on a primary methyl $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3^{-}}\right)$group of propanal to 3fluoropropanal, the enthalpy of formation decreases $43.0 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$; from 3fluoropropanal to 3,3-difluoropropanal the enthalpy of formation decreases $53.7 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$; from 3,3-difluoropropanal to 3,3,3-trifluoropropanal the enthalpy of formation decreases $40.7 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.
Alkane fluorocarbons $\Delta \Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{298} \quad \mathrm{H}$ atom $=>$ Fatom:

$$
\begin{array}{rcr}
\text { ch3ch2ch3 }=> & \text { ch2fch } 2 \mathrm{ch} 3 & => \\
-46 . & -55.6 & -59.7
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Propanal =>fluoropropanal } \Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{298} \quad \mathrm{H} \text { atom }=>\text { F atom: } \\
& \text { ch3ch2cho } \Rightarrow>\text { ch2fch2cho } \Rightarrow>\text { chf2ch2cho }=>\text { cf3ch2cho } \\
& -43.0
\end{aligned}
$$

The addition of a fluorine atom to the primary methyl group (-CH3) neighboring a secondary methyl group (-CH2-) bonded to a carbonyl group results in a consistent $\sim 2-4$ $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ smaller lowering of enthalpy per fluorine for hydrogen substitution for the first two substitutions, relative to a fluorine atom substitution on an alkane carbon. The third addition of a fluorine atom shown for 3,3-difluoropropanal to 3,3,3-trifluoropropanal shows a significantly smaller decrease.

## Substitution of a fluorine atom for a hydrogen on the carbonyl $\mathbf{C}(=0)$ carbon for 3 fluoro, $\mathbf{3 , 2}$ di fluoro and 3,3 tri fluoro propanoyl fluorides

The enthalpy of formation decrease is $66.3 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ from propanal to propanoyl fluoride. From propanoyl fluoride to 3-propanoyl fluoride the enthalpy of formation decrease is 42.4 $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$; the enthalpy of formation decreases $53.6 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ from 3-propanoyl fluoride to 3,3-dipropanoyl fluoride; from 3,3-dipropanoyl fluoride to 3,3,3-tripropanoyl fluoride, the enthalpy of formation decreases $55.8 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.
Alkane fluorocarbons $\quad \Delta \Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{H}$ atom $=>\mathrm{F}$ atom:
ch $3 \operatorname{ch} 2 \operatorname{ch} 3=>\operatorname{ch} 2 f \operatorname{ch} 2 \operatorname{ch} 3=>\operatorname{chf} 2 \operatorname{ch} 2 \operatorname{ch} 3=>\operatorname{cf} 3 \operatorname{ch} 2 \operatorname{ch} 3$
$46.7 \quad-55.6 \quad-59.7$
Ch3ch2cho => Ch3ch2cfo => ch2fch2cfo => chf2ch2cfo => cf3ch2cfo
$-66.3-42.4 \quad-53.6 \quad-55.8$
The above trend shows that the addition of a fluorine atom to the carbonyl group is consistent to values reported in the fluorine addition in fluoroaldehyde to fluoroacetyl fluoride with a decrease of enthalpy of formation of $\sim 66 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. The addition of a fluorine atom to the primary methyl group (-CH3) bond to a carbonyl oxygen group results in a near consistent $\sim 2-4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ smaller, lowering of enthalpy per fluorine for hydrogen substitution, relative to a fluorine atom substitution on an alkane carbon.
Substitution of an $F$ atom on the secondary carbon of propanal
Substitution of a fluorine for a hydrogen on the secondary methyl ( $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$-) group of propanal: the enthalpy of formation decreases $45.5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$; from 2-fluoropropanal to 2,2-fluoropropanal the enthalpy of formation decreases $53.5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.
Alkane fluorocarbons $\quad \Delta \Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{H}$ atom $=>$ Fatom:

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
\text { ch3ch2ch3 }=>\text { ch3chfch3 }=>\text { ch3cf2ch3 } \\
-46.4 & -58.0
\end{array}
$$

Propanal $=>$ fluoropropanal $\Delta \Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{H}$ atom $=>$ Fatom:

$$
\begin{array}{rrr}
\text { ch3ch2cho }=>\text { ch3chfcho }=>\text { ch3cf2cho } \\
-45.5 & -53.5
\end{array}
$$

The above trend shows that the addition of a fluorine atom to the secondary methyl group (-CH2-) bond to a carbonyl oxygen and primary methyl group results in a near consistent $\sim 1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ smaller lowering of enthalpy for one fluorine atom being substituted for a hydrogen and $\sim 4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for two fluorine atoms being present for hydrogen substitution, relative to a fluorine atom substitution on an alkane carbon.

## Substitution of a fluorine atom for a hydrogen on the carbonyl $\mathbf{C}(=0)$ carbon of propanal

The enthalpy of formation decrease is $66.3 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ from propanal to propanoyl fluoride. From propanoyl fluoride to 2-propanoyl fluoride the enthalpy of formation decrease is $42.4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$; the enthalpy of formation decreases $53.6 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ from 2propanoyl fluoride to 2,2-dipropanoyl fluoride.
Alkane fluorocarbons $\quad \Delta \Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{H}$ atom $=>$ Fatom:

$$
\begin{array}{rcr}
\text { ch3ch2ch3 }=> & \text { ch3chfch3 }=> & \text { ch3cf2ch3 } \\
-46.4 & -58.0 \\
\text { Ch3ch2cho }=> & \text { Ch3ch2cfo }=> & \text { ch3chfcfo }=> \\
-66.3 & -41.9 & -51.3
\end{array}
$$

The above trend shows that the addition of a fluorine atom to the carbonyl group is consistent to values reported in the fluorine addition in fluoroaldehyde to fluoroacetyl fluoride and propanal to propanoyl fluoride, with a decrease of enthalpy of formation of $\sim 66 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. The addition of a fluorine atom to the secondary methyl group (-CH2-) bond to a carbonyl oxygen group results in an enthalpy of formation $4.5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ smaller when one fluorine is bond to the secondary methyl group and $6.7 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ smaller when two fluorine atoms are bonded to the same group, relative to a fluorine atom substitution on an alkane carbon.

2-fluoropropanal and 2,3-difluoropropanal and substitution of a fluorine for a hydrogen on a primary methyl $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\right)$ group of 2-fluoropropanal: the enthalpy of formation decreases $40.7 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$; from 2,3-difluoropropanal to 2,3,3-trifluoropropanal the enthalpy of formation decreases $51.2 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$; from 2,3,3-trifluoropropanal to $2,3,3,3-$ tetrafluoropropanal the enthalpy of formation decreases $38.6 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

Alkane fluorocarbons $\quad \Delta \Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{H}$ atom $=>$ Fatom:
ch3chfch3 => ch2fchfch3 => chf2chfch3 => cf3chfch3

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
-34.5 & -54.9 & -56.4
\end{array}
$$

Propanal $=>$ fluoropropanal $\Delta \Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{H}$ atom $=>$ Fatom:

$$
\begin{array}{rrr}
\text { ch3chfcho }=>\text { ch2fchfcho }=>\text { chf2chfcho }=>\text { cf3chfcho } \\
-40.7 & -51.2 & -38.6
\end{array}
$$

The above trend shows that the addition of a fluorine atom to the primary methyl group (CH3-) bond to a secondary methyl group with a fluorine atom bond (-CHF-) present results in a consistent trend as observed in the primary fluorine-hydrogen substitution on the primary methyl group is $\sim 6 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ smaller lowering the enthalpy for one fluorine atom being substituted for a hydrogen and $\sim 4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for two fluorine atoms being present for hydrogen substitution, relative to a fluorine atom substitution on an alkane carbon. Fully saturated primary group has a decrease of $\sim 184 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

Substitution of a fluorine atom for a hydrogen on the carbonyl $\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ carbon: the enthalpy of formation decrease is $62.7 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ from 2-fluoropropanal to 2-propanoyl fluoride. From 2-propanoyl fluoride to 2,3-dipropanoyl fluoride: the enthalpy of formation decreases $39.7 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$; from 2,3-dipropanoyl fluoride to 2,3,3-trifluoropropanoyl fluoride the enthalpy of formation decreases $50.5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$; from 2,3,3-trifluoropropanoyl fluoride to 2,3,3,3-tetrapropanoyl fluoride the enthalpy of formation decreases 39.9 kcal $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.
Alkane fluorocarbons $\quad \Delta \Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{H}$ atom $=>$ Fatom:

$$
\begin{array}{rccc}
\text { ch3chfch3 } & \text { c> } & \text { ch } 2 \text { fchfch } 3=> & \text { chf2chfch3 } \\
-34.5 & -54.9 & \text { cf3chfch3 } & -56.4 \\
\text { ch3chfco }=> & \text { ch3chfcfo }=> & \text { ch2fchfcfo } & => \\
-62.7 & -39.7 & -50.5 & -39.9
\end{array}
$$

The above trend shows that the addition of a fluorine atom to the carbonyl group is consistent to values reported in the fluorine addition is similar to other species, with a decrease of enthalpy of formation of $\sim 63 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. The addition of a fluorine atom to the secondary methyl group (-CHF-) bond to a carbonyl oxygen group results in an enthalpy of formation of $\sim 5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ smaller for the substitution of one or two fluorine atoms on the primary methyl group and $\sim 16 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ smaller when two fluorine atoms are bond to the same group, relative to a fluorine atom substitution on an alkane carbon.

2,2-difluoropropanal and 2,2,3-trifluoropropanal and substitution of fluorine for hydrogen on the primary methyl $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\right)$ group of 2,2-difluoropropanal: the enthalpy of formation decreases $38.4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$; from 2,2,3-trifluoropropanal to 2,2,3,3tetrafluoropropanal the enthalpy of formation decreases $49.3 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$; from 2,2,3,3tetrafluoropropanal to 2,2,3,3,3-pentafluoropropanal the enthalpy of formation decreases $99.8 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.
Alkane fluorocarbons $\quad \Delta \Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{H}$ atom $=>$ Fatom:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { ch3cf2ch3 }=> & \text { ch2fcf2ch3 }=> & \text { chf2cf2ch3 }=>~ c f 3 c f 2 c h 3 ~ \\
41.8 & -53.0 & -51.2
\end{array}
$$

Propanal $=>$ fluoropropanal $\Delta \Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{H}$ atom $=>$ Fatom:

$$
\text { ch3cf2cho }=>\text { ch2fcf2cho => chf2cf2cho => cf3cf2cho }
$$

The above trend shows that the addition of a fluorine atom to the primary methyl group (CH3-) bond to a secondary methyl group with two fluorine atoms bond (-CF2-) present results in a consistent trend as observed in the primary fluorine-hydrogen substitution on the primary methyl group is $\sim 3 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ smaller lowering the enthalpy for one fluorine atom being substituted for a hydrogen and $\sim 4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ for two fluorine atoms being present for hydrogen substitution, relative to a fluorine atom substitution on an alkane carbon. Fully saturated primary and secondary methyl groups has an observed decrease of $\sim 45 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ in comparison to the corresponding fluoroalkane.

Substitution of a fluorine atom for a hydrogen on the carbonyl $\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ carbon: the enthalpy of formation decrease is $60.9 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ from 2,2-difluoropropanal to 2,2dipropanoyl fluoride. From 2,2-dipropanoyl fluoride to 2,2,3-tripropanoyl fluoride: the enthalpy of formation decreases $38.1 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$; from 2,2,3-tripropanoyl fluoride to 2,2,3,3-tetrapropanoyl fluoride the enthalpy of formation decreases $47.9 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$; from 2,2,3,3-tetrapropanoyl fluoride to 2,2,3,3,3-pentapropanoyl fluoride the enthalpy of formation decreases $54.8 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.
Alkane fluorocarbons $\quad \Delta \Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{H}$ atom $=>$ Fatom:

$$
\begin{array}{rcr}
\text { ch3cf2ch3 }=> & \text { ch2fcf2ch3 }=>\text { chf2cf2ch3 }=>\text { cf3cf2ch3 } \\
-41.8 & -53.0 & -51.2
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { ch3cf2cho }=> & \text { ch3cf2cfo }=> \\
& -60.9 \\
-38.1 & -47.9
\end{aligned}
$$

The above trend shows that the addition of a fluorine atom to the carbonyl group is consistent to values reported in the fluorine addition is similar to other species, with a decrease of enthalpy of formation of $\sim 61 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

The addition of a fluorine atom to the secondary methyl group (-CH2-) bonded to a carbonyl oxygen group results in an enthalpy of formation of $\sim 5 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ lower for the substitution of one or two fluorine atoms on the primary methyl group and ~16 kcal mol ${ }^{-1}$ lower when two fluorine atoms are bond to the same group, relative to a fluorine atom substitution on an alkane carbon.

Table B. 3 Change in Enthalpy of formation Compared to Parent Hydrocarbons $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ and $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ upon substitution of fluorine atoms for hydrogen

| Species | $\begin{gathered} \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f} 298} \\ (\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | Difference Calculated from Corresponding Nonfluorinated Species | Difference Calculated from Substituting Carbon-Hydrogen Bond with a Carbon-Fluorine Bond |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CFCH(=O) | -80.4 | $-40.7{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $-40.7^{\text {a }}$ |
| CF2CH(=O) | -130.0 | $-90.3{ }^{\text {a }}$ | -49.6 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| CF3CH(=O) | -185.4 | $-145.7{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $-55.4^{\text {d }}$ |
| $\mathrm{CCFH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -105.8 | $-66.1^{\text {a }}$ | -66.1 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| CFCFH (=O) | -143.6 | $-103.9{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $-63.2^{\text {c }}$ |
| CF2CFH(=O) | -190.1 | $-150.4{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $-60.1^{\text {d }}$ |
| CF3CFH $=0$ ) | -245.8 | $-206.1^{\text {a }}$ | $-60.4{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| $\mathrm{CFCCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -87.4 | -43.1 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | -43.1 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{CCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -141.1 | -96.7 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $-53.6{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| $\mathrm{CF} 3 \mathrm{CCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -198.9 | $-154.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ | -57.8 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |
| $\mathrm{CCFCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -89.9 | $-45.6^{\text {b }}$ | $-89.9^{\text {b }}$ |
| $\mathrm{CCF}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -143.4 | $-99.0{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $-53.4{ }^{\text {h }}$ |
| $\mathrm{CFCFCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -129.0 | $-84.6{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $-41.5^{\text {f }}$ |
| CFCF2CH(=O) | -181.4 | $-137.0{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $-38.0^{\text {i }}$ |
| CF2CFCH(=O) | -181.8 | -137.4 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | -40.7 ${ }^{\text {g }}$ |
| $\mathrm{CF} 3 \mathrm{CFCH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -220.4 | $-176.1^{\text {b }}$ | $-21.6^{\text {e }}$ |
| CF2CF2CH(=O) | -262.5 | $-218.1^{\text {b }}$ | $-80.7{ }^{\text {j }}$ |
| $\mathrm{CF} 3 \mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -330.5 | $-286.1^{\text {b }}$ | $-110.0^{\mathrm{k}}$ |
| $\operatorname{CCCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -203.9 | $-159.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $-159.5^{\text {b }}$ |
| $\operatorname{CFCCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -110.7 | $-66.3{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $-23.2{ }^{\text {f }}$ |
| CF2CCF(=O) | -153.1 | $-108.7^{\text {b }}$ | $-12.0^{\text {g }}$ |
| CF3CCF( $=0$ ) | -206.7 | $-162.4{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $-7.9{ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| $\operatorname{CCFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -152.6 | $-108.3{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $-62.7^{\text {h }}$ |
| CCF2CF(=O) | -344.7 | $-300.4{ }^{\text {b }}$ | -201.4 ${ }^{\text {k }}$ |
| CFCFCF $(=0)$ | -192.3 | $-147.9{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $-63.3^{\text {i }}$ |
| CF2CFCF(=0) | -235.7 | $-191.3{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $-53.9{ }^{\text {j }}$ |
| CF3CFCF $(=0)$ | -282.5 | $-238.1^{\text {b }}$ | -62.1 ${ }^{\text {k }}$ |
| CFCF2CF(=O) | -242.0 | -197.7 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $-60.6^{1}$ |
| CF2CF2CF(=O) | -230.7 | $-186.3{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $31.8{ }^{\text {m }}$ |
| CF3CF2CF(=O) | -289.9 | $-245.5{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $40.6^{\text {n }}$ |

a represents acetaldehyde, b propanal, c represents fluoroacetaldehyde, d represents difluoroacetaldehyde, e represents 3,3,3trifluoropropanal, f represents 3-fluoropropanal, g represents 2,2-difluoropropanal, h represents 2-fluoropropanal, i represents 2,3difluoropropanal, j represents 2,3,3-trifluoropropanal, k represents 2,2,3-trifluoropropanal, 1 represents 2,2,3,3-tetrafluoropropanal, m represents 2,2,3,3-tetrapropanoyl fluoride, $n$ represents $2,2,3,3,3$-pentafluoropropanal. molecules excluded from the calculation.
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Table B． 4 Isodesmic Reactions Calculations for Fluoroaldehydes

| CF2CF2CF（＝0） |  |  |  |  |  |  | mo62x | m06 | wb97x | b3plyp | $\begin{gathered} \text { CBS- } \\ \text { APNO } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { CBS- } \\ \text { 4M } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBS- } \\ & \text { QB3 } \end{aligned}$ | G－2 | G－3 | G－4 | W1U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CF2CF2CF（＝O） | ＋ | $\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  | CF2CF2C（＝O） | $+$ | $\mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | －287．15 | －287．47 | －286．52 | －286．47 | $-286.85$ | －286．11 | －287．13 | －287．78 | －287．77 | －289．17 | －287．2 |
| CF2CF2CF（＝O） | ＋ | CH4 | $=$ | CF2CF2C（＝O） | $+$ | CH3F | －287．54 | －288．59 | －287．7 | －286．48 | －286．62 | －288．06 | －290．34 | －289．04 | －289．01 | －293．06 | －289．42 |
| CF2CF2CF（＝O） | ＋ | CC | $=$ | CF2CF2C（＝O） | ＋ | CFC | －288．04 | －288．76 | －287．91 | －286．92 | －287．26 | －288．15 | －290．15 | －289．63 | －289．63 | －293．29 | －289．68 |
| CF2CF2CF（＝O） | ＋ | CFCFC（ $=0$ ） | $=$ | CF2CF2C（＝O） | $+$ | CFCFCF（＝O） | －287．26 | －287．48 | －287．37 | －287．13 | －287．02 | －285．96 | －287．11 | －287．6 | －287．6 | －287．84 | －287．24 |
| CF3CF2CF（ $=0$ ） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF3CF2CF(=O) | ＋ | CH2F2 |  | CF2CF2CF（＝O） | ＋ | CHF3 | －342．4 | －342．35 | －341．76 | －341．76 | －341．38 | －341．93 | －342．29 | －342．05 | －342．05 | －343．99 | －342．25 |
| CF3CF2CF（＝O） | ＋ | CCCF2 | $=$ | CF2CF2CF（＝O） | ＋ | CF3CC | －341．13 | －341 | －340．78 | －341．11 | －340．4 | －338．61 | －341．67 | －341．07 | －341．07 | －343．04 | －341．76 |
| CF3CF2CF（＝O） | ＋ | CF2C（＝O） | $=$ | CF2CF2CF（＝O） | $+$ | CF3C（＝0） | －345．37 | －345．29 | －345．14 | －345．26 | －345．17 | －345．01 | －345．62 | －345．7 | －345．71 | －346．44 | －345．56 |
| CF3CF2C（＝0） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF3CF2C（ $=0$ ） | ＋ | CFC（＝0） |  | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{CFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | CFCF（＝O） | －330．84 | －329．41 | －329．03 | －328．1 | －331．85 | －334．63 | －327．88 | －334．81 | －334．81 | －330．18 | －327．56 |
| CF3CF2C（ $=0$ ） | ＋ | CF2C（＝O） | $=$ | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{CFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | －330．13 | －328．61 | －328．28 | －327．52 | －331．26 | －334．73 | －327．43 | －333．51 | －333．51 | －328．72 | －326．9 |
| CF3CF2C（ $=0$ ） | ＋ | $\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $=$ | CF2CFCF（＝O） | $+$ | $\operatorname{CCF}(=0)$ | －330．47 | －329．2 | －328．3 | －327．72 | －331．18 | －332．66 | －328．16 | －334．14 | －334．14 | －331．25 | －327．87 |
| CF3CF2C（ $=0$ ） | $+$ | CFCFC（ $=0$ ） | $=$ | CF2CFCF（＝O） | $+$ | CFCFCF（＝0） | －330．53 | －329．17 | －328．77 | －327．72 | －331．74 | －334．18 | －327．47 | －334．48 | －334．48 | －329．42 | －327．13 |
| $\operatorname{CCF} 2 C F(=0)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCF2CF（＝O） | ＋ | CFC（＝0） | $=$ | CCF2C（＝O） | $+$ | CFCF（＝O） | －202．19 | －202．13 | －202．2 | －201．92 | －201．96 | －201．82 | －201．66 | －202．71 | －202．7 | －202．68 | －201．88 |
| CCF2CF（＝O） | ＋ | CF2C（＝0） | $=$ | CCF2C（＝O） | $+$ | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | －201．48 | －201．32 | －201．44 | －201．34 | －201．37 | －201．92 | －201．21 | －201．41 | －201．41 | －201．22 | －201．22 |
| CCF2CF（＝O） | ＋ | $\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $=$ | CCF2C（＝O） | $+$ | $\operatorname{CCF}(=0)$ | －201．82 | －201．91 | －201．46 | －201．54 | －201．29 | －199．85 | －201．94 | －202．04 | －202．04 | －203．75 | －202．19 |
| CCF2CF（＝O） | ＋ | $\operatorname{CFCFC}(=0)$ | $=$ | CCF2C（＝O） | $+$ | CFCFCF（＝0） | －201．88 | －201．88 | －201．94 | －201．54 | －201．85 | －201．37 | －201．24 | －202．38 | －202．37 | －201．92 | －201．45 |
| $\operatorname{CCCF}(=0)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\operatorname{CCCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | ＋ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O})$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CCC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | －108．89 | －109．05 | －108．59 | －108．4 | －109．38 | －111．04 | －108．26 | －109．54 | －109．53 | －108．44 | －108．16 |
| $\operatorname{CCCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | ＋ | CH4 | $=$ | $\operatorname{CCC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | CH3F | －109．28 | －110．17 | －109．77 | －108．42 | －109．16 | －112．99 | －111．47 | －110．81 | －110．77 | －112．33 | －110．38 |
| $\operatorname{CCCF}(=0)$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CC}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CCC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | CFC | －109．78 | －110．34 | －109．98 | －108．85 | －109．8 | －113．08 | －111．29 | －111．4 | －111．39 | －112．56 | －110．64 |

Table B. 4 Isodesmic Reactions Calculations for Fluoroaldehydes (continued)

| CFCCF(=0) |  |  |  |  |  |  | mo62x | m06 | wb97x | b3plyp | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBS- } \\ & \text { APNO } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CBS- } \\ & 4 \mathrm{M} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | CBSQB3 | G-2 | G-3 | G-4 | W1U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{CFCCF}(=0)$ | + | $\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  | $\operatorname{CCCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -151.62 | -151.07 | -150.9 | -151.9 | -152.27 | -150.83 | -149.96 | -151.12 | -151.12 | -149.36 | -150.37 |
| $\operatorname{CFCCF}(=0)$ | + | CH4 |  | $\operatorname{CCCF}(=0)$ | $+$ | CH3F | -152.02 | -152.2 | -152.08 | -151.91 | -152.05 | -152.78 | -153.16 | -152.38 | -152.36 | -153.25 | -152.59 |
| CFCCF $=0$ ) | + | $\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  | $\operatorname{CCCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -151.67 | -151.11 | -151.28 | -152.56 | -151.88 | -149.16 | -150.64 | -150.6 | -150.61 | -149.86 | -151.14 |
| CF2CCF(=0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF2CCF(=O) | + | CFC( $=0$ ) |  | $\operatorname{CFCCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | CFCF(=0) | -204.42 | -203.46 | -204.03 | -203.99 | -205.16 | -206.21 | -203.07 | -205.69 | -205.69 | -202.77 | -203.00 |
| CF2CCF(=O) | + | $\mathrm{CC}(=0)$ |  | $\mathrm{CFCCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CFC}(=0)$ | -204.56 | -204.31 | -204.07 | -203.34 | -204.49 | -206.65 | -205.13 | -205.94 | -205.95 | -206.45 | -204.62 |
| CF2CCF(=O) | $+$ | $\mathrm{CCC}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  | CF2CC(=O) | $+$ | $\operatorname{CCCF}(=0)$ | -205.41 | -205.44 | -205.32 | -205.38 | -205.22 | -204.43 | -205.65 | -205.37 | -205.38 | -206.1 | -205.74 |
| CF2CCF(=O) | $+$ | $\operatorname{CCF}(=0)$ |  | $\operatorname{CCCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | CF2CF( $=0$ ) | -205.53 | -205.71 | -205.63 | -205.41 | -205.72 | -209.37 | -204.97 | -204.68 | -204.68 | -203.2 | -204.8 |
| CF3CCF(=0) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF3CCF(=O) | $+$ | $\mathrm{CCC}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  | CF3CC(=O) | $+$ | $\operatorname{CCCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -261.84 | -261.21 | -261.56 | -261.54 | -261.76 | -261.23 | -262.24 | -262 | -262.01 | -263.11 | -262.19 |
| CF3CCF(=O) | + | $\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  | CF3CC(=O) | $+$ | $\operatorname{CCF}(=0)$ | -260.66 | -260.17 | -260.41 | -260.48 | -260.64 | -260.48 | -261.06 | -260.91 | -260.91 | -261.93 | -261.01 |
| CF3CCF (=0) | $+$ | $\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  | $\mathrm{CF} 3 \mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -260.61 | -260.14 | -260.03 | -259.83 | -261.02 | -262.15 | -260.38 | -261.42 | -261.42 | -261.43 | -260.23 |
| CF2CF2C $=0$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF2CF2C( $=0$ ) | + | CFCC( $=0$ ) |  | CFCF2C(=O) | $+$ | CF2CC(=0) | -229.21 | -229.3 | -228.99 | -229.01 | -228.99 | -227.97 | -229.09 | -229.68 | -229.69 | $-230.41$ | -229.42 |
| CF2CF2C( $=0$ ) | + | CH3F |  | CFCF2C(=0) | $+$ | CH2F2 | -229.36 | -229.65 | -228.93 | -228.72 | -228.73 | -229.66 | -229.17 | -229.96 | -229.96 | -231.06 | -229.22 |
| CF2CF2C( $=0$ ) | + | $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  | CFCF2C(=0) | $+$ | CF2C(=O) | -229.44 | -229.92 | -229.15 | -228.91 | -229.16 | -230.06 | -228.9 | -229.74 | -229.73 | -230.16 | -229.25 |
| CF2CF2C( $=0$ ) | + | $\operatorname{CFCF}(=0)$ |  | CFCF2C(=0) | $+$ | CF2CF(=O) | -228.74 | -229.12 | -228.39 | -228.33 | -228.57 | -230.16 | -228.45 | -228.44 | -228.44 | -228.7 | -228.59 |
| $\mathbf{C j C F}(=0)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CjCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | CH4 |  | $\operatorname{CCF}(=0)$ | $+$ | CH3J | -59.50 | -60.00 | -59.99 | -60.74 | $-58.53$ | -62.49 | -60.92 | -59.44 | -59.42 | -61.49 | -60.76 |
| $\mathrm{CjCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | CC |  | $\operatorname{CCF}(=0)$ | $+$ | CJC | -59.80 | -58.77 | -59.58 | -60.22 | -60.18 | -64.28 | -60.30 | -60.90 | -60.90 | -60.85 | -60.06 |
| $\mathrm{CjCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | CCCC |  | $\operatorname{CCF}(=0)$ | $+$ | CJCCC | -59.93 | -59.43 | -58.87 | -59.93 | -59.89 | -64.10 | -60.02 | -60.65 | -60.64 | -60.58 | -59.79 |
| $\mathbf{C j F C}(=0)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CjFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | CH3F |  | $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | CJH2F | -44.36 | -45.17 | -44.31 | -45.61 | -44.17 | -51.34 | -45.49 | -45.12 | -45.12 | -46.33 | -45.66 |
| $\mathrm{CjFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | CFC |  | CFC( $=0$ ) | $+$ | CJFC | -43.54 | -43.63 | -43.29 | -44.30 | -44.13 | -51.57 | -44.68 | -44.74 | -44.74 | -45.20 | -44.51 |
| $\mathrm{CjFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | CFCF |  | CFC( $=0$ ) | $+$ | CJFCF | -42.26 | -42.58 | -41.86 | -42.63 | -43.74 | -51.28 | -43.37 | -44.29 | -44.29 | -44.30 | -43.21 |
| $\mathrm{CjFC}(=0)$ | $+$ | CF2CF |  | $\mathrm{CFC}(=0)$ | $+$ | CF2CJF | -45.77 | -46.18 | -45.30 | -46.11 | -46.81 | -55.00 | -46.73 | -47.26 | -47.27 | -47.31 | -46.50 |
| $\mathrm{CjFC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $+$ | CF3CF |  | CFC( $=0$ ) | $+$ | CF3CJF | -46.74 | -47.21 | -46.16 | -46.96 | -47.64 | -56.17 | -47.46 | -47.94 | -47.94 | -47.89 | -47.25 |

Table B. 4 Isodesmic Reactions Calculations for Fluoroaldehydes (continued)

| $\operatorname{CFCj}(=0)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { mo62x }}{-42.19}$ | $\frac{\text { m06 }}{-41.84}$ | $\frac{\text { wb97x }}{-42.02}$ | b3plyp -42.02 | CBSAPNO $-41.59$ | CBS4M -39.07 | CBSQB3 -42.18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { G-2 } \\ & \hline-41.19 \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\text { G-3 }}{-41.19}$ | $\frac{\text { G-4 }}{-42.00}$ | $\frac{\text { W1U }}{-42.07}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CFCj}(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | $\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CFC}(=0)$ | + | $\mathrm{Cj}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\operatorname{CFCj}(=0)$ | + | $\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CFC}(=0)$ | + | $\operatorname{CCj}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -41.86 | -41.78 | -41.76 | -42.16 | -40.71 | -38.93 | -42.26 | -40.39 | -40.39 | -42.74 | -42.44 |
| $\operatorname{CFCj}(=0)$ | + | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C}$ | $=$ | $\operatorname{CFC}(=0)$ | + | $\mathrm{CCj}=\mathrm{C}$ | -40.72 | -37.93 | -40.90 | -40.75 | -33.72 | -30.11 | -41.50 | -32.59 | -32.60 | -41.71 | -41.34 |
| $\operatorname{CFCj}(=0)$ | + | $\mathrm{CCC}(=0)$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CFC}(=0)$ | + | $\operatorname{CCCj}(=0)$ | -41.94 | -41.82 | -41.73 | -42.05 | -40.72 | -39.20 | -42.43 | -40.33 | -40.34 | -42.81 | -42.50 |


| $\mathbf{C j F C F}(=0)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{CjFCF}(=0)$ | + | CH3F | = | $\operatorname{CFCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | CJH2F | -103.80 | -104.72 | -104.01 | -105.01 | -101.72 | -105.54 | -104.60 | -102.67 | -102.67 | -105.45 | -104.84 |
| $\operatorname{CjFCF}(=0)$ | + | CFC | = | CFCF(=O) | + | CJFC | -102.98 | -103.18 | -102.99 | -103.70 | -101.67 | -105.77 | -103.80 | -102.29 | -102.29 | -104.31 | -103.69 |
| $\operatorname{CjFCF}(=0)$ | + | CFCF | = | $\operatorname{CFCF}(=0)$ | + | CJFCF | -101.70 | -102.13 | -101.56 | -102.03 | -101.28 | -105.48 | -102.49 | -101.83 | -101.84 | -103.42 | -102.39 |
| $\operatorname{CjFCF}(=0)$ | + | CF2CF | = | CFCF(=O) | + | CF2CJF | -105.21 | -105.73 | -105.00 | -105.51 | -104.35 | -109.20 | -105.85 | -104.81 | -104.81 | -106.42 | -105.68 |
| $\operatorname{CjFCF}(=0)$ | + | CF3CF | = | CFCF(=O) | $+$ | CF3CJF | -106.18 | -106.76 | -105.86 | -106.36 | -105.18 | -110.37 | -106.58 | -105.49 | -105.49 | -107.01 | -106.43 |

$\mathbf{C j F 2 C}(=0)$

| CjF2C $(=\mathrm{O})$ | + CF2C | $=$ | CF2C $(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | CJF2C | -91.56 | -93.38 | -91.10 | -92.17 | -89.70 | -99.26 | -93.27 | -89.85 | -89.84 | -94.97 | -93.52 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CjF2C $(=\mathrm{O})$ | + CF2CF | $=$ | CF2C $(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | CJF2CF | -89.95 | -91.80 | -89.23 | -90.11 | -89.24 | -100.05 | -91.57 | -89.59 | -89.59 | -93.20 | -91.50 |
| CjF2C $(=\mathrm{O})$ | + CF2CF2 | $=$ | CF2C $(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | CJF2CF2 | -94.70 | -96.54 | -93.97 | -94.85 | -93.68 | -104.96 | -96.33 | -93.82 | -93.82 | -97.60 | -96.21 |


| $\mathbf{C F 2 C J}(=0)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{Cj}(=\mathrm{O})$ | + | $\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}$ | = | CF2C( $=0$ ) | + | $\mathrm{Cj}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}$ | -90.34 | -90.15 | -90.02 | -89.87 | -90.28 | -88.43 | -90.25 | -90.60 | -90.59 | -90.60 | -90.17 |
| CF2Cj $=0$ ) | + | $\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | = | CF2C( $=0$ ) | + | $\operatorname{CCj}(=0)$ | -90.00 | -90.09 | -89.76 | -90.01 | -89.40 | -88.29 | -90.33 | -89.81 | -89.80 | -91.34 | -90.54 |
| CF2Cj $=0$ ) | + | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C}$ | = | CF2C( $=0$ ) | + | $\mathrm{CCj}=\mathrm{C}$ | -88.87 | -86.25 | -88.90 | -88.60 | -82.40 | -79.47 | -89.57 | -82.01 | -82.01 | -90.31 | -89.43 |
| CF2Cj $=0$ ) | + | $\operatorname{CCC}(=0)$ | = | CF2C( $=0$ ) | + | $\operatorname{CCCj}(=0)$ | -90.09 | -90.13 | -89.73 | -89.90 | -89.41 | -88.56 | -90.50 | -89.75 | -89.74 | -91.41 | -90.59 |


| $\mathbf{C j F 2 C F}(=0)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CjF2CF}(=0)$ | + | CF2C | = | CF2CF(=0) | + | CJF2C | -148.01 | -149.98 | -147.92 | -148.90 | -144.64 | -150.67 | -149.99 | -144.11 | -144.55 | -150.64 | -149.98 |
| $\mathrm{CjF2CF}(=0)$ | + | CF2CF | = | CF2CF( $=0$ ) | + | CJF2CF | -146.41 | -148.40 | -146.05 | -146.84 | -144.18 | -151.45 | -148.29 | -143.85 | -144.29 | -148.86 | -147.97 |
| CjF2CF( $=0$ ) | + | CF2CF2 | = | $\operatorname{CF2CF}(=0)$ | $+$ | CJF2CF2 | -151.16 | -153.14 | -150.79 | -151.58 | -148.62 | -156.36 | -153.05 | -148.09 | -148.52 | -153.26 | -152.68 |

Table B. 4 Isodesmic Reactions Calculations for Fluoroaldehydes (continued)

| CF3Cj $=0$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\operatorname{mo62x}}{-144.93}$ | $\frac{\text { m06 }}{-144.60}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { wb97x } \\ \hline-144.91 \end{gathered}$ | b3plyp <br> -144.64 |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { CBS- } \\ \text { 4M } \end{array} \\ \hline-141.83 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | CBSQB3 <br> $-144.89$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { G-2 } \\ \hline-145.13 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { G-3 }}{-145.12}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { G-4 } \\ \hline-145.18 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { W1U }}{-144.77}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CF3Cj $=0$ ) | + | $\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}$ | = | CF3C( $=0$ ) | + | $\mathrm{Cj}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CF3Cj $=0$ ) | + | $\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | = | CF3C( $=0$ ) | + | $\mathrm{CCj}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -144.59 | -144.54 | -144.65 | -144.79 | -143.84 | -141.69 | -144.96 | -144.33 | -144.33 | -145.92 | -145.14 |
| CF3Cj $=0$ ) | + | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C}$ | $=$ | CF3C( $=0$ ) | + | $\mathrm{CCj}=\mathrm{C}$ | -143.46 | -140.70 | -143.79 | -143.37 | -136.85 | -132.87 | -144.21 | -136.53 | -136.54 | -144.89 | -144.03 |
| CF3Cj $=0$ ) | + | $\operatorname{CCC}(=0)$ | $=$ | CF3C( $=0$ ) | + | $\operatorname{CCCj}(=0)$ | -144.68 | -144.58 | -144.62 | -144.67 | -143.85 | -141.96 | -145.13 | -144.27 | -144.28 | -145.99 | -145.19 |

Table B. 5 Entropy and Heat Capacities for Fluoroaldehyde Species

| Species | $\mathbf{S}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{P} 300}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}} 400$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}} 500$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}} 600$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}} 800$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}} 1000$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}} 1500$ | Rotors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CF2CF2CF(=O) | 87.79 | 27.70 | 32.52 | 36.56 | 39.81 | 44.44 | 47.40 | 51.27 |  |
|  | 8.52 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.98 |  |
|  | 8.22 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 104.53 | 29.69 | 34.51 | 38.55 | 41.80 | 46.43 | 49.39 | 53.24 |  |
| CF3CF2CF(=O) | 90.72 | 30.22 | 35.36 | 39.41 | 42.56 | 46.85 | 49.44 | 52.58 |  |
|  | 8.80 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.93 |  |
|  | 8.28 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 107.80 | 32.21 | 37.35 | 41.40 | 44.55 | 48.84 | 51.42 | 54.50 |  |
| CF3CF2C(=O) | 85.80 | 28.35 | 33.23 | 37.22 | 40.40 | 44.90 | 47.78 | 51.50 |  |
|  | 8.70 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.95 |  |
|  | 7.38 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 101.88 | 30.34 | 35.22 | 39.21 | 42.39 | 46.89 | 49.76 | 53.44 |  |
| CCF2CF(=O) | 78.96 | 23.86 | 28.45 | 32.40 | 35.69 | 40.63 | 44.07 | 49.08 |  |
|  | 5.77 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 8.03 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 92.75 | 25.85 | 30.44 | 34.39 | 37.68 | 42.62 | 46.06 | 51.07 |  |
| $\operatorname{CCCF}(=\mathrm{O})$ | 71.25 | 18.99 | 23.22 | 27.19 | 30.67 | 36.23 | 40.36 | 46.73 |  |
|  | 5.77 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 7.62 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 84.64 | 20.98 | 25.21 | 29.18 | 32.66 | 38.22 | 42.35 | 48.72 |  |
| CFCCF(=O) | 76.03 | 20.45 | 24.90 | 29.00 | 32.53 | 38.00 | 41.91 | 47.75 |  |
|  | 7.97 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 8.12 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 92.12 | 22.44 | 26.89 | 30.99 | 34.52 | 39.99 | 43.90 | 49.74 |  |
| CF2CCF(=O) | 79.85 | 22.63 | 27.29 | 31.43 | 34.90 | 40.12 | 43.72 | 48.91 |  |
|  | 8.42 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.98 |  |
|  | 8.17 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 96.44 | 24.62 | 29.28 | 33.42 | 36.89 | 42.11 | 45.71 | 50.89 |  |

${ }^{a}$ Units cal mol-1 K-1. ${ }^{b}$ No rotors. Use of torsion frequencies for rotor contributions without reduction (correction) in entropy for equivalent hydrogen atoms in CH 3 groups. ${ }^{c}$ Only methyl rotors. ${ }^{d}$ All internal rotors.

Table B. 5 Entropy and Heat Capacities for Fluoroaldehyde Species (Continued)

| Species | $\mathbf{S}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$ | CP300 | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}} 400$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}} 500$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}} 600$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}} 800$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}} 1000$ | $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{P}} 1500$ | Rotors |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CF3CCF(=O) | 82.43 | 25.04 | 30.05 | 34.24 | 37.62 | 42.51 | 45.75 | 50.20 |  |
|  | 8.63 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.96 |  |
|  | 8.25 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 99.31 | 27.03 | 32.04 | 36.23 | 39.61 | 44.50 | 47.74 | 52.15 |  |
| CF2CF2C(=O) | 83.44 | 25.82 | 30.41 | 34.39 | 37.68 | 42.51 | 45.75 | 50.20 |  |
|  | 8.46 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.98 |  |
|  | 7.41 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  |
|  | 99.31 | 27.81 | 32.40 | 36.38 | 39.67 | 44.50 | 47.74 | 52.18 |  |
| CJFC(=O) | 64.98 | 14.60 | 17.10 | 19.27 | 21.06 | 23.73 | 25.57 | 28.26 |  |
| CJCF(=O) | 64.75 | 15.05 | 17.79 | 19.94 | 21.62 | 24.06 | 25.75 | 28.28 |  |
| $\mathrm{CFCJ}(=\mathrm{O})$ | 65.87 | 14.37 | 16.80 | 18.93 | 20.70 | 23.40 | 25.30 | 28.11 |  |
| CJFCF(=O) | 70.59 | 16.93 | 19.62 | 21.74 | 23.39 | 25.71 | 27.22 | 29.28 |  |
| CJF2C(=O) | 70.71 | 16.84 | 19.36 | 21.46 | 23.16 | 25.60 | 27.19 | 29.32 |  |
| CF2CJ(=O) | 72.33 | 16.77 | 19.30 | 21.39 | 23.07 | 25.47 | 27.06 | 29.22 |  |
| CJF2CF(=O) | 76.37 | 19.52 | 22.05 | 24.01 | 25.53 | 27.60 | 28.85 | 30.35 |  |
| CF3CJ(=O) | 75.64 | 19.40 | 22.18 | 24.24 | 25.77 | 27.79 | 28.99 | 30.41 |  |
| CJFC(=0) | 64.98 | 14.60 | 17.10 | 19.27 | 21.06 | 23.73 | 25.57 | 28.26 |  |

${ }^{a}$ Units cal mol-1 K-1. ${ }^{b}$ No rotors. Use of torsion frequencies for rotor contributions without reduction (correction) in entropy for equivalent hydrogen atoms in CH 3 groups. ${ }^{c}$ Only methyl rotors. ${ }^{d}$ All internal rotors.

## APPENDIX C

## THERMOCHEMISTRY AND BOND DISSOCIATION

ENERGIES OF KETONES

This appendix contains the optimized geometries with corresponding Gaussian atom numbering and symmetry values in parenthesis, moments of inertia, vibrational frequencies, internal rotor potential energy graphs, entropies, and heat capacities for all of the parent and radical species from B3-LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory.

Figure C. 1 Fluorinated Ketones Species Optimized Structures
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Table C. 1 Moments of Inertia for Fluorinated Ketones

| Species | Moments of Inertia |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CFC(=0)C | 8.60919 | 3.97239 | 2.81374 |
| $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}$ | 5.97865 | 2.41582 | 1.75887 |
| CF2C(=O)C | 4.99435 | 2.66370 | 2.59740 |
| CF2C(=O)CF | 4.29978 | 1.63215 | 1.52567 |
| CF2C(=O)CF2 | 2.57849 | 1.70566 | 1.46931 |
| CF3C(=O)C | 3.61361 | 2.41292 | 1.98008 |
| CF3C(=O)CF | 3.52587 | 1.35577 | 1.19771 |
| CF3C(=O)CF2 | 2.35053 | 1.30401 | 1.16842 |
| CF3C(=O)CF3 | 2.14774 | 1.01775 | 0.91853 |
| $\mathrm{CjFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ | 9.02495 | 4.08126 | 2.86017 |
| $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Cj}$ | 9.68551 | 3.97629 | 2.87046 |
| $\mathrm{CjFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}$ | 6.06032 | 2.49047 | 1.78519 |
| $\mathrm{CjF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ | 5.28469 | 3.29191 | 2.05432 |
| CF2C(=O) Cj | 5.34248 | 2.68022 | 2.65629 |
| CF2C(=O)CjF | 4.30993 | 1.68431 | 1.55723 |
| $\mathrm{CjF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}$ | 3.70097 | 2.27258 | 1.49431 |
| $\mathrm{CjF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF} 2$ | 2.96123 | 1.60786 | 1.28919 |
| $\mathrm{CjF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF} 3$ | 2.63059 | 1.27129 | 1.01288 |
| CF3C(=O)Cj | 3.74450 | 2.47546 | 2.03387 |
| CF3C(=O)CjF | 3.57681 | 1.39000 | 1.21892 |

Table C. 2 Vibrational Frequencies for Fluorinated Ketones

| CFC(=0)CF | 73.3029 | 111.0198 | 186.8157 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 288.2239 | 449.8537 | 489.2260 |
|  | 583.7689 | 816.0035 | 835.4585 |
|  | 1052.8757 | 1071.1114 | 1094.5222 |
|  | 1178.9895 | 1234.6672 | 1261.7595 |
|  | 1371.0988 | 1405.3836 | 1462.6652 |
|  | 1469.4087 | 1823.8503 | 3050.0033 |
|  | 3070.8504 | 3096.2122 | 3128.9293 |
| CF2C(=O)C | 40.8525 | 113.8763 | 225.2731 |
|  | 245.4798 | 406.2198 | 519.9997 |
|  | 601.3622 | 602.5852 | 797.4140 |
|  | 1023.5434 | 1024.8817 | 1071.0929 |
|  | 1109.6813 | 1256.7134 | 1350.9847 |
|  | 1362.7296 | 1398.0466 | 1470.2755 |
|  | 1472.7577 | 1815.8573 | 3053.2093 |
|  | 3117.3585 | 3141.6850 | 3170.0903 |
| CF2C(=0)CF | 32.3187 | 80.8475 | 183.2612 |
|  | 221.9012 | 292.3865 | 404.3633 |
|  | 570.0294 | 572.2986 | 665.2929 |
|  | 810.2490 | 993.3898 | 1065.4291 |
|  | 1107.1629 | 1109.9217 | 1200.0897 |
|  | 1259.9827 | 1346.7849 | 1360.1873 |
|  | 1409.8566 | 1472.0144 | 1839.6924 |
|  | 3053.5653 | 3102.0973 | 3144.4594 |
| CF2C(=0)CF2 | 49.1650 | 49.6974 | 146.4534 |
|  | 184.1117 | 262.7256 | 349.9126 |
|  | 431.8328 | 458.9163 | 564.9769 |
|  | 630.8319 | 777.3278 | 855.7495 |
|  | 1062.9495 | 1096.4513 | 1106.2417 |
|  | 1150.1261 | 1265.9652 | 1339.9160 |
|  | 1359.7513 | 1370.6554 | 1375.4243 |
|  | 1837.7084 | 3127.0244 | 3128.9826 |
| CF3C(=0)C | 28.7615 | 106.9576 | 226.3273 |
|  | 229.9627 | 357.2356 | 411.4698 |
|  | 486.9734 | 548.6265 | 612.2842 |
|  | 618.8203 | 752.6762 | 971.0071 |
|  | 1038.7472 | 1117.5590 | 1137.8080 |
|  | 1212.4022 | 1320.2096 | 1400.2691 |
|  | 1469.8432 | 1472.6231 | 1840.4271 |
|  | 3054.5252 | 3119.3358 | 3172.4365 |
| CF3C(=O)CF | 16.9281 | 80.1560 | 171.8764 |
|  | 224.1221 | 292.5011 | 338.7018 |
|  | 409.8757 | 480.8558 | 555.2985 |
|  | 594.8320 | 706.9362 | 806.3741 |
|  | 997.6432 | 1000.8138 | 1127.5896 |
|  | 1139.7349 | 1205.8388 | 1260.3346 |
|  | 1301.5634 | 1408.0117 | 1471.5133 |
|  | 1862.9036 | 3051.2359 | 3100.2321 |
| CF3C(=0)CF2 | 40.1812 | 54.7926 | 143.4217 |
|  | 185.8028 | 257.9429 | 274.9643 |
|  | 362.0997 | 457.0778 | 500.1240 |
|  | 519.3838 | 579.8028 | 675.2947 |
|  | 750.6484 | 786.4001 | 1074.0696 |
|  | 1091.0098 | 1144.3668 | 1171.5284 |
|  | 1218.1983 | 1311.4756 | 1359.2963 |
|  | 1366.3142 | 1858.7788 | 3133.1737 |
| CF3C(=0)CF3 | 37.0551 | 38.6216 | 143.2497 |
|  | 188.1019 | 253.2137 | 269.6708 |
|  | 310.6786 | 364.1454 | 457.8617 |
|  | 491.1356 | 516.4298 | 525.2146 |
|  | 619.2341 | 702.8966 | 759.1129 |
|  | 776.9863 | 960.5615 | 1138.3006 |
|  | 1181.1454 | 1198.8507 | 1232.2011 |
|  | 1259.9919 | 1319.3509 | 1879.5233 |
| $\mathbf{C j F C}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | 59.1316 | 198.0993 | 230.5539 |
|  | 477.5722 | 483.9023 | 555.0337 |
|  | 634.5514 | 817.3738 | 999.0185 |
|  | 1027.9112 | 1169.8483 | 1277.7544 |
|  | 1397.7852 | 1421.3871 | 1473.8939 |
|  | 1478.4274 | 1600.4278 | 3049.0771 |
| CFC(=O)Cj | 83.5903 | 256.7198 | 408.5631 |
|  | 479.4628 | 488.4952 | 504.5992 |
|  | 793.9425 | 830.9713 | 1002.3635 |
|  | 1007.3123 | 1055.1422 | 1244.1286 |
|  | 1301.1499 | 1377.2770 | 1467.3290 |
|  | 1476.8561 | 1558.1651 | 3072.1121 |
|  | 3128.8481 | 3176.2411 | 3295.4120 |
| $\mathrm{CjFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}$ | 79.8552 | 194.0955 | 196.7712 |
|  | 295.2406 | 484.1720 | 496.4681 |
|  | 618.4720 | 633.6329 | 903.9458 |
|  | 999.6895 | 1050.4766 | 1178.3604 |
|  | 1238.9283 | 1247.2303 | 1377.7466 |
|  | 1474.2159 | 1486.9280 | 1591.5677 |
|  | 3075.4264 | 3133.3955 | 3256.1774 |

Table C. 2 Vibrational Frequencies for Fluorinated Ketones (Continued)

| $\mathrm{CjF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ | 23.1950 | 130.1369 | 205.7333 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 215.8000 | 379.4466 | 502.7477 |
|  | 525.3953 | 569.6624 | 723.8754 |
|  | 965.9176 | 1027.2332 | 1143.9107 |
|  | 1327.5598 | 1399.9993 | 1465.5232 |
|  | 1479.7450 | 1504.0975 | 1604.7043 |
|  | 3049.7660 | 3113.1864 | 3165.8379 |
| CF2C( $=0$ ) Cj | 32.1982 | 230.5580 | 250.5520 |
|  | 403.6472 | 412.6319 | 514.3759 |
|  | 606.6775 | 633.9224 | 828.8567 |
|  | 863.2717 | 1020.7950 | 1050.1620 |
|  | 1113.0404 | 1309.9786 | 1359.0716 |
|  | 1361.0240 | 1479.3843 | 1578.2386 |
|  | 3144.9063 | 3174.0195 | 3292.0064 |
| CF2C(=O)CjF |  | 146.3155 | 178.5254 |
|  | 282.9107 | 305.1948 | 402.6509 |
|  | 574.9635 | 576.7001 | 695.4010 |
|  | 726.6346 | 902.5379 | 1049.9161 |
|  | 1111.8133 | 1207.4931 | 1253.8100 |
|  | 1351.0377 | 1358.8391 | 1498.8314 |
|  | 1599.5534 | 3146.0615 | 3238.8974 |
| CjF2C $(=0) \mathrm{CF}$ | 51.6591 | 112.6272 | 174.9556 |
|  | 248.2878 | 301.1486 | 388.6642 |
|  | 510.1725 | 540.2233 | 649.7292 |
|  | 723.2323 | 983.6584 | 1050.0679 |
|  | 1144.3176 | 1245.3720 | 1350.1117 |
|  | 1386.5016 | 1489.6718 | 1518.3843 |
|  | 1579.1137 | 3064.5941 | 3147.0452 |
| CjF2C $(=0) \mathrm{CF} 2$ | 42.4037 | 102.7232 | 147.1426 |
|  | 219.8018 | 298.0706 | 339.6410 |
|  | 373.4109 | 486.6843 | 564.0880 |
|  | 665.9523 | $684.8040$ | $727.7262$ |
|  | 1064.4820 | 1098.7225 | 1174.3026 |
|  | 1345.4531 | 1363.8254 | 1376.8450 |
|  | 1527.2534 | 1578.2087 | 3141.5047 |
| CjF2C $(=0) \mathrm{CF} 3$ | 33.4496 | 104.2280 | 144.6848 |
|  | 223.1050 | 284.5389 | 334.3267 |
|  | 347.2091 | 373.9477 | 489.0639 |
|  | $502.1635$ | 594.1452 | 657.1870 |
|  | 675.3559 | 750.4018 | 996.6971 |
|  | $1144.5331$ | $1198.8492$ | 1287.2929 |
|  | 1378.8092 | 1526.3682 | 1584.5517 |
| CF3C( $=0$ ) $\mathbf{C j}$ | 20.7851 | 229.5559 | 234.4261 |
|  | 364.9921 | 407.4297 | 414.1226 |
|  | 492.5858 | 553.3622 | 614.8459 |
|  | $650.8613$ | $760.2904$ | 830.4334 |
|  | 1017.9775 | 1129.1579 | 1144.7518 |
|  | 1215.5206 | 1354.0810 | 1480.9431 |
|  | 1586.3292 | 3176.4508 | 3294.6708 |
| CF3C( $=0$ ) CjF | 13.5384 | 155.8150 | 194.1803 |
|  | 286.0817 | 291.4932 | 355.1265 |
|  | 412.3169 | 491.1897 | 564.0440 |
|  | 600.8091 | 715.9062 | 732.6255 |
|  | 866.7646 | 1068.8574 | 1164.8773 |
|  | 1176.1781 | 1258.5840 | 1294.3569 |
|  | 1495.2742 | 1574.2218 | 3253.7957 |

Figure C. 2 Potential Energy Profiles of Fluorinated Ketones and Corresponding Radicals
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Figure C. 2 Potential Energy Profiles of Fluorinated Ketones and Corresponding Radicals (Continued)


Figure C. 2 Potential Energy Profiles of Fluorinated Ketones and Corresponding Radicals (Continued)


Figure C. 2 Potential Energy Profiles of Fluorinated Ketones and Corresponding Radicals (Continued)
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Table C. 3 TVR Calculations for Fluorinated Ketones

| Species | HF | S | CP300 | CP400 | CP500 | CP600 | CP800 | CP1000 | CP1500 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CFC(=O)C | -94.39 | 73.76 | 20.63 | 25.09 | 29.12 | 32.55 | 37.87 | 41.73 | 47.61 |
|  |  | 7.56 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
|  |  | 5.10 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
|  | -94.39 | 86.42 | 22.62 | 27.08 | 31.11 | 34.54 | 39.86 | 43.72 | 49.60 |
| $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}$ | -133.28 | 78.04 | 22.33 | 26.97 | 31.10 | 34.54 | 39.72 | 43.35 | 48.67 |
|  |  | 7.72 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
|  |  | 7.87 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
|  | -133.28 | 93.63 | 24.32 | 28.96 | 33.09 | 36.53 | 41.71 | 45.34 | 50.66 |
| CF2C(=O)C | -144.59 | 79.13 | 22.91 | 27.50 | 31.52 | 34.86 | 39.90 | 43.44 | 48.68 |
|  |  | 7.18 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
|  |  | 5.10 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
|  | -144.59 | 91.41 | 24.90 | 29.49 | 33.51 | 36.85 | 41.89 | 45.43 | 50.67 |
| CF2C(=O)CF | -182.14 | 83.82 | 24.61 | 29.38 | 33.49 | 36.85 | 41.75 | 45.06 | 49.74 |
|  |  | 7.29 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
|  |  | 4.06 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
|  | -182.14 | 95.17 | 26.60 | 31.37 | 35.48 | 38.84 | 43.74 | 47.05 | 51.73 |
| CF2C(=O)CF2 | -230.17 | 87.37 | 26.95 | 31.84 | 35.94 | 39.19 | 43.81 | 46.80 | 50.83 |
|  |  | 7.63 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
|  |  | 7.63 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
|  | -230.17 | 102.63 | 28.94 | 33.83 | 37.93 | 41.18 | 45.80 | 48.79 | 52.82 |
| CF3C(=O)C | -200.09 | 82.98 | 25.63 | 30.43 | 34.40 | 37.59 | 42.24 | 45.39 | 49.89 |
|  |  | 8.07 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
|  |  | 5.76 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
|  | -200.09 | 96.81 | 27.62 | 32.42 | 36.39 | 39.58 | 44.23 | 47.38 | 51.88 |

Table C. 3 TVR Calculations for Fluorinated Ketones (Continued)

| Species | HF | S | CP300 | CP400 | CP500 | CP600 | CP800 | CP1000 | CP1500 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CF3C(=O)CF | -237.15 | 88.12 | 27.33 | 32.30 | 36.36 | 39.56 | 44.08 | 47.01 | 50.96 |
|  |  | 8.35 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
|  |  | 8.00 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |
|  | -237.15 | 104.47 | 29.32 | 34.29 | 38.35 | 41.55 | 46.07 | 49.00 | 52.94 |
| CF3C(=O)CF2 | -285.95 | 90.49 | 29.64 | 34.76 | 38.81 | 41.92 | 46.15 | 48.75 | 52.04 |
|  |  | 8.54 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.98 |
|  |  | 8.78 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.93 |
|  | -285.95 | 107.80 | 31.63 | 36.75 | 40.80 | 43.91 | 48.14 | 50.73 | 53.95 |
| CF3C(=O)CF3 | -340.38 | 90.61 | 32.35 | 37.68 | 41.69 | 44.65 | 48.49 | 50.70 | 53.25 |
|  |  | 8.82 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.92 |
|  |  | 8.82 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.98 | 0.92 |
|  | -340.38 | 108.25 | 34.34 | 39.67 | 43.68 | 46.64 | 50.47 | 52.67 | 55.09 |
| $\mathrm{CjFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ | -57.47 | 73.55 | 20.48 | 24.46 | 27.88 | 30.65 | 34.58 | 37.06 | 40.24 |
|  |  | 5.747 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 |
|  |  | 7.4400 | 0.9940 | 0.9938 | 0.9937 | 0.9937 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 |
| $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Cj}$ | $-50.32$ | 71.37 | 20.01 | 24.31 | 27.94 | 30.9 | 35.33 | 38.46 | 43.17 |
|  |  | 5.1754 | 0.9938 | 0.9937 | 0.9937 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 |
|  |  | 7.5280 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 |
|  | -50.32 | 84.07 | 22.00 | 26.30 | 29.93 | 32.89 | 37.32 | 40.45 | 45.16 |
| $\mathrm{CjFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}$ | -97.21 | 76.51 | 21.98 | 26.19 | 29.78 | 32.71 | 37 | 39.93 | 44.16 |
|  |  | 7.7115 | 0.9938 | 0.9937 | 0.9937 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 |
|  |  | 7.7458 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 |
|  | -97.21 | 91.97 | 23.97 | 28.18 | 31.77 | 34.70 | 38.99 | 41.92 | 46.15 |

Table C. 3 TVR Calculations for Fluorinated Ketones (Continued)

| Species | HF | S | CP300 | CP400 | CP500 | CP600 | CP800 | CP1000 | CP1500 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CjF2C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ | -104.21 | 80.65 | 22.97 | 26.82 | 30.16 | 32.93 | 37.1 | 40 | 44.2 |
|  |  | 7.777 | 0.9938 | 0.9937 | 0.9937 | 0.9937 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 |
|  |  | 5.7505 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 |
|  | -104.21 | 94.18 | 24.96 | 28.81 | 32.15 | 34.92 | 39.09 | 41.99 | 46.19 |
| CF2C(=O)Cj | -100.55 | 77.1 | 22.32 | 26.76 | 30.39 | 33.26 | 37.4 | 40.2 | 44.27 |
|  |  | 8.7171 | 0.995 | 0.9944 | 0.9941 | 0.9939 | 0.9932 | 0.9895 | 0.9475 |
|  |  | 8.7178 | 0.9938 | 0.9937 | 0.9937 | 0.9936 | 0.993 | 0.9894 | 0.9475 |
|  | -100.55 | 94.53 | 24.31 | 28.75 | 32.38 | 35.25 | 39.39 | 42.18 | 46.17 |
| CF2C(=O)CjF | -147.18 | 76.46 | 22.28 | 26.64 | 30.23 | 33.07 | 37.08 | 39.7 | 43.28 |
|  |  | 7.7541 | 0.994 | 0.9938 | 0.9937 | 0.9937 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 |
|  |  | 8.1268 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9927 |
|  | -147.18 | 92.34 | 24.27 | 28.63 | 32.22 | 35.06 | 39.07 | 41.69 | 45.27 |
| CjF2C(=O)CF | -142.87 | 82.15 | 24.45 | 28.55 | 32.02 | 34.83 | 38.89 | 41.56 | 45.23 |
|  |  | 7.1685 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 |
|  |  | 8.1557 | 0.9939 | 0.9938 | 0.9937 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9925 |
|  | -142.87 | 97.47 | 26.44 | 30.54 | 34.01 | 36.82 | 40.88 | 43.55 | 47.22 |
| CjF2C(=O)CF2 | -142.87 | 82.15 | 24.45 | 28.55 | 32.02 | 34.83 | 38.89 | 41.56 | 45.23 |
|  |  | 8.2354 | 0.994 | 0.9938 | 0.9937 | 0.9937 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9913 |
|  |  | 8.4438 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9932 | 0.9832 |
|  | -142.87 | 98.83 | 26.44 | 30.54 | 34.01 | 36.82 | 40.88 | 43.55 | 47.20 |
| CjF2C(=O)CF3 | -249.56 | 89.23 | 29.43 | 33.93 | 37.36 | 39.94 | 43.32 | 45.29 | 47.56 |
|  |  | 9.0234 | 0.9940 | 0.9938 | 0.9936 | 0.9929 | 0.9860 | 0.9649 | 0.8485 |
|  |  | 8.6967 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9900 | 0.9518 |
|  | -249.56 | 106.95 | 31.42 | 35.92 | 39.35 | 41.93 | 45.30 | 47.24 | 49.36 |

Table C. 3 TVR Calculations for Fluorinated Ketones (Continued)

| Species | HF | S | CP300 | CP400 | CP500 | CP600 | CP800 | CP1000 | CP1500 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CF3C(=O)Cj | -156.52 | 80.99 | 25.03 | 29.69 | 33.27 | 35.99 | 39.74 | 42.16 | 45.48 |
|  |  | 7.9904 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9934 |
|  |  | 5.1786 | 0.9938 | 0.9937 | 0.9937 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 |
|  | -156.52 | 94.16 | 27.02 | 31.68 | 35.26 | 37.98 | 41.73 | 44.15 | 47.47 |
| CF3C(=O)CjF | -202.37 | 86.44 | 26.92 | 31.52 | 35.08 | 37.79 | 41.42 | 43.64 | 46.48 |
|  |  | 8.3126 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9936 | 0.9935 | 0.9894 |
|  |  | 8.3124 | 0.994 | 0.9938 | 0.9937 | 0.9937 | 0.9936 | 0.9935 | 0.9895 |
|  | -202.37 | 103.07 | 28.91 | 33.51 | 37.07 | 39.78 | 43.41 | 45.63 | 48.46 |

## Table C. 4 Isodesmic Reactions Calculations for Fluorinated Ketones

| $\mathbf{C F C}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mo62x | m06 | wb97x | b3plyp | CBS-APNO | CBS-4M | CBS-QB3 | G-2 | G-3 | G-4 | w1U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CFC( $=0$ ) C | + | CH4 | $=$ | $\mathrm{CC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | + | CH3F | -93.73 | -93.94 | -93.86 | -93.51 | -94.02 | -96.22 | -94.66 | -94.53 | -94.51 | -95.11 | -94.19 |
|  | CFC( $=0$ ) C | + | CC | = | $\mathrm{CC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | + | CFC | -94.23 | -94.11 | -94.07 | -93.95 | -94.65 | -96.32 | -94.47 | -95.13 | -95.13 | -95.34 | -94.45 |
|  | $\operatorname{CFC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | + | $\mathrm{CC}(=0)$ | = | $\mathrm{CC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | + | $\operatorname{CFC}(=0)$ | -92.24 | -92.25 | -92.18 | -92.23 | -92.19 | -93.36 | -92.24 | -92.01 | -92.02 | -92.67 | -92.39 |
|  | $\operatorname{CFC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | + | $\operatorname{CCC}(=0)$ | = | $\mathrm{CC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | + | $\operatorname{CFCC}(=0)$ | -96.00 | -95.97 | -95.97 | -95.87 | -95.92 | -96.56 | -95.96 | -96.10 | -96.10 | -96.76 | -96.16 |
| $\mathbf{C F C}(=0) \mathrm{CF}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}$ | + | CH4 | = | $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ | + | CH3F | -133.26 | $-132.72$ | -132.35 | -132.31 | -132.65 | -133.96 | -133.97 | -133.30 | -133.27 | $-134.83$ | -133.40 |
|  | $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}$ | + | CC | = | $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ | + | CFC | -133.75 | -132.89 | -132.56 | -132.74 | -133.28 | -134.05 | -133.78 | -133.89 | -133.89 | -135.06 | -133.66 |
|  | $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}$ | + | $\mathrm{CC}(=0)$ | = | $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ | + | $\operatorname{CFC}(=0)$ | -131.77 | -131.03 | -130.67 | -131.02 | -130.83 | -131.09 | -131.55 | -130.77 | -130.78 | $-132.38$ | -131.60 |
|  | $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CF}$ | + | $\operatorname{CCC}(=0)$ | = | $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ | + | $\operatorname{CFCC}(=0)$ | -135.52 | -134.76 | -134.46 | -134.66 | -134.55 | -134.30 | -135.28 | -134.86 | -134.86 | -136.48 | -135.37 |
| CF2C $(=0) \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CF2C(=O)C | + | CFCC | = | $\operatorname{CFC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | + | CCCF2 | -145.08 | -144.58 | -144.43 | -144.69 | -144.38 | -143.08 | -144.87 | -144.74 | -144.73 | -145.34 | -144.87 |
|  | CF2C(=O)C | + | CFC | = | $\mathrm{CFC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | + | CF2C | -144.89 | -144.54 | -144.25 | -144.50 | -144.19 | -143.51 | -144.65 | -144.62 | -144.61 | -145.22 | -144.66 |
|  | CF2C( $=0$ ) C | + | CH3F | $=$ | $\mathrm{CFC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ | + | CH2F2 | -145.24 | -145.01 | -144.40 | -144.43 | -144.08 | -145.03 | -144.67 | -144.89 | -144.89 | -145.51 | -144.47 |
|  | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | + | $\operatorname{CFC}(=0)$ | = | CFC( $=0$ ) C | + | CF2C( $=0$ ) | -145.33 | -145.27 | -144.61 | -144.61 | -144.51 | -145.44 | -144.41 | -144.66 | -144.65 | -144.61 | -144.50 |
|  | CF2C(=0)C | + | $\operatorname{CFCF}(=0)$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CFC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | + | CF2CF(=O) | -145.04 | -144.89 | -144.28 | -144.45 | -144.34 | -145.96 | -144.37 | -143.78 | -143.78 | -143.57 | -144.26 |
| CF2C(=0) CF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CF2C(=0) CF | + | CFCC | = | $\mathrm{CFC}(=0) \mathrm{CF}$ | + | CCCF2 | -181.43 | -181.89 | -182.19 | -182.45 | -182.08 | -180.34 | -182.71 | -182.38 | -182.37 | -183.23 | -182.71 |
|  | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{C}=0) \mathrm{CF}$ | + | CFC | = | $\operatorname{CFC}(=0) \mathrm{CF}$ | + | CF2C | -181.24 | -181.85 | -182.01 | -182.26 | -181.89 | -180.77 | -182.50 | -182.26 | -182.25 | -183.11 | -182.50 |
|  | CF2C(=0)CF | + | CH3F | = | $\operatorname{CFC}(=0) \mathrm{CF}$ | + | CH2F2 | -181.59 | -182.31 | -182.16 | -182.19 | -181.78 | -182.30 | -182.52 | -182.53 | -182.52 | -183.39 | -182.31 |
|  | CF2C( $=0$ ) CF | + | $\mathrm{CFC}(=0)$ | = | $\operatorname{CFC}(=0) \mathrm{CF}$ | + | CF2C( $=0$ ) | -181.68 | -182.58 | -182.37 | -182.37 | -182.21 | -182.70 | -182.25 | -182.31 | -182.29 | -182.49 | -182.34 |
|  | CF2C(=0)CF | + | $\operatorname{CFCF}(=0)$ | = | $\mathrm{CFC}(=0) \mathrm{CF}$ | + | CF2CF(=O) | -181.39 | -182.20 | -182.04 | -182.21 | -182.04 | -183.22 | -182.22 | -181.43 | -181.42 | -181.46 | -182.10 |

## Table C. 4 Isodesmic Reactions Calculations for Fluorinated Ketones (Continued)

| CF2C( $=0$ ) CF 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mo62x | m06 | wb97x | b3plyp | CBS-APNO | CBS-4M | CBS-QB3 | G-2 | G-3 | G-4 | W1U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CF2C( $=0$ ) CF 2 | + | CFCC | = | CF2C( $=0$ ) CF | + | CCCF2 | -229.43 | -230.12 | -229.45 | -229.50 | -230.02 | -228.73 | -230.23 | -231.34 | -231.35 | -231.62 | -229.99 |
|  | CF2C(=0)CF2 | + | CFC | = | CF2C(=O)CF | + | CF2C | -229.24 | -230.07 | -229.26 | -229.31 | -229.84 | -229.16 | -230.01 | -231.23 | -231.23 | -231.50 | -229.78 |
|  | CF2C( $=0$ ) CF 2 | + | CH3F | = | CF2C( $=0$ ) CF | + | CH2F2 | -229.59 | -230.54 | -229.42 | -229.23 | -229.72 | -230.69 | -230.04 | -231.50 | -231.50 | -231.78 | -229.59 |
|  | CF2C ( $=0$ ) CF2 | + | $\operatorname{CFC}(=0)$ | = | CF2C( $=0$ ) CF | + | CF2C( $=0$ ) | -229.68 | -230.81 | -229.63 | -229.42 | -230.16 | -231.09 | -229.77 | -231.27 | -231.27 | -230.89 | -229.62 |
|  | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=0) \mathrm{CF} 2$ | + | CFCF $(=0)$ | = | CF2C(=O)CF | + | CF2CF( $=0$ ) | -229.40 | -230.42 | -229.30 | -229.26 | -229.99 | -231.61 | -229.74 | -230.39 | -230.39 | -229.85 | -229.38 |
| CF3C( $=0$ ) C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CF3C(=O) C | + | CH2F2 | $=$ | CF2C( $=0$ ) C | + | CHF3 | -199.93 | -200.08 | -200.04 | -199.96 | -199.58 | -200.89 | -200.04 | -199.70 | -199.69 | -200.98 | -200.10 |
|  | CF3C(=O) C | + | CF2C( $=0$ ) | $=$ | CF2C( $=0$ ) C | + | CF3C( $=0$ ) | -199.64 | -199.75 | -200.14 | -200.18 | -200.10 | -200.69 | -200.10 | -200.08 | -200.08 | -200.15 | -200.14 |
|  | CF3C( $=0$ ) C | + | CF2C | $=$ | CF2CC( $=0$ ) | + | CF3C | -199.74 | -199.92 | -200.13 | -200.31 | -199.72 | -199.23 | -200.57 | -199.82 | -199.81 | -201.17 | -200.66 |
| CF3C( $=\mathbf{O}$ ) CF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CF3C(=O)CF | + | CH4 | = | CF3C( $=0$ ) C | + | CH3F | -237.02 | -236.77 | -236.35 | -236.30 | -236.36 | -236.72 | -238.08 | -237.55 | -236.94 | -239.03 | -237.57 |
|  | CF3C( $=0$ ) CF | + | CC | = | CF3C( $=0$ ) C | + | CFC | -237.52 | -236.94 | -236.56 | -236.73 | -237.00 | -236.82 | -237.90 | -238.15 | -237.56 | -239.26 | -237.82 |
|  | CF3C( $=0$ ) CF | + | $\mathrm{CC}(=0)$ | = | CF3C( $=0$ ) C | + | $\mathrm{CFC}(=0)$ | -235.53 | -235.08 | -234.66 | -235.01 | -234.54 | -233.86 | -235.66 | -235.03 | -234.44 | -236.58 | -235.76 |
|  | CF3C( $=0$ ) CF | + | $\operatorname{CCC}(=0)$ | = | CF3C( $=0$ ) C | + | CFCC( $=0$ ) | -239.29 | -238.81 | -238.45 | -238.65 | -238.26 | -237.06 | -239.39 | -239.12 | -238.53 | -240.68 | -239.54 |
| CF3C( $=0$ ) CF2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CF3C( $=0$ ) CF2 | + | CFCC | = | CF2C( $=0$ ) CF 2 | + | CCCF2 | -285.44 | -284.60 | -285.03 | -284.33 | -285.46 | -287.07 | -286.06 | -287.01 | -287.01 | -288.13 | - |
|  | CF3C( $=0$ ) CF 2 | + | CFC | = | $\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=0) \mathrm{CF} 2$ | + | CF2C | -285.26 | -284.55 | -284.85 | -284.14 | -285.28 | -287.50 | -285.84 | -286.90 | -286.89 | -288.01 | - |
|  | CF3C(=O)CF2 |  | CH3F | = | CF2C( $=0$ ) CF 2 | + | CH2F2 | -285.61 | -285.02 | -285.00 | -284.07 | -285.16 | -289.02 | -285.87 | -287.17 | -287.17 | -288.30 | - |
|  | CF3C(=O)CF2 |  | CFC( $=0$ ) | = | CF2C(=0)CF2 | + | CF2C( $=0$ ) | -285.70 | -285.29 | -285.21 | -284.25 | -285.59 | -289.43 | -285.60 | -286.94 | -286.93 | -287.40 | - |
|  | CF3C( $=0$ ) CF 2 | + | $\operatorname{CFCF}(=0)$ | = | CF2C( $=0$ ) CF 2 | + | CF2CF( $=0$ ) | -285.41 | -284.91 | -284.88 | -284.09 | -285.43 | -289.95 | -285.57 | -286.06 | -286.06 | -286.36 | - |

## Table C. 4 Isodesmic Reactions Calculations for Fluorinated Ketones (Continued)



Table C. 4 Isodesmic Reactions Calculations for Fluorinated Ketones (Continued)
CJF2C $=\mathbf{O}) \mathbf{C}$
CF2C(=0)CJF
CJF2C $=0) \mathrm{CF}$

| mo62x | m06 | wb97x | b3plyp | CBS- |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| APNO | CBS-4M | CBS- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| QB3 | G-2 | G-3 | G-4 | W1U |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -102.73 | -104.49 | -103.01 | -104.01 | -101.07 | -109.02 | -105.57 | -101.11 | -101.11 | -107.05 | -105.77 |
| -101.13 | -102.91 | -101.14 | -101.95 | -100.60 | -109.80 | -103.86 | -100.85 | -100.85 | -105.28 | -103.75 |
| -105.87 | -107.65 | -105.88 | -106.70 | -105.04 | -114.71 | -108.62 | -105.09 | -105.08 | -109.68 | -108.47 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -146.25 | -147.20 | -146.96 | -148.12 | -145.93 | -152.58 | -146.73 | -146.41 | -146.98 | -147.90 | -147.67 |
| -145.42 | -145.66 | -145.94 | -146.81 | -145.89 | -152.80 | -145.92 | -146.03 | -146.60 | -146.76 | -146.52 |
| -144.14 | -144.61 | -144.51 | -145.14 | -145.50 | -152.52 | -144.61 | -145.58 | -146.15 | -145.87 | -145.22 |
| -147.65 | -148.21 | -147.94 | -148.62 | -148.57 | -156.24 | -147.97 | -148.56 | -149.13 | -148.87 | -148.51 |
| -148.62 | -149.24 | -148.81 | -149.47 | -149.40 | -157.41 | -148.70 | -149.23 | -149.80 | -149.46 | -149.26 |


| CJF2C( $=0$ ) CF | + | CF2C | = | $\underset{\mathrm{F}}{\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}}$ | + | CJF2C | -142.24 | -143.54 | -141.85 | -142.80 | -139.95 | -148.55 | -143.77 | -140.34 | -140.34 | -145.28 | -143.74 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CJF2C( $=0$ ) CF | + | CF2CF | = | $\underset{\mathrm{F}}{\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}}$ | + | CJF2CF | -140.64 | -141.96 | -139.99 | -140.75 | -139.49 | -149.33 | -142.06 | -140.08 | -140.08 | -143.50 | -141.72 |
| CJF2C $=0$ ) CF | + | CF2CF2 | = | $\underset{\mathrm{F}}{\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}}$ | + | $\mathrm{CJF}_{2}^{\mathrm{CJF}}$ | -145.38 | -146.70 | -144.72 | -145.49 | -143.93 | -154.24 | -146.82 | -144.32 | -144.31 | -147.90 | -146.43 |
| CJF2C( $=0$ ) CF | + |  | $=$ | $\underset{\mathrm{F}}{\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}}$ | + | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CF} 3 \mathrm{CJF} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | -142.44 | $-143.88$ | -141.68 | -142.51 | -140.60 | $-150.88$ | -143.51 | -140.82 | -140.82 | -144.93 | -143.40 |

CJF2C( $=0$ )CF2

| CJF2C(=0)CF2 | + | CF2C | = | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C} \\ \mathrm{~F} 2 \end{gathered}$ | + | CJF2C | -193.70 | -194.44 | -193.41 | -194.52 | -190.93 | -200.03 | -195.02 | -190.64 | -190.64 | -195.92 | -195.34 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CJF2C(=O)CF2 | + | CF2CF | = | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C} \\ \mathrm{~F} 2 \end{gathered}$ | + | CJF2CF | -192.10 | -192.86 | -191.55 | -192.46 | -190.46 | -200.81 | -193.32 | -190.38 | -190.38 | -194.15 | -193.32 |
| CJF2C(=O)CF2 | + | CF2CF2 | $=$ | $\underset{\mathrm{F} 2}{\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}}$ | + | $\underset{2}{\mathrm{CJF} 2 \mathrm{CF}}$ | -196.84 | -197.60 | -196.28 | -197.21 | -194.90 | -205.72 | -198.08 | -194.62 | -194.61 | -198.55 | -198.03 |
| CJF2C(=O)CF2 | + | CF3CF2 | $=$ | $\underset{\mathrm{F} 2}{\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}}$ | + | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CF} 3 \mathrm{CJF} \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | -193.89 | -194.78 | -193.23 | -194.22 | -191.57 | -202.36 | -194.76 | -191.12 | -191.12 | -195.58 | -195.01 |

Table C. 4 Isodesmic Reactions Calculations for Fluorinated Ketones (Continued)

| CF3C( $=0$ ) CJ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | mo62x | m06 | wb97x | b3plyp | CBS-APNO | CBS-4M | CBS-QB3 | G-2 | G-3 | G-4 | W1U |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CF3C(=O)CJ | + | CH4 | = | CF3C( $=0$ ) C | + | CH3J | -157.40 | -157.21 | -157.35 | -158.22 | -157.79 | -165.19 | -158.07 | -159.53 | -159.51 | -159.16 | -158.08 |
|  | CF3C(=O)CJ | + | CC | = | CF3C( $=0$ ) C | + | CJC | -157.71 | -155.98 | -156.95 | -157.70 | -159.44 | -166.98 | -157.45 | -160.99 | -160.99 | -158.53 | -157.38 |
|  | CF3C( $=0$ ) CJ | + | CCC | = | CF3C( $=0$ ) C | + | CJCC | -153.84 | -152.96 | -153.30 | -153.87 | -155.62 | -163.31 | -153.63 | -157.22 | -157.22 | -154.71 | -153.55 |
|  | CF3C( $=0$ ) CJ | + | CCCC | = | CF3C( $=0$ ) C | + | CJCCC | -158.30 | -157.11 | -156.71 | -157.88 | -159.62 | -167.27 | -157.65 | -161.21 | -161.21 | -158.72 | -157.58 |
|  | CF3C( $=0$ ) CJ | + | C3C | = | CF3C( $=0$ ) C | + | CJ3C | -153.09 | -150.41 | -151.54 | -151.26 | -155.70 | -164.64 | -150.83 | -156.88 | -156.87 | -151.54 | -150.75 |
| CF3C( $=0$ ) CJF |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CF3C( $=0$ ) CJF | + | CH3F | $=$ | CF3C( $=0$ ) CF | + | CJH2F | -200.82 | -202.94 | -202.14 | -202.79 | -201.23 | -209.16 | -202.12 | -201.98 | -202.57 | -203.02 | -202.43 |
|  | CF3C( $=0$ ) CJF | + | CFC | $=$ | CF3C( $=0$ ) CF | + | CJFC | -200.00 | -201.40 | -201.12 | -201.47 | -201.19 | -209.38 | -201.31 | -201.60 | -202.19 | -201.89 | -201.29 |
|  | CF3C( $=0$ ) CJF | + | CFCF | $=$ | CF3C( $=0$ ) CF | + | CJFCF | -198.72 | -200.35 | -199.69 | -199.80 | -200.80 | -209.10 | -200.00 | -201.15 | -201.74 | -200.99 | -199.99 |
|  | CF3C( $=0$ ) CJF | + | CF2CF | = | CF3C( $=0$ ) CF | + | CF2CJF | -202.22 | -203.95 | -203.13 | -203.29 | -203.87 | -212.82 | -203.37 | -204.12 | -204.71 | -204.00 | -203.27 |
|  | CF3C( $=0$ ) CJF | + | CF3CF | = | CF3C( $=0$ ) CF | + | CF3CJF | -203.20 | -204.98 | -203.99 | -204.13 | -204.70 | -213.99 | -204.09 | -204.80 | -205.39 | -204.58 | -204.03 |
| CF3C( $=0$ ) CJF2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CF3C(=0)CJF2 | + | CF2C | $=$ | $\mathrm{CF3C}(=0) \mathrm{CF} 2$ | + | CJF2C | -249.16 | -251.21 | -248.80 | -249.95 | -246.84 | -256.57 | -250.63 | -246.58 | -246.58 | -251.56 | - |
|  | CF3C(=0)CJF2 | + | CF2CF | $=$ | CF3C( $=0$ ) CF2 | + | CJF2CF | -247.56 | -249.62 | -246.94 | -247.89 | -246.38 | -257.35 | -248.93 | -246.32 | -246.32 | -249.78 | - |
|  | CF3C(=0)CJF2 | + | CF2CF2 | $=$ | CF3C(=0)CF2 | + | CJF2CF2 | -252.31 | -254.37 | -251.68 | -252.63 | -250.82 | -262.26 | -253.69 | -250.55 | -250.55 | -254.18 | - |
|  | CF3C(=0)CJF2 | + | CF3CF2 | = | CF3C(=O)CF2 | + | CF3CJF2 | -249.36 | -251.54 | -248.63 | -249.65 | -247.49 | -258.90 | -250.37 | -247.06 | -247.05 | -251.21 | - |

## APPENDIX D <br> THERMOCHEMISTRY AND BOND DISSOCIATION

## ENERGIES OF ETHYL OXIRANE

This appendix contains the optimized geometries with corresponding Gaussian atom numbering and symmetry values in parenthesis, moments of inertia, vibrational frequencies, internal rotor potential energy graphs, entropies, and heat capacities for all of the parent and radical species from B3-LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory.

Table D. 1 Moments of Inertia for Oxirane Species in System

| Species | Moments of Inertia (GHZ) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | 13.0111682 | 3.2945262 | 2.9665353 |
| $\mathrm{CjC}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | 14.17499 | 3.44999 | 3.08457 |
| $\mathrm{CCj}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | 16.68148 | 2.88013 | 2.78015 |
| $\mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CjOC})$ | 13.5028031 | 3.3888058 | 2.9361467 |
| $\mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COCj})$ | 12.6814281 | 3.5173282 | 3.1183104 |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{COCj}$ | 27.8267292 | 2.2789230 | 2.1553332 |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CCOj}$ | 21.9829069 | 2.1641201 | 2.1038578 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | 17.4415442 | 3.1140827 | 3.0250335 |

Table D. 2 Vibrational Frequencies for Oxirane Species in Sysems

| CC-Y(COC) | 110.8112 430.5874 869.7330 1050.0659 1226.4373 1296.8269 1462.4078 1598.7422 1674.2738 3198.8300 3246.9621 | 235.2328 512.6687 954.9749 1105.7894 1276.3873 1400.6835 1535.4441 1614.3930 3158.9794 3222.3664 3259.1281 | 260.1972 816.6654 1018.0076 1159.9441 1286.1495 1402.2654 1582.9908 1624.2471 3164.9978 3236.8426 3334.1327 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CjC-Y(COC) | 419.2436 <br> 802.8913 <br> 1037.7743 <br> 1176.8583 <br> 1292.0468 <br> 1458.8841 <br> 1591.3579 <br> 3182.1435 <br> 3269.9416 | 176.5104 488.6770 925.8862 1092.2775 1222.7165 1346.1790 1568.3323 1672.8830 3248.4996 3335.6992 | 257.3810 537.5740 956.7270 1154.7527 1285.7684 1401.8718 1577.7373 3129.0842 3266.9758 3373.2913 |
| CCj-Y(COC) |  | 71.6105 445.5235 834.0550 989.0712 1149.8831 1289.7213 1474.4518 1534.9531 3112.3602 3207.8696 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 276.4114 \\ 568.3778 \\ 960.0671 \\ 1090.2888 \\ 1166.6918 \\ 1326.2634 \\ 1480.6311 \\ 3017.9646 \\ 3135.9487 \\ 3224.9955 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CjOC})$ |  | 223.5250 499.2954 976.3062 1112.2562 1243.8189 1454.0393 1592.9084 1676.5780 3202.3584 3248.7883 | 237.4040 797.8391 1038.3290 1186.8901 1300.5993 1535.8950 1612.6267 3131.3272 3230.9050 3339.3769 |
| CC-Y(COCj) | 430.6290 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 235.8082 \\ 515.2271 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 265.4406 \\ 809.5347 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |


|  | 888.5144 | 930.8156 | 1009.5102 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1042.7635 | 1119.3247 | 1166.9141 |
|  | 1203.7127 | 1254.3050 | 1288.5702 |
|  | 1394.8614 | 1416.0511 | 1467.8266 |
|  | 1536.2551 | 1595.8209 | 1609.0112 |
|  | 1615.5258 | 1630.4497 | 3161.1384 |
|  | 3167.4918 | 3202.5093 | 3225.6180 |
|  | 3238.0802 | 3274.8824 | 3305.6461 |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{COCj}$ |  | 211.0989 | 219.5815 |
|  | 227.8022 | 331.7962 | 482.1804 |
|  | 538.5235 | 787.9732 | 912.5904 |
|  | 994.9151 | 1059.5813 | 1119.9580 |
|  | 1164.2014 | 1215.3677 | 1294.2893 |
|  | 1385.9243 | 1414.0907 | 1465.3703 |
|  | 1543.8787 | 1596.2888 | 1596.7774 |
|  | 1615.2729 | 1855.1923 | 3156.1814 |
|  | 3202.7809 | 3226.0514 | 3253.1457 |
|  | 3310.0007 | 3315.0202 | 3397.4738 |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CCOj}$ |  | 194.7952 | ${ }_{5}^{218.8779}$ |
|  | 291.3974 | 460.6633 | 527.5359 |
|  | 837.4489 | 972.9014 | 1015.2076 |
|  | 1098.2441 | 1109.9162 | 1160.6297 |
|  | 1172.1105 | 1201.8109 | 1307.4458 |
|  | 1399.7272 | 1429.0529 | 1494.6454 |
|  | 1538.1779 | 1565.1835 | 1597.5969 |
|  | 1607.9995 | 1858.2265 | 3122.1385 |
|  | 3158.1195 | 3187.3758 | 3205.3267 |
|  | 3236.6812 | 3271.3141 | 3290.4158 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | 4992196 | 310.1323 | 368.4237 882.1273 |
|  | 961.0939 | 1044.6025 | 1065.5371 |
|  | 1071.7408 | 1126.4820 | 1199.7247 |
|  | 1277.1192 | 1280.6744 | 1304.0729 |
|  | 1390.5977 | 1413.5818 | 1498.8257 |
|  | 1594.8997 | 1663.9253 | 1857.0418 |
|  | 3247.7545 | 3279.4579 | 3286.9297 |
|  | 3307.4706 | 3336.8722 | 3367.7270 |
|  | 1616.2161 | 1671.3295 | 3179.8927 |
|  | 3228.5695 | 3249.6311 | 3262.8530 |
|  | 3266.2928 | 3360.1423 | 4096.8174 |

Figure D. 1 Potential Energy Profiles of Species in Oxirane System


Figure D. 1 Potential Energy Profiles of Species in Oxirane System (Continued)
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Table D. 3 Thermochemical Data for Oxirane Species in Sysems

| SPECIES | $\mathbf{H F}$ | $\mathbf{S}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{4 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{5 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{6 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{8 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{1 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{P}} \mathbf{1 5 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCJYCOC | 18.34 | 71 | 19.09 | 24.43 | 29.52 | 33.94 | 40.9 | 46.04 | 54.06 |
| TCY4O | 80.54 | 70.43 | 19.46 | 25.19 | 30.56 | 35.15 | 42.22 | 47.28 | 54.94 |
| CJCYCOC | 21.65 | 70.1 | 19.2 | 24.69 | 29.81 | 34.23 | 41.12 | 46.19 | 54.12 |
| TS3 | 58.71 | 77.86 | 21.53 | 26.89 | 31.78 | 35.91 | 42.28 | 46.97 | 54.43 |
| C*C | 12.54 | 52.39 | 10.46 | 12.87 | 15 | 16.88 | 19.99 | 22.38 | 26.16 |
| H | 52.1 | 27.39 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.97 |
| CJ*CC | 63.76 | 65.21 | 15.26 | 18.51 | 21.36 | 23.85 | 27.92 | 31.01 | 35.82 |
| CH2O | -28 | 52.33 | 8.47 | 9.48 | 10.49 | 11.48 | 13.29 | 14.78 | 16.96 |
| YCOCJ | 40 | 60.4 | 11.2 | 14.2 | 16.7 | 18.8 | 21.8 | 24 | 27.3 |
| TCY3YCOC | 83.09 | 73.8 | 20.44 | 25.68 | 30.68 | 35.05 | 41.95 | 46.99 | 54.74 |
| CCYCJOC | 23.57 | 69.48 | 18.2 | 23.51 | 28.64 | 33.16 | 40.34 | 45.64 | 53.88 |
| TY3YCOC | 71.45 | 72.2 | 20.26 | 25.86 | 31.01 | 35.4 | 42.19 | 47.12 | 54.73 |
| TSX | 57.66 | 73.45 | 21.79 | 27.5 | 32.63 | 36.93 | 43.44 | 48.11 | 55.28 |
| CDCYCOC | 2.09 | 69.52 | 18.39 | 23.61 | 28.5 | 32.69 | 39.18 | 43.89 | 51.17 |
| CCDCOCJ | -12.54 | 72.33 | 20.79 | 25.76 | 30.47 | 34.6 | 41.22 | 46.19 | 54.07 |
| CCDCCOJ | 16.13 | 71.16 | 19.18 | 24.3 | 29.26 | 33.64 | 40.64 | 45.86 | 54 |
| TS2 | 48.25 | 80.56 | 22.98 | 27.72 | 32.18 | 36.07 | 42.3 | 47.01 | 54.54 |
| CCYCOCJ | 25.06 | 68.99 | 18.09 | 23.55 | 28.77 | 33.32 | 40.49 | 45.77 | 53.95 |
| TSD | 30.52 | 73.23 | 21.17 | 26.4 | 31.21 | 35.34 | 41.85 | 46.69 | 54.36 |
| TS1 | 22.75 | 82.39 | 23.75 | 28.49 | 32.88 | 36.68 | 42.74 | 47.32 | 54.68 |
| TSP | 23.08 | 73.52 | 20.18 | 25.21 | 30.08 | 34.35 | 41.15 | 46.2 | 54.14 |

Table D. 4 Isodesmic Reactions Calculations for Oxirane Species in Sysems

$\xrightarrow[\mathrm{CCj}^{2} \mathrm{YCOC}]{=}$

| CCjYCOC | + | CCCOH | $=$ | CCYCOC | $+$ | CCjCOH | 19.4 | 18.4 | 17.9 | 18.6 | 18.4 | 18.1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCjYCOC | + | CCCC | $=$ | CCYCOC | + | CCjCC | 18.9 | 17.8 | 17.1 | 17.7 | 17.7 | 17.3 |
| CCjYCOC | + | CCCQ | $=$ | CCYCOC | $+$ | CCjCQ | 20.0 | 19.1 | 18.6 | 20.2 | 19.0 | 18.9 |
| CCjYCOC | + | CCCCOH | $=$ | CCYCOC | $+$ | CCjCCOH | 19.5 | 18.0 | 17.5 | 18.3 | 18.2 | 17.9 |
| CCjYCOC | + | $\mathrm{CCC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | $=$ | CCYCOC | $+$ | $\mathrm{CCjC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | 19.1 | 18.0 | 17.5 | 18.3 | 18.0 | 17.8 |


| CCYCjOC | $+$ | Y(COC) | $=$ | CCYCOC | $+$ | $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COCj})$ | 23.0 | 23.1 | 22.9 | 23.2 | 23.2 | 23.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCYCjOC | + | $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CCC})$ | = | CCYCOC | + | $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CjCC})$ | 25.2 | 25.6 | 22.4 | 23.8 | 23.0 | 22.6 |
| CCYCjOC | + | $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | $=$ | CCYCOC | + | $\mathrm{CCj}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | 23.9 | 25.5 | 24.0 | 23.9 | 23.9 | 23.3 |
| CCYCjOC | $+$ | $\mathrm{CY}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{c}$ | $=$ | CCYCOC | $+$ | c-y(cjoc)-c | 23.2 | 23.2 | 23.4 | 23.4 | 23.3 | 23.4 |
| CCYCOCj |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCYCOCj | + | Y(COC) | $=$ | CCYCOC | + | $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COCj})$ | 24.7 | 24.6 | 24.5 | 24.6 | 24.5 | 24.5 |
| CCYCOCj | + | $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CCC})$ | $=$ | CCYCOC | + | $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CjCC})$ | 26.9 | 27.1 | 24.1 | 25.2 | 24.4 | 24.1 |
| CCYCOCj | + | $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | $=$ | CCYCOC | + | $\mathrm{CCj}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | 25.6 | 27.0 | 25.7 | 25.3 | 25.2 | 24.8 |
| CCYCOCj | $+$ | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | $=$ | CCYCOC | $+$ | $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COCj})$ | 24.7 | 24.7 | 24.9 | 24.9 | 24.8 | 24.9 |

Table D. 4 Isodesmic Reactions Calculations for Oxirane Species in Sysems (Continued)

| CCDCOC |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\frac{\text { CBS-APNO }}{-59.2}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { CBS- } \\ \hline \mathbf{4 M} \\ \hline-61.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { CBS-QB3 }}{-61.5}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { m062x } \\ \hline-60.4 \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{\text { wb97x }}{-60.4}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { B2- } \\ \text { LYP } \\ \hline-59.7 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCDCOC | $+$ | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{COH}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COC}$ | + | CCDCCOH |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCDCOC | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CQ}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COC}$ | + | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CQ}$ | -57.6 | -58.0 | -57.7 | -58.4 | -58.3 | -57.3 |
| CCDCOC | + | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}_{(\text {(cIS) }}$ | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COC}$ | -58.0 | -58.5 | -57.6 | -57.4 | -57.5 | -57.7 |
| CCDCOC | $+$ | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}_{\text {(TRANS) }}$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COC}$ | -57.5 | -58.0 | -57.4 | -57.4 | -57.5 | -57.4 |
|  |  |  |  | COCj |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCDCOCj | + | COC | $=$ | CCDCOC | + | CjOC | -12.6 | -24.5 | -12.2 | -11.4 | -13.6 | -12.5 |
| CCDCOCj | + | $\mathrm{COC}=\mathrm{O}$ | $=$ | CCDCOC | + | $\mathrm{CjOC}=\mathrm{O}$ | -11.8 | -10.3 | -11.8 | -10.4 | -11.1 | -11.4 |
| CCDCOCj | + | CCOC | $=$ | CCDCOC | + | CCOCj | -12.7 | -11.7 | -12.4 | -11.5 | -11.8 | -12.0 |
| CCDCOCj | $+$ | COCOH | $=$ | CCDCOC | $+$ | CjOCOH | -13.7 | -12.9 | -14.0 | -12.9 | -13.3 | -13.7 |
|  |  |  | DC | COH |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCDCCOH | $+$ | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}_{\text {(TRANS) }}$ | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCOH}$ | -37.8 | -36.8 | -37.6 | -37.8 | -38.1 | -38.6 |
| CCDCCOH | + | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}_{(\mathrm{Clis})}$ | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCOH}$ | -38.3 | -37.2 | -37.7 | -37.8 | -38.1 | -38.8 |
| CCDCCOH | $+$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C}$ | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCOH}$ | -37.8 | -37.0 | -37.6 | -37.9 | -38.1 | -38.7 |
| $\mathrm{CCDCCOH}$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CQ}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCOH}$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CQ}$ | -38.0 | -36.7 | -37.8 | -38.8 | -38.9 | -38.4 |
|  |  |  | CDC | COj |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCDCCOj | + | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | = | CCDCCOH | + | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Oj}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 17.4 | 18.0 | 17.6 | 16.8 | 16.8 | 17.2 |
| CCDCCOj | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCOH}$ | $=$ | CCDCCOH | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCOj}$ | 15.8 | 14.9 | 15.6 | 15.8 | 16.0 | 16.5 |
| CCDCCOj | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | $=$ | CCDCCOH | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Oj}) \mathrm{C}$ | 12.7 | 6.8 | 14.7 | 15.3 | 17.0 | 16.8 |
| CCDCCOj | + | CCCOH | $=$ | CCDCCOH | + | CCCOj | 16.3 | 16.4 | 16.7 | 17.5 | 16.5 | 16.8 |
| CCDCCOj | $+$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COH}$ | $=$ | CCDCCOH | $+$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COj}$ | 13.6 | 7.8 | 14.7 | 15.3 | 16.3 | 16.6 |
|  |  |  | C-Y | (COC) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CDC-Y(COC) | + | CC | $=$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCC}$ | + | $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | 2.6 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 2.1 |
| CDC-Y(COC) | + | C2CC | $=$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCC}$ | + | C2-Y(COC) | 2.1 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 1.9 | 1.8 |
| CDC-Y(COC) | $+$ | CCCC | $=$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCC}$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CY}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{c}$ | 2.5 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 1.9 | 2.6 | 2.4 |

## APPENDIX E

## THERMOCHEMISTRY AND BOND DISSOCIATION

## ENERGIES OF ETHYL OXIRANE PEROXY

This appendix contains the optimized geometries with corresponding Gaussian atom numbering and symmetry values in parenthesis, moments of inertia, vibrational frequencies, internal rotor potential energy graphs, entropies, and heat capacities for all of the parent and radical species from B3-LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory.

Table E. 1 Moments of Inertia for Oxirane Peroxy Species

| Species | Moments of Inertia (GHZ) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCQj-Y(COC) | 3.7361514 | 2.2781436 | 1.5246008 |
| CjCQ-Y(COC) | 3.8030109 | 2.2477164 | 1.5115786 |
| CCQ-Y(CjOC) | 3.3564734 | 2.6929913 | 1.7341016 |
| CCQ-Y(COCj) | 3.7778499 | 2.2196762 | 1.4911877 |
| CCQCjC(=O) | 3.9326900 | 2.2837814 | 1.6824240 |
| CC(=O)C(=O)C | 5.2643487 | 3.3574352 | 2.1032429 |
| CCQC(=O)Cj | 3.3250983 | 2.3625382 | 1.7185302 |
| CC=CC(=O) | 33.4059226 | 2.1946037 | 2.0855514 |
| CYCOCC $(=O)$ | 10.1275202 | 2.0433981 | 1.8635751 |
| CYCOCCj $(=O)$ | 10.4242683 | 2.0801189 | 1.8822209 |
| C=COC=C $(=O)$ | 10.1346543 | 2.0056039 | 1.8347666 |

Table E. 2 Vibrational Frequencies for Oxirane Peroxy Species in Systems

| CCQj-Y(COC) | $\begin{aligned} & 252.0308 \\ & 425.2665 \\ & 792.2911 \\ & 942.1466 \\ & 1128.0558 \\ & 1262.9821 \\ & 1326.6780 \\ & 1569.2532 \\ & 1665.5151 \\ & 3278.7749 \\ & 3328.2664 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 113.7730 258.1029 486.2108 865.0393 1015.7974 1172.1979 1268.7351 1434.3610 1579.8628 1673.0532 3296.6211 3363.5494 | 215.1907 346.4444 588.7636 926.2411 1087.1175 1257.0609 1316.1498 1467.0038 1657.1828 3218.8069 3300.3950 3426.0685 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CjCQ-Y(COC) | $\begin{aligned} & 201.0753 \\ & 358.6331 \\ & 545.9165 \\ & 972.1140 \\ & 1143.4837 \\ & 1242.1965 \\ & 1299.8160 \\ & 1462.2715 \\ & 1596.0792 \\ & 3260.8344 \\ & 3351.6233 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 129.8418 233.4288 427.7075 627.9967 986.2387 1161.4756 1276.1541 1389.5948 1550.7076 1676.5524 3286.2565 3403.3462 | 179.3461 287.0402 462.6581 908.8892 1040.2447 1199.7181 1287.5401 1408.9516 1560.3557 3148.5690 3288.1818 4147.5617 |
| CCQ-Y(CjOC) | 234.0722 440.6789 655.8583 1007.5559 1143.8593 1245.2068 1466.6201 1551.8361 1616.2161 3228.5695 3266.2928 | 172.0244 249.8250 474.3329 784.3633 1030.6720 1195.4688 1274.3065 1489.9765 1599.7956 1671.3295 3249.6311 3360.1423 | 219.4651 339.8774 588.5095 951.7378 1127.8702 1216.4288 1303.6207 1541.9763 1603.5285 3262.8530 4096.8174 |
| CCQ-Y(COCj) | 220.7913 <br> 351.2748 <br> 578.5973 <br> 941.7666 <br> 1125.7460 <br> 1233.1503 <br> 1333.4303 <br> 1491.3999 <br> 1659.5319 <br> 3277.0757 <br> 3362.8090 | 112.4049 250.2338 427.1218 852.0600 988.8554 1167.5447 1255.2690 1568.6874 1667.8750 3298.0892 3397.0969 | 119.5526 267.2641 493.9568 879.0509 1021.8653 1207.8610 1293.6551 1455.5744 1578.2800 3219.4932 3305.5334 3823.2696 |
| $\mathrm{CCQCj}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $\begin{aligned} & 238.9236 \\ & 427.5082 \\ & 650.9091 \\ & 910.4324 \\ & 1080.3812 \\ & 1214.7760 \\ & 1431.9835 \\ & 1557.2925 \\ & 1611.7247 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 206.4622 <br> 273.8891 <br> 460.6362 <br> 711.0600 <br> 987.1818 <br> 1140.6960 <br> 1327.3131 <br> 1505.9697 <br> 1587.6584 <br> 1622.3583 | 236.6117 304.9226 585.4568 807.8089 1033.9337 1191.3241 1406.2224 1532.9811 1605.4568 3176.6153 |


|  | $\begin{array}{r} 3184.3491 \\ 3274.5745 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3191.9886 \\ & 3306.2257 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3245.6575 \\ & 4077.4515 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ |  | 1333.3798 | 133.8399 |
|  | 257.3581 575.6308 | 387.3468 | 393.4461 |
|  | 745.9913 | 984.2527 | 1056.9450 |
|  | 1106.4945 | 1178.9405 | 1228.4084 |
|  | 1409.4483 | 1519.7078 | 1534.0893 |
|  | 1577.7470 | 1578.0434 | 1581.9273 |
|  | 1585.6202 | 1995.3880 | 2020.3598 |
|  | 3187.7597 | 3188.2372 | 3251.2335 |
|  | 3251.4097 | 3288.8905 | 3289.4106 |
|  | 3213.5909 | 3250.6957 | 3263.9843 |
|  | 3306.6930 | 3426.6378 | 4140.4542 |
| CCQC $=0$ ) Cj | 242.9038 | 141.2756 244.5811 | $\begin{gathered} 229.9300 \\ 298.6095 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 317.2642 | 458.5027 | 518.8044 |
|  | 535.4473 | 606.2109 | 674.8440 |
|  | 806.1653 | 839.2636 | 984.4041 |
|  | 1068.7541 | 1121.0545 | 1173.2672 |
|  | 1225.5068 | 1284.7405 | 1356.0919 |
|  | 1446.3897 | 1477.5806 | 1526.7440 |
|  | 1535.6323 | 1555.4846 | 1595.7081 |
|  | 1608.5000 | 1620.6769 | 3179.6556 |
|  | 3213.5909 | 3250.6957 | 3263.9843 |
|  | 3306.6930 | 3426.6378 | 4140.4542 |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  |  |  |
| CYCOCC $=0$ ) | 115.1052 | 214.0021 | 239.3648 |
|  | 276.1813 | 437.8914 | 484.9045 |
|  | 567.2921 1051.6754 | 876.4215 1096.2584 | 950.5856 1125.3755 |
|  | 1193.0939 | 1234.6214 | 1272.9892 |
|  | 1303.5909 | 1361.9336 | 1440.1563 |
|  | 1516.0448 | 1539.7431 | 1594.4330 |
|  | 1605.6254 | 1645.1182 | 2014.4195 |
|  | 3122.5102 | 3173.3806 | 3235.8435 |
|  | 3249.1311 | 3271.0263 | 3315.8558 |
| CYCOCCj(=0) |  | 213.6378 437.2431 | ${ }_{\text {245.5392 }}$ |
|  | $572.4448$ | $889.6240$ | 946.3005 |
|  | 1030.7591 | 1102.5259 | 1142.8625 |
|  | 1167.0808 | 1263.8129 | 1305.4065 |
|  | 1364.7739 | 1444.1925 | 1533.4876 |
|  | 1591.1987 | 1603.7057 | 1635.6178 |
|  | 2132.6177 | 3174.4554 | 3237.6868 |
|  | 3252.2409 | 3287.3098 | 3290.7248 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COC}=\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})$ | 70.6439 314.5946 | 166.5063 592.1534 | 299.7499 599.7539 |
|  | 314.5946 698.5211 | 592.1534 761.6446 | 599.7539 792.8219 |
|  | 994.6979 | 1028.5612 | 1111.8860 |
|  | 1114.2980 | 1250.4581 | 1350.6627 |
|  | 1457.9713 | 1540.9163 | 1561.0956 |
|  | 1838.7782 | 2386.7227 | 3314.6217 |
|  | 3366.1539 | 3375.2446 | 3404.7447 |

Figure E. 1 Potential Energy Profiles of Species in Oxirane Oxidation System


Figure E. 1 Potential Energy Profiles of Species in Oxirane Oxidation System (Continued)

CCQCjC*O Rotors


$$
\longrightarrow d 7-2-1-3 \longrightarrow d 9-6-2-1 \quad \longrightarrow-d 12-9-6-2
$$

CC(=0)C(=0)C Rotors

$\longrightarrow \mathrm{d} 6-2-1-3 \longrightarrow \mathrm{~d} 9-7-2-1 \quad \mathrm{~d} 11-9-7-10$

CCQC(=0)C Rotors


CCQC(=0)Cj Rotors

$\longrightarrow d 4-1-2-3 \longrightarrow d 10-6-2-1 \quad \longrightarrow \quad$ d2-10-6-11

CCQC=COH Rotors


CCQC=COj Rotors


Figure E. 1 Potential Energy Profiles of Species in Oxirane Oxidation System (Continued)


CYCOCCjDO Rotors


CDCOCDCDO Rotors

$\longrightarrow$ d5-1-2-3 $\longrightarrow$ d8-6-5-2

CCOC=C=O Rotors


$$
\longrightarrow \text { d5-1-2-3 } \longrightarrow \text { d9-8-5-1 } \longrightarrow \text { d11-9-8-5 }
$$

CCjOC=C=O Rotors


Table E. 3 Thermochemical Data for Oxirane Peroxy Species in Systems

| Species | $\mathrm{Hf}_{298}$ | $\mathrm{S}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$ | Cr300 | Cr400 | Cr500 | Cr600 | Cr800 | Cr1000 | CP1500 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCQJYCOC | -13.42 | 79.47 | 25.14 | 31.72 | 37.75 | 42.87 | 50.75 | 56.42 | 65.11 |
| CCQYCOCJ | 5.76 | 82.38 | 26.66 | 33.02 | 38.84 | 43.77 | 51.32 | 56.76 | 65.17 |
| CJCQYCOC | 3.39 | 81.79 | 27.09 | 33.47 | 39.26 | 44.16 | 51.61 | 56.96 | 65.23 |
| CCQYCJOC | 2.82 | 78.8 | 25.28 | 31.75 | 37.7 | 42.79 | 50.62 | 56.26 | 64.92 |
| CDCYCOC | 2.09 | 67.01 | 16.55 | 22.05 | 27.43 | 32.28 | 40.47 | 47.11 | 58.66 |
| CCQCDOCJ | -32.9 | 81.05 | 27.69 | 34.05 | 39.69 | 44.44 | 51.75 | 57.04 | 65.28 |
| CCDCDOC | -77.76 | 76.4 | 22.26 | 27.19 | 31.81 | 36.01 | 43.29 | 49.35 | 60.01 |
| CCQCJCDO | -30.92 | 78.7 | 25.97 | 32.52 | 38.41 | 43.41 | 51.09 | 56.63 | 65.15 |
| CYCOCCDO | -50.02 | 74.85 | 22.13 | 27.43 | 32.48 | 36.91 | 43.89 | 48.99 | 56.77 |
| CYCOCCJDO | -10.44 | 72.61 | 19.84 | 24.69 | 29.19 | 33.08 | 39.17 | 43.59 | 50.31 |
| CDCOCDCDO | -12.01 | 75.78 | 21.32 | 25.98 | 29.99 | 33.32 | 38.4 | 42.06 | 47.66 |
| HO2 | 2.94 | 54.76 | 8.35 | 8.89 | 9.46 | 9.99 | 10.77 | 11.38 | 12.48 |
| OH | 8.93 | 43.88 | 7.16 | 7.08 | 7.05 | 7.05 | 7.15 | 7.33 | 7.87 |
| O2 | 0 | 49.01 | 6.98 | 7.23 | 7.46 | 7.68 | 8.04 | 8.33 | 8.73 |
| $\mathrm{C} * \mathrm{C}^{*} \mathrm{O}$ | -11.4 | 59.2 | 12.7 | 14.65 | 16.73 | 17.8 | 19.5 | 20.98 | 23.03 |
| CCDO | -39.6 | 63 | 13 | 15.5 | 18 | 20.2 | 23.8 | 26.6 | 30.9 |
| CJ*C*O | 42.9 | 58.8 | 12 | 13.1 | 13.9 | 14.6 | 15.7 | 16.6 | 17.9 |
| CYCOCJ | 29.77 | 63.17 | 13.7 | 17.62 | 21.37 | 24.64 | 29.76 | 33.52 | 39.36 |
| TCY6O | 33.94 | 77.03 | 24.63 | 31.75 | 38.21 | 43.65 | 51.87 | 57.61 | 66.08 |
| TSA | 22.69 | 80.51 | 25.95 | 32.32 | 38.21 | 43.24 | 50.98 | 56.53 | 65.07 |
| TY5YCOC | 39.33 | 79.44 | 24.74 | 31.85 | 38.33 | 43.77 | 51.94 | 57.63 | 66.04 |
| TCY5YCOC | 40.57 | 79.62 | 25.42 | 32.08 | 38.27 | 43.57 | 51.69 | 57.44 | 65.97 |
| TSE | 24.95 | 86.33 | 29.63 | 36.05 | 41.65 | 46.29 | 53.33 | 58.4 | 66.24 |
| TSB | 21.94 | 81.03 | 28.77 | 35.67 | 41.52 | 46.29 | 53.42 | 58.51 | 66.32 |
| TCY5DOQ | 31.18 | 87.13 | 29.71 | 35.72 | 41.14 | 45.77 | 52.95 | 58.13 | 66.07 |
| TS5 | -30.14 | 81.43 | 27.78 | 34.12 | 39.74 | 44.48 | 51.78 | 57.06 | 65.28 |
| TSC | 37.89 | 83.84 | 26.85 | 33.19 | 38.99 | 43.92 | 51.5 | 56.96 | 65.35 |
| TS6 | -7.03 | 87.43 | 29.01 | 34.93 | 40.32 | 44.92 | 52.07 | 57.28 | 65.42 |
| TS7 | 36.04 | 84.34 | 29.86 | 36.72 | 42.47 | 47.13 | 54.1 | 59.08 | 66.72 |
| CO | -26.42 | 47.21 | 6.9 | 7.03 | 7.17 | 7.32 | 7.62 | 7.89 | 8.42 |
| TS9 | 3.83 | 80.15 | 22.6 | 26.89 | 30.93 | 34.45 | 40.05 | 44.19 | 50.59 |
| TS10 | 35.7 | 77.72 | 23.89 | 28.89 | 33.14 | 36.64 | 41.95 | 45.76 | 51.57 |
| TS11 | 121 | 80.96 | 28.05 | 33.17 | 37.15 | 40.28 | 44.87 | 48.1 | 52.96 |
| TS12 | 34.81 | 75.93 | 22.39 | 26.81 | 30.85 | 34.38 | 39.98 | 44.13 | 50.55 |
| CCDOCDOC | -77.76 | 76.4 | 22.26 | 27.19 | 31.81 | 36.01 | 43.29 | 49.35 | 60.01 |
| CCDCCDO | -25.77 | 71.52 | 20.14 | 24.6 | 28.94 | 32.82 | 39.1 | 43.82 | 51.18 |
| CCYCOC | -27.64 | 71.06 | 20.22 | 26.09 | 31.82 | 36.88 | 44.94 | 50.92 | 60.24 |

E. 4 Isodesmic Reactions for Oxirane Peroxy Species in System

| CCQ-Y(COC) |  |  |  |  |  |  | CBS-APNO | CBS-4M | CBS-QB3 | m062x | wb97x | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B2- } \\ & \text { LYP } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCQ-Y(COC) | $+$ | CC | $=$ | CC-Y(COC) | $+$ | CCQ | -49.1 | -51.1 | -49.5 | -50.2 | -49.8 | -49.7 |
| CCQ-Y(COC) | $+$ | C | = | CC-Y(COC) | $+$ | CQ | -48.6 | -50.9 | -49.0 | -50.0 | -49.6 | -49.4 |
| CCQ-Y(COC) | $+$ | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C}$ | = | CC-Y(COC) | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCQ}$ | -47.7 | -49.7 | -47.7 | -48.5 | -48.2 | -48.2 |
| CCQ-Y(COC) | $+$ | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}$ (cis) | $=$ | CC-Y(COC) | $+$ | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CCQ}$ | -42.6 | -44.9 | -42.9 | -43.8 | -43.6 | -43.3 |
| CCQ-Y(COC) | + | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}$ trans | = | CC-Y(COC) | + | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CCQ}$ | -44.4 | -46.6 | -44.3 | -45.1 | -44.8 | -44.7 |

## CjCQ-Y(COC)

| CjCQ-Y(COC) | + | CCCOH | = | CCQ-Y(COC) | + | CjCCOH | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.9 | 5.7 | 4.7 | 4.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CjCQ-Y(COC) | + | CCCC | = | CCQ-Y(COC) | + | CjCCC | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 4.0 | 3.6 | 3.6 |
| CjCQ-Y(COC) | + | $\mathrm{CY}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{c}$ | = | CCQ-Y(COC) | + | cj-y(coc)-c | 1.4 | 2.7 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 2.6 |
| CjCQ-Y(COC) | + | C2-Y(COC) | = | CCQ-Y(COC) | + | cj2-Y(COC) | 1.8 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.2 | 2.6 |

CCQ- $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CjOC})$

| $\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CjOC})$ | $+\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | $=\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | $+\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COCj})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CjOC})$ | $+\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CCC})$ | $=\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | $+\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CjCC})$ |
| $\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CjOC})$ | $+\mathrm{CY}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{c}$ | $=\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | $+\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{cjoc})-\mathrm{c}$ |
| $\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CjOC})$ | +C 3 C | $=\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | +C 3 Cj |
| $\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CjOC})$ | $+\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | $=\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | $+\mathrm{CCj}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ |

## CCQ- $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COCj})$

| $\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COCj})$ | $+\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | $=\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | $+\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COCj})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COCj})$ | $+\mathrm{C} 2-Y(\mathrm{COC})$ | $=\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | $+\mathrm{C} 2-Y(\mathrm{COCj})$ |
| $\mathrm{CCQ}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COCj})$ | $+\mathrm{CY}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{c}$ | $=\mathrm{CCQ}-Y(\mathrm{COC})$ | $+\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{cjoc}) \mathrm{c}$ |


| 5.7 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.0 | 6.1 |

5.7

| 6.3 | 6.0 | 5.9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

6.1


| $\mathrm{CCQC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CCQC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | C | $=$ | CCQ | + | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | -42.3 | -44.0 | -43.8 | -44.7 | -44.1 | -44.3 |
| $\mathrm{CCQC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | C | $=$ | CCCQ | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | -39.7 | -41.4 | -41.7 | -42.6 | -41.4 | -42.0 |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | C | = | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C}$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}$ | -24.2 | -25.5 | -25.8 | -25.0 | -24.9 | -25.9 |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | C | = | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}$ (cis) | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | -25.5 | -26.7 | -26.9 | -25.4 | -25.7 | -26.7 |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | C | = | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}$ trans | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | -25.0 | -26.2 | -26.7 | -25.4 | -25.7 | -26.4 |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | C | = | $\mathrm{CCC}=\mathrm{C}$ | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | -24.9 | -25.7 | -27.4 | -25.7 | -26.0 | -26.7 |
| $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | CC | = | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C}$ | + | $\operatorname{CCC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -25.0 | -24.7 | -26.4 | -25.2 | -25.3 | -26.5 |


| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | CC | $=$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COCC}$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 268.8 | -11.9 | -12.4 | -11.0 | -11.5 | -11.8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COC}=\mathrm{C}$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 267.9 | -13.2 | -10.8 | -11.2 | -11.3 | -11.6 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | C | $=$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COC}=\mathrm{C}$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | -10.9 | -17.5 | -15.2 | -12.6 | -12.6 | -12.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | C | $=$ | CY(COC) | $+$ | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}$ | -48.7 | -50.4 | -49.7 | -49.4 | -49.0 | -49.5 |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | CC | $=$ | CY(COC) | + | $\mathrm{CCC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -49.5 | -49.6 | -50.2 | -49.5 | -49.4 | -50.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | CC | $=$ | CCCC=O | + | $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})$ | -50.5 | -50.5 | -51.7 | -50.5 | -50.5 | -51.5 |

$\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})-\mathrm{Cj}=\mathrm{O}$

| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})-\mathrm{Cj}=\mathrm{O}$ | $+\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | $=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | $+\mathrm{Cj}=\mathrm{O}$ | -10.8 | -10.6 | -11.0 | -10.9 | -11.0 | -10.9 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})-\mathrm{Cj}=\mathrm{O}$ | $+\mathrm{CCC}(=\mathrm{O})$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | $+\mathrm{CCCj=O}$ | -10.0 | -9.5 | -10.7 | -10.4 | -10.1 | -10.1 |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC})-\mathrm{Cj}=\mathrm{O}$ | $+\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | $+\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCj}=\mathrm{O}$ | -9.7 | -8.9 | -10.9 | -10.9 | -10.7 | -10.7 |

$\mathrm{CCQC}=\mathrm{COH}$

| $\mathrm{CCQC}=\mathrm{COH}$ | +CC | $=\mathrm{CCC}=\mathrm{COH}$ | +65.3 | -70.1 | -67.8 | -67.8 | -67.5 | -67.6 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{CCQC}=\mathrm{COH}$ | +C | $=\mathrm{CCC}=\mathrm{COH}$ | +CQ | -64.8 | -69.9 | -67.3 | -67.7 | -67.3 | -67.2 |
| $\mathrm{CCQC}=\mathrm{COH}$ | $+\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ | $=\mathrm{CCC}=\mathrm{COH}$ | $+\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CQ}$ | -65.7 | -69.7 | -65.0 | -66.0 | -65.6 | -66.4 |


| $\mathrm{CCQC=COH}$ | + | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CCC}=\mathrm{COH}$ | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCQ}$ | -63.9 | -68.7 | -66.0 | -66.1 | -65.9 | -66.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CCQC}=\mathrm{COj}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CCQC}=\mathrm{COj}$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OH}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CCQC}=\mathrm{COH}$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{Oj}$ | -32.3 | -27.7 | -33.8 | -37.1 | -37.6 | -36.6 |
| CCQC=COj | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COH}$ | = | $\mathrm{CCQC}=\mathrm{COH}$ | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COj}$ | -31.1 | -29.8 | -33.9 | -33.6 | -33.2 | -32.3 |
| CCQC= $\mathrm{COj}^{\text {j }}$ | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCOH}$ | = | $\mathrm{CCQC}=\mathrm{COH}$ | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCOj}$ | -28.9 | -22.7 | -33.1 | -33.1 | -33.6 | -32.5 |
| $\mathrm{CCQC}=\mathrm{COj}$ | + | CCOH | = | $\mathrm{CCQC}=\mathrm{COH}$ | + | CCOj | -28.3 | -21.0 | -31.3 | -32.4 | -33.1 | -31.7 |
| $\mathrm{CCOC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CCOC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ | = | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COCC}$ | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | -45.1 | -45.0 | -44.5 | -43.6 | -44.1 | -45.0 |
| $\mathrm{CCOC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COH}$ | = | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COCC}$ | + | $\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{oh})=\mathrm{c}=0$ | -40.7 | -40.1 | -40.6 | -39.5 | -39.7 | -40.2 |
| $\mathrm{CCOC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C}$ | = | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COCC}$ | + | $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | -39.8 | -38.9 | -39.4 | -38.7 | -39.2 | -39.7 |
| $\mathrm{CCOC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ | = | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COCC}$ | + | $\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{c}=\mathrm{o}$ | -48.7 | -46.1 | -44.6 | -46.8 | -47.1 | -44.4 |
| $\mathrm{CCjOC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CCjOC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | CCCOH | = | $\mathrm{CCOC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | CCjCOH | 1.1 | 0.8 | -0.8 | -0.4 | -0.6 | -0.3 |
| $\mathrm{CCjOC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | CCCC | = | $\mathrm{CCOC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | CCjCC | 0.6 | 0.2 | -1.6 | -1.2 | -1.3 | -1.1 |
| $\mathrm{CCjOC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | CCCQ | = | $\mathrm{CCOC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | CCjCQ | 1.8 | 1.5 | -0.1 | 1.2 | -0.1 | 0.5 |
| $\mathrm{CCjOC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | CCCCOH | = | $\mathrm{CCOC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | CCjCCOH | 1.3 | 0.3 | -1.2 | -0.7 | -0.8 | -0.5 |
| $\mathrm{CCjOC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | $\mathrm{CCC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | = | $\mathrm{CCOC}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | + | $\mathrm{CCjC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | 0.6 | 0.1 | -1.4 | -0.9 | -1.2 | -0.8 |

## APPENDIX F

## THERMOCHEMISTRY AND BOND DISSOCIATION

## ENERGIES OF DIETHYL ETHER SPECIES

This appendix contains the optimized geometries with corresponding Gaussian atom numbering and symmetry values in parenthesis, moments of inertia, vibrational frequencies, internal rotor potential energy graphs, entropies, and heat capacities for all of the parent and radical species from B3-LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory.

Table F. 1 Diethyl Ether Species Optimized Structures
Z-Matrix Structures
CCOCC

| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1 | B1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B2 | 2 | A1 |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B3 | 2 | A2 | 3 | D1 | 0 |
| H | 1 | B4 | 2 | A3 | 3 | D2 | 0 |
| O | 2 | B5 | 1 | A4 | 3 | D3 | 0 |
| H | 2 | B6 | 1 | A5 | 6 | D4 | 0 |
| H | 2 | B7 | 1 | A6 | 6 | D5 | 0 |
| C | 6 | B8 | 2 | A7 | 1 | D6 | 0 |
| H | 9 | B9 | 6 | A8 | 2 | D7 | 0 |
| H | 9 | B10 | 6 | A9 9 | 2 | D8 | 0 |
| C | 9 | B11 | 6 | A10 | 2 | D9 | 0 |
| H | 12 | B12 | 9 | A11 | 6 | D10 | 0 |
| H | 12 | B13 | 9 | A12 | 6 | D11 | 0 |
| H | 12 | B14 | 9 | A13 | 6 | D12 | 0 |
| B1 | 1.51521177 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B2 | 1.09280512 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B3 | 1.09347611 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B4 | 1.09282105 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B5 | 1.41093321 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B6 | 1.10212310 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B7 | 1.10210817 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B8 | 1.41090280 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B9 | 1.10216465 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B10 | 1.10208697 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B11 | 1.51522512 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B12 | 1.09281341 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| B13 | 1.09348614 |
| :--- | ---: |
| B14 | 1.09280701 |
| A1 | 110.24305370 |
| A2 | 110.47032269 |
| A3 | 110.25199558 |
| A4 | 108.51184361 |
| A5 | 110.64123888 |
| A6 | 110.72357760 |
| A7 | 112.87619339 |
| A8 | 109.75778947 |
| A9 | 109.75414454 |
| A10 | 108.51102253 |
| A11 | 110.24619612 |
| A12 | 110.46877071 |
| A13 | 110.24886221 |
| D1 | -120.18408039 |
| D2 | 119.64561079 |
| D3 | -59.90492625 |
| D4 | 120.45253035 |
| D5 | -120.52239867 |
| D6 | 179.07921630 |
| D7 | 59.01269780 |
| D8 | -58.86150225 |
| D9 | -179.92871220 |
| D10 | 59.89225444 |
| D11 | -179.93154480 |
| D12 | -59.75516123 |

CjCOCC

| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B1 | 1.49062635 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1 | B1 |  |  |  |  |  | B2 | 1.08408110 |
| H | 1 | B2 | 2 | A1 |  |  |  | B3 | 1.08282708 |
| H | 1 | B3 | 2 | A2 | 3 | D1 0 |  | B4 | 1.41464808 |
| O | 2 | B4 | 1 | A3 | 4 | D2 |  | B5 | 1.10223646 |
| H | 2 | B5 | 1 | A4 | 5 | D3 |  | B6 | 1.09945497 |
| H | 2 | B6 | 1 | A5 | 5 | D4 |  | B7 | 1.41495473 |
| C | 5 | B7 | 2 | A6 | 1 | D5 |  | B8 | 1.10250919 |
| H | 8 | B8 | 5 | A7 | 2 | D6 |  | B9 | 1.10024997 |
| H | 8 | B9 | 5 | A8 | 2 | D7 |  | B10 | 1.51482606 |
| C | 8 | B10 | 5 | A9 | 2 | D8 | ) | B11 | 1.09298698 |
| H | 11 | B11 | 8 | A10 | 5 | D9 | 0 | B12 | 1.09357826 |
| H | 11 | B12 | 8 | A11 | 5 | D10 | 0 | B13 | 1.09287228 |
| H | 11 | B13 | 8 | A12 | 5 | D11 | 0 | A1 | 119.10568752 |


| A2 | 121.14800509 |
| :--- | :--- |
| A3 | 113.07540100 |
| A4 | 110.42138808 |
| A5 | 110.91478613 |
| A6 | 113.21395384 |
| A7 | 109.41532278 |
| A8 | 110.22748836 |
| A9 | 108.15875090 |
| A10 | 110.24414121 |
| A11 | 110.47303477 |
| A12 | 110.31788553 |


| D1 | 168.68386285 |
| :--- | :---: |
| D2 | -149.61458668 |
| D3 | 123.81744597 |
| D4 | -117.52515142 |
| D5 | 68.23329497 |
| D6 | 53.15826944 |
| D7 | -64.76822664 |
| D8 | 173.75947019 |
| D9 | 59.67153412 |
| D10 | 179.73352466 |
| D11 | -59.95529295 |


| CCjOCC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | 1 | B1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B2 | 2 | A1 |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B3 | 2 | A2 | 3 |  | 0 |
| H | 1 | B4 | 2 | A3 | 4 | D2 | 0 |
| O | 2 | B5 | 1 | A4 | 5 | D3 | 0 |
| H | 2 | B6 | 1 |  | 6 | D4 | 0 |
| C | 6 | B7 | 2 | A6 | 1 | D5 | 0 |
| H | 8 | B8 | 6 | A7 | 2 | D6 | 0 |
| H | 8 | B9 | 6 |  | 2 | D7 | 0 |
| C | 8 | B10 | 6 | A9 | 2 | D8 | 0 |
| H | 11 | B11 | 8 | A10 | 6 | D9 |  |
| H | 11 | B12 | 8 | A11 | 6 | D10 | 0 |
| H | 11 | B13 | 8 | A12 |  | D11 | 0 |
| B1 | 1.48628276 D |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B2 | 1.10068601 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B3 | 1.09454232 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B4 | 1.09260276 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B5 | 1.36029476 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B6 | 1.09103236 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B7 | 1.42054900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B8 | 1.09982732 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B9 | 1.09812785 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B10 | 1.51384447 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B11 | 1.09264453 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| CCQOCC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B2 | 2 | A1 |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B3 | 2 | A2 | 3 |  | 0 |
| C | 1 | B4 | 2 | A3 | 4 | D2 | 0 |
| H | 5 | B5 | 1 | A4 | 2 | D3 | 0 |
| H | 5 | B6 | 1 | A5 | 2 | D4 | 0 |
| O | 5 | B7 | 1 | A6 | 2 | D5 | 0 |
| C | 8 | B8 | 5 | A7 | 1 | D6 | 0 |
| H | 9 | B9 | 8 | A8 | 5 | D7 | 0 |
| O | 9 | B10 | 8 | A9 | 5 | D8 | 0 |
| O | 11 | B11 | 9 | A10 | 8 | D9 |  |
| H | 12 | B12 | 11 | A11 | 9 | D10 | 0 |
| C | 9 | B13 | 8 | A12 | 5 | D11 | 0 |
| H | 14 | B14 | 9 | A13 | 8 | D12 | 0 |
| H | 14 | B15 | 9 | A14 | 8 | D13 | 0 |
| H | 14 | B16 | 9 | A15 | 8 | D14 | 0 |
| B1 | 1.09273037 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B2 | 1.09321963 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B3 | 1.09317716 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B4 | 1.51441557 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B5 | 1.09996549 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B6 | 1.09783193 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B7 | 1.42427046 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B8 | 1.39793505 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B9 | 1.10108758 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B10 | 1.41855818 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B11 | 1.42255811 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B12 | 0.97088579 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B13 | 1.51141524 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| B12 | 1.09276629 |
| :---: | :---: |
| B13 | 1.09328189 |
| A1 | 112.11316249 |
| A2 | 110.39111454 |
| A3 | 110.33362953 |
| A4 | 114.25075182 |
| A5 | 121.08438476 |
| A6 | 114.92535242 |
| A7 | 109.06530710 |
| A8 | 109.05296046 |
| A9 | 108.30134884 |
| A10 | 110.30107762 |
| A11 | 110.43671545 |
| A12 | 110.22514936 |
| D1 | -119.61715808 |
| D2 | -119.94461765 |
| D3 | -172.97510878 |
| D4 | -146.06258157 |
| D5 | -178.44099902 |
| D6 | 58.99719793 |
| D7 | -58.86017070 |
| D8 | 179.91515128 |
| D9 | 60.13332844 |
| D10 | -59.76990919 |
| D11 | -179.88063445 |
| B14 | 1.09170427 |
| B15 | 1.09034082 |
| B16 | 1.09191042 |
| A1 | 108.75136401 |
| A2 | 108.33108916 |
| A3 | 110.35416776 |
| A4 | 110.84632890 |
| A5 | 111.22622694 |
| A6 | 107.98953280 |
| A7 | 114.07662349 |
| A8 | 110.70584342 |
| A9 | 110.48849461 |
| A10 | 108.52526030 |
| A11 | 102.17204544 |
| A12 | 108.73277127 |
| A13 | 109.35283902 |
| A14 | 110.04397966 |
| A15 | 109.58611616 |
| D1 | -117.77116472 |
| D2 | -121.09129316 |
| D3 | -60.83279959 |
| D4 | 179.15250234 |
| D5 | 58.53631110 |
| D6 | 177.51003423 |
| D7 | 41.83657510 |
| D8 | -69.67836015 |
| D9 | -66.41484631 |
| D10 | 74.91452223 |
| D11 | 165.28656226 |
| D12 | -63.87101736 |

D13 56.04361044


CjCQOCC

| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 1 | B1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B2 | 2 | A1 |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B3 | 3 | A2 | 2 | D1 0 |  |
| C | 1 | B4 | 4 |  | 3 | D2 0 |  |
| H | 5 | B5 | 1 | A4 | 4 | D3 0 |  |
| H | 5 | B6 | 1 | A5 | 4 | D4 0 |  |
| O | 5 | B7 | 1 | A6 | 4 | D5 0 |  |
| C | 8 | B8 | 5 | A7 |  | D6 0 |  |
| H | 9 | B9 | 8 | A8 | 5 | D7 0 |  |
| O | 9 | B10 | 8 | A9 | 5 | D8 0 | 0 |
| O | 11 | B11 | 9 | A10 | 8 | D9 | 0 |
| H | 12 | B12 | 11 | A11 | 1 | D10 | 0 |
| C | 9 | B13 | 8 | A12 | 5 | D11 | 0 |
| H | 14 | B14 | 9 | A13 | 8 | D12 | 0 |
| H | 14 | B15 | 9 | A14 | 8 | D13 | 0 |
| B1 |  | 105 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B2 |  | 266 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B3 |  | 404 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B4 |  | 587 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B5 |  | 771 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B6 |  | 544 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B7 |  | 819 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B8 |  | 972 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B9 |  | 286 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B10 |  | 137 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B11 |  | 516 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B12 |  | 5365 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B13 |  | 797 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCQOCjC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B2 | 2 | A1 |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B3 | 2 | A2 | 3 | D1 0 |  |
| C | 1 | B4 | 4 | A3 | 3 | D2 0 |  |

D14 176.04315493

| B14 | 1.08910477 |
| :--- | ---: |
| B15 | 1.09022847 |
| A1 | 107.72716561 |
| A2 | 108.47700193 |
| A3 | 110.41772761 |
| A4 | 111.81663572 |
| A5 | 110.90079965 |
| A6 | 111.72419623 |
| A7 | 115.53884333 |
| A8 | 112.89683012 |
| A9 | 110.75908061 |
| A10 | 111.77726601 |
| A11 | 109.85665383 |
| A12 | 110.28386129 |
| A13 | 109.28543722 |
| A14 | 109.12917290 |
| D1 | -117.26970224 |
| D2 | 122.75133309 |
| D3 | 179.47974837 |
| D4 | 58.93213991 |
| D5 | -57.38615741 |
| D6 | -85.72355933 |
| D7 | 41.03877332 |
| D8 | -69.50078890 |
| D9 | -62.22879579 |
| D10 | 168.64603718 |
| D11 | 176.72703517 |
| D12 | 56.27079294 |
| D13 | -63.18867293 |


| B14 | 1.08069069 |
| :--- | :---: |
| B15 | 1.08108985 |
| A1 | 108.50728911 |
| A2 | 108.37928197 |
| A3 | 110.38428534 |
| A4 | 110.90672266 |
| A5 | 111.25952388 |
| A6 | 107.92217752 |
| A7 | 113.93135170 |
| A8 | 110.54632669 |
| A9 | 110.70061715 |
| A10 | 108.22825694 |
| A11 | 102.25624468 |
| A12 | 108.98613361 |
| A13 | 119.89210929 |
| A14 | 118.97759058 |
| D1 | 117.90571083 |
| D2 | -121.16142158 |
| D3 | -60.74049826 |
| D4 | 179.00829433 |
| D5 | 58.52398812 |
| D6 | 176.69940036 |
| D7 | 40.49515597 |
| D8 | -70.34180927 |
| D9 | -67.48694772 |
| D10 | 71.42168926 |
| D11 | 164.83764464 |
| D12 | -9.43723237 |
| D13 | 167.43166263 |


| H | 5 | B5 | 1 | A4 | 4 | D3 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| O | 5 | B6 | 1 | A5 | 4 | D4 | 0 |
| C | 7 | B7 | 5 | A6 | 1 | D5 | 0 |
| H | 8 | B8 | 7 | A7 | 5 | D6 | 0 |
| O | 8 | B9 | 7 | A8 | 5 | D7 | 0 |


| O | 10 | B10 | 8 | A9 | 7 | D8 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 11 | B11 | 10 | A10 | 8 | D9 | 0 |
| C | 8 | B12 | 7 | A11 | 5 | D10 | 0 |
| H | 13 | B13 | 8 | A12 | 7 | D11 | 0 |
| H | 13 | B14 | 8 | A13 | 7 | D12 | 0 |
| H | 13 | B15 | 8 | A14 | 7 | D13 | 0 |
| B1 | 1.09437877 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B2 | 1.10039750 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B3 | 1.09224919 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B4 | 1.48538836 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B5 | 1.08845289 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B6 | 1.36713496 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B7 | 1.41089628 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B8 | 1.09996808 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B9 | 1.41127782 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B10 | 1.42196766 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B11 | 0.97281844 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B12 | 1.50911897 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B13 | 1.09170471 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B14 | 1.09178569 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B15 | 1.09080131 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A1 | 107.29578967 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A2 | 108.67298174 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| A3 | 110.34496778 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| A4 | 122.09893926 |
| :--- | :---: |
| A5 | 114.03936599 |
| A6 | 115.92199008 |
| A7 | 109.90356826 |
| A8 | 110.96179554 |
| A9 | 108.67543466 |
| A10 | 101.31548291 |
| A11 | 107.94683745 |
| A12 | 109.40350020 |
| A13 | 109.66079398 |
| A14 | 109.58802883 |
| D1 | -116.58141015 |
| D2 | -122.56576749 |
| D3 | 40.58126176 |
| D4 | -171.37512156 |
| D5 | -170.71954906 |
| D6 | 62.61827794 |
| D7 | -48.89615411 |
| D8 | -61.00980503 |
| D9 | 86.91463404 |
| D10 | -174.09602822 |
| D11 | -178.41017612 |
| D12 | -58.34945246 |
| D13 | 61.68856759 |

CCQOCCj


## $\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OCC}$

| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 1 | B1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B2 | 2 | A1 |  |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B3 | 3 | A2 | 2 |  | D1 | 0 |
| C | 1 | B4 | 3 | A3 | 2 |  | D2 | 0 |
| H | 5 | B5 | 1 | A4 | 3 | D3 | 0 |  |
| H | 5 | B6 | 1 | A5 | 3 | D4 | 0 |  |
| H | 5 | B7 | 1 | A6 | 3 | D5 | 0 |  |
| C | 8 | B8 | 5 | A7 | 1 | D6 | 0 |  |
| C | 9 | B9 | 8 | A8 | 5 | D7 | 0 |  |
| O | 9 | B10 | 8 | A9 | 5 | D8 | 0 |  |
| C | 11 | B11 | 9 | A10 | 8 | D9 | 0 |  |
| H | 11 | B12 | 9 | A11 | 8 | D10 | 0 |  |


| B13 | 1.08843157 |
| :--- | :---: |
| A1 | 108.51388965 |
| A2 | 108.53742797 |
| A3 | 110.67749110 |
| A4 | 112.15257116 |
| A5 | 112.16989935 |
| A6 | 107.27551381 |
| A7 | 115.80936134 |
| A8 | 123.15709053 |
| A9 | 111.32846489 |
| A10 | 109.37462862 |
| A11 | 109.98617802 |


| A12 | 109.30570827 |
| :--- | :---: |
| D1 | 117.76095714 |
| D2 | -121.60107925 |
| D3 | -59.12667398 |
| D4 | 179.42405149 |
| D5 | 60.14290659 |
| D6 | 179.45774043 |
| D7 | -0.23880381 |
| D8 | 179.40471350 |
| D9 | -64.26207519 |
| D10 | 53.80711206 |
| D11 | 175.29315659 |

## CCQjOCQC

| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B16 | 1.09157920 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 1 | B1 |  |  |  |  |  | B17 | 1.09166580 |
| H | 1 | B2 | 2 | A1 |  |  |  | A1 | 108.47146460 |
| H | 1 | B3 | 2 | A2 | 3 | D1 0 |  | A2 | 111.12782823 |
| H | 1 | B4 | 2 | A3 | 3 | D2 0 |  | A3 | 109.50619794 |
| H | 2 | B5 | 1 | A4 | 3 | D3 0 |  | A4 | 112.45361726 |
| O | 2 | B6 | 1 | A5 | 3 | D4 0 |  | A5 | 117.49310710 |
| O | 2 | B7 | 1 | A6 | 7 | D5 0 |  | A6 | 108.57494486 |
| O | 8 | B8 | 2 | A7 | 1 | D6 0 |  | A7 | 111.32509885 |
| C | 7 | B9 | 2 | A8 | 1 | D7 0 |  | A8 | 118.35817221 |
| C | 10 | B10 | 7 | A9 | 2 | D8 0 |  | A9 | 107.05796713 |
| H | 10 | B11 | 7 | A10 | 2 | D9 | 0 | A10 | 110.22739548 |
| O | 10 | B12 | 7 | A11 | 2 | D10 | 0 | A11 | 111.63829498 |
| O | 13 | B13 | 10 | A12 | 7 | D11 | 0 | A12 | 109.31329017 |
| H | 14 | B14 | 13 | A13 | 10 | D12 | 0 | A13 | 101.12026381 |
| H | 11 | B15 | 10 | A14 | 7 | D13 | 0 | A14 | 109.77958740 |
| H | 11 | B16 | 10 | A15 | 7 | D14 | 0 | A15 | 109.56134985 |
| H | 11 | B17 | 10 | A16 | 7 | D15 | 0 | A16 | 109.18685296 |
| B1 | 1.51 | 421 |  |  |  |  |  | D1 | -119.70470354 |
| B2 | 1.09 | 010 |  |  |  |  |  | D2 | 118.59226397 |
| B3 | 1.09 | 858 |  |  |  |  |  | D3 | -51.78964143 |
| B4 | 1.09 | 678 |  |  |  |  |  | D4 | -176.57276740 |
| B5 | 1.09 | 399 |  |  |  |  |  | D5 | -119.70879001 |
| B6 | 1.38 | 247 |  |  |  |  |  | D6 | -71.10668594 |
| B7 | 1.47 | 130 |  |  |  |  |  | D7 | -37.81408933 |
| B8 | 1.30 | 084 |  |  |  |  |  | D8 | -177.44716225 |
| B9 | 1.41 | 128 |  |  |  |  |  | D9 | 60.56720346 |
| B10 |  | 1394 |  |  |  |  |  | D10 | -51.88917625 |
| B11 |  | 6811 |  |  |  |  |  | D11 | -67.45095379 |
| B12 |  | 3054 |  |  |  |  |  | D12 | 95.67643211 |
| B13 |  | 2374 |  |  |  |  |  | D13 | 58.48202098 |
| B14 |  | 6487 |  |  |  |  |  | D14 | -61.69519558 |
| B15 |  | 304 |  |  |  |  |  | D15 | 178.38711306 |
| YCOCOCQC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B5 | 1.37437463 |
| C | 1 | B1 |  |  |  |  |  | B6 | 1.09040371 |
| H | 1 | B2 | 2 | A1 |  |  |  | B7 | 1.41780368 |
| H | 1 | B3 | 2 | A2 |  | D1 0 |  | B8 | 1.51053804 |
| O | 2 | B4 | 1 | A3 | 3 | D2 0 |  | B9 | 1.09879830 |
| O | 2 | B5 | 1 | A4 | 5 | D3 0 |  | B10 | 1.40202341 |
| H | 2 | B6 | 1 | A5 | 5 | D4 0 |  | B11 | 1.42250066 |
| C | 6 | B7 | 2 | A6 1 |  | D5 0 |  | B12 | 0.97364212 |
| C | 8 | B8 | 6 | A7 | 2 | D6 0 |  | B13 | 1.09084550 |
| H | 8 | B9 | 6 | A8 | 2 | D7 0 |  | B14 | 1.09107058 |
| O | 8 | B10 | 6 | A9 | 2 | D8 0 |  | B15 | 1.09233095 |
| O | 11 | B11 | 8 | A10 | 6 | D9 |  | A1 | 120.64199675 |
| H | 12 | B12 | 11 | A11 | 8 | D10 | 0 | A2 | 117.36338183 |
| H | 9 | B13 | 8 | A12 | 6 | D11 | 0 | A3 | 59.86517996 |
| H | 9 | B14 | 8 | A13 | 6 | D12 | 0 | A4 | 116.90232522 |
| H | 9 | B15 | 8 | A14 | 6 | D13 | 0 | A5 | 122.29345158 |
| B1 | 1.45980124 |  |  |  |  |  |  | A6 | 116.32138942 |
| B2 | 1.08654693 |  |  |  |  |  |  | A7 | 107.46391557 |
| B3 | 1.08670395 |  |  |  |  |  |  | A8 | 109.24914900 |
| B4 | 1.41240649 |  |  |  |  |  |  | A9 | 111.92460707 |


| A10 | 108.57096612 |
| :--- | ---: |
| A11 | 102.15001718 |
| A12 | 109.92979670 |
| A13 | 109.49951343 |
| A14 | 109.27418755 |
| D1 | -154.45887491 |
| D2 | -102.18041945 |
| D3 | -107.06281118 |
| D4 | 101.32266355 |


| $\operatorname{CCQOC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B2 | 2 | A1 |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B3 | 2 | A2 |  | D1 0 |  |
| C | 1 | B4 | 2 | A3 |  | D2 0 |  |
| H | 5 | B5 | 1 | A4 |  | D3 0 |  |
| O | 5 | B6 | 1 | A5 |  | D4 0 |  |
| O | 7 | B7 | 5 | A6 |  | D5 0 |  |
| H | 8 | B8 | 7 | A7 |  | D6 0 |  |
| O | 5 | B9 | 1 | A8 |  | D7 0 |  |
| C | 10 | B10 | 5 | A9 | 1 | D8 | 0 |
| O | 11 | B11 | 10 | A10 | 5 | D9 | 0 |
| C | 11 | B12 | 10 | A11 | 5 | D10 | 0 |
| H | 13 | B13 | 11 | A12 | 10 | D11 | 0 |
| H | 13 | B14 | 11 | A13 | 10 | D12 | 0 |
| H | 13 | B15 | 11 | A14 | 10 | D13 | 0 |
| B1 | 1.09000898 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| B9 | 1.19100179 |
| :---: | :---: |
| A1 | 109.43543652 |
| A2 | 109.43415284 |
| A3 | 109.25803109 |
| A4 | 126.81958320 |
| A5 | 110.16217154 |
| A6 | 118.72994136 |
| A7 | 113.49468058 |


| YCOCOCC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | 1 | B1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B2 | 2 | A1 |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B3 | 2 | A2 | 3 |  | 0 |
| O | 2 | B4 | 1 | A3 | 4 |  | 0 |
| O | 2 | B5 | 1 | A4 | 5 |  | 0 |
| H | 2 | B6 | 1 | A5 | 5 |  | 0 |
| C | 6 | B7 | 2 | A6 | 1 |  | 0 |
| C | 8 | B8 | 6 | A7 | 2 |  | 0 |
| H | 8 | B9 | 6 | A8 | 2 |  | 0 |
| H | 9 | B10 | 8 | A9 | 6 | D8 | 0 |
| H | 9 | B11 | 8 | A10 | 6 | D9 | 0 |
| H | 9 | B12 | 8 | A11 | 6 | D10 | 0 |
| H | 8 | B13 | 6 | A12 | 2 | D11 | 0 |
| B1 | 1.45877041 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B2 | 1.08705851 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B3 | 1.08676632 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B4 | 1.40909236 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B5 | 1.37102755 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B6 | 1.09249995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B7 | 1.42637777 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B8 | 1.51349570 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B9 | 1.10032829 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B10 | 1.09320227 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B11 | 1.09282195 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| TS1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C | 1 | B1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B2 | 2 | A1 |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B3 | 2 | A2 | 3 |  | 0 |
| H | 1 | B4 | 2 | A3 | 4 |  | 0 |
| O | 2 | B5 | 1 | A4 | 4 |  | 0 |
| H | 2 | B6 | 1 | A5 | 6 |  | 0 |
| O | 2 | B7 | 1 | A6 | 6 |  | 0 |
| C | 6 | B8 | 2 | A7 | 1 |  | 0 |
| C | 9 | B9 | 6 | A8 | 2 |  | 0 |
| O | 8 | B10 | 2 | A9 | 1 | D8 | 0 |
| H | 9 | B11 | 6 | A10 | 2 | D9 | 0 |
| H | 9 | B12 | 6 | A11 | 2 | D10 | 0 |
| H | 10 | B13 | 9 | A12 | 6 | D11 | 0 |
| H | 10 | B14 | 9 | A13 | 6 | D12 | 0 |
| H | 10 | B15 | 9 | A14 | 6 | D13 | 0 |
| B1 | 1.50245314 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B2 | 1.09177782 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B3 | 1.08987059 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B4 | 1.09177634 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B5 | 1.35235408 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B6 | 1.43783993 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B7 | 1.43772123 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B8 | 1.44104275 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B9 | 1.51274134 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B10 | 1.44144630 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B11 | 1.09378176 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B12 | 1.09382630 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B13 | 1.09262753 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

TS2
C
C
B1

| A8 | 120.07331988 |
| :--- | :---: |
| D1 | -117.64600540 |
| D2 | -121.17638775 |
| D3 | -0.01176211 |
| D4 | -180.00000000 |
| D5 | 179.98625423 |
| D6 | -0.00177391 |
| D7 | 179.99581235 |


| B12 | 1.09262176 |
| :--- | :---: |
| B13 | 1.09710275 |
| A1 | 120.45874740 |
| A2 | 117.49341452 |
| A3 | 59.89378886 |
| A4 | 118.02874313 |
| A5 | 121.63266202 |
| A6 | 112.51985970 |
| A7 | 107.94155430 |
| A8 | 109.21173610 |
| A9 | 110.27624932 |
| A10 | 110.42941165 |
| A11 | 110.18740061 |
| A12 | 109.06365854 |
| D1 | -153.96085627 |
| D2 | 103.44635389 |
| D3 | -106.32577295 |
| D4 | 101.55838430 |
| D5 | 144.30857385 |
| D6 | 179.25034940 |
| D7 | 58.50649153 |
| D8 | -179.89180184 |
| D9 | -59.70232311 |
| D10 | 60.14858573 |
| D11 | -59.67626395 |


| B14 | 1.09311471 |
| :--- | :---: |
| B15 | 1.09268823 |
| A1 | 109.18397593 |
| A2 | 109.03521249 |
| A3 | 109.19409566 |
| A4 | 110.11482459 |
| A5 | 113.77667619 |
| A6 | 113.82692971 |
| A7 | 116.30738284 |
| A8 | 107.11512015 |
| A9 | 89.53818616 |
| A10 | 108.65542645 |
| A11 | 108.57621558 |
| A12 | 110.63987271 |
| A13 | 109.76638141 |
| A14 | 110.63371728 |
| D1 | -120.37767372 |
| D2 | -120.37654003 |
| D3 | 179.96772341 |
| D4 | -128.99002010 |
| D5 | 129.04217717 |
| D6 | 179.30762484 |
| D7 | 177.20574088 |
| D8 | 116.32867254 |
| D9 | 56.31676700 |
| D10 | -61.94754188 |
| D11 | -60.71040658 |
| D12 | 179.49578718 |
| D13 | 59.71735065 |


| O | 2 | B2 | 1 | A1 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| C | 3 | B3 | 2 | A2 | 1 | D1 | 0 |





| B11 | 1.41503811 |
| :--- | ---: |
| B12 | 1.41640000 |
| B13 | 0.97102971 |
| B14 | 1.08984977 |
| B15 | 1.09101444 |
| B16 | 1.09028304 |
| B17 | 1.41525871 |
| A1 | 109.92160044 |
| A2 | 109.52957365 |
| A3 | 109.00508185 |
| A4 | 113.94893871 |
| A5 | 108.47123471 |
| A6 | 107.43842142 |
| A7 | 105.26853552 |
| A8 | 114.16517500 |
| A9 | 110.51129818 |
| A10 | 104.71653650 |
| A11 | 108.72571715 |
| A12 | 102.02216926 |


| A13 | 109.52925865 |
| :--- | :---: |
| A14 | 107.79044215 |
| A15 | 109.34697754 |
| A16 | 106.16218727 |
| D1 | -120.63692046 |
| D2 | -119.47291625 |
| D3 | 62.39813250 |
| D4 | -59.93537722 |
| D5 | -117.35734500 |
| D6 | -179.68494069 |
| D7 | -169.91083887 |
| D8 | 167.66537162 |
| D9 | -69.32635556 |
| D10 | 176.83090566 |
| D11 | -87.39984411 |
| D12 | 175.98774457 |
| D13 | 56.50976359 |
| D14 | -62.93239358 |
| D15 | -72.17235325 |


| CCOCCQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B2 | 2 | A1 |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B3 | 3 | A2 |  |  |  |
| C | 1 | B4 | 3 | A3 |  |  |  |
| H | 5 | B5 | 1 | A4 | 3 |  |  |
| H | 5 | B6 | 1 | A5 | 3 | D4 |  |
| O | 5 | B7 | 1 | A6 |  | D5 |  |
| C | 8 | B8 | 5 | A7 |  | D6 |  |
| H | 9 | B9 | 8 | A8 | 5 | D7 |  |
| H | 9 | B10 | 8 | A9 | 5 | D8 | 0 |
| C | 9 | B11 | 8 | A10 | 5 | D9 | 0 |
| H | 12 | B12 | 9 | A11 | 8 | D10 | 0 |
| H | 12 | B13 | 9 | A12 | 8 | D11 | 0 |
| O | 12 | B14 | 9 | A13 | 8 | D12 | 0 |
| O | 15 | B15 | 12 | A14 | 9 | D13 |  |
| H | 16 | B16 | 15 | A15 | 1 | D1 | 4 |
| B1 | 1.09524583 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B2 | 1.09433369 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B3 | 1.09434753 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B4 | 1.51916783 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B5 | 1.10240882 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B6 | 1.10229578 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B7 | 1.42348752 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B8 | 1.41828830 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B9 | 1.10150900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B10 | 1.09959197 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B11 | 1.52543263 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B12 | 1.09643715 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B13 | 1.09588652 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B14 | 1.42435200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| B15 | 1.46332937 |
| :--- | :---: |
| B16 | 0.97093461 |
| A1 | 108.46939827 |
| A2 | 108.33842534 |
| A3 | 110.64854799 |
| A4 | 110.67862702 |
| A5 | 110.69942385 |
| A6 | 108.72809211 |
| A7 | 113.43284191 |
| A8 | 110.93959551 |
| A9 | 110.85421118 |
| A10 | 106.98282004 |
| A11 | 110.90216660 |
| A12 | 110.30987662 |
| A13 | 112.37288167 |
| A14 | 107.12675525 |
| A15 | 99.87607260 |
| D1 | 117.53872330 |
| D2 | 121.48410078 |
| D3 | 179.43368144 |
| D4 | 60.39612527 |
| D5 | -60.07030410 |
| D6 | 179.59751358 |
| D7 | 61.41218561 |
| D8 | -58.86251845 |
| D9 | -178.97679631 |
| D10 | 58.99968498 |
| D11 | -61.85877652 |
| D12 | -176.67650459 |
| D13 | -69.52071910 |
| D14 | -121.75139268 |
|  |  |

CCOCJCQ

| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| H | 1 | B1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B2 | 2 | A1 |  |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B3 | 3 | A2 | 2 |  | D1 | 0 |
| C | 1 | B4 | 4 | A3 | 3 |  | D2 | 0 |
| C | 5 | B5 | 1 | A4 | 4 |  | D3 | 0 |
| H | 5 | B6 | 1 | A5 | 4 | D4 | 0 |  |
| H | 5 | B7 | 1 | A6 | 4 | D5 | 0 |  |
| O | 5 | B8 | 5 | A7 | 1 | D6 | 0 |  |
| C | 8 | B9 | 8 | A8 | 5 | D7 | 0 |  |
| H | 9 | B10 | 8 | A9 | 5 | D8 | 0 |  |
| C | 11 | B11 | 9 | A10 | 8 | D9 | 0 |  |
| H | 11 | B12 | 9 | A11 | 8 | D10 | 0 |  |
| H | 11 | B13 | 9 | A12 | 8 | D11 | 0 |  |
| O | 14 | B14 | 11 | A13 | 9 | D12 | 0 |  |
| O | 15 | B15 | 14 | A14 | 11 | D13 | 0 |  |
| H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| B1 | 1.09493498 |
| :--- | :---: |
| B2 | 1.09402519 |
| B3 | 1.09394840 |
| B4 | 1.51711194 |
| B5 | 1.09852600 |
| B6 | 1.09829456 |
| B7 | 1.43698298 |
| B8 | 1.35560789 |
| B9 | 1.08743104 |
| B10 | 1.47538641 |
| B11 | 1.09607308 |
| B12 | 1.09656373 |
| B13 | 1.45205616 |
| B14 | 1.46934916 |
| B15 | 0.97100937 |
| A1 | 108.42484954 |


| A2 | 108.49674549 |
| :--- | :---: |
| A3 | 110.77054283 |
| A4 | 111.22805623 |
| A5 | 111.29237715 |
| A6 | 108.40828471 |
| A7 | 116.13955377 |
| A8 | 117.82139836 |
| A9 | 116.36086441 |
| A10 | 110.97863733 |
| A11 | 110.90833253 |
| A12 | 107.63988255 |
| A13 | 106.74854558 |
| A14 | 100.16834743 |



| CjCOCCQ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B2 | 2 | A1 |  |  |  |
| C | 1 | B3 | 3 | A2 |  | D1 0 |  |
| H | 4 | B4 | 1 | A3 |  | D2 0 |  |
| H | 4 | B5 | 1 | A4 |  | D3 0 |  |
| O | 4 | B6 | 1 | A5 |  | D4 0 |  |
| C | 7 | B7 | 4 | A6 |  | D5 0 |  |
| H | 8 | B8 | 7 | A7 |  | D6 0 |  |
| H | 8 | B9 | 7 | A8 |  | D7 0 |  |
| C | 8 | B10 | 7 | A9 | 4 | D8 |  |
| H | 11 | B11 | 8 | A10 | 7 | D9 | 0 |
| H | 11 | B12 | 8 | A11 | 7 | D10 | 0 |
| O | 11 | B13 | 8 | A12 | 7 | D11 | 0 |
| O | 14 | B14 | 11 | A13 | 8 | D12 | 0 |
| H | 15 | B15 | 14 | A14 | 1 | D13 | 0 |
| B1 | 1.08234098 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B2 | 1.08191949 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B3 | 1.48908048 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B4 | 1.09772488 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B5 | 1.09950245 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B6 | 1.42806163 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| D1 | 117.61494193 |
| :--- | :---: |
| D2 | -121.79103276 |
| D3 | -59.19969776 |
| D4 | 179.85103070 |
| D5 | 60.28041018 |
| D6 | -178.45355370 |
| D7 | 15.32073673 |
| D8 | 174.23621113 |
| D9 | 156.40258395 |
| D10 | 34.21168016 |
| D11 | -85.30060585 |
| D12 | -177.67121905 |
| D13 | -116.11650254 |


| r1615 | 1.527769 |
| :--- | :---: |
| r1716 | 0.942423 |
| a312 | 107.982740 |
| a412 | 108.123522 |
| a512 | 110.528242 |
| a651 | 102.518257 |
| a751 | 124.489679 |
| a851 | 126.332630 |
| a985 | 118.006211 |
| a1098 | 102.492621 |
| a1198 | 111.857035 |
| a1298 | 110.528295 |
| a13129 | 111.067390 |
| a14129 | 112.293843 |
| a15129 | 112.816855 |
| a161512 | 107.934452 |
| a171615 | 94.566332 |
| d4123 | -116.426874 |
| d5123 | 123.509700 |
| d6512 | 171.441825 |
| d7512 | 34.938194 |
| d8512 | -137.908138 |
| d9851 | -8.155797 |
| d10985 | 151.246734 |
| d11985 | 35.370150 |
| d12985 | -88.773010 |
| d131298 | 66.430833 |
| d141298 | -54.893789 |
| d151298 | -167.067062 |
| d1615129 | -75.543605 |
| d17161512 | -178.505990 |
|  |  |


| B7 | 1.42343126 |
| :--- | :---: |
| B8 | 1.09829488 |
| B9 | 1.09428092 |
| B10 | 1.52001269 |
| B11 | 1.09321298 |
| B12 | 1.09277701 |
| B13 | 1.42578890 |
| B14 | 1.47097021 |
| B15 | 0.96981797 |
| A1 | 118.82979829 |
| A2 | 120.89203421 |
| A3 | 110.99437471 |
| A4 | 110.71782277 |
| A5 | 113.36953817 |
| A6 | 113.38162672 |
| A7 | 110.52064471 |
| A8 | 111.23553783 |
| A9 | 106.35840255 |
| A10 | 110.94495171 |
| A11 | 110.46304859 |
| A12 | 112.01891822 |
| A13 | 106.31080342 |


| A14 | 99.19852308 |
| :--- | :---: |
| D1 | -170.21277980 |
| D2 | 89.75262226 |
| D3 | -29.09329103 |
| D4 | -153.25532197 |
| D5 | 70.36230018 |
| D6 | 60.15323659 |


| D7 | -60.47698145 |
| :--- | :---: |
| D8 | 179.32916595 |
| D9 | 59.44900923 |
| D10 | -61.95769724 |
| D11 | -176.64947186 |
| D12 | -69.03730545 |
| D13 | -124.93554250 |


| CCOCCQj |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | 1 B1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | 1 B2 | 2 | A1 |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | 1 B3 | 3 | A2 | 2 |  | 0 |
| C | 1 | 1 B4 | 3 | A3 | 4 | D2 | 0 |
| H | 5 | 5 B5 | 1 | A4 | 3 |  | 0 |
| H | 5 | 5 B6 | 1 | A5 | 3 | D4 | 0 |
| O | 5 | 5 B7 | 1 | A6 | 3 | D5 |  |
| C | 8 | 8 B8 | 5 | A7 | 1 | D6 |  |
| H | 9 | 9 B 9 | 8 | A8 | 5 | D7 | 0 |
| H | 9 | 9 B10 | 8 | A9 | 5 | D8 | 0 |
| C | 9 | 9 B11 | 8 | A10 | 5 | D9 | 0 |
| H | 12 | 2 B12 | 9 | A11 | 8 | D10 | 0 |
| H | 12 | 2 B13 | 9 | A12 | 8 | D11 | 0 |
| O | 12 | 2 B14 | 9 | A13 | 8 | D12 | 0 |
| O | 15 | 5 B15 | 12 | A14 | 9 | D13 | 0 |
| B1 | 1.09270158 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B2 | 1.09161241 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B3 | 1.09319586 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B4 | 1.52356687 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B5 | 1.09076856 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B6 | 1.09831384 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B7 | 1.42842202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B8 | 1.41849316 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B9 | 1.09322873 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B10 | 1.09738168 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B11 | 1.51761074 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B12 | 1.09144050 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| B13 | 1.08911141 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{OCC}$

| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| H | 1 | B1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B2 | 2 | A1 |  |  |  |
| H | 1 | B3 | 2 | A2 | 3 |  | 0 |
| C | 1 | B4 | 4 | A3 | 2 |  | 0 |
| H | 5 | B5 | 1 | A4 | 4 | D3 | 0 |
| O | 5 | B6 | 1 | A5 | 4 |  | 0 |
| C | 7 | B7 | 5 | A6 | , | D5 | 0 |
| H | 8 | B8 | 7 | A7 | 5 | D6 | 0 |
| H | 8 | B9 | 7 | A8 | 5 |  | 0 |
| C | 8 | B10 | 7 | A9 | 5 | D8 | 0 |
| H | 11 | B11 | 8 | A10 | 7 | D9 | 0 |
| H | 11 | B12 | 8 | A11 | 7 | D10 | 0 |
| H | 11 | B13 | 8 | A12 | 7 | D11 | 0 |
| O | 5 | B14 | 1 | A13 | 7 | D12 |  |
| H | 15 | B15 | 5 | A14 | 1 | D13 | 0 |
| B1 |  | 372 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B2 |  | 488 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B3 |  | 959 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B4 |  | 654 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B5 |  | 997 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B6 |  | 504 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B7 |  | 320 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B8 |  | 819 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B9 |  | 442 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B10 |  | 7471 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B11 |  | 8713 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B12 |  | 0476 |  |  |  |  |  |
| B13 |  | 7398 |  |  |  |  |  |


| B14 | 1.42037999 |
| :--- | :---: |
| B15 | 0.96737080 |
| A1 | 109.30637774 |
| A2 | 107.96454127 |
| A3 | 109.41874381 |
| A4 | 110.37204578 |
| A5 | 114.82071825 |
| A6 | 116.91739526 |
| A7 | 109.86852809 |
| A8 | 109.78437709 |
| A9 | 107.87588797 |
| A10 | 110.14277797 |
| A11 | 110.68957265 |
| A12 | 110.81363947 |
| A13 | 106.99569635 |
| A14 | 107.90177198 |
| D1 | 118.28448317 |
| D2 | -121.06057929 |
| D3 | -55.88805512 |
| D4 | -171.70712617 |
| D5 | -54.62488545 |
| D6 | -45.19705728 |
| D7 | 73.71459067 |
| D8 | -166.14796306 |
| D9 | -178.24447122 |
| D10 | -58.36206518 |
| D11 | 61.71525372 |
| D12 | -124.38841298 |
| D13 | -176.15896492 |


| $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{Oj}) \mathrm{OCC}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B13 | 1.09239103 |
| H | 1 | B1 |  |  |  |  |  | B14 | 1.09187197 |
| H | 1 | B2 | 2 | A1 |  |  |  | A1 | 108.38659870 |
| H | 1 | B3 | 3 | A2 | 2 | D1 | 0 | A2 | 108.33653870 |
| C | 1 | B4 | 3 | A3 | 4 | D2 | 0 | A3 | 110.57533880 |
| H | 5 | B5 | 1 | A4 | 3 | D3 | 0 | A4 | 110.73881852 |
| H | 5 | B6 | 1 | A5 | 3 | D4 | 0 | A5 | 111.09975378 |
| O | 5 | B7 | 1 | A6 | 3 | D5 | 0 | A6 | 108.17946199 |
| C | 8 | B8 | 5 | A7 | 1 | D6 |  | A7 | 115.07087712 |
| H | 9 | B9 | 8 | A8 | 5 | D7 | 0 | A8 | 109.07953268 |
| O | 9 | B10 | 8 | A9 | 5 | D8 | 0 | A9 | 116.39304185 |
| C | 9 | B11 | 8 | A10 | 5 | D9 | 0 | A10 | 107.59775802 |
| H | 12 | B12 | 9 | A11 | 8 | D10 |  | A11 | 109.90075365 |
| H | 12 | B13 | 9 | A12 | 8 | D11 | 0 | A12 | 108.99493464 |
| H | 12 | B14 | 9 | A13 | 8 | D12 | 0 | A13 | 108.90733872 |
| B1 | 1.09 | 543 |  |  |  |  |  | D1 | 117.55080255 |
| B2 | 1.09 | 113 |  |  |  |  |  | D2 | 121.75195553 |
| B3 | 1.09 | 956 |  |  |  |  |  | D3 | -179.89424073 |
| B4 | 1.51 | 039 |  |  |  |  |  | D4 | 60.22425108 |
| B5 | 1.10 | 773 |  |  |  |  |  | D5 | -60.25461481 |
| B6 | 1.09 | 032 |  |  |  |  |  | D6 | -176.72655579 |
| B7 | 1.43 | 938 |  |  |  |  |  | D7 | 64.27077070 |
| B8 | 1.40 | 997 |  |  |  |  |  | D8 | -52.62522432 |
| B9 | 1.12 | 781 |  |  |  |  |  | D9 | -178.44746497 |
| B10 |  | 0131 |  |  |  |  |  | D10 | -174.11976364 |
| B11 |  | 2852 |  |  |  |  |  | D11 | 65.66775686 |
| B12 |  | 2688 |  |  |  |  |  | D12 | -53.27643767 |
| CCQOC(Oj)C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ccc}\text { C } & \\ \mathrm{C} & 1 & \text { B1 }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B15 | 1.09093687 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B16 | 1.09160905 |
| H | 1 | B2 | 2 | A1 |  |  |  | A1 | 110.51515119 |
| H | 1 | B3 | 2 | A2 | 3 | D1 | 0 | A2 | 109.42244714 |
| H | 1 | B4 | 2 | A3 | 4 | D2 | 0 | A3 | 108.66638483 |
| H | 2 | B5 | 1 | A4 | 5 | D3 | 0 | A4 | 112.26034999 |
| O | 2 | B6 | 1 | A5 | 5 | D4 | 0 | A5 | 110.23966774 |
| O | 2 | B7 | 1 | A6 | 7 | D5 | 0 | A6 | 105.94876716 |
| C | 7 | B8 | 2 | A7 | 1 | D6 | 0 | A7 | 115.30903469 |
| O | 8 | B9 | 2 | A8 | 1 | D7 | 0 | A8 | 107.07313146 |
| H | 10 | B10 | 8 | A9 | 2 | D8 | 0 | A9 | 101.08292855 |
| C | 9 | B11 | 7 | A10 | 2 | D9 | 0 | A10 | 107.39984457 |
| H | 9 | B12 | 7 | A11 | 2 | D10 | 0 | A11 | 109.49483125 |
| O | 9 | B13 | 7 | A12 | 2 | D11 | 0 | A12 | 114.12264164 |
| H | 12 | B14 | 9 | A13 | 7 | D12 | 0 | A13 | 109.81699641 |
| H | 12 | B15 | 9 | A14 | 7 | D13 | 0 | A14 | 109.04621996 |
| H | 12 | B16 | 9 | A15 | 7 | D14 | 0 | A15 | 108.97390840 |
| B1 | 1.51 | 395 |  |  |  |  |  | D1 | -120.67577149 |
| B2 | 1.09 | 062 |  |  |  |  |  | D2 | -118.98994623 |
| B3 | 1.09 | 238 |  |  |  |  |  | D3 | 59.21927072 |
| B4 | 1.09 | 567 |  |  |  |  |  | D4 | -63.37197681 |
| B5 | 1.09 | 135 |  |  |  |  |  | D5 | -118.81403492 |
| B6 | 1.40 | 339 |  |  |  |  |  | D6 | 133.10080359 |
| B7 | 1.41 | 364 |  |  |  |  |  | D7 | -175.81865792 |
| B8 | 1.41 | 198 |  |  |  |  |  | D8 | -104.76464078 |
| B9 | 1.42 | 158 |  |  |  |  |  | D9 | 174.86205925 |
| B10 |  | 7257 |  |  |  |  |  | D10 | 55.17351497 |
| B11 |  | 0778 |  |  |  |  |  | D11 | -61.83825296 |
| B12 |  | 7602 |  |  |  |  |  | D12 | -177.20719437 |
| B13 |  | 7361 |  |  |  |  |  | D13 | -56.32424840 |
| B14 |  | 0526 |  |  |  |  |  | D14 | 62.51776550 |

Table F. 2 Vibrational Frequencies for Diethyl Ether Species in Systems Vibrational Frequencies

| Species | Frequencies ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCOCC | 102.0259 | 110.9764 | 198.4773 |
|  | 249.7608 | 255.1706 | 437.8580 |
|  | 448.6385 | 813.9835 | 828.6783 |
|  | 876.2860 | 960.4232 | 1088.9621 |
|  | 1110.9538 | 1174.4313 | 1199.0469 |
|  | 1203.0667 | 1214.8473 | 1301.7305 |
|  | 1313.5761 | 1387.7956 | 1406.5038 |
|  | 1427.1354 | 1466.9466 | 1494.2746 |
|  | 1494.5514 | 1507.7780 | 1510.7639 |
|  | 1524.3833 | 1543.1286 | 3002.0073 |
|  | 3010.8322 | 3037.1708 | 3040.4401 |
|  | 3077.5660 | 3078.0280 | 3156.9967 |
|  | 3157.2212 | 3167.3107 | 3167.4759 |
| CjCOCC | 86.8023 | 153.4439 | 191.9950 |
|  | $246.0055$ | $289.7327$ | 386.8128 |
|  | 484.3976 | 556.7114 | 827.0679 |
|  | 863.2408 | 875.4560 | 1008.8620 |
|  | 1079.2666 | 1125.0652 | 1157.8295 |
|  | 1188.3409 | 1196.8526 | 1300.4530 |
|  | 1308.8960 | 1386.2665 | 1402.9805 |
|  | 1442.3284 | 1472.7732 | 1488.2768 |
|  | 1490.5866 | 1508.2594 | 1535.0631 |
|  | 3013.3837 | 3018.6841 | 3054.3671 |
|  | 3058.9235 | 3072.1787 | 3152.2414 |
| CCjOCC | 81.1715 | 101.5231 | 180.6200 |
|  | 194.3052 | 243.9079 | 433.3320 |
|  | 454.9513 | 624.4745 | 810.0138 |
|  | 878.2012 | 973.6373 | 1027.5090 |
|  | 1109.7798 | 1130.8688 | 1182.1878 |
|  | 1192.7615 | 1283.7251 | 1304.3927 |
|  | 1377.4398 | 1401.1260 | 1427.1543 |
|  | 1465.1802 | 1473.3962 | 1492.8000 |
|  | 1501.9140 | 1507.7153 | 1528.7631 |
|  | 3017.3053 | 3032.9284 | 3073.6835 |
|  | 3076.9272 | 3099.1392 | 3143.5515 |
|  | 3155.6511 | 3157.2609 | 3161.6381 |
| CCQOCC | 50.2444 | 99.8056 | 141.5903 |
|  | 190.2899 | 216.0769 | 253.6264 |
|  | 290.6670 | 335.1003 | 385.3598 |
|  | 437.7725 | 561.8301 | 706.9835 |
|  | 817.3238 | 858.6624 | 907.0918 |
|  | 975.6760 | 1010.1329 | 1109.8774 |
|  | 1131.2030 | 1149.6690 | 1185.5936 |
|  | 1194.4353 | 1214.8421 | 1306.3073 |
|  | 1364.9810 | 1378.3706 | 1406.9120 |
|  | 1426.5295 | 1433.0226 | 1455.0982 |
|  | 1486.8707 | 1490.3964 | 1498.0390 |
|  | 1507.7823 | 1537.4234 | 3039.1332 |
|  | 3063.8489 | 3072.4352 | 3087.1146 |
|  | 3091.0022 | 3155.3370 | 3161.2310 |
|  | 3171.1714 | 3190.7134 | 3782.4483 |
| CCQjOCC |  | $98.2266$ | 158.3238 |
|  | $204.8563$ | $231.3409$ | 287.3849 |
|  | 322.4017 | 355.8255 | 464.7921 |
|  | 525.6736 | 706.8572 | 791.1481 |
|  | 807.5130 | 880.4736 | 959.8572 |
|  | 1064.3139 | 1104.0705 | 1130.2877 |
|  | 1155.6200 | 1196.1588 | 1230.5742 |
|  | 1258.3558 | 1343.9835 | 1381.9616 |
|  | 1391.8784 | 1413.3761 | 1429.7693 |
|  | 1439.7103 | 1489.1513 | 1497.4737 |
|  | 1500.2375 | 1502.9016 | 1523.1321 |
|  | 3066.7404 | 3083.6127 | 3089.5354 |
|  | 3091.1579 | 3138.6246 | 3153.7461 |
|  | 3163.5311 | 3180.6965 | 3187.7310 |
| CjCQOCC |  |  |  |
|  | 198.8635 | $213.7878$ | $245.8583$ |
|  | 274.0057 | 328.7085 | 387.0447 |
|  | 438.3828 | 460.9721 | 574.1100 |
|  | 759.6647 | 820.7737 | 885.7283 |
|  | 914.2164 | 972.9989 | 1034.5472 |
|  | 1108.8716 | 1135.4964 | 1182.6100 |
|  | 1187.8602 | 1197.4839 | 1306.3281 |
|  | 1355.0109 | 1360.6075 | 1406.3099 |
|  | 1435.5010 | 1440.8798 | 1465.2266 |
|  | 1489.6872 | 1508.7110 | 1537.9604 |
|  | 3019.0223 | 3041.5650 | 3071.9857 |
|  | 3095.1845 | 3155.8904 | 3159.3708 |
|  | 3218.8287 | 3342.4921 | 3792.0621 |
| CCQOCjC |  |  |  |
|  | $185.8315$ | $198.1691$ | $223.3145$ |
|  | 311.7800 | 372.2049 | 398.2943 |
|  | 439.7671 | 553.5785 | 587.1858 |
|  | 715.8986 | 859.6809 | 917.4456 |
|  | 984.5603 | 1013.7038 | 1025.8357 |
|  | 1136.4256 | 1144.3239 | 1162.8296 |


|  | 1201.4512 | 1261.4220 | 1351.8269 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1381.8219 | 1397.9249 | 1433.2477 |
|  | 1438.4619 | 1456.0613 | 1474.8364 |
|  | 1486.9975 | 1495.7793 | 1500.1910 |
|  | 3017.0494 | 3077.2929 | 3088.2601 |
|  | 3103.0036 | 3156.7974 | 3172.7651 |
|  | 3184.2053 | 3192.9842 | 3748.3402 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{OCC}$ | 41.8826 | 70.3949 | 155.2911 |
|  | 198.2602 | 268.7952 | 377.9570 |
|  | 434.9324 | 607.3142 | 650.9286 |
|  | 805.5599 | 886.1846 | 975.3185 |
|  | 1020.6256 | 1066.5866 | 1116.3415 |
|  | 1155.9896 | 1185.5939 | 1299.3877 |
|  | 1310.7710 | 1390.5299 | 1416.2828 |
|  | 1444.8946 | 1478.2192 | 1485.7406 |
|  | 1498.6876 | 1507.4109 | 1528.3165 |
|  | 1864.6015 | 3082.2861 | 3086.6737 |
|  | 3098.0602 | 3142.7739 | 3162.5851 |
|  | 3164.7545 | 3171.6911 | 3206.5096 |
| CCQOCQC | 39.9102 | 136.1067 | 181.2892 |
|  | 218.5225 | 224.1488 | 248.6708 |
|  | 252.0733 | 293.8552 | 335.3616 |
|  | 362.0083 | 440.2215 | 459.4595 |
|  | 490.8364 | 567.8216 | 631.7011 |
|  | 671.5038 | 744.0508 | 815.8473 |
|  | 865.8353 | 924.0769 | 962.8899 |
|  | 1004.7543 | 1014.7408 | 1118.8516 |
|  | 1121.9008 | 1154.7140 | 1167.2254 |
|  | 1191.6641 | 1205.7477 | 1367.7328 |
|  | 1376.8355 | 1380.9492 | 1419.0010 |
|  | 1425.7148 | 1435.2095 | 1464.9145 |
|  | 1484.1213 | 1489.8068 | 1491.6859 |
|  | 1499.6381 | 1506.5814 | 3085.4161 |
|  | 3088.9761 | 3094.0577 | 3138.4073 |
|  | 3176.1815 | 3176.9110 | 3186.8646 |
|  | 3190.1929 | 3676.7866 | 3737.3895 |
| CjCQOCQC |  | 131.3953 | $146.8362$ |
|  |  | 219.1963 288.7617 | 229.4672 332.4081 |
|  | 248.9987 360.3663 | 288.7617 440.0675 | 332.4081 453.8545 |
|  | 480.1051 | 512.0173 | 575.3114 |
|  | 631.4695 | 692.1806 | 804.7411 |
|  | 833.4390 | 875.4334 | 924.3182 |
|  | 984.8340 | 1001.8536 | 1030.8582 |
|  | 1117.3523 | 1129.9860 | 1151.5510 |
|  | 1182.8716 | 1192.8087 | 1352.1026 |
|  | 1372.6433 | 1385.3766 | 1400.0361 |
|  | 1434.6830 | 1450.8404 | 1458.6251 |
|  | 1485.2622 | 1490.4625 | 1500.0861 |
|  | 3078.2349 | 3079.6954 | 3090.7070 |
|  | 3179.5147 | 3187.1198 | 3203.4906 |
|  | 3329.5009 | 3664.4895 | 3734.6336 |
| CCQjOCQC | 76.2494 | 107.0755 | 132.9280 |
|  | 184.3129 | 221.1806 | 233.7612 |
|  | 274.6777 | 292.4971 | 332.2084 |
|  | 374.3173 | 424.0749 | 446.3988 |
|  | 487.6981 | 513.0652 | 656.9722 |
|  | 712.7776 | 841.1931 | 860.3345 |
|  | 918.7524 | 974.5226 | 1007.2768 |
|  | 1081.4007 | 1122.2181 | 1142.8050 |
|  | 1157.3702 | 1208.1303 | 1263.8861 |
|  | 1316.4243 | 1353.1923 | 1378.8564 |
|  | 1386.7936 | 1402.1343 | 1423.7089 |
|  | 1440.2449 | 1459.8350 | 1489.9159 |
|  | 1495.6153 | 1501.3984 | 1513.7311 |
|  | 3087.2444 | 3091.8270 | 3111.0381 |
|  | 3168.5288 | 3174.6502 | 3179.4320 |
|  | 3192.4710 | 3193.0681 | 3770.8793 |
| YCOCOCQC | 76.7401 | 111.9285 | 196.3171 |
|  | 210.2312 | 219.4722 | 307.8677 |
|  | 337.7141 | 458.8290 | 513.2698 |
|  | 556.8745 | 569.3243 | 644.3566 |
|  | 849.5533 | 895.7136 | 911.3821 |
|  | 940.4460 | 1034.6662 | 1058.6587 |
|  | 1101.9143 | 1134.7481 | 1155.9399 |
|  | 1174.8196 | 1178.6217 | 1200.4732 |
|  | 1230.3877 | 1324.7396 | 1370.3604 |
|  | 1391.7656 | 1428.8236 | 1443.6417 |
|  | 1458.8697 | 1490.2839 | 1499.2999 |
|  | 1545.5290 | 3082.6682 | 3088.4011 |
|  | 3141.2824 | 3164.3843 | 3177.7660 |
|  | 3186.1671 | 3242.9431 | 3769.9579 |
| CCQOC(=O)C | 86.2521 | 117.4148 | 130.2530 |
|  | 209.5659 | 241.5056 | 262.5199 |
|  | 328.1830 | 351.8525 | 433.7273 |
|  | 494.3033 | 594.8128 | 618.7506 |
|  | 634.9977 | 736.6094 | 820.1736 |
|  | 907.7120 | 945.6324 | 1017.3791 |


|  | 1038.9412 | 1070.5221 | 1113.9402 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1175.8337 | 1188.7709 | 1312.5574 |
|  | 1379.3679 | 1405.3612 | 1428.6522 |
|  | 1443.4841 | 1477.9024 | 1485.3827 |
|  | 1488.4867 | 1492.3584 | 1500.6356 |
|  | 1833.2531 | 3092.4832 | 3092.6887 |
|  | 3163.8835 | 3168.8759 | 3177.4241 |
|  | 3199.9329 | 3218.2264 | 3658.2284 |
| CCQOC=C | 79.3031 | 145.8436 | 200.7567 |
|  | 225.6767 | 229.5079 | 263.6321 |
|  | 319.1301 | 395.0570 | 461.2756 |
|  | 640.4906 | 697.4284 | 736.9804 |
|  | 854.5436 | 898.5374 | 926.9408 |
|  | 990.8981 | 1015.1823 | 1016.5668 |
|  | 1124.2550 | 1145.5158 | 1192.9121 |
|  | 1251.9808 | 1359.4569 | 1369.3333 |
|  | 1387.6224 | 1414.4543 | 1435.3020 |
|  | 1448.8152 | 1490.7495 | 1501.8727 |
|  | 1722.3202 | 3088.0924 | 3105.0874 |
|  | 3173.6805 | 3192.4263 | 3205.6916 |
|  | 3233.0439 | 3302.7809 | 3819.4725 |
| CCQOC(Oj)C | 79.3031 | 145.8436 | 200.7567 |
|  | 225.6767 | 229.5079 | 263.6321 |
|  | 319.1301 | 395.0570 | 461.2756 |
|  | 640.4906 | 697.4284 | 736.9804 |
|  | 854.5436 | 898.5374 | 926.9408 |
|  | 990.8981 | 1015.1823 | 1016.5668 |
|  | 1124.2550 | 1145.5158 | 1192.9121 |
|  | 1251.9808 | 1359.4569 | 1369.3333 |
|  | 1387.6224 | 1414.4543 | 1435.3020 |
|  | 1448.8152 | 1490.7495 | 1501.8727 |
|  | 1722.3202 | 3088.0924 | 3105.0874 |
|  | 3173.6805 | 3192.4263 | 3205.6916 |
|  | 3233.0439 | 3302.7809 | 3819.4725 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{Oj}) \mathrm{OC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{C}$ | 88.6115 | 101.8724 | 135.4091 |
|  | 203.4067 | 226.0165 | 330.0684 |
|  | 432.5168 | 442.3629 | 526.5914 |
|  | 614.4954 | 644.9525 | 868.6229 |
|  | 945.1876 | 982.3837 | 1015.8169 |
|  | 1048.1888 | 1072.4988 | 1097.9564 |
|  | 1164.3399 | 1220.7149 | 1299.5897 |
|  | 1358.3965 | 1399.9220 | 1425.1410 |
|  | 1480.6314 | 1485.6591 | 1495.5354 |
|  | 1497.8856 | 1853.9363 | 2998.5789 |
|  | 3094.4363 | 3096.5250 | 3171.1169 |
|  | 3191.6736 | 3202.7106 | 3215.0786 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(=0) \mathrm{OC}(=0)$ | 49.6668 | 106.4653 | 129.6551 |
|  | 229.7448 | 403.5838 | 564.0752 |
|  | 589.0925 | 651.9848 | 981.9548 |
|  | 1006.7100 | 1061.9497 | 1069.1474 |
|  | 1121.4374 | 1252.6065 | 1399.4875 |
|  | 1417.0395 | 1471.3703 | 1479.0542 |
|  | 1899.0793 | 1926.7364 | 3089.7839 |
|  | 3167.5240 | 3168.5030 | 3209.7117 |
| YCOCOCC | 70.4305 | 109.6337 | 199.4732 |
|  | 257.1510 | 385.9740 | 464.1282 |
|  | 583.7945 | 825.8145 | 844.8627 |
|  | 903.0799 | 930.1475 | 1024.9913 |
|  | 1100.7016 | 1114.0146 | 1162.2910 |
|  | 1180.5250 | 1183.7985 | 1190.3606 |
|  | 1235.6943 | 1309.9374 | 1332.8466 |
|  | 1399.6743 | 1433.0861 | 1470.1715 |
|  | 1494.1283 | 1512.1247 | 1533.7110 |
|  | 1560.5307 | 3040.7823 | 3089.9892 |
|  | 3105.7392 | 3143.2100 | 3154.3292 |
|  | 3179.1332 | 3182.5478 | 3250.1364 |
| TS1 |  | 51.3791 | 116.4422 |
|  | 130.6544 | 199.7541 | 207.2073 |
|  | 247.7093 | 365.7240 | 383.1240 |
|  | 463.0798 | 569.6859 | 688.7871 |
|  | 817.0936 | 870.6829 | 922.6288 |
|  | 943.4823 | 1010.0070 | 1070.6155 |
|  | 1105.6054 | 1127.2766 | 1142.3325 |
|  | 1183.1752 | 1288.9824 | 1306.3567 |
|  | 1318.4626 | 1402.0863 | 1412.5823 |
|  | 1444.3363 | 1482.3744 | 1489.7897 |
|  | 1490.4763 | 1508.1472 | 1526.8839 |
|  | 1967.2829 | 3069.2701 | 3072.9061 |
|  | 3075.9950 | 3139.6064 | 3157.6537 |
|  | 3162.6362 | 3165.3275 | 3189.9217 |
| TS2 |  | 67.1069 | 91.6761 |
|  | 188.4589 | 207.7252 | 261.2280 |
|  | 363.1147 | 411.7769 | 465.9047 |
|  | 579.6637 | 640.3389 | 814.3110 |
|  | 826.8075 | 885.1375 | 931.8652 |
|  | 944.9294 | 987.0896 | 1050.7680 |
|  | 1103.2664 | 1109.4440 | 1148.4984 |
|  | 1176.8992 | 1184.5434 | 1226.9096 |
|  | 1308.8760 | 1326.4972 | 1374.6178 |
|  | 1404.6308 | 1442.8340 | 1461.1163 |
|  | 1489.3206 | 1507.5441 | 1532.3123 |
|  | 1763.2264 | 3032.2201 | 3067.7475 |
|  | 3071.8624 | 3109.6245 | 3149.8471 |


|  | 3155.2716 | 3161.8656 | 3254.4798 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TS3 |  | 124.2444 | 177.1988 |
|  | 204.6026 | 214.0683 | 283.8292 |
|  | 319.4723 | 414.7591 | 470.3024 |
|  | 500.2207 | 570.5795 | 630.3217 |
|  | 863.0963 | 882.8211 | 898.6624 |
|  | 979.3114 | 1074.7291 | 1111.8954 |
|  | 1141.3947 | 1156.1650 | 1178.2023 |
|  | 1196.8784 | 1231.0805 | 1295.2356 |
|  | 1355.6550 | 1377.6288 | 1395.7453 |
|  | 1426.6215 | 1452.8480 | 1486.0238 |
|  | 1491.2701 | 1494.7120 | 1497.5541 |
|  | 1661.8040 | 3064.6852 | 3073.1136 |
|  | 3080.5673 | 3088.5117 | 3142.1446 |
|  | 3172.0483 | 3179.9254 | 3182.9747 |
| TS4 |  | 128.6196 | 185.8209 |
|  | 203.2011 | 289.0704 | 329.6295 |
|  | 363.6793 | 434.4996 | 539.9925 |
|  | 566.8330 | 592.6930 | 679.5581 |
|  | 832.2415 | 865.7838 | 892.4165 |
|  | 953.1436 | 1070.2505 | 1072.9146 |
|  | 1090.5063 | 1130.1287 | 1160.3731 |
|  | 1181.7990 | 1196.9239 | 1239.0291 |
|  | 1317.8465 | 1370.7124 | 1384.6034 |
|  | 1412.9227 | 1436.2533 | 1444.7103 |
|  | 1488.1026 | 1491.7063 | 1494.5714 |
|  | 1498.5031 | 3039.5468 | 3080.2484 |
|  | 3087.0068 | 3099.6198 | 3126.3357 |
|  | 3177.3050 | 3181.8460 | 3225.4252 |
| TS5 |  | 39.6316 | 76.7415 |
|  | 130.6798 | 177.2101 | 190.5032 |
|  | 235.7939 | 250.6331 | 310.2956 |
|  | 336.2029 | 409.5750 | 436.8555 |
|  | 527.8840 | 583.9542 | 647.4152 |
|  | 701.4579 | 823.7461 | 862.3797 |
|  | 906.1356 | 942.2592 | 953.9042 |
|  | 984.0846 | 1011.9997 | 1059.5558 |
|  | 1112.3344 | 1132.6836 | 1144.1045 |
|  | 1161.0973 | 1187.0381 | 1210.5338 |
|  | 1331.8092 | 1359.0369 | 1381.4426 |
|  | 1399.6254 | 1415.6682 | 1438.7238 |
|  | 1455.3861 | 1488.9938 | 1498.9579 |
|  | 1755.3226 | 3082.5014 | 3122.5483 |
|  | 3130.5206 | 3155.4292 | 3169.6725 |
|  | 3181.9584 | 3265.4407 | 3851.6372 |
| TS6 |  | 89.0205 | 117.3079 |
|  | 142.0204 | 190.3740 | 230.9573 |
|  | 271.9436 | 298.8534 | 359.7556 |
|  | 375.7672 | 426.9931 | 445.4383 |
|  | 531.8967 | 577.7127 | 590.8928 |
|  | 668.8878 | 700.3437 | 870.6671 |
|  | 894.7378 | 941.6971 | 986.8234 |
|  | 993.3964 | 1076.8950 | 1098.9679 |
|  | 1122.6930 | 1159.2990 | 1174.5594 |
|  | 1179.0013 | 1202.3648 | 1230.4002 |
|  | 1356.3117 | 1366.9116 | 1378.6339 |
|  | 1409.6675 | 1431.5011 | 1445.8129 |
|  | 1451.6113 | 1481.2429 | 1491.1514 |
|  | 1497.4797 | 3086.0739 | 3087.2546 |
|  | 3089.9234 | 3140.1796 | 3177.9241 |
|  | 3184.3243 | 3247.3458 | 3797.5464 |
| TS7 | -1817.4730 | 89.0205 | 117.3079 |
|  | 142.0204 | 190.3740 | 230.9573 |
|  | 271.9436 | 298.8534 | 359.7556 |
|  | 375.7672 | 426.9931 | 445.4383 |
|  | 531.8967 | 577.7127 | 590.8928 |
|  | 668.8878 | 700.3437 | 870.6671 |
|  | 894.7378 | 941.6971 | 986.8234 |
|  | 993.3964 | 1076.8950 | 1098.9679 |
|  | 1122.6930 | 1159.2990 | 1174.5594 |
|  | 1179.0013 | 1202.3648 | 1230.4002 |
|  | 1356.3117 | 1366.9116 | 1378.6339 |
|  | 1409.6675 | 1431.5011 | 1445.8129 |
|  | 1451.6113 | 1481.2429 | 1491.1514 |
|  | 1497.4797 | 3086.0739 | 3087.2546 |
|  | 3089.9234 | 3140.1796 | 3177.9241 |
|  | 3184.3243 | 3247.3458 | 3797.5464 |
| TS8 |  | 67.9154 | 121.0448 |
|  | 162.3389 | 207.4575 | 216.9654 |
|  | 241.7535 | 299.6244 | 313.8290 |
|  | 336.5939 | 366.6616 | 437.1097 |
|  | 443.6535 | 545.4585 | 565.4943 |
|  | 621.3551 | 666.9544 | 873.6833 |
|  | 901.3049 | 924.6145 | 1009.9151 |
|  | 1050.2788 | 1068.0290 | 1102.9543 |
|  | 1132.3954 | 1161.9728 | 1187.6180 |
|  | 1241.0784 | 1305.9291 | 1330.0267 |
|  | 1382.5690 | 1386.1455 | 1413.6744 |
|  | 1427.8969 | 1447.3121 | 1484.9086 |
|  | 1489.8742 | 1490.8234 | 1498.5274 |
|  | 1646.9925 | 3073.1154 | 3092.4909 |
|  | 3135.5163 | 3163.6238 | 3183.4036 |


|  | 3188.6569 | 3198.9885 | 3775.8395 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCOCCQ | 51.6373 | 74.0281 | 122.9325 |
|  | 136.7054 | 169.1479 | 185.7242 |
|  | 253.7602 | 314.7200 | 382.4897 |
|  | 445.7456 | 554.3158 | 816.5369 |
|  | 833.6673 | 864.1102 | 903.0777 |
|  | 1036.8032 | 1053.8286 | 1095.2112 |
|  | 1157.9709 | 1171.8301 | 1176.0609 |
|  | 1204.0468 | 1257.5610 | 1310.2785 |
|  | 1318.0672 | 1343.9076 | 1366.3479 |
|  | 1413.5485 | 1439.0277 | 1471.8285 |
|  | 1500.7630 | 1509.7897 | 1527.0938 |
|  | 1543.0268 | 1558.9941 | 3017.0933 |
|  | 3036.1610 | 3053.9226 | 3083.5038 |
|  | 3087.2717 | 3090.9125 | 3157.3454 |
|  | 3162.4036 | 3172.7024 | 3798.2026 |
| CCOCjCQ |  | 63.8895 | 90.2951 |
|  | 146.5001 | 201.8012 | 215.2447 |
|  | 250.9596 | 290.5241 | 344.8951 |
|  | 435.6269 | 530.0761 | 589.5490 |
|  | 828.7301 | 857.9216 | 869.4974 |
|  | 955.6309 | 982.6444 | 1088.4034 |
|  | 1123.4928 | 1174.9203 | 1192.3271 |
|  | 1248.7091 | 1282.6315 | 1312.6590 |
|  | 1324.7832 | 1373.4348 | 1410.5110 |
|  | 1443.3969 | 1486.3113 | 1510.4174 |
|  | 1521.3449 | 1527.3440 | 1544.8033 |
|  | 3057.6911 | 3081.7587 | 3087.3362 |
|  | 3105.0423 | 3140.5671 | 3167.3163 |
|  | 3178.3617 | 3209.9463 | 3795.0246 |
| CCjOCCQ |  | 112.0326 | 138.4752 |
|  | 189.1015 | 243.2308 | 245.2746 |
|  | 303.1381 | 335.4096 | 379.3732 |
|  | 491.1846 | 564.8491 | 641.4337 |
|  | 790.6023 | 897.9627 | 957.0044 |
|  | 1024.0770 | 1053.8084 | 1083.3531 |
|  | 1120.2939 | 1137.1645 | 1180.4219 |
|  | 1259.6616 | 1303.3383 | 1327.3918 |
|  | 1345.2271 | 1387.2150 | 1417.4084 |
|  | 1435.4308 | 1442.6667 | 1479.4466 |
|  | 1489.7407 | 1501.9229 | 1531.1955 |
|  | 2996.6549 | 3088.5276 | 3093.4137 |
|  | 3098.9082 | 3142.3561 | 3144.7578 |
|  | 3162.5106 | 3222.8269 | 3848.4240 |
| CjCOCCQ |  | 72.6178 | 138.6311 |
|  | 176.2393 | 202.6169 | 216.8330 |
|  | 269.6393 | 347.0116 | 391.1964 |
|  | 463.5652 | 542.4921 | 550.3040 |
|  | 818.0180 | 851.2685 | 958.3677 |
|  | 971.8639 | 1068.2952 | 1100.2575 |
|  | 1120.8984 | 1138.2998 | 1177.5319 |
|  | 1198.4360 | 1260.1599 | 1298.2153 |
|  | 1311.6473 | 1347.8749 | 1390.0439 |
|  | 1404.5352 | 1453.2764 | 1466.1044 |
|  | 1485.3368 | 1488.3534 | 1534.0922 |
|  | 3021.1134 | 3032.6757 | 3064.4709 |
|  | 3076.6630 | 3090.2766 | 3145.0698 |


|  | 3188.4943 | 3302.8086 | 3836.0621 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCOCCQj |  | 87.2294 | 123.1432 |
|  | 170.1775 | 265.5518 | 301.5258 |
|  | 352.4518 | 466.8629 | 494.0136 |
|  | 572.9292 | 797.0483 | 832.5396 |
|  | 880.8127 | 922.1210 | 1033.6522 |
|  | 1069.1502 | 1102.0004 | 1130.5076 |
|  | 1187.6368 | 1220.6607 | 1264.1111 |
|  | 1314.2548 | 1322.2298 | 1343.2654 |
|  | 1384.2555 | 1399.1357 | 1417.8639 |
|  | 1430.6305 | 1477.2044 | 1491.0704 |
|  | 1501.6048 | 1504.7328 | 1519.3381 |
|  | 3035.0536 | 3050.3263 | 3057.3219 |
|  | 3105.9233 | 3122.5934 | 3125.4890 |
|  | 3143.7698 | 3150.4432 | 3181.2964 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{OCC}$ | 75.3225 | 137.5678 | 226.1221 |
|  | 266.1391 | 282.6642 | 348.0422 |
|  | 394.8579 | 445.9802 | 492.3010 |
|  | 673.7625 | 827.9706 | 846.4051 |
|  | 920.1043 | 979.1780 | 1068.0903 |
|  | 1096.1416 | 1144.2468 | 1162.9295 |
|  | 1190.8745 | 1215.0171 | 1285.5061 |
|  | 1315.0523 | 1394.6668 | 1401.2994 |
|  | 1416.9472 | 1440.3898 | 1466.4114 |
|  | 1491.2583 | 1496.4650 | 1504.8608 |
|  | 1511.3754 | 1537.8041 | 3048.0541 |
|  | 3068.1390 | 3084.1215 | 3094.3292 |
|  | 3100.7179 | 3150.6421 | 3157.4906 |
|  | 3169.9390 | 3176.7152 | 3886.4389 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{Oj}) \mathrm{OCC}$ |  | 124.1797 | 189.5742 |
|  | 211.3908 | 262.9335 | 341.8948 |
|  | 407.2323 | 473.2907 | 612.7571 |
|  | 817.4170 | 863.6603 | 914.3057 |
|  | 961.2582 | 1023.3943 | 1088.3583 |
|  | 1116.0547 | 1183.2342 | 1187.7441 |
|  | 1210.6229 | 1224.8833 | 1307.0512 |
|  | 1325.7089 | 1391.0064 | 1402.9752 |
|  | 1446.4555 | 1488.6556 | 1493.0206 |
|  | 1498.8042 | 1508.9726 | 1534.4568 |
|  | 2903.5765 | 3019.8487 | 3074.5287 |
|  | 3089.3753 | 3093.8915 | 3158.4300 |
|  | 3161.2896 | 3180.8019 | 3195.4955 |
| CCQOC(Oj)C |  | 69.9115 | 166.6282 |
|  | 190.8322 | 224.2177 | 232.5093 |
|  | 272.3629 | 322.4039 | 358.3750 |
|  | 397.3922 | 467.3081 | 505.9236 |
|  | 570.7950 | 643.8906 | 865.0607 |
|  | 904.7001 | 928.7351 | 973.5477 |
|  | 1020.6958 | 1043.9909 | 1107.9950 |
|  | 1135.8312 | 1167.2250 | 1194.2501 |
|  | 1211.2708 | 1227.5774 | 1312.0667 |
|  | 1360.3308 | 1396.9576 | 1402.3270 |
|  | 1418.9652 | 1454.8406 | 1486.8829 |
|  | 1491.1520 | 1498.1117 | 1500.9966 |
|  | 2923.3809 | 3084.5215 | 3089.4759 |
|  | 3092.6862 | 3175.2238 | 3181.6420 |
|  | 3183.1662 | 3198.9206 | 3824.8173 |

Figure F. 1 Potential Energy Profiles of Species in Diethyl Ether Oxidation System
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Figure F. 1 Potential Energy Profiles of Species in Diethyl Ether Oxidation System (Continued)
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Figure F. 1 Potential Energy Profiles of Species in Diethyl Ether Oxidation System (Continued)
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Figure F. 1 Potential Energy Profiles of Species in Diethyl Ether Oxidation System (Continued)


TS4 Rotors


TS5 Rotors


TS6 Rotors


TS7 Rotors


TS8 Rotors


Figure F. 1 Potential Energy Profiles of Species in Diethyl Ether Oxidation System (Continued)
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Figure F. 1 Potential Energy Profiles of Species in Diethyl Ether Oxidation System (Continued)
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Table F. 3 Isodesmic Reactions Calculations for Diethyl Ether Species in Systems

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (kcal/mol) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | ic | ork Reaction |  |  |  | M06-2x | WB97x | B2PLYP |
|  | CCOCC | + | C | $=$ | CCOC | + | CC |  | -60.7 | -60.8 | -61.0 |
|  | CCOCC | + | CC | $=$ | CCOC | + | CCC |  | -61.3 | -61.4 | -61.8 |
|  | CCOCC | + | CCOH | $=$ | CCOC | + | C 2 COH |  | -61.2 | -61.7 | -62.1 |
|  | CCOCC | + | COC | $=$ | CCOC | + | CCOC |  | -62.3 | -62.5 | -62.8 |
|  | CCOCC | + | C2COC | $=$ | CCOC | + | C3COC |  | -60.7 | -61.7 | -63.0 |
|  | CCOCC | + | CCOC | = | CCOC | + | C2COC |  | -60.3 | -60.8 | -61.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | average | -61.1 | -61.5 | -62.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.8 |
| No | CC.OCC | + | CCOH | $=$ | CCOCC | + | $\mathrm{CC} \cdot \mathrm{OH}$ |  | -17.2 | -17.0 | -17.4 |
|  | CC.OCC | + | CCOH | = | CCOCC | + | $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{COH}$ |  | -16.9 | -17.4 | -17.0 |
|  | CC.OCC | + | CCOH | $=$ | CCOCC | + | $\mathrm{CCC} \cdot \mathrm{OH}$ |  | -17.3 | -17.2 | -17.4 |
|  | CC.OCC | + | ССОС | $=$ | CCOCC | + | $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{COC}$ |  | -16.2 | -16.8 | -16.6 |
|  | CC.OCC | + | COC | = | CCOCC | + | $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{OC}$ |  | -16.2 | -16.9 | -16.6 |
|  | CC•OCC | + | CCOC | $=$ | CCOCC | + | $\mathrm{CC} \cdot \mathrm{OC}$ |  | -17.4 | -17.3 | -17.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | average | -16.8 | -17.1 | -17.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
|  | C. $\cdot \mathrm{COCC}$ | + | C | $=$ | CCOCC | + | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |  | -9.8 | -10.2 | -9.3 |
|  | $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{COCC}$ | + | CC | = | CCOCC | + | $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{C}$ |  | -10.0 | -9.8 | -9.5 |
|  | $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{COCC}$ | + | COC | = | CCOCC | + | $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{OC}$ |  | -9.8 | -9.1 | -8.9 |
|  | $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{COCC}$ | + | CCOC | = | CCOCC | + | C.COC |  | -8.7 | -8.6 | -8.3 |
|  | $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{COCC}$ | + | CCOH | $=$ | CCOCC | + | $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{COH}$ |  | -9.3 | -9.1 | -8.8 |
|  | $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{COCC}$ | + | CCCOH | $=$ | CCOCC | + | $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{CCOH}$ |  | -8.9 | -9.0 | -8.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | average | -9.4 | -9.3 | -8.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.4 |

Table F. 3 Isodesmic Reactions Calculations for Diethyl Ether Species in Systems (Continued)

| Isodesmic Work Reaction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M06-2x <br> -46.6 | WB97x <br> -46.2 | B2PLYP <br> -46.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCQOC $\cdot \mathrm{C}$ | + | CCOH | $=$ | CCQOCC | + | $\mathrm{CC} \cdot \mathrm{OH}$ |  |  |  |  |
| CCQOC $\cdot \mathrm{C}$ | + | ССОС | $=$ | CCQOCC | + | CC.OC |  | -47.2 | -46.9 | -47.1 |
| CCQOC $\cdot \mathrm{C}$ | + | CCOH | $=$ | CCQOCC | + | $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{COH}$ |  | -46.3 | -46.5 | -46.1 |
| CCQOC. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | + | CCCOH | $=$ | CCQOCC | + | $\mathrm{CCC} \cdot \mathrm{OH}$ |  | -46.8 | -46.3 | -46.5 |
| CCQOC ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | + | CCOC | $=$ | CCQOCC | + | CCOC• |  | -45.6 | -46.0 | -45.7 |
| CCQOC $\cdot \mathrm{C}$ | + | COC | = | CCQOCC | + | C.OC |  | -46.8 | -46.5 | -46.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | average | -46.5 | -46.4 | -46.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| CCQOCC $\cdot$ | + | CCOH | $=$ | CCQOCC | + | C. $\cdot \mathrm{COH}$ |  | -39.6 | -38.8 | -38.5 |
| CCQOCC $\cdot$ | + | CCOC | $=$ | CCQOCC | + | C.COC |  | -38.9 | -38.2 | -38.1 |
| CCQOCC $\cdot$ | + | CCOCOH | = | CCQOCC | + | C. COCOH |  | -44.0 | -43.4 | -43.2 |
| CCQOCC. | + | COC | $=$ | CCQOCC | + | C.OC |  | -40.1 | -38.7 | -38.7 |
| CCQOCC | + | CCCOH | = | CCQOCC | + | $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{CCOH}$ |  | -39.2 | -38.6 | -38.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | average | -40.4 | -39.5 | -39.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.9 |
| CCQ - Occ | + | CQ | $=$ | CCQOCC | + | CQ• |  | -52.2 | -52.3 | -52.5 |
| CCQ - Occ | + | CCQ | $=$ | CCQOCC | + | CCQ• |  | -52.6 | -52.8 | -52.9 |
| CCQ - OcC | + | CCCQ | = | CCQOCC | + | CCCQ• |  | -53.5 | -53.6 | -53.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | average | -52.8 | -52.9 | -53.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| $\operatorname{CCOC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | + | C | = | CCOCC | + | $\mathrm{C}(=0)$ |  | -106.9 | -107.5 | -106.5 |
| $\operatorname{CCOC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | $+$ | CC | $=$ | CCOCC | + | $\mathrm{CC}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  | -106.7 | -106.9 | -106.1 |
| $\operatorname{CCOC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | + | CCC | = | CCOCC | + | $\operatorname{CCC}(=0)$ |  | -107.7 | -107.7 | -107.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | average | -107.1 | -107.4 | -106.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.6 |

Table F. 3 Isodesmic Reactions Calculations for Diethyl Ether Species in Systems (Continued)

| Isodesmic Work Reaction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { M06-2x } \\ -122.1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { WB97x } \\ -120.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B2PLYP } \\ -119.5 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCQOCQC | + | C | $=$ | CCQOCC | + | CQ |  |  |  |  |
| CCQOCQC | + | CC | $=$ | CCQOCC | + | CCQ |  | -122.1 | -120.7 | -121.1 |
| CCQOCQC | + | C3C | $=$ | CCQOCC | + | C3CQ |  | -122.6 | -122.1 | -121.1 |
| CCQOCQC | + | COC | $=$ | CCQOCC | + | COCQ |  | -122.7 | -121.3 | -120.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average | -122.4 | -121.2 | -120.2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| CCQ $\cdot$ OCQC | + | CQ | $=$ | CCQOCQC | + | CQ• |  | -84.7 | -84.9 | -85.4 |
| CCQ•OCQC | + | CCQ | $=$ | CCQOCQC | + | CCQ• |  | -85.1 | -85.4 | -85.8 |
| CCQ•OCQC | + | CCCQ | $=$ | CCQOCQC | + | CCCQ• |  | -85.9 | -86.2 | -86.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | average | -85.2 | -85.5 | -85.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 0.65 | 0.63 | 0.59 |
| CCQOCQC• | + | CCOH | $=$ | CCQOCQC | + | C. COH |  | -70.7 | -70.5 | -70.4 |
| CCQOCQC• | + | CCOC | $=$ | CCQOCQC | + | C.COC |  | -70.1 | -70.0 | -70.0 |
| CCQOCQC $\cdot$ | + | CCOH | = | CCQOCQC | + | $\mathrm{CC} \cdot \mathrm{OH}$ |  | -71.0 | -70.1 | -70.7 |
| CCQOCQC• | + | COC | $=$ | CCQOCQC | + | $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{OC}$ |  | -71.2 | -70.4 | -70.6 |
| CCQOCQC | + | CCCOH | $=$ | CCQOCQC | + | $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{CCOH}$ |  | -70.3 | -70.3 | -70.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | average | -70.7 | -70.3 | -70.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(=0) \mathrm{OCQC}$ | + | COC | $=$ | $\operatorname{CCOC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | + | COCQ |  | -133.9 | -133.0 | -132.4 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(=0) \mathrm{OCQC}$ | + | C3C | = | $\operatorname{CCOC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | + | C3CQ |  | -133.8 | -133.9 | -133.0 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(=0) \mathrm{OCQC}$ | + | CC | = | $\operatorname{CCOC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | + | CCQ |  | -133.4 | -132.5 | -131.7 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(=0) \mathrm{OCQC}$ | + | CCC | $=$ | $\operatorname{CCOC}(=0) \mathrm{C}$ | + | CCCQ |  | -132.8 | -132.3 | -131.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | average | -133.5 | -132.9 | -132.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 |

Table F. 3 Isodesmic Reactions Calculations for Diethyl Ether Species in Systems (Continued)

| Isodesmic Work Reaction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | M06-2x | WB97x | B2PLYP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COCQC}$ | + | C | = | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COCC}$ | + | CQ |  | -62.9 | -62.2 | -61.8 |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COCQC}$ | + | CC | = | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COCC}$ | + | CCQ |  | -62.9 | -62.2 | -62.0 |
| C=COCQC | + | CCC | = | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COCC}$ | + | CCCQ |  | -62.3 | -62.0 | -61.7 |
| C=COCQC | + | C3C | = | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COCC}$ | + | C3CQ |  | -63.4 | -63.6 | -63.3 |
| C=COCQC | + | COC | = | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COCC}$ | + | COCQ |  | -63.5 | -62.7 | -62.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | average | -63.0 | -62.6 | -62.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev. | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Y(COC)OCQC | + | C | = | $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{OCC}$ | + | CQ |  | -102.6 | -102.0 | -101.2 |
| Y(COC)OCQC | + | CC | = | $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{OCC}$ | + | CCQ |  | -102.6 | -102.0 | -101.4 |
| Y(COC)OCQC | + | C3C | = | $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{OCC}$ | + | C3CQ |  | -103.1 | -103.4 | -102.7 |
| Y(COC)OCQC | + | COC | = | $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{OCC}$ | + | COCQ |  | -103.2 | -102.5 | -102.1 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | average | -102.9 | -102.5 | -101.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev | $\begin{aligned} & 0.3 \\ & -106.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7 \\ & -106.4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7 \\ & -105.9 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{OCC}$ | + | CCC | $=$ | CCOCC | + | $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{OCC}$ | + | CCCC | = | CCOCC | + | $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{CC}$ |  | -106.6 | -106.2 | -105.8 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{OCC}$ | + | Y(CCC) | $=$ | CCOCC | + | $\mathrm{Y}(\mathrm{CCC}) \mathrm{OH}$ |  | -106.5 | -105.7 | -105.5 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{OCC}$ | + | CCQ | = | CCOCC | + | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{CQ}$ |  | -106.3 | -105.7 | -105.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | average | -106.5 | -106.0 | -105.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2 \\ & -52.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4 \\ & -52.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.2 \\ & -52.3 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{OCCC}$ | + | CCOH | $=$ | $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{OCC}$ | + | CCO• |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O} \cdot$ ) OCC | + | CCCOH | = | $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{OCC}$ | + | CCCO• |  | -52.7 | -52.9 | -52.5 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O} \cdot$ ) OCC | + | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OH})$ | = | $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{OCC}$ | + | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{O} \cdot{ }^{\text {) }}\right.$ |  | -52.3 | -52.5 | -52.7 |
| $\mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{O}^{*}\right) \mathrm{OCC}$ | + | $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | = | $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{OCC}$ | + | $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{C}$ |  | -52.4 | -52.7 | -52.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | average | -52.5 | -52.7 | -52.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |

Table F. 3 Isodesmic Reactions Calculations for Diethyl Ether Species in Systems (Continued)

| Isodesmic Work Reaction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { M06-2x } \\ -78.5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WB97x } \\ & -78.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B2PLYP } \\ -78.1 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCOCCQ | + | C | = | CCOCC | + | CQ |  |  |  |  |
| CCOCCQ | + | CC | = | CCOCC | + | CCQ |  | -78.5 | -78.3 | -78.3 |
| CCOCCQ | + | CCC | = | CCOCC | + | CCCQ |  | -77.9 | -78.1 | -78.0 |
| CCOCCQ | + | COC | = | CCOCC | + | COCQ |  | -79.1 | -78.8 | -79.0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | average <br> st. dev | -78.5 | -78.3 | -78.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 |
| CCOCCQ• | + | CQ | = | CCOCCQ | + | CQ• |  | -45.5 | -45.3 | -45.3 |
| CCOCCQ• | + | CCQ | $=$ | CCOCCQ | + | CCQ• |  | -45.8 | -45.6 |  |
| CCOCCQ• | + | CCCQ | = | CCOCCQ | + | CCCQ• |  | -46.4 | -46.1 | -45.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | average | -45.9 | -45.7 | -45.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 |
| CCQOC(OH)C | + | CCC | $=$ | CCQOCC | + | $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ |  | -137.5 | -137.7 | -137.4 |
| CCQOC(OH)C | + | CQ | = | CCQOCC | + | CQOH |  | -137.1 | -137.0 | -137.2 |
| CCQOC(OH)C | + | CC | = | CCQOCC | + | CCOH |  | -138.0 | -137.8 | -137.5 |
| CCQOC(OH)C | + | CCC | = | CCQOCC | + | C 2 COH |  | -137.9 | -138.1 | -137.9 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | average | -137.6 | -137.6 | -137.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.23 |
| $\operatorname{CCQOC}\left(\mathrm{O}^{*}\right) \mathrm{C}$ | + | CCOH | = | CCQOC(OH)C | + | CCO• |  | -82.4 | -82.6 | -82.1 |
| CCQOC( ${ }^{\bullet}$ ) C | + | CCCOH | = | CCQOC(OH)C | + | CCCO• |  | -82.7 | -82.8 | -82.3 |
| CCQOC( $\mathrm{O}^{*}$ ) C | + | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OH})$ | = | CCQOC(OH)C | + | $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{O} \cdot{ }^{\text {) }}\right.$ |  | -82.3 | -82.3 | -82.5 |
| $\operatorname{CCQOC}\left(\mathrm{O}^{*}\right) \mathrm{C}$ | + | $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{C}$ | = | CCQOC(OH)C | + | $\mathrm{CC}\left(\mathrm{O}^{\bullet}\right) \mathrm{C}$ |  | -82.3 | -82.6 | -82.6 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | average | -82.4 | -82.6 | -82.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | st. dev | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 |
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