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# ABSTRACT <br> THERMOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF C3 TO C5 UNSATURATED CARBONYL ALKENES: ENTHALPIES OF FORMATION, ENTROPY, HEAT CAPACITY, BOND ENTHALPY 

by<br>Ruiqi Jian

$\alpha, \beta$ and $\alpha-\gamma$ unsaturated carbonyl compounds are important classes of carbonyl compounds with the general structure $R-(O=C)-C^{\alpha}=C^{\beta}-R$ ' and $R-\left(O=C^{\gamma}\right)-C^{\beta}-C^{\alpha}=C^{\beta}-R$. These compounds are utilized in industry and are also produced as intermediates of hydrocarbon oxidation in chemistry of the atmosphere and in combustion. These unsaturated carbonyls are common environmental pollutants, are frequently associated with adverse effects, and are considered to play an important role in human cancer. Their stability, thermochemical properties are important to understanding their reaction paths in atmospheric, combustion and bio related environments. Enthalpy, entropy, and heat capacity of unsaturated alkene molecules, and radicals corresponding to hydrogen atom loss from the parent stable molecules are determined in this study. Structures and enthalpies of formation $\left(\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{298}\right)$ are determined for series of unsaturated carbonyl hydrocarbons and their carbon centered radicals at B3LYP/6-31g(d,p), CBS-QB3 and M062X/6-31+G(d,p) theory levels by using the isodesmic reactions approach. Entropy $\left(\mathrm{S}^{\circ}\right)$ and heat capacities $(\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T}))$, and the contributions from vibrational, translational and external rotational are calculated using the rigid-rotor-harmonic oscillator approximation, based on the vibration frequencies and structures obtained from density functional studies. Potential barriers for internal rotors in each molecule are determined and used to calculate contributions to $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{o}}$ and $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T})$ from the hindered rotors. $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{298}$ for unsaturated carbonyl alkenes are, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{O}, 16.89 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{O}$ (trans),
$27.41 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{O}, 19.91 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The trans conformation of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{O}$ is more stable than cis form. For a $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond on allylic adjacent to carbonyl group, the allylic has resonance with the carbonyl group. Several bond enthalpies are quite different from that normally found on olefins, and carbonyls. The bond enthalpy for the secondary C-H bonds, adjacent to carbonyl group, are quite low: $77.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}(-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ and $77.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CC}(-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$. The $\mathrm{C}--\mathrm{H}$ bond enthalpy at the position of secondary vinyl, adjacent to carbonyl group, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(-$ $\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ shows a value of $113.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, which is about $5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher than a normal secondary vinyl C--H bond. The C-H bond enthalpy of the primary vinyl of cdccdo is increased but only by $0.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ from a normal primary.

A Thesis<br>Submitted to the Faculty of<br>New Jersey Institute of Technology<br>in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in Chemistry<br>Department of Chemistry and Environmental Science

January 2014


## APPROVAL PAGE

# THERMOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF C3 TO C5 UNSATURATED CARBONYL ALKENES: ENTHALPIES OF FORMATION, ENTROPY, HEAT CAPACITY, BOND ENTHALPY 

Ruiqi Jian

| Dr. Joseph W. Bozzelli, Thesis Advisor | Date |
| :--- | :---: |
| Distinguished Professor of Chemistry and Environmental Science, NJIT |  |

Dr. Tamara M. Gund, Committee Member Date
Professor of Chemistry and Environmental Science, NJIT

Dr. Alexei Khalizov, Committee Member Date
Assistant Professor of Chemistry and Environmental Science, NJIT

## BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

| Author: | Ruiqi Jian |
| :--- | :--- |
| Degree: | Master of Science |
| Date: | January 2014 |

## Undergraduate and Graduate Education:

- Master of Science in Chemistry, New Jersey Institute of Technology, Newark, NJ, 2014
- Bachelor of Engineering in Applied Chemistry, Huaqiao University, Xiamen, P. R. China, 2009

Major: Chemistry

TO MY PARENTS, WITH LOVE

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to express the deepest appreciation to my committee chair Professor Joseph W. Bozzelli, who has shown the attitude and the substance of a genius: he continually and persuasively conveyed a spirit of adventure in regard to research and scholarship, and an excitement in regard to teaching. Without his supervision and constant help this thesis would not have been possible.

I would like to thank my committee members, Professor Tamara M. Gund and Professor Alexei Khalizov, whose work demonstrated to me that concern for global affairs supported by an "engagement" in comparative literature and modern technology, should always transcend academia and provide a quest for our times.

In addition, a thank you to Professor Carol A. Venanzi, who provided insights that guided and challenged my thinking, substantially improving the finished product. I also want to thank to my friend Bingheng Yan, provided on-going support throughout the whole thesis process, as well as technical assistance critical for completing the project in a timely manner. Finally, I would also like to thank Suriyakit Yommee, Itsaso Auzmendi Murua and Snitsiriwat Suarwee for their help and for their direction with this thesis.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter Page
1 INTRODUCTION. ..... 1
2 COMPUTATIONAL METHODS ..... 5
3 RESULT AND DISCUSSION ..... 7
3.1 Selection of Lowest Energy Conformer: Internal Rotor Potential Analysis ..... 7
3.2 Enthalpies of Formation ..... 10
3.3 Bond Enthalpies ..... 20
3.4 Entropy and Heat Capacity ..... 22
4 SUMMARY ..... 28
APPENDIX A FIGURES ..... 29
APPENDIX B PARAMETER RESULTS SUMMARY ..... 35
REFERENCES ..... 47

## LIST OF TABLES

Table Page
3.1 Standard Enthalpies of Formation at 298.15 K of References Species ..... 11
3.2 Isodesmic Reactions and Calculated $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{298}$ at 298 K for Target Molecules.. ..... 13
3.3 Isodesmic Work Reactions and Calculated Enthalpies of Formation at 298 K of Radicals ..... 16
3.4 Summary of C-H Bond Enthalpies from This Study ..... 20
3.5 Internal Rotor Torsion Frequencies, not Listed in SMCPS Input Files ..... 23
3.6 Comparison of Heat Capacities for Parent Species from SMCPS and VIBIR. ..... 25
3.7 Comparison of Thermochemical Properties of 2-Propenal from This Study and Literature Values by Asatryan, da Silva and Bozzelli ..... 26
3.8 Comparison of Thermochemical Properties of Radical cdccjdo from This Study and Literature Values by Rutz, da Silva and Bozzelli. ..... 26
3.9 Comparison of Thermochemical Properties of cdccdo and Corresponding Radicals from This Study and Reference Value by Goldsmith ..... 27
B. 1 Optimized Structure Coordinates for Parent Molecules and Radicals from CBS-QB3 Level ..... 35
B. 2 Ideal Gas-phase Entropy $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{o}}$ vs. Temperature ..... 43
B. 3 Summary of Enthalpy of Formation, Entropy and Heat Capacity for Parent Molecules and Corresponding Radicals. ..... 46

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure Page
3.1 Geometry of the Lowest Energy Conformer of the Parent Molecules and theRadicals.9
A. 1 Potential Energy Profiles for Internal Rotations in cdccdo and cjdccdo ..... 29
A. 2 Potential Energy Profiles for Internal Rotations in ccdcccdo(trans), ccdcccdo(cis), cjcdcccdo, ccjdcccdo, ccdcjccdo, ccdccjcdo and ccdcccjdo... ..... 30
A. 3 Potential Energy Profiles for Internal Rotations in cdcccdo, cjdcccdo, cdcjccdo, cdccjcdo and cdcccjdo. ..... 33

## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

$\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated carbonyl compounds are an important class of carbonyl compounds with the general structure $-(\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C})-\mathrm{C}^{\alpha}=\mathrm{C}^{\beta}$-. The $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated carbonyl moiety is present in a large number of natural and synthetic products exhibiting a variety of biological properties. ${ }^{1}$ The epoxidation reactions of unsaturated carbonyl compounds are widely applied and highly valuable to industry in the synthesis of intermediates in pharmaceutical and fine chemicals. The very high value dictates the reason that the reactions of these species are widely studied with focus of improving their reaction selectivity, which in general is not high. Many research works have focused on their epoxidation reactions to improve and / or achieve selectivity. ${ }^{2}$

These unsaturated carbonyl compounds are also used in as solvents including paint thinners and additives, nail polish removers, food additives, disinfectants, and dental restorative materials. They are routinely observed in hydrocarbon analysis of air in tropospheric environments. They are also formed from oxidation of unsaturated hydrocarbons, for example, 1-butenal can be formed from reaction of butadiene with OH radical.
$\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{OH} \Rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH} \cdot-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}=>\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CHO}+\mathrm{H}(1)$

$$
\Delta \mathrm{Hrxn}=-2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}
$$

Chemo-selective hydrogenation of compounds containing both $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ groups is one of the most fundamental molecular transformations described in textbooks. Hydrogenation of $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ bond is relatively easier than hydrogenation of a $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bond
because: (a) thermodynamics favors the hydrogenation of the olefin $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ bond over that of the carbonyl bond $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{O}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \quad \Delta \mathrm{Hrxn}=-23 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \\
& \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \quad \Delta \mathrm{Hrxn}=-16 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \quad \Delta \mathrm{Hrxn}=-30 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \\
& \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \quad \Delta \mathrm{Hrxn}=-16 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) Kinetic reactivity also favors reactivity of the olefin group, it is harder to react the carbonyl group because it the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O} \pi$ bond is $\sim 20 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ stronger than the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C} \pi$ bond and of an olefin $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$; and it is harder to attack the carbonyl bond. ${ }^{3}$
$\alpha, \beta$-Unsaturated carbonyls also possess a more strongly polarized carbon -oxygen double bond due to the presence of an adjacent double bond between $\alpha$ carbons and $\beta$ carbons. In these compounds the carbonyl group draws electrons away from the alkene, and is conjugated with an alkene, which makes it even more reactive than simple carbonyls. Unlike the case for simple carbonyls, $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated carbonyl compounds are often attacked by nucleophiles at the $\beta$ carbon.

$$
\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}+: \mathrm{Nu}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}-\mathrm{C}(-\mathrm{Nu})-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}^{-}-\mathrm{O}^{-}
$$

Since $\alpha, \beta$-unsaturated compounds are electrophiles, many of them are toxic, mutagenic and carcinogenic.

For unsaturated carbonyls like butenal $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHO}\right)$ and 2-pentenal $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHO}\right)$ there is a sp2 $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group between the carbonyl and the olefin groups. These $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups have weak C-H bond because of resulting resonance of the electron remaining on the carbon after loss of the $\mathrm{H} \cdot$ atom with the olefin and with the
carbonyl. These weak C-H bonds are readily attached by radicals like OH and other species in the radical pool. Once these resonantly stabilized radicals are formed the radical site reactions with molecule oxygen $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ are slow, as the R-OO• bonding is not strong due to loss of resonance.

An ultimate goal of this research is to study the reactions of these stabilized intermediates with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$; as these radicals are readily formed via in atmospheric and combustion processes are on a relative scale to other radicals they are stable or less reactive. They have longer lifetimes due to the stability from resonance and thus may have a significant presence in these chemical systems.

Thermochemical parameters for only a few of these unsaturated carbonyl compounds have been reported in the literature. Enthalpy of formation, entropy, and bond of energy data for 2-propenal are reported by Li, Bear, Asatryan, da Silva ${ }^{4}$, Benson, Rutz, Bozzelli ${ }^{5}$, Alfassi, Golden ${ }^{6}$, and Goldsmith ${ }^{7}$. 2-propenal serves as a model compound for methacrolein $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right) \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{O}\right)$ and methyl vinyl ketone, $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ because of its specific formation. Compare to $108 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ bond strength of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}(-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}(-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{O}$ has bond strength of $77.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ as a result of conjugation of the odd carbon electron with the $\pi$ bond systems of the carbonyl and / or the olefin. This is a very significant difference.

The value of enthalpy of formation for 2-propenal $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{298}=-16.5 \pm 2.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ was reported by Li and Bear. ${ }^{4}$ In the earlier literature, Alfassi and Benson ${ }^{6}$ used group additivity to estimate $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{298}=-17.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$; there is also a reference reported $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{298}=$ $-17.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ cited by Asatryan, da Silva and Bozzelli. It is apparent from surveys that the 2-propenal enthalpy of formation likely lies in the range of - 14.0 to $-19.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} .^{4}$

A standard enthalpy, entropy for the radical $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHC} \cdot \mathrm{O}$ are $21.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 68.0$ $\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K}$ by Rutz, da Silva, and Bozzelli. ${ }^{5}$ An older value for the C-H bond enthalpy for this radicals is $87.1 \pm 1.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ by Alfassi and Golden ${ }^{6}$ and in more recent literature by Goldsmith et $\mathrm{al}^{7}$, report a value of $23.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for the enthalpy of formation. Goldsmith ${ }^{7}$ also reports entropy and heat capacity for 2-propenal and all corresponding radical.

In this study, the thermochemistry of three stable (parent) molecules and their allylic, carbonyl and vinylic carbon radicals are determined. Enthalpies of formation, C--H bond energies, entropy and heat capacity for parent molecules 2-propenal $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{O}$ (cdccdo), 3-butental $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{O}$ (cdcccdo), 3-pentenal $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{O}$ (ccdcccdo), and their radicals are studied using several different methods in computational chemistry. Comparison of the thermochemical properties with literature values are presented and analyzed. This study also calculated the bond dissociation enthalpies of the allyl, carbonyl and vinyl C-H bonds, in order to evaluate if the levels of stabilization in corresponding radicals. The thermochemical parameters are needed in order to evaluate, reaction paths and kinetic processes of parent molecules and radicals in atmospheric (troposphere) and in combustion environments. These values also provide a base for estimation of barriers for abstraction of the resulting resonantly stabilized $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond by radical specie. Equilibria and energies for simple C-H and C-C bond dissociation reactions are important to understand reaction paths and chemistry of these unsaturated aldehydes.

## CHAPTER 2

## COMPUTATIONAL METHODS

All structure and absolute energy (enthalpy) calculations are performed using the Gaussian 09 program suites ${ }^{4}$ and structures are drawn using the GaussView application. ${ }^{8}$ Geometries for the parent molecules and radicals are initially calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level. There is a general consensus that B3LYP methods provide excellent low-cost performance for structure optimizations. ${ }^{8}$ The B3LYP/6-31G level of theory is indicated to be one of the most reliable computational methods for optimization geometries of small polar compounds as well as being widely used for the calculation of radical species. Optimized geometries, vibrational frequencies for molecules and related radical species are then calculated at B3LYP/6-31G level of the theory. ${ }^{9}$ To identify and verify the lowest energy conformation or each molecule and radical, potential energy curves for the internal rotation barriers at $B 3 L Y P / 6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ level of theory are calculated.

CBS-QB3 is a multilevel model that combines the results of several ab initio and density functional theory individual methods and empirical correction terms to predict molecular energies with high accuracy and relatively low computational cost. ${ }^{10}$ It is a complete basis set method that uses geometries and frequencies from the B3LYP, specifically B3LYP/6-31G(2d,d,p) level followed by single point energy calculations at the $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T}), \mathrm{MP} 4 \mathrm{SDQ}$, and MP2 levels. ${ }^{11}$ The M062X functional is a high non-locality density functional theory with double amount of nonlocal exchange (2x). ${ }^{12}$

Isodesmic reactions are implemented to accurately calculate enthalpy of formation and bond enthalpies for parent molecules and stable species, using the enthalpies from B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), CBS-QB3 and then M062X/6-31+G(d,p) level. Isodesmic reactions are hypothetical reactions which incorporate similar bonding environments for both reactants and products that allows for cancellation of error associated with each method of analysis.

The C-H bond enthalpies are derived using the bond cleavage reaction,

$$
\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{R} \bullet+\mathrm{H} \bullet
$$

where $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{R} \cdot$ are both calculated and H atom uses the established standard enthalpy of $52.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

Contributions to the entropy and the heat capacity from translation, vibrations and external rotation, optical isomers, and symmetry are calculated using the "SMCPS" program. ${ }^{13}$ Contributions from hindered internal rotors to $\mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ and $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T})$ are determined using "VIBIR" program. ${ }^{14}$ The VIBIR program utilizes the method of Pitzer and Gwinn. In the theory, there is no adjustment for coupling of internal rotor motion with vibration; the rotational groups are assumed symmetrical which is accurate for the primary and terminal methyl group rotation in isomers. The reduced moments of inertia are calculated based on the optimized geometries using the mass and radius of rotation for the rotational groups. ${ }^{20}$

## Notation

Throughout this article, abbreviations utilized in molecule names are: "d" presents a double bond; " j " or " " $\bullet$ " represents a radical site on the preceding carbon atom.

## CHAPTER 3

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Selection of Low Energy Conformer: Internal Rotor Potential Analysis

Rotational conformer energies are calculated to determine the lowest energy conformation of each molecule and radical and to identify the barriers to torsional motion. The intramolecular torsional motion, an internal rotor contributes to entropy and heat capacity of the molecular and radical. Internal rotor potential energy curves are calculated and used to determine internal rotation barriers, symmetries (folds) and the lowest energy structures. The barriers and moments of inertial are used to determine the entropy and heat capacity contributions using the VIBIR program and the method of Pitzer and Gwinn.

The B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory is used to determine the enthalpy as a function of the dihedral angles in each of the molecules and radicals. The torsion angles are scanned between $0^{\circ}$ and $360^{\circ}$ in steps of $14^{\circ}$, to determine the lowest energy geometries. If lower energy geometry is found, the new geometry is set and all dihedral scans repeated to ensure the lowest energy conformation.

The resulting potential energy barriers for internal rotations in the stable parent and radical molecules are illustrated in Figures A.1-A.3. The geometries of the lowest energy structures are illustrated in Figure 3.1. The optimized Z-Matrix for parent unsaturated carbonyl alkene and radicals from CBS-QB3 level are listed in Table B.1.

- All of the terminal methyl groups adjacent to vinyl group $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}-\right)$ for parent unsaturated carbonyl alkene and radicals, exhibit three fold symmetry with energy barriers between 1 and $2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.
- All internal rotors between a carbonyl group and a secondary carbon ( $\mathrm{CHO}--\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ ) for parent molecules and radicals exhibit three folds and barrier energies between $0.5-3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.
- The fold number decrease from three to two symmetry folds, and the fold barrier energy for carbonyl increases to $8.5-9.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ when carbonyl adjacent to vinyl group (CHO--C=C-).
- When the radical site is on carbonyl group, the barrier fold number for internal rotor between carbonyl group and secondary $\left(\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{O}--\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\right)$ is two and the barrier energies are low at 1 to $2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. When radical site on secondary adjacent to carbonyl group, the internal rotor between radical site and vinyl group (CHO-C•--C=C-) have barriers over $10 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$; this is because of the radical formation have the resonance with the carbonyl group.

$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{O}$ (trans)
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{O}$ (cis)

$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHC} \cdot \mathrm{HCH}=\mathrm{O}$




Figure 3.1 Geometry of the Lowest Energy Conformer of the Parent Molecules and the Radicals.

### 3.2 Enthalpies of Formation

Enthalpies of formation of the parent molecules have been determined using corresponding $\Delta \operatorname{Hrxn}_{298}$ from the calculated enthalpies of each species in each of the isodesmic work reactions and calculated enthalpies of each species. $\Delta \mathrm{rxnH}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{298}$ are calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), CBS-QB3 and M062X/6-31+G(d,p) level.

Density Functional Theory (DFT), as noted earlier is considered to have relative very good accuracy for structure and moderate accuracy for structure. ${ }^{15}$ DFT performs a less accurate calculation of energies, because these calculations usually start with a molecule that have a closed shell of electrons and when the molecule breaks into two or more fragments that are open shell molecules (radicals). The energy difference between closed and open shell molecules is less well described in density functional theory methods, as a result of the difference in electron correlation in closed vs. open shell system. ${ }^{15}$ Isodesmic reactions are implemented to cancel calculation error and achieve greater accuracy for the gas phase enthalpies of formation. These reactions are hypothetical reactions which incorporate similar bonding environments for both reactants and products that allows for cancellation of error associated with each method of analysis. Isodesmic reactions conserve the number and type of bonds on both sides of an equation. In the equation, two products and one reactant are reference molecules for which the thermodynamic properties known.

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{rxn}} \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{298}=\Sigma \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}} \text { products }-\Sigma \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}} \text { reactants }
$$

The calculated enthalpy of each species in the hypo-theoretical work reaction is used to calculate the enthalpy of reaction, $\Delta \mathrm{rxnH}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$, Literature values for enthalpies of formation of the three reference compounds are then used along with the calculated $\Delta \mathrm{rxnH}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$ to obtain the enthalpies of formation on the target molecules. ${ }^{16}$ Standard enthalpies of formation at 298.15 K of the reference species used in the reactions are shown in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1 Standard Enthalpies of Formation at 298.15 K of References Species

| Species | $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathbf{0}}{ }_{298}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | Source (Ref. No.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -20.04 | 21 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | -25.02 | 21 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$ | $-39.6 \pm 0.1$ | 7 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHO}$ | $-45.09 \pm 0.18$ | 22 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ | 4.879 | 21 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $-0.15 \pm 0.19$ | 21 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ (trans) | $-1.5 \pm 0.30$ | 7 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ (cis) | $-2.7 \pm 0.2$ | 7 |
| $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $-51.90 \pm 0.12$ | 21 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | 12.54 | 21 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | $19.8 \pm 0.2$ | 21 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}$ - | $71.2 \pm 0.2$ | 7 |
| $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{3}$ | 63.66 | 23 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | $26.5 \pm 0.9$ | 21 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 75 | 24 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{H}$ | 85.4 | 25 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}^{\cdot} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | 58.6 | 21 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{CHO}$ | $4.4 \pm 0.9$ | 7 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{HCHO}^{\text {b }}$ | $-5.7 \pm 0.9$ | 7 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{O}$ | $-2.3 \pm 0.1$ | 7 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{O}$ | $-6.9 \pm 0.9$ | 7 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}=(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $-57.02 \pm 0.20$ | 21 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{HC}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $-18.6 \pm 0.4$ | 19 |
| $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | $59.3 \pm 0.9$ | 7 |
| $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{CHCHO}$ | $44.4 \pm 0.9$ | 7 |

a. Resonant forms are $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C} \cdot-\mathrm{C}(-\mathrm{H})=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \& \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(-\mathrm{H})-\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2}$
b. Resonant forms are $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{CHO} \& \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}(-\mathrm{O} \bullet)$

Each of the parent compounds is analyzed with five isodesmic work reactions. Each parent species contains one carbon - carbon double bond (olefin) group and an aldehyde carbonyl group. The species used in the work reactions for these parent species all incorporate an olefin and a carbonyl group in order to obtain error cancelation for the corresponding bonding of these groups. The agreement obtained between the different work reactions and the different species in the reactions lends support to accuracy of the calculation values. There is also very good agreement between the computational methods. The work reactions for the parent stable species are listed in Table 3.2.

Table 3.2 Isodesmic Reactions and Calculated $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{298}$ at 298 K for Target Molecules

| Work Reactions | Reaction Enthalpies (298 K) kcal/mol |  |  | $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathbf{0}}{ }_{298} \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { B3LYP/ } \\ 6-31 \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{p} \end{gathered}$ | CBS-QB3 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{M} 062 X / \\ 6-31+G(d, p) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B3LYP/ } \\ & \text { 6-31g(d,p) } \end{aligned}$ | CBS-QB3 | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{M 0 6 2 X} / \\ 6-31+\mathbf{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}) \end{gathered}$ |
| cdccdo(ch2chcho) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cdccdo $+\mathrm{cc} \rightarrow$ ccdo + cdcc | 3.71 | 2.38 | 0.95 | -18.67 | -17.34 | -15.91 |
| cdccdo + ccc $\rightarrow$ ccdo + ccdcc (cis) | 0.74 | 0.10 | -0.50 | -17.15 | -16.18 | -15.58 |
| cdecdo + ccc $\rightarrow$ ccdo + ccdec(trans) | -1.18 | -1.32 | -1.73 | -16.10 | -15.96 | -15.55 |
| cdccdo + ccc $\rightarrow$ ccdo + cdccc | 2.63 | 1.52 | 1.22 | -17.36 | -16.25 | -15.95 |
| cdccdo + ccc $\rightarrow$ cccdo + cdcc | 4.29 | 3.05 | 1.62 | -19.76 | -18.52 | -17.09 |
| Average |  |  |  | -17.81 | -16.85 | -16.02 |
| Standard Deviation |  |  |  | 1.27 | 0.96 | 0.56 |
| cdcccdo(ch2chch2cho) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cdcccdo + cc $\rightarrow$ cccdo + cdec | 1.24 | 0.85 | -0.47 | -21.69 | -21.30 | -19.98 |
| cdcccdo + cc $\rightarrow$ ccdoc + cdcc | -6.79 | -6.90 | -8.89 | -20.47 | -20.36 | -18.37 |
| cdcccdo + cc $\rightarrow$ ccdo + cdecc | -0.42 | -0.68 | -0.87 | -19.29 | -19.03 | -18.84 |
| cdcccdo + c6h6 $\rightarrow$ y(c6h5)cdo + cdcc | -5.89 | -4.50 | -5.76 | -18.21 | -19.62 | -18.36 |
| cdcccdo + cde $\rightarrow$ cdccdo + cdec | -5.48 | -3.65 | -5.31 | -20.27 | -21.99 | -18.65 |
| Average |  |  |  | -20.43 | -20.46 | -18.84 |
| Standard Deviation |  |  |  | 1.25 | 1.08 | 0.60 |
| ccdcccdo(ch3chchch2cho) trans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ccdcccdo + cc $\rightarrow$ cccdo + cdecc | 3.26 | 2.86 | 2.39 | -28.46 | -28.06 | -27.59 |
| ccdccedo + cc $\rightarrow$ ccdo + cdccce | 2.67 | 1,82 | 1.55 | -27.80 | -26.95 | -26.68 |
| ccdccedo + cc $\rightarrow$ ccdoc + cdcce | -4.76 | -4.89 | -6.03 | -27.25 | -27.12 | -25.98 |
| ccdccedo $+\mathrm{cc} \rightarrow$ cccdo + ccdcc(cis) | 1.38 | 1.45 | 0.67 | -27.93 | -28.00 | -27.22 |
| ccdcccdo + cc $\rightarrow$ cccdo + ccdcc(trans) | -0.54 | 0.02 | -0.57 | -27.21 | -27.77 | -27.18 |
| Average |  |  |  | -27.73 | -27.58 | -26.93 |
| Standard Deviation |  |  |  | 0.46 | 0.46 | 0.55 |
| ccdccedo(ch3chcheh2cho) cis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ccdcccdo $+\mathrm{cc} \rightarrow$ cccdo + cdecc | 2.52 | 1.46 | 1.74 | -27.72 | -26.66 | -26.94 |
| ccdccedo + cc $\rightarrow$ ccdo + cdccce | 1.93 | 0.42 | 0.90 | -27.06 | -25.55 | -26.03 |
| ccdcccdo + cc $\rightarrow$ ccdoc + cdcce | -5.51 | -6.28 | -6.67 | -26.50 | -25.72 | -25.34 |
| ccdcccdo $+\mathrm{cc} \rightarrow$ cccdo + ccdcc(cis) | 0.64 | 0.05 | 0.02 | -27.19 | -26.60 | -26.57 |
| ccdcccdo + cc $\rightarrow$ cccdo + ccdcc(trans) | -1.28 | 1.37 | -1.22 | -26.47 | -26.38 | -26.53 |
| Average |  |  |  | -26.99 | -26.18 | -26.28 |
| Standard Deviation |  |  |  | 0.47 | 0.46 | 0.55 |
| $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{298}($ cis $)-\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}} 298$ (trans) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | $\Delta$ cis-trn | 0.74 | 1.40 | 0.65 |
| $\Delta$ Hrxn for Cis = Trans |  |  |  | 0.74 | 1.39 | 0.64 |

Values are standard deviation of calculated values. They do not represent error limits. Error limits are larger a. from calculated enthalpies of reaction above.

The work reactions for the radical species are also chosen to have similar olefin, carbonyl and radical sites on both sides of the equations for error cancelation. These also include the parent specie to the radical on the product side of the target reacting molecule.

The calculated $\Delta H$ rxn, the work reactions and the calculated enthalpies of formation of the target compounds are listed in Table 3.2. The average values in bold from CBS-QB3 are recommended. $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$ for parent molecules are, cdccdo $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}=\mathrm{O}\right)$, $-16.85 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, ccdcccdo trans $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{O}\right),-27.58 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, ccdcccdo cis $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{O}\right), \quad-26.18 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, cdcccdo $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{O}\right), \quad-20.46$ $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The trans conformation of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{O}$ is more stable than cis form by $0.74 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in B3LYP $/ 6-31 \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{p})$ level, $1.39 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in CBS-QB3 level, and 0.64 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in M062X/6-31+G(d,p) level.

The different calculation method work reaction from CBS-QB3 show the lowest standard deviations and the CBS-QB3 method values are chosen as recommended. The minimum standard deviation for parent molecules is $0.46 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, and the maximum standard deviation for parent molecules is $1.07 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The enthalpy of formation in CBS-QB3 level of cdccdo is in good agreement to the one calculated by Asatryan, da Silva and Bozzelli. ${ }^{4}$ The value from this study is $-16.85 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$; the value from literature ${ }^{4}$ is $-16.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The comparison is listed in Table 3.7. It is noted that these are standard deviations from the work reaction sets as a function of calculation method, they do not include error from the uncertainty of the reference specie in the reaction sets and they are not error limits.

The enthalpies of formation of radicals are listed in Table 3.3. The data demonstrates good agreement for the $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{298}$ values between the $\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{LYP} / 6-31 \mathrm{~g}(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{p})$,

CBS-QB3 and M062X/6-31+G(d,p). Because CBS-QB3, is the highest level method in this study and has the lowest standard deviation; the values in bold from CBS-QB3 are recommended. $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$ for radicals are, cjdccdo, $42.91 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, cdcjcdo, $43.87 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, cdccjdo, $21.53 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, cjcdcccdo, $7.49 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, ccjdcccdo, $28.50 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, ccdcjccdo, $29.90 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, ccdccjcdo, $-1.83 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, ccdcccjdo, $9.38 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, cjdcccdo, 38.74 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, cdcjccdo, $35.83 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, cdccjcdo, $5.93 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, and cdcccjdo, $16.78 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. In CBS-QB3 method, the minimum standard deviation of radicals is $0.07 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, and the maximum standard deviation is $0.80 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

Table 3.3 Isodesmic Work Reactions and Calculated Enthalpies of Formation at 298 K of Radicals

| Work Reactions | Reaction Enthalpies (298K) kcal/mol |  |  | $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\circ}(298) \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { B3LYP/ } \\ 6-3 \lg (\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{p}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | CBS-QB3 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{M} 062 \mathrm{X} / \\ 6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{p}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { B3LYP/ } \\ 6-3 \lg (\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{p}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | CBS-QB3 | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{M} 062 \mathrm{X} / \\ 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{p}) \end{gathered}$ |
| cdccdo system |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cjdccdo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cjdccdo + cdc $\rightarrow$ cdccdo+cdcj | -1.21 | -1.18 | -1.18 | 42.06 | 42.99 | 43.82 |
| cjdccdo + cdcc $\rightarrow$ cdccdo + cjdcc | -0.63 | -0.71 | -0.37 | 41.88 | 42.92 | 43.81 |
| cjdccdo + cdccc $\rightarrow$ cdccdo + cjdccc | -0.22 | -0.21 | -0.16 | 41.86 | 42.81 | 43.59 |
| Average |  |  |  | 41.93 | 42.91 | 43.61 |
| Standard Deviation |  |  |  | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.17 |
| Bond Energy |  |  |  | 111.84 | 111.86 | 111.73 |
| cdcjedo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cdcjedo + cdcc $\rightarrow$ cdccdo + cdcjc | -6.35 | -6.12 | -5.27 | 42.54 | 43.27 | 43.25 |
| cdcjcdo + cdccdc $\rightarrow$ cdccd $+\quad$ cdedccj | -13.43 | -11.99 | -12.30 | 44.12 | 43.64 | 44.78 |
| cdcjedo+cdccde $\rightarrow$ cdecdo + cdecdcj | 0.46 | -0.89 | -0.60 | 40.63 | 42.94 | 43.48 |
| cdcjcdo+ccdo $\rightarrow$ cdccdo + cjedo | -17.67 | -17.15 | -17.58 | 43.86 | 44.30 | 45.57 |
| cdcjcdo + cccdo $\rightarrow$ cdccdo + ccjedo | -24.66 | -22.65 | -23.78 | 46.24 | 45.19 | 47.15 |
| Average |  |  |  | 43.38 | 43.87 | 44.85 |
| Standard Deviation |  |  |  | 1.86 | 0.80 | 1.43 |
| Bond Energy |  |  |  | 113.39 | 112.82 | 112.96 |
| cdecjdo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cdccjdo + ccdo $\rightarrow$ cdccdo + ccjdo | 0.71 | -0.85 | -0.85 | 18.78 | 21.30 | 22.13 |
| cdecjdo + cccdo $\rightarrow$ cdccdo+cccjdo | 0.17 | -1.15 | -1.45 | 20.21 | 22.49 | 23.63 |
| cdecjdo + ccedoc $\rightarrow$ cdecdo + ccjcdoc | 0.04 | 0.77 | -0.11 | 20.57 | 20.80 | 22.51 |
| Average |  |  |  | 19.86 | 21.53 | 22.76 |
| Standard Deviation |  |  |  | 0.77 | 0.71 | 0.64 |
| Bond Energy |  |  |  | 89.77 | 90.48 | 90.87 |

Table 3.3 Isodesmic Work Reactions and Calculated Enthalpies of Formation at 298 K of Radicals (Continued)

| ccdccedo system |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cjedeccdo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cjcdccedo + cde $\rightarrow$ ccdccedo + cdcj | 24.96 | 23.51 | 22.45 | 5.96 | 7.57 | 9.28 |
| cjcdccedo+cdcc $\rightarrow$ ccdeccdo + cjdcc | 25.54 | 23.98 | 23.27 | 5.79 | 7.50 | 8.87 |
| cjedcccdo + cdccc $\rightarrow$ ccdccedo+cjdcce | 25.96 | 24.48 | 23.47 | 5.76 | 7.39 | 9.05 |
| Average |  |  |  | 5.84 | 7.49 | 9.07 |
| Standard Deviation |  |  |  | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.17 |
| Bond Energy |  |  |  | 85.67 | 87.17 | 88.09 |
| ccjdccedo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ccjdccedo + cde $\rightarrow$ ccdccedo + cdcj | 4.04 | 2.50 | 2.98 | 26.89 | 28.58 | 28.75 |
| ccjdccedo + cdec $\rightarrow$ ccdccedo + cjdcc | 4.62 | 2.97 | 3.03 | 26.71 | 28.51 | 29.10 |
| ccjdcccdo + cdccc $\rightarrow$ ccdccedo + cjdccc | 5.03 | 3.47 | 4.00 | 26.69 | 28.40 | 28.52 |
| Average |  |  |  | 26.76 | 28.50 | 28.79 |
| Standard Deviation |  |  |  | 0.09 | 0.07 | 0.24 |
| Bond Energy |  |  |  | 106.59 | 108.18 | 107.82 |
| ccdcjecdo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ccdcjccdo + cdcc $\rightarrow$ ccdccedo + cdcjc | -2.85 | -2.88 | -1.53 | 29.11 | 29.30 | 28.60 |
| ccdcjccdo + cdecdc $\rightarrow$ ccdccedo + cdedccj | -9.92 | -8.75 | -8.56 | 30.69 | 29.67 | 30.13 |
| ccdcjccdo + cdccde $\rightarrow$ ccdccedo + cdccdcj | 3.97 | 2.36 | 3.14 | 27.20 | 28.97 | 28.83 |
| ccdcjccdo + ccdo $\rightarrow$ ccdccedo+cjedo | -14.16 | -13.91 | -13.84 | 30.43 | 30.33 | 30.92 |
| ccdcjccdo + cccdo $\rightarrow$ ccdcccdo + ccjcdo | -21.16 | -19.41 | -20.04 | 32.81 | 31.22 | 32.50 |
| Average |  |  |  | 30.05 | 29.90 | 30.20 |
| Standard Deviation |  |  |  | 1.85 | 0.80 | 1.43 |
| Bond Energy |  |  |  | 109.88 | 109.58 | 109.22 |
| ccdecjedo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ccdccjedo +cdcc $\rightarrow$ ccdcccdo + $\mathrm{cdcj}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 31.69 | 28.85 | 29.73 | -5.42 | -2.43 | -2.66 |
| ccdccjedo + cdccdc $\rightarrow$ ccdcccdo + cdcdecj | 24.61 | 22.98 | 22.70 | -3.84 | -2.06 | -1.13 |
| ccdecjcdo + cdecdc $\rightarrow$ ccdcccdo+cdccdcj | 39.05 | 34.08 | 34.41 | -7.88 | -2.76 | -2.43 |
| ccdecjedo + ccdo $\rightarrow$ ccdccedo + cjcdo | 20.37 | 17.82 | 17.42 | -4.10 | -1.40 | -0.35 |
| ccdecjedo + cccdo $\rightarrow$ ccdcccdo + ccjedo | 13.38 | 12.32 | 11.22 | -1.72 | -0.51 | 1.24 |
| Average |  |  |  | -4.59 | -1.83 | -1.07 |
| Standard Deviation |  |  |  | 2.02 | 0.80 | 1.43 |
| Bond Energy |  |  |  | 75.24 | 77.85 | 77.96 |
| ccdcccjdo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ccdcccjdo + ccdo $\rightarrow$ ccdcccdo + ccjdo | 0.44 | 0.57 | 0.78 | 9.13 | 9.15 | 9.60 |
| ccdeccjdo + cccdo $\rightarrow$ ccdccedo + cccjdo | -0.10 | 0.27 | 0.17 | 10.56 | 10.34 | 11.09 |
| ccdcccjdo + cccdoc $\rightarrow$ ccdccedo + ccjcdoc | -0.23 | 2.19 | 1.52 | 10.92 | 8.65 | 9.97 |
| Average |  |  |  | 10.20 | 9.38 | 10.22 |
| Standard Deviation |  |  |  | 0.77 | 0.71 | 0.63 |
| Bond Energy |  |  |  | 90.03 | 89.06 | 89.25 |

Table 3.3 Isodesmic Work Reactions and Calculated Enthalpies of Formation at 298 K of Radicals (Continued)

| cdeccdo system |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cjdccedo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cjdccedo + cdc $\rightarrow$ cdeccdo + cjdc | -0.60 | -0.66 | -0.69 | 38.83 | 38.86 | 40.51 |
| cjdcccdo + cdccdo $\rightarrow$ cdccedo + cjdccdo | 0.61 | 0.52 | 0.49 | 38.70 | 38.78 | 40.30 |
| cjdccedo + cdcc $\rightarrow$ cdccedo + cjdcc | -0.03 | -0.19 | 0.12 | 38.65 | 38.79 | 40.10 |
| cjdccedo + cdec $\rightarrow$ cdeccdo + cdcjc | -5.99 | -4.96 | -3.69 | 39.28 | 38.50 | 38.85 |
| Average |  |  |  | 38.87 | 38.74 | 39.94 |
| Standard Deviation |  |  |  | 0.25 | 0.14 | 0.65 |
| Bond Energy |  |  |  | 111.40 | 111.29 | 110.88 |
| cdcjecdo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cdcjccdo + cdc $\rightarrow$ cdeccdo + cjdc | 3.37 | 2.25 | 2.24 | 34.86 | 35.96 | 37.58 |
| cdcjccdo + cdecdo $\rightarrow$ cdccedo + cjdccdo | 4.58 | 3.43 | 3.42 | 34.73 | 35.87 | 37.37 |
| cdejccdo + cdcc $\rightarrow$ cdeccdo + cjdcc | 3.95 | 2.72 | 3.05 | 34.68 | 35.88 | 37.17 |
| cdcjecdo + cdec $\rightarrow$ cdccedo + cdcje | -2.02 | -2.06 | -0.76 | 35.59 | 35.60 | 35.92 |
| Average |  |  |  | 34.97 | 35.83 | 37.01 |
| Standard Deviation |  |  |  | 0.37 | 0.14 | 2.14 |
| Bond Energy |  |  |  | 107.50 | 108.39 | 107.95 |
| cdecjcdo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cdecjedo + cdc $\rightarrow$ cdeccdo + cjdc | 35.13 | 32.14 | 30.99 | 3.10 | 6.06 | 8.83 |
| cdecjcdo + cdccdo $\rightarrow$ cdccedo + cjdccdo | 36.34 | 33.33 | 32.17 | 2.98 | 5.97 | 8.62 |
| cdccjcdo + cdcc $\rightarrow$ cdcccdo + cjdcc | 35.70 | 32.62 | 31.80 | 2.92 | 5.98 | 8.42 |
| cdecjedo + cdcc $\rightarrow$ cdeccdo + cdcjc | 29.74 | 27.84 | 27.99 | 3.83 | 5.70 | 7.17 |
| Average |  |  |  | 3.21 | 5.93 | 8.26 |
| Standard Deviation |  |  |  | 0.36 | 0.14 | 0.65 |
| Bond Energy |  |  |  | 75.74 | 78.49 | 79.20 |
| cdeccjdo |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cdcccjdo + cdc $\rightarrow$ cdeccdo + cjdc | 21.53 | 21.29 | 20.74 | 16.70 | 16.91 | 19.08 |
| cdeccjdo + cdccdo $\rightarrow$ cdccedo + cjdccdo | 22.74 | 22.47 | 21.93 | 16.57 | 16.83 | 18.86 |
| cdeccjdo + cdcc $\rightarrow$ cdcccdo + cjdcc | 22.11 | 21.76 | 21.56 | 16.52 | 16.84 | 18.66 |
| cdeccjdo + cdcc $\rightarrow$ cdeccdo + cdcjc | 16.14 | 16.99 | 17.75 | 17.43 | 16.55 | 17.42 |
| Average |  |  |  | 16.80 | 16.78 | 18.50 |
| Standard Deviation |  |  |  | 0.37 | 0.14 | 0.64 |
| Bond Energy |  |  |  | 89.34 | 89.34 | 89.44 |

The enthalpy of formation in CBS-QB3 level determination for cdccjdo are in good agreement to the ones calculated by Rutz, da Silva and Bozzelli. ${ }^{5}$ The value from this study is $21.53 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$; the value from literature ${ }^{5}$ is $21.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Comparisons for thermochemical properties from this study and report by Rutz, da Silva and Bozzelli are list in Table 3.8.

Compared the enthalpy of formation of 2-propenal and corresponding radicals calculated by Goldsmith, Magoon and Green, values calculated in M062X/6-31+G(d,p) level show agreement with the Goldsmith et al method ${ }^{7}$ where atomization method was used. The enthalpy of formation for cdccdo from this study is $-16.02 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ at M062X/6-31+G(d,p) level and $-16.85 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ at CBS-QB3 level, the value from literature ${ }^{7}$ is $-15.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$; for cjdccdo, value from this study is $43.61 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in M062X/6-31+G(d,p) level and $42.91 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in CBS-QB3 level, the value from literature ${ }^{7}$ is $44.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$; for cdcjcdo, value from this study is $44.85 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in $\mathrm{M} 062 \mathrm{X} / 6-31+\mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ level and $43.87 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in CBS-QB3 level, the value from literature ${ }^{7}$ is $45.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$; for cdccjdo, value from this study is $22.76 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in M062X/6-31+G(d,p) level and $21.53 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ in CBS-QB3 level, the value from Goldsmith literature ${ }^{7}$ is $23.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Comparisons for thermochemical properties from this study and by Goldsmith, Magoon and Green are listed in Table 3.9.

### 3.3 Bond Enthalpies

The calculated $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{298}$ of the parent and of the radical species corresponding to loss of a hydrogen atom are used along with standard enthalpy of formation of $52.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for the hydrogen atom. ${ }^{16}$ A bond cleavage reaction is used to calculate bond enthalpy.

$$
\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{R} \bullet+\mathrm{H} \bullet
$$

Parent molecules bond enthalpies are computed from work reactions listed in Table 3.4 and corresponding data for radical is listed in Table 3.3. C-H bond enthalpies from CBS-QB3 and M062X/6-31+G(d,p) levels show excellent agreement.

Analysis of the C-H bond of enthalpies for the unsaturated carbonyl in this study can be broken down into six bond types: (i) primary adjacent to vinyl, (ii) secondary adjacent to the carbonyl, (iii) primary vinyl, (iv) secondary vinyl, (v) secondary vinyl adjacent to carbonyl, (vi) carbonyl. Table 3.4 has a summary of these different bond classes for unsaturated carbonyl parent molecules.

Table 3.4 Summary of C-H Bond Enthalpies from This Study

| Species | Carbon- Hydrogen Bond Dissociation Classes (kcal/mol) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Primary <br> adjacent <br> to vinyl | Secondary <br> Adjacent to <br> Carbonyl | Primary <br> Vinyl | Secondary <br> Vinyl | Secondary <br> Vinyl Adjacent <br> to Carbonyl | Carbonyl |
| cdccdo |  |  | 111.8 |  | 113.1 | 90.4 |
| ccdcccdo | 87.0 | 77.0 s <br> + allylic |  | $107.5,109.6$ |  | 89.4 |
| cdcccdo |  | 77.81 s <br> + allylic | 111.2 | 108.0 |  | 89.4 |
| References | 88 |  | $110-112$ | $102-111$ |  | $87-89$ |

Available Literature Value, $\operatorname{Ref}^{17,18,19}$

The primary $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond adjacent to vinyl of $\mathrm{C}(-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCC}=\mathrm{O}$ has bond enthalpy $87.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, which is lower than the literature value, published by Luo ${ }^{17}$, by approximately $1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The primary C-H bond enthalpy is $87 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. There is a 14 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ stabilization from resonance, compared to $101 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ primary $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond enthalpy, because the odd carbon electron conjugate to vinyl pi bond.

The values for the secondary C-H bond enthalpies, adjacent to carbonyl group, are: $77.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}(-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ and $77.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CC}(-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$. Comparing this to a secondary C-H bond enthalpy ( $98.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) for a normal alkane and 94.5 for a normal secondary adjacent to a ketone by Hudzik and Bozzelli. ${ }^{10}$ The high stabilization in allylic secondary C-H bond is due to resonance between the carbonyl group and secondary carbon.

The primary vinyl C-H bond enthalpies for $\mathrm{C}(-\mathrm{H})=\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}(-\mathrm{H})=\mathrm{CCC}=\mathrm{O}$ are $111.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and $111.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, which are similar to $110-112 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ from literature values. ${ }^{17}$ The secondary vinyl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond enthalpies for $\mathrm{CC}(-\mathrm{H})=\mathrm{CCC}=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{C}(-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}$ are $107.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 109.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and $108.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ respectively. Compared to literature value, the secondary vinyl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond enthalpies for $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CCC}=\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCC}=\mathrm{O}$ are in the range of $102-111 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for the bond enthalpies from the literature value in Moussaoui and Mare's report. ${ }^{19}$

The enthalpy for C-H bond at the position of secondary vinyl, adjacent to carbonyl group, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ shows a very different trend; it is increased by $\sim 5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ to 113.1 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ from a conventional secondary vinyl on an olefin, which we evaluate as being ~ $108 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The carbonyl group is interpreted as drawing electrons from the vinyl group here. This increases the positive energy on the vinyl carbons which increase the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond
energy. The C-H bond enthalpy of the primary vinyl of cdccdo is increased but only by 0.7 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ from a normal primary, which we evaluate as being $\sim 111 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

The carbonyl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond enthalpies for $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CCC}=\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCC}=\mathrm{O}$ are $90.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 89.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and $89.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. There is a reported range of $87-89$ $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond of enthalpies on aldehyde carbonyl group. ${ }^{13}$ The C-H bond enthalpy of aldehyde carbonyl in this study is the same as the carbonyl C-H bond strength in formaldehyde ( $87 \pm 1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) indicating no extra stabilization energy as a result of the allylic group. The fact that different $\alpha$ substituents $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right.$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}$ ) in aldehydes appears to have a small effect on the carbonyl C-H bond strength decreasing of about $2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

### 3.4 Entropy and Heat Capacity

The entropy $\mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ and heat capacity $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T})$ data for the parent molecules as a function of temperature are determined from the optimized structures, moments of inertia, vibrational frequencies, symmetries, electron degeneracy, mass of the molecules, number of optical isomers and internal rotor contributions. ${ }^{20}$

Contributions of translation, vibration and external rotation to entropy and heat capacity for each parent molecule and radical are calculated using the SMCPS program with rigid-rotor-harmonic-oscillator approximation for vibration frequencies and vibration frequencies adjusted as recommended by Scott and Radom. ${ }^{14}$ The SMCPS program utilizes the rigid-harmonic oscillator approximation from the optimized structures obtained at B3LYP/6-31G (d,p) level.

Internal rotor torsion frequencies are identified using visual inspection of the torsion frequency movement in GaussView and calculated rotor contributions are used to
replace the vibration torsion contribution. The lower frequency is selected, in case when identification of a frequency is uncertain due to coupling to other motions. As noted the torsion frequencies contributions are replaced with entropy and heat capacity contributions from "VIBIR" program for hindered rotor analysis. In this study, internal rotor barriers with energy below $6.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ are treated; internal rotors with barriers higher than 6.5 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ are calculated as the torsion frequency contributions. Table 3.5 has a summary of the internal rotor torsion frequencies identified using GaussView.

Table 3.5 Internal Rotor Torsion Frequencies, not Listed in SMCPS Input Files

| species | Internal rotor torsion frequencies $\left(\mathbf{c m}^{-1}\right)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cdccdo | cdc--cdo |  |  |
|  | 172.78 |  |  |
| cjdccdo | cjdc--cdo |  |  |
|  | 165.99 |  | ccdc--ccdo |
| ccdcccdo | c--cdcccdo | ccdcc--cdo |  |
|  | 206.06 | 65.75 | 137.70 |
| cjcdcccdo | cjcdc--ccdo | cjcdcc--cdo |  |
|  | 73.56 | 58.01 |  |
| ccjdcccdo | c--cjdcccdo | ccjdc--ccdo | ccjdcc--cdo |
|  | 129.46 | 54.63 | 139.68 |
| ccdcjccdo | c--cdcjccdo | ccdcj--ccdo | ccdcjc--cdo |
|  | 190.36 | 78.95 | 48.58 |
| ccdccjcdo | c--cdccjcdo | ccdc--cjcdo |  |
|  | 155.36 | 255.03 |  |
| ccdcccjdo | c--cdcccjdo | ccdc--ccjdo | ccdcc--cjdo |
|  | 205.88 | 130.24 | 60.22 |
| cdcccdo | cdc--ccdo | cdcc--cdo |  |
|  | 74.14 | 156,43 |  |
| cjdcccdo | cjdc--ccdo | cjdcc--cdo |  |
|  | 74.24 | 156.36 |  |
| cdcjccdo | cdcj--ccdo | cdcjc--cdo |  |
|  | 164.03 | 136.48 |  |
| cdccjcdo | cdc--cjcdo |  |  |
|  | 273.94 |  |  |
| cdcccjdo | cdc--ccjdo | cdcc--cjdo |  |
|  | 142.86 | 73.58 |  |

Heat capacities and entropies for parent unsaturated carbonyl alkenes and corresponding radicals from SMCPS and VIBIR are listed in Table 3.6 and Table B.2. Comparisons for thermochemical properties from this study and literatures are listed in Table 3.7-3.9. The tables show great agreement between values from this study and from literatures.

Table 3.6 Comparison of Heat Capacities for Parent Species from SMCPS and VIBIR.

| Species | Cp(T) $(\mathbf{c a l} / \mathbf{m o l} / \mathbf{K})$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Method |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Rotors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| T | 300 k | 400 k | 500 k | 600 k | 800 k | 1000 k | 1500 k |  | 0 |
| cdccdo | 15.74 | 19.35 | 22.55 | 25.26 | 29.50 | 32.58 | 37.28 | SMCPS | 0 |
|  | 15.90 | 19.53 | 22.78 | 25.55 | 29.85 | 32.91 | 37.33 | SMCPS+VIBIR | 1 |
| cjdccdo | 15.80 | 18.95 | 21.55 | 23.70 | 26.99 | 29.35 | 32.92 | SMCPS | 0 |
|  | 15.98 | 19.18 | 21.85 | 24.05 | 27.34 | 29.60 | 32.79 | SMCPS+VIBIR | 1 |
| cdcjcdo | 16.16 | 19.00 | 21.48 | 23.59 | 26.90 | 29.31 | 32.94 | SMCPS | 0 |
| cdccjdo | 16.87 | 19.87 | 22.24 | 24.16 | 27.12 | 29.32 | 32.78 | SMCPS | 0 |
| ccdcccdo | 26.24 | 32.88 | 38.88 | 44.01 | 52.15 | 58.11 | 67.18 | SMCPS | 0 |
| (trans) | 26.00 | 31.85 | 37.31 | 42.11 | 49.82 | 55.55 | 64.40 | SMCPS+VIBIR | 3 |
| ccdcccdo | 26.11 | 32.84 | 38.87 | 44,04 | 52.15 | 58.09 | 67.15 | SMCPS | 0 |
| (cis) | 25.58 | 31.96 | 37.78 | 42.80 | 50.60 | 56.26 | 64.86 | SMCPS+VIBIR | 3 |
| cjcdcccdo | 25.73 | 32.26 | 37.86 | 42.53 | 49.68 | 54.81 | 62.71 | SMCPS | 0 |
|  | 25.89 | 31.91 | 37.12 | 41.50 | 48.29 | 53.26 | 60.91 | SMCPS+VIBIR | 2 |
| ccjdcccdo | 26.21 | 32.21 | 37.57 | 42.18 | 49.42 | 54.73 | 62.76 | SMCPS | 0 |
|  | 25.58 | 30.78 | 35.69 | 39.99 | 46.91 | 52.05 | 59.92 | SMCPS+VIBIR | 3 |
| ccdcjccdo | 26.16 | 32.15 | 37.52 | 42.12 | 49.35 | 54.64 | 62.68 | SMCPS | 0 |
|  | 25.58 | 30.83 | 35.72 | 40.02 | 46.90 | 52.01 | 59.86 | SMCPS+VIBIR | 3 |
| ccdccjcdo | 25.56 | 31.79 | 37.33 | 42.05 | 49.35 | 54.64 | 62.64 | SMCPS | 0 |
|  | 23.65 | 31.55 | 36.91 | 41.52 | 48.74 | 54.04 | 62.07 | SMCPS+VIBIR | 2 |
| ccdcccjdo | 25.99 | 32.05 | 37.43 | 42.02 | 49.20 | 54.46 | 62.51 | SMCPS | 0 |
|  | 25.87 | 31.13 | 35.95 | 40.17 | 46.91 | 51.94 | 59.75 | SMCPS+VIBIR | 3 |
| cdcccdo | 20.87 | 26.16 | 30.82 | 34.77 | 40.93 | 45.42 | 52.26 | SMCPS | 0 |
|  | 19.81 | 24.78 | 29.26 | 33.10 | 39.12 | 43.55 | 50.33 | SMCPS+VIBIR | 2 |
| cjdcccdo | 20.95 | 25.75 | 29.82 | 33.20 | 38.41 | 42.18 | 47.90 | SMCPS | 0 |
|  | 20.66 | 24.90 | 28.62 | 31.79 | 36.76 | 40.42 | 46.01 | SMCPS+VIBIR | 2 |
| cdcjccdo | 21.06 | 25.71 | 29.70 | 33.07 | 38.31 | 42.12 | 47.89 | SMCPS | 0 |
|  | 20.28 | 24.47 | 28.22 | 31.45 | 36.53 | 40.27 | 45.97 | SMCPS+VIBIR | 2 |
| cdccjcdo | 20.29 | 25.19 | 29.39 | 32.88 | 38.18 | 41.98 | 46.89 | SMCPS | 0 |
|  | 18.57 | 25.38 | 29.57 | 33.06 | 38.41 | 42.28 | 48.10 | SMCPS+VIBIR | 1 |
| cdcccjdo | 20.60 | 25.30 | 29.35 | 32.74 | 37.97 | 41.77 | 47.59 | SMCPS | 0 |
|  | 20.38 | 24.51 | 28.21 | 31.37 | 36.35 | 40.02 | 45.72 | SMCPS+VIBIR | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.7 Comparison of Thermochemical Properties of 2-Propenal from This Study and Literature Values by Asatryan, da Silva and Bozzelli ${ }^{4}$

| $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{K})$ | $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{0}}{ }_{298}(\mathbf{k c a l} / \mathbf{m o l})$ |  | $\mathbf{C p}(\mathbf{c a l} / \mathbf{m o l} / \mathbf{K})$ |  | $\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{( \mathbf { c a l } / \mathbf { m o l } / \mathbf { K } )}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ref 4 | this <br> study | this study <br> SMCPS+VIBIR | Ref 4 | this study <br> SMCPS+VIBIR | Ref 4 |
| 298 | -16.5 | $-16.85^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 21.9 | 16.47 | 66.72 | 68.17 |
| 300 |  |  | 15.90 | 16.55 | 68.70 | 66.82 |
| 400 |  |  | 19.53 | 20.28 | 73.59 | 72.11 |
| 500 |  |  | 22.78 | 23.51 | 78.07 | 76.99 |
| 600 |  |  | 25.55 | 26.19 | 82.29 | 81.52 |
| 800 |  |  | 29.85 | 30.26 | 89.97 | 89.64 |
| 1000 |  |  | 32.91 | 33.14 | 96.75 | 96.72 |
| 1500 |  |  | 37.33 | 37.35 | 110.63 | 111.07 |

a. Value calculated in CBS-QB3 method

Table 3.8 Comparison of Thermochemical Properties of Radical cdccjdo from This Study and Literature Values by Rutz, da Silva and Bozzelli ${ }^{5}$

| $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{K})$ | $\mathbf{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{0}}{ }_{\mathbf{2 9 8}} \mathbf{( \mathbf { k c a l } / \mathbf { m o l } )}$ |  | $\mathbf{C p}(\mathbf{c a l} / \mathbf{m o l} / \mathbf{K})$ |  | $\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{( \mathbf { c a l } / \mathbf { m o l } / \mathbf { K } )}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ref 5 | this <br> study | Ref 5 | this study <br> SMCPS+VIBIR | Ref 5 | this study <br> SMCPS+VIBIR |
| 298 | 21.9 | $21.53^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  | 67.98 | $68.17^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| 300 |  |  | 15.53 | 16.87 |  |  |
| 400 |  |  | 18.46 | 19.87 |  |  |
| 500 |  |  | 21.03 | 22.24 |  |  |
| 600 |  |  | 23.18 | 24.16 |  |  |
| 800 |  |  | 26.50 | 27.12 |  |  |
| 1000 |  |  | 28.92 | 29.32 |  |  |
| 1500 |  |  | 32.62 | 32.78 |  |  |

a. Value calculated in CBS-QB3 method

Table 3.9 Comparison of Thermochemical Properties of cdccdo and Corresponding Radicals from This Study and Reference Value by Goldsmith ${ }^{7}$

|  | cdecdo |  | cjdccdo |  | cdcjedo |  | cdccjdo |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | this <br> study | Ref 7 | this <br> study | Ref 7 | this <br> study | Ref 7 | this <br> study | Ref 7 |
| $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{0}} \mathbf{2 9 8}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -16.02^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & -16.85^{\mathrm{b}} \end{aligned}$ | -15.5 | $\begin{aligned} & 43.61^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & 42.91^{\mathrm{b}} \end{aligned}$ | 44.4 | $\begin{aligned} & 44.85^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & 43.87^{\mathrm{b}} \end{aligned}$ | 45.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 22.76^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & 21.53^{\mathrm{b}} \end{aligned}$ | 23.2 |
| $\mathrm{S}^{\mathbf{0}}{ }_{298}$ | 68.70 | 65.3 | 70.01 | 67.3 | 70.00 | 70.3 | 68.17 | 67.9 |
| T(K) | Cp (T) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300 | 15.90 | 16.9 | 15.98 | 16.2 | 16.16 | 16.3 | 16.87 | 15.6 |
| 400 | 19.53 | 20.4 | 19.18 | 19.7 | 19.00 | 19.1 | 19.87 | 18.6 |
| 500 | 22.78 | 23.5 | 21.85 | 22.7 | 21.48 | 21.6 | 22.24 | 21.2 |
| 600 | 25.55 | 26.1 | 24.05 | 25.0 | 23.59 | 23.7 | 24.16 | 23.3 |
| 700 | 29.85 | 30.1 | 27.34 | 28.3 | 26.90 | 27.0 | 27.12 | 26.6 |
| 1000 | 32.91 | 33.0 | 29.60 | 30.4 | 29.31 | 29.4 | 29.32 | 29.1 |
| 1500 | 37.33 | 37.3 | 32.79 | 33.2 | 32.94 | 33.0 | 32.78 | 32.7 |

Units of $\Delta_{\mathrm{f}} \mathrm{H}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{298}$ in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$
Units of $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T})$ and $\mathrm{S}^{\mathrm{o}}{ }_{298}$ in $\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K}$
a. Value calculated in M062X/6-31+G(d,p) method
b. Value calculated in CBS-QB3 method

## CHAPTER 4

## SUMMARY

Thermochemical properties, including enthalpies of formation, entropy, heat capacity, and bond enthalpies are determined for the C3 to C5 unsaturated carbonyl alkenes and corresponding radicals. The enthalpies of formation of the target molecules, averaged over five isodesmic reactions for molecule $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CCC}=\mathrm{O}$, and $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CCC}=\mathrm{O}$ are evaluated as $16.89,27.41$ and $19.91 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ respectively. There is good consistency with the B3LYP method for the enthalpies of formation between the CBS-QB3 and M062X/6-31+G(d,p) levels of theory, with differences less than $0.71 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for target molecules, and less than $1.73 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for their corresponding radicals. The enthalpy of formation, entropy and heat capacity for 2-propenal and corresponding radicals are in satisfactory agreement with the limited literature data available. C-H Bond enthalpies of carbonyl in this study are in range of $89.4-90.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, which are similar to carbonyl C-H bond strength in formaldehyde ( $87 \pm 1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) indicating no extra stabilization energy as a result of the allelic group.

The bond enthalpy for the secondary C-H bonds, adjacent to carbonyl group, are quite low: $77.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathrm{CC}=\mathrm{CC}(-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ and $77.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CC}(-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$. The C--H bond enthalpy at the position of secondary vinyl, adjacent to carbonyl group, $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ shows a value of $113.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, which is about $5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher than a normal secondary vinyl C--H bond. The C-H bond enthalpy of the primary vinyl of cdccdo is increased but only by $0.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. These bond enthalpies are different from that normally found on olefins or carbonyls.

## APPENDIX A

## FIGURES

Figure A. 1 to A. 3 show potential barriers for parent molecules and radicals.



Figure A. 1 Potential Energy Profiles for Internal Rotations in cdccdo and cjdccdo.




Figure A. 2 Potential Energy Profiles for Internal Rotations in ccdcccdo (trans), ccdcccdo (cis), cjcdcccdo, ccjdcccdo, ccdcjccdo, ccdccjcdo and ccdcccjdo.


Figure A. 2 Potential Energy Profiles for Internal Rotations in ccdcccdo (trans), ccdcccdo (cis), cjcdcccdo, ccjdcccdo, ccdcjccdo, ccdccjcdo and ccdcccjdo (Continued)


Figure A. 2 Potential Energy Profiles for Internal Rotations in ccdcccdo (trans), ccdcccdo (cis), cjcdcccdo, ccjdcccdo, ccdcjccdo, ccdccjcdo and ccdcccjdo. (Continued)


Figure A. 3 Potential Energy Profiles for Internal Rotations in cdcccdo, cjdcccdo, cdcjccdo, cdccjcdo and cdcccjdo.


Figure A. 3 Potential Energy Profiles for Internal Rotations in cdcccdo, cjdcccdo, cdcjccdo, cdccjcdo and cdcccjdo. (Continued)

## APPENDIX B

## PARAMETER RESULTS SUMMARY

Structure and thermo parameter results for parent molecules and radicals are provided in the following tables.

Table B. 1 Optimized Structure Coordinates for Parent Molecules and Radicals from CBS-QB3 Level

| Z-Matrix |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cdccdo | cjdccdo | cdcjedo | cdccjdo |
| C | C | C | C |
| C, 1, r21 | C, 1, r21 | C, 1, 21 | C, 1, 21 |
| H,2,r32,1,a321 | C,2,r32,1,a321 | C,2,r32,1,a321 | C,2,r32,1,a321 |
| H,2,r42,1, a 421,3,d4213,0 | H,1,r41,2,a412,3,d4123,0 | H,3,r43,2,a432,1,d4321,0 | H,1,r41,2,a412,3,d4123,0 |
| H,1,r51,2,a512,3,d5123,0 | H,2,r52,3,a523,1,d5231,0 | O,3,r53,2,a532,1,d5321,0 | H,1,r51,2, $512,3, \mathrm{~d} 5123,0$ |
| C,1,r61,2,a612,3,d6123,0 | O,3,r63,2,a632,1,d6321,0 | H,1,r61,2,a612,3,d6123,0 | H,2,r62,1,a621,4,d6214,0 |
| O,6,r76,1,a761,2,d7612,0 | H,3,r73,2,a732,1,d7321,0 | H,1,r71,2,a712,3,d7123,0 | O,3,r73,2,a732,1,d7321,0 |
| H,6,r86,1,a861,2,d8612,0 | Variables: | Variables: | Variables: |
| Variables: | r21 $=1.31150413$ | $\mathrm{r} 21=1.314873$ | r21 $=1.33346269$ |
| r21=1.33392472 | $\mathrm{r} 32=1.48700727$ | $\mathrm{r} 32=1.47194469$ | $\mathrm{r} 32=1.47592526$ |
| r32 $=1.08647744$ | $\mathrm{r} 41=1.07932165$ | $\mathrm{r} 43=1.10443891$ | $\mathrm{r} 41=1.08366427$ |
| $\mathrm{r} 42=1.08349908$ | $\mathrm{r} 52=1.09401598$ | $\mathrm{r} 53=1.21086884$ | $\mathrm{r} 51=1.08442206$ |
| $\mathrm{r} 51=1.0856196$ | $\mathrm{r} 63=1.20698466$ | $\mathrm{r} 61=1.08629531$ | r62 $=1.08953033$ |
| $\mathrm{r} 61=1.47530358$ | $\mathrm{r} 73=1.10796043$ | $\mathrm{r} 71=1.09221286$ | r73 $=1.18510216$ |
| r76 $=1.20854383$ | $\mathrm{a} 321=122.85674626$ | $\mathrm{a} 321=133.94486599$ | $\mathrm{a} 321=120.45532053$ |
| r86=1.11304943 | $\mathrm{a} 412=139.80699287$ | $\mathrm{a} 432=116.57722257$ | $\mathrm{a} 412=121.76298657$ |
| $\mathrm{a} 321=120.95983101$ | $\mathrm{a} 523=115.15068544$ | a532=121.91934908 | $\mathrm{a} 512=120.55947303$ |
| $\mathrm{a} 421=122.29538112$ | $\mathrm{a} 632=123.26845142$ | $\mathrm{a} 612=122.32195804$ | $\mathrm{a} 621=122.34960948$ |
| $\mathrm{a} 512=122.42911211$ | a732 $=114.818632$ | $\mathrm{a} 712=120.75787832$ | $\mathrm{a} 732=128.32918951$ |
| $\mathrm{a} 612=121.24024855$ | $\mathrm{d} 4123=-179.99862705$ | $\mathrm{d} 4321=-179.91353837$ | $\mathrm{d} 4123=-179.99349392$ |
| a761 $=124.30405247$ | $\mathrm{d} 5231=-179.99675056$ | $\mathrm{d} 5321=0.09028959$ | $\mathrm{d} 5123=-0.00246065$ |
| $\mathrm{a} 861=114.47711313$ | d6321 $=-179.9998074$ | $\mathrm{d} 6123=-179.98391735$ | d6214 $=0.00289567$ |
| $\mathrm{d} 4213=179.99959195$ | $\mathrm{d} 7321=-0.00162056$ | $\mathrm{d} 7123=0.02203529$ | $\mathrm{d} 7321=179.99958398$ |
| $\mathrm{d} 5123=-179.9999018$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{d} 6123=-0.00039659$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{d} 7612=179.99052463$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{d} 8612=0.01021259$ |  |  |  |

Table B. 1 Optimized Structure Coordinates for Parent Molecules and Radicals from CBS-QB3 Level (Continued)

| ccdccedo | cjcdeccdo | ccjdccedo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | C | C |
| C,1,r21 | C,1,r21 | C, 1,21 |
| C,2,r32,1,a321 | C,2,r32,1,a321 | C, 2, r32,1, a321 |
| H,3,r43,2,a432,1,d4321,0 | C,3,r43,2,a432,1,d4321,0 | C,3,r43,2,a432,1,d4321,0 |
| C,3,r53,2,a532,1,d5321,0 | C,4,r54,3,a543,2,d5432,0 | C,4,r54,3, $5433,2, \mathrm{~d} 5432,0$ |
| C,5,r65,3,a653,4,d6534,0 | H,1,r61,2,a612,3,d6123,0 | H,1,r61,2,a612,3,d6123,0 |
| O,6,r76,5,a765,3,d7653,0 | H,1,r71,2,a712,3,d7123,0 | H,1,r71,2,a712,3,d7123,0 |
| H,1,r81,2,a812,3,d8123,0 | H,2,r82,1,a821,6,d8216,0 | H,1,r81,2,a812,3,d8123,0 |
| H,1,r91,2,a912,8,d9128,0 | H,3,r93,4,a934,5,d9345,0 | H,3,r93,4,a934,5,d9345,0 |
| H,1,r101,2,a1012,8,d10128,0 | H,4,r104,3, 1043,2,d10432,0 | H,4,r104,3,a1043,2,d10432,0 |
| H,2,r112,1, $11121,8, \mathrm{~d} 11218,0$ | H,4,r114,3, $11143,2, \mathrm{~d} 11432,0$ | H,4,r114,3,a1143,2,d11432,0 |
| H,5,r125,3,a1253,2,d12532,0 | O,5,r125,4,a1254,3,d12543,0 | O,5,r125,4, a 1254,3,d12543,0 |
| H,5,r135,3,a1353,12,d135312,0 | H,5,r135,4,a1354,3,d13543,0 Variables: | H,5,r135,4,a1354,3,d13543,0 Variables: |
| H,6,r146,5, $1465,7, \mathrm{~d} 14657,0$ | $\mathrm{r} 21=1.38059238$ | r21 $=1.4688656$ |
| Variables: | r32 $=1.38774195$ | r32 $=1.30939059$ |
| $\mathrm{r} 21=1.50002563$ | $\mathrm{r} 43=1.49799807$ | $\mathrm{r} 43=1.50593641$ |
| r32 $=1.33070234$ | r54=1.52783081 | r54 $=1.51444414$ |
| $\mathrm{r} 43=1.08705514$ | r61 $=1.08218608$ | r61 $=1.09451693$ |
| $\mathrm{r} 53=1.49936269$ | r71 $=1.08433621$ | r71 $=1.09460715$ |
| $\mathrm{r} 65=1.51632858$ | r82=1.08930577 | r81 $=1.10100216$ |
| r76=1.20330105 | r93 $=1.08701224$ | r93=1.09538662 |
| r81 $=1.09580836$ | r104 $=1.09637551$ | r104 $=1.10226466$ |
| r91 $=1.09232193$ | r114=1.0936891 | r114=1.09565066 |
| $\mathrm{r} 101=1.0956047$ | r125=1.20245845 | r125=1.20342784 |
| r112=1.09005649 | r135=1.11210445 | r135=1.11355204 |
| r125=1.10274312 | $\mathrm{a} 321=125.12553124$ | a321 $=140.19116329$ |
| r135 $=1.09726327$ | $\mathrm{a} 432=124.42140752$ | $\mathrm{a} 432=125.13683483$ |
| r146=1.1138317 | $\mathrm{a} 543=110.04169915$ | a543=114.33978469 |
| a321 $=125.15029716$ | $\mathrm{a} 612=121.37896143$ | a612=11.39095244 |
| $\mathrm{a} 432=119.60292112$ | $\mathrm{a} 712=121.17414022$ | a712=111.46744441 |
| a532=124.55355076 | $\mathrm{a} 821=117.61790847$ | $\mathrm{a} 812=110.7953449$ |
| $\mathrm{a} 653=114.75401626$ | a934 $=116.69137776$ | a $934=115.42050083$ |
| a765 $=125.20491077$ | a1043 $=110.22248183$ | a1043 $=111.09942543$ |
| a812=111.15034943 | a1143 $=111.88768632$ | a1143 $=111.45064399$ |
| $\mathrm{a} 912=111.5212865$ | a1254 $=124.49033437$ | a1254 $=125.21764055$ |
| a $1012=111.04745539$ | a1354=114.22309892 | a1354=114.12312902 |
| a $1121=116.02463418$ | $\mathrm{d} 4321=-177.77607033$ | $\mathrm{d} 4321=-179.87915912$ |
| a $1253=111.31039603$ | d5432 $=104.96227666$ | d5432=-135.7102038 |
| a1353 $=111.80619472$ | d6123=-179.12660905 | d6123=-120.41064437 |
| a1465 $=114.13562036$ | d7123 $=0.68476792$ | d7123 $=119.56737975$ |
| $\mathrm{d} 4321=-0.99263492$ | d8216=0.14655062 | $\mathrm{d} 8123=-0.49165737$ |
| $\mathrm{d} 5321=179.70831705$ | d $9345=-72.25566787$ | d $9345=43.31586113$ |
| d6534=-48.35539388 | d10432=-14.1149147 | d10432 $=104.78960933$ |
| d7653=4.79650757 | d11432 $=-135.74421587$ | d11432 $=-12.13345258$ |
| d8123=-120.42872281 | d12543=113.28229026 | d12543 $=-5.96117338$ |
| $\mathrm{d} 9128=120.79330392$ | d13543=-64.9051291 | d13543=175.29941511 |
| $\mathrm{d} 10128=-118.56811663$ |  |  |
| d11218=59.68533992 |  |  |
| d12532=-109.46552183 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{d} 135312=116.99431106$ |  |  |
| d14657 $=178.90224802$ |  |  |

Table B. 1 Optimized Structure Coordinates for Parent Molecules and Radicals from CBS-QB3 Level (Continued)

| ccdcjecdo | ccdccjedo | ccdcccjdo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | C | C |
| C, 1, r21 | C, 1,21 | C, 1, r21 |
| C,2,r32,1,a321 | C,2,r32,1,a321 | C, 2, r32,1,a321 |
| C,3,r43,2,a432,1,d4321,0 | C,3,r43,2,a432,1,d4321,0 | C,3,r43,2,a432,1,d4321,0 |
| C,4,r54,3,a543,2,d5432,0 | C,4,r54,3,a543,2,d5432,0 | C,4,r54,3,a543,2,d5432,0 |
| H,1,r61,2,a612,3,d6123,0 | H,1,r61,2,a612,3,d6123,0 | H,1,r61,2,a612,3,d6123,0 |
| H,1,r71,2, $712,3, \mathrm{~d} 7123,0$ | H,1,r71,2,a712,3,d7123,0 | H,1,r71,2,a712,3,d7123,0 |
| H,1,r81,2,a812,3,d8123,0 | H,1,r81,2,a812,3,d8123,0 | H,1,r81,2,a812,3,d8123,0 |
| H,2,r92, 1, a $921,6, \mathrm{~d} 9216,0$ | H,2,r92,1,a921,6,d9216,0 | H,2,r92,1,a921,6,d9216,0 |
| H,4,r104,5, a1045,3,d10453,0 | H,3,r103,2,a1032,1,d10321,0 | H,3,r103,4, $1034,5, \mathrm{~d} 10345,0$ |
| H,4,r114,5, a 1145,3,d11453,0 | H,4,r114,3,a1143,2,d11432,0 | H,4,r114,3,a1143,2,d11432,0 |
| O,5,r125,4,a1254,3,d12543,0 | O,5,r125,4,a1254,3,d12543,0 | H,4,r124,3,a1243,2,d12432,0 |
| H,5,r135,4,a1354,3,d13543,0 | H,5,r135,4,a1354,3,d13543,0 | O,5,r135,4,a1354,3,d13543,0 |
| Variables: | Variables: | Variables: |
| $\mathrm{r} 21=1.50754682$ | r21 $=1.49114129$ | r21 $=1.49960373$ |
| $\mathrm{r} 32=1.31125525$ | r32 $=1.37387156$ | r32 $=1.3316757$ |
| $\mathrm{r} 43=1.47644047$ | $\mathrm{r} 43=1.39720895$ | $\mathrm{r} 43=1.50094933$ |
| r54 $=1.52924086$ | r54 $=1.44312448$ | r54 $=1.53600875$ |
| $\mathrm{r} 61=1.09495645$ | r61 $=1.09682019$ | $\mathrm{r} 61=1.09222697$ |
| $\mathrm{r} 71=1.09071823$ | r71 $=1.09681442$ | r71 $=1.09559964$ |
| r81=1.09493267 | r81 $=1.09190412$ | r81 $=1.09562484$ |
| r92=1.0975769 | r92 $=1.08783564$ | r92 $=1.0898138$ |
| r104=1.09373313 | r103 $=1.08979926$ | r103 $=1.08744857$ |
| r114 $=1.09854782$ | r114 $=1.08610851$ | r114 $=1.09240157$ |
| r125=1.20134021 | r125=1.22249339 | r124=1.09896014 |
| r135=1.11082499 | r135=1.11170237 | r135=1.17976646 |
| $\mathrm{a} 321=125.64374498$ | a321 $=124.86541328$ | a321 $=125.16365184$ |
| $\mathrm{a} 432=140.12917689$ | a432 $=124.81421508$ | a $432=124.19681799$ |
| $\mathrm{a} 543=110.2624213$ | a543 $=122.46181878$ | a543=114.05642381 |
| $\mathrm{a} 612=110.75730066$ | a612=111.00714659 | $\mathrm{a} 612=111.60642882$ |
| $\mathrm{a} 712=111.21003947$ | a712=111.00790051 | a712 $=111.08807108$ |
| $\mathrm{a} 812=110.6464523$ | a812=111.95258015 | a812=110.95571103 |
| $\mathrm{a} 921=115.50847958$ | a $921=117.00627019$ | $\mathrm{a} 921=116.11779764$ |
| a1045=108.79007381 | $\mathrm{a} 1032=117.94770233$ | a1034 $=115.9499208$ |
| a1145 $=107.9022073$ | a1143 $=120.74044828$ | a1143 $=112.29924452$ |
| a $1254=124.14506211$ | a1254 $=124.29537722$ | $\mathrm{a} 1243=112.00741632$ |
| a 1354=114.23841703 | a1354=115.1840306 | a1354 $=128.0721871$ |
| $\mathrm{d} 4321=-179.98326553$ | d4321 $=179.99795554$ | $\mathrm{d} 4321=-179.38721489$ |
| $\mathrm{d} 5432=22.39043849$ | d5432 $=179.99979244$ | d5432 $=121.93967721$ |
| d6123=121.25671541 | d6123 $=-121.09353249$ | d6123 $=0.32532565$ |
| d7123 $=0.49483111$ | d7123=121.12980921 | d7123=-120.52619799 |
| d8123=-120.17814676 | d8123 $=0.01702366$ | d8123=121.0099492 |
| d9216=-59.65283569 | d9216 $=58.90466792$ | d9216 $=-179.83283933$ |
| $\mathrm{d} 10453=122.74532347$ | d10321 $=-0.00204678$ | d10345=-56.30235034 |
| d11453=-120.0635306 | d11432 $=-0.00015895$ | d11432 $=-1.38251884$ |
| d12543=-112.90323748 | d12543 $=179.99821934$ | d12432 $=-120.77249805$ |
| $\mathrm{d} 13543=64.9544628$ | $\mathrm{d} 13543=0.0019525$ | d13543 $=18.81854553$ |

Table B. 1 Optimized Structure Coordinates for Parent Molecules and Radicals from CBS-QB3 Level (Continued)

| cdccedo | cjdccedo | cdcjecdo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | C | C |
| C,1,r21 | C,1,r21 | C,1,r21 |
| C,2,r32,1,a321 | C,2,r32,1,a321 | C,2,r32,1,a321 |
| C,3,r43,2,a432,1,d4321,0 | C,3,r43,2,a432,1,d4321,0 | C,3,r43,2,a432,1,d4321,0 |
| H,1,r51,2,a512,3,d5123,0 | H,1,r51,2, a512,3,d5123,0 | H,1,r51,2, a512,3,d5123,0 |
| H,1,r61,2,a612,3,d6123,0 | H,2,r62,3,a623,4,d6234,0 | H,1,r61,2,a612,3,d6123,0 |
| H,2,r72,3, $723,4, \mathrm{~d} 7234,0$ | H,3,r73,2, $\mathrm{a} 32,1, \mathrm{~d} 7321,0$ | H,3,r73,4, $734,2, \mathrm{~d} 7342,0$ |
| H,3,r83,2,a832,1,d8321,0 | H,3,r83,2,a832,1,d8321,0 | H,3,r83,4,a834,2,d8342,0 |
| H,3,r93,2,a932,1,d9321,0 | O,4,r94,3,a943,2,d9432,0 | O,4,r94,3,a943,2,d9432,0 |
| H,4,r104,3,a1043,2,d10432,0 | H,4,r104,3,a1043,2,d10432,0 |  |
| O,4,r114,3,a1143,2,d11432,0 | Variables: | H,4,r104,3,a1043,2,d10432, |
| Variables: | r21-1.30638592 |  |
| r21 $=1.32840553$ | $\mathrm{r} 32=1.5074575$ | Variables: |
| r32 $=1.49993447$ | $\mathrm{r} 43=1.51485536$ | r21-1.30672766 |
| $\mathrm{r} 43=1.51664435$ | $\mathrm{r} 51=1.07958556$ | r32 $=1.46754808$ |
| $\mathrm{r} 51=1.08392137$ | r62=1.09441828 | $\mathrm{r} 43=1.53049191$ |
| r61 $=1.08617388$ | r73 $=1.09512807$ | r51=1.08738601 |
| r72 $=1.08597448$ | r83 $=1.10168936$ | r61 $=1.09217212$ |
| $\mathrm{r} 83=1.10231096$ | $\mathrm{r} 94=1.2031103$ | $\mathrm{r} 73=1.1003036$ |
| r93 $=1.09689883$ | r104 $=1.11280814$ | $\mathrm{r} 83=1.10030361$ |
| $\mathrm{r} 104=1.11343667$ | $\mathrm{a} 321=125.02461501$ | r94=1.19986782 |
| r114=1.2030707 | $\mathrm{a} 432=114.02883006$ | r104=1.11422333 |
| $\mathrm{a} 321=124.49802276$ | $\mathrm{a} 512=137.97278105$ | $\mathrm{a} 321=140.7893974$ |
| $\mathrm{a} 432=114.65187162$ | $\mathrm{a} 623=115.18907415$ | $\mathrm{a} 432=117.08413482$ |
| a512 $=121.45949922$ | $\mathrm{a} 732=111.57831122$ | $\mathrm{a} 512=122.12802618$ |
| $\mathrm{a} 612=121.86561586$ | $\mathrm{a} 832=110.66361462$ | $\mathrm{a} 612=120.68549927$ |
| $\mathrm{a} 723=115.67270621$ | $\mathrm{a} 943=125.04399913$ | a $734=106.20698429$ |
| $\mathrm{a} 832=110.93053435$ | $\mathrm{a} 1043=114.18525658$ | $\mathrm{a} 834=106.20723004$ |
| $\mathrm{a} 932=111.79603027$ | $\mathrm{d} 4321=139.15785182$ | $\mathrm{a} 943=126.27385316$ |
| $\mathrm{a} 1043=114.14351677$ | $\mathrm{d} 5123=179.61319654$ | $\mathrm{a} 1043=112.78049613$ |
| a $1143=125.12009479$ | d6234 $=-39.94947675$ | $\mathrm{d} 4321=-0.00199019$ |
| $\mathrm{d} 4321=-132.45180585$ | $\mathrm{d} 7321=15.28233346$ | $\mathrm{d} 5123=-179.98929357$ |
| $\mathrm{d} 5123=-179.48953002$ | $\mathrm{d} 8321=-101.6210999$ | $\mathrm{d} 6123=-0.0073028$ |
| $\mathrm{d} 6123=0.58774147$ | d9432 $=6.78465988$ | $\mathrm{d} 7342=124.6597616$ |
| $\mathrm{d} 7234=47.11902454$ | d10432=-174.4995831 | $\mathrm{d} 8342=-124.66000803$ |
| $\mathrm{d} 8321=108.16042894$ |  | d9432 $=-0.00304072$ |
| d9321 $=-8.78217166$ |  | $\mathrm{d} 10432=179.99785984$ |
| $\mathrm{d} 10432=175.85186039$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{d} 11432=-5.21227409$ |  |  |

Table B. 1 Optimized Structure Coordinates for Parent Molecules and Radicals from CBS-QB3 Level (Continued)

| cdccjedo | cdcccjdo |
| :---: | :---: |
| C | C |
| C, 1, r21 | C, 1, r21 |
| C,2,r32,1,a321 | C,2,r32,1,a321 |
| C,3,r43,2,a432,1,d4321,0 | C,3,r43,2,a432,1,d4321,0 |
| H,1,r51,2,a512,3,d5123,0 | H,1,r51,2,a512,3,d5123,0 |
| H,1,r61,2,a612,3,d6123,0 | H,1,r61,2,a612,3,d6123,0 |
| H,2,r72,1, $721,5, \mathrm{~d} 7215,0$ | H,2,r72,3, $723,4, \mathrm{~d} 7234,0$ |
| H,3,r83,2,a832,1,d8321,0 | H,3,r83,2,a832,1,d8321,0 |
| O,4,r94,3,a943,2,d9432,0 | H,3,r93,2,a932,1,d9321,0 |
| H,4,r104,3, 1 1043,2,d10432,0 | O,4,r104,3,a1043,2,d10432,0 |
| Variables: | Variables: |
| $\mathrm{r} 21=1.37078899$ | $\mathrm{r} 21=1.32906341$ |
| $\mathrm{r} 32=1.39811924$ | $\mathrm{r} 32=1.50166997$ |
| $\mathrm{r} 43=1.44603707$ | $\mathrm{r} 43=1.53511538$ |
| $\mathrm{r} 51=1.08262732$ | $\mathrm{r} 51=1.08392001$ |
| $\mathrm{r} 61=1.08435908$ | $\mathrm{r} 61=1.08591268$ |
| r72 $=1.08870426$ | r72 $=1.08643262$ |
| r83 $=1.08619398$ | r83 $=1.09217838$ |
| $\mathrm{r} 94=1.22102687$ | $\mathrm{r} 93=1.09871602$ |
| r104=1.11148477 | r104 $=1.17938476$ |
| $\mathrm{a} 321=124.60449549$ | $\mathrm{a} 321=124.15091368$ |
| a432 $=122.4137579$ | $\mathrm{a} 432=113.92910703$ |
| $\mathrm{a} 512=121.51068463$ | $\mathrm{a} 512=121.43678998$ |
| $\mathrm{a} 612=121.11912354$ | $\mathrm{a} 612=121.78212034$ |
| $\mathrm{a} 721=118.25579135$ | a723 $=115.76985823$ |
| $\mathrm{a} 832=120.74458964$ | $\mathrm{a} 832=112.28453939$ |
| $\mathrm{a} 943=124.07558714$ | $\mathrm{a} 932=111.71768981$ |
| a1043 $=115.23616028$ | $\mathrm{a} 1043=128.1303116$ |
| $\mathrm{d} 4321=180$. | d4321 $=122.96941499$ |
| $\mathrm{d} 5123=180$. | $\mathrm{d} 5123=-179.4966453$ |
| d6123 $=0.00007141$ | d6123 $=0.72812641$ |
| $\mathrm{d} 7215=0$. | d7234=-55.43148928 |
| d8321 $=-0.00009605$ | $\mathrm{d} 8321=-0.48715138$ |
| d9432=-179.99892586 | d9321 $=-119.88805661$ |
| $\mathrm{d} 10432=-0.00137625$ | $\mathrm{d} 10432=18.81680143$ |

Table B. 1 Optimized Structure Coordinates for Parent Molecules and Radicals from CBS-QB3 Level (Continued)

| internal coordinate |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| cdccdo | cjdccdo |
| Charge $=0$ Multiplicity $=1$ | Charge $=0$ Multiplicity $=2$ |
| Redundant internal coordinates found in file. C,0,0.0007610962,0.0000371611,0.0020087845 | Redundant internal coordina |
|  | C, $0,-0.0058830015,-0.0000551258,0.0044300769$ |
| C, $0,0.00018897,-0.0000051958,1.3359333813$ | C, $0,-0.0001691656,-0.0001271574,1.3159217566$ |
| $\mathrm{H}, 0,0.931634168,0.0000455875,1.8952571333$ <br> H, $0,-0.9159463253,-0.0000844242,1.9144369454$ | C, $0,1.2524640229,0.0000561963,2.1172336144$ |
|  |  |
| H,0,-0.9153123863,-0.0000101836,-0.5805539126 | H,, , $0.7060241749,-0.0001201051,-0.8169940236$ |
|  | H,, ,-0.9254884754,-0.0002719369, 1.899578426 |
| C, $, 0,1.2624739274,0.0001629705,-0.762583502$ | O,0,1.266395128,-0.0000119047,3.3241378757 |
| $\mathrm{O}, 0,1.3275857842,0.0000413898,-1.969372061$ <br> H, $0,2.1818832011,0.0000365129,-0.1352354251$ | H,0,2.1861361812,0.0002309324,1.5207165767 |
|  |  |
| cdcjedo | cdaj |
|  | Charge $=0$ Multiplicity $=2$ |
| Redundant internal coordinates found in file. C, $0,0.0000815816,0.0000646284,0.0004819129$ | Redundant internal coordinates found in file |
|  | C,0,0.0004773638,0.0000261755,0.0008559713 |
| C, $0,0.0042286951,0.0003973376,1.3153483307$ | C,0,0.0011007672,0.0000269079,1.334318518 |
| C, $0,1.0672567441,-0.0000844867,2.3334801036$ | C, $, 1.2737343688,0.0000140575,2.0818204212$ |
| H, $0,0.7409057268,-0.0010780131,3.3886006372$ | H, $0,-0.9211562647,0.0001400894,-0.5691612969$ |
| O,0,2.2405214982,0.0006401103,2.0340596097 | H, $, 0.03340173624,0.0000562428,-0.550935901$ |
| H,0,-0.9197267523,0.0008165582,-0.5774363945 H,0,0.9368925707,-0.0010932863,-0.5610452557 | H,0,-0.9190605424,0.0000948218,1.9177390285 |
|  | O,0,1.4366336198,0.0000197603,3.2556734601 |
| ccdccedo | cjcdeccdo |
| Redundant internal coordinates taken from checkpoint file: | Redundant internal coordinates taken from checkpoint file: |
| Charge $=0$ Multiplicity $=1$ | Charge $=0$ Multiplicity $=2$ |
| C, $0,0.0026929357,0.0006270978,0.0013355895$ | C, $0,0.0017679714,0.0013519295,0.0022019466$ |
| C, $, 0,0.003576157,-0.0019632204,1.501358724$ | C, $0,0.0020595768,-0.0026048757,1.3827886295$ |
| C,0,1.0920096839,0.0080458085,2.2668526745 | C, $, 1.1372509987,-0.0026581588,2.181016761$ |
| H,0,2.0749907888,0.0027127158,1.8027313681 | C, $0,1.1197883184,-0.0549354577,3.6780005133$ |
| C, $0,1.0772211892,0.0115803862,3.7661382634$ | C,0,1.5178014659,-1.4497711121,4.1578817497 |
| С, $0,1.9814747084,-1.0198587685,4.4124440685$ | H,, , $0.9221773832,0.0152307233,-0.5610556057$ |
| O,0,2.736879153,-1.7472084595,3.8223085265 | H,0,0.929359036,-0.0063002439,-0.5593149962 |
| H,0,-0.5242926835,0.8770919275,-0.3922270035 | H,0,-0.9629671962,0.0062910791, 1.8879927913 |
| H,0,1.018615188,0.0054366659,-0.3999698327 | H,0,2.1137701885,-0.0095617809,1.7035670649 |
|  | $\mathrm{H}, 0,0.1119076014,0.1586897502,4.0529404904$ |
| H,0,-0.5150625934,-0.8805626822,-0.3933585355 | H,0,1.8244393948,0.6557790496,4.1190306699 |
| H, , ,-0.9755974433,-0.0149408628,1.9801841571 | O,0,2.5265887941,-1.6996701185,4.7626998503 |
| H,0,1.4083428998,0.9836886123,4.1678924098 | H, $0,0.8016465213,-2.2517084086,3.8736371887$ |
| H, $, 0,0.0643107305,-0.1285196301,4.1640969066$ |  |
| H,0,1.9139586243,-1.052246862,5.5237557454 |  |

Table B. 1 Optimized Structure Coordinates for Parent Molecules and Radicals from CBS-QB3 Level (Continued)

| ccjdcccdo | ccdcjecdo |
| :---: | :---: |
| Redundant internal coordinates taken from checkpoint file: | Redundant internal coordinates taken from checkpoint file: |
| Charge $=0$ Multiplicity $=2$ | Charge $=0$ Multiplicity $=2$ |
| C, $0,0.00022454,-0.000559896,0.0022281912$ | C, $0,0.0017744443,0.0023523301,0.0004670497$ |
| C, $, 0.00025669457,-0.0021408619,1.4710910749$ | C, $0,0.0008552099,-0.001778428,1.5080079304$ |
| C, $0,0.842477165,-0.0016300155,2.4756080096$ | C,0,1.0659881713,-0.0048642657,2.2727775622 |
| C, $0,0.4536603678,-0.0065361024,3.9304763514$ | C, $0,1.4344237752,-0.0094014613,3.7025016874$ |
| C, $, 1.243331623,0.9576803006,4.7908524861$ | C, $0,0.2816830335,-0.5575015684,4.5447324553$ |
| H, 0,-0.5179517316,0.8777956949,-0.3952037108 |  |
| H,0,-0.501389288,-0.8870963654,-0.3985229371 | H,, , $0.5300676878,-0.8713534473,-0.3903165078$ |
| H,0,1.0288202055,0.0106493485,-0.3902906848 | H, $, 1.0188017875,-0.0062947438,-0.3935467476$ |
| H,0,1.9194644471,0.0194578255,2.2767976778 | H,, , $0.5122278832,0.8896266989,-0.3834904397$ |
| H,0,0.6083165012,-1.0013882281,4.3791834923 | $\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.9898797807,0.0135730857,1.980113394$ |
| H,0,-0.6137124362,0.2037374881,4.0606711292 | H, , , 1.6841906344,0.9943663201,4.0579154102 |
| O,0,2.1820803774,1.6117638723,4.4178055555 | H,0,2.3070898144,-0.6573080068,3.8621212342 |
| H,0,0.8983054957,1.011555725,5.8482325293 | O, $0,-0.3531897031,0.0975643695,5.3264251906$ Н, $0,0.0423871663,-1.6247503442,4.3506971694$ |
| ccdccjdo | ccdcccjdo |
| Redundant internal coordinates taken from checkpoint file: | Redundant internal coordinates taken from checkpoint file: |
| Charge $=0$ Multiplicity $=2$ | Charge $=0$ Multiplicity $=2$ |
| C, $0,0.0014335507,0.0000039226,0.0020878791$ | C, $0,0.0014113811,-0.0008004308,0.0017182488$ |
| C, 0,0.0016933755,0.0000570593, 1.4932291452 | C, $0,0.0026909092,-0.0007622706,1.5013214315$ |
| C,0,1.1290878364,0.0000063712,2.2784073292 | C,0,1.0919927241,0.0041349076,2.2673225677 |
| C,0,1.1280827832,0.0000971798,3.6756159099 | C,0,1.0680473418,-0.0092172936,3.7680214811 |
| C,0,2.3451605706,0.0000333618,4.4510705701 | C,0,1.8125876701,-1.1971425539,4.3955692052 |
| H,0,-0.527364026,0.8768362121,-0.3910441542 | $\mathrm{H}, 0,1.016548951,-0.0020267613,-0.4013375358$ |
| H,0,-0.5280359644,-0.8764385153,-0.3909929611 | H,0,-0.5220862017,0.8773964747,-0.3920571262 |
| H, $, 1.0140963961,-0.0003822076,-0.4062850171$ | H, $,-0.5221056034,-0.8800886094,-0.3896588881$ |
| H, , ,-0.9674351281,0.0001727483, 1.9873711284 | H, $,-0.9754318029,-0.0024330889,1.9819119866$ |
| H,0,2.0984003647,-0.0001134202,1.7803153386 | H,0,2.077071879,-0.0113725857,1.8069716566 |
| H,0,0.1941824984,0.0002199903,4.2301084236 | H,0,0.0509491822,0.0008782449,4.1664566745 |
| O,0,2.3833967449,0.0001404949,5.6729658529 | H,0,1.5733666699,0.8679368931,4.1957670748 |
| H,0,3.2847060051,-0.0000590687,3.8568176605 | O,0,2.6233141613,-1.8935861502,3.8960316402 |

Table B. 1 Optimized Structure Coordinates for Parent Molecules and Radicals from CBS-QB3 Level (Continued)

| cdccedo | cjdccedo |
| :---: | :---: |
| Redundant internal coordinates taken from checkpoint file: <br> Charge $=0$ Multiplicity $=1$ <br> C, $0,0.0050228123,-0.0020030788,0.0001530271$ <br> C,0,-0.0002689939,0.0008512218,1.3285449538 <br> C,0,1.2325013097,0.0035674564,2.1829882834 <br> C,0,1.2231452043,-1.0110741581,3.3102082909 <br> H,0,-0.9172803056,0.004352563,-0.5692344609 <br> $\mathrm{H}, 0,0.9297328956,-0.0120329802,-0.5695700313$ <br> H,0,-0.9444875664,-0.0059984129,1.8649635701 <br> H,0,1.3726491092,0.9830038117,2.6689434976 <br> H,0,2.140733586,-0.1525664666,1.5880736919 <br> H,0,2.1705291856,-1.0522815305,3.8937378626 <br> O,0,0.2922851009,-1.7165066105,3.5987280112 | Redundant internal coordinates taken from checkpoint file: <br> Charge $=0$ Multiplicity $=2$ <br> C,0,-0.0033478062,-0.0006002052,0.0046086875 <br> C, $0,-0.0003344435,-0.0023810019,1.3109899227$ <br> C, $, 0,1.2361134811,0.0013497062,2.1733185845$ <br> C, $0,1.1397195406,0.9041397514,3.3859459435$ <br> $\mathrm{H}, 0,-0.7279189075,-0.007261056,-0.7956770969$ <br> H,0,-0.9488912244,0.0085292947,1.8567667748 <br> H,0,2.127343169,0.2741025525,1.5983220445 <br> H,0,1.440218862,-1.0080703164,2.5646389868 <br> O,0,0.1464502232,1.4847847678,3.737715491 <br> $\mathrm{H}, 0,2.0829199422,0.983929133,3.9710515787$ |
| cdcjecdo | cdccjcdo |
| Redundant internal coordinates taken from checkpoint file: <br> Charge $=0$ Multiplicity $=2$ <br> C,0,-0.0010579075,-0.0000809481,0.0029430939 <br> C,0,0.0030260249,-0.0000725253,1.3096643713 <br> C,0,0.9343191035,-0.0000955702,2.4438559677 <br> C,0,2.4296479387,-0.0001939652,2.117670223 <br> H,0,-0.9237264825,0.0001175488,-0.5724619821 <br> H, $, 0.0 .9364433402,0.0000044227,-0.5573526786$ <br> H,0,0.7622812254,-0.8691475029,3.0964046084 <br> $\mathrm{H}, 0,0.762380967,0.8690105004,3.0963588022$ <br> O,0,2.9170719531,-0.0003056825,1.0212667373 <br> H,0,3.0701099408,-0.0001676736,3.0294278925 | Redundant internal coordinates taken from checkpoint file: <br> Charge $=0$ Multiplicity $=2$ <br> C, $0,0.0009231799,0.0000325324,0.0019381732$ <br> C,0,0.0006523242,-0.0000663475,1.3727271328 <br> C, $0,1.1512759074,-0.0000358259,2.1669580634$ <br> C,0,1.0957137924,-0.0001432413,3.6119272845 <br> H,0,-0.9219510223,0.0000033559,-0.5640875416 <br> $\mathrm{H}, 0,0.9293478805,0.0001426236,-0.5582958602$ <br> H,0,-0.9584269017,-0.0001769782,1.8879397031 <br> H,0,2.138569997,0.0000706048,1.7141140095 <br> O,0,2.0800558264,-0.0001012431,4.3344072972 <br> H,0,0.072845333,-0.0002277127,4.0468278503 |
| cdcccjdo |  |
| Redundant internal coordinates taken from checkpoint file: <br> Charge $=0$ Multiplicity $=2$ <br> C, $0,0.0004006803,0.001935368,0.0027538844$ <br> C,0,0.0000702242,-0.0040429229,1.3318038057 <br> C, $0,1.2425713106,-0.001937321,2.1751301407$ <br> C, $, 0,1.3233599791,1.171238323,3.1618982626$ <br> H,0,-0.9242691652,0.0082140285,-0.5627802323 <br> $\mathrm{H}, 0,0.9236013798,-0.002841913,-0.5689838132$ <br> H,0,-0.9400963658,0.0163507856,1.8758688055 <br> H,0,2.152803825,-0.0034948238,1.5715342851 <br> H,0,1.2975300613,-0.8895680418,2.8203185896 <br> O, $0,0.447084781,1.8597691239,3.5479235433$ |  |

Table B. 2 Ideal Gas-phase Entropy $S^{0}$ vs. Temperature

| T(K) | cdccdo |  | cjdccdo |  | cdcjcdo | cdccjdo |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SMCPS | SMCPS+VIBIR | SMCPS | SMCPS+VIBIR | SMCPS | SMCPS |
| 50.00 | 47.23 | 54.44 | 48.30 | 55.51 | 48.99 | 48.40 |
| 100.00 | 53.39 | 60.07 | 54.50 | 61.13 | 55.93 | 54.39 |
| 150.00 | 57.56 | 63.66 | 58.68 | 64.72 | 60.52 | 58.61 |
| 200.00 | 60.91 | 66.54 | 62.06 | 64.72 | 64.16 | 62.17 |
| 250.00 | 63.86 | 66.24 | 65.03 | 67.52 | 67.29 | 65.36 |
| 298.00 | 66.47 | 68.70 | 67.66 | 70.01 | 70.00 | 68.17 |
| 400.00 | 71.60 | 73.59 | 72.75 | 74.87 | 75.15 | 73.57 |
| 500.00 | 76.26 | 78.07 | 77.26 | 79.21 | 79.66 | 78.26 |
| 600.00 | 80.61 | 82.29 | 81.38 | 83.21 | 83.76 | 82.48 |
| 700.00 | 84.68 | 86.25 | 85.17 | 86.90 | 87.54 | 86.33 |
| 800.00 | 88.48 | 89.97 | 88.67 | 90.32 | 91.02 | 89.85 |
| 1000.00 | 95.41 | 96.75 | 94.95 | 96.45 | 97.29 | 96.15 |
| 1500.00 | 109.60 | 110.63 | 107.61 | 108.72 | 109.94 | 108.76 |
| 2000.00 | 120.69 | 121.40 | 117.35 | 118.10 | 119.69 | 118.46 |
| 2500.00 | 129.68 | 130.10 | 125.21 | 125.62 | 127.55 | 126.29 |
| 3000.00 | 137.23 | 137.36 | 131.77 | 131.89 | 134.12 | 132.84 |
| 3500.00 | 143.70 | 143.58 | 137.39 | 137.25 | 139.74 | 138.45 |
| 4000.00 | 149.36 | 149.02 | 142.30 | 141.92 | 144.66 | 143.35 |
| 4500.00 | 154.39 | 153.84 | 146.65 | 146.06 | 149.01 | 147.70 |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]Table B. 2 Ideal Gas-phase Entropy $S^{0}$ vs. Temperature (Continued)

| T(K) | ccdccedo (trans) |  | ccdeccdo (cis) |  | cjedccedo |  | ccjdccedo |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SMCPS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SMCPS } \\ & + \text { VIBIR } \end{aligned}$ | SMCPS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SMCPS } \\ & + \text { VIBIR } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | SMCPS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SMCPS } \\ & + \text { VIBIR } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | SMCPS | SMCPS+ VIBIR |
| 50.00 | 50.03 | 66.14 | 54.74 | 53.09 | 55.27 | 66.94 | 51.20 | 66.12 |
| 100.00 | 56.55 | 73.32 | 63.87 | 64.12 | 63.94 | 71.50 | 57.63 | 74.69 |
| 150.00 | 61.23 | 79.28 | 70.44 | 66.871 | 70.10 | 77.11 | 62.37 | 80.84 |
| 200.00 | 65.16 | 84.19 | 75.82 | 70.35 | 75.28 | 81.90 | 66.37 | 85.83 |
| 250.00 | 68.76 | 88.49 | 80.61 | 73.55 | 79.95 | 86.24 | 70.01 | 90.14 |
| 298.00 | 72.09 | 92.29 | 84.91 | 76.58 | 84.18 | 90.17 | 73.34 | 93.90 |
| 400.00 | 79.02 | 99.86 | 93.52 | 83.18 | 92.66 | 98.05 | 80.16 | 101.26 |
| 500.00 | 85.70 | 106.88 | 101.50 | 89.67 | 100.47 | 105.28 | 86.61 | 107.98 |
| 600.00 | 92.17 | 113.56 | 109.04 | 95.99 | 107.78 | 112.07 | 92.78 | 114.32 |
| 700.00 | 98.38 | 119.90 | 116.16 | 102.05 | 114.63 | 118.44 | 98.66 | 120.31 |
| 800.00 | 104.29 | 125.91 | 122.86 | 107.82 | 121.04 | 124.41 | 104.24 | 125.95 |
| 1000.00 | 115.26 | 137.00 | 135.15 | 118.50 | 132.69 | 133.10 | 114.53 | 136.32 |
| 1500.00 | 138.32 | 160.17 | 160.61 | 141.09 | 156.58 | 155.50 | 136.00 | 157.87 |
| 2000.00 | 156.62 | 178.50 | 180.62 | 159.13 | 175.22 | 173.03 | 152.94 | 174.84 |
| 2500.00 | 171.59 | 193.49 | 196.91 | 173.96 | 190.36 | 187.30 | 166.76 | 188.68 |
| 3000.00 | 184.17 | 206.09 | 210.58 | 186.46 | 203.04 | 199.27 | 178.37 | 200.29 |
| 3500.00 | 195.00 | 216.92 | 222.33 | 197.24 | 213.92 | 209.55 | 188.34 | 210.27 |
| 4000.00 | 204.49 | 226.41 | 232.61 | 206.69 | 223.45 | 218.55 | 197.08 | 219.01 |
| 4500.00 | 212.92 | 234.85 | 241.74 | 215.09 | 231.90 | 226.54 | 204.83 | 226.76 |
| T(K) | ccdcjecdo |  | ccdccjedo |  | ccdeccjdo |  |  |  |
|  | SMCPS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SMCPS } \\ & + \text { VIBIR } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | SMCPS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SMCPS } \\ & + \text { VIBIR } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | SMCPS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SMCPS } \\ & + \text { VIBIR } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| 50.00 | 51.28 | 66.38 | 51.22 | 63.18 | 51.35 | 68.28 |  |  |
| 100.00 | 57.84 | 74.99 | 58.61 | 71.22 | 57.95 | 74.55 |  |  |
| 150.00 | 62.58 | 81.03 | 63.91 | 76.87 | 62.67 | 80.56 |  |  |
| 200.00 | 66.57 | 85.95 | 68.32 | 81.58 | 66.63 | 85.50 |  |  |
| 250.00 | 70.20 | 90.23 | 72.28 | 85.76 | 70.24 | 89.83 |  |  |
| 298.00 | 73.53 | 93.99 | 75.87 | 89.49 | 73.54 | 93.63 |  |  |
| 400.00 | 80.34 | 101.35 | 83.16 | 94.00 | 80.33 | 101.08 |  |  |
| 500.00 | 86.78 | 108.09 | 90.00 | 101.17 | 86.75 | 107.88 |  |  |
| 600.00 | 92.94 | 114.43 | 96.51 | 107.94 | 92.91 | 114.25 |  |  |
| 700.00 | 98.81 | 120.41 | 102.69 | 114.33 | 98.76 | 120.26 |  |  |
| 800.00 | 104.38 | 126.06 | 108.53 | 120.34 | 104.31 | 125.91 |  |  |
| 1000.00 | 114.65 | 136.42 | 119.24 | 131.36 | 114.55 | 136.27 |  |  |
| 1500.00 | 136.09 | 157.95 | 141.48 | 154.16 | 135.91 | 157.75 |  |  |
| 2000.00 | 153.01 | 174.91 | 158.96 | 172.03 | 152.79 | 174.68 |  |  |
| 2500.00 | 166.82 | 188.74 | 173.21 | 186.55 | 166.58 | 188.48 |  |  |
| 3000.00 | 178.42 | 200.34 | 185.17 | 198.69 | 178.17 | 200.08 |  |  |
| 3500.00 | 188.39 | 210.32 | 195.44 | 209.09 | 188.13 | 210.05 |  |  |
| 4000.00 | 197.12 | 219.05 | 204.44 | 218.18 | 196.85 | 218.77 |  |  |
| 4500.00 | 204.88 | 226.81 | 212.42 | 226.23 | 204.60 | 226.53 |  |  |

Table B. 2 Ideal Gas-phase Entropy $\mathrm{S}^{\circ}$ vs. Temperature (Continued)

| T(K) | cdecedo |  | cjdeccdo |  | cdcjecdo |  | cdccjedo |  | cdeccjdo |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SMCPS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SMCPS } \\ & + \text { VIBIR } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | SMCPS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SMCPS } \\ & + \text { VIBIR } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | SMCPS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SMCPS } \\ & +\mathbf{V I B I R} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | SMCPS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SMCPS } \\ & +\quad \text { VIBIR } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | SMCPS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SMCPS } \\ & + \text { VIBIR } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| 50.00 | 50.01 | 62.95 | 51.20 | 61.76 | 51.24 | 62.42 | 50.96 | 58.79 | 51.26 | 61.63 |
| 100.00 | 55.90 | 69.52 | 57.09 | 68.98 | 57.30 | 70.01 | 57.54 | 65.37 | 57.18 | 68.94 |
| 150.00 | 59.85 | 73.99 | 61.05 | 73.96 | 61.46 | 75.14 | 62.11 | 69.94 | 61.16 | 73.94 |
| 200.00 | 63.12 | 77.61 | 64.35 | 77.96 | 64.90 | 79.14 | 65.88 | 73.70 | 64.45 | 77.95 |
| 250.00 | 66.11 | 80.84 | 67.38 | 81.47 | 68.01 | 82.60 | 69.25 | 77.08 | 67.43 | 81.43 |
| 298.00 | 68.87 | 83.76 | 70.16 | 84.56 | 70.84 | 85.63 | 72.30 | 80.13 | 70.16 | 84.48 |
| 400.00 | 74.60 | 89.69 | 75.86 | 90.64 | 76.56 | 91.60 | 78.43 | 83.36 | 75.73 | 90.46 |
| 500.00 | 80.07 | 95.25 | 81.17 | 96.15 | 81.85 | 97.01 | 84.09 | 89.25 | 80.94 | 95.88 |
| 600.00 | 85.32 | 100.56 | 86.19 | 101.29 | 86.85 | 102.08 | 89.41 | 94.78 | 85.87 | 100.94 |
| 700.00 | 90.32 | 105.60 | 90.91 | 106.08 | 91.55 | 106.83 | 94.40 | 99.93 | 90.52 | 105.67 |
| 800.00 | 95.06 | 110.37 | 95.34 | 110.57 | 95.98 | 111.27 | 99.07 | 104.76 | 94.89 | 110.10 |
| 1000.00 | 103.80 | 119.14 | 103.45 | 118.73 | 104.06 | 119.40 | 107.58 | 113.54 | 102.90 | 118.17 |
| 1500.00 | 122.05 | 137.42 | 120.14 | 135.49 | 120.75 | 136.12 | 125.01 | 131.51 | 119.45 | 134.79 |
| 2000.00 | 136.46 | 151.84 | 133.21 | 148.58 | 133.82 | 149.20 | 138.61 | 145.49 | 132.45 | 147.80 |
| 2500.00 | 148.23 | 163.61 | 143.84 | 159.21 | 144.44 | 159.83 | 149.66 | 156.78 | 143.03 | 158.40 |
| 3000.00 | 158.11 | 173.50 | 152.74 | 168.12 | 153.35 | 168.74 | 158.91 | 166.21 | 151.91 | 167.28 |
| 3500.00 | 166.61 | 182.00 | 160.39 | 175.77 | 161.00 | 176.39 | 166.86 | 174.27 | 159.54 | 174.92 |
| 4000.00 | 174.05 | 189.44 | 167.08 | 182.46 | 167.69 | 183.08 | 173.80 | 181.30 | 166.22 | 181.60 |
| 4500.00 | 180.66 | 196.05 | 173.02 | 188.40 | 173.63 | 189.02 | 179.97 | 187.53 | 172.15 | 187.53 |

Units in cal/mol/K

Table B. 3 Summary of Enthalpy of Formation, Entropy and Heat Capacity for Parent Molecules and Corresponding Radicals

| SPECIES | $\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\mathbf{f}} \mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{S}^{\mathbf{0}}$ | $\mathbf{C p}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{T ( K )}$ | 298 | 298 | 300 | 400 | 500 | 600 | 800 | 1000 | 1500 |
| cdcdo | -16.85 | 68.70 | 15.90 | 19.53 | 22.78 | 25.55 | 29.85 | 32.91 | 37.33 |
| cjdcdo | 42.91 | 70.01 | 15.98 | 19.18 | 21.85 | 24.05 | 27.34 | 29.60 | 32.79 |
| cdcjcdo | 43.87 | 70.00 | 16.16 | 19.00 | 21.48 | 23.59 | 26.90 | 29.31 | 32.94 |
| cdccjdo | 21.53 | 68.17 | 16.87 | 19.87 | 22.24 | 24.16 | 27.12 | 29.32 | 32.78 |
| ccdcccdo trans | -27.58 | 92.29 | 26.00 | 31.85 | 37.31 | 42.11 | 49.82 | 55.55 | 64.40 |
| ccdcccdo cis | -26.28 | 76.58 | 25.58 | 31.96 | 37.78 | 42.80 | 50.60 | 56.26 | 64.86 |
| cjcdcccdo | 7.49 | 90.17 | 25.89 | 31.91 | 37.12 | 41.5 | 48.29 | 53.26 | 60.91 |
| ccjdcccdo | 28.5 | 93.90 | 25.58 | 30.78 | 35.69 | 39.99 | 46.91 | 52.05 | 59.92 |
| ccdcjccdo | 29.9 | 93.99 | 25.58 | 30.83 | 35.72 | 40.02 | 46.90 | 52.01 | 59.86 |
| ccdccjcdo | -1.83 | 89.49 | 23.65 | 31.55 | 36.91 | 41.52 | 48.74 | 54.04 | 62.07 |
| ccdcccjdo | 9.38 | 93.63 | 25.87 | 31.13 | 35.95 | 40.17 | 46.91 | 51.94 | 59.75 |
| cdcccdo | -20.46 | 83.76 | 19.81 | 24.78 | 29.26 | 33.10 | 39.12 | 43.55 | 50.33 |
| cjdcccdo | 38.74 | 84.56 | 20.66 | 24.90 | 28.62 | 31.79 | 36.76 | 40.42 | 46.01 |
| cdcjccdo | 35.83 | 85.63 | 20.28 | 24.47 | 28.22 | 31.45 | 36.53 | 40.27 | 45.97 |
| cdccjcdo | 5.93 | 80.13 | 18.57 | 25.38 | 29.57 | 33.06 | 38.41 | 42.28 | 48.10 |
| cdcccjdo | 16.78 | 84.48 | 20.38 | 24.51 | 28.21 | 31.37 | 36.35 | 40.02 | 45.72 |
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[^0]:    Units in $\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K}$

