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# ABSTRACT <br> ELEMENTARY, PRESSURE DEPENDENT MODEL FOR COMBUSTION OF $C_{1}, C_{2}$ AND NITROGEN CONTAINING HYDROCARBONS: OPERATION OF A PILOT SCALE INCINERATOR AND MODEL COMPARISON 

by<br>Chad Sheng

A $140000 \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{hr}$ pilot scale incinerator has been constructed, tested and run; and an online sampling train capable of taking in situ data has been established. The continuous on-line analytical instruments include a CO analyzer, an $\mathrm{NO} / \mathrm{NOx}$ analyzer and an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ analyzer. In addition, two gas chromatographs with flame ionization detector are used to determine $\mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and total hydrocarbon concentrations. Typical operating conditions are at an average $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ concentration of $6-8 \%$. The NO concentration ranged from $100-200 \mathrm{ppm}$. Approximately 1 ppm of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ is also present at steady state operations.

The kinetic model for the combustion process in the pilot scale incinerator consists of elementary reaction kinetics for oxidation of the model fuel species: $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$. Thermodynamic properties for these species are determine by $a b$ initio methods and density functional theory. High-pressure limit rate constants are determine by either canonical transition state theory or variational transition states theory. In some cases, estimation techniques based on Evans-Polyani relationships are used. Pressure and temperature dependent mechanism is constructed utilizing QRRK for $k(E)$ with either master equation or modified strong collision analysis
for fall-off. The mechanism is constructed over the pressure range of $0.001-100 \mathrm{~atm}$ and over a temperature range of $300-2500 \mathrm{~K}$.

A reactor configuration of an isothermal perfectly stirred reactor (PSR) followed by a plugged flow reactor with heat transfer loss (PFR1), followed by a second plugged flow reactor with a different heat transfer loss (PFR2) is used to model the pilot scale incinerator. Concentration profiles are determined from the detailed kinetic model based on the reactor configuration. Results show that $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, is consumed and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and NO are formed mainly in the PSR. The concentration of these three components do not change throughout PFR1 and PFR2. Comparison of the NO and NOx experimental data with the model shows the data are in the same range, varying from $100-200 \mathrm{ppm}$, with less than 50 ppm difference. The average $\mathrm{NO}: \mathrm{NOx}$ ratio for experimental data is 0.97 , and the average $\mathrm{NO}: \mathrm{NOx}$ ratio from the model results is 0.98 .
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## CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background and Previous Studies

Over the years incineration has emerged as a viable technology for managing solid wastes. ${ }^{1}$ Some of the advantages of incineration are volume reduction, disposal of hazardous materials and energy recovery. Combustion processes, either as a means of waste disposal or as a source of energy, also face several challenges from regulatory emissions standards specified in the 1990 Clean Air Act (CAA). ${ }^{2}$ Some of the pollutants regulated by the CAA include CO , NOx, particulates, volatile organic compounds or VOCs and sulfur dioxide.

Wallis and Watson ${ }^{3}$ note in their critical review that incineration has remained a controversial technology largely due to public misunderstanding. The misunderstanding arises from lack of knowledge about the incineration process, concerns over toxicity of the emissions to the environment. This leads to negative publicity without really trying to understand the actual impact of the very low emission levels or the benefits of near complete waste destruction and its conversion to non-toxic $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. They reported that only 1.3 million metric tons out of a total of 249.3 million metric tons of hazardous waste is incinerated in the U.S. They also report that hazardous waste is approximately $60 \%$ of the total waste generated. Despite this criticism the energy crisis has forced society to look for alternative sources of energy and combustion of wastes seems to be a reasonable alternative. ${ }^{4}$

Oxyhydrocarbon and hydrocarbon polymers such as cellulose and common plastics like polystyrene and polyethylene together constitute a significant portion of
municipal solid waste (MSW). Cellulose, as the main component of paper and wood, contributes about $35 \%$ by mass, and plastics contribute about $10 \%$ to $15 \%$ by mass of typical MSW. ${ }^{2}$ Although these materials are useful as fuels in incineration processes and refuse-to-energy conversion, because they have a relatively high heat content; there are concerns over their impact on emissions, products of incomplete combustion (PIC), and fate in municipal waste combustors (MWC).

Proper control of effluents from municipal incinerators is essential in efficient operation and proper conversion of the waste, while abiding within the legal limits permissible for the effluents. Physical characteristics of these emitted species are gaseous and solid as particulate. Liquid phase products will be in the scrubber, if one is present, and in effluent as aerosols that often form by condensation upon entering the tropospheric environment. Pollutant emissions include both inorganic and organic species. Inorganic gaseous emissions include $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ (or NOx ), $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{SOx}$, Hg and organic mercury compounds. Organic emissions can include hydrocarbons, oxygenates, chlorinates and other halogenated hydrocarbons, including polychlorinated dibenzyl dioxins and furans (PCDD/F) and aromatic species, including polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAH). Primary targets in this study will be major pollutants, which include: $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{NOx}$ and trace hydrocarbon species ( C 1 and C 2 species).

A number of methods exist and these are continuously being improved; plus new techniques are being developed to minimize $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{NO}$ and $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ (or $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ ) concentrations. Examples include optimizing nozzle design, use of air staged low-NOx burners, flue gas recirculation, selective catalytic reduction ... etc. Flue gas recirculation takes about 20$30 \%$ of the flue gas and re-introduces this stream with the combustion air. This method
can reduce the NOx level by $20 \%$ in coal-fired units and is currently in a number of industrial plants. ${ }^{5}$ The Public Service Company of Colorado (PSCo) has tested their Arapahoe Unit 4 utility coal-fired boiler by adding low-NOx burners and a urea-based selective non-catalytic reduction (SCNR) unit to reduce NOx concentrations. ${ }^{6}$ The lowNOx burner employs air-fuel staging techniques. The Arapahoe Unit 4, showed a reduction of about $69 \%$ in NOx with addition of a low-NOx burner installed. For the combination of low-NOx burner and SCNR, an additional $45 \%$ reduction in NOx was observed. The estimate cost for this project was in excess of $\$ 27$ million; but the results - reduction in NOx were significant also.

A better understanding to combustion processes consists of experimental studies and/or computational modeling of the system. A number of studies on thermal and oxidative decomposition of polymers have reported data on products or overall rates of volatilization and reaction under non-steady state operating conditions. Many of these studies are based on experiments that use, for example: a batch reactor, or a semi-batch reactor with continuous gas flow and batch polymer inlet, a drop tube furnace, or temperature-programmed gravimetric analysis. The batch reactors report valuable product formation data, but do not determine simultaneous time of formation and fuel equivalence in the vapor phase, and are often operated at high initial fuel equivalence ratios. A drop tube furnace monitors mass loss and ignition characteristics of a particle, in addition to intermediate product formation, but time of vapor phase species reaction can vary from flow through the reactor to formation on exit. Global kinetics of polymer volatilization is determined by thermal gravimetric analysis, which monitors mass loss and products as the initial mass is exposed to a temperature ramp. ${ }^{7-10}$ Thermal
gravimetric studies are valuable in determining temperature regimes of organic species and polymer reaction, transformation and volatilization, in addition to intermediate product identification.

The study of effluent species and development of optimal design for MWCs and coal-fired power plants can be done more cost effectively by performing the study on benchtop and pilot scale experiments and on computational modeling and simulations. Several researchers have utilized computational fluid dynamic (CFD) analysis to aid in the design of air nozzles ${ }^{11-13}$. Han ${ }^{7}$ used a hot-flow (theoretical) model to simulate a MWC. His experimental apparatus was a reduced-scale combustor using a gas burner to emulate gas phase reactions in a MWC. The experimental data obtained were compared with a CFD code incorporating the appropriate chemical reactions to evaluate the mixing processes within the combustor. Han was able to obtain good comparison between the experimental data and predictions of the hot-flow model. Piao, et al. ${ }^{8}$ used a 30 cm x 30 cm bubbling type fluidized-bed combustor with "commercial size" refuse derived fuel (RDF) containing poly(vinyl chloride) (PVC) to study the effect of adjusting feed rate and secondary air flow on CO formation. They found that adjustment of air ratio and the use of secondary air injection were effective in reducing CO and improving complete combustion. HCl is formed from the pyrolytic reaction of PVC; Piao, et al. note that the HCl concentration in the flue gas was controlled by the calcium retained in the RDF and the level of HCl is directly proportional to the combustion temperature. Wu, et al. ${ }^{9}$ studied the pyrolytic reaction of high density polyetheylene (HDPE), which is a major component of municipal solid waste, in the presence of HCl gas using thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) and a kinetic model to determine energy resource and rate of
degradation of $\mathrm{HDPE}^{9}$. The results show that HCl inhibits the pyrolytic reaction of HDPE. Brouwer, et al. ${ }^{10}$ studied the combined effects of turbulent mixing and chemical kinetic inhibition on products of incomplete combustion (PICs) using a toroidal jet stirred reactor followed by a plug flow reactor to simulate turbulent mixing within a MWC. Their conclusion is that the model they have used is able to predict the trend and magnitude of methyl chloride burnout and $\mathrm{CO} / \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ratio.

Phillips, et al. ${ }^{14}$ applied the Regula Falsi method to solve the equations predicting atmospheric emissions from combustion sources. They developed and applied their model using two different approaches; a kinetic approach and an equilibrium approach. The results calculated from the kinetic approach were significantly lower than those form the equilibrium approach. They concluded that a potential for reduction in emissions is possible with improved operating conditions and optimization in design.

Tuttle ${ }^{15}$ and Kuo, et al. ${ }^{16}$, separately, performed experiments to study solid fuel combustion on grates using wood cubes as the fuel source. Results from Tuttle's study indicate that the relative distribution between overfire air (OFA, which is delivered to the hot reacting gases above the grate and fuel bed) and underfire air (UFA, which is delivered below the grate and fuel bed) is one of the most important variables determining combustion-generated particulate emissions, i.e. fly ash. Kuo, et al. used a fixed-grate laboratory-scale apparatus to study the influence of OFA and UFA air on the burning rate of wood fuel. Their results indicate that an increase in UFA causes a directly proportional increase in solid fuel burning rate which is accompanied by an increase in CO emissions, whereas an increase in OFA tends to decrease CO emissions. One of Kuo, et al.'s results suggest that relative CO levels are lower with OFA and
higher with UFA. ${ }^{16}$ Their model for the burning rate was based on a classical Arrheniustype correlation, and could not adequately explain their data over the full temperature range of their experiments. Kuo, et al. stated that "...fundamental understanding of the mechanisms of solid fuel bed combustion is still insufficient for establishing general guidelines of broad applicability on combustion air distribution design and control."

These reactors do not, however, emulate a full-scale MWC, and the data they generate is used by the combustion community only as background material. Data are needed from research-scale and pilot-scale reactors that emulate full-scale combustors, in order to determine operating conditions required for complete conversion of materials, such as common polymers or other feeds, that are likely to produce trace level, possibly undesirable, organic species or metallic species in the effluent. Features important to accurate emulation of MWCs include steady state operation, that is, continuous uniform feed of solid waste fuel and gas flow, with continuous grate mechanism and ash movement. Some 'key' combustion parameters, such as fuel equivalence ratio, solid and gas residence times, and operating temperatures and temperature profiles, cannot be 'scaled' and must reproduce those of full-scale MWCs for accurate emulation. Similarly, the MSW stream consists of a variety of materials, ${ }^{2}$ which behave as co-fed fuels in municipal waste combustors, and this requires direct simulation.

Besides CFD modeling of combustion process to help explain mixing and transport effects within incineration processes, detail kinetic analysis of these complex systems are also very actively pursued. The importance of modeling complex reaction systems requires accurate thermodynamic properties and detailed reaction mechanisms to describe the formation and destruction of key species in systems. Thermodynamic
properties and kinetic studies for $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ hydrocarbon and oxy-hydrocarbons systems, such as $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$, are still on-going with many possible hypothesis proposed by several research groups to help explain experimental data. ${ }^{17-34}$ The thermodynamic properties and kinetics on the study of nitrogen chemistry both experimentally and at high-level computational chemistry calculations are sparse, ${ }^{35-45}$ yet formation of NOx is under stringent environmental regulations. ${ }^{2}$

### 1.2 Objectives of the Current Study

A pilot scale incinerator and sampling train have been designed and installed in order to evaluate its operation as an accurate emulation of a MWC and if it will provide in situ data acquisition. ${ }^{46}$ A solid fuel composition is identified for the feed, to be representative of average municipal solid waste, including plastics. Commercial materials are selected as feed components with the desired carbon, hydrogen, oxygen and nitrogen elements. The materials are shredded, mixed and then fabricated into cylindrical pellets. The pellets are fed continuously into the primary combustion chamber during operation of the pilot incinerator. These feed pellets are 2.5 cm diameter and 5 cm in length.

The primary combustion chamber is of $0.11 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$ volume with a water cooled grate, pushrods to feed the fuel source, and adjustable OFA and UFA flow. Volatization, intrapellet combustion, and burnout zones are readily observed on the grate. The solid pellet retention time on the grate is approximately 30 min , but can be adjusted by timing relays. A secondary combustion chamber provides approximately 2 seconds residence time for reacting gases. Steady state experimental data, which include $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{NO}, \mathrm{NOx}$, low
hydrocarbon species $\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{4}\right)$, total hydrocarbon and temperature, are collected from the incinerator at different OFA and UFA flow rates.

Specific chemical species are selected to emulate or serve as surrogate chemicals in the feed include methanol $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)$, ethylene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, ethane $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$ and monomethylamine $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$, in addition to water and air as the fuel. Proportions of these target chemical species are identified to properly emulate the actual feed to the incinerator. ${ }^{47}$ A detailed mechanism is developed based on these fuel species to model the chemistry and kinetic processes in the incinerator.

Thermochemical properties, reaction paths, kinetic parameters, combined with pressure and kinetic analysis for complex systems are determined for several key chemical reaction systems. These include: $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}+$ $\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ and the radicals from loss of a H atom by the parent $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$. Several additional chemical activation reaction systems are further evaluated, where it is determined that the reaction system $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{C}^{\circ} \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ leads to three New Chain Branching Reactions in low to moderate temperature hydrocarbon combustion / oxidation systems.

Construction of a detailed $\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{2}$ kinetic model to analyze the overall gas phase oxidation and comparison with experimental data are presented. Development of accurate detailed model for combustion is necessary to study and look for trends to the reduction of toxic pollutant emissions. ${ }^{48}$ Extension of the developed $C_{1}-C_{2}$ oxyhydrocarbon oxidation model to include complex nitrogen chemistry to model NO and NOx formation in a pilot scale incinerator is also performed. Comparison of the current mechanism is performed with data obtained from the pilot scale incinerator.

## CHAPTER 2

# DESCRIPTION OF EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND APPROACH TO MODELING PILOT SCALE INCINERATOR 

### 2.1 Experimental Apparatus

### 2.1.1 Pilot Scale Incinerator

The apparatus consists of a pilot-scale $40 \mathrm{~kW}(140,000 \mathrm{BTU} / \mathrm{hr})$ combustion system which has been designed and constructed for evaluating the combustion of co-feed fuel compositions in municipal waste combustors. It is of a sufficient scale to obtain experimental data in a manner that is representative of full-scale municipal waste combustor (MWC) but at the cost and time frame of pilot plant equipment.

The incinerator consists of a primary combustion chamber (PCC), a secondary combustion chamber (SCC), a near adiabatic zone, two heat exchangers in series, a bag house and a blower fan. (Refer to Figures 2.1 and 2.2). Super-Trol's 1 and 2 circulate heat transfer fluids through specified incinerator components, i.e. SCC, heat exchanger 1 and heat exchanger 2. The heat transfer fluid (HTF) in SCC and heat exchanger 1 is polyalkaline glycol (i.e. "UCON" heat transfer fluid 500 from Union Carbide) and the HTF in heat exchanger 2 is water. The "UCON" HTF serves as a heating fluid in SCC to retard the heat dissipation that would otherwise occur if no HTF were present. The SCC is an annulus, where the heat transfer oil flows on the shell side co-current with the gas stream.

The PCC is based on a moving grate design. The grate dimensions are 0.26 m wide by 0.56 m long and the refractory lined combustion chamber is of approximately $0.11 \mathrm{~m}^{3}\left(5525 \mathrm{in}^{3}\right)$ volume. Hydraulic rams with adjustable period and dwell push solid


Figure 2.1 Flow diagram of the pilot scale incinerator


Figure 2.2 General dimensions of primary and secondary comubstion chamber
fuel from a feed hopper onto the front of the grate at a rate on the order of $8-13 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{hr}$. Fuel is then directed along the grate by a system of controlled cycle reciprocating pushrods. Fuel residence time on the grate is adjustable in a range from 20 min to 40 $\min$.

The under-fire air (UFA) is introduced into the PCC by ports that are distributed uniformly across the surface on the grate. The UFA is supplied by a Dayton shaded pole blower Model 4C006B and forced through concentric openings in the fingers on the grate. The grate is cooled by the in-house water line, flowing at ca. 80 gallons $/ \mathrm{hr}$. Volumetric flow rate is controlled by a butterfly valve that is mounted at the inlet of the blower. A magnahelic, with a range of $0-1$ inch $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, is used to measure the pressure drop in the UFA duct, which is correlated to the volumetric UFA flow rate.

The over-fire air (OFA) is introduced by ports located on the PCC walls about 30 cm above the grate. The OFA is provided by an in-house compressed air source. A Dwyer 2-20 SCFM flow meter is used to monitor the volumetric OFA flow rate. OFA is introduced into the combustion zone through two different locations, where each location consists of five jets, $1 / 4$ " stainless steel tubing: behind and over the feed chute and from the firewall opposite the feed chute. The jets are parallel to each other, with opposite banks providing opposed flow. The direction of OFA flow is at a slight downward angle (ca $30^{\circ}$ below horizontal), creating a "swirl" effect within the combustion zone, to help facilitate mixing. The OFA is not directed onto the grate/pellets. Gas temperatures in the PCC are about $780^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $850^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Gases effluent from the PCC pass into the SCC, in which the total residence time is about 2 seconds. The gas temperature is reduced by means of two heat exchangers,
which are designed to provide a temperature profile similar to commercial MWCs. Polyalkyline glycol HTF at temperature near $260^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is utilized in the first heat exchanger and the outer shell of the SCC. The second heat exchanger utilizes water to cool the effluent gases to about $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ prior to the baghouse. External heating of the heat transfer fluids facilitates bringing the heat exchangers to operating temperature quickly. The heat exchangers are of sufficient capacity to maintain effluent gas temperatures during steady state operation within $\pm 3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (port 1 ), $\pm 2^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (port 2), and $\pm 1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (port 3).

On exit from the second heat exchanger, flue gases can be passed over a measured injection of lime to neutralize acid components. Particulate (or fly ash) is then removed from the effluent gases in a pulse-jet baghouse with P-84 fabric media filters. The exit gas flow rate is measured by an S-type pitot tube and thermocouple located downstream of the baghouse and upstream of an ID fan. One driving force for the gas stream movement is by a blower fan, creating a slightly negative pressure, relative to atmospheric, inside the incinerator. Draft and gas residence times are adjusted manually by means of a butterfly valve just upstream of the baghouse and after the heat exchangers. A butterfly valve, located at the exit of the second heat exchanger, controls the draft through the incinerator. A $0-0.5$ inch $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ magnahelic is used to monitor the relative pressure within the PCC.

### 2.1.2 Analytical Setup

In order to derive qualitative and quantitative performance analysis from the pilot-scale combustion system, a continuous emissions monitoring system (CEMS) is set up and operated. Three sampling ports are located along the unit: sampling port 1 , at the
downstream end of the annular flue in the secondary combustion chamber extension; sampling port 2 , immediately downstream of the second heat exchanger; sampling port 3, between the baghouse and ID fan. Refer to Figure 2.1 for specific port location. Three sampling probes are located throughout the incinerator process: at the exit of the oxidation stage (sampling port 1), at the exit of the heat recovery stage (sampling port 2 ) and at the exit of the baghouse, just prior to stack emission (sampling port 3). The temperature in the sample lines are maintained above 100 C to prevent condensation of water vapor within the sampling line by heating tape and a temperature controller.

The analytical instruments currently used for data collection are a CO monitor, $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ monitor, and a $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ analyzer which detects NO and total $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$. All three instruments are set up to operate continuously. The CO analyzer uses infrared to detect the presence of CO and is capable of reading between 0 and 1000 ppm via two ranges, $0-200 \mathrm{ppm}$ and $0-$ 1000 ppm . The $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ analyzer uses the paramagnetic property of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to measure the concentration of oxygen. The $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ analyzer uses chemiluminesence to detect the presence of $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$, and has a built-in ozonator using an in-house compress air source to produce the needed ozone reactant. The in-house compress air source is passed through a dryer system to remove water from the compressed air. Temperatures at each sampling port and at points on the primary and secondary combustion chambers are measured continuously by thermocouples, which are installed with the thermocouple tip at the center of the gas stream duct.

All data from the CEMS equipment and thermocouples are recorded simultaneously and logged by a Fluke FL2026A data acquisition unit (20 channel). The data acquisition unit is connected to a personal computer (PC) running National

Instruments' Labview software for the storage, graphics, and real time presentation of data. A second, backup monitor is installed and set up to monitor CO and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ levels.

Two gas chromatographs (GCs) are used to sample hydrocarbon (HC) species. A Gow-Mac Series 580 GC is used to detect total hydrocarbons, and is referred to as the total HC analyzer. The Gow-Mac uses a flame ionization detector (FID), with $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ stainless steel tubing, a gas sampling valve with 1 mL sampling loop and no column or stationary phase. A continuous sample flows through the gas sampling valve and is injected into the GC at 10 minute intervals. The second GC is a Varian 3700, with FID and $6^{\prime} \times 1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ packed column with Super-Q as its stationary phase. This GC is used to detect the presence of low molecular weight hydrocarbons, such as C 1 to C 4 hydrocarbons and oxyhydrocarbons. Samples are injected into the GC column through a 6-port gas sampling valve and 1 mL loop. The resulting data from both GCs are recorded into a PC via a VG ChromServer, and the software used for GC peak integration and peak area conversion to concentration is PC Minichrome.

The CEMS and GC instruments are interconnected, through a continuous operation sample collection manifold system, to the three sampling ports on the pilotscale combustion unit. A manifold connects the sampling ports through a dual booster pump assembly, to a 4 -way crossover valve and flow meters, and then through the instruments to exhaust. The sample collection and distribution input lines are heated to between $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to limit sample loss and condensation, and are maintained at pressure of 4 kPa to $7 \mathrm{kPa}(0.5$ to 1.0 psi$)$ above atmospheric. A water trap, immersed in ice bath, is use to remove the moisture from the sample, prior to entrance into the analytical instruments. Routine verification of line pressure is required, and is controlled
via a regulator near the outlet of the second booster pump. It is important that this line pressure is maintained at the desired set point for data accuracy.

The gas sampling manifold includes a versatile sample distribution system with water vapor removal and ports for a calibration gas inlet. It also incorporates flow control monitors for sample, calibration, and dry-purified air reference gases. Refer to Figures 2.3 and 2.4 for diagram of sampling manifold and sample flow path.

All analytical instruments are warmed up before data is collected. Warm-up time is at least 2 hours but is typically done overnight. Instruments are calibrated before and after each experimental run. Sample gas flow and meter readings are checked manually every 30 min to assure correct operation of equipment. All GCs use 2 point data calibration with a zero and a standard (span) gas. Simultaneous calibration can be performed on all three analyzers for the zero gas.

### 2.1.3 Synthetic Solid Fuel

The mass percent composition of the synthetic solid fuel used in the pilot scale incinerator is shown in Table 2.1. The choice of fuel composition is based on an average of data provided for the U.S. municipal waste stream by the USEPA ${ }^{2}$, and is representative of municipal solid waste after some recycling. The target plastic is low density polyethylene and the $1 \%$ inert material is silica and alumina in equal mass. Raw materials are individually weighed out to the desired compositions and then shredded and mixed in a hammer mill shredder. A mesh screen with 1.2 cm opening is in place at the bottom of the shredder. The solid fuel mixture are cylindrical pellets of 2.5 cm diameter


Figure 2.3 Front view of the sampling manifold


Figure 2.4 Layout of sample flow path from sampling ports to instruments
and average 5 cm length. Average values for pellet samples are solid density of 710 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, bulk density of $542 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$.

Table 2.1 Typical Composition of Synthetic Waste Fuel by Mass

| Component | Mass\% |
| :--- | :---: |
| Paper (newsprint) | 35 |
| Water | 20 |
| Wood (mulch) | 17 |
| Plastic | 14 |
| Metal | 8 |
| Waste food | 5 |
| Inert particulate | 1 |

The exact chemical formula for the fuel composition is difficult to be determined. An attempt to estimate the fuel chemical composition is made by assuming the paper and the wood component of the fuel compose of cellulose, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, and the low-density polyethylene plastic combines carbon and hydrogen in the same proportion as ethylene, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, and the waste food is approximated to compose of monomethylamine, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$. Based on the mass percent of each of the components listed in Table 2.1, an approximate chemical composition of the dry fuel is determine have an empirical chemical formula of $\mathrm{C}_{3.1} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{1.6}$ with negligible nitrogen. An ASTM test E778-87 determine that the mass percent of nitrogen in the synthetic solid fuel to be $0.42 \%$. The "higher heating value" of the pellet, in accordance to ASTM E711-87 procedure is $4570 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{g}$.

### 2.2 Introduction to the Modeling Approach

### 2.2.1 Reactor Model for Incinerator

The incinerator is to be modeled as 3 reactor zones: a perfectly stirred reactor (PSR), a heated plug flow reactor (PFR) and an adiabatic PFR. The PCC, with opposing OFA jets above the grate, creates a swirl effect within the PCC and rapid mixing. The model reactor for this effect characterizes a PSR. The exit of the PCC has a flow constraint (the effective cross-sectional flow is reduced by a baffle) to create a turbulent effect prior to entrance into the glycol-heated SCC, which would characterize a PFR.

The PSR will be model as an isothermal reactor, since the temperature data will be at steady state, where the temperature will not change. The first PFR has heated transfer oil flowing co-current through the annular region, to minimize the heat transfer loss of the gas through the walls. The second PFR is insulated, with some heat loss through this reactor zone. Heat transfer coefficients need to be estimated for both PFRs.

INFERNO, a reactor code developed by Bass ${ }^{49}$, does offer a PSR-PFR1-PFR2 configuration, taking heat transfer effects into consideration. ${ }^{50}$ INFERNO uses the ChemKin II interpreter, with a modified integrator to calculate the concentration and temperature profiles in a PSR-PFR1-PFR2 reactor configuration.

Complete mass and elemental balance cannot be performed on this system, due to the complexity of the solid fuel matrix, combined with the inherent nature of the system. The exact chemical composition of the fuel matrix is not known. The incinerator itself has fugitive air entrainment into the system, e.g. during fuel feed, the feed chute is open, which allows air flow into the system. The cause of the fugitive air entrainment occurs from the induced draft fan, which creates a slightly negative pressure inside the
incinerator. The incinerator is designed to burn with excess oxygen, which is typical operating condition for municipal incinerators. McQuigg reports that total air flow, i.e. OFA + UFA, should be maintained within reasonable operating fuel equivalence ratios, ca. $80-100 \%$ excess air, for biomass incineration, which correlates to a fuel equivalence ratio of $0.5-0.55 .51$

One assumption that will be used is that oxidation reactions occur only in the gas phase, i.e. no heterogeneous oxidation reactions occur within/on the solid fuel. A mass flux with various ratios of equivalent $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ hydrocarbon species into the $P S R$, originating from the fuel bed, will be determined. $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ hydrocarbon species that will be used as representative equivalent fuel are $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} . \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ is to be used for nitrogen in the fuel.

The UFA is measured by a magnahelic, a differential pressure gauge, and the volumetric flow rate are derived based on the differential pressure drop. The velocity and volumetric flow rate of the UFA is estimated using the Bernoulli equation ${ }^{52}$ and conservation of mass, with the assumptions that the system (under fire air) is: at steady state, an isothermal ideal gas with turbulent flow and negligible friction loss. The source of the under fire air is from ambient, which at room temperature and pressure, can be assumed to behave ideally.

### 2.3 Proposed Detailed Kinetic Model

Elementary reaction kinetics for oxidation of the model fuel species: $\mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ are evaluated and developed during this study. Thermodynamic properties of species are obtained from literature or from computational methods,
depending on literature availability. Computational methods for thermodynamic properties will include empirical (such as THERM ${ }^{53}$ ), semi-empirical methods (MOPAC ${ }^{54}$ ) and ab initio methods (Gaussian ${ }^{55}$ ). Kinetic parameters for the elementary reactions will be obtained either from literature, from NIST database, homologous reaction derivations or transition state theory.

Initial model chemicals representing the fuel includes ratios of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$. To adequately explain oxidation of methane and methyl species, a detailed mechanism must include $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ species; because $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ does not react rapidly with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to further, stable oxidation products so methyl radical levels build up and combine to form $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$. $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ radicals are formed from abstraction reactions on $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ and unimolecular dissociation of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$. Further reactions of ethane, ethylene and acetylene occur with radicals in the radical pool, i.e. $\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}$ and minor reactions with $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$. Ethane is formed from the association of two methyl radicals, i.e. $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$. Ethylene can be formed from ethane, via abstraction of H forming ethyl radical; and ethyl can beta scission to $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{H}$. Addition of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$, via molecular elimination also produces $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$. Vinyl radical, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}$, is formed by H and OH radical abstractions from $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and formation of acetylene results from beta scission of vinyl radical.

### 2.3.1 $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$

The pressure and temperature dependent methane and methanol reaction mechanism will be updated from the previous work in Bozzelli's group (at New Jersey Institute of Technology) - specifically by Wen-chiun Ing and Takahiro Yamada's doctoral
dissertations. ${ }^{56,57}$ Thermodynamic properties and kinetic parameters from their $\mathrm{CH}_{4} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ and dimethyl ether systems are derived from literature, empirical and semiempirical methods, and from $a b$ initio calculations.
$a b$ initio calculations for species in the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ unimolecular dissociation pathway have been calculated with B3LYP/6-31G(d) density functional theory (DFT) method ${ }^{58}$, as well as with the complete basis set - atomic pair natural orbital method or CBS-APNO ${ }^{59}$ composite method.

### 2.3.2 $\mathrm{HCCH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$

Acetylene oxidation has been developed with consideration for four different reaction paths for this system: $\mathrm{HCCH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}\left({ }^{3} \Sigma\right), \mathrm{HCCH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}\left({ }^{1} \Delta\right), \mathrm{HCCH} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CC}$ : (vinylidene) follow by addition with $\mathrm{O}_{2}\left({ }^{3} \Sigma\right)$ and $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \Leftrightarrow{ }^{3}$ Adduct $\Leftrightarrow{ }^{1}$ Adduct, as well as $\mathrm{HCCH} \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CC}$ : and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CC}:+\mathrm{O}_{2}$. ab initio calculations using MP2/6-31G(d), QCISD(T)/MP2/6-31G(d) and CBS-q//MP2/6-31G(d), as well as DFT calculations at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and BHandH/6-31G(d) level were performed to determine the thermodynamic properties of all reactants, adducts and products in this mechanism. Vibrational frequency calculations are determined from the DFT method of BHandH/6$31 G(d)$. Entropy and heat capacity values are determined based on application from principles of statistical mechanics.

### 2.3.3 $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ Oxidation

Both Ing ${ }^{56}$ and Yamada ${ }^{57}$ provide this mechanism in their Ph . D. dissertation and their mechanism will be utilized in the current model. Reactions include abstraction of H from
$\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ by the radical pool $\left(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ and formation of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}$, vinyl radical, as well as $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}$ and OH addition reactions with $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$. The $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ mechanism will be obtained from Chang, et al.'s ${ }^{60}$ publication and updated into the proposed kinetic model.

### 2.3.4 $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{2}}$

Thermodynamic properties of this system, which initially forms the ethyl peroxy radical $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO}$ •, have been completed at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level and also at the high level composite CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) ab initio calculations. Reaction pathway and kinetic analysis for $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ are determine from either canonical transition state theory or variational transition state theory. The formation of $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ by isomerization of ethylperoxy radical and by $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ addition to ethylene form this important intermediate.

### 2.3.5 $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}+\mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{2}}$

Thermochemical and kinetic parameters of the second oxygen addition to the hydroperoxy-ethyl radical resulting from the ethyl oxidation system results in chain branching reaction processes that have not previously been investigated. Studies on this system are performed at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) for all the reactants, adducts and transition states. High-pressure limit rate constants are determined from canonical transition state theory. At least 3 new chain branching reactions are proposed in this reaction system.

### 2.3.6 $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$

The kinetic mechanism for the nitrogen-hydrogen-oxygen subsystem is obtained from Dean and Bozzelli's recent publication. ${ }^{36}$ Analysis of the coupling of carbon to the nitrogen-oxygen-hydrogen system is done in this work, using $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ as the starting reagent. Detailed analysis on oxidation of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ by radical pool (abstraction reactions are performed and oxidation of the important $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ radicals via reaction with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ are performed. These include new thermochemical analysis (ab initio and density functional theory) on $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NHOOH}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NHOO} \bullet, \bullet \mathrm{OOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ species. The accurate estimate of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}(298 \mathrm{~K})$ on these species results in changes in reaction paths from previous analysis. ${ }^{61}$ Thermodynamic properties of this system has been performed at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and also at the G2(MP2) level of theory computation. Enthalpy of formation for two adducts are determined from isodesmic working reactions at the G2(MP2) level of theory.

### 2.4 Thermodynamic Properties and Kinetic Rate Pa rameters

The programs used for $a b$ initio and DFT calculations are Gaussian94 and 98 to determine quantum chemical properties; through application of the principles of statistical mechanics, macroscopic thermodynamic properties can be determined. Two supplementary programs have been written to aid in converting the Gaussian results on structure and vibration frequencies to values for entropy and heat capacity at constant pressure $\left(C_{p}\right)$.

GINC: A FORTRAN code to retrieve the final results from a Gaussian output file, e.g. optimized geometry, vibrational frequencies, zero point correction, thermal correction to enthalpy, ...etc.

SMCPS: A FORTRAN code to calculate entropy and $C_{p}$, based on principles of statistical mechanics. Thermal correction for enthalpy of formation is also incorporated into the program. Adjustments in vibrational contribution for entropy, $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ based on Scott and Radom's analysis are implemented within the code. ${ }^{62}$

Kinetic rate parameters for elementary reactions can be determined by several methods, canonical transition state theory (CTST) with $a b$ initio calculations of the reactants and transistion states or literature evaluation. Two FORTRAN codes have been written to aid in determining Arrhenius rate parameters.

ThermKin: This program will calculate the Arrhenius rate parameters based on rate constants from CTST. ThermKin can calculate the rate expression for both the classical Arrhenius expression, i.e. $k=A \exp \left(-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{RT}\right)$, and the modified Arrhenius expression, i.e. $\mathrm{k}=\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{n}} \exp \left(-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{RT}\right)$.

Kfit: This program can provide Arrhenius rate parameters, both in form of classical or modified Arrhenius expression, from literature/experimental data. An important option this program provides, is user specified $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$. Method of determination is from principle of least squares.

ChemMaster: A FORTRAN code based on the quantum Rice-RamspergerKassel (QRRK) analysis for $k(E)$ and master equation analysis for fall off will be employed to determine kinetic parameters in complex reaction systems, such as
$\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \cdot{ }^{60,63}$ The original source code for the QRRK analysis was originally developed by A.M. Dean (1985) and later by A.M. Dean, E. Ritter and J.W. Bozzelli (1990). A.Y. Chang, J.W. Bozzelli and A.M. Dean made further improvements to calculate systems with multiple wells. W.-C. Ing expanded the versatility of the QRRK with modified strong collision to express the rate coefficients in terms of Chebyshev polynomials to account for pressure dependence (1996). Recently, Sheng, et al. ${ }^{64}$ updated the QRRK with master equation to also express rate coefficients in Chebyshev polynomial form to account for pressure dependence.

The current version of the QRRK computer code utilizes a reduced set of vibration frequencies which accurately reproduce the molecule (adduct), heat capacity data. Molecular density of state functions are constructed through direct convolution of single frequency density functions on a $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ grid. The functions corresponding to each reduced frequency are explicitly convolved into a relative density of states $(\rho(E)$ ), which is normalized by the partition function $(\mathrm{Q})$. The inclusion of one external rotation is incorporated into the calculations by convolving the vibration density function with the proper rotational density function. A detailed description of this and comparisons of ratios of these $\rho(\mathrm{E}) / \mathrm{Q}$ with direct count $\rho(\mathrm{E}) / \mathrm{Q}$ are shown to be in good agreement. ${ }^{65}$ Nonlinear Arrhenius effects resulting from changes in the thermodynamic properties of the respective TS's relative to the adduct with temperature are incorporated using a two parameter Arrhenius pre-exponential A-factor $(A, n)$ in $A T^{n}$. Fall-off is incorporated using master equation.

The individual kinetic systems described above will be compared with available literature data to test for consistency and agreement in subsequent chapters. The mechanisms will then be combined to represent a set of reactions characteristic of the incinerator combustion process. The overall mechanism consists of over 900 elementary reactions and almost 200 species. Experimental results obtained from the incinerator will be compared with the combined model.

The major components in the solid fuel pellet are cellulose (paper + wood) and plastic (poly-ethylene). The chemical formula for cellulose is $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{5}\right)_{n}$ and for polyethylene is $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)_{n}$. An initial concentration of ethylene would be reasonable to represent the plastic component in the solid fuel matrix. An initial choice of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} / \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ will be used to emulate the $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{O}$ ratio in the fuel pellet. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ will be added to represent fuel nitrogen.

### 2.5 Combination of Physical Model and Detailed Chemistry to Represent Incinerator Operation

The combined physical model of the incinerator (combination of well mixed and plug flow reactors) with the detailed chemistry and kinetic mechanism will be tested against data collected in the incinerator. Specific test data will include calculations of hydrocarbon products effluent from the incinerator, CO , and $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$ levels.

## CHAPTER 3

## THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES (ENTROPY AND HEAT CAPACITY) FROM AB INITIO, DENSITY FUNCTIONAL THEORY OR OTHER CALCULATIONS

### 3.1 Introduction

Use of computational chemistry to determine molecular species' properties is a rapidly growing research area; it is finding a wide range of applications with the advent and rapidly increasing improvements in computer processors and systems. ${ }^{66-73}$ The importance of computational chemistry quickly becomes apparent when data on species, such as transition state structures and enthalpies of active radicals can be computed to validate or help interpret experimental results. Thermochemical data are fundamental to Chemistry and Chemical Engineering; they are needed in analysis for enthalpies of reaction, reaction paths, equilibrium determinations, stability, kinetic parameters, ... etc.

The capabilities of a modern PC and the currently available $a b$ initio and density functional computation programs ${ }^{74,75}$ provide easy access to results of these calculations to both graduate and undergraduate students, as well as practicing engineers and scientists. There are several $a b$ initio and density functional computational packages available, among which include: GAMESS, Molpro, Spartan, CADPAC, Jaguar, NWChem, Q-CHEM, HyperChem and Gaussian. 55,76-83

The output files generated from $a b$ initio calculations are usually quite extensive; they include a number of molecular parameters, e.g. optimized geometry, ionization potential, frequencies, dipole moment, relative energies, electron population in orbits, ...etc. Direct application of these determined properties on molecular species is not always apparent to applied users, such as engineers, kinetic modelers, students in courses,
and other scientists that use thermochemical or kinetic data. Statistical mechanics determines the macroscopic thermodynamic properties directly from the quantum mechanical calculated properties. A number of equilibrium and kinetic programs are available that require thermochemical data are CHEMKIN, STANJAN, CHEMSAGE ${ }^{\text {© }}$ and NASA's CEA. ${ }^{84-87}$

SMCPS (Statistical Mechanics for Heat Capacity and Entropy $\underline{C}_{p}$ and $\underline{S}$ ), is written to determine $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}_{0}, \mathrm{Cp}, \mathrm{S}$ and zero point vibrational energy (ZPVE) of molecular species from computation chemistry results. The method of calculation is based on principles of statistical mechanics and has no empirical factors or corrections, other than physical constants.

### 3.2 Theory and Basis of SMCPS

In a physical system, there are many molecules, which have some probability of occupying any one of the allowable discrete energy levels; this implies that there is a distribution of molecules among the different allowable energy levels. The method of determining this probability distribution is known as statistical mechanics. Once the probability distribution is obtained, the associated energies can be calculated and derivation of thermodynamic properties achieved. The principle of statistical mechanics or chemical statistics is application of statistics to determine thermodynamic properties, e.g. enthalpy, entropy, heat capacities, free energies ... etc. The equilibrium distribution of the system is defined as the most probable distribution of the system at a given condition.

The basic foundation in statistical mechanics is the partition function; once the partition function is determined, all thermodynamic properties can be determined. The partition function describes the energy of the system over all the individual quantum levels. The canonical ensemble of the partition function is expressed as follows,

$$
Q=\sum_{i} g_{i} e^{E_{i} / R T}
$$

where Q is the partition function, $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the degeneracy, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the energy of the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ quantum level. Thermodynamic properties, as related to partition function, are as follows,

Helmholtz free energy: $\quad A=-R T \ln Q$
Gibbs free energy: $\quad G=A+P V$
Internal Energy:

$$
U=R T\left(\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \ln T}\right)_{V}=-R\left(\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial(1 / T)}\right)_{V}
$$

Enthalpy: $\quad H \equiv U+P V$
Entropy:

$$
S=\frac{U-A}{T}=R \ln Q+R\left(\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \ln T}\right)_{V}
$$

Molar heat capacity at constant volume:

$$
C_{v}=\left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial T}\right)_{V}=R\left(\frac{\partial \ln Q}{\partial \ln T}\right)_{V}+R\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \ln Q}{\partial(\ln T)^{2}}\right)_{V}=\frac{R}{T^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \ln Q}{\partial(1 / T)^{2}}\right)_{V}
$$

The total energy of the system is the sum of all its parts that contribute to the energy, i.e. translational, vibrational, external rotational, internal rotational and electronic energies; $\mathrm{E}_{10 \mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{E}_{1}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{v}}+\mathrm{E}_{\text {ext rot }}+\mathrm{E}_{\text {int rot }}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{e}}$. Substitution of the different constituents for energy, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{lot}}$, and through some derivation, the partition function expression can be shown to be $Q_{t o t}=Q_{t} Q_{v} Q_{\text {extrot }} Q_{\text {int.rot }} Q_{e}$. This will allow individual considerations of thermodynamic properties to be determined, as well as the total contributions from these components.

Once the partition functions are known, the thermodynamic properties from different contributions can be determined and the thermodynamic values of interest can
be obtained. The goal is then to solve the individual contributions of the partition function, and then sum the individual contributions of the partition function to obtain the total thermodynamic value desired.

The translational partition function can be determined by solving the Schrödinger Equation for a system like "particle in a box." External rotation partition functions are difficult to derive, and as a result simplifications are made, e.g. rigid rotator or linear rotator. Vibrational partition functions are determined from assumptions that the vibrations behave as harmonic oscillators. Electronic contributions, in general, are negligible compared to the other modes at room temperature. However, one can readily include the electronic contribution, providing energies in the low-lying electronic states are known. ${ }^{88}$ Electronic contribution may be significant in radical species with strong spin-orbit coupling or having several low-lying electronic states. Ideal gas behavior is assumed in these cases.

Final terms for thermodynamic properties, after derivation from partition functions, for entropy are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{\text {trans }}=R\left[\frac{3}{2} \ln M_{w}+\frac{5}{2} \ln T-\ln P+\frac{5}{2}+\frac{3}{2} \ln \left(\frac{2 \pi k}{h^{2}}\right)-\frac{5}{2} \ln N_{A}+\ln R\right] \\
& S_{\text {rot }}^{\text {linear }}=R\left[1+\ln \left(\frac{8 \pi^{2} k T}{h^{2} \sigma} I\right)\right] \\
& S_{\text {rot }}^{\text {non-linear }}=\frac{3}{2} R+\frac{1}{2} R \ln \left[\frac{\pi}{\sigma^{2}}\left(\frac{8 \pi^{2} k T}{h^{2}}\right)^{3} I_{x} I_{y} I_{z}\right] \\
& S_{\text {vib }}=R \sum_{i}\left[\frac{x_{i} e^{-x_{i}}}{1-e^{-x_{i}}}-\ln \left(1-e^{-x_{i}}\right)\right] \text { where, } x_{i}=\frac{h v_{i}}{k T} \\
& S_{e}=R \ln \omega_{0} \\
& S_{\text {tot }}=S_{\text {trans }}+S_{\text {rot }}+S_{v i b}+S_{e}
\end{aligned}
$$

The electronic contribution for entropy, i.e. $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{R} \ln \omega_{0}$, will apply to radical species or species where spin-orbit coupling exists, where $\omega_{0}$ is the spin degeneracy of the electronic ground state. ${ }^{89}$ For polyatomic molecules, $\omega_{0}=2 S+1$, where $S$ is the total spin. ${ }^{88}$ This implies that $\omega_{0}$ is equal to the multiplicity of the molecule.

The molar heat capacity at constant volume and at constant pressure with assumption of ideal gas behavior, are expressed as follow:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{V, \text { trans }}=\frac{3}{2} R \text { and with assumption of ideal gas behavior, } C_{P, t r a n s}=\frac{5}{2} R \\
& C_{V, \text { rot }}^{\text {not-linear }}=C_{P, \text { rot }}^{\text {linear }}=\frac{2}{2} R \text { and } C_{V, \text { rot }}^{\text {non-linear }}=C_{P, \text { rot }}^{\text {non-linear }}=\frac{3}{2} R \\
& C_{V, v i b}=C_{P, v i b}=R \sum_{i}\left[\frac{x_{i}^{2} e^{-x_{i}}}{\left(1-e^{-x_{i}}\right)^{2}}\right] \text { where, } x_{i}=\frac{h v_{i}}{k T} \\
& C_{v, \text { tot }}=C_{V, \text { trans }}+C_{V, \text { rot }}+C_{V, v i b} \text { or } C_{P, \text { tot }}=C_{P, t r a n s}+C_{P, \text { rot }}+C_{P, v i b}
\end{aligned}
$$

The relationships for internal energy and enthalpy are from thermodynamic definitions, with assumption of ideal gas behavior.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& U_{\text {trans }}(T)-U_{\text {trans }}\left(0^{\circ} K\right)=\frac{3}{2} R T \text { and with assumption of ideal gas, } \\
& H_{\text {trans }}(T)-H_{\text {trans }}\left(0^{\circ} K\right)=\frac{5}{2} R T \\
& U_{\text {rot }}^{\text {linear }}(T)-U_{r o t}^{\text {linear }}\left(0^{\circ} K\right)=H_{r o t}^{\text {linear }}(T)-H_{r o t}^{\text {linear }}\left(0^{\circ} K\right)=R T \text { and } \\
& U_{\text {rot }}^{\text {non-linear }}(T)-U_{\text {rot }}^{\text {non-linear }}\left(0^{\circ} K\right)=H_{r o t}^{\text {non-linear }}(T)-H_{r o t}^{\text {non-linear }}\left(0^{\circ} K\right)=\frac{3}{2} R T \\
& U_{v i b}(T)-U_{v i b}\left(0^{\circ} K\right)=H_{v i b}(T)-H_{v i b}\left(0^{\circ} K\right)=R T \sum_{i} x_{i}\left(\frac{e^{-x_{i}}}{1-e^{-x_{i}}}\right) \text { where, } x_{i}=\frac{h v_{i}}{k T} \\
& U_{\text {tot }}(T)-U_{t o t}\left(0^{\circ} K\right)=\Delta U_{\text {trans }}+\Delta U_{\text {rot }}+\Delta U_{v i b} \text { or } \\
& H_{\text {tot }}(T)-H_{t o t}\left(0^{\circ} K\right)=\Delta H_{\text {trans }}+\Delta H_{r o t}+\Delta H_{v i b}
\end{aligned}
$$

An important energy component in these computation chemistry calculations is the zero point energy (ZPE). ZPE is the energy of the specie at absolute zero. Quantum chemical calculations determine the minimum in the potential curve; but a molecular
species at 0 K also has its vibration levels populated in their lowest level. The treatment of ZPE is not from statistical mechanics, but from quantum mechanics. ZPE can be determined by the following simple expression,

$$
Z P E=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} h v_{i}
$$

There are two considerations that are not applied to the above statistical treatment of thermodynamic properties that may be a major factor in some molecular species. The first is contribution to $S$ and $C_{p}(T)$ from internal rotors, which for some species can be significant. Molecular species that do not have internal rotors are represented by the above statistical analysis representation. However, for molecular species that have hindered internal rotors, the contributions to $S$ and $C_{p}(T)$ need to be separately calculated and incorporated into the thermodynamic properties. One method to estimate the hinder rotor contributions is by using the vibration frequency for the torsion in this program. At the present time, an accurate treatment of hindered internal rotators is not included in this program; for hindered rotor barriers of $4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ or less a more accurate treatment is recommended. More accurate methods are available and include those of Pitzer and Gwinn ${ }^{90,91}$, McClurg , et al. ${ }^{92,93}$, Knyazev ${ }^{94}$ or Rotator ${ }^{68}$; the data from these rotor analysis are simply added to the data from SMCPS with contributions from torsion frequencies removed.

Optical isomers are molecules that cannot be superimposed onto each other, but are a "mirror image" of each other and these also require an added consideration. Hydroperoxy species have one pair of optical isomers. The thermodynamic property that is affected by optical isomers, is entropy. The correction for a molecule with two optical isomers is:

$$
S_{\text {opt.iso }}=R \ln \sigma_{\text {opt iso }}
$$

and this correction entropy value is added to the above total entropy, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{tot}}$, i.e.

$$
\mathrm{S}_{\text {corrected }}=\mathrm{S}_{\text {tot }}+\mathrm{S}_{\text {opt.iso }}
$$

Thermodynamic properties, as derived from partition function, are coded into a Fortran program called SMCPS. The program has an additional assumption that is not part of the above derivations; the program assumes the system of interest is at one atmospheric pressure, where most of literature thermodynamic data are found.

### 3.3 About SMCPS

SMCPS is written in FORTRAN and has been compiled for Microsoft ${ }^{\circledR}$ MS-DOS ${ }^{\text {M }}$ ( 16 bit operating system) and the Windows ${ }^{\circledR}$ platform ( 32 bit operating system). The statistical mechanics treatment to determine thermodynamic properties for $\mathrm{Cp}, \mathrm{S}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{H}\left(\mathrm{T}-0^{\circ} \mathrm{K}\right)$, as described above, has been fully implemented. The more detailed calculation of hinder rotor contributions to entropy and heat capacity are not included in SMCPS; only the torsion frequency method.

The program requires an input file, in ASCII format, that includes the molecular parameters needed by the statistical mechanics equations. The program will read from the input file and search for

- keywords (e.g. temperature, frequency, moment of inertia ...etc.)
- number of individual inputs (such as number of different temperatures of interest and number of frequencies)
- actual values of the variables.

The maximum number of different temperatures allowed is 40 and the maximum number of frequencies allowed is 500 , which is sufficient for 166 atoms, i.e. for most applications. (This maximum can be readily expanded).

### 3.3.1 Input File

All the keywords in the input file have to start in the first column and be in capital letters, i.e. TEMP, FREQ, ...etc. For temperature and frequencies, the number of different temperatures or frequencies has to be entered on the line directly below the keywords. The actual values of variables has to be entered on the line directly below the keywords, except for temperature and frequencies, which will be entered on the line directly below the number of temperatures of interest and frequencies. Comments can be entered, either before or after each block of keywords and its associated values, not in between data values. The order of keywords and its associated values are not important.

The keywords used in the input file are for temperature, vibrational frequencies, moment of inertia about the principle axis, symmetry, number of rotors, molecular weight, if the molecule is linear or non-linear and optical isomers. Additional inputs for the program include comments that can be used to describe the molecule and a reference for the user in future identification of the specific calculation. An example of an input file is shown in Table 3.1.

Specific comment lines can be written to the output file to reference and identify the calculated results. The keyword is COMMENT (in capital letters). The two lines following COMMENT will be written to the output file, to help identify the specific molecular specie of interest.

Table 3.1 Example input file for SMCPS

```
NAME (name of molecule)
CH2O
COMMENTS:
B3LYP/631Gdp
TEMPERATURE
6 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 398 598 298 1098 498 (Values of temperature to be read)
ROTOR
0 number of internal rotors
MOLECULAR WT
    30.01056
OPTICAL ISOMER
I
MULTIPLICITY
1 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
HF298
-26.67
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 1 H 2 O 1
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
18 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: ZPE, Hvib, Svib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 (include decimal input)
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g* (m^^2 (2) =GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
285.19856 38.62429 34.01734
SYMMETRY
2
NON-LINEAR
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
6
1199.9240 1274.2300 1554.5724
1846.0490 2897.4628 2954.1511
```

The units of input variables are as follows: temperature units are in degrees Kelvin, frequencies are in inverse centimeters and several commonly used units for principle moments of inertia are also available. Most commonly used units of principle moments of inertia are in units of $10^{-40} \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{cm}^{2}, \mathrm{GHz}$, amu-bohr ${ }^{2}$, and amu- $\AA^{2}$. The user is required to input the choice of units. On the following line after the keyword MOMENT, user will be required to input one of the following integers, depending on the units of the moments of inertia. The actual values of the three moments are entered on the line after the choice of units for the moment of inertia data.
$1 \quad 10^{-40} \mathrm{~g}-\mathrm{cm}^{2}$
2 GHz
3 amu-bohr ${ }^{2}$
$4 a \mathrm{amu}-\AA^{2}$
Consideration of optical isomers and spin-degeneracy effects to entropy can be significant in some cases. All radical species exhibit spin-degeneracy. Stable molecules can also exhibit spin-degeneracy, as in the case of triplet state. The multiplicity of the specie for non-singlet state will contribute to its total entropy. SMCPS will incorporate both optical isomer and spin multiplicity into the calculation. The keywords are OPTICAL ISOMER and MULTIPLICITY, followed by the appropriate values in the respective proceeding line.

Scaling factors for calculated vibrational frequencies are also implemented. The computed values will often deviate from reported experimental values. Scott and Radom ${ }^{62}$ are one of several studies that have estimated scaling factors for vibrational contribution toward thermodynamic properties from different basis sets. Corrections or scaling of these data are left to the discretion of the user. Scaling factors are provided in SMCPS with the following options; a default scaling factor of 1.0 (i.e. no scaling of
frequencies), all the different basis set and methods reported by Scott and Radom, and a user-defined scaling factor. For AM1 and PM3, Scott and Radom reported only the frequency scaling factor. SMCPS will use the same scaling factors uniformly to calculate ZPE, Hvib and Svib, if the default, AM1 or PM3 are selected. All other scaling factors listed in Table 3.2 are used respective to the options selected.

A provision in the input file for reading a separately determined $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{298}$ is also provided. Although SMCPS does not calculate the enthalpy of formation, the input file does accommodate for this thermodynamic property from the user's input. SMCPS will reproduce the $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{298}$ in the output list file. The keyword for $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{298}$ in the input file is "HF298." This is not a required keyword and SMCPS can run successfully if the user does not input a value for "HF298."

Table 3.2 List of Scaling Factors Used in SMCPS

| Method | ZPE | $\mathrm{H}_{\text {vib }}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\text {vib }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| [1] Default $^{\text {a }}$ | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| [2] AM1 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.9532 | 0.9532 | 0.9532 |
| [3] PM3 |  |  |  |
| [4] HF/3-21G | 0.9761 | 0.9761 | 0.9761 |
| [5] HF/6-31G(d) | 0.9207 | 0.9444 | 0.9666 |
| [6] HF/6-31+G(d) | 0.9135 | 0.8905 | 0.8978 |
| [7] HF/6-31G(d,p) | 0.9153 | 0.8945 | 0.9027 |
| [8] HF/6-311G(d,p) | 0.9181 | 0.8912 | 0.8990 |
| [9] HF/6-311G(df,p) | 0.9248 | 0.8951 | 0.9021 |
| [10] MP2-fu/6-31G(d) | 0.9247 | 0.8908 | 0.8981 |
| [11] MP2-fc/6-31G(d) | 0.9661 | 1.0084 | 1.0228 |
| [12] MP2-fc/6-31G(d,p) | 0.9670 | 1.0211 | 1.0444 |
| [13] MP2-fc/6-311G(d,p), | 0.9608 | 1.0084 | 1.0232 |
| [14] QCISD-fc/6-31G(d) | 0.9748 | 1.0061 | 1.0175 |
| [15] B-LYP/6-31G(d) | 1.0126 | 1.0080 | 1.0187 |
| [16] B-LYP/6-311G(df,p) | 1.0167 | 1.0633 | 1.0670 |
| [17] B-P86/6-31G(d) | 1.0108 | 1.0593 | 1.0641 |
| [18] B3-LYP/6-31G(d) | 0.9806 | 1.0478 | 1.0527 |
| [19] B3-P86/6-31G(d) | 0.9759 | 0.9989 | 1.0015 |
| [20] B3-PW91/6-31G(d) | 0.9774 | 0.9864 | 0.9902 |
| [21] users manual input |  | 0.9885 | 0.9920 |

[^0]
### 3.3.2 Output File

The program produces three output files. One of the output files lists the heat capacity, entropy and thermal correction for enthalpy at the temperatures specified in the input file. The ZPE will also be calculated and written into this output file. (See example in Table 3.3). A second output file will write the specie into a specific formatted output file, consisting of the specie name, enthalpy of formation at 298 K , entropy at $298 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{Cp}$ values at $300,400,500,600,800,1000$ and 1500 K , comments, stoichiometric information and number of rotors. This second output file format is in the same format used in THERM ${ }^{95}$, which can be readily transformed to the more popular NASA polynomial format. The third output file is a summary of the input variables used to calculate the thermodynamic data, it provides a check on the input data. An example of the output file generated by SMCPS for the thermodynamic properties at the user-defined temperatures is shown in Tables 3.3.

Table 3.3 Output File from SMCPS for $\mathrm{Cp}, \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{T})-\mathrm{H}(0 \mathrm{~K})$ at the Temperature of Interest and ZPE

```
CH2O
    rb3lyp/6-31gdp
```

| $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$ | Cp <br> $(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K})$ | So <br> $(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} / \mathrm{K})$ | $[\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{T})-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{OK})]$ <br> $(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.00 | 7.949 | 6.866 | 0.008 |
| 5.00 | 7.949 | 19.660 | 0.040 |
| 10.00 | 7.949 | 25.169 | 0.079 |
| 50.00 | 7.949 | 37.963 | 0.397 |
| 100.00 | 7.949 | 43.472 | 0.795 |
| 200.00 | 7.997 | 48.988 | 1.591 |
| 298.00 | 8.399 | 52.235 | 2.390 |
| 398.00 | 9.236 | 54.771 | 3.269 |
| 498.00 | 10.257 | 56.947 | 4.244 |
| 598.00 | 11.286 | 58.913 | 5.321 |
| 698.00 | 12.248 | 60.730 | 6.498 |
| 798.00 | 13.116 | 62.425 | 7.767 |
| 898.00 | 13.886 | 64.017 | 9.118 |
| 998.00 | 14.562 | 65.517 | 10.542 |
| 1098.00 | 15.150 | 66.935 | 12.028 |
| 1198.00 | 15.662 | 68.276 | 13.569 |
| 1298.00 | 16.105 | 69.549 | 15.158 |
| 1398.00 | 16.490 | 70.758 | 16.788 |
| 1498.00 | 16.825 | 71.908 | 18.454 |
| 1598.00 | 17.116 | 73.004 | 20.152 |
| 1698.00 | 17.371 | 74.050 | 21.876 |
| 1798.00 | 17.594 | 75.050 | 23.625 |
| 1898.00 | 17.791 | 76.007 | 25.394 |
| 1998.00 | 17.965 | 76.924 | 27.182 |
| 2098.00 | 18.118 | 77.805 | 28.987 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Zero Point Vibration Energy | $(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})=$ | 16.443 |  |

### 3.4 Examples

Thermodynamic properties calculated using SMCPS from density functional calculations and comparisons with literature are performed for a number of molecules. The selection of species had two criteria; first is to find the species that do not have any internal rotors, since SMCPS does not take into consideration the internal rotor contribution. The second criterion is based on the availability of Cp and S value available in literature for the
specie. The comparison between SMCPS calculation values and literature values is shown in Table 3.4.

Comparison of a variety of molecules are listed in Table 3.4 to illustrate that SMCPS is not limited to any particular type of molecular species. Some examples shown in Table 3.4 include simple hydrocarbons, oxy-hydrocarbon, halo-hydrocarbon, aromatics, and cyclic oxy-hydrocarbon species. Also included in Table 3.4 are four radical species. Input values to SMCPS for the species in Table 3.4 were calculated by density functional theory, B3LYP/6-3lg(d,p) ${ }^{58}$, with the exception of the 4 cyclic oxyhydrocarbons (oxirane, 1,2 dioxetane, oxetane and 1,2 dioxolane), which were calculated at HF/6-3 $\lg (\mathrm{d}){ }^{96-98}$ level of theory. Scaling factors are used, based on Scott and Radom's suggestions. ${ }^{62}$

The results from SMCPS compare very well with both the NIST ${ }^{99}$ and TRC $^{100}$ reported values for both the entropy and Cp . The average Cp difference is the difference between the SMCPS calculated value to the reported literature value. The largest average Cp difference is less than $0.6 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol}-\mathrm{K}$. Comparison of $\mathrm{S}(298 \mathrm{~K})$ shows that the largest difference occurs for the hexachloro-benzene specie, with less than $1 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol}-\mathrm{K}$ difference between SMCPS calculated value and the TRC value.

Table 3.4 Comparison of Entropy and Cp Data Calculated by SMCPS with Literature Data

| Species | $\mathrm{S}^{\circ}(298 \mathrm{~K})$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(300)$ | Cp(400) | $\mathrm{Cp}(500)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(600)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(800)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(1000)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(1500)$ | Avg Cp difference | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{ClO}$ - | 64.68 | 12.18 | 14.27 | 15.99 | 17.38 | 19.42 | 20.86 | 23.00 |  | $\sigma=2$ |
| NIST | 64.4 | 11.76 |  | 15.69 |  | 19.21 | 20.71 | 22.98 | 0.22 | $s=2$ |
| $\mathrm{CHCl}_{2} \mathrm{O} \bullet$ | 72.17 | 15.58 | 17.71 | 19.27 | 20.40 | 21.93 | 22.90 | 24.23 |  | $\sigma=1$ |
| NIST | 71.6 | 15.03 |  | 18.75 |  | 21.62 | 22.64 | 24.13 | 0.35 | $s=2$ |
| $\mathrm{CCl}_{3} \mathrm{O} \bullet$ | 79.03 | 19.80 | 21.65 | 22.80 | 23.56 | 24.44 | 24.90 | 25.40 |  | $\sigma=3$ |
| NIST | 78.4 | 19.23 |  | 22.40 |  | 24.33 | 24.78 | 25.38 | 0.24 | $s=2$ |
| HCO• | 53.63 | 8.23 | 8.64 | 9.13 | 9.63 | 10.55 | 11.3 | 12.45 |  | $s=2$ |
| NIST | 53.69 | 8.28 | 8.73 | 9.26 | 9.79 | 10.74 | 11.49 | 12.59 | -0.14 |  |
| HCCH | 47.66 | 10.07 | 11.57 | 12.65 | 13.46 | 14.72 | 15.74 | 17.58 |  | $\sigma=2$ |
| NIST | 48.02 | 10.56 | 12.04 | 13.09 | 13.89 | 15.18 | 16.24 | 18.18 | -0.48 | $s=1$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{4}$ | 81.44 | 22.71 | 25.09 | 26.71 | 27.84 | 29.26 | 30.05 | 30.96 |  | $\sigma=4$ |
| NIST | 82.07 | 22.89 | 25.20 | 26.77 | 27.90 | 29.31 | 30.08 | 30.98 | -0.07 | $s=1$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 52.32 | 10.13 | 12.43 | 14.63 | 16.56 | 19.67 | 22.06 | 25.97 |  | $\sigma=4$ |
| NIST | 52.42 | 10.30 | 12.68 | 14.93 | 16.89 | 20.03 | 22.44 | 26.28 | -0.30 | $s=1$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{HCl}_{3}$ | 77.56 | 19.13 | 21.69 | 23.55 | 24.94 | 26.81 | 28.02 | 29.68 |  | $s=1$ |
| TRC | 77.73 | 19.24 | 21.8 | 23.68 | 25.07 | 26.95 | 28.16 | 29.83 | -0.13 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | 64.06 | 19.29 | 26.41 | 32.51 | 37.46 | 44.74 | 49.80 | 57.32 |  | $\sigma=12$ |
| TRC | 64.36 | 19.76 | 26.81 | 33.30 | 38.64 | 45.42 | 50.25 | 58.08 | -0.68 | $s=1$ |

Table 3.4 Comparison of Entropy and Cp Data Calculated by SMCPS with Literature Data (Continued)

| Species | $\mathrm{S}^{\circ}(298 \mathrm{~K})$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(300)$ | Cp(400) | $\mathrm{Cp}(500)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(600)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(800)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(1000)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(1500)$ | Avg Cp difference | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CCl}_{4}$ | 74.07 | 20.13 | 22.06 | 23.20 | 23.91 | 24.70 | 25.09 | 25.49 |  | $\sigma=12$ |
| NIST | 74.01 | 19.82 | 22.14 | 23.25 | 23.88 | 24.57 | 24.94 | 25.41 | 0.08 | $s=1$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHCl}$ | 62.99 | 12.74 | 15.36 | 17.56 | 19.35 | 22.07 | 24.06 | 27.20 |  | $\sigma=2$ |
| TRC | 63.11 | 12.89 | 15.49 | 17.73 | 19.60 | 22.33 | 24.28 | 27.5 | -0.21 | $s=1$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CCl}_{2}$ | 68.76 | 16.02 | 18.72 | 20.76 | 22.32 | 24.56 | 26.12 | 28.47 |  | $\sigma=2$ |
| TRC | 68.85 | 16.06 | 18.76 | 20.83 | 22.42 | 24.7 | 26.29 | 28.68 | -0.11 | $s=1$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 52.23 | 8.41 | 9.26 | 10.28 | 11.31 | 13.13 | 14.57 | 16.83 |  | $\sigma=2$ |
| NIST | 52.33 | 8.47 | 9.38 | 10.45 | 11.52 | 13.37 | 14.81 | 17.01 | -0.17 | $s=1$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 55.98 | 9.72 | 11.40 | 13.03 | 14.47 | 16.81 | 18.60 | 21.52 |  | $\sigma=3$ |
| NIST | 56.01 | 9.76 | 11.52 | 13.17 | 14.64 | 17.04 | 18.84 | 21.77 | -0.17 | $s=1$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | 44.47 | 8.45 | 9.50 | 10.84 | 12.20 | 14.70 | 16.79 | 20.38 |  | $\sigma=12$ |
| NIST | 44.52 | 8.55 | 9.711 | 11.14 | 12.61 | 15.32 | 17.63 | 21.72 | -0.55 | $s=1$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}_{6}$ | 103.40 | 41.89 | 47.91 | 52.34 | 55.61 | 59.82 | 62.23 | 65.00 |  | $\sigma=12$ |
| TRC | 104.38 | 42 | 48.09 | 52.53 | 55.77 | 59.94 | 62.35 | 65.08 | -0.14 | $s=1$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 75.40 | 23.81 | 30.53 | 36.15 | 40.66 | 47.23 | 51.75 | 58.42 |  | $\sigma=2$ |
| TRC | 75.07 | 23.63 | 30.48 | 36.37 | 41.19 | 47.87 | 52.33 | 59.09 | -0.34 | $s=1$ |
| $\mathrm{COCl}_{2}$ | 67.76 | 13.86 | 15.31 | 16.27 | 16.97 | 17.89 | 18.46 | 19.16 |  | $\sigma=2$ |
| NIST | 67.83 | 13.82 | 15.28 | 16.27 | 16.98 | 17.98 | 18.52 | 19.16 | -0.01 | $s=1$ |

Table 3.4 Comparison of Entropy and Cp Data Calculated by SMCPS with Literature Data (Continued)

| Species | $S^{\circ}(298 \mathrm{~K})$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(300)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(400)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(500)$ | Cp(600) | Cp(800) | $\mathrm{Cp}(1000)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(1500)$ | Avg Cp difference | Remarks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| oxetane ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 65.2 | 15.32 | 20.57 | 25.41 | 29.51 | 35.81 | 40.31 | 46.97 |  | $\sigma=2$ |
| NIST |  | 14.8 | 20.07 | 24.91 | 28.99 | 35.24 | 39.70 | 46.35 | 0.55 | $s=1$ |
| oxirane ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 57.85 | 11.36 | 14.78 | 17.94 | 20.59 | 24.65 | 27.56 | 31.93 |  | $\sigma=2$ |
| NIST | 58.08 | 11.5 | 14.93 | 17.99 | 20.61 | 24.64 | 27.46 | 31.87 | -0.03 | $s=1$ |
| 1,2dioxolane ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 68.39 | 18.13 | 24.13 | 29.53 | 34.03 | 40.83 | 45.61 | 52.6 |  | $s=1$ |
| NIST |  | 18.51 | 24.79 | 30.26 | 34.73 | 41.37 | 46.01 | 52.79 | -0.52 |  |
| 1,2dioxetane ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 65.62 | 13.99 | 18.05 | 21.77 | 24.89 | 29.57 | 32.85 | 37.61 |  | $s=1$ |
| NIST |  | 13.63 | 17.8 | 21.57 | 24.71 | 29.43 | 32.73 | 37.53 | 0.19 |  |

All units are in cal/mol-K.
NIST data are from the NIST Reference Database version number 69 dated February 2000. The database can be access through the NIST website at http://webbook.nist.gov/chemistry/.

TRC data are from the Thermodynamic Properties of the Substances in Ideal Gas State database, version 1.0M dated November 1994 developed by the Thermodynamic Research Center located in College Station, TX

Under Remarks, " $s$ " is the spin degeneracy, " $\sigma$ " is the symmetry
a: oxetane $=\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}=$ cyclic CCCO
b: oxirane $=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}=$ cyclic CCO
c: 1,2 dioxolane $=\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}=$ cyclic CCCOO
d: 1,2 dioxetane $=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}=$ cyclic CCOO

### 3.5 Summary

A program is written that calculates entropy at 298 K and Cp over temperature range of $300-1500 \mathrm{~K}$ from computation chemistry results are compared with literature data. The difference in the entropy calculated by SMCPS and the two databases is less than 1 $\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol}-\mathrm{K}$ for the species used in the comparison. Comparison of the average difference in Cp , over the $300-1500 \mathrm{~K}$ temperature range, between SMCPS calculated values and the two databases is also less than $1 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol}-\mathrm{K}$.

SMCPS has,

- a flexibility of input file
- portability - it can be easily loaded onto any computer.
- allowable comments in the input and output file for easy identification of molecular species for future reference (up to 80 characters)
- a dedicated program to perform statistical mechanic calculations
- an output file provides thermodynamic property results in an orderly tabular format.
- the output file format can also be easily converted to the NASA polynomial data format, which is used in other computational packages, using THERM ${ }^{95}$.

Scaling factors are optional for vibrational frequencies.
This program is useful for users of computational chemistry, such as Gaussian $94,{ }^{55}$ where the desired thermodynamic values are not fully provided. Required input parameters to SMCPS can be extracted from Gaussian94 calculations to determine the desired thermodynamic properties. The thermodynamic properties can then be directly applied to kinetic models, or other systems requiring thermodynamic properties.

## CHAPTER 4

# A COMPUTATIONAL CODE TO DETERMINE ELEMENTARY REACTION RATE COEFFICIENTS BASED ON CANONICAL TRANSITION STATE THEORY: THERMKIN 

### 4.1 Introduction

One of the more powerful tools in understanding understanding or optimizing complex chemical reaction systems is computer modeling with elementary kinetic mechanisms. The objective of an elementary reaction model for complex chemical system is the understanding it presents and its ability to accurately predict or optimize observable products. Kinetic models are widely used in many disciplines, including combustion and pyrolysis, many aspects of atmospheric chemistry and plasma etching/deposition studies. ${ }^{17,28,31,40,101-103} \mathrm{Kee}$, et al. has developed a transportable FORTRAN code called CHEMKIN, which enables users to calculate concentration profiles of complex reaction systems using elementary mechanisms, with reverse reaction coefficients automatically calculated from thermodynamics. ${ }^{87}$ There are also other kinetic codes available which require rate constant input. ${ }^{84-87,104,105}$ In all cases, the user needs to provide a chemical mechanism specific for their system. The construction of accurate reaction mechanisms is a valuable resource for researchers and industry modelers requiring a quantitative means to describe their systems.

Many researchers have contributed to the development into what is termed absolute rate theory or canonical transition state theory (CTST); but it was Henry Eyring who provided the clear description of an "activated complex" which is crucial to the development of every rate process. ${ }^{106,107}$ M. Polanyi and M.G. Evans suggested the term "transition state" to this intermediate specie. ${ }^{106}$ The CTST is a statistical method to
determine the rate at which a reactant will form a transition state (TS) along the reaction coordinate. Determination of reaction rates requires thermodynamic properties of both reactant and the TS. Reliable experimental methods to determine TS's are not available. Theoretical chemistry codes, for $a b$ initio or density functional theory methods, which are based on molecular quantum-mechanical equations ${ }^{74}$ provide a method to determine microscopic (structure parameters, energies and vibration frequencies) molecular properties of stable or radical reactants and transition state intermediates. There are several $a b$ initio computational packages available, such as, GAMESS, Molpro, Spartan, CADPAC, Jaguar, NWChem, Q-CHEM, HyperChem and Gaussian. ${ }^{55,76-83}$ Thermodynamic properties can be determined from quantum molecular properties through the application of the principles of statistical mechanics. ${ }^{108}$

The use of computational chemistry to determine molecular species' properties is a rapidly growing research area; it is finding increased application with the rapidly increasing technological improvements in computer processors and systems. ${ }^{66-73}$ The importance of computational chemistry quickly becomes apparent when experimental data on species, such as transition state structures and active radicals are difficult to obtain. The thermochemical and kinetic data are needed in analysis of reaction paths and equilibrium determinations, ... etc. Although a literature article may provide enthalpies and structure data, rate coefficients necessary in kinetic models are not always provided with the computation data.

ThermKin (Thermodynamic Estimation of Radical and Molecular Kinetics) is written to determine the elementary reaction rate coefficients and express the rate coefficients in several Arrhenius forms. It utilizes canonical transition state theory to
determine the rate parameters. Thermodynamic properties of reactants and transition states are required and can be obtained from either literature sources or computational calculations. ThermKin does require the thermodynamic property to be in the NASA polynomial format, which a number of equilibrium and kinetic programs, such as CHEMKIN, SENKIN, STANJAN, CHEMSAGE ${ }^{\text {© }}$ and NASA's CEA, also require. ${ }^{84-}$ 87,104 A number of programs are available to generate these polynomials from $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}, \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T})$ data. ${ }^{95}$ Since most computational chemistry codes provide fequencies and moments of inertia, it is necessary to use statistical mechanical approaches to compute the standard thermodynamic properties, e.g. SMCPS. ${ }^{108}$

### 4.2 Theory and Basis of Thermkin

### 4.2.1 Determination of Forward Rate Constants

ThermKin evolved from a previously developed computer code, i.e. THERMRXN, ${ }^{95}$ which calculates equilibrium thermodynamic properties for any given reaction. The current program maintains the full capabilities of THERMRXN, and in addition can calculate the forward rate constants and provide an Arrhenius rate expression that can be used to model reaction systems. ThermKin determines the forward rate constants, $k(T)$, based on the canonical transition state theory (CTST). The modified Arrhenius parameters are determined from regression analysis with application of the principle of least squares.

CTST describes the forward rate constant from reactant to the transition state (TS) as a function of the equilibrium between reactant and TS. This relationship is expressed as the following,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\text {foru }}=\left(\frac{k_{B} T}{h_{P}}\right) K^{\neq} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

implying an equilibrium state between the reactant and TS. It is important to note the the equilibrium constant $\mathrm{K}^{\dagger}$ is missing the degree of freedom coresponding to the reaction coordinate. The equilibrium constant for a gas phase reaction is typically expressed in pressure units, i.e. $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{p}}$. The relationship between equilibrium constant can be expressed in terms of Gibbs free energy,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\exp \left(-\frac{\Delta G_{x n}}{R T}\right)=\frac{K_{P}}{\left(P^{0}\right)^{\nu}} \tag{4.2a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.2a) can be rewritten with the assumption that a "quasi-equilibrium" exists between the reactant and TS, viz.

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{\neq}=\exp \left(-\frac{\Delta G^{\neq}}{R T}\right)=\frac{K_{P}^{\neq}}{\left(P^{0}\right)^{\Delta \nu^{*}}} \tag{4.2b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of Equation (4.1) with Equation (4.2b) provides an expression for the forward rate constant in terms of the thermodynamic property of Gibbs free energy. Recalling the thermodynamic definition of Gibbs free energy, in terms of enthalpy and entropy and writing the term in relation of a TS, Gibbs free energy can be expressed as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta G^{*}=\Delta H^{*}-T \Delta S^{*} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.2b) can be rewritten with the appropriate substitution for Gibbs free energy term expressed in Equation (4.3). Equation (4.1), with substitution from Equations (4.2b) and (4.3), results in the forward rate constant expression as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\text {forw }}=\left(\frac{k_{B} T}{h_{P}}\right) \exp \left(\frac{\Delta S_{P}^{\neq}}{R}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{\Delta H^{\neq}}{R T}\right)\left(P^{0}\right)^{-\Delta v^{*}} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The subscript " $p$ " on the entropy term in Equation (4.4) needs to be consistent since in the current derivation thus far, the equilibrium constant in Equation (4.2a) and (4.2b) is in pressure units. Typically, entropy is expressed in terms of concentration, rather than in pressure units. Another reason for expressing the entropy in concentration units is to be consistent with rate constants, which are typically expressed in concentration units as well. The consistency of the units for the forward rate constant will be discussed in more detail later in this paper. The conversion between $\Delta S_{C}^{\nexists}$ and $\Delta S_{P}^{\nexists}$ can be shown by utilizing the relationship between $K_{c}$ and $K_{p}$; which for the ideal gas system can be shown in the following expression,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\frac{K_{P}}{\left(P^{0}\right)^{\Delta v}}=K_{C}\left(\frac{R T}{P^{0}}\right)^{\Delta v} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that the exponential term is dimensionless and it is the stoichiometric difference between product (or TS) and reactant. The " $\mathrm{P}^{0}$ " term is the reference pressure, which will be assumed to be 1 atm . The reference pressure in Equation (4.5) will allow the equilibrium constants to be dimensionless. It should be further noted that the TS always have a stoichiometric coefficient of one. Expansion of the expression in Equation (4.5) for both the Kp and Kc in terms of enthalpy and entropy, the result is expressed in the following form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left(\frac{\Delta S_{P}^{\neq}}{R}\right) \exp \left(-\frac{\Delta H^{\neq}}{R T}\right)=\exp \left(\frac{\Delta S_{C}^{\neq}}{R}\right)(R T)^{\Delta \nu^{*}} \exp \left(-\frac{\Delta H^{\neq}}{R T}\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Cancellations of like terms and the relationship between $\Delta S_{C}^{\neq}$and $\Delta S_{P}^{\neq}$results in the following relationship,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\Delta S_{P}^{\neq}}{R}\right)=\left(\frac{\Delta S_{C}^{\not}}{R}\right)+\Delta v^{\neq} \ln (R T) \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a unimolecular reaction, $\Delta v$ is zero and $\Delta S_{C}^{\neq}=\Delta S_{P}^{\neq}$and for a bimolecular reaction $\Delta v^{\neq}=-1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\Delta S_{P}^{\neq}}{R}\right)=\left(\frac{\Delta S_{C}^{\not}}{R}\right)-\ln (R T) \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substitution of the $\frac{\Delta S_{P}^{\neq}}{R}$ term in Equation (4.8) into Equation (4.4), the forward rate constant can be rewritten in terms of $\Delta \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{c}}$ as follows,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\text {forw }}=\left(\frac{k_{B} T}{h_{P}}\right) \exp \left(\frac{\Delta S_{C}^{ \pm}}{R}\right)\left(\frac{R T}{P^{0}}\right)^{\Delta v^{*}} \exp \left(-\frac{\Delta H^{\neq}}{R T}\right) \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The forward rate constant can then be determined, provided the thermodynamic properties of the TS and reactants are known. Equation (4.10) is sufficient to calculate the forward rate constant, but further rearrangement of Equation (4.10) can be performed through an algebraic manipulation. The forward rate constant is expressed as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\text {forw }}=\left(\frac{e k_{B} T}{h_{P}}\right) \exp \left(\frac{\Delta S_{C}^{\not}}{R}\right)\left(\frac{R T}{P^{0}}\right)^{\Delta v^{*}}\left[\exp \left(-\frac{\Delta H^{\not}+R T}{R T}\right)\right] \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reason for this rearrangement to (4.11) is to correlate the kinetic parameters, which will be explained below. The forward rate constant can also be expressed in terms of internal energy, viz.

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\text {forw }}=\left(\frac{e k_{B} T}{h_{P}}\right) \exp \left(\frac{\Delta S_{C}^{\nexists}}{R}\right)\left(\frac{R T}{P^{0}}\right)^{\Delta \nu^{*}} \exp \left(-\frac{\left[\Delta U^{\neq}+\Delta(P \bar{V})\right]+R T}{R T}\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

One should take caution to note that the term $\bar{V}$ is the molar volume. Employment of the ideal gas law Equation (4.12) becomes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\text {forw }}=\left(\frac{e k_{B} T}{h_{P}}\right) \exp \left(\frac{\Delta S_{C}^{\neq}}{R}\right)\left(\frac{R T}{P^{0}}\right)^{\Delta v^{*}} \exp \left(-\frac{\left[\Delta U^{\neq}+\left(\Delta v^{\neq}\right) R T\right]+R T}{R T}\right) \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and after simplification of the exponential term, the forward rate constant, expressed in terms of internal energy take the final form of,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\text {forw }}=\left(\frac{e k_{B} T}{h_{P}}\right) \exp \left(\frac{\Delta S_{C}^{ \pm}}{R}\right)\left(\frac{R T}{P^{0}}\right)^{\Delta v^{\star}} \exp \left(-\frac{\left[\Delta U^{\neq}+\left(\Delta v^{\neq}+1\right) R T\right]}{R T}\right) \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.2.2 Determination of Arrhenius Rate Coefficients

The aim of kinetic modeling is to obtain an expression that can represent the rate constant over a temperature range. The most commonly used equation to represent rate constants is the Arrhenius rate equation, viz.

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=A \exp \left(-\frac{E_{A}}{R T}\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

A modification of Equation (4.15) to incorporate a temperature dependent preexponential A-factor is termed the modified Arrhenius rate equation, expressed in the following equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=A_{T} T^{n} \exp \left(-\frac{E_{A}^{\prime}}{R T}\right) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that the " $\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{T}}$ " term in Equation (4.16) is not equal to the preexponential "A" term in Equation (4.15).

The forward rate constants, as a function of temperature, can be calculated from any one of the Equations (4.10) - (4.14), provided thermodynamic properties of the TS
and reactants are known. Logarithmic transformation of Equation (4.16) results in the following non-linear equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln (k)=\ln \left(A_{T}\right)+n \ln (T)-E_{A}^{\prime}\left(-\frac{1}{R T}\right) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The principle of least squares is applied to the logarithmic transformed Equation (4.17). Derivation from the application of the minimizing function to the 3-parameters in the logarithmic transformed Equation (4.17) is represented by the following matrix,

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
m & \sum_{i=1}^{m} \ln \left(T_{i}\right) & -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{R T_{i}}  \tag{4.18}\\
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \ln \left(T_{i}\right) & \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\ln \left(T_{i}\right)\right)^{2} & -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{R T_{i}} \ln \left(T_{i}\right) \\
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{R T_{i}} & \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{R T_{i}} \ln \left(T_{i}\right) & -\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\frac{1}{R T_{i}}\right)^{2}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
\ln \left(A_{T}\right) \\
n \\
E_{A}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \ln \left(k_{i}\right) \\
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \ln \left(T_{i}\right) \ln \left(k_{i}\right) \\
\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{R T_{i}} \ln \left(k_{i}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

Gaussian elimination is applied to the above matrix to minimize computation requirements. The 3-parameters, i.e. $\ln \left(\mathrm{A}_{\mathrm{T}}\right), \mathrm{n}$ and $\mathrm{E}^{\prime}$, can be determined from the triangulated matrix.

The classical Arrhenius rate expression can be performed similarly, by logarithmic transformation of Equation (4.15) to the following linear expression,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln (k)=\ln (A)-E_{A} \frac{1}{R T} \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The linear least-square equation can be directly applied to Equation (4.18), and with the appropriate substitutions, results in the following solution for determining the parameters in the classical Arrhenius equation, viz.

$$
\begin{gather*}
-E_{A}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left[\ln k_{i}\left(\frac{1}{R T_{i}}\right)\right]-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \ln k_{i} \sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\frac{1}{R T_{i}}\right)}{m}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\frac{1}{R T_{i}}\right)^{2}-\frac{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\frac{1}{R T_{i}}\right)\right]^{2}}{m}}  \tag{4.20}\\
\ln (A)=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \ln k_{i}}{m}+E_{A} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m}\left(\frac{1}{R T_{i}}\right)}{m} \tag{4.21}
\end{gather*}
$$

It should be noted that the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{A}}$ term represented in the above Equations (4.17) to (4.20) could have been represented as $-\frac{E_{A}}{R}$, since R is the universal gas constant and can be factored out from the above " $\frac{1}{R T}$ " term. For clarity, the current derivation represents the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{A}}$ term directly.

### 4.2.3 Relationships Between Thermodynamic Properties and Kinetic Parameters

Comparison of Equations (4.11) with Equation (4.16) show that the activation barrier is a function of the enthalpy of reaction between the reactant and TS. The pre-exponential Afactor is a function of entropy of reaction between the reactant and TS. The preexponential A-factor can be identified as the following,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\left(\frac{e k_{B} T}{h_{P}}\right) \exp \left(\frac{\Delta S_{C}^{ \pm}}{R}\right)\left(\frac{R T}{P^{0}}\right)^{\Delta \nu^{*}} \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the activation barrier as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{A}=\Delta H^{\neq}+R T \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (4.22) and (4.23) are used rather loosely, since " $A$ " and " $E_{A}$ " are constants, and the right hand side expression are functions of temperature.

The minimum threshold energy required for the reactants to overcome the activation barrier is $\Delta \mathrm{H}^{\neq}$, since this is the $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}$ between the TS and reactant. Equations (4.22) and (4.23) are commonly used because both the A and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{A}}$ are within reasonable experimental values. The experimental activation energy, through the relationship of $\ln k$ vs T and after derivation, can be shown to be the same as $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{A}}$ in Equation (4.23). ${ }^{106}$ Similarly, expression of the activation energy in terms of the internal energy of the reacting system, the $E_{A}$ term would equal to the term within the brackets shown in Equation (4.14). The $\left(\frac{e k_{B} T}{h_{P}}\right)$ term in Equation (4.22) produces a quantity of $5.64 \times 10^{10}$ T with units of $\mathrm{K}^{-1} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$. If the temperature is $500 \mathrm{~K},\left(\frac{e k_{B} T}{h_{P}}\right)$ would be $2.82 \times 10^{13} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, for $\Delta \mathrm{S}^{\neq}=0 . \quad$ The $\exp \left(\frac{\Delta S_{C}^{\not}}{R}\right)\left(\frac{R T}{P^{0}}\right)^{\Delta \nu^{*}}$ term, would change on either a "tighter" or "looser" TS, which would produce an entropy difference greater or less than zero, respectively. This would either increase or decrease the respective A-factor term. The units of the pre-exponential A-factor is dependent upon both the $\left(\frac{e k_{B} T}{h_{P}}\right)$ and $\left(\frac{R T}{P^{0}}\right)^{\Delta v^{*}}$. The $\left(\frac{e k_{B} T}{h_{P}}\right)$ term will have units of inverse time. Depending on type of reaction, either unimolecular or bimolecular reaction, $\Delta v^{\neq}=0$ or -1 . For unimolecular reactions, $\Delta v=0$ and the A-factor will have units of inverse time. For bimolecular reactions, $\Delta \nu^{\neq}=-1$, and $\left(\frac{R T}{P^{0}}\right)^{\Delta^{ \pm} v}$ will have units of inverse concentration and the pre-exponential A-factor will have units of inverse time-inverse concentration.

### 4.3 About ThermKin

THERMKIN is a FORTRAN console application and has been compiled for Microsoft ${ }^{\circledR}$ MS-DOS ${ }^{\top M}$ (16 bit operating system) and the Windows ${ }^{\circledR}$ platform (32 bit operating system). Macrocanonical transition state theory to determine forward rate constants for a reaction, as described above, has been fully implemented. Thermodynamic properties of reactants and transition state are required for ThermKin to run successfully.

The only other file the program requires, besides the binary executable, is a thermochemical data file consisting of the thermodynamic properties of the species of interest; this is input in the popularly used NASA polynomial format, which is also the CHEMKIN thermodynamic data format. References for description of the NASA polynomial format file can be found in several references. ${ }^{84,95,109-111}$ THERM is one computer program that can convert thermodynamic data into the NASA polynomial format. ${ }^{95}$ An example of a NASA polynomial format is shown in the Table 4.1.

### 4.4 Using THERMKIN

There are several steps required for the program to execute successfully. The first step THERMKIN requires is the user to input the thermochemical data file name. Once the thermochemical data file is read into the program, THERMKIN will request that the user specify whether the reaction is a unimolecular or bimolecular reaction. The next option will be the form of the fit desired, i.e. either a two-parameter fit or a three-parameter fit. The following screen will request the user to input the reaction to be calculated, i.e. the reactant and the transition state, in the form [reactant 1$]+$ [reactant 2$]=[$ transition state $]$
for a bimolecular reaction and for a unimolecular reaction [reactant] = [transition state].
The results of the calculation will be shown on screen. The user will have an option to save the results to a file, to be reviewed later. The file is saved in ASCII format, such that any text editor may be used to view the file with no difficulties.
Table 4.1 An Example of Thermochemical Properties of Species in NASA Polynomial Format
THERMO
THERMO
$300.000 \quad 1500.000 \quad 5000.000$

| 02 | $J$ | $9 / 650$ | 2 | 0 | 0 | $O G$ | 300.000 | 5000.000 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |

$2.35304047 \mathrm{E}+001.68449387 \mathrm{E}-03-4.94601650 \mathrm{E}-07 \quad 6.42179721 \mathrm{E}-11-3.11943040 \mathrm{E}-15 \quad 2$
$4.25783886 \mathrm{E}+038.71344084 \mathrm{E}+003.78786811 \mathrm{E}+00-9.50189982 \mathrm{E}-041.08262042 \mathrm{E}-06 \quad 3$
$-2.28848614 \mathrm{E}-10-1.23828555 \mathrm{E}-143.67981777 \mathrm{E}+037.39024772 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 4$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}H 02 & J & 9 / 81 H & 10 & 2 & 0 & O G & 300.000 & 5000.000 & 1446.000 & 11\end{array}$
4.63734659E+00 1.68299444E-03-6.04341398E-07 9.69277697E-11-5.75245167E-15 2
$1.61343187 \mathrm{E}+023.87432185 \mathrm{E}-023.43389194 \mathrm{E}+002.44149913 \mathrm{E}-031.28874201 \mathrm{E}-06 \quad 3$
$-1.99754200 \mathrm{E}-095.61717009 \mathrm{E}-137.72395408 \mathrm{E}+027.21042937 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 4$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { TYCCXQ CBS-Q//B3LYP } & \text { C } & 2 H & 50 & 2 & O G & 300.000 & 5000.000 & 1682.000 & 01\end{array}$
$9.76464559 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 1.31988627 \mathrm{E}-02-4.66657674 \mathrm{E}-067.44823207 \mathrm{E}-10-4.41610336 \mathrm{E}-14 \quad 2$
$7.29825719 \mathrm{E}+03-2.64219961 \mathrm{E}+01-3.29602049 \mathrm{E}-023.49869182 \mathrm{E}-02-2.16747546 \mathrm{E}-05 \quad 3$
$6.21435607 \mathrm{E}-09-6.38731252 \mathrm{E}-131.05920096 \mathrm{E}+042.62776518 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 4$
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { CCOO CBS-Q/B3\&isodeC } & 2 H & 50 & 2 & O G & 300.000 & 5000.000 & 1394.000 & 21\end{array}$
8.81369237E+00 1.26389123E-02-4.30461996E-06 6.66356420E-10-3.85804792E-14 2
$-7.80453890 \mathrm{E}+03-1.92675418 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 7.43589918 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 2.91251006 \mathrm{E}-02-1.62277623 \mathrm{E}-05 \quad 3$
4.12761784E-09-3.34699013E-13-4.76283100E+03 2.49166271E+01 4
C2H5 CBS-Q//B3LYP C $\quad 2 H \quad 5 \quad 0 \quad$ OG $\quad 300.000 \quad 5000.0001389 .000 \quad 11$
5.46159620E+00 1.06187847E-02-3.56318553E-06 5.45874605E-10-3.13696363E-14 2
$1.15710003 \mathrm{E}+04-5.14843226 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 1.52471168 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 1.73349429 \mathrm{E}-02-6.94412953 \mathrm{E}-06 \quad 3$
$7.50723785 \mathrm{E}-101.25023688 \mathrm{E}-131.32282904 \mathrm{E}+041.69443978 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 4$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { C2H4 } & 6 / 17 / 96 & \text { C } & 2 H & 4 & 0 & \text { OG } & 300.000 & 5000.000 & 1395.000 & 01\end{array}$
5.22176372E+00 8.96137303E-03-3.04868886E-06 4.71465524E-10-2.72739592E-14 2
$3.59383137 \mathrm{E}+03-7.47789234 \mathrm{E}+002.33879688 \mathrm{E}-011.96334647 \mathrm{E}-02-1.16833214 \mathrm{E}-053$
$3.64246453 \mathrm{E}-09-4.77442715 \mathrm{E}-13 \quad 5.45482795 \mathrm{E}+03 \quad 1.97084228 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 4$
END

### 4.4.1 Output File

The program does not produce any output files by default, but does provide an option to the user to save any of the calculations performed to an output file. An example of a three parameter fit output file from THERMKIN is shown in Table 4.2. All results calculated by THERMKIN have the same format, regardless of unimolecular or bimolecular reactions system. The output file generated by THERMKIN is divided into three sections, for ease of interpretation. The first section of the output file identifies the reaction. The second section is the thermochemistry of the reaction as a function of temperature. The last section describes the kinetics. A brief description of results (shown in Table 4.2) is presented for explanations.

Each output file starts with identification of the specific reaction that is calculated; Table 4.2 shows the reaction of interest is "CCOO $\rightarrow$ TYCCXQ." In this specific example, "CCOO" is the ethyl-peroxy radical and "TYCCXQ" is the molecular elimination transition state to form ethylene plus $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$. Thermodynamic properties of the respective species of interest are shown in the section below the reaction identification.

Reaction thermodynamic properties at both 298 K and the average thermodynamic properties over the temperature range of $298-1500 \mathrm{~K}$ are listed below the reaction identification. Temperature dependent thermodynamic properties are also provided in tabular form. The equilibrium constant is calculated directly from the Gibbs free energy of reaction.

## Table 4.2 Sample Output File from THERMKIN

| THERMODYNAMIC ANALYSIS for REACTION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rx CCOO = TYCCXQ |  |  |  |
| Hf $\{\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\}$-6.720 | 23.760 |  |  |
| S \{cal/mol K\} 73.820 | 70.760 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{dHr}\{\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\} \quad(298 \mathrm{~K})=$ | 30.48 | dHr avg (298.. 1500. K) = | 31.97 |
| dU (dE) \{kcal/mol\} (') | 30.48 | dUr avg (298.. 1500. K) | 31.97 |
| dSr \{cal/mol K ${ }^{\text {( " ) }}$ | -3.06 | dSr avg (298.. 1500. K) | -1.22 |
| dGr \{ $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\}$ ( " ) | 31.39 | dGr avg (298.. 1500. K) = | 33.07 |
| Kc ( " ) | 9.703E-24 | Kc avg (298., 1500. K ) = | 9.153E-09 |
| Fit Af/Ar $\quad: \mathrm{A}=1.08$ | -03 $n=.90$ | alpha =-3.595E-04 avg error | 4.44 \% |
| Fit Af/Ar w/ddU: $\mathrm{A}=7.29$ | -06 $n=1.79$ | alpha $=-1.421 \mathrm{E}-05$ avg error | $6.50 \%$ |


| $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$ | $\mathrm{dH}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\mathrm{dU}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\mathrm{dS}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K})$ | Kc | $\mathrm{dG}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300.00 | $3.048 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.048 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-3.053 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.333 \mathrm{E}-23$ | $3.140 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 400.00 | $3.062 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.062 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-2.663 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.866 \mathrm{E}-18$ | $3.168 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 500.00 | $3.080 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.080 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-2.251 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.102 \mathrm{E}-14$ | $3.193 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 600.00 | $3.103 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.103 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-1.847 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.968 \mathrm{E}-12$ | $3.213 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 800.00 | $3.154 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.154 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-1.110 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.381 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $3.243 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1000.00 | $3.210 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.210 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-4.874 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.546 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $3.259 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1200.00 | $3.266 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.266 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.369 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.139 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $3.263 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1500.00 | $3.345 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.345 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.164 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.819 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $3.253 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 2000.00 | $3.465 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.465 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.305 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.152 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.204 \mathrm{E}+01$ |

The model fitted is for uni-molecular reaction.


The fitted kinetic parameters, in the modified Arrhenius expression, in Equation (4.16), is determined. The unit for the activation energy is in $\frac{c a l}{m o l}$, and the preexponential A-factor is in $\frac{1}{\mathrm{sec}}$ for unimolecular reactions and $\frac{\mathrm{cm}^{3}}{\mathrm{~mol} \cdot \mathrm{sec}}$ for bimolecular
reactions. Temperature dependent kinetic results are also tabulated, shown in five columns: $\operatorname{Temp}(\mathrm{K}), \operatorname{AF}(\mathrm{T}), \mathrm{T}_{-} \mathrm{K}^{\wedge} \mathrm{n}, \mathrm{k}_{\mathbf{\prime}} \mathrm{calc}(\mathrm{T})$ and k _fit. "Temp( K$)$ " is the temperature of interest. " $\mathrm{AF}(\mathrm{T})$ " is the calculated pre-exponential A -factor from the thermodynamic properties, refer to Equation (4.22). "T_K^n" is the product of the temperature raised to the power of the " $n$ " that is determined from the fit. In the specific example shown in Table 4.2, " $\mathrm{n} "=2.24329$, viz. $T_{-} K^{\wedge} n=T^{2.24329}$

The results shown in the column for " $k$ _calc(T)" is the forward rate coefficient calculated based on the thermodynamic properties, refer to Equation (4.10) or (4.11). The last column, " $k$ fit", is the fitted rate coefficient based on the fitted kinetic parameters, refer to Equation (4.16). In the specific example shown in Table 4.2, "k_fit" is determine from the following equation, $k_{-} f i t=8.8 \times 10^{5} T^{2.24} \exp \left(-\frac{29600 \frac{\mathrm{cal}}{\mathrm{mol}}}{R T}\right)$, which are the " A ", " n " and "Ea" from the fitted model.

### 4.4.2 Examples

Rate constant coefficients are calculated using THERMKIN and compared to literature values for several different types of reactions. The selections of these specific reactions are chosen based on two criteria; first is to find rate constants with transition states for different types of reactions. The second criterion is based on the availability of data in literature for use in a comparison. The different types of reactions presented are abstraction and isomerization. THERMKIN can easily extend to any reaction where thermochemical parameters can describe the transition state.

Comparison of four rate coefficients over a temperature range from 250 - 2500 K are presented and shown in Figures 4.1 - 4.4. The first example illustrates the comparison of rate constants calculated by THERMKIN, compared to calculation of rate constants from using Gibbs free energy of reaction. This alternate way to compute the rate coefficients calculates $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{eq}}{ }^{\neq}$at each temperature (from $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ and $\Delta \mathrm{S}$ ) and then uses expression in Equation (4.1). The specific reaction shown is the unimolecular reaction of the isomerization of vinyl-peroxy radical to 2 hydroperoxy-vinyl radical. (Refer to Figure 4.1). As expected, the results are identical.


Figure 4.1 Comparison of ThermKin with calculations by DGrxn for isomerization of vinyl peroxy radical to 2-hydroperoxy-vinyl radical

The isomerization reaction of acetylene to vinylidene is presented in Figure 4.2. Laskin and Wang ${ }^{72}$ presents their results as a bimolecular rate constant, with the buffer gas as an energy transfer reactant. Conversion of the bimolecular rate constant to a
unimolecular rate constant, at a system pressure of 1 atm with ideal gas behavior is assumed. Thermochemical properties of the acetylene-vinylidene transition state is obtained from Sheng and Bozzelli. ${ }^{112}$ Comparison of the results is shown in Figure 4.2. The difference between Laskin and Wang's rate constant and ThermKin is due to the different method of obtaining rate coefficients. Laskin and Wang used a microcanonical RRKM algorithm to determine a bimolecular rate constant expression. Although Laskin and Wang's rate constant may be more accurate, it is also more rigorous, involving quantum chemical parameters as inputs. The current simplified treatment to convert the bimolecular rate constant to a unimolecular rate constant may also attribute to the differences in the calculated rate constants.


Figure 4.2 Comparison of thermkin fit to RRKM analysis of the isomerization reaction of acetylene to vinylidene

Figure 4.3 illustrates an example of a halo-oxy-hydrocarbon reaction system. Entropy and Cp values of the halo-oxy-hydrocarbon species are taken directly from Yamada, et al. 113 Yamada, et al. provided the enthalpy of formation values at absolute zero. Graphical interpretation of the potential energy diagram is utilized to obtain the enthalpy of formation at 298 K . Yamada, et al. also provides rate constants, which they have used theory to support experimental results and show consistency. The specific reaction in Figure 4.3 is the isomerization of 1,1 dichloro 1 -ol ethyl radical to 1,2 dichloro 1 -ol ethyl radical. The difference between the two curves at high temperatures is about $10 \%$. This difference is due to the graphical interpretation to determine the $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}(298 \mathrm{~K})$; a $0.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ difference results in a $10 \%$ difference in rate constant.


Figure 4.3 Comparison of ThermKin fit with Yamada, et al.'s calculated isomerization reaction of 1,1 dichloro 1 -ol ethyl radical to 1,2 dichloro 1 -ol ethyl radical

The fourth example is a bimolecular abstraction reaction of the methyl radical plus hydroperoxy radical to form the products methane plus the singlet oxygen molecule. (Refer to Figure 4.4). Zhu and Lin reports the calculated rate constant along with the quantum chemical properties of reactants, products and transition states. ${ }^{114}$ Macroscopic thermodynamic properties were not explicitly provided by Zhu and Lin. The calculated thermodynamic properties are based on the vibrational frequencies and moments of inertia provided by Zhu and Lin. A simplified treatment of the hindered rotor contributions for the transition state used the torsional vibrational frequencies. Comparison of the rate constants shows reasonable agreement, especially with the consideration of a simplified treatment for hinder rotors. Zhu and Lin used microcanonical variational RRKM theory ${ }^{115-119}$ to determine the rate constants and deviations are assumed due to difference in calculation methods. This example illustrates that there are different methods to treat hindered rotors and these differences can significantly affect the value of the rate coefficient.


Figure 4.4 Comparison of ThermKin fit to RRKM analysis for abstraction of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ $\rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{4}+\mathrm{O}$

### 4.5 Summary

A program is written that calculates rate constants from canonical transition state theory Comparison of calculated rate constants are performed with literature sources. Near identical results are produced from use of Gibbs free energy method and from comparison of available literature sources.

ThermKin has,

1) portability - it can be easily loaded onto any computer running Microsoft ${ }^{(B)}$ operating system.
2) a dedicated program to determine rate coefficients for different Arrhenius expressions.
3) an output file provides thermodynamic property results in an orderly tabular format.
4) an output file that provides both calculated rate constants directly from thermodynamic properties and from the fitted rate coefficients for quick comparison analysis.
5) provide rate constant calculations over a default temperature range of 3002000 K or user define temperature range.

This program is useful as an academic tool for both instructors and students and researchers. Researchers, either industrial or academic, who require kinetic rate parameters in reactor design, combustion models, atmospheric models, ... etc. all can benefit from use this program.

## CHAPTER 5

## AB INITIO MOLECULAR ORBITAL AND DENSITY FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS OF ACETYLENE + $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ REACTIONS WITH CHEMKIN EVALUATION

### 5.1 Introduction

Acetylene is an important intermediate in hydrocarbon combustion systems. In methane and other $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ hydrocarbon oxidation, methyl or methylene radicals can be formed which can combine, or ${ }^{1} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (singlet methylene) can undergo insertion reactions to form $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ hydrocarbons: ethane, ethyl radical and ethylene. Significant fractions of these $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ hydrocarbons react via abstraction, then beta scission pathways under high temperature combustion conditions ( 1200 K and above); the reactions proceed sequentially from alkanes to ethylenes to acetylene. The C-H bond in acetylene is strong, ca $135 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, thus it is slow to undergo abstraction with active species in the radical pool, such as OH , H and O species, where the abstractions are 15 to $31 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ endothermic. Acetylene does undergo addition reactions with these radical species; but the adducts are often considered to undergo rapid reverse reaction. Acetylene is a logical stable intermediate or product in methane, $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ and higher carbon number oxidation processes; in fact, environmental studies often target it as a product of incomplete combustion (PIC).

Most detailed models on combustion of hydrocarbon species include reactions for both formation and oxidation of acetylene. Several researchers, in efforts to model the combustion of hydrocarbon, have indicated that they cannot account for induction times and flame speeds, in their models, without a direct $\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{CH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reaction. ${ }^{26,32,120-122}$ These studies offer varied rate constants and a number of suggested product sets: $\mathrm{HCO}+$ $\mathrm{HCO}, \mathrm{HC} \cdot \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{O}$, or $2 \mathrm{CO}+2 \mathrm{H}$. Recent evaluations ${ }^{32}$ suggest that
any radical in the product set which results in propagation reactions will allow detailed models to match experimental data.

Combustion experiments performed by Procaccini, et al. have shown formation of acetylene as the major PIC in an ethylene/oxygen turbulent plug flow reactor. They have stated that prediction of PIC formation requires a detailed kinetic mechanism, and their model needs to incorporate additional reaction sets to describe the chemical system. ${ }^{27}$ Marinov, et al. implied the need for better knowledge on the kinetics for the formation and oxidation of acetylene to explain hydrocarbon oxidation. ${ }^{123}$ Miller, et al. have reported that induction time is very sensitive to this $\mathrm{HCCH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reaction; and that it is impossible to predict simultaneously both induction time and flame speeds in their system without including a direct $\mathrm{HCCH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reaction step. ${ }^{121}$

Miller, et al. postulated three possible reaction products, any of which can be used in their model to fit the observed experimental data satisfactorily. ${ }^{121}$ The three possible product sets are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{HCCO}+\mathrm{OH} & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{(0)}{ }_{0}=-5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \\
\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{O} & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{(0)}{ }_{0}=-6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \\
\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{HCO}+\mathrm{HCO} & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{(0)}{ }_{0}=-36 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{array}
$$

Miller, et al. chose the first product set, ketenyl plus hydroxyl radicals, for inclusion in their mechanism. This is the same product set that Marinov, et al. chose; however, the pre-exponential A-factor of Miller, et al. is 5 times higher than that chosen by Marinov, et al. at the same $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}, 30.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

The $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ reaction appears to be an important part in modeling of hydrocarbon combustion systems, it is, therefore, surprising not to find thermochemical or kinetic evaluations on this system, with exception of empirical fitting to achieve agreement
with experiment in models. Benson suggests a reaction process where triplet oxygen converts to the singlet state; then singlet oxygen adds to acetylene forming a singlet biradical. ${ }^{17}$ This singlet biradical undergoes ring closure, forming a cyclic 4-member dioxetane radical. 1,2 ethane-dial is then formed, via cleaving the weak $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ peroxide bond and forming two carbonyl bonds. Two formyl radicals are produced via dissociation of chemically activated glyoxal. Benson estimated the thermochemical kinetic parameters for this system, based on group additivity ${ }^{88}$ (GA), bond additivity and empirical thermochemical analysis. Benson assumes ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{M} \Leftrightarrow{ }^{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{M}$ to be in equilibrium, and the controlling barrier for overall process to be $30.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ above $\mathrm{HCCH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}\left({ }^{3} \Sigma\right)$. This barrier results from $23 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for the triplet to singlet conversion of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and 7.6 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for the addition of $\mathrm{O}_{2}\left({ }^{1} \Delta\right)$ to HCCH . The singlet biradical adduct can dissociate back to reactants $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=6.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)$ or isomerize with a barrier of $6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ to form dioxetane, which rapidly dissociates to two formyl radicals.

Laskin and Wang ${ }^{72,124}$ have recently proposed an alternative pathway to explain a direct acetylene plus $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ process. They invoke acetylene isomerization to singlet vinylidene ( $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}$ :), and infer that reaction kinetics of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}:+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ will be similar to that of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{CH}_{2}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. Laskin and Wang determine thermodynamic properties of vinylidene using the G2 composite method based on the optimized B3LYP/6-31G(d) geometry. The activation barrier for isomerization of acetylene to vinylidene is reported as $43 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The vinylidene can insert into ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ forming a triplet $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O} \bullet) \mathrm{O} \bullet$ adduct, which can dissociate to ${ }^{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Other spin conserved and non-conserved reactions are also suggested. The vinylidene $+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ reaction to products is highly exothermic and is assumed to proceed rapidly; the $\mathrm{HCCH}+\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}:+\mathrm{M}$ reaction is indicated as rate controlling.

The initial work of Laskin and Wang treats this reaction only in the low pressure limit for pressure dependence in formation of the active vinylidene species $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}\right.$ : $)$.

This paper studies the feasibility of $\mathrm{HCCH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}\left({ }^{3} \Sigma\right)$ reaction to form an adduct which can react on the triplet surface or convert through collision to a singlet, then further react; plus further evaluation the paths of Benson and Laskin-Wang. Thermodynamic properties for the $\mathrm{HCCH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}\left({ }^{3} \Sigma\right)$ reaction system are from literature and computational methods. Kinetic parameters are determined using macrocanonical transition state theory (TST) applied over a temperature range. The current reactions are incorporated into small detailed mechanism schemes and then evaluated for acetylene conversion versus temperature and pressure. Estimation and inclusion of the pressure dependence on reaction systems of Benson and Laskin-Wang, for these comparisons are performed.

### 5.2 Calculation Methods

### 5.2.1 ab initio, Density Functional Theory and Semi-empirical Calculations

Molecular properties for reactants, adducts, transition states (TS) and products are estimated by $a b$ initio, density functional theory (DFT) and semi-empirical methods. Literature values for acetylene and $\mathrm{O}_{2}\left({ }^{3} \Sigma\right)$ are used as reference energies to convert $a b$ initio and DFT calculated energies to enthalpies, $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\circ}(0 \mathrm{~K})$ and $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\circ}(298 \mathrm{~K})$. The $a b$ initio calculations are performed using Gaussian94. ${ }^{55}$ The $a b$ initio methods include: Møllier-Plesset second-order perturbation theory (MP2/6-31G(d)), QCISD(T)/6$31 \mathrm{G} / / \mathrm{MP} 2 / 6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ and CBS-q//MP2/6-31G(d) ${ }^{125-128}$. DFT calculations include B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and BHandH/6-31G(d). ${ }^{58,129}$ Single point calculations at QCISD(T) and the complete basis set, composite method - CBS-q are utilized based on the
optimized MP2/6-31G(d) geometry. GA with application of hydrogen bond increment calculations are determined using the THERM program. ${ }^{95,130}$ MOPAC PM3 is used for the semi-empirical calculations. ${ }^{131-133}$ Additional computations are performed for the three transition states and adducts using the QCISD(T) and B3LYP levels of theory with larger basis sets. The larger basis sets include a triple split valence basis set, a larger polarized basis set and addition of diffuse function for both the heavy atoms and hydrogen atoms. The three additional basis sets utilized are $6-311++G(2 d, p), 6-311++G(2 d f, p)$ and $6-311++G(3 \mathrm{df}, 2 \mathrm{p})$. The $\mathrm{QCISD}(\mathrm{T})$ level calculations are single point calculations at the MP2/6-31G(d) optimized geometries. The B3LYP calculations with larger basis sets are single point calculations on the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) optimized geometries.

The DFT and MP2 calculations are spin-unrestricted Hartree-Fock. Molecular geometry for $B H$ and $\mathrm{H} / 6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$, B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and MP2/6-31G(d) are fully optimized using the Berny algorithm and redundant internal coordinates. ${ }^{134}$ Semiempirical calculations for doublet and triplet species and the TS's are determined using unrestricted Hartree-Fock theory.

Confirmation of TS structures for all $a b$ initio, DFT and semi-empirical calculations are verified by checking for a single imaginary frequency and evaluation of the optimized geometry. Contributions of internal rotation to thermodynamic properties are incorporated based on the Pitzer-Gwinn formalism. ${ }^{90}$ Optimized geometries determined by PM3 are utilized as the initial geometric structure in the $a b$ initio and DFT calculations.

### 5.2.2 Thermodynamic Properties

Entropy, heat capacities at constant pressure and thermal correction for enthalpy ( $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{T})$ and $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{T})-\mathrm{H}(0 \mathrm{~K})$ or $\Delta \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{T})$, respectively) are determined by applying the principles of statistical mechanics derived from the canonical ensemble of the partition functions based on values determined from $a b$ initio and DFT calculations. Internal rotational contribution is determined from rotational barrier height and moments of inertia about the rotor of interest. Pitzer-Gwinn's general treatment of hindered internal rotational contribution to entropy and $C_{p}$ is employed and thermodynamic properties are adjusted accordingly. ${ }^{90}$

Vibrational frequencies are calculated at the BH andH/6-31G(d) level of theory. Entropy and $C_{p}$ are determined based on calculations at BHandH/6-31G(d) level of theory and the results are applied to B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), MP2/6-31G(d), QCISD(T)/6-31G//MP2/6-31G(d) and CBS-q//MP2/6-31G(d) level of theory calculations. Durant evaluated frequency values for DFT calculations and states that BHandH, B3PW91 and B3P86 accurately predict vibrational frequencies. ${ }^{135}$

One accurate and desired method for estimating enthalpy of formation is the use of isodesmic reactions. Fundamental requirements for an isodesmic reaction are conservation of electron pair and chemical bond type. ${ }^{136}$ Employment of isodesmic reactions for $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ system cannot be done, since literature data on needed triplet biradical intermediate species are not available. $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{298}$ for adducts and TS's are referenced to $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{298}\left(\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$. The experimentally reported value of $54.35 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{298}\left(\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ is utilized. ${ }^{128}$

Enthalpies of formation at 298 K for intermediate species are estimated from total energies calculated by ab initio and DFT calculations, relative to reactants $\left(\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$.

Considerations for the zero point vibrational energy (ZPVE) and thermal correction from 0 to 298 K are performed accordingly. Difference between the adjusted total energy of intermediate specie and the sum of the adjusted total energy for reactants are determined and converted into $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ units. The enthalpy of formation at 298 K for the intermediate species are obtained by adding $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{298}\left(\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ to the difference.

Thermodynamic properties estimated by $a b$ initio and DFT calculations are presented in Table 5.1. Entropy and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{T})$ do not change, since the vibrational frequencies are calculated at the same level of theory, i.e. BHandH/6-31G(d). Nonadjusted semi-empirical calculation results are also presented in Table 5.1.

### 5.2.3 High-pressure Limit Arrhenius Rate Parameters and Rate Constants ( $\boldsymbol{k}_{\infty}$ )

Forward rate constants are determined by application of macrocanonical TST for temperatures from 300 to 2500 K . Forward rate constants from $300-2500 \mathrm{~K}$ are calculated and fitted by a nonlinear least squares method to the form of a modified Arrhenius rate expression, i.e. $k_{\infty, \text { forw }}=A_{\infty} T^{n} e^{-\frac{E_{a}}{R T}}$, to obtain the three parameters $\mathrm{A}_{\infty}, \mathrm{n}$ and $E_{a}$.

High-pressure limit kinetic parameters in the form of modified Arrhenius rate parameters are presented in Table 5.2 for both forward and reverse reactions. Both DFT calculations are presented along with CBS-q//MP2/6-31G(d) and PM3 kinetic results. The parameters " $\mathrm{A}_{\infty}$ " and " n " for both DFT and CBS-q//MP2/6-31G(d) are identical, only the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ are different. (Refer to Table 5.1). MP2/6-31G(d) and QCISD(T)/6-31G//MP2/6-

Table 5.1 Thermodynamic Properties for Species in $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ Mechanism. Entropy and Heat Capacity Data are Calculated at BHandH/6-31G(d) Level

| SPECIES | $\mathrm{Hf}^{\circ} 298$ |  | $\mathrm{S}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(300)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(400)$ | Cp(500) | Cp(600) | $\mathrm{Cp}(800)$ | Cp(1000) | $\mathrm{Cp}(1500)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HCCH | 54.35 |  | 47.66 | 10.07 | 11.57 | 12.65 | 13.46 | 14.72 | 15.74 | 17.58 |
|  | 0 |  | \{48\} | \{10.53\} | \{11.97\} | \{12.97\} | \{13.73\} | \{14.93\} | \{15.92\} | \{18\} |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ |  |  | 46.73 | 6.98 | 7.08 | 7.25 | 7.45 | 7.82 | 8.11 | 8.5 |
|  |  |  | \{49.01\} | \{7.02\} | \{7.23\} | \{7.44\} | \{7.65\} | \{8.04\} | \{8.35\} | \{8.73\} |
| ${ }^{3}[\mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{CHOO} \bullet]$ | $79.21^{\text {a }}$ | $96.07{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69.61 \\ \{71.44\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.39 \\ \{16.37\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.89 \\ \{18.89\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.85 \\ \{20.75\} \end{gathered}$ | 21.37$\{22.16\}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.55 \\ \{24.18\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.06 \\ \{25.60\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.3 \\ \{27.77\} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $80.77^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $89.92^{\mathrm{e}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $104.54^{\text {c }}$ | $\{74.65\}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TS1 | $89.64{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $98.48{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70.93 \\ \{72.52\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.21 \\ \{16.62\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.25 \\ \{18.59\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.71 \\ \{19.96\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.82 \\ \{21.01\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.44 \\ \{22.62\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.63 \\ \{23.83\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.61 \\ \{25.82\} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $83.59^{\text {b }}$ | $91.48{ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $117.00^{\text {c }}$ | \{81.61\} |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TS2 | $92.72^{\text {a }}$ | $120.46^{\text {d }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67.49 \\ \{72.18\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.25 \\ \{17.47\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.53 \\ \{19.81\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.37 \\ \{21.5\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.81 \\ \{22.78\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.88 \\ \{24.62\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.32 \\ \{25.93\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.53 \\ \{27.93\} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $95.65{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $115.18{ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $128.07^{\text {c }}$ | \{95.65\} |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TS1A | $120.85^{\text {a }}$ |  | 65.43 | 15.12 | 17.70 | 19.72 | 21.29 | 23.52 | 25.01 | 27.11 |
| ${ }^{3}[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{COO})-\mathrm{C}:-\mathrm{H}]$ |  |  | \{66.55\} | \{16.21\} | \{18.57\} | \{20.37\} | \{21.78\} | \{23.86\} | \{25.27\} | \{27.27\} |
|  | $90.72^{\text {a }}$ | $109.48{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 67.75 <br> \{69.90\} | $\begin{gathered} 14.98 \\ \{15.88\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.51 \\ \{18.43\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.56 \\ \{20.38\} \end{gathered}$ | 21.16$\{21.89\}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.46 \\ \{24.06\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.05 \\ \{25.56\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.42 \\ \{27.79\} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $93.54{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $94.66{ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $95.67^{\text {c }}$ | \{67.85\} |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TS3 | $99.04^{\text {a }}$ | $131.17^{\text {d }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67.84 \\ \{69.84\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.33 \\ \{15.18\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.48 \\ \{17.34\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.27 \\ \{19.04\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.71 \\ \{20.39\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.82 \\ \{22.38\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.31 \\ \{23.79\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.57 \\ \{25.91\} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $105.16^{\text {b }}$ | $125.31{ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $133.05^{\text {c }}$ | \{73.42\} |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}[\bullet \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHO}]$ | $-2.63{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $26.03{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 70.16 \\ \{72.35\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.52 \\ \{15.26\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.69 \\ \{17.33\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.64 \\ \{19.14\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.29 \\ \{20.67\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22.79 \\ \{23.06\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.57 \\ \{24.80\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27.22 \\ \{27.40\} \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $-2.27^{\text {b }}$ | $7.22^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $26.30^{\text {c }}$ | \{7.07\} |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{CHO}$ | $-20.12^{\text {a }}$ | -0.8 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 69.67 \\ \{62.99\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.61 \\ \{13.36\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.98 \\ \{15.72\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.23 \\ \{17.56\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.34 \\ \{19.08\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19.09 \\ \{21.53\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.39 \\ \{22.66\} \end{gathered}$ | 22.32 |
|  | $-18.97{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $-20.5^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $-16.23{ }^{\text {c }}$ | \{-17.1\} |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{O}$ | $8.35{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $17.88{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52.15 \\ \{53.42\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.19 \\ \{7.64\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.56 \\ \{7.95\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.01 \\ & \{8.5\} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.48 \\ \{9.1\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.38 \\ \{10.21\} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.12 \\ \{11.08\} \end{gathered}$ | 12.31 |
|  | $9.17{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $8.12^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $10.23{ }^{\text {c }}$ | \{10.9\} |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]Table 5.2 Kinetic Parameters for the Elementary Reactions in $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ System Determined from Transition State Theory Expressed in the Modified Arrhenius Rate Expression of $k=A T^{n} \exp \left(-E_{a} / R T\right)$

| Reaction | A | n | Ea (kcal/mol) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CBS-q//MP2/6-31G(d) |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow{ }^{3}[\mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{CHOO} \bullet]$ | 2.68 E 7 | 1.88 | 37.17 |
| ${ }^{3}[\mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{CHOO} \bullet] \rightarrow \mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 1.43 E 12 | 0.52 | 2.05 |
| ${ }^{3}[\mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{CHOO} \bullet] \rightarrow{ }^{3}[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{COO})-\mathrm{C}:-\mathrm{H}]$ | 5.50 E 11 | 0.36 | 25.68 |
| ${ }^{3}[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{COO})-\mathrm{C}:-\mathrm{H}] \rightarrow{ }^{3}[\mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{CHOO} \bullet]$ | 8.90 E 11 | 0.44 | 20.93 |
| ${ }^{3}[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{COO})-\mathrm{C}:-\mathrm{H}] \rightarrow{ }^{3}[\bullet \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHO}]$ | 1.50 E 12 | 0.39 | 31.09 |
| ${ }^{3}[\bullet \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHO}] \rightarrow{ }^{3}[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{COO})-\mathrm{C} \cdot-\mathrm{H}]$ | 5.42 E 10 | 0.71 | 118.36 |
| ${ }^{3}[\bullet \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHO}] \rightarrow \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{CHO}+\mathrm{H}$ | 1.0 E 13 | 0 | 26.37 |
| ${ }^{3}[\bullet \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHO}] \rightarrow \mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{O}$ | 2.5 E 13 | 0 | 14.71 |
| PM3 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow{ }^{3}[\mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{CHOO} \bullet]$ | 6.08 E 7 | 1.68 | 28.59 |
| ${ }^{3}[\mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{CHOO} \bullet] \rightarrow \mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 5.14 E 12 | 0.3 | 7.55 |
| ${ }^{3}[\mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{CHOO} \bullet] \rightarrow{ }^{3}[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{COO})-\mathrm{C}:-\mathrm{H}]$ | 6.85 E 9 | 1.24 | 20.92 |
| ${ }^{3}[\mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{CHOO} \bullet] \rightarrow \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{OH}$ | 6.44 E 9 | 0.81 | 47.52 |
| ${ }^{3}[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{COO})-\mathrm{C}:-\mathrm{H}] \rightarrow{ }^{3}[\mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{CHOO} \bullet]$ | 9.69 E 9 | 1.31 | 27.72 |
| ${ }^{3}[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{COO})-\mathrm{C}:-\mathrm{H}] \rightarrow \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{CHO}+\mathrm{H}$ | 5.59 E 12 | 0.18 | 6.15 |
| ${ }^{3}[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{COO})-\mathrm{C}:-\mathrm{H}] \rightarrow \mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{O}$ | 5.59 E 12 | 0.18 | 6.15 |
| B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow{ }^{3}[\mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{CHOO} \bullet]$ | 2.68 E 7 | 1.88 | 29.28 |
| ${ }^{3}[\mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{CHOO} \bullet] \rightarrow \mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 1.43 E 12 | 0.52 | 3.31 |
| ${ }^{3}[\mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{CHOO} \bullet] \rightarrow{ }^{3}[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{COO})-\mathrm{C}:-\mathrm{H}]$ | 5.50 E 11 | 0.36 | 15.30 |
| ${ }^{3}[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{COO})-\mathrm{C}:-\mathrm{H}] \rightarrow{ }^{3}[\mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{CHOO} \bullet]$ | 8.90 E 11 | 0.44 | 2.52 |
| ${ }^{3}[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{COO})-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}] \rightarrow{ }^{3}[\bullet \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHO}]$ | 1.50 E 12 | 0.39 | 12.06 |
| ${ }^{3}[\bullet \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHO}] \rightarrow{ }^{3}[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{COO})-\mathrm{C}:-\mathrm{H}]$ | 5.42 E 10 | 0.71 | 107.71 |
| ${ }^{3}[\bullet \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHO}] \rightarrow \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{CHO}+\mathrm{H}$ | 1.0 E 13 | 0 | 26.37 |
| ${ }^{3}[\bullet \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHO}] \rightarrow \mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{O}$ | 2.5 E 13 | 0 | 26.62 |
| BHandH/6-31G(d) |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow{ }^{3}[\mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{CHOO} \bullet]$ | 2.68 E 7 | 1.88 | 35.33 |
| ${ }^{3}[\mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{CHOO} \bullet] \rightarrow \mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 1.43 E 12 | 0.52 | 10.92 |
| ${ }^{3}[\mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{CHOO} \bullet] \rightarrow{ }^{3}[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{COO})-\mathrm{C}:-\mathrm{H}]$ | 5.50 E 11 | 0.36 | 13.93 |
| ${ }^{3}[\mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{CHOO} \bullet] \rightarrow \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{OH}$ | 4.00 E 9 | 0.93 | 41.67 |
| ${ }^{3}[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{COO})-\mathrm{C} \cdot-\mathrm{H}] \rightarrow{ }^{3}[\mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{CHOO} \bullet]$ | $8.90 \mathrm{El1}$ | 0.44 | 2.41 |
| ${ }^{3}[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{COO})-\mathrm{C}:-\mathrm{H}] \rightarrow{ }^{3}[\bullet \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHO}]$ | 1.50 E 12 | 0.39 | 8.76 |
| ${ }^{3}[\bullet \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHO}] \rightarrow{ }^{3}[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{y}(\mathrm{COO})-\mathrm{C}:-\mathrm{H}]$ | 5.42 E 10 | 0.71 | 101.95 |
| ${ }^{3}[\bullet \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHO}] \rightarrow \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{CHO}+\mathrm{H}$ | 1.0 E 13 | 0 | 26.37 |
| ${ }^{3}[\bullet \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CHO}] \rightarrow \mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{O}$ | 2.5 E 13 | 0 | 25.05 |

$31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ kinetic parameters are not presented due to $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ calculated are approximately 10 to $20 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher than the CBS-q/MP2/6-31G(d) determined values.
$\operatorname{QCISD}(\mathrm{T})$ calculations with larger basis sets, up to $6-311++\mathrm{G}(3 \mathrm{df}, 2 \mathrm{p})$, were also performed for transition states and adducts to try and improve accuracy and convergence. Comparison of energies calculated for $\mathrm{QCISD}(\mathrm{T}) / 6-311++\mathrm{G}(3 \mathrm{df}, 2 \mathrm{p}) / \mathrm{MP} 2 / 6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$, CBS-q/MP2/6-31G(d) and B3LYP/6-311++G(3df,2p) for the three transition states are listed in Table 5.3. The differences in energies calculated at the B3LYP level of theory with larger basis set decreased, indicating convergence. The calculated energy difference between the larger basis set calculation $\operatorname{QCISD}(\mathrm{T}) / 6-311++\mathrm{G}(3 \mathrm{df}, 2 \mathrm{p}) / / \mathrm{MP} 2 / 6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ and CBS-q/MP2/6-31G(d) at the highest barrier, i.e. TS3, is less than $3.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ suggesting reasonable accuracy for the CBS-q level calculation.

Table 5．3 $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}(298 \mathrm{~K})$ and Spin Contamination（before Annihilation）and Data for the Three Transition States Determined at（a）B3LYP and（b）QCISD（T）with Large Basis Sets．
（a）B3LYP ${ }^{a}$

| Species | B3LYP |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6－31G（d，p） |  | 6－311＋＋G（2d，p） |  | 6－311＋＋G（3df，2p） |  |
|  | $\left\langle S^{2}\right\rangle$ | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}(298 \mathrm{~K})$ | 〈 $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ 〉 | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}(298 \mathrm{~K})$ | 〈 $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ 〉 | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}(298 \mathrm{~K})$ |
| ${ }^{3} \mathrm{TS} 1$ | 2.04 | 83.4 | 2.04 | 86.7 | 2.03 | 86.9 |
| ${ }^{1}$ TS 1 | 0 | 102.3 | 0 | 104.5 | 0 | 104.8 |
| TS2 | 2.04 | 95.5 | 2.04 | 108.0 | 2.04 | 107.9 |
| TS3 | 2.30 | 105.0 | 2.29 | 112.4 | 2.03 | 112.8 |

（b） $\operatorname{QCISD}(T)^{a}$
QCISD（T）

| Species | QCISD（T） |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 6－31G |  | 6－311＋＋G（2d，p） |  | 6－311＋＋G（2df，p） |  | 6－311＋＋G（3df，2p） |  |
|  | 〈 $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ 〉 | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}(298 \mathrm{~K})$ | 〈 $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ 〉 | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}(298 \mathrm{~K})$ | 〈 $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ 〉 | $\mathrm{H}_{\text {f }}(298 \mathrm{~K}$ ） | 〈 $\mathrm{S}^{2}$ 〉 | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}(298 \mathrm{~K})$ |
| ${ }^{3} \mathrm{TS} 1$ | 2.34 | 98.48 | 2.31 | 91.9 | 2.30 | 91.9 | 2.30 | 83.6 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{TS} 1$ | 0 | 127.5 | 0 | 117.5 | 0 | 116.4 |  |  |
| TS2 | 2.32 | 120.5 | 2.27 | 124.6 |  |  |  |  |
| TS3 | 2.93 | 131.2 | 2.93 | 129.3 |  |  | 2.94 | 121.9 |

a：Units of $\mathrm{Hf}(298 \mathrm{~K})$ in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ．

### 5.2.4 Quantum Rice-Ramsperger-Kassel (QRRK) Analysis

Chang, et al. ${ }^{60,63}$ described a modified QRRK (Quantum Rice-Ramsperger-Kassel) analysis that is used in this paper. It is shown to yield reasonable results and provides a framework by which the effects of temperature and pressure can be evaluated in complex reaction systems. The current version of the QRRK computer code utilizes a reduced set of vibration frequencies which accurately reproduce the molecule (adduct), heat capacity data. Molecular density of state functions are constructed through direct convolution of single frequency density functions on a $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ grid. The functions corresponding to each reduced frequency are explicitly convolved into a relative density of states $(\rho(E))$, which is normalized by the partition function (Q). The inclusion of one external rotation, corresponding to the symmetric top, is incorporated into the calculations by convolving the vibration density function with the proper rotational density function. A detailed description of this and comparisons of the $\rho(E) / Q$ ratios with the direct count $\rho(E) / Q$ ratios are shown to be in good agreement. 65 Nonlinear Arrhenius effects resulting from changes in the thermodynamic properties of the respective TS, relative to the adduct, with temperature are incorporated using a two parameter Arrhenius pre-exponential A-factor $(A, n)$ in the form of $\mathrm{AT}^{\mathrm{n}}$. Fall-off is incorporated using modified strong collision approach (beta collision) of Gilbert, et al. 137

### 5.3 Results and Discussion

### 5.3.1 Reaction Paths

Reaction path potential energy diagrams are illustrated in Figure 5.1 for $\mathrm{BHandH} / 6-$ 31G(d), B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and PM3 calculations and in Figure 5.2 for CBS-q/MP2/6-

31G(d), QCISD(T)/6-31G//MP2/6-31G(d) and PM3 calculations. The initiation reaction for $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ is the addition of the oxygen to acetylene, breaking one carbon-carbon $\pi$ bond, forming a triplet peroxy-ethylene biradical adduct.


The lowest barrier to this adduct is determined at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level, with an energy barrier of $29.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The CBS-q//MP2/6-31G(d) barrier is $37.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 8$ $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher than B3LYP/6-31G(d,p).


Figure 5.1 Potential energy diagram for $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ system, as calculated by BHandH/6-31G(d), B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and PM3. $E_{a}$ are activation barriers required to reach transition states and $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}$ are relative to the reactants.


Figure 5.2 Potential energy diagram for $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ system, as calculated by CBS-q/MP2/6-31G(d), QCISD(T)/6-31G/MP2/6-31G(d) and PM3. E $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ are activation barriers required to reach transition states and $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{xn}}$ are relative to the reactants.

There are five possible isomerization/dissociation reactions this triplet peroxyethylene biradical adduct can undergo:
i. reverse reaction back to reactants
ii. peroxy radical abstraction of hydrogen from carbon, hydrogen transfer reaction through a 4 -member ring TS (TS1A)
iii. oxygen radical (peroxy) addition to ipso-carbon forming a 3 -member TS (TS2)
iv. peroxy radical abstraction of hydrogen from carbon with radical site, forming a 5 -member ring TS (this would result in a triplet biradical at the carbon site)
v. addition of oxygen radical to carbon with the unpaired electron, forming a 4member ring TS (this would form a 1, 2 triplet biradical dioxetane).

Results on the latter two reactions are not presented since attempts to find the transition states for these reactions were not successful and reaction enthalpies are estimated to be high. Evaluation of the product in (iv) is unstable with an endothermicity of over 45 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Note that both Carpenter and Mebel, et al. $70,138,139$ show barriers for 4-
member peroxide ring formation (v from above) across a double bond are significantly higher than the barrier for ipso addition to form dioxirane. Carpenter indicates this is due to the significant strain energy in twisting the double bond. Reaction v is estimated to have a barrier in excess of $35 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ from calculations on analogous doublet reactions (4-member ring) in ethylene and propene. Both reactions iv and v are considered unimportant.

TS1A results from the peroxy radical site abstracting the hydrogen on the valence satisfied carbon; beta scission of this hydroperoxy radical forms ketenyl plus hydroxyl radicals.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{O}^{\mathrm{O}^{\prime}} \\
& \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}=\dot{\mathrm{C}}-\mathrm{H}
\end{aligned} \longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{O} \\
\vdots \cdots \cdots{ }^{\prime}+\mathrm{C}^{+}-\mathrm{H}
\end{array}\right]^{\ddagger} \longrightarrow \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{C}=\dot{\mathrm{C}}-\mathrm{H}+\hat{\mathrm{O}} \mathrm{H}
$$

This TS was only found at BHandH/6-31G(d) and PM3 levels of calculation. Both methods determine the activation barrier to products in excess of $40 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. This reaction path is more than $20 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher than the next highest barrier in the respective reaction system.

The triplet peroxy-ethylene biradical can react through a more favorable path, via a 3-member dioxirane ring transition state (TS2). TS2 is formed by the addition of the peroxy radical to the ipso-carbon.

$$
\underset{\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}=\dot{\mathrm{C}}-\mathrm{H}}{\mathrm{O}^{\circ}} \longrightarrow\left[\begin{array}{c}
\mathrm{O}-\dot{\mathrm{O}} \\
\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}
\end{array}\right]^{\ddagger} \longrightarrow \begin{gathered}
\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O} \\
\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\hat{\mathrm{C}}-\mathrm{H}
\end{gathered}
$$

The adduct formed has two parallel-spin electrons on the carbon adjacent to the dioxirane ring. Density functional calculations result in the lowest barrier; B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and

BHandH/6-31G(d) yield values of 14.8 and $13.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. PM3 determines this barrier to be 20.1 and CBS-q//MP2/6-31G(d) methods show barriers of $25.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

The carbon radical site with two unpaired electrons then attacks one oxygen of the dioxirane ring in TS3 with formation of a new carbon-oxygen bond and cleavage of the weak and highly strained O-O peroxide bond. This results in an oxygen transfer and forms a triplet aldehyde (glyoxal) biradical, which has several resonant structures. The reaction is about $100 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ exothermic due to formation of the strong $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond, combined with release of ring strain and cleavage of a weak peroxide bond.

$a b$ initio and DFT methods predict TS3 as the transition state at the highest energy, in the most favorable overall reaction path: thus the rate controlling step in this triplet reaction system. PM3, however, shows this as the lowest barrier in its system. PM3 determines this activation energy to be $5.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, BHandH/6-31G(d) and B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) calculate this barrier to be 8.3 and $11.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, while CBS$\mathrm{q} / \mathrm{MP} 2 / 6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ give an activation barrier in excess of $20 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The glyoxal biradical is formed in chemically activated state, with ca $100 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ excess energy; more than sufficient to further react to two formyl radicals or hydrogen atom plus glyoxal radical, before stabilization.


The transition state structures and energetics for dissociation of this glyoxal biradical to form two formyl radicals and the hydrogen elimination reaction are not determined by $a b$ initio or DFT methods. Instead, estimation techniques using kinetics from generic reactions and energetics determined for these systems are utilized for the rate constant parameters. The simple dissociation reaction is based on the dissociation of $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CO} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH} 3+\mathrm{CO}$ reported by Bencsura, et al., $k=2.5 \times 10^{13} e^{-\frac{16.38 \frac{8 \mathrm{kel}}{\mathrm{mol}}}{R T}}$ which is $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}+5.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} .{ }^{140}$ The activation barrier for the formation of two HCO radicals is estimated as $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}+5.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Barriers required for formyl radicals' formation by density functional methods are about $18 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, CBS-q//MP2/6-31G(d) results show an $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of about $9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and PM3 about $40 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

The hydrogen elimination reaction leading to the formation of glyoxal radical plus hydrogen atom is estimated as $k=1 x 10^{13} e^{-\frac{26.37 \frac{\mathrm{ked}}{\mathrm{mol}}}{R T}}$ and employed for CBS-q//MP2/6$31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$, $\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{LYP} / 6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ and $\mathrm{BHandH} / 6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$. The pre-exponential A-factor is estimated from A-factor for one half H atom plus ethylene ${ }^{141}$ with application of microscopic reversibility. The activation barrier is estimated from Taylor, et al. ${ }^{142}$ for OH + acetaldehyde as $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}+2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Enthalpy of reaction as determined by CBS$\mathrm{q} / \mathrm{MP} 2 / 6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ is chosen, $24.37 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, and employed as the activation energy barrier

Spin contamination values calculated for the semi-empirical, $a b$ initio and DFT methods are generally in the range of 2.03 to 2.3 for molecules, which should have a theoretical $\left\langle\mathrm{S}^{2}\right\rangle$ value of 2.0 for triplet species. DFT methods result in the least spin contamination for all species in the triplet system. PM3 results also show spin
contamination, with some transition states having spin contamination exceeding $10 \%$ of the theoretical spin value of 2.0 . The specie that consistently has the highest spin contamination in all the methods employed is TS3. MP2/6-31G(d), QCISD(T)/6$31 \mathrm{G} / / \mathrm{MP} 2 / 6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ and CBS-q//MP2/6-31G(d) show large spin contamination, with spin contamination exceeding a value of 2.9 for TS3. B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) calculated a spin contamination value of 2.3 ; BHandH/6-31G(d) calculated a value of 2.65 and PM3 2.67 for TS3.

Spin contamination is a significant factor in these calculations on triplet adducts and transition states with calculations based on spin-unrestricted Hartree-Fock theory. ${ }^{143}$ Significant deviation from theoretical spin suggests unreliability in the calculated values, since the calculation may be using incorrect wave functions. ${ }^{144}$ Correction of the spin calculations would lower the energy of TS3; but would increase the rate constants only slightly as TS2 has a similar barrier height to TS3. Spin values of 2.3 or lower result in reasonable accuracy, only $15 \%$ over theoretical; but higher spin contamination is a concern.
$\mathrm{QCISD}(\mathrm{T})$ calculations are performed with $6-311++\mathrm{G}(2 \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{p}), 6-311++\mathrm{G}(2 \mathrm{df}, \mathrm{p})$ and $6-311++G(3 d f, 2 p)$ basis sets and B3LYP with $6-311++G(2 d, p)$ and $6-$ $311++\mathrm{G}(3 \mathrm{df}, 2 \mathrm{p})$ basis sets to try and improve, (reduce) $\left\langle\mathrm{S}^{2}\right\rangle$ spin contamination and obtain more uniform barrier energies. - The energy results and $\left\langle S^{2}\right\rangle$ values are listed in Table 5.3. The B3LYP level of theory calculations show a decrease in the spin contamination, $\left\langle\mathrm{S}^{2}\right.$, , with larger basis set. TS3 with the large basis set of $6-311++G(3 d f, 2 p)$ produced an $\left\langle S^{2}\right\rangle$ value of 2.03 , which is very close to the theoretical $\left\langle\mathrm{S}^{2}\right\rangle$ value of 2 , whereas B3LYP/6-
$31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ resulted in an $\left\langle\mathrm{S}^{2}\right\rangle$ value of 2.3. The $\left\langle\mathrm{S}^{2}\right\rangle$ values calculated at the $\mathrm{QCISD}(\mathrm{T})$ level theory only showed slight improvements with increased basis sets.

### 5.3.2 Kinetic Results and Discussion

QRRK provides a formalism, which allows estimation for the overall rate constant in complex reaction systems, where reverse reaction, stabilization to adducts, isomerization and dissociation to new product channels are included. Table 5.2 lists the QRRK input kinetic parameters for $a b$ initio, density functional theory and PM3 computational estimates, respectively. QRRK analyses are performed over temperatures 300 to 2500 K at pressures of 0.001 to 3 atm . Figures 5.3 a and 5.3 b illustrate forward rate constants, i.e. $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow$ products, at temperatures, between 1000 and 2500 K , over the pressure range of $10^{-3} \mathrm{~atm}$ to 3 atm , for data from CBS-q//MP2/6-31G(d) and B3LYP/6-31G(d,p). At temperatures below 1000 K , ab initio and DFT calculations do not indicate any significant reaction, i.e. $k_{\infty, f o r w} \leq 10^{3} \mathrm{~cm}^{3}-\mathrm{mol}^{-1}-\mathrm{sec}^{-1}$. The forward rate constants as calculated by data from B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) do not show significant pressure dependence (Figure 5.3a).

Rate constants to specific products versus $1000 / \mathrm{T}$, as determined by QRRK under isobaric condition of 1 atm , are shown in Figures 5.4 a and 5.4 b for B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and CBS-q//MP2/6-31G(d), respectively. All calculations show a rate constant to stabilized Adduct 1(triplet peroxy-ethylene biradical) as significant for all temperatures.


Figure 5.3 Overall forward rate constants, under isothermal conditions, as determined from quantum RRK calculation for $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ triplet system at temperatures of 1000 , 1600 and 2500 K over a pressure range from 0.001 to 10 atm . Top: B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) Bottom: CBS-q//MP2/6-31G(d)



| $\mathrm{k}_{\text {forw }}$ | $\bullet$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\nabla$ |
| ${ }^{3} \cdot \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COO}$ | $\diamond$ |
| ${ }^{3} \mathrm{CYCOO}$ | $\Delta$ |
| HCO | 0 |
| $\bullet \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{OCHO}+\mathrm{H}$ | $\square$ |

Figure 5.4 Elementary rate constants, as determined by QRRK, for $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ system under isobaric condition of 1 atm over a temperature range of 300 to 2500 K . Top: B3LYP/6-31G(d,p); Bottom: CBS-q//MP2/6-31G(d)

Dissociation of this adduct, back to reactants, is its dominant reaction path and the dissociation barriers are low; thus reverse reaction proceeds at the high pressure limit. While the adduct formation rate appears significant, it is not important as a stable intermediate, due to its rapid dissociation back to reactants.

Figures 5.5 a and 5.5 b show rate constants vs. pressure (log-log scale) at $\mathrm{T}=1600$ $K$ for the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and CBS-q//MP2/6-31G(d) calculation data, respectively. The two formyl radicals and hydrogen atom plus glyoxal radical products are the important channels. Rate constant calculations based on B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) estimates show the highest rate constant for these products. Rate constants for CBS-q//MP2/6$31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ calculations show rate of stabilization for the triplet peroxy-ethylene biradical becoming important at pressures above 0.1 atm ; but as above, stabilized adducts quickly dissociate back to reactants, due to the shallow well.

A CHEMKIN integrator computer code is utilized to calculate the concentration profile of reactants, stabilized adducts and products ${ }^{145}$ versus time to 35 milliseconds at several temperatures. Concentration profiles for B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and CBS-q//MP2/6$31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ calculated energies at pressures of 0.013 and 1 atm and temperatures of 1000 , 1600 and 2000 K are presented for initial concentration conditions of $5 \% \mathrm{HCCH}, 15 \%$ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $80 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2}$.

Results of the CHEMKIN concentration profiles further confirm that levels of stabilized adducts are low, mole fractions of $10^{-12}$ and lower. Product sets include formyl radicals and glyoxal radical plus hydrogen atom. Concentration of formyl radicals is about a magnitude greater than that of glyoxal, due to a higher pre-exponential A-factor, a lower activation barrier and the formation of two formyl radicals. Product formation at 1000 K



| $\mathrm{k}_{\text {forw }}$ | $\bullet$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\nabla$ |
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Figure 5.5 Elementary rate constants, as determined by QRRK, for $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ system under isothermal condition of 1600 K over a pressure range of 0.001 to 10 atm . Top: B3LYP/6-31G(d,p); Bottom: CBS-q/MP2/6-31G(d)
for all conditions tested result in negligible conversion of acetylene, $<0.04 \%$ after 35 milliseconds. Increased product formation as pressure and temperature are increased is observed. CBS-q//MP2/6-31G(d) results do not show significant product yields; at 1 atm and 2000 K , with $0.2 \%$ conversion after 35 milliseconds.

Figures 5.6 and 5.7 show concentration profiles determined by CHEMKIN for B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) density functional theory method. The concentration of both stabilized adducts follows similar trends to that of acetylene. At 0.013 atm , acetylene and stabilized adduct concentrations do not change significantly; at 1 atm both concentrations deplete exponentially. This analysis indicates the rate constant for stabilization of chemically activated adducts are significant, but the stabilized adducts are very short lived and undergo rapid reaction. The important overall channels are formation of the product sets. Product formation at 1600 K at 0.013 atm is about $0.2 \%$ and $2.6 \%$ at 2000 K . Conversion of reactants to products increases significantly at 1 atm due to an increase in amount of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. At 1600 K about $10.2 \%$ conversion and at $2000 \mathrm{~K} 80.4 \%$ conversion is observed after 35 milliseconds.

Formation of products shows a slight dominance of formyl radical formation over glyoxal radical plus hydrogen atom. The product ratios of formyl radicals to glyoxal radicals with an initial concentration of $15 \% \mathrm{O}_{2}, 5 \% \mathrm{HCCH}$ and $80 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ system varied from $6: 1$ to $8: 1$ over the pressure range of 0.013 atm to 1 atm ; temperature did not affect product ratio distribution significantly. QRRK analysis show rate of adduct stabilization are important at higher pressures, but CHEMKIN results show that these adducts rapidly react back to reactants and are not important; need not be included in the detailed mechanisms.
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Figure 5.6 Concentration profiles of reactants, adducts and products vs time for $\mathrm{HCCH}+$ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ on triplet surface at $\mathrm{P}=0.013 \mathrm{~atm}$ and $\mathrm{T}=1000,1600$ and 2000 K . Initial concentration of mixture: $15 \%{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 5 \% \mathrm{HCCH}$ and $80 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2}$. (a) B3LYP/6-31G(d,p); (b) CBS-q//MP2/6-31G(d)
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| ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 田 |
| ${ }^{3} \cdot \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COO}$ | O |
| ${ }^{3} \mathrm{CYCOO}$ | $\square$ |
| HCO | $\Delta$ |
| $\bullet \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{OCHO}+\mathrm{H}$ | $\nabla$ |


(b)

|  | Temp $=2000 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\cdots$ | Temp $=1600 \mathrm{~K}$ |
| $\cdots$ | Temp $=1000 \mathrm{~K}$ |

Figure 5.7 Concentration profiles of reactants, adducts and products for $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ mechanism as function of time at $\mathrm{P}=1.0 \mathrm{~atm}$ (isobaric) for $\mathrm{T}=1000,1600$ and 2000 K . Initial concentration of mixture: $15 \%{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 5 \% \mathrm{HCCH}$ and $80 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2}$. (a): B3LYP/631G(d,p): (b) CBS-q//MP2/6-31G(d).

Based on $a b$ initio and density functional calculation, the two favorable product sets are $\mathrm{H}+\bullet \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{OCHO}$ and $\mathrm{HCO}+\mathrm{HCO}$. The estimated overall forward rate constant for $\mathrm{HCCH}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}+\bullet \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{OCHO}$ is determined to be $k_{f}=3.75 \times 10^{11} T^{1.165} e^{-\frac{51.0 \frac{\mathrm{ked}}{R T}}{R T}}$ and for $\mathrm{HCCH}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{HCO}+\mathrm{HCO}$ the overall forward rate constant is estimated to be $k_{f}=6.22 \times 10^{7} T^{1.341} e^{-\frac{51.19 \frac{k k_{0}}{\text { mid }}}{R T}}$ from B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) at 1 atm over a temperature range from 300 to 2500 K . These forward rate constants are determined from QRRK analysis, based on all three wells, as defined in this triplet system.

### 5.3.3 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{2}}+$ Acetylene Path

A small mechanism file was generated, based upon rate constants and activation barriers suggested by Benson ${ }^{17}$, for pathways in the singlet oxygen addition to acetylene system. The singlet oxygen addition pathway can be reasonably expressed with control by three reaction steps and assuming all reactions, after formation of dioxitene (cyclic $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ ), which are exothermic by over $50 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, rapidly go to products. The three controlling reaction steps, along with rate constant expressions are listed as:

| Reaction | Rate Constant (cc/mol-sec) | Rxn |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{M} \quad \Leftrightarrow{ }^{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{M}$ | $k=10^{13} e^{-\frac{23.0 . \frac{\mathrm{k} \text { ad }}{\mathrm{ma}}}{R T}}$ | B1 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{HCCH} \quad \Leftrightarrow{ }^{1} \mathrm{HC} \bullet \mathrm{COO} \bullet$ | $k=6.95 \times 10^{7} T^{1.8} e^{-\frac{7.6 \text { kod }}{\text { col }}}$ | B2 |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HC} \bullet \mathrm{COO} \bullet \quad \Leftrightarrow$ (cyclic $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ ) | $k=2 \times 10^{12} e^{-\frac{6 . \frac{k \text { ced }}{d x}}{R T}}$ | B3 |
| $\begin{aligned} \text { (cyclic } \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}) & \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{CH}-\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{O}^{\neq} \\ & \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{HCO}+\mathrm{HCO} \text { (fast) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} ; \mathrm{M}=$ buffer gas |  |  |

Benson estimated the net forward reaction for the triplet to singlet oxygen conversion and addition of oxygen to acetylene (net $\mathbf{R x n} \mathbf{B 1}+\mathbf{B 2}$ ) to be $k_{f}=2 \times 10^{12}\left(\frac{T}{300}\right)^{1.8} e^{-\frac{30.6 \frac{\mathrm{kcol}}{\mathrm{mol}}}{R T}}$. The pre-exponential A-factor for the subsequent isomerization reaction was not provided by Benson. The estimate A-factor for this isomerization Rxn B3 at $2 \times 10^{12}$ from calculations of Mebel, et al. on vinyl peroxide cyclization with an $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ from Benson. ${ }^{17,70}$ Product yields determined from ChemKin results for acetylene oxidation, through this singlet channel proposed by Benson, using Rxn B1 to B3 above as rate controlling parameters, is shown in Figure 5.8.

B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) calculations have been performed on the singlet peroxyethylene biradical and the singlet TS1 to further validate the path proposed by Benson. Comparison of the heat of formation for the singlet peroxy-ethylene biradical, between Benson's estimation technique and DFT calculation show reasonable agreement. Benson reports a $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}$ for the net reaction of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{HCCH}+\mathrm{M} \rightarrow{ }^{1} \bullet \mathrm{OOCH}=\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{M}$ to be about $24 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, where $23 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ is required for the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{M} \Leftrightarrow{ }^{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{M}$ conversion. B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) calculates a $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}$ for ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{HCCH} \rightarrow{ }^{3} \bullet \mathrm{OOCH}=\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}$ as 26.42 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. This would suggest the difference between the heat of formation for singlet and triplet peroxy-ethylene biradical is less than $3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ difference, as is also suggested by Benson. DFT calculations do not show any significant difference between the triplet peroxy and the singlet peroxy biradical adducts, which are within about $1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, as calculated from B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and in agreement with estimates by Benson.
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Figure 5.8 Concentration profiles of reactants, adducts and products for $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ reaction system vs time as determined by CHEMKIN at 1 atm for $\mathrm{T}=1600$ and 2000 K . Initial concentration of mixture: $15 \%{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}, 5 \% \mathrm{HCCH}$ and $80 \% \mathrm{~N}_{2}$.

Calculations on the transition state for singlet oxygen addition to acetylene are performed in both B3LYP and QCISD(T) with larger basis sets to see if a lower barrier as recommended by Benson can be found and to ascertain if convergence is obtained. The enthalpy of formation for the singlet TS1 $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{TS} 1\right)$ and triplet TS1 $\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{TS} 1\right)$ are presented in Table 5.3. The variation in $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}(298 \mathrm{~K})$ values for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{TS} 1$, based on B3LYP theory, between the smallest and largest basis set is less than $2.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}(298 \mathrm{~K})$ for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{TS} 1$ is approximately $18 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher than ${ }^{3} \mathrm{TS} 1$ at $\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{LYP} / 6-311++\mathrm{G}(3 \mathrm{df}, 2 \mathrm{p})$ level of theory and approximately $25 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher than ${ }^{3} \mathrm{TS} 1$ in comparing the two values from $\mathrm{QCISD}(\mathrm{T}) / 6-311++\mathrm{G}(2 \mathrm{df}, \mathrm{p}) / \mathrm{MP} 2 / 6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ level of theory. The $25 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ difference between ${ }^{3} \mathrm{TS} 1$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{TS} 1$ seems to be near constant in the $\mathrm{QCISD}(\mathrm{T})$ calculation with the $6-311++G(2 d, p)$ and $6-311+G(2 \mathrm{df}, \mathrm{p})$ basis set calculations, only showing a $1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ difference. Increasing the basis set in this calculation will likely not result in further decrease of the calculated barrier.

The barrier for addition of $\mathrm{O}_{2}\left({ }^{1} \Delta\right)$ to HCCH through ${ }^{1} \mathrm{TS} 1$ is about $25 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}\left({ }^{3} \Sigma\right)$ addition through ${ }^{3} \mathrm{TS} 1$ is about $5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher. However, an added energy of $22.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for conversion of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{M} \Leftrightarrow{ }^{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{M}$ is required, which makes the $\mathrm{O}_{2}\left({ }^{3} \Sigma\right)+\mathrm{HCCH}+\mathrm{M} \Leftrightarrow{ }^{1} \mathrm{TS} 1+\mathrm{M}$ barrier $17.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher than $\mathrm{O}_{2}\left({ }^{3} \Sigma\right)+\mathrm{HCCH} \Leftrightarrow$ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{TS} 1$. The calculations suggest that the overall barrier estimated by Benson for the $\mathrm{O}_{2}\left({ }^{1} \Delta\right)$ addition is at least $48 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, relative to $\mathrm{O}_{2}\left({ }^{3} \Sigma\right)+\mathrm{HCCH}$; this is over $18 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher than Benson's original estimate for the overall reaction barrier. Maranzana, et al. have performed calculations and analysis on $\mathrm{O}_{2}\left({ }^{1} \Delta_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ addition to ethylene at the B3LYP density functional theory as well as the multireference method CAS-MCSCF/6-31G(d) and CAS-PT2/6-31G(d) levels. They indicate that the barrier for $\mathrm{O}_{2}\left({ }^{1} \Delta_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ addition to ethylene is
over $16 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} .{ }^{146}$ The calculated barrier to the diradical formation transition state at the B3LYP/6-311+G(2d,2p) is $16.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and at CAS-MCSCF/6-31G(d) and CAS-PT2/6-31G(d) are 24.8 and $17.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. The agreement between Maranzana, et al.'s CAS and density function calculations support, on this similar reaction, the current calculation estimates.

### 5.3.4 Vinylidene Pathway

Comparison of rate constants between the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) determined ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{HCCH}$ system and the vinylidene pathway of Laskin and Wang's ${ }^{72,124}$, based on their G2//B3LYP/6-31G(d) analysis are shown in Figures 5.9a and 5.9b. Figure 5.9a shows the total forward rate constant, based on QRRK analysis, which includes formation of adducts and products. Figure 5.9 b shows the rate constant from reactant to products. The assumption used in determining Laskin and Wang's rate constants is that the isomerization of acetylene is the rate limiting reaction. That is, once vinylidene is formed it immediately reacts with molecular oxygen to products, methylene $\left({ }^{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ and carbon dioxide using spin conservation is choosen as product sets. The enthalpy of formation for vinylidene is 97 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ determined from the $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ provided by Laskin and Wang and literature value of acetylene.

Further evaluation of the kinetics on the vinylidene path using Laskin and Wang's ${ }^{72,124}$ low-pressure limit (second order) rate coefficient. A high-pressure limit rate constant is calculated for the isomerization from literature data and canonical transition state theory. Fall-off is estimated using the current QRRK-modified strong collision analysis on the bimolecular reaction: $\mathrm{HCCH}+\mathrm{M} \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}:+\mathrm{M}$. The QRRK results
(a)


(b)
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Figure 5.9 Comparison of forward rate constants between Laskin and Wang's vinylidene system and $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ system - triplet surface (a) forward rate constant, (b) rate constant to product formation and (c) shows product concentration profiles at 1000 and 2000 K vs time Symbols: $\bullet=\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ system and $\boldsymbol{\Delta}=$ Laskin and Wang's vinylidene system.
suggest acetylene isomerization to vinylidene starts to fall off, deviate from low pressure limit above 8 atm at $\mathrm{T}=1000 \mathrm{~K}$.

The rate constant for $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ to the initial adduct, as calculated from B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), is faster than acetylene isomerization to vinylidene over the pressure range from $10^{-3}$ to 3 atm . Further reactions on the triplet surface are, however, rate controlling. Figure 5.9 b compares rate constants to the formation of products, i.e. formyl radicals from $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ on the triplet surface (this study) and ${ }^{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ from ${ }^{1} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C}$ : $+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, over the pressure range of $10^{-3}$ to 3 atm . Analysis on the formation of products shows that the vinylidene pathway is more favorable than the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ addition and reaction on the triplet surface at 1000 K and pressures above 0.1 atm.

CHEMKIN results show an initial mole fraction of $0.05 \mathrm{HCCH}, 0.15{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$, and 0.80 $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, at the same temperature and pressure after 34.9 msec residence time, the mole fraction of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is $4.135 \times 10^{-6}$, while the formyl radical concentration from reaction on triplet surface is $1.73 \times 10^{-6}$. This suggests that for low temperature (ca 1000 K ) and highpressure systems, acetylene isomerization is a viable pathway. (Refer to Figure 5.9c).

### 5.3.5 Triplet Biradical Adduct Conversion to a Singlet

An additional reaction process is considered, addition of triplet oxygen to acetylene, forming ${ }^{3} \mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{CHOO} \bullet$. This triplet biradical adduct is allowed to convert into the singlet biradical through collision, in the ChemKin analysis; i.e. ${ }^{3} \mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{CHOO} \bullet+\mathrm{M} \Leftrightarrow$ ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{CHOO} \bullet+\mathrm{M}$, with an estimate $k_{f}=10^{13} e^{-\frac{1.02 \frac{k e d}{m o l}}{R T}} \mathrm{cc} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{s}$. The assumption of pre-exponential A-factor $=10^{13} \mathrm{cc} / \mathrm{mol}-\mathrm{s}$ for ${ }^{3} \mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{CHOO} \bullet+\mathrm{M} \Leftrightarrow{ }^{1} \mathrm{HC} \bullet=\mathrm{CHOO} \bullet+$

M , about 1 in 40 collisions is conservative. The singlet biradical adduct then proceeds to 2 HCO as in Benson's scheme. Overall rate constants, via conversion of the triplet biradical adduct to singlet biradical adduct, at 1 atm as determined by QRRK analysis is $k_{f}=2.21 \times 10^{7} T^{1.41} e^{-\frac{33.1 \frac{k \mathrm{ked}^{m}}{R T}}{R T}} \mathrm{cc} / \mathrm{mol}-\mathrm{s}$.

Comparison of product formation between two systems, (i) Benson's $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ and (ii) the $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2} \Leftrightarrow{ }^{3}$ Adduct $+\mathrm{M} \Leftrightarrow{ }^{1}$ Adduct +M are performed using CHEMKIN and show that the concentrations and initiation rate processes are nearly identical. (Refer to Figures 5.8 and 5.10). The products compared are glyoxal for Benson's $\mathrm{HCCH}+{ }^{1} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ system and formyl radicals for the ${ }^{3}$ Adduct $+\mathrm{M} \Leftrightarrow{ }^{1}$ Adduct +M conversion. The concentration of glyoxal after 34.9 ms is 0.053 mole fraction and for formyl radical products it is 0.096 mole fraction for the same residence time. The doubling of mole fraction concentration for the formyl radical concentration is due to the formation of 2 moles of formyl radical for every mole of glyoxal.

Comparison of forward rate constants from selected literature, the triplet surface reactions system, Benson's proposed system and the system proposed by Laskin and Wang are shown in Figure 5.11. It should be noted that other currently available rate constants for this system are estimated or fitted rate constants on a net reaction of HCCH $+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow$ products, (where the products are chosen by the respective authors ${ }^{26,32,120-123}$ ) in order to match a set of experimental data. Comparison of the literature rate constants at 1500 K between Miller, et al., Marinov, et al. and Hidaka, et al. shows a difference of almost one order of magnitude, with steady increase in this difference to nearly two orders of magnitude at 2500 K . Miller, et al.'s estimated rate constant is the highest of these.


| ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $\bullet$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| HCCH | $\mathbf{\Delta}$ |
| HCO (via conversion <br> channel) | $\bullet$ |
| HCO (triplet channel) | $\mathbf{~}$ |
| $\bullet \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{OCHO}+\mathrm{H}$ | $\bullet$ |

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\ldots & \text { Temp }=1000 \mathrm{~K} \\
\cdots & \text { Temp }=1600 \mathrm{~K} \\
& \text { Temp }=2000 \mathrm{~K}
\end{array}
$$

Figure 5.10 CHEMKIN results for acetylene oxidation via triplet to singlet conversion of adduct, ${ }^{3} \bullet \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COO} \bullet+\mathrm{M} \Leftrightarrow{ }^{1} \bullet \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COO} \bullet+\mathrm{M} \Rightarrow$ products, at 1 atm .


Figure 5.11 Comparison of overall rate constants between previous CHEMKIN modeling of experimental data by Miller, et al., Marinov, et al., Hidaka, et al. and with the four proposed chemistry mechanisms of Benson, Laskin and Wang, $\mathrm{HCCH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ on triplet surface and the $\mathrm{HCCH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ triplet to singlet conversion ( $\left.{ }^{3} \bullet \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COO} \bullet \mathrm{M} \Leftrightarrow{ }^{1} \bullet \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{COO} \bullet+\mathrm{M}\right)$.

The net reaction over the triplet surface (with no spin conversion) has the greatest deviation from the literature fitted rate constants; it is almost two orders of magnitude lower than that reported by Hidaka, et al. The acetylene oxidation pathway via isomerization to vinylidene, at 2500 K is less than one order of magnitude lower than that reported by Hidaka, et al. The reaction over the singlet surface, as proposed by Benson (without the current modifications - i.e. inclusion of barriers for additional reaction of this singlet adduct), is slightly over three times higher than that reported by Miller, et al. at 2500 K. However, the proposed forward rate constant by Benson, shown in Figure 5.11, is only for the initiation reaction, and does not include barriers for isomerization to products; Benson did not provide estimates of isomerization rate constants. The rate constant for the current proposed pathway, collisional conversion of triplet peroxyethylene to singlet peroxy-ethylene biradical, is also slower than the three literature sources. However, it approaches the rate constant of Hidaka, et al. with increase in temperature. It is within one order of magnitude at 1500 K of Hidaka, et al.'s value and approaches the same asymptote at 2500 K .

### 5.4 Summary

$a b$ initio, DFT and semi-empirical methods are used to estimate thermodynamic properties and kinetic parameters for the acetylene + oxygen reaction system on the triplet surface. Overall rate constants have been calculated by QRRK analysis for CBS-q//MP2/6-31G(d) and B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) levels of calculation. DFT computational method, B3LYP/6$31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$, provides lowest spin contamination in all adducts, TS1 and TS2. B3LYP/6$31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ calculates TS3 with a spin contamination of 2.3 , while CBS-q//MP2/6-31G(d)
reports spin contamination greater than 2.9. QRRK results indicate stabilization rate constant for triplet peroxy-ethylene biradical adduct as significant, but concentration profiles determined by CHEMKIN indicate very little presence of the stabilized adducts due to their rapid reactions and show only product forming channels as important. Spin contamination is a concern and calculation methods leading to reducing $\left\langle S^{2}\right\rangle$ projections will help clarify the $\mathrm{HCCH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reaction kinetics.

Two reaction processes contribute to initiation of acetylene oxidation: $\mathrm{HCCH}+$ ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ to form an adduct with conversion of the triplet ${ }^{3} \mathrm{HCCHOO} \bullet$ adduct to singlet via collision and isomerization of HCCH to vinylidene and then further reaction of vinylidene with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. Acetylene/vinylidene $+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reaction has an activation barrier of $43 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and is also a viable pathway at low temperatures and pressures above 0.1 atm . The lowest energy pathway seems to be the ${ }^{3} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{HCCH}$ to form the triplet biradical adduct, which converts to the singlet biradical adduct through collision. The calculated overall rate constant from QRRK analysis closely matches the reported rate constant of Hidaka, et al. above 2000 K .

## CHAPTER 6

# DETAILED KINETICS AND THERMOCHEMISTRY OF $\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{H}_{5}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ : REACTION KINETICS OF THE CHEMICALLY-ACTIVATED AND STABILIZED $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO}$ • ADDUCT 

### 6.1 Introduction

Reactions of hydrocarbon radicals with molecular oxygen are important in atmospheric chemistry as well as in combustion processes. The intermediates include energized and stabilized peroxy radicals, both of which can react back to reactants, isomerize or react to new products. The initially-formed energized peroxy radical has multiple reaction possibilities. The $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reaction represents an important model system to explore the kinetic consequences of these reactions; it contains many of the complexities of larger systems, yet is more amenable to higher level electronic structure calculations. An added advantage is that this reaction has been well studied experimentally. ${ }^{147-154}$ These experiments will be detailed in the modeling and comparison section.

There have also been several theoretical analyses of this system. 25,29,147,155-160 Wagner, et al. ${ }^{29}$ have analyzed the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reaction using variational RRKM theory for ethylene production and ethyl radical loss at pressures and temperatures relevant to the experimental data of Slagle, et al. ${ }^{149,153}$ Their analysis assumes formation of a chemically-activated adduct, which can react directly through a cyclic (five-member ring) intermediate to a primary hydroperoxy-alkyl radical and then to $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ or be stabilized to $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$. Subsequent reaction of the stabilized peroxy back to reactants or forward, over the isomerization barrier, to ethylene $+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ is accounted for with an analytical solution to a four-reaction mechanism, which assumes the kinetics depend only on the reactions leading to and including formation of the cyclic intermediate (excludes
stabilization of the alkyl-hydroperoxy intermediate). The height of this barrier was adjusted to model the experimental data. Recent high-level ab initio calculations ${ }^{161}$ have characterized a new concerted elimination path to directly produce $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ from the initially-formed ethylperoxy adduct. This pathway was not considered in earlier kinetic analyses of the ethyl $+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ system ${ }^{29,155}$, but was included in a recent study. ${ }^{162}$ One important aspect of this system is that most of the reaction channels of the energized adduct are higher in energy than the entrance channel, but this new concerted elimination channel is a few $\mathrm{kcal} /$ mole lower. This suggests that adduct stabilization is likely over an extended temperature range. Both Kaiser and Clifford, et al. recognized the need to consider the kinetic consequences of subsequent thermal dissociation of this adduct in analyzing their experimental data. The dissociation reactions become increasingly important at higher temperatures, where the rate coefficients are larger.

The current study uses electronic structure theory to generate all the input parameters needed to analyze this chemically-activated system. The assessment of whether this approach can accurately predict the temperature and pressure dependence of the various reaction channels is studied. The potential energy surface is calculated at relatively high levels, and the rate coefficients for reactions of the energized adducts are obtained from canonical transition state theory (CTST). The rate coefficient for initial formation of ethylperoxy is calculated using variational transition state theory (VTST). The current study compare two models for collisional deactivation in conjunction with use of a multi-frequency QRRK analysis to estimate $k(E)$. Finally, the current study incorporate the predictions for the individual branching fractions of the energized adducts and those for thermal dissociation of the stabilized adducts into a mechanism to illustrate
where it is necessary to account for thermal dissociation. Comparison of model with experiment suggests that this approach, with no adjustments to any of the parameters, permits an accurate description of this system. The results for loss of ethyl and production of ethylene show good agreement with recent experimental data of Kaiser. ${ }^{147}$ Good agreement was also obtained for $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ production measurements of Clifford, et al. 148 The current study also explore the kinetic implications of the direct formation of ethylene and $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ from $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$.

Since the QRRK rate coefficients are dependent on both temperature and pressure, a conventional CHEMKIN mechanism needs different sets of coefficients for each set of experimental conditions with different $P$. This is a good example of the utility in using Chebyshev fits ${ }^{163,164}$ to capture $k(T, P)$ so that one set of rate coefficients could be used for all conditions. The current study also introduce a modification to the CHEMKIN integrator package ${ }^{145}$ to accept rate constants in the Chebyshev polynomial expression. This allows use of a single mechanism to cover a wide range of temperatures and pressures.

### 6.2 Computational Methods

### 6.2.1 Ab Initio and Density Functional Theory Computations

Molecular properties for reactants, adducts, transition states (TS) and products are estimated by high level CBS-Q ${ }^{165}$ ab initio calculations and by density functional theory (DFT). The $a b$ initio and DFT calculations are performed using Gaussian94. ${ }^{55}$ The hybrid DFT method B3LYP, which combines the three parameter Becke exchange functional, B3, with the Lee-Yang-Parr nonlocal correlation functional, LYP, with a
double zeta polarized basis set, $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$, is used to determine the optimized geometry. ${ }^{129,166}$ Single point calculations at the complete basis set, composite method -CBS-Q are utilized based on the optimized B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) geometry, denoted as CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p). The DFT calculations are spin-unrestricted Hartree-Fock. Molecular geometries at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) are fully optimized using the Berny algorithm and redundant internal coordinates. ${ }^{55}$ The geometries of the five TS structures in this system are verified by checking for one imaginary frequency, consistency in bond lengths characteristic of a TS and by comparison to data of Schaefer's group. ${ }^{160,161}$ Zero-point vibrational energy (ZPVE), vibrational frequencies and thermal correction contributions to enthalpy from harmonic frequencies are scaled in accordance to the scaling factors recommended by Scott and Radom. ${ }^{62}$ The inclusion of ZPVE and thermal corrections to the total energies of the species in this system has been applied accordingly.

The enthalpies of adducts in the system are determined by use of isodesmic working reactions with group balance. Fundamental requirements for an isodesmic reaction are conservation of electron pair and chemical bond type. ${ }^{136}$ The use of isodesmic reactions is an accurate and desired method for estimating enthalpy of formation. Three isodesmic reactions are employed to determine the enthalpy of formation for the two adducts of interest.

| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | $=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | $+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OOH}$ | (IR1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OOH}$ | $=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$ | $+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ | (IR2) |
| $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{CH}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{OOH}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | $=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}+\mathrm{CH}_{2} \bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | (IR3) |  |

The enthalpies of formation for the adducts of interest are the ethylperoxy radical in IR2 and the hydroperoxy-ethyl radical in IR3. The enthalpies of formation for all the
other oxy-hydrocarbon species in the respective isodesmic reaction are needed before one can determine the enthalpy of formation of the two desired adducts. IR1 is utilized to determine the enthalpy of formation for the ethyl-hydroperoxide molecule, which is then used in IR2 and IR3 to aid in the determination of the ethylperoxy radical and hydroperoxy-ethyl radical. It is important to note that the enthalpies of the ethylperoxy and hydroperoxy-ethyl radicals are determined independently and on an absolute scale. The difference in these two enthalpy values is $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}$ between the two isomers (adducts).

The reaction enthalpies of the TS in this system are determined from the difference in total energies between the calculated TS and the calculated energies of both reactant and product, with ZPVE and thermal corrections included. These energies are designated $E_{c}$ in the equations below. The enthalpy of formation of the adducts from the isodesmic reaction analysis above is used. The enthalpy of formation for the TS is now determined relative to enthalpy of both isomers, viz.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta H_{\text {reactant }}(T S)=E_{C}(T S)-E_{C}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet\right) \\
& \Delta H_{\text {product }}(T S)=\left[E_{C}(T S)-E_{C}\left(\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}\right)\right]+\Delta H_{P-R} \\
& \Delta H_{P-R}=H_{f}^{298}\left(\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}\right)-\mathrm{H}_{f}^{298}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet\right), \text { from isodesmic analysis } \\
& \Delta H_{r x n}(T S)=\frac{\Delta H_{p r o d u c t}(T S)+\Delta H_{\text {reactant }}(T S)}{2} \\
& H_{f}^{298}(\mathrm{TS})=H_{f}^{298}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet\right)+\Delta H_{r x n}(T S)
\end{aligned}
$$

A comparative study of G2 ${ }^{167}$ vs. CBS-Q on the two adducts in the ethyl radical oxidation system is also performed. The "standard" G2 calculation, using the MP2(FU)/6-31G(d) method to optimize the geometry, is used. Comparison of the two high level - composite $a b$ initio methods, G2 and CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) implies good accuracy of the enthalpy of formation for the two adducts in this system.

A standard statistical mechanical analysis was employed to determine the vibrational, external rotational and translational contributions to entropy and $C_{p}(T) .{ }^{168}$ Molecular parameters required in the statistical mechanic analysis are calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory for the optimized geometric structure of the species. Vibrational contributions to entropy and $C_{p}$ are scaled by the recommended scaling factors from Scott and Radom. ${ }^{62}$ Optical isomers and unpaired electrons are also included in the $\mathrm{S}^{298}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{T})$ calculations accordingly. Contributions of internal rotation to $\mathrm{S}^{298}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{T})$ are incorporated based on the Pitzer-Gwinn formalism. ${ }^{90}$

### 6.2.2 Calculation of High-Pressure Rate Constants

The high-pressure forward rate constants for most reactions were determined by application of CTST for temperatures from $300-2500 \mathrm{~K}$. Forward rate constants from $300-2500 \mathrm{~K}$ are calculated and fitted by a nonlinear least squares method to the form of a modified Arrhenius rate expression, i.e., $k_{\infty, \text { forw }}=A_{\infty} T^{n} e^{-\frac{E_{a}}{R T}}$.

The rate constants for the addition and dissociation reaction for $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \Leftrightarrow$ $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$ are calculated by VTST at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level. The reaction coordinate along the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length is calculated to determine the total energy (Figure 1). Rate constants are calculated based on the most favorable dissociation pathway along the reaction surface. The addition reaction rate constant is determined by satisfying the detailed balance criteria.


Figure 6.1 Reaction coordinate of the dissociation reaction of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+$ $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ as the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond increases.

### 6.2.3 Kinetic Analysis - Modified Strong Collision and Master Equation

Two approaches are used to calculate the collisional deactivation of the energized adduct: a master equation model and a modified strong collision model. The master equation model (ME) used $\langle\Delta \mathrm{E}\rangle_{\text {down }}=830 \mathrm{cal} /$ mole for the collisional deactivation with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ as the third body. The modified strong collision model (MSC) of Gilbert, et al. ${ }^{169}$ was used with $<-\Delta \mathrm{E}\rangle_{\mathrm{av}}=440 \mathrm{cal} /$ mole for the collisional deactivation with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ as the third body. This value is consistent with the $\langle\Delta \mathrm{E}\rangle_{\text {down }}$ value used in the master equation analysis.

### 6.2.4 Calculation of $k(T, P)$

Kinetic parameters for the bimolecular chemical activation reactions and the subsequent unimolecular thermal dissociation reactions to adducts and product sets are calculated by
using a multi-frequency Quantum Rice-Ramsperger-Kassel (QRRK) analysis for $k(E)^{60}$ with the steady state assumption on the energized adduct(s). Both the forward and reverse paths are included for adducts, but product formation is not reversible in the analysis. (Reverse directions of products are incorporated in the subsequent mechanism analysis using CHEMKIN).

The current version of the QRRK computer code utilizes a reduced set of three vibration frequencies which accurately reproduce the molecule (adduct) heat capacity data. $65,170,171$ Molecular density-of-state functions are constructed through direct convolution of single frequency density functions on a $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ grid. The functions corresponding to each reduced frequency is explicitly convolved into a relative density-of-states $(\rho(\mathrm{E})$ ), which is normalized by the partition function $(\mathrm{Q})$. The inclusion of one external rotation, corresponding to the symmetric top, is incorporated into the calculations by convolving the vibration density function with the proper rotational density function. A detailed description of this and comparisons of the $\rho(\mathrm{E}) / \mathrm{Q}$ ratios with the direct count $\rho(\mathrm{E}) / \mathrm{Q}$ ratios are shown to be in good agreement. ${ }^{65}$

### 6.2.5 Mechanism Construction

To apply the results of the chemical activation and the thermal dissociation analysis for comparison to data, it is necessary to construct an elementary chemical reaction mechanism. This includes all the reactions involved in the chemical activation process, including stabilizations and reactions for thermal dissociation of the stabilized species. Reactions are reversible, and implicitly account for some of the thermal dissociation reactions as the reverse of the forward (chemically-activated) reactions, e.g., $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$
dissociation to $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is included as the reverse of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$. Thermal dissociation to products (other than the original reactants $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ ) must be specifically included. Inclusion of other reactions for analysis of the experiments considered in this work, to account for competing processes, such as $\mathrm{HO}_{2}+\mathrm{HO}_{2} \ldots$ etc are needed. The same reactions used in the experimental analysis are also used in this study.

Since the QRRK rate coefficients are dependent on both temperature and pressure, the conventional approach is to use different sets of rate coefficients at each pressure. An alternative approach is to use Chebyshev polynomials to represent a rate constant expression as a function of both temperature and pressure, as described by Venkatesh, et al. ${ }^{163,164}$ The temperature-pressure dependent rate coefficients in Chebyshev format for the current system of interest is derived from application of the methodology described by Venkatesh, et al. The current mechanism files are derived based on seven temperature functions and three pressure functions to fit the rate coefficients over a $50 \times 50$ Gauss-Chebyshev grid using a Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm. The current mechanism file is fitted over a temperature range of $250-1200 \mathrm{~K}$ and a pressure range of $10^{-3}-100 \mathrm{~atm}$. The CHEMKIN integrator package program ${ }^{145}$ has been modified to accept rate coefficients in the Chebyshev format. The idea of having a single CHEMKIN mechanism file capable of determining concentration profiles over a wide temperature and pressure range is appealing; however, the Chebyshev polynomials are still fitted parameters and verification of calculated rate constants should be checked for consistency.

### 6.3 Results and Discussion

### 6.3.1 Geometries

Optimized geometric structures for the reactants, transition states, adducts and products are calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory and are listed in Table 6.1. The TS for molecular elimination of ethylene from the ethylperoxy radical (denoted as TS1 in Figure 6.2) has a C-C bond of $1.39 \AA$, considerably shorter than the normal C-C bond length of approximately $1.53 \AA$ and slightly longer than the normal $C=C$ bond of $1.34 \AA$. The C-O bond is $2.20 \AA$, approximately $0.8 \AA$ longer than the normal C-O bond length. Shortening of the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond to $1.28 \AA$ is also observed. The $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond length is $1.25 \AA$ and the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond is $1.38 \AA$. The spin contamination, $\left\langle\mathrm{S}^{2}\right\rangle$, is 0.76 , which is consistent with the spin for a doublet.


Figure 6.2 Potential Energy Diagram for the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ system calculated at CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p).

Table 6.1 Optimized Geometric Parameters for Species in the Ethyl $+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Oxidation System at the B3LYP/6-31G(D,P) Level of Theory


| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ |  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$ |  | $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Value | Parameter ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Value | Parameter ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Value |
| r21 | 1.4886 | r21 | 1.5174 | r21 | 1.4867 |
| r31 | 1.1041 | r31 | 1.0944 | r31 | 1.0839 |
| r41 | 1.0959 | r41 | 1.0936 | r41 | 1.0857 |
| r52 | 1.0848 | r52 | 1.0935 | r52 | 1.1028 |
| r62 | 1.0848 | r62 | 1.0932 | r62 | 1.0964 |
| r71 | 1.0959 | r72 | 1.4625 | r72 | 1.4338 |
| a312 | 112.09 | r87 | 1.3231 | r87 | 1.4545 |
| a412 | 111.86 | r91 | 1.0926 | r98 | 0.9715 |
| a521 | 120.93 | a312 | 109.90 | a312 | 121.63 |
| a621 | 120.92 | a412 | 110.99 | a412 | 119.66 |
| a712 | 111.86 | a521 | 112.58 | a521 | 111.64 |
| d4123 | -119.32 | a621 | 112.35 | a621 | 111.78 |
| d5213 | 85.12 | a721 | 111.04 | a721 | 113.52 |
| d6213 | -84.99 | a872 | 110.97 | a872 | 107.09 |
| d7123 | 119.31 | a912 | 109.93 | a987 | 100.63 |
|  |  | d4123 | -119.96 | d4123 | -175.60 |
|  |  | d5213 | 64.40 | d5213 | 107.52 |
|  |  | d6213 | -60.12 | d6213 | -13.42 |
|  |  | d7213 | 179.93 | d7213 | -138.25 |
|  |  | d8721 | 71.18 | d8721 | 70.81 |
|  |  | d9123 | 119.51 | d9872 | -100.13 |

[^2]Table 6.1 Optimized Geometric Parameters for Species in the Ethyl $+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Oxidation System at the B3LYP/6-31G(D,P) Level of Theory (Continued)

(d)

(e)

(f)

| T[CC-OO] ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  | TS2 |  | TS1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter $^{\dagger}$ | Value | Parameter $^{\dagger}$ | Value | Parameter $^{\dagger}$ | Value |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| r21 | 1.4787 | r21 | 1.5256 | r21 | 1.3849 |
| r31 | 1.0953 | r31 | 1.0884 | r32 | 2.2040 |
| r41 | 1.0953 | r42 | 1.4341 | r43 | 1.2778 |
| r52 | 1.0842 | r54 | 1.4231 | r54 | 1.2480 |
| r62 | 1.0842 | r65 | 1.1925 | r62 | 1.0843 |
| r87 | 1.2245 | r71 | 1.0903 | r72 | 1.0843 |
| r91 | 1.1090 | r82 | 1.0971 | r81 | 1.0888 |
| a312 | 112.18 | r92 | 1.0958 | r91 | 1.0888 |
| a412 | 112.18 | a312 | 118.41 | a321 | 96.77 |
| a521 | 121.29 | a421 | 104.25 | a432 | 98.21 |
| a621 | 121.30 | a542 | 102.27 | a543 | 98.76 |
| a721 | 91.25 | a654 | 94.39 | a621 | 121.79 |
| a872 | 103.41 | a712 | 116.42 | a721 | 121.79 |
| a912 | 110.85 | a821 | 110.40 | a812 | 118.81 |
| d4123 | -122.74 | a921 | 114.77 | a912 | 118.81 |
| d5213 | 152.12 | d4213 | -144.62 | d4321 | -0.03 |
| d6213 | -29.18 | d5421 | 45.71 | d5432 | 0.05 |
| d7213 | 241.42 | d6542 | -34.12 | d6213 | 94.77 |
| d8721 | -0.05 | d7123 | -142.74 | d7213 | -94.71 |
| d9123 | 118.63 | d8213 | -25.52 | d8126 | -10.78 |
| r72 | 2.8625 | d9213 | 99.39 | d9126 | -159.68 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\dagger$ Parameters: " $R$ " corresponds to atomic distance between respective atoms in Angstroms, "A" parameter is the bond angle in degrees and " $D$ " is the dihedral angle in degrees.

Table 6.1 Optimized Geometric Parameters for Species in the Ethyl $+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Oxidation System at the B3LYP/6-31G(D,P) Level of Theory (Continued)

| (g) |  | (h) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parameter ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Value | Parameter ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Value | Parameter ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Value |
| r21 | 1.500872 | r21 | 1.379152 | r21 | 1.475339 |
| r32 | 1.3927 | r31 | 1.0848 | r32 | 1.3996 |
| r42 | 1.3314 | r41 | 1.0848 | r43 | 1.7530 |
| r51 | 1.0936 | r52 | 1.0857 | r54 | 0.9722 |
| r61 | 1.0931 | r62 | 1.0859 | r62 | 1.0953 |
| r71 | 1.0982 | r72 | 1.9080 | r72 | 1.0958 |
| r82 | 1.0958 | r87 | 1.3932 | r81 | 1.0827 |
| r94 | 1.2736 | r98 | 0.9744 | r91 | 1.0828 |
| a321 | 115.81 | a312 | 121.12 | a321 | 82.97 |
| a421 | 114.14 | a412 | 121.21 | a432 | 107.32 |
| a512 | 110.98 | a521 | 119.64 | a543 | 93.16 |
| a612 | 110.51 | a621 | 119.54 | a621 | 115.61 |
| a712 | 109.91 | a721 | 105.39 | a721 | 116.49 |
| a821 | 118.67 | a872 | 110.39 | a812 | 120.15 |
| a942 | 102.70 | a987 | 103.04 | a912 | 120.55 |
| d4213 | -96.57 | d4123 | -171.93 | d4321 | 171.89 |
| d5123 | 172.50 | d5213 | -17.13 | d5432 | -139.04 |
| d6123 | 50.90 | d6213 | -169.34 | d6213 | 113.12 |
| d7123 | -67.99 | d7213 | 85.01 | d7213 | -113.59 |
| d8213 | 140.03 | d8721 | 84.01 | d8123 | -86.41 |
| d9421 | 118.80 | d9872 | 82.91 | d9123 | 84.60 |

The TS for isomerization of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$ to $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ is a 5 -member ring (denoted as TS2). The oxygen-hydrogen bond length is $1.19 \AA$ and the carbon-hydrogen bond is $1.40 \AA$, both slightly longer than the stable $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond length, ca. $0.96 \AA$, and the C-H bond length, ca. $1.08 \AA$. The spin contamination is slightly higher than that of TS1 with $\left\langle\mathrm{S}^{2}\right\rangle=0.81$.

The TS for $\beta$-scission of $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ to ethylene $+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ (denoted as TS3) shows a C-C bond of $1.38 \AA$ and a C-O bond length of $1.91 \AA$. This is also described by Chen and Bozzelli. ${ }^{71}$ The TS for the formation of the oxirane +OH products from the hydroperoxy-ethyl adduct (denoted as TS4) has a C-O bond length of $1.40 \AA$ and an O-C bond of $1.91 \AA$. The dissociating $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond is $1.75 \AA$. Spin contamination for TS3 is 0.78 and for TS4 is 0.81 .

The TS for the formation of the acetaldehyde +OH from the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$ adduct via a 4-member ring isomerization on the ipso-carbon (denoted as TS5) shows a C-H bond length $1.33 \AA$ and an $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond length of $1.27 \AA$. The $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length is $1.50 \AA$, slightly longer than the normal O-O bond length of $1.46 \AA$. Spin contamination for TS5 calculated by B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) is 0.76 .

The TS for the $\mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \Leftrightarrow\left[\mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{OO}^{\ddagger} \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet\right.$ reaction does not exhibit any barrier from the addition reaction, and therefore, one cannot utilize the saddle point requirement for TS calculations. The reaction coordinate for this reaction is determined by incremental increases in the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond distance along the dissociation reaction path, starting with the full geometrically optimized ethylperoxy radical at the B3LYP/6$31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ level of theory. Calculations are performed over the C-O bond length range of 1.46-4.46 $\AA$ in an incremental step size of $0.10 \AA$. Each of the geometric configurations
of the "stretched" ethylperoxy radical are fully optimized, with respect to the constraint of the "frozen" C-O bond distance of interest. The spin contamination for the ethyl radical and the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO}$ - radical are 0.75 . Spin contamination also begins to deviate from the theoretical spin for a doublet at C-O bond lengths above $2.0 \AA$. The spin contamination at $2.0 \AA$ is 0.87 , which is slightly higher than the theoretical spin of 0.75 for a doublet. A steady linear increase in the spin contamination value is observed as the C-O bond length is increased from $2.0 \AA$ to $3.4 \AA$, i.e. for every $0.1 \AA$ increase in the C-O bond length, an increase of 0.06 in $\left\langle\mathrm{S}^{2}\right\rangle$ is observed. The spin contamination at $3.4 \AA$ is 1.7.

### 6.3.2 Thermodynamic Properties $-\Delta H_{f}^{298}, S^{298}$ and $C_{p}(300-1500 K)$

Thermodynamic properties used in the current study are presented in Table 6.2. Entropy and heat capacities are calculated by statistical mechanics, as outlined above. PitzerGwinn's ${ }^{90}$ general treatment of hindered internal rotational contributions is used to adjust for entropy and $C_{p}$ values. (Refer to Table 6.3 for rotational barriers and hindered moments of inertia used in the Pitzer-Gwinn treatment). Entropy and heat capacity for the association TS is also determined from statistical mechanics with scaled frequencies and moments of inertia from each $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ configuration. However, as the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond distance increases, the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ rotor becomes more of a free-rotor. This difficulty in determining the "changing" contribution is not addressed, but instead the same hindered rotor entropy and $C_{p}$ contribution used in the stable adduct is utilized in the hindered rotor contribution to S and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}$ for the VTST structure species. The hindered rotor

Table 6.2 Thermodynamic Properties of Species in the Ethyl Oxidation System Calculated at CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)

| SPECIES ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{Hf}^{298}$ | $\mathrm{S}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$ | $\mathbf{C p ( 3 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{C p ( 4 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{C p ( 5 0 0 )}$ | Cp(600) | $\mathbf{C p ( 8 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{C p ( 1 0 0 0 )}$ | $\mathbf{C p ( 1 5 0 0 )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ | 28.6 | 60.61 | 12.20 | 14.64 | 17.01 | 19.11 | 22.62 | 25.39 | 29.96 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 0 | 49.01 | 7.02 | 7.23 | 7.44 | 7.65 | 8.04 | 8.35 | 8.73 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{O O} \bullet$ | -6.72 | 73.82 | 16.20 | 19.86 | 23.34 | 26.36 | 31.11 | 34.63 | 40.08 |
| TS1 | 23.76 | 70.76 | 17.47 | 21.62 | 25.25 | 28.26 | 32.82 | 36.05 | 40.94 |
| TS2 | 29.64 | 68.83 | 16.38 | 20.91 | 24.82 | 28.01 | 32.77 | 36.1 | 41.04 |
| - $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ | 11.22 | 81.91 | 20.25 | 23.52 | 26.36 | 28.72 | 32.30 | 35.13 | 39.67 |
| TS3 | 27.07 | 79.06 | 19.38 | 22.73 | 25.55 | 27.85 | 31.35 | 34.11 | 38.61 |
| TS4 | 26.51 | 77.46 | 19.04 | 22.52 | 25.54 | 28.03 | 31.86 | 34.74 | 39.43 |
| TS5 | 35.04 | 73.07 | 16.99 | 20.83 | 24.31 | 27.26 | 31.80 | 35.09 | 40.03 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 12.52 | 52.47 | 10.2 | 12.72 | 15.02 | 17 | 20.14 | 22.54 | 26.38 |
| $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ | 3.8 | 54.73 | 8.37 | 8.95 | 9.48 | 9.96 | 10.78 | 11.43 | 12.47 |
| Oxirane | -12.57 | 59.35 | 11.3 | 14.74 | 17.9 | 20.55 | 24.57 | 27.46 |  |
| OH | 9.49 | 43.88 | 7.16 | 7.08 | 7.05 | 7.05 | 7.15 | 7.33 | 7.87 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$ | -39.18 | 63.13 | 13.22 | 15.71 | 18.22 | 20.47 | 24.22 | 26.97 |  |

a The notation below the species correlates to the structure shown in Table 6.1.

Table 6.3 Rotational Barriers and Moments of Inertia Parameters Used in the Pitzer-Gwinn Treatment to Determine Hindered Rotor Contribution to Entropy and Cp

| Species ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Rotational Barrier (kcal/mol) | Moment of Inertia (amu- $\chi^{2}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2}$ <br> (1a) | 0.16 | 3.2 1.8 |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet \\ \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{OO} \bullet \\ \text { (lb) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.40 \\ & 1.26 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} 3.2 & 80 \\ 100 & 18.5 \end{array}$ |
| $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ <br> $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{OOH}$ <br> $-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OH}$ <br> (1c) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.16 \\ & 5.40 \\ & 6.38 \end{aligned}$ | 1.8 100 <br> 100 20 <br> 100 0.8 |
| $\begin{gathered} {\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{yCOOH}\right]^{\neq}} \\ (\mathrm{lg}) \end{gathered}$ | 4.0 | 3.268 .7 |
| $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}\right]^{\ddagger}$ <br> $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{OOH}\right]^{\ddagger}$ <br> $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OH}\right]^{\neq}$ <br> (1h) | $\begin{aligned} & 0.16 \\ & 5.40 \\ & 6.38 \end{aligned}$ | 1.8 100 <br> 100 20 <br> 100 0.8 |
| $\mathrm{T}[\mathrm{yCOC}-\mathrm{OH}]^{\neq}$ <br> (1i) | 6.38 | 100 0.8 |

a The notation below the species correlates to the structure shown in Table 6.1 in manuscript; " y " denotes cyclic, e.g. (lg) is a 4-member cyclic COOH, and (1i) is a 3-member cyclic COC.
contribution for both the ethylperoxy adduct and VTST structures at 298 K for entropy is $12.09 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol}-\mathrm{K}$ and for Cp is $3.28 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol}-\mathrm{K}$.

The enthalpy of formation for reactants, TS, adducts and products are calculated at the CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory. The enthalpy of formation for the ethyl radical is well known and generally accepted to be $28.5 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}^{172}$ and Marshall recently performed high level ab initio calculations at $\operatorname{CCSD}(\mathrm{T})$ level of theory and reports $28.8 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} .{ }^{173}$ The enthalpy of formation for the ethyl radical used in this study is $28.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

### 6.3.3 Adduct Enthalpy of Formation

Isodesmic reaction analyses are performed on the two adducts in this system, i.e. ethylperoxy and the hydroperoxy-ethyl radical, at three different levels of calculation. The composite $a b$ initio methods utilized are CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and G2 level of calculation. The following illustrates the typical approach utilizing isodesmic reactions to obtain enthalpy of formation values. Two sets of isodesmic reactions are used to determine the enthalpy of formation for the ethylperoxy radical at the CBS-Q//B3LYP/6$31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ level of theory.

| $\mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{O O H}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -229.8156475 | -115.5342428 | -154.7628396 | -190.5872192 |
| $\mathbf{X}$ | -48.0 | -56.2 | -31.8 |
| $\Delta E($ hartrees $)=[(-154.7628396)+(-190.5872192)]-[(-229.8156475)+(-115.5342428)]$ |  |  |  |
|  | $=-0.000168562$ hartrees $=-0.11 \frac{\mathrm{kcal}}{\mathrm{mol}}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\Delta H_{r x n}=-0.11 \frac{\mathrm{kcal}}{\mathrm{mol}}=[(-31.8)+(-56.2)]-[(-48)+\mathrm{X}]$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{- 3 9 . 9} \mathbf{~ k c a l} / \mathrm{mol}$ |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$ | $+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OOH}$ | $=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OO} \bullet+$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -229.182771 | -190.5872192 | -189.9531084 | -229.8156475 |
| $\mathbf{Y}$ | -31.8 | 2.15 | -39.9 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\Delta E(\text { hartrees })=[(-189.9531084)+(-229.8156475)]-[(-229.182771)+(-190.5872192)] \\
=0.001234314 \text { hartrees }=0.77 \frac{\mathrm{kcal}}{\mathrm{~mol}}
\end{array} \\
& \Delta H_{r \times n}=0.77 \frac{\mathrm{kcal}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=[(2.15)+(-39.89)]-[(-31.8)+\mathrm{Y}] \\
& \mathbf{Y}=-6.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{aligned}
$$

The $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{298}$ for the ethylperoxy radical, determined by use of isodesmic reactions is $-6.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. This value is in very good agreement with recent publications ${ }^{25,29,155,156,174}$ and results in a well depth for ethyl $+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to ethylperoxy radical of $35.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mole}$.

The enthalpy results from use of isodesmic reactions are shown in Table 6.4. The enthalpy of formation for the ethylperoxy radical calculated at the CBS-Q//B3LYP/6$31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ and at G 2 level of theory offers similar results, -6.7 and $-6.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. The calculated value of $11.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for hydroperoxy-ethyl radical at the CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) is in agreement with the CBS-q//B3LYP/6-31G(d) value of $11.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ published by Chen and Bozzelli. ${ }^{71}$ The same isodesmic reactions at the G2 level of theory results in a value of $10.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

Comparison of the two radicals with the different methods of calculation shows that both CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and G2 are similar and agree with values reported in the literatures. The difference in energy between G2 and those from literature is less than $0.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The CBS-Q analysis is used (as opposed to G2) because of significantly lower spin contamination.

Table 6.4 Enthalpy of Formation for the Two Adducts in This System Determined from Use of Isodesmic Reaction Sets.

| Isodesmic Reaction |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\Delta H_{\text {f }}(\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | Method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OOH}$ | -39.89 | CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | = | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OOH}$ | -40.06 | G2 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OOH}$ | = | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ | -6.72 | CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathbf{O} \mathrm{O} \bullet$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OOH}$ | = | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ | -6.77 | G2 |
| - $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ | $+$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | 11.22 | CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) |
| $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ | + | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ | + | $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ | 10.49 | G2 |

### 6.3.4 Reaction Pathways

A reaction path potential energy diagram is illustrated in Figure 6.2 calculated at CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory. Addition of the oxygen to ethyl radical forming an energized ethylperoxy adduct.
$\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet *$
There are six possible reactions for this activated adduct
RXN 1) reverse reaction back to reactants
RXN 2) stabilization
RXN 3) direct molecular elimination to $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ (TS1)
RXN 4) hydrogen shift isomerization to hydroperoxy-ethyl adduct (TS2)
RXN 5) dissociation of O atom to form an ethoxy radical plus oxygen atom
RXN 6) H-shift from ipso carbon to form acetaldehyde plus hydroxyl radical (TS5)

RXN 1-3 are important reactions at lower temperature hydrocarbon oxidation. RXN 4 becomes important after $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ addition to ethylene (olefins), reverse direction. RXN 3 has an activation barrier of $30.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, about $4.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ below the ground state starting energy of the reactants. This transition state leads directly to $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ products. RXN 4 has a barrier of $36.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, or about $1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ above the reactants $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$

The thermodynamic properties for ethoxy radical in RXN 5 were estimated from group additivity and use of hydrogen bond increment method. ${ }^{88,130}$ The products ethoxy +O are estimated to have an endothermicity of over $25 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ above the reactants and the rate constants at low temperatures would not be significant compared to the other channels, but it is important at high temperatures.

The sixth possible channel, RXN 6, for the fate of the activated ethylperoxy adduct is the formation of an aldehyde. The activated ethylperoxy adduct crosses over TS5, which then undergoes OH elimination to form acetaldehyde. The barrier required is $41.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ or $6.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ above the reactants.

The energized hydroperoxy-ethyl adduct can undergo 4 different reactions: reverse reaction back to ethylperoxy radical, stabilization, $\beta$-scission to $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ products or react through a 3-member ring transition state to form oxirane + hydroxyl. The reverse reaction to ethylperoxy radical through TS2 has a barrier of about 18.5 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The transition state to form $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}(\mathrm{TS} 3)$ is about $0.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher than that to form oxirane +OH (TS4), based on CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory. Both TS3 and TS4 are below the reactants.

### 6.3.5 High-pressure Limit Arrhenius Rate Parameters ( $\boldsymbol{k}_{\infty}$ )

High-pressure limit kinetic parameters in the form of modified Arrhenius rate parameters are included in Table 6.5 for both forward and reverse reactions. The rate constants are derived from the thermodynamic properties, which consists of enthalpy calculated at the CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and entropy and heat capacity values from frequencies and structures at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory.

The rate constants for the addition and dissociation reaction for $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \Leftrightarrow$ $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$ are calculated by VTST at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory. The reaction coordinate along the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length is calculated to determine the respective energies. Refer to Figure 6.1. Rate constants are calculated based on the dissociation path. The addition reaction rate constant is determined by satisfying the detailed balance criteria.

Table 6.5 Reactions and Rate Constants Used to Build the Current Mechanism to Model Ethyl $+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Oxidation

|  | Reaction | $\begin{gathered} \text { A (cc/mol-s or } \\ 1 / \mathrm{s}) \end{gathered}$ | n | Ea (cal/mol) | comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| T5-1 | $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}+\mathrm{h} \nu \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Cl}$ | Adjust to fit ethane decay |  |  |  |
| T5-2 | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{HCl}$ | $5.18 \times 10^{13}$ | 0.0 | 225. | a, e |
| T5-3 | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O} \bullet+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O} \bullet+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $3.23 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.0 | 248.38 | c |
| T5-4 | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $1.64 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.0 | 248.37 | c |
| T5-5 | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet+\mathrm{HO}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $1.62 \times 10^{11}$ | 0.0 | -1987. | b |
| T5-6 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O} \bullet+\mathrm{OH}$ | $2.5 \times 10^{13}$ | 0.0 | 37700. | b |
| T5-7 | $\mathrm{HO}_{2}+\mathrm{HO}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $1.87 \times 10^{12}$ | 0.0 | 1540. | d |
| T5-8 | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}+\mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $4.68 \times 10^{12}$ | 0.0 | 2030. | b |
| T5-9 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O} \bullet+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ | $3.6 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.0 | 1090. | b |
| T5-10 | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$ | $2.94 \times 10^{13}$ | -0.44 | 0.0 | f |
| T5-11 | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | $2.46 \times 10^{18}$ | -1.07 | 35320. | $f$ |
| T5-12 | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet \rightarrow \bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ | $7.90 \times 10^{6}$ | 1.79 | 35820. | f |
| T5-13 | - $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$ | $1.17 \times 10^{7}$ | 1.04 | 17980. | f |
| T5-14 | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}+\mathrm{OH}$ | $1.32 \times 10^{9}$ | 1.37 | 41590. | f |
| T5-15 | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $8.80 \times 10^{5}$ | 2.24 | 29610. | f |
| T5-16 | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O} \bullet+\mathrm{O} \bullet$ | $2.98 \times 10^{15}$ | -0.09 | 61600. | f |
| T5-17 | $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ | $1.28 \times 10^{11}$ | 0.52 | 16150. | f |
| T5-18 | - $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{COC}) \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{OH}$ | $1.32 \times 10^{10}$ | 0.72 | 15380. | f |

[^3]The dissociation reaction rate constant is calculated to be $k_{\infty, \text { diss }}=2.46 \times 10^{18} T^{-1.07} e^{-\frac{35.32 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}}{R T}}$ and the addition reaction to be $k_{\infty, \text { addn }}=2.94 \times 10^{13} T^{-0.44}$, with no barrier for the addition path.

### 6.4 Comparison of Modified Strong Collision Assum ption Vs. Master Equation Results

### 6.4.1 Major Channels

Both master equation and modified strong collision treatments of collisional deactivation were employed in this analysis. The predicted effects of pressure (at 297 K ) are compared in Figure 6.3a. Both treatments give nearly identical results. Stabilization is the dominant reaction over the entire range, even at pressures as low as 0.001 atm . The only other channel of importance at this low temperature is the concerted elimination pathway to produce $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}(\mathbf{R X N} 3)$. This pathway is predicted to decrease with increased pressure above about 0.01 atm . This decrease in rate coefficient with pressure is due to the increasing importance of collisional stabilization, which results in deactivation of the energized adduct before the concerted elimination can occur.


Figure 6.3 Calculated chemical activation rate constants (a) T = 297K : Symbols = MSC results and lines $=\mathrm{ME}$ results, $(\mathrm{b}) \mathrm{P}=0.5 \mathrm{~atm}(\mathrm{ME})$.

### 6.4.2 Minor Channels

For the minor channels, the qualitative behaviour is as expected. Stabilization of the hydroperoxy-ethyl adduct rate goes through a maximum near 0.1 atm . The maximum can be attributed to the fact that the barrier heights of TS3 (formation of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ ) and TS4 (formation of oxirane + hydroxyl radical) are lower than that of TS2 (reverse isomerization to ethylperoxy radical). At low pressures, some of the energized $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$ adduct can isomerize to $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}^{*}$ prior to stabilization, but the subsequent rate of stabilization of $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}^{*}$ in the low-pressure environment is slower than the rate through TS3 and TS4, so the overall rate for stabilization remains low. As pressure increases, stabilization (primarily $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$ ) becomes more important. But continued increases in pressure diminish the isomerization rate, leading to a decrease in the stabilization rate of rate coefficient of $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{CCOH}$. The similar rate coefficients for formation of oxirane and ethylene (via the hydrogen shift) reflect similar barriers and Afactors for these two channels. This conclusion is similar to that of Green ${ }^{156}$ and Shen, et al. ${ }^{159}$ At this low temperature, the reaction to form ethoxy +O is negligible, due to the high endothermicity. A possible conclusion from other modelling studies on the system that a more important path for the $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ formation is $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ addition to ethylene. ${ }^{71}$

Figure 6.3 b illustrates the results of the master equation predictions for the temperature dependence of the various reaction channels at a fixed pressure of 0.5 atm . The stabilization channel is predicted to dominate at temperatures below approximately 750 K . Above this temperature, the concerted elimination becomes the dominant pathway. As temperature is increased, the rate through other channels begins to increase,
while the stabilization rate decreases. Formation of acetaldehyde becomes more rapid at higher temperatures, about one order of magnitude slower than the direct molecular elimination channel to form ethylene plus $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ at about 2000K. At the higher temperatures, there is sufficient energy for the energized adduct to overcome the high barrier associated with the 4-membered ring TS. The ethoxy radical channel is not important at low temperatures, but rate constant increases rapidly as temperature increases. At 2000 K , the rate constant to form ethoxy radical is less than 2 orders of magnitude slower than the direct molecular elimination channel.

Figure 6.4 shows the rate coefficient for stabilization of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO}$ • as a function of both temperature and pressure. A complex temperature-pressure dependence on the stabilization rate constant is apparent. The stabilization rate constant is seen to scale linearly with pressure at temperature greater than 1200 K .


Figure 6.4 Surface plot of stabilization rate constant for $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO}$ - as function of temperature and pressure calculated by QRRK with modified strong collision

The rate constant for formation of ethylene $+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ via molecular elimination is shown in Figure 6.5. Note the predicted lack of pressure dependence at high temperature, above 1500 K . Two channels are competing here: dissociation back to $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and molecular elimination to $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$. Collisional stabilization is unimportant even at 100 atm . The predicted rate constants at low temperatures exhibit a negative pressure dependence, consistent with many experimental studies. Kaiser observed a less pronounced pressure dependence on $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ formation at higher temperatures, with stronger pressure dependence at lower temperatures, ${ }^{147}$ similar to the predictions.


Figure 6.5 Surface plot of direct molecular elimination rate constant for $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ as function of temperature and pressure calculated by QRRK with modified strong collision.

### 6.4.3 Comparison to Experimental Results

As indicated earlier, it is necessary to combine the chemical activation with thermal activation reactions that account for the subsequent thermal dissociation of the stabilized adducts. It is also necessary to include reactions of important products that control or limit reverse processes. In Table 6.5, Reactions T5-1 to T5-9 are included in the mechanism to account for secondary reactions. These same reactions were used in the earlier experimental analysis by Kaiser and by Clifford, et al. The pressure-dependent reactions included in the mechanism are calculated in this work. These rate coefficients are listed in the Chebyshev form. For comparison to the data, two mechanisms are used: master equation and modified strong collision. Both included reactions T5-1 to T5-9, with one using the master equation predictions and the other the modified strong collision predictions. All comparisons with experimental data are done using the Chebyshev form of the rate constants, unless explicitly stated that the "conventional" form is also used.

### 6.4.4 Ethylene Yield

Comparison of the two different collisional models, i.e. modified strong collision and master equation, with Kaiser's experimental UV reactor data ${ }^{147}$ at 298 K are shown in Figure 6.6. ${ }^{147}$ The two mechanisms are used in the CHEMKIN integrator package to determine the ethylene concentration. ${ }^{145}$ Figure 6.6 shows the comparison of the two predictions to Kaiser's experimental data for the effect of pressure on the ethylene yield. The error bars on Kaiser's data are based on secondary consumption corrections that Kaiser estimated to be $<12 \%$. Both models show reasonable agreement with Kaiser's experimental data, and properly capture the dramatic drop in yield with increasing
pressure. At the higher pressures, the dominant product is formation of the stabilized $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$ adduct (cf. Figure 3a) which does not dissociate at 298 K .


Figure 6.6 Comparison of the pressure effect on $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ yield at 298 K . Data from Kaiser. ME = Solid line; MSC = Dashed line.

Comparisons of the predicted and observed temperature dependence of the ethylene yield, at a constant density of $4.8 \times 10^{18}$ molecules $/ \mathrm{cm}^{3}$, are shown in Figure 6.7. Both methods produce reasonable agreement with the experimental data, especially the increase in temperature dependence at higher temperatures. The results for the MSC model are calculated based on the "conventional" CHEMKIN mechanism file format, viz.
the non-Chebyshev polynomial formalism. The results for the ME model presented in Figure 6.7 are calculated based on the Chebyshev polynomial formalism for the CHEMKIN mechanism.

At low temperatures, it is possible to compute the ethylene yield directly by taking the ratio of the sum of the predicted rate coefficients for "direct", i.e. chemicallyactivated, ethylene production to the total predicted "direct" rate coefficient. The temperature is sufficiently low that any contribution from dissociation of the stabilized adduct is insignificant. However, as the temperature is increased and the thermal dissociation rate coefficient increases, it is necessary to include the effects of thermal dissociation of the adduct. The growing importance of the dissociation pathway can be seen in Figure 6.7 by the difference between the "direct" ethylene yield, based on the MSC model, and the predictions using the mechanism. Below 400 K , results from the "direct" and CHEMKIN produce identical results, but at higher temperatures the "direct" ethylene yield is less than the numerical integration results, as the dissociation reactions become important. There are two important dissociation channel of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+$ $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$, both need to be considered.


Fiugre 6.7 Comparison of Kaiser's data at a constant molecular density of $4.8 \times 10^{18}$ molecule/cc with ME and MSC models. Circle $=$ Kaiser; Dash line $=$ MSC; Solid line $=$ ME. Inverted triangles show the predicted yield from only the "direct" chemical activation pathway using the MSC model.

### 6.4.5 HO 2 Yield

Experimental data on $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ production from Clifford, et al. ${ }^{148}$, using laser photolysis, are also compared with the current ME and MSC models. The experiments were carried out from $298-700 \mathrm{~K}$ at a constant molecular density of $1.1 \times 10^{18} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$. Clifford, et al. present two types of $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ concentration yield: total and prompt $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ yield. An accurate account of the $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ yield has to take into consideration not only the formation of $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$, but also the amount of $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ that is consumed in other reactions, i.e. the $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ self reaction and reaction with the ethylperoxy radical. Figure 6.8 illustrates an example of the $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ concentration profile from CHEMKIN using the MSC mechanism expressed in the
"conventional" CHEMKIN mechanism format; CHEMKIN results using the ME mechanism are similar and omitted for clarity.

Predicted $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ concentrations, represented by the black circles in Figure 6.8, show a rapid rise in $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ followed by a slower decay before reaching steady state. The shape of this curve is similar to the actual experimental time-resolved infrared FM signal for $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ reported by Clifford, et al. Correction of this data for the $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ self reaction, (achieved by omitting reaction $\mathbf{T 5}-7$ ) which Clifford, et al. term "raw" $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$, shows slightly higher initial $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ formation followed by a slow increase, also consistent with Clifford, et al.'s interpretation. Further correction for the ethylperoxy radical reaction with $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ (omitting reaction T5-5) shows an even higher $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ formation. This upper curve represents the expected evolution of $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ with time, under conditions where there are no $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ destruction reactions. Note there is a "prompt" production of $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ at very early time, representing formation directly from the initially formed energized ethylperoxy radical. This is followed by a much slower rise, and this can be attributed to the slower production of $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ from the stabilized ethylperoxy radical. Clifford, et al. accounted for the secondary reactions of $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ by comparing their observed $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ production to that obtained using $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ from methanol as a reactant, since the $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ yield from $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ is expected to be unity. Calculations from this study to determine the $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ yields is obtained in a similar manner.


Figure 6.8 Transient $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ concentration profile calculated by CHEMKIN. Upside-down triangle $=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{O}_{2}$ reference reaction set. Solid circle $=$ total $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ concentration with the complete mechanism. Line with circle $=\mathrm{HO}_{2}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ reaction omitted. Line with upside-down triangle $=\mathrm{HO}_{2}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{HO}_{2}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$ reactions omitted.

A comparison of the "prompt" $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ yield between Clifford, et al.'s experimental data, at a constant total molecular density of $1.1 \times 10^{18}$ molecule- $\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$, and the numerical integration results from the ME and MSC mechanisms is shown in Figure 6.9. The results using both master equation and modified strong collision treatments are similar and slightly lower than observed.


Figure 6.9 Comparison of formation of "prompt" $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ between Clifford, et al.'s experimental data and predictions using the mechanisms.

Figure 6.10 compares the predicted "total" $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ yield as a function of temperature to that measured by Clifford, et al. The results from both the ME and MSC are similar and agree very well with the observations of Clifford, et al. Particularly encouraging is the correct prediction of the observed rapid increase near 600 K . At the higher temperatures, only a small fraction of the total $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ is predicted to come directly for the energized adduct; the dominant pathway is dissociation of the initially stabilized adduct. Failure to account for this dissociation in the mechanism would lead to a substantial error.


Figure 6.10 Comparison of master equation and modified strong collision model predictions with data for "total" $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ formation.

### 6.5 Kinetic Implications for Low Temperature Ignition

The conventional approach to describe low-temperature ignition kinetics, especially the region of negative temperature coefficient, considers the following reactions ${ }^{175}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{R} \bullet+\mathrm{O}_{2} \leftrightarrows \mathrm{ROO} \bullet  \tag{KIN1}\\
& \mathrm{ROO} \bullet+\mathrm{RH} \rightarrow \mathrm{ROOH}+\mathrm{R} \bullet  \tag{KIN2}\\
& \mathrm{ROOH} \rightarrow \mathrm{RO} \bullet+\bullet \mathrm{OH} \quad \text { (Branching) }  \tag{KIN3}\\
& \mathrm{ROO} \bullet \rightarrow \mathrm{R} \cdot \bullet \mathrm{COOH}  \tag{KIN4}\\
& \mathrm{R} \bullet \mathrm{OOH} \rightarrow \mathrm{yRO}+\bullet \mathrm{OH}  \tag{KIN5}\\
& \mathrm{R} \cdot \mathrm{COOH}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \quad \text { (Branching) } \tag{KIN6}
\end{align*}
$$

( $\mathrm{R} \bullet$ is an alkyl radical, $\mathrm{RO} \bullet$ is an alkoxy radical and yRO is generally a cyclic ether. $\mathrm{R} \bullet \mathrm{OOH}$ is often written as QOOH , but for clarity the $\mathrm{R} \bullet \mathrm{COOH}$ notation is used to emphasize the free radical character of this species.) If the temperature is sufficiently low that the equilibrium in KIN1 is shifted to the right, the subsequent reactions of $\mathrm{RO}_{2}$
can lead to the chain-branching (KIN3 and KIN6) needed for ignition. However, as the temperature rises, the equilibrium in KIN1 shifts to the left, reducing the $\mathrm{RO}_{2}$ concentration and thus slowing down the overall rate, i.e., producing a negative temperature coefficient of reaction rate. Particularly noteworthy in this mechanism is the importance of the isomerization reaction (KIN4); without this, chain branching via KIN6 cannot occur. In ethane oxidation, the newly-identified direct pathway for production of ethylene and $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ from ethylperoxy is much faster than KIN4. This competing fast reaction necessarily reduces the amount of $\mathrm{R} \cdot{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{COOH}$ that can be produced via KIN4. (One specific illustration is shown in Figure 3b, where $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ is approximately three orders of magnitude lower than $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO}$ •) Thus the amount of chain branching via KIN6 will be substantially reduced. Although more complex fuels might well have lower barriers to isomerization [by having the internal hydrogen transfer proceed through a less strained ring (6- or 7 - vs. 5 -member) or by abstracting secondary or tertiary hydrogens rather than the primary hydrogens in ethyll, the possibility of concerted elimination of $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ from $\mathrm{RO}_{2}$ in these fuels will generally result in formation of less $\mathrm{R} \bullet \mathrm{COOH}$ this will reduce the overall branching rates. Thus there is a need to revisit these systems to see if new branching pathways are needed to accurately describe lowtemperature ignition.

### 6.6 Conclusions

Thermodynamic properties for the reaction system of ethyl radical plus molecular oxygen have been calculated by the $a b$ initio composite method, G2 and CBS-Q//B3LYP/6$31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$. The enthalpies calculated by CBS-Q/B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) shows that the
molecular elimination transition state is lower than the reactants by $4.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, and that the hydrogen shift transition state is higher than the reactants by about $1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The association and dissociation reaction rate constants are determined by VTST and detailed balance criteria. The other high-pressure rate constants are determined from canonical transition state theory. This reaction system was analyzed in terms of both the initial product distribution resulting from the reactions of the energized $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$ adduct and the subsequent thermal dissociation of the stabilized $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$ adduct. This analysis was performed by using a QRRK formalism for $k(E)$ coupled with either a modified strong collision model (MSC) or a master equation model (ME) to account for collisional deactivation. These models used unadjusted input parameters based exclusively on electronic structure calculations wfvith adduct enthalpies from isodesmic working reactions. Comparison of predictions from these models to experimental observations for both ethylene and $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ yields were generally very satisfactory, with little differences in the MSC and ME predictions.

The utility of a Chebyshev formalism to represent both the temperature and pressure dependence of the rate coefficients for use within a CHEMKIN mechanism was demonstrated. The Chebyshev polynomial mechanism is a promising method to model complex pressure dependent systems.

## CHAPTER 7

# THERMOCHEMISTRY, REACTION PATHS AND KINETICS ON THE HYDROPEROXY-ETHYL RADICAL REACTION WITH $O_{2}$ : NEW CHAIN BRANCHING REACTIONS IN HYDROCARBON OXIDATION 

### 7.1 Introduction

The conventional approach to describe low-temperature ignition kinetics, especially in the negative temperature coefficient regime, considers the following reactions of peroxy species ${ }^{175}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{R} \bullet+\mathrm{O}_{2}=\mathrm{ROO} \bullet  \tag{R1}\\
& \mathrm{ROO} \bullet+\mathrm{RH} \rightarrow \mathrm{ROOH}+\mathrm{R} \bullet  \tag{R2}\\
& \mathrm{ROOH} \rightarrow \mathrm{RO} \bullet+\bullet \mathrm{OH} \quad \text { Branching }\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}} \sim \text { Bond Energy, } \sim 44 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\right)  \tag{R3}\\
& \mathrm{ROO} \bullet \rightarrow \mathrm{R} \cdot \bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}  \tag{R4}\\
& \mathrm{R}^{\prime} \bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH} \rightarrow \mathrm{Cy}-\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{OH}  \tag{R5}\\
& \mathrm{R}^{\prime} \bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{OO} \bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{\prime}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})+\mathrm{OH}  \tag{R6a}\\
& \mathrm{R}^{\prime}(\mathrm{OOH}) \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O}) \rightarrow \mathrm{R}^{\prime}(\mathrm{O} \bullet) \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})+\mathrm{OH} \text { Branching } \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}} \sim 44 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} \tag{R6b}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathrm{R} \bullet$ is an alkyl radical, $\mathrm{RO} \bullet$ is an alkoxy radical and $\mathrm{Cy}-\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{CO}$ is a cyclic ether. $\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ is often written as QOOH ; for the purpose of clarity the $\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ notation is used to emphasize the free radical character of this species. Reaction (R4) is written slightly differently than Walker, ${ }^{175}$ to distinguish radical formation on the carbon bonded to peroxide versus other carbons; the radical formed is not on the peroxide carbon. If the temperature is sufficiently low that the equilibrium in (R1) is shifted to the right, the subsequent reactions of ROO can lead to the chain branching needed to model ignition and cool flames ( $\mathbf{R 3}$ and R6). However, as the temperature rises, the equilibrium in (R1) shifts to the left, reducing the ROO• concentration and R• conversion. This slows the overall oxidation rate, and produces a negative temperature coefficient for conversion of alkanes. The isomerization in reaction (R4) is important because it is
needed for chain branching. The alkyl radical which is formed reacts further with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ (R6a and R6b above exemplified for ethyl in this study).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \Rightarrow\left[\bullet \mathrm{OOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}\right]^{*}  \tag{R7}\\
& {\left[\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet\right]^{*} \Rightarrow\left[\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet\right]^{*}(\text { stabilization })}  \tag{R8}\\
& {\left[\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet\right] \Rightarrow\left[\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOOH}^{*} \Rightarrow \mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})+\mathrm{OH}\right.}  \tag{R9}\\
& \mathrm{HOOCH} 2 \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O}) \tag{R10}
\end{align*} \Rightarrow \bullet \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})+\mathrm{OH} \text { (Branching) }
$$

One should note that $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ and $\mathrm{RC} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ rapidly dissociate to $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{OH}$ (and $\mathrm{RCH}_{2}=\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{OH}$ ) with no barrier; because the carbonyl bond formed is so much stronger (ca $80 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) than the peroxide ( $\mathrm{RO}-\mathrm{OH}, c a 45 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) bond cleaved.

In this study the composite CBS-Q and G3(MP2) calculations to estimate enthalpies of the adduct and transition states in the hydroperoxy-ethyl $+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reaction system are used. Two reaction paths, which have lower energies than the (entrance channel) are found: a direct elimination to $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ plus $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHOOH}$ and a 1,4 hydrogen shift (5-member ring) transition state structure to $\left(\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{HOOH}\right)^{*}$, which immediately dissociates to $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})+\mathrm{OH}$. The direct $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ elimination is a new reaction path not previously considered in this di-peroxy system; the vinyl-hydroperoxide formed $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHOOH}\right)$ dissociates rapidly with an $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ of about $22.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, leading to low energy chain branching channel. The hydrogen shift and direct $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ elimination path are found to have similar kinetics. Relative to the 5 member ring H -shift in the ethylperoxy radical, the hydrogen shift is found to have a more important role in this hydroperoxide-peroxy system, because the peroxy oxygen is abstracting from a weak $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond on $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$. The H -shift path also results in some chain branching because the $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ formed is chemically activated and a fraction dissociates to $\mathrm{OH}+$ formyl-methoxy radical, before stabilization.

A third path to chain branching is also apparent: chemical activation association of the hydroperoxy-ethyl $+\mathrm{O}_{2}$, which has $35 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ of energy relative to the stabilized adduct, results in a diradical $\bullet \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet+\mathrm{OH}$ from hydroperoxide $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond cleavage. This is about $9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ above entrance channel, but has a loose transition state structure.

### 7.2 Calculation Methods

### 7.2.1 Thermodynamic Properties

Molecular properties for reactants, adducts, transition states (TS) and products are estimated by the composite CBS-Q ${ }^{165}$ and by G3(MP2) ${ }^{176}$ methods using Gaussian94. ${ }^{55}$ The hybrid DFT method B3LYP, with a double zeta polarized basis set, $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$, is used to determine the optimized geometry for CBS-Q calculations, ${ }^{129,166}$ denoted as CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), and MP2(full)/6-31G(d) is used for G3(MP2). The DFT calculations are spin-unrestricted Hartree-Fock. Molecular geometries are fully optimized using the Berny algorithm and redundant internal coordinates. ${ }^{55}$ Confirmation of TS structures is verified by checking for a single imaginary frequency and its motion, plus evaluation of the optimized TS structures. The spin contamination for all three saddle point TS's is 0.76 , in the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) calculations, in reasonably close agreement with the theoretical spin value of 0.75 .

Statistical mechanics is employed to determine the vibration, external rotational and translational contributions to entropy and $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T})$. Molecular parameters required in the statistical mechanics analysis are calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory for the optimized geometric structure of the species. Zero-point vibration energy
(ZPVE), vibration frequency and thermal contributions to enthalpy from harmonic frequencies are scaled in accordance to the factors recommended by Scott and Radom. ${ }^{62}$ Contributions from optical isomers and unpaired electrons are included in the $\mathrm{S}^{298}$ calculations accordingly. The active carbon site has chiral characteristics, in addition to presence of optical isomers from the hydroperoxy group. The hydroperoxy being formed in the ring (TS) does not contribute to a second optical isomer, since it is part of the chiral characteristic. Contributions of internal rotation to $\mathrm{S}^{298}$ and $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T})$ are incorporated based on the Pitzer-Gwinn formalism. ${ }^{90}$

### 7.2.2 Determination of Rate Constants

The high-pressure limit rate constants are determined by application of macrocanonical transition state theory for temperatures from $250-2500 \mathrm{~K}$. The rate constants are fitted by a nonlinear least-squares method to the form of a modified Arrhenius rate expression, $k_{\infty, \text { forw }}=A_{\infty} T^{n} e^{-\frac{E_{a}}{R T}}$, to obtain the parameters: $\mathrm{A}_{\infty}, \mathrm{n}$ and $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$. The reported rate constants are derived from the enthalpy of formation calculated at the CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory and entropy and heat capacity values at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory. The CBS-Q analysis is used, as opposed to G3(MP2), because of significantly lower spin contamination in several species. The rate constant for the $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}+$ $\mathrm{O}_{2} \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO}$ - reaction is estimated based on the variational transition state theory for ethyl $+\mathrm{O}_{2} .{ }^{177}$ The dissociation (reverse rate constant) is based on microscopic reversibility. The rate constant for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{OH}+\bullet \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet \tag{R11}
\end{equation*}
$$

is estimated from $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}$ and literature values for the A factor of $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond cleavage in hydroperoxides. ${ }^{178}$

This overall $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$ reaction process is complex, involving several competitive reactions of the energized and stabilized adduct: which has 4 forward paths plus a reverse reaction with a loose transition state, all at competitive energies. In addition stabilization and one higher energy isomerization with a tight transition state are present. A weak (ca $1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ well) hydrogen bonding conformer of the adduct is also present.

Kinetic parameters describing product formation from the bimolecular chemical activation association process and the unimolecular thermal dissociations are estimated using a multi-frequency quantum Rice-Ramsperger-Kassel (QRRK) analysis for $k(E)^{60,179-181}$ with the steady state assumption on the energized adduct and master equation analysis ${ }^{116,182,183}$ for fall-off. Chang, et al. ${ }^{60,63}$ described a modified QRRK analysis that is used in this paper.

### 7.3 Results and Discussion

### 7.3.1 Structures

Table 7.1 lists the optimized structure parameters from the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory. Figure 7.1 shows an overall energy diagram of the reaction paths. The lowest energy conformer - a cyclic hydrogen bonded intermediate is 0.85 and $1.02 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ lower in energy than the more linear alkyl like structure calculated at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and UHF/6-31G(d), respectively.


Figure 7.1 Potential Energy Diagram for the $\cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ system calculated at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p).

### 7.3.2 Hydrogen Shift Isomerization (5 member ring) - TS1

The TS for the hydrogen shift of $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$ to $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})+\mathrm{OH}$, via a 5member ring, (TS1) forms an unstable $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{HOOH}$, which rapidly dissociates (elimination of OH ) to form the hydroperoxy-acetaldehyde +OH product. This second reaction (after the H -shift) is similar to the instability in the hypochlorite-methyl radical, where $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{Cl}$ dissociation to lower energy products $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{Cl}$ exhibits no barrier. ${ }^{184}$ The structure of TS1 infers the start of the second reaction step, elimination of OH from the hydroperoxy on the $\beta$-carbon, since the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length is $1.37 \AA$, which is slightly shorter than the normal C-O bond length of $1.46 \AA .{ }^{185}$ This suggests that TS1 continues through an unstable dihydroperoxy-ethyl radical structure, which quickly

Table 7.1 Optimized Geometric Parameters for Species in the Hydroperoxy-Ethyl $+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Oxidation System at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) Level of Theory

(a)

(b)

(c)

| $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ |  | $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ |  | $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHOOH}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter $^{\dagger}$ | Value | Parameter $^{\dagger}$ | Value | Parameter $^{\dagger}$ | Value |
| r21 | 1.4867 | r21 | 1.5225 | r21 | 1.3294 |
| r31 | 1.4338 | r31 | 1.2083 | r31 | 1.3715 |
| r51 | 1.1028 | r61 | 1.1126 | r61 | 1.0873 |
| r61 | 1.0964 | r72 | 1.0971 | r72 | 1.0825 |
| r72 | 1.0839 | r82 | 1.0981 | r82 | 1.0810 |
| r82 | 1.0857 | r102 | 1.4116 | r43 | 1.4477 |
| r43 | 1.4545 | r1110 | 1.4669 | r94 | 0.9725 |
| r94 | 0.9715 | r511 | 0.9708 | a431 | 110.61 |
| a431 | 107.08 | a721 | 110.17 | a721 | 118.94 |
| a721 | 121.63 | a821 | 109.09 | a821 | 122.06 |
| a821 | 119.65 | a1021 | 112.47 | a312 | 128.85 |
| a312 | 113.51 | a312 | 123.20 | a612 | 124.20 |
| a512 | 111.64 | a612 | 114.24 | a943 | 99.97 |
| a612 | 111.78 | a11102 | 104.30 | d9431 | 105.50 |
| a943 | 100.62 | a51110 | 99.07 | d4312 | -2.24 |
| d9431 | -100.13 | d111021 | -68.62 | d6123 | 179.93 |
| d4312 | 70.81 | d511102 | 145.97 | d7213 | -179.85 |
| d8217 | -175.59 | d82110 | 115.43 | d8213 | 1.20 |
| d3127 | -138.25 | d72110 | -125.68 |  |  |
| d5127 | 107.51 | d31210 | -193.36 |  |  |
| d6127 | -13.42 | d61210 | -15.04 |  |  |

$\dagger$ Parameters: " $r$ " corresponds to atomic distance between respective atoms in Angstroms, "a" parameter is the bond angle in degrees and " $d$ " is the dihedral angle in degrees.

Table 7.1 Optimized Geometric Parameters for Species in the Hydroperoxy-Ethyl $+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Oxidation System at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) Level of Theory (Continued)

(d)

(e)

(f)

| $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO}$ |  | TS1 |  | TS2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter $^{\dagger}$ | Value | Parameter $^{\dagger}$ | Value | Parameter $^{\dagger}$ | Value |
| r21 | 1.5226 | r21 | 1.5338 | r21 | 1.3899 |
| r31 | 1.4205 | r31 | 1.3720 | r31 | 1.4008 |
| r51 | 1.0946 | r61 | 1.0923 | r61 | 1.0944 |
| r61 | 1.0969 | r72 | 1.0946 | r72 | 1.0827 |
| r72 | 1.0933 | r82 | 1.0977 | r82 | 1.0831 |
| r82 | 1.0932 | r102 | 1.4226 | r102 | 2.1554 |
| r102 | 1.4593 | r43 | 1.4519 | r43 | 1.4673 |
| r43 | 1.4515 | r94 | 0.9722 | r94 | 0.9713 |
| r94 | 0.9735 | r1110 | 1.4274 | r1110 | 1.2730 |
| r1110 | 1.3255 | r511 | 1.2521 | r511 | 1.2719 |
| a721 | 111.53 | a721 | 114.79 | a721 | 120.58 |
| a821 | 111.08 | a821 | 109.21 | a821 | 120.52 |
| a1021 | 111.46 | a1021 | 102.68 | a1021 | 97.48 |
| a431 | 107.72 | a431 | 108.36 | a431 | 107.11 |
| a312 | 112.71 | a312 | 111.68 | a312 | 115.06 |
| a512 | 110.64 | a612 | 117.54 | a612 | 119.67 |
| a612 | 108.66 | al1102 | 103.06 | al1102 | 99.23 |
| a11102 | 111.18 | a943 | 99.26 | a943 | 99.48 |
| a943 | 101.56 | a51110 | 92.92 | a51110 | 98.40 |
| d111021 | -75.73 | d111021 | 47.36 | d9431 | 121.50 |
| d9431 | 272.07 | d9431 | 223.81 | d111021 | -2.09 |
| d4312 | 83.99 | d4312 | 198.65 | d4312 | 142.18 |
| d6128 | -66.54 | d511102 | 323.72 | d511102 | 0.18 |
| d82110 | 115.52 | d72110 | 243.75 | d72110 | -96.23 |
| d72110 | -120.54 | d82110 | 119.02 | d82110 | 97.14 |
| d31210 | -66.08 | d31210 | 209.34 | d31210 | -108.46 |
| d51210 | 57.93 | d61210 | 75.86 | d61210 | 108.86 |

Table 7.1 Optimized Geometric Parameters for Species in the Hydroperoxy-Ethyl $+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Oxidation System at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) Level of Theory (Continued)

(g)

| TS3 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Parameter $^{\dagger}$ | Value |
| r21 | 1.5142 |
| r31 | 1.4121 |
| r51 | 1.0981 |
| r61 | 1.0999 |
| r72 | 1.0954 |
| r82 | 1.3317 |
| r102 | 1.3890 |
| r1110 | 1.5090 |
| r43 | 1.4543 |
| r94 | 0.9762 |
| a721 | 118.03 |
| a1021 | 116.33 |
| a431 | 107.44 |
| a312 | 113.50 |
| a512 | 109.72 |
| a612 | 109.39 |
| a11102 | 88.92 |
| a943 | 99.70 |
| a8210 | 85.70 |
| d9431 | -96.60 |
| d111021 | -109.00 |
| d4312 | 66.90 |
| d72110 | 141.99 |
| d61210 | -73.53 |
| d51210 | -192.47 |
| d31210 | 41.58 |
| d821011 | 2.08 |

dissociates by breaking the weak ( $\mathrm{ca} 45 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) hydroperoxy bond while forming the stronger (ca $80 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) carbonyl bond.

### 7.3.3 Direct Molecular ( $\mathbf{H O}_{2}$ ) Elimination - TS2

The TS for the direct molecular elimination channel (denoted as TS2) has a breaking CO bond length of $2.16 \AA$, and forming $\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{H}$ bond length of $1.27 \AA$ and a breaking $\mathrm{C}-$ H bond length of $1.36 \AA$. The long $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{OO}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds, the shorter $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{C}-$ C bonds, and near planar ethylene structure decisively determine this as the $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ molecular elimination TS.

### 7.3.4 Hydrogen Shift (4 member ring) TS3

The third TS (denoted as TS3) is a 4-member ring H-shift from the ipso-carbon to the peroxy oxygen. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond length in TS 3 is $1.33 \AA$, and the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond length is $1.27 \AA$. Again the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond $(1.39 \AA)$ is shorter and the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond $(1.51 \AA)$ is longer than normal, which infers the start of the OH elimination as in TS1. This transition state structure continues to react (eliminate OH ) and form the strong carbonyl bond in hydroperoxy-acetaldehyde +OH . TS3 passes through a dihydroperoxy-ethyl radical structure, identical to TS1.

### 7.3.5 Diradical + OH Channel $\left(\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{OH}+\bullet \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet\right)$

The $\mathrm{RO}-\mathrm{OH}$ bond energy in alkyl hydroperoxides is ca $44.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, which is only ca $9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ above the entrance channel of the hydroperoxy-ethyl $+\mathrm{O}_{2}$. This channel is included in the chemical activation and dissociation reactions; because the molecule is
relatively large and the bond cleavage has a loose transition state structure. Reints, et al. ${ }^{178}$ report a generic Arrhenius A-factor for alkyl RO-OH dissociation of $3 \times 10^{15} \mathrm{sec}^{-}$ ${ }^{1}$, while Chen, et al. ${ }^{71}$ use $4.5 \times 10^{15} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$. This is used in the current analysis as an estimate, plus perform a sensitivity study showing results from increase and decrease of this A-factor by a factor of 3.3.

### 7.3.6 Thermodynamic Properties

The enthalpy of formation of the hydroperoxy-ethylperoxy radical, is calculated by isodesmic reaction analysis ${ }^{136}$ with B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) $a b$ initio calculations to be -24.01 and $-23.89 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, respectively. (Refer to Table 7.2) This is about $35 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ below the entrance channel and nearly identical to the well for ethyl radical $+\mathrm{O}_{2}$. The thermodynamic properties of reactants, TS's and products of this system are listed in Table 7.3. TS1 and TS2 are very close in energy (within ca 1 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ and both are over $4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ below the entrance channel. B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and G3(MP2) calculate TS2 to be slightly lower in energy than TS1: but CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) calculates TS1 slightly lower in energy than TS2, see Table 7.4. The $42.24 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ barrier of TS3 makes this channel unimportant.

### 7.3.7 Reaction Paths and High-pressure Limit Rate Constants

The 2 hydroperoxy-ethyl radical undergoes addition with oxygen to form an energized 2-hydroperoxy-ethylperoxy radical, with no barrier and a $35.23 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ well depth at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p). CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) determines this well depth to be 35.11 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The adduct (energized and stabilized) can undergo four possible reactions,

Table 7.2 Isodesmic Working Reactions for CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and B3LYP/6$31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ Analysis on Enthalpy of the Hydroperoxy-Ethylperoxy Adduct.

CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)

| $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}+$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -380.0054538 | -79.62475631 | -229.8156475 | -229.8156475 |
| X | $-20.4^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $-39.89^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $-39.89^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| $\mathrm{X}=-58.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{HOOCH} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet+$ | $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ |
| -379.3699627 | -229.8156475 | -229.182771 | -380.0054538 |
| Y | $-39.89^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $-6.72^{\mathrm{b}}$ | -58.7 |
| $\mathrm{Y}=-23.89 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ |  |  |  |

## B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)

| $\mathrm{HOOCH} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ | $+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ | $+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| -380.4196807 | -79.76083203 | -230.0904115 | -230.0904115 |  |
| X | -20.4 | $-39.89^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $-39.89^{\mathrm{b}}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{X}=-59.19 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{HOOCH} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$ | + | $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ |
| -379.7921956 | -230.0904115 | -229.466121 | -380.4196807 |  |
| Y | $-39.89^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $-6.72^{\mathrm{b}}$ | -58.7 |  |
| Y |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{Y}=-24.01 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$
a: CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, $63^{\text {rd }}$ Ed., CRC Press, Inc. 1974
b: Based on CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) calculation with isodesmic working reactions. Refer to Sheng, C., Bozzelli, J.W., Dean, A.M., "Second Joint Meeting of the U.S. Sections of the Combustion Institute: Western States, Central States, Eastern States", Oakland, CA, 2001

Table 7.3 Thermodynamic Properties of Species in the 2 Hydroperoxy-Ethylperoxy Oxidation System Calculated at CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)

| SPECIES | $\mathrm{Hf}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$ | $\mathrm{~S}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(300)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(400)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(500)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(600)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(800)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(1000)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(1500)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\bullet^{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ | 11.22 | 83.28 | 20.25 | 23.52 | 26.36 | 28.72 | 32.30 | 35.13 | 39.67 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 0 | 49.01 | 7.02 | 7.23 | 7.44 | 7.65 | 8.04 | 8.35 | 8.73 |
| $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$ | -23.89 | 90.67 | 25.00 | 30.45 | 34.92 | 38.41 | 43.27 | 46.48 | 51.14 |
| TS1 | 5.84 | 85.96 | 23.94 | 29.63 | 34.43 | 38.23 | 43.51 | 46.90 | 51.56 |
| TS2 | 6.54 | 87.40 | 25.36 | 30.89 | 35.46 | 39.05 | 44.01 | 47.19 | 51.63 |
| TS3 | 16.78 | 89.05 | 24.42 | 30.21 | 34.86 | 38.46 | 43.38 | 46.54 | 50.92 |
| HOOCH | $\mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ | -57.06 | 83.24 | 21.07 | 25.20 | 28.66 | 31.42 | 35.31 | 37.90 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHOOH}$ | -10.87 | 73.59 | 17.67 | 21.58 | 24.80 | 27.33 | 30.83 | 33.16 | 36.61 |
| HO | 3.25 | 54.73 | 8.37 | 8.95 | 9.48 | 9.96 | 10.78 | 11.43 | 12.47 |
| OH | 8.96 | 43.88 | 7.16 | 7.08 | 7.05 | 7.05 | 7.15 | 7.33 | 7.87 |

Units: Enthalpy in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, Entropy in $\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol}-\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{Cp}$ in $\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol}-\mathrm{K}$

Table 7.4 Comparison of Activation Barriers, Relative to the Hydroperoxy-Ethylperoxy Adduct, to the Molecular Elimination and the 5-Member Ring Hydrogen Shift Transition State; CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and G3(MP2)

|  | TS1 (5-member ring HS) | TS2 (molecular elimination) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) | 29.05 | 28.25 |
| CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) | 29.72 | 30.43 |
| G3(MP2) | 31.19 | 29.08 |
| G3(MP2) (using B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) | 31.26 | 31.27 |

Units: $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$
with the high-pressure limit rate constants shown in Table 7.5. The reactions are:
$\mathbf{R ( - 1 1 )}$ Dissociation back to reactants: $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$
R12 5-member ring hydrogen shift forming an unstable dihydroperoxy-ethyl radical that rapidly $\beta$-scissions to hydroperoxy-acetaldehyde plus OH . (TS1) $\left(\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet\right) \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{HOOH}\right] \Rightarrow \mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})+\mathrm{OH}$ via H-shift)

The typical $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond energy in a hydroperoxide $\sim 45 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, which is lower than the $\sim 80 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ gained in the new $\pi$-bond of the $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ moiety. This reaction, over the H shift transition state, has some $54 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ excess energy over the product set $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})+\mathrm{OH}$. A significant fraction of the $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ has sufficient energy to cleave the $\mathrm{RO}-\mathrm{OH}$ bond ( $45 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) resulting in a second $\mathrm{OH}+$ a formyl methoxy radical $(\mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{O} \bullet)$. This is a new chain branching step; with the last step a function of both pressure and temperature.

R13 Molecular elimination, through a 5-member ring, to form a hydroperoxyethylene molecule $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHOOH}\right)$ plus $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$. (TS2)
$\left(\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CHOO} \bullet\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHOOH}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$
R14 4-member ring hydrogen transfer on the ipso carbon forming an unstable dihydroperoxy-ethyl radical that rapidly $\beta$-scissions to hydroperoxyacetaldehyde plus OH . (TS3) This $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ is also chemically activated; but with more energy, than R12.
R15 Cleavage of the weak hydroperoxide $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond in the energized [HO$\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet\right]^{*}$ adduct to form a diradical +OH . $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \Leftrightarrow\left[\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet\right]^{*} \rightarrow \bullet \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet+\mathrm{OH}$

The two isomerization channels, $\mathbf{R 1 2}$ and $\mathbf{R 1 4}$, both react to a dihydroperoxy-ethyl radical, which is unstable; it undergoes rapid $\beta$-scission, with no barrier, cleaving the weak $\mathrm{RC} \bullet \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OH}$ bond and forming a strong carbonyl bond, resulting in 2-hydroperoxyacetaldehyde plus OH radical. (Figure 7.1). R14 is not important because of its' higher barrier.

Table 7. 5 High-Pressure Limit Rate Constants. Rate Constants Expressed as $\mathrm{k}_{\text {forw }}=\mathrm{A}$ $\mathrm{T}^{\mathrm{n}} \exp \left(-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}} / \mathrm{RT}\right)$.

| Reaction (\#) |  | $\mathrm{A}^{\dagger}$ | n | Ea | comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$ | (11) | $8.82 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 1.12 | 0.0 | a |
| $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \rightarrow \bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | (-11) | $4.24 \mathrm{E}+23$ | -0.99 | 36.14 | b |
| $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet \rightarrow \mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})+\mathrm{OH}$ (HS) | (12) | $1.61 \mathrm{E}+02$ | 3.27 | 27.71 | c |
| $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CHOOH}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ (ME) | (13) | $8.63 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 3.51 | 28.46 | c |
| $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet \rightarrow \mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})+\mathrm{OH}$ (ipso) |  | $1.75 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 3.19 | 38.90 | c |
| $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet \rightarrow \bullet \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet+\mathrm{OH}$ | (15) | 3.0E15 | 0.0 | 43.5 | d |

${ }^{\top}$ Units: Rate constants in $\mathrm{cc} / \mathrm{mol}-\mathrm{sec}$ or $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{sec}$; Ea in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.
a Used the rate constant from ethyl $+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$
b Rate constant determine by satisfying the detailed balance criteria with current thermodynamic data c Rate constants calculated by canonical transition state theory. "HS" denotes through TS1, "ME" denotes through TS2 and "ipso" denotes through TS3.
d Estimated pre-exponential A-factor from Reints et al. Ea is estimated by $\Delta H_{x n}$ between products and reactants.

### 7.3.8 General Kinetic Implications

There are two low energy channels (R12 and R13); both have similar rate constants and both result in low energy chain branching from chemical activation reactions of hydroperoxy alkyl radical associations with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and from thermal activation reactions of the stabilized peroxy adduct.

The hydroperoxy-ethylene from $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ elimination (R13) results in an important new chain branching channel, where the Ea reaction is $\sim 22.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. This is one half the value of conventional chain branching activation energies. The vinyl hydroperoxide undergoes rapid unimolecular dissociation, breaking the weak $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond to form an OH plus vinoxy radical. ${ }^{186-188}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHOOH} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHO} \bullet+\mathrm{OH} \leftrightarrow & \bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})+\mathrm{OH} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}=22.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}^{188}
\end{aligned}
$$

The vinyl hydroperoxide is probably formed with sufficient energy in moderate temperature combustion reactions to dissociate immediately; and even at thermal conditions it only has a short (several seconds) lifetime. This is a new, low energy, chain
branching reaction and further studies is anticipated to learn and understand the implications of including this reaction in low to moderate temperature hydrocarbon and oxy-hydrocarbon oxidation systems and in oxidation of lubricating oils. A lower energy resonance structure of the vinoxy radical is the formyl-methyl radical; it will further react with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ or undergo unimolecular isomerization / dissociation reactions.

The $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ formed from $\mathbf{R 1 2}$ is chemically activated. It can dissociate before stabilization, or the stabilized molecule can undergo dissociation of the hydroperoxide bond to $\mathrm{R}(\mathrm{O} \bullet) \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})+\mathrm{OH}$, which is the conventional chain branching path, $\mathrm{Ea} \sim 44 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}(\mathbf{R 3})$. The alkoxy-acetaldehyde radical can $\beta$-scission to either a di-aldelyde (glyoxal) +H atom or to a formyl radical plus formaldehyde. $\mathbf{R} 3$ has been considered important in recently published mechanisms, where the barrier was reduced to $37 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for a better mechanism fit to data. ${ }^{189,190}$ The estimation of the preexponential A-factor for the cleavage of the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond from $\mathrm{ROOH} \rightarrow \mathrm{RO} \bullet+\mathrm{OH}$ is $4.5 \times 10^{15} \mathrm{sec}^{-1} .191,192$

It is surprising to find the barrier for the hydrogen shift to the peroxy radical from the beta-carbon to be low, $29.72 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, and similar to the $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ elimination barrier, $30.43 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. This hydrogen transfer barrier is significantly lower than the isomerization barrier of ethyl-peroxy to hydroperoxy-ethyl, i.e. $36.36 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}{ }^{25,161,177}$ The reason for this low barrier is the very weak $\mathbf{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond energy on the hydroperoxide carbon, where the TST appears to recognize the lower energy, final products. ${ }^{184}$ The hydrogen transfer path (TS1) continues to lower energy products, and it also moves the hydroperoxy-ethyl radical out of the ethyl $+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ quasi-equilibrium system; which serves to accelerate oxidation.

### 7.3.9 Kinetic Analysis on Chemical Activation C•COOH + O2 Reaction System

 Rate constants calculated by QRRK analysis for $k(E)$ with master equation for fall-off over a temperature range of $250-2500 \mathrm{~K}$ and a pressure range of $0.001-100$ atm are performed. Nitrogen is used as the collision bath gas with a $\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{down}}$ of $830 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) parameters are shown in Figure 7.2 for log rate constant versus $\log$ pressure at 800 K . This constant temperature analysis shows that stabilization is still increasing as pressure approaches 100 atm . The overriding reaction channels of the chemically activated adduct are stabilization at low T and high pressure, and dissociation of the adduct back to reactants. The molecular elimination to vinylhydroperoxide plus $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$, is the dominant product channel, but only factor of 1.8 faster than the low energy H-shift reaction and, surprisingly, only a factor of 3.5 faster than the higher energy chemical activation chain branching di-radical +OH product set at 1 atm. The formation rate of hydroperoxy-acetaldehyde plus OH , via the 4-member ring ipsocarbon H -shift is slower than the 5 -member ring H -shift by over two orders of magnitude and is not important at lower temperatures. The 5-member ring hydrogen shift and the molecular elimination channel are faster than the stabilization rate below 0.01 atm in the chemical activation reaction. The dissociation kinetics of the chemically activated $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ adduct from the H shift reactions will be treated in a future study.

Figure 7.2 Rate Constants vs. Pressure at $T=800 \mathrm{~K}$.
A plot of rate vs. temperature at 1.0 atm in Figure 7.3, shows complex temperature dependence. The stabilization rate constant for the hydroperoxy-ethylperoxy adduct is more important than product formation below 1000 K . The molecular elimination and the 5 -member ring H -shift channels are the most dominant products up to 800 K . The biradical +OH channel increases in importance with increase in temperature, and is near equal the $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ elimination channel at 1000 K . It is the most important product at higher T. Formation of hydroperoxy-acetaldehyde plus OH , via the 4-member ring ipso-carbon H-shift is the least important channel.


Figure 7.3 QRRK Rate Constants at $\mathrm{P}=1.0 \mathrm{~atm}$.

### 7.3.10 Kinetic Analysis on Thermal Dissociation of $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$ Adduct

It is important to analyze the adduct dissociation due to the importance of stabilization. Dissociation rate constants at 800 K over a pressure range of $10^{-3}$ to 100 atm are illustrated in Figure 7.4. Dissociation to hydroperoxy-ethyl radical $+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is the most important channel, with $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ elimination and the H -shift isomerization channels showing a slight increase in rate constant with pressure. Dissociation of the stabilized adduct to the biradical +OH is increasing in importance with pressure.

Figure 7.5 a and 7.5 b illustrate dissociation rates at constant pressures of 0.0075 and 1.21 atm vs. temperature. At 0.0075 atm pressure dissociation to hydroperoxy-ethyl radical $+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and the two similar barrier channels, $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ elimination and the H -shift
isomerization, are all of similar importance. Above 1000 K , these two product channels dominate. As pressure is increased to 1.21 atm , the reverse reaction to hydroperoxy-ethyl radical $+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ is the dominant channel below 1500 K .


Figure 7.4 Dissociation rate constants for hydroperoxide ethyl peroxy radical calculated by QRRK with master equation analysis at isothermal conditions of $T=800 \mathrm{~K}$


Figure 7.5 Thermal Dissociation rate constants for hydroperoxide ethylperoxy radical calculated by QRRK with master equation analysis at isobaric conditions. Top 0.0075 atm, bottom $=1.21 \mathrm{~atm}$.

### 7.3.11 Sensitivity to R15

Figure 7.6 illustrates the sensitivity of $\mathbf{R 1 5}$ relative to the $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ elimination channel vs temperature when the Arrhenius A-factor is varied by $+/-$ factor of 3.3 in the chemical activation system at low pressure. The $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ elimination and H -shift dominate below 1000 K but the importance of this diradical increases with temperature and pressure.


Figure 7.6 Sensitivity analysis of $\bullet \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet+\mathrm{OH}$ channel. The molecular elimination channel is used as the reference comparison to the di-peroxy system.

### 7.3.12 Formation of Hydroperoxy - Alkyl Radicals

If these newly proposed reactions are to be important in combustion and thermal oxidation systems; the paths for formation of the hydroperoxy-alkyl radical reactants need to occur. These are formed via two general mechanisms in combustion and other thermal oxidation systems. One via isomerization (H-shift) of peroxy radicals, particularly on hydrocarbons where 6 and 7 member H-shift ring transition states can occur. These larger rings have lower ring strain and thus lower barriers to the H -shift reactions. The second path is $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ radical addition to olefins. ${ }^{71}$

$$
\mathrm{HO}_{2}+\mathrm{RCH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{RC} \bullet \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH} \text { or } \mathrm{RCH}(\mathrm{OOH})-\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2}
$$

### 7.4 Summary

Thermochemical and kinetic parameters on the 2 hydroperoxy-ethyl radical reaction with molecular oxygen are determined for the first time. The standard enthalpy of formation for the hydroperoxide-ethylperoxy adduct is $-23.89 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and the reaction well depth is $35 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The activation barrier for the molecular elimination channel and the fivemember ring hydrogen shift channels are calculated by CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) $\{$ G3(MP2) \} to be $30.43\{29.08\}$ and $29.72\{31.19\} \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. These barriers are 4 to 6 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ below the energy of the reverse reaction. The low barrier for the 5 -member ring isomerization reaction, some $7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ lower than in the ethyl-peroxy system, is a result of the low $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond energies on the carbon bonding to the peroxide.

Chemical activation analysis on the reaction system shows prompt formation of: (i) a $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ molecular elimination plus vinyl hydroperoxide, where the the vinyl hydroperoxide has a weak ( $22 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mole}$ ) $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHO}-\mathrm{OH}$ bond and rapidly undergoes
unimolecular dissociation to formyl methyl plus OH radicals (ii) an intramolecular hydrogen transfer ( 5 -member ring) to 2 hydroperoxide acetadehyde +OH , where the $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}(=\mathrm{O})$ formed is chemically activated and a significant fraction dissociates to $\mathrm{OH}+$ formyl-methoxy radical, before stabilization and (iii) a third new reaction path cleavage of the weak peroxide bond to form a bi-radical +OH . The bi-radical +OH formation (R15) may have more importance in larger hydrocarbon radical systems, where the H -shift isomerizations to form hydroperoxide alkyl radicals have 6 and 7 member rings with low energy barriers. These larger molecules are also closer to the highpressure limit.

Thermal dissociation of the stabilized adduct shows that the reverse reaction back to reactants is the dominant reaction below 1500 K at 1 atm ; but $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ elimination and 5member ring H-shift (R12 and R13), become competitive under lower pressure and temperature conditions.

The intramolecular H -transfer and $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ elimination reactions, $\mathbf{R 1 2}$, and $\mathbf{R 1 3}$ have similar barriers and rate constants; they are important reactions in both chemical activation and in unimolecular dissociation; they move the hydroperoxy-ethyl radical out of the ethyl $+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ quasi-equilibrium and both lead to chain branching. Pressure effects on the rate constants of R12 and R13 are relatively small.

The overall (major flux) reaction paths are stabilization and reverse reaction to hydroperoxy-ethyl $+\mathrm{O}_{2}$. This forward / reverse reaction process results in a quasiequilibria and allows the chain branching reaction steps to exhibit a strong and perhaps controlling influence under some conditions in hydrocarbon oxidation.

## CHAPTER 8

## DEVELOPMENT OF A PRESSURE DEPENDENT REACTION MODEL FOR METHANE/METHANOL MIXTURES UNDER PYROLYTIC AND OXIDATIVE CONDITIONS AND COMPARISON WITH EXPERIMENT

### 8.1 Introduction

Oxygenated hydrocarbons play an important role in both industrial and environmental chemistry. Alcohols, such as methanol and ethanol, are in use as alternative motor fuels and as additives to conventional transportation fuels to improve performance. Ethers, such as methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE), are in use as oxygen additives as anti-knock components in gasoline and other ethers are being considered as additives for diesel fuels.

The oxidation and pyrolysis of gas phase methanol has been reported in a number of studies over the past half century by experimental methods, which range from laser induced fluorescence, diffusion flame, shock-tube and static or flow reactors. ${ }^{34,193-204}$

Norton and Dryer performed methanol oxidation experiments using a turbulent flow reactor at equivalence ratios in the range from 0.6 to 1.6 and initial temperatures from 1025 to 1090 K at atmospheric pressure. ${ }^{33}$ They also presented a kinetic mechanism for methanol pyrolysis which matched multiple sets of experimental data from static, flow, and shock tube reactors, covering temperatures of 973 to 1993 K and pressures of 0.3 to 1 atmosphere. ${ }^{34,203}$ They indicated that the fuel decomposition reaction $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}$, previously included only in mechanisms for high temperature conditions, also has a significant effect at low temperatures through the reverse radical recombination reaction. They also reported that the reaction $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CO} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ rather than $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, was the major source of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ at low temperatures and the
reverse of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}$ was important to $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ production at high temperatures.

Held and Dryer published additional results on a methanol oxidation mechanism for static reactor, flow reactor, shock tube reactor and laminar flames for temperatures from 633 to 2050 K over a pressure range of 0.26 to 20 atm. ${ }^{24}$ Their mechanism is primarily from Yetter, et al., ${ }^{205}$ Hochgreb and Dryer ${ }^{206}$ and Norton and Dryer ${ }^{33}$ with modifications. Held and Dryer report, through sensitivity analysis, that methanol oxidation was found to be very sensitive to kinetics of hydroperoxy radical. While the pressure and temperature range is large the mechanism only includes fall-off analysis for four reactions.

The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ radical is an important first product from varied abstraction reactions on methanol. Important elementary reactions of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ with molecular and atomic oxygen in the methanol oxidation system were studied by Grotheer, et al. ${ }^{204}$ using a direct discharge flow reactor over temperature range from 298 to 673 K at pressures around 1 mbar. Radical profiles were monitored by a low-energy electron impact ionization mass spectrometer. The rate coefficient was measured for the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{O}$ reaction. A strong non-Arrhenius behavior for $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reaction was reported.

Three different wide range of experimental conditions were performed previously by Wen-chiun Ing ${ }^{207}$ at New Jersey Institute of Technology: methanol pyrolysis, methanol oxidation and methanol/methane oxidation. Mixtures of methanol and methane are studied over varied pressure and temperature range to assess effects of methanol as an additive in motor vehicle fuels. This data will serve as a basis, along with that in the literature, on the validation of the pressure dependent model for methanol and methane
oxidation and pyrolysis. The current model will allow evaluation and simulation of methanol combustion under atmospheric conditions as well as in compression engines or turbines, where pressures are very different from atmospheric.

The mechanism presented in this current study includes about 200 pressure dependent reaction steps, which exhibit significant pressure dependence in the pressure and temperature range of these experiments, and are represented in Chebyshev polynomial form over a pressure range from 0.001-100 atm and a temperature range of $250-2500 \mathrm{~K}$. Included in the mechanism are pressure effects on important unimolecular and bimolecular reactions plus negative temperature dependence and pressure effects on hydrocarbon ( HC ) and oxy-hydrocarbon ( OHC ) species reactions that account for observations by Grotheer, et al. ${ }^{204}$ Pathways for formation and oxidation of higher molecular weight products, such as $C_{2}$ hydrocarbons and methyl-ethers, which are observed in this study, are also included.

### 8.2 Description of Experimental Setup

The reactor used in Ing's experiments is a 6 mm ID ( 12 mm OD ) quartz tube, which is housed within a 75 cm length of three-zone clamshell 3 cm ID electric tube furnace equipped with three independent Omega Model $\mathrm{CN}-310$ digital temperature controllers. A Neon Controls BPS 26G2501, 200 psi back pressure regulator is used to maintain the desired pressure within the reactor. (Refer to Figure 8.1 for experimental setup).


Figure 8.1 Schematic diagram of Ing's methanol/methane experiment.
Temperature profiles for the reactor are obtained at each flow using a type K thermocouple probe that moves axially across the 75 cm length reactor. Thermocouple error caused by radiation from furnace wall is minimized by using a grounded sheath and with a representative flow of inert. The darkened outside surface of the quartz tube reactor serves as a second radiation shield. Temperature control resulted in temperature profiles isothermal to within $\pm 5^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ over $80-85 \%$ of the furnace length for each temperature. Temperature gradients of $500^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ in 5 cm occur at the inlet and outlet of the reactor. Uncertainty in absolute temperature measurements is estimated to be $\pm 1 \%$ (i.e. $\pm 8-12^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ ), but relative temperatures are measured to within $\pm 5^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$.

Methanol is reagent grade supplied by Aldrich Co. Methane and argon gases are filtered for removal of $\mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, and hydrocarbon impurities before entering the reactor system. Oxygen is filtered for H 2 O and hydrocarbons. All gases are from Praxair
(Liquid Carbonic). The carrier gas (argon) is passed through a saturation bubbler, which contains liquid methanol held at $273^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ using an ice bath. A second argon flow stream is used as make-up gas in order to achieve the desired reactant ratio. Methane and oxygen are added to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{Ar}$ flow as required. All four gas streams (argon, make-up argon, oxygen and methane) are controlled by a Union Carbide LINDE ${ }^{\circledR}$ Model FM-4550 mass flowmeter-flowcontroller with four independent mass flow control modules. Four channels are calibrated to indicate $0-100 \%$ range of the desired gases.

The mixed reactants (feed mixture) are preheated to about $373^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ to prevent condensation and improve reactor temperature control. The reactants can either flow through the reactor or flow directly to a GC sampling valve, via a bypass line. The bypass is used to determine the initial concentration of reactants prior to entry through the high temperature reactor.

Gas samples are drawn through the sampling line by means of a mechanical vacuum pump with a constant flow rate of $30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min}$, where pressure in the sample loop is controlled. A HP-5890 Series II gas chromatograph (GC) with two flame ionization detectors (FID) is used on-line for analysis. The bulk of the effluent is passed through a sodium bicarbonate $\left(\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}\right)$ flask for neutralization before being released to a fume hood.

A HP-5890 Series II GC with two FIDs is used on-line to determine the concentration of reactants and products. A ten-port VALCO gas sampling valve is employed to introduce the gas samples into the GC columns. Two columns, one packed and one capillary, are used to perform the chromatographic separations. A $6^{\prime} \times 1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ stainless steel column is packed with 50\% 80/100 Poropak T and 50\% 80/100 Poropak Q
for the separation of $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and light hydrocarbons. A $90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.53 \mathrm{~mm}$ HewlettPackard fused silica capillary column is used for heavier hydrocarbon and oxyhydrocarbon separation. The gas samples pass through two sampling loops, $1.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ and $0.25 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$, at a constant flow rate of $30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} /$ minute, and are injected into the packed and capillary column, respectively.

A catalytic converter is connected in series after the packed column in order to increase the accuracy in quantitative analysis of CO and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The catalyst consists of $5 \%$ 80/100 ruthenium on alumina. After CO and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ are separated by the packed column, just prior to entering the catalytic converter, it will mix with $15 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{min} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ gas, coming from a tee. The catalytic converter will convert the mixture to methane, which can be detected by the FID for high sensitivity. The chromatogram peaks are analyzed with two HP 3396A integrators.

Product identifications are verified by a HP 5899A GC/Mass Spectrometry, with a HP $90 \mathrm{~m} \times 0.53 \mathrm{~mm}$ fused silica capillary columns (same type as the one used in the online GC system). Batch samples of reactor gas drawn from the reactor are collected into an evacuated $25 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ stainless steel sample cylinders for later analysis.

Methanol pyrolysis experiments are all performed under isothermal condition at $1073^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, with varying concentrations of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ over a pressure range from 1 to 10 atm . Experimental data on the decomposition of methanol in presence of oxygen and argon were obtained for both fuel lean $(\phi=0.75)$ and stoichiometric $(\phi=1.0)$ conditions over a temperature range from 873 to $1073^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ and a pressure range of 1 to 5 atm . Initial $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ mole fraction for both fuel lean and stoichiometric conditions are 0.0078. All methanol/methane oxidation experiments are carried out under overall stoichiometric
conditions. Experimental data for methanol/methane oxidation experiments at two different temperatures and pressure combination conditions are collected: either $873^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ and 5 atm or 1073 and 1 atm . Various methanol/methane concentration ratios, ranging from $0: 2$ to $2: 0$, were studied for each of the two temperature/pressure conditions. All experimental data were monitored over a residence time from 0.1 to 2.0 seconds.

### 8.3 Computational Methods

A pressure dependent mechanism for $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{CH}_{4}$ system has been developed; it consists of about 150 species and 450 elementary reaction steps, with over 200 elementary reactions being pressure dependent. Pathways for formation and oxidation of higher molecular weight products, such as $C_{2}$ hydrocarbons and methyl-ethers, which are observe, are also included. Thermodynamic properties of species are from literature, theoretical and estimation techniques, such as group additivity, 88 hydrogen bond increment (HBI) ${ }^{130,208}$ and $a b$ initio or density function calculations. The HBI group technique is based on known thermodynamic properties of the parent molecule and calculated changes that occur upon formation of a radical, via loss of a H atom. The HBI group incorporates evaluated carbon hydrogen $(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H})$ bond energies, for $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}$ of the respective radical, and changes that result from loss or changes in vibrational frequencies, internal rotation, symmetry and spin degeneracy when a hydrogen atom is removed from the specific carbon site. Entropies and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{T})$ values are from use of HBI .

### 8.3.1 Ab initio and Density Functional Theory Computations

The molecular properties for the explicit $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ dissociation system, including methanol, transition states (TS) and products, are determined by the high level composite CBS-APNO $a b$ initio calculations. The $a b$ initio calculations are performed using Gaussian94. ${ }^{55}$ The CBS-APNO calculations are performed in accordance to the method outlined by Montgomery, et al. ${ }^{209}$ Vibrational frequencies, ZPVE and thermal correction contributions are calculated at the $\mathrm{HF} / 6-311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ level of theory, with scaling factors consistent with the recommended values by Scott and Radom. ${ }^{62}$ The optimized geometry is calculated at the $\mathrm{QCISD} / 6-311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ level of theory. Figure 8.2 illustrates the potential energy diagram of the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ subsystem


Figure 8.2 Potential energy diagram of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{OH}$ calculated at CBS-APNO.
The three channels in the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ system that do not exhibit conventional transition state structures are calculated from variational transition state theory (VTST). The thermodynamic properties of the complexes along the reaction coordinate of these three channels in the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ system are determined by the composite CBS-Q method utilizing the optimized geometry from B3LYP/6-31G(d,p). The optimized geometries of the
complexes along the respective reaction coordinate are determined by holding the respective dissociating bond distance constant in increments of $0.1 \AA$ starting from the stable ground state of methanol to a bond distance that corresponds to the total energy equivalent to the sum of the total energy of the respective dissociated products. The optimized geometries of the complexes are calculated by the hybrid DFT method B3LYP, which combines the three parameter Becke exchange functional, B3, with the Lee-Yang-Parr nonlocal correlation functional, LYP, with a double zeta polarized basis set, $6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}){ }^{129,166}$ Single point calculations at the complete basis set, composite method - CBS-Q ${ }^{125}$ are utilized based on the optimized B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) geometry, denoted as CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p). ${ }^{59,129,166}$ The DFT calculations are spinunrestricted Hartree-Fock. Molecular geometries at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) are fully optimized using the Berny algorithm and redundant internal coordinates. ${ }^{55}$ Zero-point vibrational energy (ZPVE), vibrational frequencies and thermal correction contributions to enthalpy from harmonic frequencies are scaled in accordance to the scaling factors recommended by Scott and Radom. 62 The inclusion of ZPVE and thermal corrections to the total energies of the species in this system has been applied accordingly.

The enthalpies of the two biradicals in the system are determined by use of isodesmic working reactions with group balance. Fundamental requirements for an isodesmic reaction are conservation of electron pair and chemical bond type. ${ }^{136}$ The use of isodesmic reactions is an accurate and desired method for estimating enthalpy of formation. Two isodesmic reactions are employed to determine the enthalpy of formation for the two biradicals of interest in the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ sybsystem.

| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | + | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | + | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | (IR1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{\mathbf{1}} \mathbf{H C O H}$ | + | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | + | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | (IR2) |

The enthalpies of formation of the biradicals of interest are shown in IR1 and IR2 in bold. The enthalpies of formation for all the other oxy-hydrocarbon species in the respective isodesmic reaction are needed before one can determine the enthalpy of formation of the two desired biradicals. IR1 is utilized to determine the enthalpy of formation for the singlet methylene biradical, which is then used in IR2 to aid in the determination of the singlet HCOH biradical.

Conventional statistical mechanics analysis was employed to determine the vibrational, external rotational and translational contributions to entropy and $C_{p}(T) \cdot{ }^{210}$ Molecular parameters required in the statistical mechanic analysis are calculated at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory for the optimized geometric structure of the species. Vibrational contribution for entropy and $C_{p}$ are scaled correspondingly to the recommended scaling factors from Scott and Radom. ${ }^{62}$ Unpaired electrons are also included in the $S^{298}$ and $C_{p}(T)$ calculations accordingly. Contributions of internal rotation to $\mathrm{S}^{298}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{T})$ are incorporated based on the Pitzer-Gwinn formalism. ${ }^{90}$

### 8.3.2 Calculation of High-Pressure Rate Constants

Abstraction reaction rate constants are not pressure dependent and are usually taken from evaluated literature when available. If estimation is required, a generic reaction is utilized as a model and adjusted for steric effects. ${ }^{36}$ Evans-Polanyi analysis is used on the reaction in the exothermic direction to estimate the energy of activation $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}\right)$ for rate constant.

The high-pressure limit rate constant for combination is obtained from literature or estimated from known generic reactions. Both elimination and dissociation reactions utilize similar technique to arrive at its respective rate constants. The reverse of highpressure reaction (addition or combination) parameters is determined from microscopic reversibility.

The high pressure forward rate constants in the CH 3 OH subsystem are determine by application of macrocanonical transition state theory (CTST) for temperatures from $300-2500 \mathrm{~K}$. Forward rate constants from $300-2500 \mathrm{~K}$ are calculated and fitted by a nonlinear least squares method to the form of a modified Arrhenius rate expression, i.e.

$$
k_{\infty, \text { forw }}=A_{\infty} T^{n} e^{-\frac{E_{a}}{R T}}
$$

The rate constants for the three combination and dissociation reaction in the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ system are calculated by variational transistion state theory (VTST), with thermochemical properties determine at the CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory. The reaction coordinate along the respective dissociating bond length is calculated based on the most favorable dissociation pathway along the respective reaction surface. The combination reaction rate constant is determined by satisfying the microscopic reversibility criteria.

### 8.3.3 Kinetic Analysis - Modified Strong Collision and Master Equation

Pressure and temperature dependent rate constants are determined by a modified quantum RRK (QRRK) formalism for $k(E)$. Two methods to analyze the collisional deactivation of the energized adduct are used: master equation analysis and the modified strong collision model. The master equation analysis used in this study, uses a $<\Delta \mathrm{E}>_{\text {down }}$ of 830
$\mathrm{cal} /$ mole for the collisional deactivation with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ as the third body. The second fall-off analysis method used is the modified strong collision approach (beta collision) of Gilbert, et al. ${ }^{137} \mathrm{~A}<-\Delta \mathrm{E}>{ }_{\mathrm{av}}$ of $440 \mathrm{cal} /$ mole is employed for the collisional deactivation with $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ as the third body in the modified strong collision model, to ensure consistency in the third body collisional energy with the master equation analysis.

Chang, et al. ${ }^{60,63}$ described a modified QRRK analysis that is used in this paper. It is shown to yield reasonable results and provides a framework by which the effects of temperature and pressure can be evaluated in complex reaction systems. The current version of the QRRK computer code utilizes a reduced set of vibration frequencies which accurately reproduce the molecule (adduct) heat capacity data. Molecular density-ofstate functions are constructed through direct convolution of single frequency density functions on a $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ grid. The functions corresponding to each reduced frequency is explicitly convolved into a relative density-of-states $(\rho(\mathrm{E})$ ), which is normalized by the partition function (Q). The inclusion of one external rotation, corresponding to the symmetric top, is incorporated into the calculations by convolving the vibration density function with the proper rotational density function. A detailed description of this and comparisons of the $\rho(\mathrm{E}) / \mathrm{Q}$ ratios with the direct count $\rho(\mathrm{E}) / \mathrm{Q}$ ratios are shown to be in good agreement. ${ }^{65}$ Nonlinear Arrhenius effects resulting from changes in the thermodynamic properties of the respective TS , relative to the adduct, with temperature are incorporated using a two parameter Arrhenius pre-exponential A-factor (A, n) in the form of $\mathrm{AT}^{\mathrm{n}}$.

Reduced sets of three vibration frequencies and their associated degeneracies are computed from fits to heat capacity data, as described by Ritter ${ }^{170,211}$ and Bozzelli, et
al. ${ }^{65}$ These have been shown by Ritter to accurately reproduce molecular heat capacities, $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T})$, and by Bozzelli, et al. to yield accurate ratios of density-of-states to partition coefficient, $\rho(E) / Q$.

Master equation analysis is used for fall-off in this analysis as described by Sheng, et al..$^{64}$ and follows Gilbert and Smith. ${ }^{116}$ The method to determine the density of state functions is as described above. Chemical activation is treated by a steady state analysis; the reactant channel provides a continuous input while the product and stabilization channels provide steady outputs. Multiple isomerization channels for the activated adduct is treated by a modified method proposed by Carter and Tardy ${ }^{212}$ which treats the solution by solving a successions of $2 \times 2$ matrix equations that consists of sub matrices. The collision model is based on an exponential down model that describes the collision probability. The frequency of collision between the adduct and bath gas is described by the standard Lennard-Jones model. Unfortunately, a time-independent master equation solution for dissociation that allows for multiple isomerization is not included ${ }^{116}$; unimolecular dissociation reactions are treated as irreversible channels and solved separately. Reversibility of the isomers are taken into consideration by the numerical integrator package CHEMKIN. ${ }^{87}$

### 8.3.4 Pressure Dependent CHEMKIN Mechanism

Both the modified strong collision and master equation codes incorporate a temperature and pressure dependent output formalism for the rate constants, in the form of an NxM Chebyshev polynomial expression. The temperature-pressure dependent rate coefficients in Chebyshev format for the current system of interest are derived from application of the
methodology described by Venkatesh, et al. ${ }^{163,164}$ The current mechanism file is fitted over a temperature range of $250-2500 \mathrm{~K}$ and a pressure range of $10^{-3}-100 \mathrm{~atm}$ with seven temperature functions and three pressure functions, i.e. a $7 \times 3$ Chebyshev polynomial expression. CHEMKIN is used to integrate the linear differential equations for specie concentrations versus time of reaction. ${ }^{87}$ The CHEMKIN interpreter is modified to accept the $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{M}$ Chebyshev polynomial formalism of rate constant. The use of a Chebyshev polynomial formalism for the temperature and pressure dependent rate expression, offers the major advantage in that only one mechanism file is needed that can run multiple temperature/pressure conditions in CHEMKIN.

The current modified CHEMKIN code expresses the Chebyshev series ${ }^{213,214}$ in the inverse temperature and logarithm of pressure as the approximation of the logarithm of the rate coefficients. A $d \times d$ Gauss-Chebyshev grid is taken to fit $\mathrm{N} \times \mathrm{M}$ Chebyshev polynomials using the Levenberg-Marquardt regression algorithm for the reaction systems of interest, where N and M denote the respective temperature and pressure $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ and $j^{\text {th }}$ term and are predefined for different orders of accuracy. The logarithm of the rate coefficient is thus approximated as,

$$
\log k(\widetilde{T}, \widetilde{P})=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} a_{i j} \varphi_{i}(\widetilde{T}) \varphi_{j}(\widetilde{P})
$$

The user specifies the size of the Gauss-Chebyshev grid. The current mechanism utilizes a $50 \times 50$ Gauss-Chebyshev grid. A typical $7 \times 3$ Chebyshev expression of rate constant in CHEMKIN would be,

```
HCO + O2 (+M) < HCQ.*O (+M) 1.00E+00 .000 0. ! ING341
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 1.0438E+01 2.1076E+00 -2.1625E-01 -1.5689E+00/
    CHEB/ 3.9500E-01 2.0033E-01 -6.922EE-01 2.4277E-02 2.9829E-02/
    CHEB/ -2.8569E-01 -1.9522E-02 -8.6222E-03 -1.0518E-01 -1.1326E-02/
    CHEB/ -7.5687E-03 -3.2695E-02 -3.4330E-03 -2.8109E-03 -6.8658E-03/
    CHEB/ -4.4532E-04 -5.6770E-04/
```

The first line provides the reaction information and the dummy rate constant expression in the Arrhenius expression, followed by a comment after the "!" mark. The dummy rate constant is not used in determining the rate constant of the reaction, but is required to be non-zero. The second line denotes the keyword "LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /", which is used for fall-off parameters. The numerical values expressed here do not contribute to computation of the rate constant. Both the "pseudo" rate coefficient and the second line are done to minimize modifications from the original CHEMKIN code. The keyword "CHEB" denotes a rate coefficient expression in the Chebyshev polynomial format. A series of subroutines in the CHEMKIN library files are modified to accept the keyword "CHEB." The "7 3" are the " $N$ " and " $M$ " terms of the Chebyshev polynomials. The following coefficients ( 21 coefficients for a $7 x 3$ Chebyshev expansion) correspond to the " $a_{\mathrm{ij}}$ " coefficients that represent the rate constant over a wide temperature and pressure range.

### 8.4Results And Discussion

### 8.4.1 Optimized Geometries of the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathbf{O H}$ Subsystem

The optimized geometry calculated by the prescribed CBS-APNO ${ }^{209}$ method, QCISD/6$311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}),{ }^{215}$ is shown in Table 8.1. Determination of the activated complex or transition states (TS) consists of an imaginary frequency and analysis of the geometric structure.

Table 8.1 Optimized Geometry Calculated at the QCISD/6-311G(D,P) Level of Theory for the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ System

(a)

(b)
(6)

(c)
(d)

| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ |  | $\mathrm{TS}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OxH}_{2}\right]$ |  | $\mathrm{TS}\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{xH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]$ |  | TS [HCOHxH$]$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter $^{\dagger}$ | Value | Parameter $^{\dagger}$ | Parameter $^{\dagger}$ | Parameter $^{\dagger}$ | Value | Parameter $^{\dagger}$ | Value |
| R21 | 1.417547 | R21 | 1.322864 | R21 | 1.881842 | R21 | 1.348582 |
| R31 | 1.099689 | R31 | 1.103625 | R31 | 1.096920 | R31 | 1.338209 |
| R41 | 1.092881 | R41 | 1.103625 | R41 | 1.099310 | R41 | 1.104309 |
| R51 | 1.099690 | R51 | 1.449977 | R52 | 1.064410 | R53 | 0.872592 |
| R62 | 0.956922 | R65 | 0.984260 | R62 | 0.963036 | R62 | 0.959971 |
| A312 | 112.315654 | A312 | 120.108987 | A312 | 96.528451 | A312 | 114.831362 |
| A412 | 106.957197 | A412 | 120.109021 | A412 | 99.179145 | A412 | 107.002123 |
| A512 | 112.315599 | A512 | 107.166999 | A521 | 48.005093 | A531 | 103.347867 |
| A621 | 106.712384 | A651 | 59.298010 | A621 | 102.139719 | A621 | 106.587653 |
| D4123 | 118.555388 | D4123 | 147.628287 | D4123 | 251.024374 | D4123 | 113.127995 |
| D5123 | 237.110825 | D5123 | 253.814137 | D5213 | 237.426978 | D5312 | 73.688300 |
| D6213 | 61.443617 | D6512 | .000000 | D6213 | 141.610898 | D6213 | 74.041484 |

[^4]Table 8.1 Optimized Geometry Calculated at the QCISD/6-311G(D,P) Level of Theory for the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ System (Continued)


Table 8.1 Optimized Geometry Calculated at the QCISD/6-311G(D,P) Level of Theory for the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ System (Continued)


The TS for molecular elimination of hydrogen molecule from the methanol (denoted as TS1) has a C-O bond of $1.32 \AA$, shorter than the normal $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length of approximately $1.40 \AA$ and slightly longer than the normal $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bond of $1.22 \AA .{ }^{216}$ B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) calculates the C-H bond as $1.46 \AA$ and QCISD/6-311G(d,p) calculates this bond to be $1.45 \AA$, approximately $0.4 \AA$ longer than the normal $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond length. The O-H bond length calculated at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) is $1.40 \AA$, whereas the normal $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond length should be about $0.96 \AA$. QCISD/6-311G(d,p) shows that the H-H bond forming is about $0.98 \AA$; the normal H-H bond is about $0.74 \AA$.

The second TS is this subsystem is the dissociation to form water and the singlet methylene biradical. The reverse is an insertion reaction of the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ into $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond distance in the TS at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) is $1.92 \AA$ and at QCISD/6-311G(d,p) is $1.88 \AA$. Both computed distances are significantly larger than the normal $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length of $1.4 \AA$. The $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond forming at QCISD/6-311G(d,p) is about $1.06 \AA$ and at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) is $0.99 \AA$, slightly longer than the stable $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond length of $0.96 \AA$. The C-H bond distance at QCISD/6-311G(d,p) is $1.41 \AA$ and at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) is $1.72 \AA$, the normal C-H bond distance is $1.08 \AA$.

The third TS forms a hydrogen molecule and a singlet HCOH biradical (TS3). The C-O bond length contracts slightly from the $1.42 \AA$ to about $1.34 \AA$. There are two CH bond lengths of interest in TS3. Both C-H bond lengths are not the same, although both are dissociating from the carbon atom. QCISD/6-311G(d,p) reports the two dissociating C-H bond lengths as $1.34 \AA$ and $1.76 \AA$. B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) determine the two dissociating C-H bond length as $1.31 \AA$ and $1.82 \AA$. The forming H-H bond distance computed by B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) is $0.89 \AA$ and at $\mathrm{QCISD} / 6-311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p})$ is $0.87 \AA$.

The methanol subsystem also exhibits three channels where there are no barriers from the addition reaction: $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}$. Since these reaction do not exhibit any barrier from the addition reaction, and therefore, one cannot utilize the saddle point requirement for TS calculations. Variational transition state theory (VTST) calculations on these three channels are performed at the CBS-Q level with the optimized geometry from B3LYP/6-31G(d,p). The reaction coordinate for these channels are determined by incremental increase in the bond distance of interest along the dissociation reaction path, starting with the full geometrically optimized methanol specie at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory. Single point calculation are then performed at the high level composite method of CBS-Q. Frequencies, ZPVE and thermal correction are determine at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level. Enthalpy of formation are determine by the composite CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)

Construction of the reaction coordinate for the three VTST channels is based on calculated parameters at incremental increases in the appropriate bond distance by 0.10 $\AA$. The VTST calculation performed for the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{OH}$ consists of varying the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond length from $1.22-3.72 \AA$ in incremental steps of $0.10 \AA$. Full optimized geometric structures of the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{OH}$ complexes along this reaction coordinate are optimized by B3LYP/6-31G(d,p). Single point calculation of these complexes are then calculated by CBS-Q method. The $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}$ channel, calculation of complexes along this reaction coordinate consists of changing the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ bond distances from $0.67-4.47 \AA$. The $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond length along the reaction path of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}$ channel range from $0.99-3.89 \AA$. Entropy and $C p$ are determine at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory and enthalpy of formation at the CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p). No spin contamination is observed for the
the complexes. The theoretical spin contamination for this singlet system should be "zero", as was reported from the ab initio and DFT computations.

### 8.4.2 Thermodynamic Properties - $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}^{\mathbf{2 9 8}}, \mathrm{S}^{\mathbf{2 9 8}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathbf{3 0 0 - 1 5 0 0 K})$

Thermodynamic properties used in the current study are presented in Table 8.2. The current study uses the thermodynamic properties determine by the CBS-APNO method because both the CBS-APNO method and B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) did not have spin contamination and CBS-APNO is a higher theoretical level of computation. Entropy and heat capacities are calculated by statistical mechanics, as outlined above, with adjustment of frequency by scaling factors recommended by Scott and Radom. ${ }^{62}$ Pitzer-Gwinn's ${ }^{90}$ general treatment of hindered internal rotational contributions is used to adjust for the entropy and $C_{p}$ values from the rotors.

The hinder rotor contributions for the complexes for the three VTST channels were treated by a modification of the Pitzer-Gwinn's method. All three channels have a rotor along the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ bond. The hinder rotor contribution for transition state structures along the $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ path, is treated by the Pitzer-Gwinn's general treatment. The only rotor in this system is not directly affected by the "stretching" of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond. The complexes along the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{OH}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ path are treated by a direct relationship between the total energy calculated and the sum total of the linear interpolation based on the hinder rotor contribution of the ground state $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ and a free-rotor. The method is described by the following equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi=\frac{E_{i}-E_{R}}{E_{p}-E_{R}} \\
& G_{i}=G_{R}+\left(G_{P}-G_{R}\right) \times \varphi
\end{aligned}
$$

Table 8.2 Thermodynamic Properties for the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ System Calculated at the CBS-APNO Level of Theory.

| SPECIES | $\mathrm{Hf}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$ | $\mathrm{~S}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(300)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(400)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(500)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(600)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(800)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(1000)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(1500)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CH2SISO1 | 102.47 | 45.21 | 8.03 | 8.22 | 8.49 | 8.82 | 9.52 | 10.23 | 11.6 |
| CH2T |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CH2O | 94.15 | 46.69 | 8.16 | 8.46 | 8.77 | 9.07 | 9.66 | 10.25 | 11.51 |
| CH2OH | -26.08 | 52.19 | 8.26 | 8.95 | 9.86 | 10.83 | 12.62 | 14.09 | 16.47 |
| CH3 | -3.49 | 58.564 | 10.621 | 11.796 | 13.033 | 14.161 | 16.043 | 17.529 | 20.164 |
| CH3O | 34.96 | 46.85 | 9.62 | 10.18 | 10.79 | 11.4 | 12.61 | 13.75 | 15.95 |
| CH3OH | 5.26 | 54.26 | 9.25 | 10.75 | 12.32 | 13.78 | 16.23 | 18.14 | 21.25 |
| H2O | -48 | 57.44 | 9.8 | 11.33 | 13.143 | 14.903 | 17.923 | 20.313 | 24.333 |
|  | -57.8 | 45.07 | 7.95 | 7.95 | 7.95 | 7.97 | 8.07 | 8.3 | 9.15 |
| HCOHISO1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TS1 | 25.82 | 56.983 | 9.784 | 10.203 | 10.85 | 11.538 | 12.803 | 13.845 | 15.677 |
| TS2 | 42.49 | 55.62 | 9.33 | 11.2 | 13.26 | 15.21 | 18.51 | 21 | 24.79 |
| TS3 | 38.46 | 60.12 | 10.48 | 12.3 | 14.153 | 15.813 | 18.473 | 20.473 | 23.693 |
| OH | 35.63 | 57.27 | 11.43 | 13.4 | 15.15 | 16.67 | 19.13 | 21.06 | 24.34 |
| H2 | 8.96 | 43.88 | 7.16 | 7.08 | 7.05 | 7.05 | 7.15 | 7.33 | 7.87 |
| *H | 0 | 31.21 | 6.9 | 6.95 | 6.99 | 7.02 | 7.1 | 7.21 | 7.72 |
|  | 52.1 | 27.39 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.97 |

where,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{i}=\text { total energy of the complex } \\
& E_{R}=\text { total energy of the reactant/methanol } \\
& E_{P}=\text { total energy of the sum of the products } \\
& G_{i}=\text { hinder rotor contribution for the complex } \\
& G_{R}=\text { hinder rotor contribution for the } \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{OH} \text { rotor } \\
& G_{P}=\text { hinder rotor contribution for free rotor }
\end{aligned}
$$

The calculated hinder rotor contribution for the complexes are then taken into account for the entropy and heat capacity values. Although the final products of this system do not have hinder rotors, the rotor within the complexes still needs to be taken into consideration.

The enthalpy of formation for reactants, TS, adducts and products are calculated at the CBS-APNO level of theory. The enthalpy of formation for methanol is well known and generally accepted to be $-48.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, and is, therefore, chosen as the reference.

### 8.4.3 Adduct Enthalpy of Formation

Isodesmic reaction analyses are performed on the two biradicals in this system, i.e. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HCOH}$, by the composite $a b$ initio method CBS-APNO. The following illustrates the typical approach utilizing isodesmic reactions to obtain enthalpy of formation values.

| ${ }^{1} \mathbf{C H}_{2}$ | + | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | $=$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -39.110152 | -40.465122 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ | + |
| $\mathbf{X}$ | -17.895 | -39.799533 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ |
| $\Delta E($ hartrees $)=[(-39.799533)+(-39.799533)]-[(-39.110152)+(-40.465122)]$ |  |  |  |
| $=-0.023792$ hartrees $=-14.92971792 \frac{\mathrm{kcal}}{\mathrm{mol}}$ | 34.82 |  |  |
| $\Delta H_{r x n}=-14.92971792 \frac{\mathrm{kcal}}{\mathrm{mol}}=[(34.82)+(34.82)]-[(-17.895)+\mathrm{X}]$ |  |  |  |
| $X=102.47 \frac{\mathrm{kcal}}{\mathrm{mol}}$ |  |  |  |


| ${ }^{1} \mathbf{H C O H}$ | + | $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | $=$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -114.385809 | -40.465122 | -115.666623 | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ |
| $\mathbf{X}$ | -17.895 | -48 | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ |
| $\Delta E($ hartrees $)=[(-115.666623)+(-39.110152)]-[(-114.385809)+(-40.465122)]$ |  |  |  |
| $=0.074156$ hartrees $=46.53363156 \frac{\mathrm{kcal}}{\mathrm{mol}}$ |  |  |  |

Walch reports the difference in enthalpy between the triplet and singlet methylene to be $9.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ using complete-active-space self-consistent-field (CASSCF) / internally contracted configuration-interaction (CCI), ab initio calculations. ${ }^{30}$ The current study also include the computed triplet methylene biradical and determine the difference in enthalpy between the singlet and triplet biradical at the CBS-APNO level of theory to be $8.99 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, in good agreement with Walch.

### 8.5 Reaction Pathways

### 8.5.1 Methanol Subsystem

The initial decomposition steps of methanol, via six different channels, are analyzed and are presented. The barriers for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HCOH}+\mathrm{H}_{2},{ }^{1} \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ channels are calculated at CBS-APNO level of theory using Gaussian 94.55 The sum of enthalpies for the respective product sets are compared with data from the study of $\mathrm{Walch}^{30}$ and Harding, et al. ${ }^{217}$ (Refer to Table 8.3). Harding, et al.'s data are calculated at the RMP4/6-31G(d,p) level of theory and Walch's data are derived using complete-active-
space self-consistent-field (CASSCF) / internally contracted configuration-interaction (CCI), ab initio calculations.

Table 8.3 Comparison of Enthalpies in the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ System with Literature Data.

|  | CBS-APNO | Walch | Harding, et al. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}$ | 104.1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}$ | 95.1 |  |  |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 91.8 | 88.8 | 94.9 |
| ${ }^{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ | -8.99 | -9.0 |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{OH}$ | 90.5 |  |  |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HCOH}^{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 72.8 | 71.1 | 71.1 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 20.1 | 18.1 | 16.4 |
| $\mathrm{TS}\left[\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO} \cdots \mathrm{H}_{2}\right]^{\ddagger}$ | 90.7 | 91.9 | 96.5 |
| $\mathrm{TS}\left[\mathrm{HCOH}^{\mathrm{CO}} \mathrm{H}_{2}\right]^{\ddagger}$ | 86.5 | 85.0 | 91.0 |
| $\mathrm{TS}\left[{ }^{1} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \cdots \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right]^{\ddagger}$ | 83.6 | 82.3 | 84.6 |

The enthalpy for the transition state of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ channel, as reported also by Walch and Harding, et al., is lower than the enthalpy of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ dissociation barrier, through the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ channel, in the current mechanism utilizes the difference in enthalpy between $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The reverse of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ channel does not have a barrier. The high-pressure limit for this dissociation channel is still calculated form canonical transition state theory, but based on an excited state of TS that has the same enthalpy as the enthalpy of ${ }^{1} \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Entropy of the TS is also determined at the same energy level as the excited TS. The barriers of the reverse for ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HCOH}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ channels are calculated as -4.0 and $0.2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ with respect to the energy level of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{OH}$ channel. The rate constants for the three
channels that do not have a saddle point are calculated by VTST from the dissociation of methanol. The high-pressure limit rate constants are shown in Table 8.4.

Table 8.4 High-Pressure Rate Constant Parameters Based on CBS-APNO and CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)

| Reaction | $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{n}$ | Ea <br> (kcal/mol) | comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | 3.31 E 6 | 2.08 | -1.76 | a |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{OH}$ | 3.26 E 10 | 2.05 | 90.35 | b |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}$ | 1.64 E 7 | 2.55 | 91.95 | b |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}$ | 1.19 E 7 | 2.39 | 99.61 | b |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 1.10 E 9 | 1.28 | 90.23 | c |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \rightarrow{ }^{1} \mathrm{HCOH}^{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 2.03 E 10 | 1.22 | 86.41 | c |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} \rightarrow{ }^{1} \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 2.87 E 11 | 1.60 | 92.54 | d |

a Microscopic reversibility
b Variational transition state theory calculation, based on CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)
c Canonical transition state theory calculation, based on CBS-APNO.
d Adjusted canonical transition state theory calculation - thermochemical properties of the transition state taken at the corresponding energy level of the sum of the products.

The unimolecular dissociation shows that $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{OH}$ channel is dominant at moderate temperature (ca $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ ) and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{HCOH}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ channel becomes important when temperature increases. After the initial decomposition of methanol, two H atom abstraction reactions are found to be the most significant to form $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ radicals.

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}_{2}
$$

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}_{2}
$$

There are no well-accepted rate coefficients for $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}$ abstractions available. Warantz's data are adopted in Norton's mechanism and this study. ${ }^{218}$ The
ratio for forming $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ to $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ is $4: 1$ and is temperature sensitive. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ radicals decompose, via beta scission, to form formaldehyde:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+M \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}+M \\
& \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}+M \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}+M
\end{aligned}
$$

These two unimolecular decomposition reactions are pressure dependent and are analyzed by QRRK analysis. Formaldehyde, an important intermediate, decays primarily by a H abstraction reaction: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{HCO}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$. The decomposition reaction of $\mathrm{HCO}+M \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{H}+M$ is found to be the dominant channel to form carbon monoxide, the final product undergoing pyrolysis. ${ }^{219}$

### 8.5.2 Methanol Oxidation

After methanol decomposition occurs, the following reactions play the important roles for methanol decay under oxidation condition and intermediate temperature. In contrast to pyrolysis where H abstraction is important, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{OH}$ is the critical reaction responsible for methanol decay. An important source of hydroxyl radical in the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ +OH abstraction reaction is from the hydroperoxy radical plus hydrogen atom to form two hydroxyl radicals.

| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{OH}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ |

The reaction of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ radical with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to form formaldehyde and hydroperoxy radical is the most important reaction for the production of the consequential intermediate, formaldehyde.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \rightarrow\left[\bullet \mathrm{OOCH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right] \# & \rightarrow . \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{O} \\
& \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{HOOC}^{\bullet} \mathrm{HOH}\right] \# \rightarrow \mathrm{HCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{OH} \\
& \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O} \ldots \mathrm{HOO} \bullet\right] \# \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{HO}_{2} \\
& \rightarrow\left[\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{O} \bullet\right] \# \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{HO}_{2} \\
& \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \text { (Reverse - Null Reaction) } \\
& \rightarrow \bullet \mathrm{OOCH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \text { (Stabilization) }
\end{aligned}
$$

There are two pathways to form $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$. One pathway is through the addition of the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ onto the radical site on carbon, and through a 5-member ring isomerization step, beta-scissions to form $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$. The second pathway is the direct abstraction of H from the hydroxyl group by the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, via $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O} \ldots \mathrm{HOO} \bullet\right]^{\#}$, a hydrogen-bonded complex. The rate constant for the isomerization pathway is about two orders of magnitude slower than the pathway via hydrogen-bonded complex and reported experimental data at low pressure and temperature. The dominance of hydrogen-bonded channel is why the experimental kinetic data cannot be explained through the first route unless the inclusion of the hydrogen-bonded complex $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O} . \mathrm{HOO} \bullet$ is incorporated into the reaction system. ${ }^{22}$

The formation of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ via isomerization of $\mathrm{CH}_{2}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{OO}$ - and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O} . \mathrm{HOO} \bullet$ adduct is the dominant channel for pressures $<1$ atm and temperature $<$ $2000^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$. The stabilization adduct of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OO} \bullet) \mathrm{OH}$ formation is important for pressures $>3 \mathrm{~atm}$ at $298^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ and for pressures $>25 \mathrm{~atm}$ at $900^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$, but this adduct rapidly reacts to $\mathrm{HO} 2+\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}$. The formic acid adduct is only important above $2000^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$.

Formaldehyde then decays mostly by the reaction of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{HCO}+$ $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The decomposition reaction of $\mathrm{HCO}+M \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{H}+M$ is found to be the dominant channel to form carbon monoxide and $\mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{O}+M \rightarrow \mathrm{CO}_{2}+M$ to form final product of carbon dioxide.

### 8.5.3 Methanol Addition to Methane Oxidation Reactions

The comparison of methane and methanol oxidation can be described in three stages: initiation, propagation and oxidation. During initiation, formation of key radicals is determined by the most favorable thermochemical kinetic of the respective elementary reaction. Several channels of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ unimolecular decomposition are much lower in energy than $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ and, therefore, faster than $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ decomposition.

| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{OH}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}=92 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{HCOH}^{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}=71 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ |
|  | $\rightarrow$ | ${ }^{1} \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}=92 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ |
|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}=74 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ |
|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}$ | $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}=103 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ |

Abstraction of H atom radicals from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ is also more favorable than from $\mathrm{CH}_{4}: \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ has a lower bond energy ( $97 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) than $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}(105 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ forms easier than $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ (from $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ ) by decomposition as well as through abstraction reactions. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ also has a much more rapid unimolecular decomposition channel relative to $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$.
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{H} \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}=108 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$
$\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H} \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{xxn}}=30 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$
Therefore, propagation reactions in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ oxidation occur more rapidly than $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ oxidation. Methyl oxidation, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$, is much slower than $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$. The difference between $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reaction system is that $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ has a low energy exit channel to $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$, which explains the faster $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reaction. That is, the barrier is below the energy level of initial $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$.
$\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ is the initial radical intermediate in $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ oxidation. The major reaction of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ at low $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ concentration is reaction with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ reacts with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to form the $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OO}\right]^{*}$ complex. The $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OO}\right]^{*}$ complex undergoes four reactions: stabilization,
formation of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{O}$, isomerize to $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}\right]^{*}$ and the reverse reaction. The $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}\right]^{*}$ isomer can also isomerize back to $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OO}\right]^{*}$, stabilize or form the products $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{OH} . \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{OO}$ can also react with CH 3 to form two methoxy radicals.

High-pressure limit input parameters for the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ combination reaction to form the $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OO}\right]^{*}$ complex, are taken from Cobos, et al. ${ }^{220}$ The input parameters for dissociation of the complex back to reactants are calculated by microscopic reversibility over the temperature range 298 to $2000^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$. Parameters for the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{O}$ product channel are obtained from an estimate of $5.0 \times 10^{13} \mathrm{~cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ for the high-pressure recombination rate constant via microscopic reversibility. The pre-exponential A factor for isomerization is taken from Transition State Theory with loss of one rotor ( $\Delta \mathrm{S}=-4.3$ $\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) and a degeneracy of 3 . The activation energy is estimated as the sum of reaction enthalpy, ring strain ( $26 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) and H abstraction ( $6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ). The A-factor of the exit channel, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{OH}$, is calculated from microscopic reversibility, with the reverse taken as the addition of OH to $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CHO}$ from Semmes, et al. ${ }^{221}$ with an $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ estimated as 2 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ from the intrinsic activation energy expected for OH addition.

### 8.6 Formation of $\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{2}}$ Species

### 8.6.1 $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$

The current mechanism also includes the kinetics of several $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ species. The formation of $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ species is from the combination of two methyl radicals to form ethane. ${ }^{222}$ Abstraction of hydrogen from ethane by radicals from the radical pool forms ethyl radicals. The ethyl oxidation system used in the current mechanism is from Sheng, et al., which is developed at the CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) level of theory. ${ }^{64}$ The dominant products in this
products in this system is the formation of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$. This system is initiated by the addition of oxygen to the radical site on the ethyl radical forming an activated ethylperoxy adduct. The activated ethylperoxy adduct can undergo several channels: stabilization, isomerization and molecular elimination are the important channels. The isomerization channel forms a hydroperoxy-ethyl radical which can $\beta$-scission to form $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ or undergo a 3-member ring transition state and dissociate to form dioxirane plus OH . The molecular elimination channel to directly form $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ has a transition state below the entrance channel.

### 8.6.2 $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}+\mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{2}}$

Vinyl radicals can be formed from hydrogen abstraction reactions from radicals on ethylene molecule. Ethylene can be formed from $\beta$-scission reaction of ethyl radicals to ethylene plus hydrogen atom. Ethylene can also be formed by the oxidation of ethyl radical $+\mathrm{O}_{2}$, as discussed above. The vinyl oxidation system is obtained from Chang, et $a l .{ }^{60}$ This vinyl oxidation subsystem includes 5 possible stabilized adducts with multiple exit channels. Also included is the formation of the 3-member ring dioxiranyl radical, which has recently been recognized to be an important channel. ${ }^{138,139}$ This subsystem is initiated by the addition of oxygen onto the radical site on the vinyl radical forming a vinyl-peroxy radical. The lowest energy process is peroxy radical attack on the ipso carbon, forming a di-oxirane methylene radical, which then isomerizes through an epoxide and cleaves the weak peroxy $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond. A further beta scission, preferring to maintain the ether linkage due conjugation, opens this epoxide ring to form the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C} \cdot-\mathrm{O}$ CHO isomer. This isomer beta scissions to form $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{HCO}$ before stabilization can
occur. This sequence, although the lowest in energy, starts with a relatively tight transition state to form the 3-member ring. A higher energy, but looser, transition state is associated with dissociation of the peroxy radical to form $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{CHO}$ (vinoxy) +O . [Vinoxy is written in its more stable resonance form as a carbon-centered radical.] The energetics of this pathway is lower than usual for O-O bond breaking, since the vinoxy radical is resonantly stabilized and the well depth for vinyl +O 2 is very deep $-45 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The peroxy radical can also undergo a concerted elimination to form acetylene $+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$, isomerize via a hydrogen atom shift to form the vinyl hydroperoxide radical-which can then undergo beta-scission to form acetylene $+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ (the same products formed via the concerted $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ elimination from vinylperoxy), form ketenyl radical plus OH , or isomerize to form a 4-member ring-which then can ring open to form product pairs such as $\mathrm{HCO}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$ or $\mathrm{H}+$ glyoxal, or redissociate to reactants.

### 8.6.3 $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHO}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$

These two subsystems are obtained from Lee and Bozzelli where thermochemical and kinetic properties are calculated at CBS-Q level of theory. 223 Both reaction systems initiate by the addition of oxygen onto the radical site forming a peroxy radical. One product set resulting from both systems is the formation of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$. In both oxidation systems, the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ products can be formed through two channels; direct molecular elimination from the activated peroxy radical or via $\beta$-scission of the hydroperoxy radical formed from isomerization. The activated peroxy radical specie can stabilize or undergo direct molecular elimination or isomerize to a hydroperoxy radical. The hydroperoxy radical can then beta-scission to the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ product set. The
other channel the hydroperoxy radical, resulting from the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ subsystem, can undergo is ring closure to form a carbonyl-oxirane specie plus OH . In the $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CHO}+$ $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ subsystem, the hydroperoxy ethyl-aldehyde radical can also undergo a fast reaction, albeit complex, to form the product set consisting of $\mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{CO}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CO}$.

### 8.6.4 $\mathbf{C H}_{3}+\mathbf{C H}_{3}$

The recombination reaction of two methyl radicals form an activated ethane molecule, with no barrier. The ethane molecule can stabilize, undergo simple dissociation (reverse reaction) or undergo two other reaction pathways. The first is a simple dissociation to form the ethyl radical plus hydrogen atom. The second channel is a molecular elimination channel, via a four member ring transition state, to form ethylene plus hydrogen molecule. The ethyl radical formed in this pathway, can also undergo addition reaction with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$; this reaction pathway is explained above.

### 8.6.5 $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{O}_{-\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbf{2}}}+\mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{2}}$

The dimethyl-ether oxidation reaction used in this study is taken from the study by Yamada. 224 This oxidation system consists of two wells. The addition of oxygen molecule to dimethyl-ether forms an activated dimethyl-ether peroxy radical which can stabilize, undergo dissociation (reverse reaction) or isomerize to form $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }^{-}$ OOH radical through a 6 -member ring transition state. The $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}_{-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{OOH} \text { adduct }}$ can also undergo a reverse isomerization back to $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{O}_{-} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{OO} \bullet$ radical or undergo a complex dissociation pathway to yield two formaldehyde molecule plus OH .

### 8.7 Comparisons of Model with Experimental Results

Only one pressure dependent CHEMKIN mechanism file is used to model all experimental concentration profiles under three different reaction systems (i.e. methanol pyrolysis, methanol oxidation and mixture of methanol and methane oxidation), at various temperatures and pressures. Methanol oxidation experiments are performed for both fuel lean and stoichiometric conditions. Controlled ratios of methane to methanol mixtures are conducted under stoichiometric conditions.

Methanol pyrolysis comparisons between the model with experimental results at 1 and 3 atm are illustrated in Appendix A, Figures A1 and A2. Good agreement for reactant decay and main product formations at 1 atm are observed. At 3 atm , the model results prediction is a slightly faster than experimental data. Methane formations are under predicted at both conditions. The model predicts faster decay of methanol than experimental at higher pressure. Formation of CO is higher in the model than experiment at 3 atm pressure. At 1 atm pressure, the model fits the experimental CO concentration well.

Comparisons of the methanol oxidation model and the experimental results at 873 K and 5 atm for two equivalence ratios: stoichiometric $(\phi=1$ ) and fuel lean ( $\phi=$ 0.75 ), are in illustrated in Figures A3 and A4. These show reasonable agreement for reactant decay and main products formation. The model is able to capture and predict the trend in formaldehyde formation. Reaction changes in methanol conversion and the intermediates or products profiles under conditions of excess oxygen (equivalence ratio $=$ 0.75 ) are small relative to those illustrated at stoichiometric conditions throughout all temperatures and pressures studied, in both the experimental data and model predictions.

Comparison of methanol oxidation at 3 atm, shows the modeling result is a little slower than the observed experimental data, albeit the trends in concentration profiles of both major and minor products are predicted by the model. Figure A5 shows a time delay of 0.15 second for modeling result relative to experimental data. In general, there is a longer time delay for the model compared with experimental data at lower pressures. At lower pressure and temperature, decay will occur at longer residence times, which are unfortunately, out of the flow range of the flow controller used in this study.

The effects on the reactants' concentration profiles at various methane/methanol mixture ratios were performed. Concentration profiles of reactants, major and minor products from the model and experimental results are shown in Figures A6 and A7. The experimental conditions for both plots are at $873^{\circ} \mathrm{K}, 5 \mathrm{~atm}$ and equal initial concentrations of methane and methanol, with a fuel equivalence ratio of unity. The initial methane concentration in Figure A6 is $0.78 \%$ and in Figure A7 it is $0.39 \%$. Agreements between model and experimental data on methane and methanol predictions are relatively well matched. The model predicts methanol reacts slightly faster than experimental data. The model under predicts carbon monoxide at both conditions, while carbon dioxide is over predicted. Formaldehyde formation between the model and experimental data has very good agreement. The model is able to predict a maximum inflection point in the concentration profile, which is observed in the experimental data as well. Trace product concentrations of ethane and ethylene, as predicted by the model, are higher than expected compared to experimental data.

Comparison of the current Chebyshev CHEMKIN mechanism file with recent experimental data on methanol oxidation from Held and Dryer's flow reaction are shown
in Figures A8 - A12. ${ }^{24}$ The uniqueness of Held and Dryer's data is that the oxidation data are provided at high pressures, up to 20 atm . This provides an opportunity to test this single CHEMKIN mechanism file with available experimental data over large pressure differences. Held and Dryer claimed the data they provided has an uncertainty in the absolute "zero time" and a time shift is needed for them to model the data. ${ }^{24}$ Held and Dryer's flow reactor is also not performed under isothermal conditions, in some cases a rise in temperature of 50 K is observed. The results from the current model are presented under isothermal conditions at the initial temperature provided by Held and Dryer.

Comparison of experimental data with the current model at 1043 K with a pressure of 1 atm and a $\phi=0.86$, the results are shown in Figure A8. The methanol and oxygen concentration in the model decays faster than in the experiment. A higher concentration of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ from the model is also seen. The model is able to predict the rapid changes in concentration with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, seen in the experimental data.

The second set of Held and Dryer's experimental data were at a higher pressure and lower temperature: $\mathrm{T}=949 \mathrm{~K}$ and $\mathrm{P}=2.5 \mathrm{~atm}$. (Refer to Figure A9) The predictions from the model for all the major species $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{O}_{2}, \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{CO}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ are in agreement with the experimental data.

The high pressure data are presented in Figures A10-A12. Data presented in Figure A 10 are performed at $10 \mathrm{~atm}, 810 \mathrm{~K}$ and a fuel equivalence ratio, $\phi$, of 0.42 . The overall formation and consumption of the major species reported are well characterized by the current model. The initial formation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ is in agreement with the experimental data, at longer reaction times, the model under-predicts the $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ concentration.

Comparison of model with experiments performed at $15 \mathrm{~atm}, 783 \mathrm{~K}$ and $\phi=1.04$ is illustrated in Figure A11. The model agrees well with the experimental data, however, at long reaction times, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ concentration is under-predicted by the model. Figure A 12 shows the comparison at 15 atm , and 781 K , similar to conditions in Figure A11, but at a higher fuel equivalence ratio at 2.59 . The trends in the consumption and formation of reactant and products are well characterized by the current model. The model slightly over-predicts the final consumption of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$. The final concentration of CO is underpredicted by the model and the final concentration of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ is over-predicted by the model.

The comparisons of the "minor" products in this system, i.e. formaldehyde and formic acid, for all 5 conditions performed by Held and Dryer did not match exactly. The major pathway for formation of formic acid used in the current model first forms a methanoic-oxy radical from $\mathrm{HCO}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$. The methanoic-oxy radical undergoes abstraction reactions with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ to form methanoic acid. However, the kinetics for the formation of formic acid is estimated by homologous reaction sets and not from CTST. A more rigorous treatment in obtaining better rate constants is recommended. Accurate experimental measurements of formaldehyde and formic acid are also difficult. This is due to the nature of formaldehyde and formic acid, which rapidly decays even while in transit through sampling lines.

The comparison of results with Held and Dryer, although do not show exact matches for all the cases presented, is encouraging. The current mechanism is able to predict and match all the consumption and formation trends of the major species over a wide pressure difference. All the comparisons with Held and Dryer's data are done with a single CHEMKIN mechanism file, which has over 200 rate coefficients for both the
pressure and temperature dependence represented by a Chebyshev formalism. The methodology involved: fall-off analysis for chemical activation and unimolecular dissociation rate constants including quantum RRK analysis for $k(E)$, is based on fundamental principles of thermochemistry and kinetics. The results from the comparison with experimental data over large pressure differences provides positive reinforcement the validity of the current method adopted to represent both temperature and pressure dependent rate coefficients in a single detail kinetic mechanism.

### 8.8 Conclusion

A single unified mechanism file capable of handling multiple pressures ranging from $10^{-2}$ to 100 atm over a temperature range of 250 to $2500^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$ has been constructed that can be used by the CHEMKIN integrator computer code. The pressure dependent reactions in the mechanism are based on fundamental principles of thermochemical kinetics. The mechanism file is expressed in a $7 \times 3$ Chebyshev polynomial set, and a driver for CHEMKIN has been developed that can interpret this Chebyshev data set.

Experimental data and a kinetic model has been developed and tested for various types of reaction system (i.e. pyrolysis, fuel lean, stoichiometric and fuel additive) at different system conditions (temperature, pressure and initial fuel concentration). Comparison between experimental and the kinetic model shows relatively good agreement and encouraging for this first mechanism. This mechanism can serve as a basis for future pressure dependent mechanism development studies on other higher molecular weight hydrocarbon and oxy-hydrocarbon system.

## CHAPTER 9

# AB INITIO CALCULATIONS AND KINETIC ANALYSIS OF $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ AND $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ SYSTEMS 

### 9.1 Introduction

Monomethyl-amine $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)$ is chosen as a surrogate molecule in this study to represent the fuel bound nitrogen. Literature sources show very limited experimental kinetic and thermochemical data are available for gas phase methylamine and the intermediate products resulting from its breakdown. Reaction analysis on unimolecular dissociation, abstraction of the amine and methyl hydrogen's by the radical pool $(\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}$, $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ radicals) and thermochemistry of the intermediates are not well established. ${ }^{43,225}$ Thermochemical and kinetic analysis is also needed on the reaction pathways of the intermediate radicals and their reactions with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$.

The most recent experimental study on methylamine thermal oxidation in a flow reactor by the research group of Robert Hesketh who is currently at Rowan University, Glassboro, NJ. ${ }^{41}$ This study also developed a detailed reaction mechanisms for the methyl amine oxidation; but did not include detailed analysis or pressure dependence (fall off) of methyl amine dissociations (initiation) or chemical activation association reactions with by the radical species produced from loss of H atoms of the parent molecule reacting with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$. Mao and Barat ${ }^{37,61}$ did perform these more detailed analysis; but knowledge of the thermochemistry on the $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}$ radicals and the corresponding peroxy radicals and on the peroxy radical reaction paths has changed over the past 7 years.

Earlier studies on methyl amine oxidation are those of Jolley, et al., 41,226 in the middle 1930's using batch reactors, and of the Cullis's research group ${ }^{227-229}$ in the 1950 s; Cullis also studied pyrolysis of methylamine. ${ }^{227}$ A more recent study is that of Basevich in 1983, where he had a flow reactor with initialtion via reaction with Oxygen atoms, then subsequent reactions of both O atoms and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ with the initial products. Basevich ${ }^{230}$ decided the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{H}$ bond reacted first, via O atom abstraction to form OH , in this $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ system.

The research group of Gardiner, et al. 225,231 studied methylamine thermal decomposition and oxidation respectively in shock tube experiments, with the reaction mechanisms developed mostly by empirical fit to model the data obtained. Lifshitz also studies the oxidation and ignition of methylamine / oxygen mixtures in a shock tube reactor from 1000 to 1300 K , where mechanism from earlier studies of the Gardiner group were modified to model the data.

As noted above, accurate thermochemistry of methylamine radical and on the corresponding $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NHOOO}^{\bullet}$ and $\bullet \mathrm{OOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ peroxy radicals has not been available to these early researchers. One illustration, for example, is that the $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{H}$ bond is now known to be ca 92 to $93 \mathrm{kcal} /$ mole, while the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{H}$ bond is significantly stronger 101 to $102 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mole}$. Thus the assumptions of Basevich, et al. on the initial reaction and intermediate are incorrect. The development and fits of the mechanism's to the experimental data is and was highly valuable and of importance to further work in fuel bound nitrogen chemistry; because of the importance of NOx in the environment.

Complete analysis would include thermochemistry, kinetic parameters, chemical activation and fall-off for pressure is needed on the unimolecular dissociation reactions,
abstraction of the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ 's by the radical pool. The thermochemistry includes intermediate radicals: $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{N} \bullet \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{NH}(=\mathrm{O}), \mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{NHO}, \mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}=\mathrm{NH}$, and peroxy radicals formed via reactions of these radicals with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$; plus isomers and isomers of the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ adducts. Kinetics includes thermochemistry plus four to five transition states (TS) for each system describing isomerization, and dissociations of these intermediate and oxygen adducts. These projects would result in several full journal publications; it is estimated that this comprises the major component of work in a separate PhD study. This is recommended to be important future research projects. The study would then need to test the mechanism against a number of experimental data sets in the literature. The current investigation is a more limited analysis using a detailed analysis of methylamine dissociation and the methyl and amine radical reactions with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ plus a recently published detailed reaction mechanism, which includes the breakdown products from the above reactions.

It is fortunate that there is the opportunity to start out in the development of a mechanism using a reasonably accurate evaluation on the thermochemistry of the initial reactions in thermal pyrolysis and oxidation. It is, however, unfortunate that there is so much work needed in the further reactions - work involving even further thermochemistry analysis with both chemical activation and unimolecular dissociation reaction analysis plus a pressure dependent anslysis on many system.

There are two significant, recent studies on the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ radical, one by D.D.M. Weyner, et al. ${ }^{45}$ and by Radom's research group 232 providing results of high level ab initio calculations for the $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ radical showing the bond energy of $91.8+/-2$ $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mole}$. Melius has also calculated the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}$.radical with a bond energy of ca 103
$\mathrm{kcal} /$ mole. ${ }^{44}$ Jursic $^{42}$ also calculated this bond energy with a range of 98 to 108 $\mathrm{kcal} /$ mole. The value from Melius is chosen. These values for $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}(298)}$ from these studies on the two radicals are selected.

The research group of Mats Johnson has estimated the $\bullet \mathrm{OOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ peroxy species as having a well depth of 28.7 to $36.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mole}$ and using ab initio calculations with heats of atomization (not isodesmic reaction analysis). ${ }^{233}$ This is a significant range in well depth and the value needs to be more accurately determined to estimate the kinetics of $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reaction rate constants and paths. Survey of currently available literature sources do not show any high level study on the Amine radical - OO well depth, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}-\mathrm{OO} \bullet$.

The enthalpies of these species are calculated in the current study to obtain accurate well depth, which are needed for chemical activation analysis. Hydroperoxides and peroxy radicals are from current calculations. Other thermochemical properties for this system are from literature sources, where available, but mostly from computational methods, such as THERM ${ }^{53}$, MOPAC ${ }^{133}$ and Gaussian $94 .{ }^{55}$ Density functional theory calculations at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) ${ }^{58,129}$ level and the composite G2(MP2) ${ }^{167}$ have been performed on the species in the complex reaction system for $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ : the results calculated from Gaussian94 (i.e. vibrational frequencies, moments of inertia, zero point vibrational energy, thermal correction to enthalpy, total energies at 0 K and total energies at 298 K ) for these two oxidation system are shown in Table 9.1. Examples from two results from the G2(MP2) level of calculation are presented below.

Table 9.1 Vibrational Frequencies $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ for Species in Ethyl Oxidation System Calculated at B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) Level of Theory

| Species | Frequencies* |  |  |  |  |  |  | Moment of Inertia (GHz) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 327.6 | 857.2 | 981.3 | 1067.8 | 1182.2 | 1358.2 | 1473.0 | 103.0 |
|  | 1512.8 | 1532.1 | 1674.8 | 2966.2 | 3072.4 | 3112.5 | 3491.4 | 22.7 |
|  | 3574.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21.9 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}$ <br> (1a) | 261.6 | 957.4 | 1013.7 | 1047.1 | 1348.4 | 1407.6 | 1494.3 | 124.2 |
|  | 1500.7 | 2960.5 | 3000.4 | 3106.9 | 3366.6 |  |  | 25.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24.2 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NHOO} \bullet$ <br> (lb) | 46.9 | 234.3 | 414.7 | 534.6 | 701.1 | 971.0 | 1011.4 | 23.4 |
|  | 1136.2 | 1185.6 | 1265.7 | 1446.2 | 1467.6 | 1491.4 | 1522.7 | 5.2 |
|  | 3024.7 | 3112.4 | 3145.8 | 3452.5 |  |  |  | 5.0 |
| $\bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(\mathrm{OOH})$ <br> (1c) |  | 182.6 | 267.1 |  | $508.2$ | $607.2$ | 650.7 | 25.4 |
|  | $876.6$ | 911.7 | 1147.7 | 1235.1 | $1357.0$ | $1445.6$ | 1489.6 | 4.9 |
|  | 3164.1 | 3288.2 | 3512.6 | 3727.6 |  |  |  | 4.7 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{NH}$ <br> (ld) | 1079.1 | 1094.7 | 1171.4 | 1379.4 | 1508.0 | 1732.9 | 3027.1 | 197.4 |
|  | 3130.7 | 3428.6 |  |  |  |  |  | 34.8 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29.5 |
| $\text { - } \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(=\mathrm{O})$ <br> (le) |  | $700.7$ | $770.8$ | $993.2$ | $1080.7$ | 1311.2 | 1460.6 |  |
|  | $1507.0$ | $1693.4$ | $3199.9$ | $3265.2$ | $3332.8$ |  |  | 11.7 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.2 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{OOH}$ <br> (1f) | 108.9 | 163.7 | 180.8 | 330.3 | 546.7 | 904.5 | 989.3 | 41.4 |
|  | 1058.1 | 1103.7 | 1202.1 | 1430.2 | 1455.2 | 1481.8 | 1493.9 | 4.6 |
|  | 3014.1 | 3072.6 | 3150.9 | 3652.6 |  |  |  | 4.2 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{O}$ <br> (1g) | 169.7 | 573.6 | 840.1 | 967.3 | 1157.1 | 1378.2 | 1465.3 | 60.7 |
|  |  | 1681.5 | 3034.2 | 3118.8 | 3152.3 |  |  | 11.4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10.2 |

\# The frequencies reported are not scaled. Imaginary frequencies are denoted by " $(i)$."

Thermochemical kinetic parameters are estimated from literature and from techniques, such as homologous reactions and Evans-Polanyi relationship; in addition to MOPAC, $a b$ initio, density functional and group additivity.

### 9.2 Isodesmic Working Reaction Sets

Isodesmic reaction analyses are performed on the two adducts in this system, i.e. $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ and the $\bullet \mathrm{OOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$. The composite ab initio method is at the G2 level of calculation using the optimized geometric structure determine at the MP2(full)/6-31G(d) level of calculation. The reference enthalpy of formation for the stable molecules, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, are from literature ${ }^{234}$ and the radicals are obtained from Sheng, et al. ${ }^{64}$ The following illustrates the approach utilizing isodesmic reactions to obtain enthalpy of formation values.

| Species | $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | + | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | $=$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | + |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E (hartrees) | -95.011709 |  | -79.625251 |  | -95.660138 |  |
| $\mathbf{H}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{( 2 9 8 K})$ | $\boldsymbol{X}$ |  | -20.24 |  | -58.963182 |  |

E values are from G2(MP2) level of theory

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\begin{aligned}
\Delta E(\text { hartrees }) & =[(-95.660138)+(-78.963182)]-[(-95.011709)+(-79.625251)] \\
= & -0.01364 \text { hartrees }=8.56 \frac{\mathrm{kcal}}{\mathrm{~mol}}
\end{aligned} \\
\Delta H_{r x n}=8.56 \frac{\mathrm{kcal}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=[(-5.49)+(28.6)]-[X+(-20.24)]
\end{array} \\
& X=34.79 \mathbf{~ k c a l} / \mathbf{m o l}
\end{aligned}
$$

The $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}(298 \mathrm{~K})$ for $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ calculated from isodesmic working reactions using total energies determined at the G2(MP2) level of theory is $34.79 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. THERM ${ }^{53}$ estimates the enthalpy of formation for $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ radical to be $36.26 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. Brinck, et al. ${ }^{233}$ reports a value of $37.11 \mathrm{kca} / \mathrm{mol}$ at the $\mathrm{G}_{2} \mathrm{MS}^{235}$ level of theory. The enthalpy of formation for the peroxy-methyl-amine radical is based on the following isodesmic working reaction set.

| Species | $\bullet \mathrm{OOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | $=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | $+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OO} \bullet$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{E}$ (hartrees) | -229.182771 | -79.625251 | -95.660138 | -229.1702082 |
| $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{f}}(\mathbf{2 9 8 K})$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}$ | -20.24 | -5.49 | -6.72 |

E values are from G2(MP2) level of theory

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{aligned}
\Delta E(\text { hartrees }) & =[(-95.660138)+(-229.1702082)]-[(-145.211921)+(-79.625251)] \\
& =0.006825846 \text { hartrees }=4.28 \frac{\mathrm{kcal}}{\mathrm{~mol}} \\
\Delta H_{r x n} & =4.28 \frac{\mathrm{kcal}}{\mathrm{~mol}}=[(-5.49)+(-6.72)]-[Y+(-20.24)] \\
\boldsymbol{Y} & =3.75 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

The $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}(298 \mathrm{~K})$ for $\bullet \mathrm{OOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ calculated from isodesmic working reactions using total energies determined at the G2(MP2) level of theory is $3.75 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. THERM estimates the enthalpy of formation for $\bullet \mathrm{OOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ to be $1.87 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The enthalpy of formation determine by G2(MP2) with isodesmic working reactions are used in this study. The thermodynamic properties for the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ system are listed in Table 9.2.

Table 9.2 Thermodynamic Properties Used in the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ Study

| SPECIES | $\mathrm{Hf}^{\circ} 298$ | $\mathrm{S}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(300)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(400)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(500)$ | Cp(600) | $\mathrm{Cp}(800)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(1000)$ | $\mathrm{Cp}(1500)$ | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 36.26 | 57.92 | 13.01 | 14.95 | 16.82 | 18.26 | 20.75 | 22.64 | 25.99 | a |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}$ | 43.26 | 59.67 | 11.13 | 13.16 | 15.1 | 16.77 | 19.42 | 21.44 | 25.17 | a |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | -5.5 | 57.98 | 12.01 | 14.38 | 16.73 | 18.86 | 22.44 | 25.26 | 0 | b |
| $\mathrm{HOOC} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 4.67 | 77.25 | 19.17 | 22.42 | 25.08 | 27.2 | 30.57 | 33.14 | 0 | a, c |
| - $\mathrm{OOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 1.87 | 74.74 | 18.22 | 21.64 | 24.44 | 26.74 | 30.35 | 33.07 | 0 | a |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{NH}$ | 21.85 | 55.94 | 9.12 | 10.7 | 12.29 | 13.84 | 16.62 | 18.56 | 21.38 | b |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHO}$ | 14.11 | 59.69 | 11.59 | 14.08 | 16.32 | 18.32 | 21.2 | 23.39 | 26.84 | d |
| $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}$ | 14.77 | 79.86 | 19.03 | 22.28 | 24.9 | 26.95 | 30.03 | 32.3 | 0 | a |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NO} \bullet$ | 15.9 | 55.7 | 9.29 | 10.39 | 11.36 | 12.22 | 13.67 | 14.84 | 16.78 | b |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}=0$ | 18.5 | 62.62 | 12.19 | 14.2 | 16.13 | 17.85 | 20.63 | 22.72 | 26.1 | d |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CHO}$ | -46.66 | 64.47 | 13.74 | 15.73 | 17.82 | 19.43 | 21.82 | 23.87 | 0 | b |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{NH}$ | 21.85 | 55.94 | 9.12 | 10.70 | 12.29 | 13.84 | 16.62 | 18.56 | 21.38 | e |
| $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 34.79 | 59.11 | 12.18 | 14.33 | 16.23 | 17.81 | 20.40 | 22.44 | 25.80 | f |
| - $\mathrm{OOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 3.75 | 74.56 | 18.37 | 21.92 | 24.74 | 27.01 | 30.38 | 32.80 | 36.53 | f |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NHOO} \bullet$ | 35.72 | 74.026 | 18.015 | 21.835 | 24.916 | 27.332 | 30.875 | 33.338 | 36.973 | f |

Units: Enthalpy [=] kcal/mol; S [=] cal/mol-K; Cp [=] cal/mol-K.
a: THERM b: Dean, A.M.; Bozzelli, J.W.; "Combustion of Nitrogen Chemistry"; Gas-Phase Combustion Chemistry, Gardiner, Jr., W.C. (Editor), SpringerVerlag, NY, 2000. c: This molecular specie is not stable, see text for details. The thermodynamic properties are presented for completeness. d: MOPAC, PM3 e: Melius, C., website: http://z.ca.sandia.gov/~melius/. f: this study.

## 9.3 $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$

The unimolecular dissociation of monomethyl-amine has four channels: one molecular elimination channel and three simple dissociation channels, where reverse (association) has no barrier. The potential energy diagram is shown in Figure 9.1. The barrier for the molecular elimination channel $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ is estimated to be similar to the molecular elimination of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$. This estimated barrier is to be 102.5 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, based on the TS calculations from Gordon, et al. 236,237 The dissociation barriers are estimated to be equal to the enthalpy of reaction. The enthalpy of formation for $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}$ is determined from THERM since experimental or literature data on species with radical site on nitrogen are not available.


Figure 9.1 Potential energy diagram for dissociation of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$

The pre-exponential A-factors for simple dissociation reactions are obtained from Dean and Bozzelli. ${ }^{36}$ The high-pressure limit rate constants are shown in Table 9.3. The $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ bond cleavage is lowest energy path at $84.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The methyl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bond dissociation is next lowest at $92.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ and the amine $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ bond is highest simple dissociation reaction at $103 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$; these two association/dissociation reactions are assumed to have an activation barrier equal to the $\Delta H_{\mathrm{rxn}}$. Enthalpy of reaction is determined from the G2(MP2) calculations in this study. The $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ elimination has a tight TS and a higher $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ than the three dissociation reactions and will be unimportant.

A multi-frequency $Q R R K$ analysis to determine $k(E)$ with master equation analysis for collisional deactivation is utilized to determine the rate coefficients for the chemical activation and thermal dissociation. This analysis is described in detail in Chang, et al. and Sheng, et al.'s publications. ${ }^{60,64}$ Figure 9.2 illustrates the thermal dissociation rate constants at 1 atm pressure over a temperature range from $300-3000 \mathrm{~K}$ and Figure 9.3 shows the rate constant at 1200 K over a pressure range of $10^{-4}$ to 100 atm . Figure 9.2 shows that at 1 atm , the dissociation path to form $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ is dominant over the entire temperature range of $300-3000 \mathrm{~K}$. The dissociated products $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}$ is of minor importance, being slower than $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ by about 3 orders of magnitude. The dissociation channels to $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{H}$ and the molecular elimination channel are unimportant, being over 10 orders of magnitude slower than $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{NH}_{2}$. Results at 1200 K are shown in Figure 9.3 and the most important channel is the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{NH}_{2}$, especially at low pressures, at $10^{-4} \mathrm{~atm}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ is faster than $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}$, the next fastest reaction, by over 8 orders of magnitude. Figure 9.3 also indicate that all four channels are in the fall-off regime over the pressure range of $10^{-4}$ to 100 atm . At pressure above 1
atm, $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{H}$ channel becomes of minor importance about 3 orders of magnitude slower than $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{NH}_{2}$, and becoming more important as pressure increases.

Table 9.3 High-Pressure Limit Rate Constants

(a) Dean, A.M.; Bozzelli, J.W., "Gas-Phase Combustion Chemistry"; Gardiner, W.C. (Editor), Verlag-Springer, 2000.
(b) Ea calculated based on G2(MP2) energy using isodesmic working reaction sets. (c) Estimated using $\mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$. (d) A-factor fitted from $\mathrm{Af} / \mathrm{Ar}$ ratio, $\mathrm{Ea}=\Delta \mathrm{Hrxn}$. (e) The isomer formed is unstable and immediately dissociates to form products. (f) Estimated A-factor to be similar to molecular elimination of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet \rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ (g) Masaki, A; Tsunashima, S.; Washida, N.; JPC, 99, 1995. (h) Rate constant determine from microscopic reversibility. (i) Ea is estimated to be $36 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. (j) A-factor estimated from isomerization of 4 -member ring. (k) Estimated A-factor to be similar to molecular elimination of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$; Ea to be $2 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ higher than reference reaction. (l) Estimate rate constant to be similar to hydrogen shift of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OO} \bullet \rightarrow \bullet \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$.


Figure 9.2 Thermal dissociation of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ at 1 atm


Figure 9.3 Thermal dissociation of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ at 1200 K

### 9.4 Abstraction of Hydrogen from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathbf{N H}_{2}$ by Radicals

The formation of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ can also occur by abstraction reactions by radical species in the radical pool, i.e. $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \ldots$ etc. The abstraction reaction rate constants used in the current analysis are estimated from procedures published by Dean and Bozzelli. ${ }^{36}$ The rate constants are presented in the form A T ${ }^{m} \exp (-E / R T)$ in Table 9.3.

### 9.4.1 $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$

Reaction of oxygen with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}$ forms an adduct that has a shallow well, with a reverse barrier of only $c a 13 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}(298 \mathrm{~K})$ of this peroxy adduct is calculated from G2(MP2) level of theory, relative to the $\bullet \mathrm{OOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ adduct (non isodesmic reaction analysis), to be $35.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. This shallow well is similar to that for $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ radical reaction with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, which only has a $6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ well depth. The methyl group here increases the well depth by factor of two, to $13 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. The potential energy diagram of this oxidation system is shown in Figure 9.4. The pre-exponential A-factor for the association rate constant is estimated to be similar to that of $\mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$, $k=2.83 \times 10^{15} T^{-2.5}$, with no barrier. The reverse reaction rate is estimated from microscopic reversibility for an activation barrier equal to the enthalpy of reaction. The hydrogen transfer reaction (isomerization) moving a hydrogen from the methyl to the peroxy will form the $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(\mathrm{OOH})$, through a 5 member ring TS. DFT calculation at the B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) for the $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}(\mathrm{OOH})$ specie shows that this radical is not stable and immediately dissociates to the products $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{NHO}+\mathrm{OH} .{ }^{35}$ It is interesting to note that $\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{NH}(=\mathrm{O})$ has five bonds ( 2 double +1 single) on the nitrogen. Here the two
electrons which are normally in the nitrogen lone pair orbital, are in $\pi$ orbitals for $\pi$ bonding. The bonding on nitrogen-oxygen species is often complex and detailed study of the enthalpies, structures and TS of nitrogen-carbon-oxygen-hydrogen molecules are also complex.


Figure 9.4 Potential energy diagram for $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$
For the kinetic parameters, the pre-exponential A-factor is estimated by the loss of 2 rotors with a reaction degeneracy of 3 , and the activation barrier is estimated from $\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}+5$-member ring strain $+\mathrm{E}_{\text {abstraction }}$. The second isomerization channel in this system is a hydrogen shift through a 4-member ring on the ipso nitrogen to form $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \bullet(-\mathrm{OOH})$. An HOO- bonded to a nitrogen with a radical site is expected to behave similarly to $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}(-\mathrm{OOH}),{ }^{188}$ where the weak peroxide $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OH}$ bond will readily break to form the more stable product set $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N}=\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{OH}$. The intermediate
"unstable" (quasi-stationary point species), $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NHOOH}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{OOH}$, are not shown on the potential energy diagram in Figure 9.4. The high-pressure limit rate constants for this oxidation system are shown in Table 9.3.

Figures 9.5 illustrates the rate constants for the chemical activation of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}+$ $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ at 1 atm from $300-3000 \mathrm{~K}$ and Figure 9.6 illustrates the rate constants at 1200 K over a pressure of $10^{-4}-100 \mathrm{~atm}$. At 1 atm , the reverse reaction is the fastest, and the rate of stabilization decreases as temperature increases. The well depth of only 13 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, i.e. a very shallow well, results in little stabilization and predominantly reaction is in the reverse direction. The rate for the two other product channels, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NHO}+\mathrm{OH}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{CN}=\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{OH}$, increases with temperature, but even at 2000 K it is still 3 orders of magnitude slower than the reverse reaction. At 1200 K , the predominant reaction is the reverse reaction back to $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$. Rate of stabilization is slower than reverse by three orders of magnitude at 10 atm . Figure 9.7 shows the dissociation of the stabilized adduct at 1200 K over a pressure range of $10^{-4}-100 \mathrm{~atm}$. The dissociation of the stabilized adduct is nearly completely back to $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$, result of the low 13 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ barrier and loose transition state where the pre-exponential A-factor is 9.56 x $10^{13} \mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ at 1000 K.


Figure 9.5 Chemical activation of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ at 1 atm


Figure 9.6 Chemical activation of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ at 1200 K


Figure 9.7 Thermal 1 dissociation of the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NHOO} \bullet$ at 1200 K

## $9.4 .2 \mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$

The $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}(298 \mathrm{~K})$ for the $\bullet \mathrm{OOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ adduct is determined by isodesmic working reaction analysis at the G2(MP2) level of theory. The reaction of the $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ intermediate with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ forms an activated peroxy-methylamine adduct, $\bullet \mathrm{OOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}{ }^{*}$ with a $31 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ well depth, as determined in this study. This adduct can undergo four different reactions, plus reverse dissociation back to reactants in both its chemically activated and stabilized states. The potential energy diagram is illustrated in Figure 9.8. The activated adduct can undergo a hydrogen shift via a 5-member ring from a hydrogen on the amine to form a $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}$ isomer, which is $11 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ endothermic.


Figure 9.8 Potential energy diagram for $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$
The activated peroxy adduct can also form $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ directly via molecular elimination which is $27 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ endothermic and has a barrier of $31 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$. This is the lowest energy channel for the adduct $\bullet \mathrm{OOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$, but it has a tight TS, resulting in a lower pre-exponential A-factor than the reverse dissociation.

A third intramolecular reaction of $\bullet \mathrm{OOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ is a hydrogen shift on the ipso carbon, through a 4-member ring, to form hydroperoxy bonded to a carbon with a radical site. This "type" of specie has been shown to be unstable by Sebbar, et al. ${ }^{188}$ and Bozzelli and Jung ${ }^{184}$; it rapidly dissociates to an aldehyde plus $\mathrm{OH} .{ }^{188}$

The peroxy radical can also form a bond directly to the nitrogen lone pair, forming a 4-member cyclic COON, followed by breaking the weak peoxide $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ bond forming a biradical. Based on the G2(MP2) calculation (relative to the $\bullet \mathrm{OOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$
adduct), this biradical is $20.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ above the $\bullet \mathrm{OOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ adduct and about 22 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ below the estimated TS. This "unstable" intermediate is not shown on the potential energy diagram. This biradical specie is expected to quickly break the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ bond to form formaldehyde plus $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NO} \bullet$, in favor of gaining a strong $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ bond.

The $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}$ adduct, formed from a 5 -member ring hydrogen shift of the $\bullet \mathrm{OOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ adduct can also undergo reverse reaction back to $\bullet \mathrm{OOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ requiring to overcome an activation barrier of $18.3 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ or $\beta$-scission to $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$, which is $16 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ endothermic.

The high-pressure limit rate constants are presented in Table 9.3. The rate constants calculated by QRRK with master equation analysis for fall-off are shown in Figures 9.9 and 9.10. Figure 9.9 illustrates the rate constants of $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ at 1 atm over the temperature range of $300-3000 \mathrm{~K}$. At temperatures below 1200 K , stabilization is dominant, but above 1200 K , formation of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}$, via molecular elimination becomes the dominant path and at 1800 K , the molecular elimination path is about 3 orders of magnitude faster than stabilization.

Figure 9.10 shows the system at 1200 K , over a pressure range of $10^{-4}-100 \mathrm{~atm}$. The molecular elimination channel is the most important channel below 1.0 atm . Above 1.0 atm , stabilization becomes important. Thermal dissociation of the $\bullet \mathrm{OOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ adduct at 1200 K over a pressure range of $10^{-4}-100 \mathrm{~atm}$ is illustrated in Figure 9.11. The most important reaction is the reverse reaction back to $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$, due to the high pre-exponential A-factor for this simple dissociation relative to tight TS structures of isomerization reactions. The molecular elimination channel is about 5 orders of
magnitude slower than the reverse dissociation reaction over the entire pressure range shown.


Figure 9.9 Chemical activation of $\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ at 1 atm


Figure 9.10 Chemical activation of $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ at 1200 K


Figure 9.11 Thermal dissociation of $\bullet \mathrm{OOCH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ at 1200 K

## CHAPTER 10

# COMPARISON OF A DETALLED ELEMENTARY KINETIC MODEL IN A PSR-PFR-PFR CONFIGURATION WITH EXPERIMENTAL DATA OBTAINED FROM A PILOT SCALE INCINERATOR 

### 10.1 Determination of Fuel Composition Used in the Model

The fuel components used in the model consist of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$. The procedure to determine the initial molar composition utilizes the experimental data of the pilot scale incinerator runs to derive a molar fuel composition for the model that correlates as closely to the experiment fuel as possible. Initial "guess" mass fractions (of the total fuel) of 0.25 for each of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ and 0.05 for $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ are assigned; this leaves $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ forming 0.2 of the total (fuel / feed) mass fraction. The total mass of fuel consumed for each run, as known from the experimental data on fuel feed rate, can be converted to mass fraction of the individual "model" components and their mass feed rate. Here water is excluded, as it is assumed to evaporate during the ignition of the solid fuel. The total fuel feed rate from experimental data is determine by the following formula,

$$
\text { feed_rate }=\frac{0.8 \times(\text { total_weight_of_fuel_feed })-(\text { weight_of_ash })}{\text { time }}
$$

In the "weight of ash", one also needs to discount the $9 \%$ incombustible ( $8 \%$ metal and $1 \%$ inert). The "time" in the denominator refers to the total time when solid fuel has been supplied to the incinerator, i.e. it excludes warm-up and shut down time when solid fuel are not fed into the incinerator. The mole fractions for $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ are calculated from the experimental fuel mass feed rate for each experiment run. An average (overall) mole fraction is determined for the "model" fuel.

Based upon the fuel analysis performed on the synthetic fuel pellets, in accordance to the ASTM E778-87 test procedure, ${ }^{238}$ nitrogen was determine to make up $0.42 \%$ of the mass of the fuel. ${ }^{239}$ Nitrogen balance is satisfied by adjusting the initial molar concentration of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ to consist of $0.42 \%$ mass fraction of nitrogen in the fuel, viz.

$$
x_{N}=0.0042=\frac{m_{\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}} \times\left(\frac{14 \mathrm{gN}}{\mathrm{~mol}_{-} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}}\right)}{\sum m_{i} \times M W_{i}}
$$

where $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$ denotes mass fraction, $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the mole fraction and $\mathrm{MW}_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the respective molecular weight of the specie. To minimize the iterative calculations needed, the increase/decrease in the methylamine mole fraction will result in a decrease/increase in the ethane mole fraction to maintain the total mole fraction of 1.0 in the "model" fuel. Ethane is chosen, as oppose to ethylene, because ethane and methylamine have similar molecular weight, i.e. methylamine is $31 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$ and that of ethane is $30 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mol}$. The resulting "model" fuel composition used in the model fuel is shown in Table 10.1. The actual nitrogen mass fraction in the "model" fuel is then determined by the following,

$$
x_{N}=0.0115 \times \frac{\left(\frac{14 \mathrm{gN}}{\mathrm{~mol}_{-} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}}\right)}{\left(\frac{31 \mathrm{~g}}{\mathrm{~mol}_{-} \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}}\right)}=0.0052=0.52 \%
$$

Table 10.1 Initial Fuel Composition Used in the Model

| Species | Mole Fraction | Mass Fraction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | 0.2104 | 0.2527 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | 0.2639 | 0.2971 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 0.2404 | 0.2527 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | 0.0099 | 0.0115 |
| $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.2754 | 0.1860 |

### 10.2 Determination of a Global Overall Heat Transfer Coefficient

The current reactor model configuration consists of a perfectly stirred reactor (PSR) followed by two plug flow (PFR reactors) each with different heat transfer coefficient; this configuration will be designated as PSR-PFR1-PFR2. The PSR will correlate to the primary combustion chamber (PCC) in the pilot scale, PFR1 will correlate to the glycol heated portion of the secondary combustion chamber (SCC) and PFR2 will correlate to the SCC will correlate to the cool-down stage of the combustion process, i.e. after the glycol heated annular pipe and before the heat exchanger. The volume of the PCC is $90538 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$, and the volume for the glycol heated SCC is $97814 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ and the cool-down stage has a volume of $167314 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$. These volumes correspond to PSR, PFR1 and PFR2, respectively. The PSR is assumed to be an isothermal reactor. This is to simulate the actual pilot scale incinerator condition when steady state is reached, and the primary combustion chamber temperature is constant. An overall heat transfer coefficient for PFR1 and PFR2 is calculated from the following equation,

$$
\Delta \mathrm{H}=(\mathrm{U} \mathrm{~A}) \Delta \mathrm{T}
$$

Total heat, $\Delta \mathrm{H}$, is calculated from the feed rate and the higher heating value of the fuel, i.e. $4570 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{g}$. The overall heat transfer coefficient per unit area, U , and A is the heat conduction surface area. For a PFR, the overall heat transfer term is expressed in terms of heat transfer area per volume of reactor, viz. (Ua) $\Delta \mathrm{T} \mathrm{dV}$. The temperature inside PFR1 is calculated as the average between PCC and at the end of the SCC and the temperature inside PFR2 is calculated as the average temperature between the beginning and end of the cool-down stage. The ambient temperature is 25 C . The average heat transfer coefficient, (UA), for PFR1 is calculated to be $11.21 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{sec}-\mathrm{K}$ and for PFR2 to
be $13.17 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{sec}-\mathrm{K}$. The heat transfer coefficient, (Ua), per $1000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ volume of reactor for PFR1 is $0.071 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{s}-\mathrm{K}$ and PFR2 is $0.083 \mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{s}-\mathrm{K}$.

### 10.3 Determination of the Equivalence Ratio

The over-fire air (OFA) is determined directly from the readings taken from a Dwyer flowmeter. The pressure in the OFA line is determine from a pressure gauge attached inline and is 35 psig. The under fire air (UFA) is calculated based on a Dwyer magnahelic differential pressure gauge. The steady state macroscopic mechanical energy balance, with assumption of turbulent flow, incompressible fluid, negligible friction and work is applied to determine the volumetric airflow in UFA. ${ }^{52}$ The equation is then represented by the following,

$$
\Delta \frac{1}{2}\langle\bar{v}\rangle^{2}=\int_{P_{1}}^{P_{2}} \frac{1}{\rho} d P
$$

where $\langle\bar{v}\rangle$ is the average velocity, $\rho$ is the density and P is pressure. The UFA flows through a 3 inch diameter duct and the UFA volumetric flow rate can be determine by the following equation,

$$
Q=A r e a \times\langle\bar{v}\rangle
$$

The ambient conditions are 25 C and 1 atm and the molar air composition of $0.79 \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ and $0.21 \mathrm{O}_{2}$ is used. The calculated molar flow rate of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ at different OFA and UFA settings are presented in Table 10.2.

Table 10.2 Volumetric Flow Rate of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ at Different OFA and UFA Settings

| OFA | 3 SCFM | 5 SCFM | 7 SCFM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 9.22 | 15.37 | 21.52 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 2.45 | 4.09 | 5.72 |
|  |  |  |  |
| UFA | 0.25 inches $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.3 inches $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.6 inches $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ |
| $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ | 47.62 | 48.29 | 67.34 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 12.66 | 12.84 | 17.90 |

The equivalence ratio is the ratio of the experimental fuel-to-oxidant ratio to the stoichiometric fuel-to-oxidant ratio, viz.

$$
\Phi=\frac{\left(\frac{\text { fuel }}{\text { oxidant }}\right)_{\text {actual }}}{\left(\frac{\text { fuel }}{\text { oxidant }}\right)_{\text {sloichiomerric }}}
$$

Three different fuel equivalence ratios are used in the model study,
a. base upon the molar flow rate of the "model" fuel (Table 10.1).
b. base upon the experimental $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reading from experimental data.
c. base upon assuming all the mass consumed in experiment is composed of cellulose, $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{5}$.

The different fuel equivalence ratios will be denoted as $\phi_{a}, \phi_{b}$ and $\phi_{c}$, respectively.

### 10.4 Numerical Integrated Solutions of the Model

The program INFERNO2, ${ }^{49}$ which is base on the ChemKin II ${ }^{87}$ integrator package, calculates the concentration profile for a PSR-PFR1-PFR2 configuration. The mechanism file used consists of over 180 species and over 900 elementary reactions. Inclusive in the mechanism file are the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ hydrocarbon oxidation systems discussed previously, as well as 370 reactions for the nitrogen system. ${ }^{36}$ Three different
experimental data sets are used and calculated for the three different equivalence ratio. The three different experimental data sets are referred to the dates when the experiments are performed, e.g. Dec. 10, 1998.

Table 10.3 Volumetric Flow Rate for the Fuel Used in INFERNO2

| Dec. 10,1998 | $219 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Nov. 9,1999 | $283.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ |
| Nov. 9,1999 | $248 \mathrm{~cm}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$ |

The concentration profile determine from INFERNO2 for the Dec. 7, 2000 conditions at $\Phi_{\mathrm{a}}=0.40$ is shown in Figure 10.1. According to the results from INFERNO2, the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, is consumed and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and NO are formed mainly in the PSR. The concentration of these three components do not change throughout PFR1 and PFR2, and the results are shown in Table 10.4. Both CO and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ undergo drastic decrease in concentration, upon entering PFR1. The CO concentration decreases from 56 ppm to 0.15 ppm within the first $2000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of PFR1, less than $2 \%$ of the total PFR1 volume. The $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ concentration drops from 0.5 ppm to $1.5 \times 10^{-11} \mathrm{ppm}$ within the first $2000 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of PFR1. The $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ concentration, initially drops during the first 2000 cm 3 of PFR1, but slowly increases in concentration afterwards and continues to slowly increase until reaching PFR2 when the concentration of $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ plateaus of approximately $2-5 \mathrm{ppm}$. The other hydrocarbon species, i.e. $\mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, slowly decreases along PFR1 and PFR2.

Comparison of the results from the model, as calculated by INFERNO2, on the three different equivalent ratio cases, with the experimental data are shown in Table 10.4. For all three dates, comparison of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ concentration is best matched between $\Phi_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\Phi_{\mathrm{b}}$ (0.40.7 ) and for the given fuel composition used in the model. Comparison of the NO and

NOx experimental data with the model show the data are in the same range, varying from $100-200 \mathrm{ppm}$, with less than 50 ppm difference. Particularly worth noting is the ratio of NO:NOx. The average NO:NOx ratio for experimental data is 0.97 , and the average NO:NOx ratio for the nine model results is 0.98 . Compared with experimental data, the model predicts the hydrocarbon species of interest, i.e. $\mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$, to be near completely oxidized, while experimental data shows trace ppm concentration levels. The model predicts less than 1 ppm concentration, while the experimental datum is about 15 ppm.

The significant under-prediction of the hydrocarbons suggests that future studies include analysis of dead zones and incomplete mixing sections of the incinerator. Additional reactor configurations or by-pass flows may also be considered as needed, to describe the measured HC levels.

### 10.5 Summary

A pilot scale incinerator has been constructed, tested and run; and an on-line sampling train capable of taking in situ data has been established. The continuous on-line analytical instruments include a CO analyzer, an $\mathrm{NO} / \mathrm{NOx}$ analyzer and an $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ analyzer. Temperatures at five different locations along the incinerator process are determine by type K and type R thermocouples (the type R thermocouple is located at the end of SCC). National Instruments' LabView software is used to collect the data readings and store it onto electromagnetic media in a personal computer. In addition, two gas chromatographs with flame ionization detector are used to determine specific hydrocarbon and total hydrocarbon concentrations. Chromatograms are stored onto a second personal computer


Figure 10.1 Modeling results from INFERNO2 based on the calculated input parameters for Dec. 7, 2000 at $\Phi_{\mathrm{a}}=0.40$

Table 10.4 Comparison of Experimental Data with Results Calculated from INFERNO2. Incinerator Data at Steady-State

|  | Dec 10, 1998 | Nov 9, 1999 | Dec 7, 2000 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 20.5 | 46.1 | 2.4 |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}(\%)$ | 7.5 | 7.61 | 6.5 |
| $\mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 153 | 99.2 | 189 |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 156 | 101.1 | 198 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0.08 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 53.6 | 2.9 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{D}$ |
| Total $\mathrm{HC}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{D}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{D}$ | 15.73 |
| $\mathrm{CO} 2(\%)$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{D}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{D}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{D}$ |
| $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{No}$ |  |  |  |

Model data at steady-state Dec 10, 1998

|  | $\Phi_{\mathrm{a}}=0.44$ | $\Phi_{\mathrm{b}}=0.64$ | $\Phi_{\mathrm{c}}=0.23$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $3.1 \mathrm{E}-5$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}(\%)$ | 11.0 | 6.9 | 15.7 |
| $\mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 134.4 | 127.8 | 103.5 |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 134.5 | 130.3 | 105.9 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | $1.3 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $1.6 \mathrm{E}-9$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $1.2 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $3.7 \mathrm{E}-9$ |
| $\mathrm{Total}_{\mathrm{HC}}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.22 |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\%)$ | 5.5 | 7.8 | 2.9 |

Model data simulating condition of Nov. 9, 1999

|  | $\Phi_{\mathrm{a}}=0.44$ | $\Phi_{\mathrm{b}}=0.64$ | $\Phi_{\mathrm{c}}=0.30$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | $3.3 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $3.8 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-5$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}(\%)$ | 11.1 | 7.0 | 14.2 |
| $\mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 136.1 | 134.0 | 118.7 |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{X}}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 139.1 | 136.5 | 121.3 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | $8.3 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $6.5 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $1.1 \mathrm{E}-9$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | $1.37 \mathrm{E}-9$ | $6.4 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $2.2 \mathrm{E}-9$ |
| $\mathrm{Total}_{\mathrm{HC}}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.22 |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\%)$ | 5.4 | 7.7 | 3.8 |

Model data simulating condition of Dec. 7, 2000

|  | $\Phi_{\mathrm{a}}=0.40$ | $\Phi_{\mathrm{b}}=0.69$ | $\Phi_{\mathrm{c}}=0.24$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CO}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | $2.6 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $2.0 \mathrm{E}-5$ | $6.9 \mathrm{E}-5$ |
| $\mathrm{O}_{2}(\%)$ | 11.9 | 6.0 | 15.5 |
| $\mathrm{NO}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 174 | 129.7 | 137.2 |
| $\mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 178.4 | 132.7 | 140.3 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | $3.9 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $6.9 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | $4.1 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $2.9 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $9.9 \mathrm{E}-10$ |
| $\mathrm{Total}_{\mathrm{HC}}(\mathrm{ppm})$ | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.24 |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}(\%)$ | 5.0 | 8.2 | 3.0 |

disk, via an analog-to-digital ChromServer, and the chromatograms are interpreted by the software PC MiniChrom from Thermo LabSystems.

Detailed thermochemical properties and kinetic parameters for the complex reaction systems of acetylene isomerization to vinylidene and acetylene oxidation with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, for ethyl radical reaction with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, ethylhydroperoxide-2 yl radical reaction with $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and methanol dissociation system has been investigated and the results reported. The thermodynamic properties determine by density functional theory, B3LYP, and/or $a b$ initio composite methods, CBS-Q and G2(MP2). Transition state structures are also determine by density functional theory and/or $a b$ initio methods and the high-pressure limit rate constants for each elementary reaction are determine from canonical transition state theory. Variational transition state theory and microscopic reversibility are used to determine the forward and reverse high-pressure limit rate constants for association and dissociation reactions, where there are no saddle points. Comparisons of each system are compared with and are shown to be in agreement with the experimental data.

Comparison of a $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2$ hydrocarbon mechanism, inclusive of the current elementary reaction systems, in addition to a nitrogen mechanism to model data from the pilot scale incinerator is also performed. The composite mechanism consists of over 900 elementary reactions, with almost 200 species. A model fuel composed of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ is used to simulate the complex synthetic solid waste fuel pellet used in the incinerator operations.

The $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ concentration from the model prediction is in close agreement with the experimental $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ data. The model predictions for the NO and NOx readings are within 30 ppm of the experimental data. Comparison of the NO to NOx ratio; the model predicts
an average 0.98 , and the ratio from the experiment yield an average 0.97 , in excellent agreement. The model underpredicts the CO concentration, predicting nearly complete conversion of CO to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. The experimental data reports data ranging from $2-46 \mathrm{ppm}$ range. For hydrocarbon species in the system, the model predicts near complete conversion of total hydrocarbons and CO. The model predicted total hydrocarbon concentration range to be $3-8 \mathrm{ppm}$ for the 3 different equivalence ratio conditions. Experimental data reports a total hydrocarbon concentration of about 16 ppm .

### 10.6 Future Work

The methylamine mechanism has not been extensively studied either experimentally or from a detail computation chemistry and thermochemical kinetic analysis. Accurate thermodynamic properties of the reactants, adducts, transition states and products in the complex $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}, \mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~N} \bullet \mathrm{H}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ systems could be determined from computational chemistry. High-pressure limit rate constants for reactions that have a distinct saddle point, such as isomerization and molecular elimination reactions, could be determined from canonical transition state theory base on $a b$ initio or density functional theory calculations on the saddle point structures. For reactions in this system where there are no saddle points, such as dissociation and association reactions, variational transition state theory could be applied to determine the reaction rate across the potential surface.

The second $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ addition to $\mathrm{C} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OOH}$ radical also leads to suggest the potential of three new chain branching reactions. Analysis of $C_{1}-C_{2}$ mechanisms with these chain branching reactions has not been studied previously and only findings
illustrate three new, low energy, chain branching reaction paths. This will be important in low temperature combustion and thermal oxidation of hydrocarbon lubricants. Further ChemKin studies on this system to verify if this system could be an important chain branching reaction to help explain low temperature ignition systems.

Considering the complexity of the fuel matrix, combined with the dynamics of the incinerator, the proposed simplified model fuel coupled with detail chemical kinetic was able to provide good comparable results for the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$, NO and NOx at comparable equivalence ratios. The under-prediction in the hydrocarbon concentrations by the model is unclear. Further analysis on the reactor configuration would perhaps help clarify if a PSR-PFR1-PFR2 in series is sufficient to model such a dynamic process. Suggestions of more complex reactor configurations include parallel reactor configurations. For a three reactor configuration, some parallel configurations can include (PSR/PSR)-PFR1 and (PSR/PFR1)-PFR2. Increasing the number of reactors to include multiple parallel and series configuration may improve the model, but also will imply more complicated computation to solution. Incorporation of "dead zones" in the reactor configuration can also help explain the differences between the model and experimental results. Construction and calculation of a successful complex reactor configuration, which includes "dead zones" in a multi-parallel configuration, may also offer some insight into the dynamics of the incinerator.

## APPENDIX A

## COMPARISON OF PRESSURE DEPENDENT MODEL WITH EXPERIMENTAL DATA

Concentration profiles determined by CHEMKIN for the pressure dependent model is compared with the methanol/methane experimental data described in Chapter 8.


Figure A1 Comparison of model and experimental data for methanol pyrolysis at 800 C , 1 atm and initial methanol mole fraction of $3.95 \%$.


Figure A2 Comparison of model and experimental data for methanol pyrolysis at 800 C , 3 atm and initial methanol mole fraction of $1.317 \%$.


Figure A3 Comparison of model and experimental data for methanol oxidation at 873 K , $5 \mathrm{~atm}, \phi=1.0$ and initial methanol mole fraction of $0.78 \%$.


Figure A4 Comparison of model and experimental data for methanol oxidation at 873 K , $5 \mathrm{~atm}, \phi=0.75$ and initial methanol mole fraction of $0.78 \%$.


Figure A5 Comparison of model and experimental data for methanol oxidation at 973 K , $3 \mathrm{~atm}, \phi=1.0$ and initial methanol mole fraction of $0.78 \%$.


Figure A6 Comparison of model and experimental data for methane/methanol mixture oxidation at $973 \mathrm{~K}, 3 \mathrm{~atm}, \phi=1.0$ and $\mathrm{X}_{0}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right)=0.78 \%, \mathrm{X}_{0}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)=0.78 \%$.


Figure A7 Comparison of model and experimental data for methane/methanol mixture oxidation at $873 \mathrm{~K}, 5 \mathrm{~atm}, \phi=1.0$ and $\mathrm{X}_{0}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{4}\right)=0.39 \%, \mathrm{X}_{0}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)=0.39 \%$.


Figure A8 Comparison of model and experimental data from Held/Dryer at $1043 \mathrm{~K}, 2.1$ atm, $\phi=0.86$ and $\mathrm{X}_{0}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)=0.00344$


Figure A9 Comparison of model and experimental data from Held/Dryer at $949 \mathrm{~K}, 2.5$ atm, $\phi=0.83$ and $\mathrm{X}_{0}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)=0.00333$


Figure A10 Comparison of model and experimental data from Held/Dryer at 810 K , $10.0 \mathrm{~atm}, \phi=0.42$ and $\mathrm{X}_{0}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)=0.00415$


Figure A11 Comparison of model and experimental data from Held/Dryer at 783 K , $15.0 \mathrm{~atm}, \phi=1.04$ and $\mathrm{X}_{0}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)=0.00415$


Figure A12 Comparison of model and experimental data from Held/Dryer at 781 K , $15.0 \mathrm{~atm}, \phi=2.59$ and $\mathrm{X}_{0}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}\right)=0.00415$

## APPENDIX B

## EXPERIMENTAL DATA FROM PILOT SCALE INCINERATOR

Experimental data real time data acquired from the pilot scale incinerator for the run performed on the following dates: Dec. 10, 1998; Feb. 11, 1999; Aug. 26, 1999, Sept. 14, 1999 and Nov. 9, 1999.

Raw experimental data obtained from the pilot scale incinerator on Dec 10, 1998.











Raw experimental data obtained from the pilot scale incinerator on Feb 11, 1999.


















Raw experimental data obtained from the pilot scale incinerator on Aug 26, 1999.



## Tempearature profie Port 1









## PG Manohrom

## INBEETION REPOKT


Acgaisec on 04-4ug-99 ot 03:39:14

Reported on $05-0 \mathrm{Ct}-59$ at $12: 27.23$



| Feas | Qx mexs | Hoht 38 | Axekavis |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $!$ | 0. 187 | 6705 | 12306 |
| 2 | 0.544 | 5258 | 18533 |
| 3 | 0.858 | 5624 | 10432 |
| 4 | -. 120 | 4378 | 765 |
| 5 | 2.06\% | 31\% | 33.1 |
| 6 | 7. 8.8 | 3387 | 5647 |
| 7 | 3.317 | 2920 | 4581 |
| Weta |  | 3.66 | 6373 |
| H65tamat |  | 0 | 0 |

Raw experimental data obtained from the pilot scale incinerator on Sept. 14, 1999.










```
P\% Manden: m
```






```
кलported on 05-cet-9世 at ! 0:48:5?
```




Raw experimental data obtained from the pilot scale incinerator on Nov 9, 1999.
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## Oxygen Profite




PC Minichrom
INJECTION REPORT
Injention $0:$ <CNLHC> 1 LHC1103A, ? 0, 1
Acquired on 08-Nov-99 at 05:14:29
Modified on 08-Nov-83 at 05:29:54
Reportad on 08-Nov-99 at 05:30:57
Colums super $Q$, at 40 C, ramp 10 cimin to 190 к.keep smin.
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## APPENDIX C

## INPUT FILES FOR SMCPS

Input files to the program SMCPS for the molecular species that are presented in this dissertation.

## SMCPS input files for the $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{5}}+\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}}$ system

```
NAME (name of molecule)
C2H5
COMMENTS:
CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)
TEMPERATURE
20 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 298 398 498 598 698 798 898 998 1098 1198 1298 1398 1498 1598 1698 1798 1898 1998 2098
ROTOR
1 number of internal rotors
MOLECULAR WT
29.03913
OPTICAL ISOMER
1
MULTIPLICITY
2 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
HF298
28.6
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 2 H 5
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
18 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: zPE, Hvib, Svib, Cpvib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 0.9 (include decimal input)
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g* cm^2 (2)=GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
103.49857 22.68020 21.02581
SYMMETRY
3
NON-LINEAR
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
15
    122.2104 464.0297 813.6975
    983.9569 1071.1841 1198.9046
1412.8064 1480.8467 1496.3710
1496.8882 2963.7353 3056.0519
3102.2922 3160.7820 3262.3294
```

```
NAME (name of molecule)
CCOO
COMMENTS :
CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)
TEMPERATURE
20 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 298 398 498 598 698 798 898 998 1098 1198 1298 1398 1498 1598 1698 1798 1898 1998 2098
ROTOR
2 number of internal rotors
MOLECULAR WT
61.02895
OPTICAL ISOMER
I
MULTIPLICITY
2 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
HF298
-6.055658955
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 2 H 5 O 2
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
18 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: zPE, Hvib, Svib, Cpvib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 0.9 (include decimal input)
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g*Cm^2 (2)=GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
17.64590 5.64663 4.86165
SYMMETRY
3
NON-LINEAR
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
    21
        113.4625 236.0504 358.1303
        528.8224 801.7790 849.5483
    1000.9112 1102.3930 1163.2387
    1209.1766 1309.4074 1380.9676
    1419.6400 1493.7845 1499.6305
    1519.0534 3059.0919 3077.3380
    3129.0971 3136.0663 3154.3323
```

```
NAME (name of molecule)
TYCCQHS
COMMENTS:
CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)
TEMPERATURE
20 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 298 398 498 598 698 798 898 998 1098 1198 1298 1398 1498 1598 1698 1798 1898 1998 2098
ROTOR
1 number of internal rotors
MOLECULAR WT
61.02895
OPTICAL ISOMER
2
MULTIPLICITY
2 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
HF298
30.98940909
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 2 H 5 O 2
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
18 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: ZPE, Hvib, Svib, Cpvib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 0.9 (include decimal input)
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g*Cm^2 (2)=GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
    13.80300 7.73280 5.62039
SYMMETRY
1
NON-LINEAR
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
    21
-2227.3619 277.0958 436.7461
        551.3194 688.3236 873.0155
        905.4756 913.2161 970.2090
    1050.2974 1108.3649 1170.7365
    1247.2155 1350.4538 1467.8252
    1509.5858 1737.5917 3038.2823
    3097.6316 3120.8223 3216.2611
```

```
NAME (name of molecule)
CJCOOH
COMMENTS:
CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)
TEMPERATURE
20 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 298 398 498 598 698 798 898 998 1098 1198 1298 1398 1498 1598 1698 1798 1898 1998 2098
ROTOR
3 number of internal rotors
MOLECULAR WT
61.02895
OPTICAL ISOMER
2
MULTIPLICITY
2 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
HF298
11.57401862
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 2 H 5 O 2
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
18 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: ZPE, Hvib, Svib, Cpvib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 0.9 (include decimal input)
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g*Cm^2 (2)=GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
16.07642 5.74828 4.86523
SYMMETRY
I
NON-LINEAR
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
    21
    132.5085 162.9791 221.1415
    359.3380 461.4223 559.1266
    841.648
1067.301
1364.5671
1476.5466
3160.6945
    872.2693 965.6320
1141.4258 1273.4955
1379.2652 1459.4444
2987.1331 3069.8579
3272.0522 3745.3915
```

```
NAME (name of molecule)
CJCOOH
COMMENTS:
CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)
TEMPERATURE
20 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 298 398 498 598 698 798 898 998 1098 1198 1298 1398 1498 1598 1698 1798 1898 1998 2098
ROTOR
3 number of internal rotors
MOLECULAR WT
61.02895
OPTICAL ISOMER
2
MULTIPLICITY
2 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
HF298
11.57401862
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 2 H 5 O 2
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
18 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: ZPE, Hvib, Svib, Cpvib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 0.9 (include decimal input)
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g* cm^2 (2)=GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
16.07642 5.74828 4.86523
SYMMETRY
2
NON-LINEAR
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
    21
    132.5085 162.9791 221.1415
    359.3380 461.4223
    841.6484 872.269
1067.3019 1141.425
1364.5671 1379.265
1476.5466 2987.1331
3160.6945 3272.0522 3745.3915
```

```
NAME (name of molecule)
TCJCXQ
COMMENTS:
CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)
TEMPERATURE
20 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 298 398 498 598 698 798 898 998 1098 1198 1298 1398 1498 1598 1698 1798 1898 1998 2098
ROTOR
3 number of internal rotors
MOLECULAR WT
6 1 . 0 2 8 9 5
OPTICAL ISOMER
2
MULTIPLICITY
2 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
HF298
27.65115753
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 2 H 5 O 2
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
18
(integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factore: ZPE, Hvib, Svib, Cpvib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 0.9 (include decimal input)
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g*cm^2 (2)=GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
17.77077 4.32924 4.04617
SYMMETRY
1
NON-LINEAR
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
    21
-526.5129 79.8781 218.9425
    299.8005 427.3334 457.1320
    815.2165 830.4155 930.4711
1020.0714 1068.0481 1244.7631
1270.9697 1388.6002 1475.5431
1564.8913 3150.5791 3167.3367
3232.8582 3266.4508 3674.4634
```

```
NAME (name of molecule)
TCYCOOH
COMMENTS:
CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)
TEMPERATURE
20 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 298 398 498 598 698 798 898 998 1098 1198 1298 1398 1498 1598 1698 1798 1898 1998 2098
ROTOR
2 number of internal rotors
MOLECULAR WT
61.02895
OPTICAL ISOMER
3
MULTIPLICITY
2 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
HF298
35.98721741
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 2 H 5 O 2
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
18 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: ZPE, Hvib, Svib, Cpvib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 0.9 (include decimal input)
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g*Cm^2 (2)=GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
20.56639 5.17032 4.84130
SYMMETRY
3
NON-LINEAR
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
    21
-1832.6095 129.1188 207.7203
    414.7054 657.0614
    919.2283 1065.5781
    818.4534
    1119.5797
    1366.596
1493.8673
3078.7016
919.2283
1418.5232
1965.516
3107.3680
783.4557
1171.0456
1487.7595
3032.7213
3144.7165
```

```
NAME (name of molecule)
TYCCXQ
COMMENTS:
CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)
TEMPERATURE
20 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 298 398 498 598 698 798 898 998 1098 1198 1298 1398 1498 1598 1698 1798 1898 1998 2098
ROTOR
1 number of internal rotors
MOLECULAR WT
61.02895
OPTICAL ISOMER
2
MULTIPLICITY
2 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
HF298
24.86822229
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 2 H 5 O 2
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
18 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: zPE, Hvib, Svib, Cpvib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 0.9 (include decimal input)
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g* Cm^2 (2)=GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
14.67621 5.59606 4.28511
SYMMETRY
1
NON-LINEAR
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
    21
-1069.8711 216.3894 365.8003
    474.2397 523.8965 628.0752
    833.0654 897.0281 1004.8182
    1038.1396 1229.6467 1295.4490
    1327.1358 1356.2287 1479.9587
    1586.6620 1626.8439 3124.1674
    3179.8189 3205.1048 3270.1512
```

```
NAME (name of molecule)
TYCOCXOH
COMMENTS:
CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p)
TEMPERATURE
20 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 298 398 498 598 698 798 898 998 1098 1198 1298 1398 1498 1598 1698 1798 1898 1998 2098
ROTOR
2 number of internal rotors
MOLECULAR WT
61.02895
OPTICAL ISOMER
2
MULTIPLICITY
2 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
HF298
26.953512
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 2 H 5 O 2
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
18 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: ZPE, Hvib, Svib, Cpvib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 0.9 (include decimal input)
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g* Cm^2 (2) =GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
26.63204 4.48611 4.08337
SYMMETRY
1
NON-LINEAR
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
    21
-764.9922 79.2887 125.0656
    256.2316 399.1948 504.7431
    756.7186 837.9449 938.0499
1011.7768 1171.9420 1178.6505
1203.7775 1317.4739 1482.9512
1550.3201 3043.1996 3099.6384
3187.5648 3305.1786 3776.8191
```


## SMCPS input files for the $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{O H}$ system

```
NAME (name of molecule)
CH3OH
COMMENTS:
CBS-APNO
TEMPERATURE
6 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 398 598 298 1098 498 (Values of temperature to be read)
ROTOR
1 number of internal rotors
MOLECULAR WT
32.02621
OPTICAL ISOMER
1
MULTIPLICITY
1 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
HF298
-48
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 1 H 4 O 1
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
8 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: ZPE, Hvib, Svib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 (include decimal input)
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g* cm^2 (2)=GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
127.4730716 24.8852584 24.0038825
SYMMETRY
3
NON-LINEAR
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
12
342.5065 1159.8767 1179.3836
    1277.1001 1488.8914
    1618.9160 1630.3432
    3184.9008
    3256.7811
    1615.2998
    3140.5052
    4186.3856
```

```
NAME (name of molecule)
CH2OH
COMMENTS:
CBS-APNO
TEMPERATURE
6 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 398 598 298 1098 498 (Values of temperature to be read)
ROTOR
1 number of internal rotors
MOLECULAR WT
31.02621
OPTICAL ISOMER
I
MULTIPLICITY
2 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
HF298
-4.08720494
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 1 H 3 O 1
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
8 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: ZPE, Hvib, Svib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 (include decimal input)
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g* Cm^2 (2)=GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
choice of moment of inertia units
190.4699859 29.8159149 26.1385673
SYMMETRY
2
NON-LINEAR
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
9
414.0067 800.2158 1146.3787
1279.4862 1469.4933 1606.1184
3244.2520 3381.0609 4189.4661
```

```
NAME (name of molecule)
CH2S
COMMENTS :
CBS-APNO
TEMPERATURE
6 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 398 598 298 1098 498 (Values of temperature to be read)
ROTOR
0 number of internal rotors
MOLECULAR WT
14.01565
OPTICAL ISOMER
1
MULTIPLICITY
1 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
HF298
102.4653454
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 1 H 2
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
8 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: ZPE, Hvib, Svib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 (include decimal input)
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g* (mm^2 (2)=GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
580.7349411 337.8758534 213.6011410
SYMMETRY
2
NON-LINEAR
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
3
1501.7912 3097.0230 3157.6662
```

```
NAME (name of molecule)
CH2T
COMMENTS:
CBS-APNO
TEMPERATURE
6 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 398 598 298 1098 498 (Values of temperature to be read)
ROTOR
number of internal rotors
MOLECULAR WT
14.01565
OPTICAL ISOMER
1
MULTIPLICITY
3 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
HF298
94.15
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 1 H 2
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
8 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: ZPE, Hvib, Svib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 (include decimal input)
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g* cm^2 (2) =GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
1548.3668759 255.3090330 219.1702222
SYMMETRY
2
NON-LINEAR
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
3
1201.8177 3264.0078 3474.7790
```

```
NAME (name of molecule)
CH3
COMMENTS:
CBS-APNO
TEMPERATURE
6 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 398 598 298 1098 498 (Values of temperature to be read)
ROTOR
0 number of internal rotors
MOLECULAR WT
    15.02348
OPTICAL ISOMER
1
MULTIPLICITY
2 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
HF298
34.82
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 1 H 3
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott &c Radom's scaling factors)
8 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: ZPE, Hvib, Svib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 (include decimal input)
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g* cm^2 (2)=GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
    285.2011929 285.2011516 142.6005861
SYMMETRY
6
NON-LINEAR
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
6
    375.3193 1512.3427 1512.3457
    3227.4318 3407.6231 3407.6377
```

```
NAME (name of molecule)
CH3O
COMMENTS:
CBS-APNO
TEMPERATURE
6 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 398 598 298 1098 498 (Values of temperature to be read)
ROTOR
0 number of internal rotors
MOLECULAR WI
31.02621
OPTICAL ISOMER
1
MULTIPLICITY
2 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
HF298
4.66467703
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 1 H 3 O 1
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCAIING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
8 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: ZPE, Hvib, Svib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 (include decimal input)
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g* cm^2 (2)=GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
156.9823553 27.5561503 27.4109625
SYMMETRY
3
NON-IINEAR
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
9
819.1875 1096.3967 1203.3555
1555.8462 1556.4608 1638.1783
3138.1509 3201.0433 3219.9325
```

```
NAME (name of molecule)
H2O
COMMENTS:
CBS-APNO
TEMPERATURE
6 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 398 598 298 1098 498 (Values of temperature to be read)
ROTOR
1 number of internal rotors
MOLECULAAR WT
18.01056
OPTICAL ISOMER
1
MULTIPLICITY
1 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
HF298
-57.8
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
H}2\quad0\quad
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCAIING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
8 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: zPE, Hvib, Svib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 (include decimal input)
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g* cm^2 (2)=GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
        789.3795932 448.5214308 286.0112866
SYMMETRY
2
NON-LINEAR
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
3
1752.0109 4141.2055 4235.8779
```

```
NAME (name of molecule)
HCOH
COMMENTS:
CBS-APNO
TEMPERATURE
6 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 398 598 298 1098 498 (Values of temperature to be read)
ROTOR
1 number of internal rotors
MOLECULAR WT
    30.01056
OPTICAL ISOMER
1
MULTIPLICITY
1 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
HF298
25.82199595
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 1 H 2 O 1
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
8 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: ZPE, Hvib, Svib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 (include decimal input)
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g*Cm^2 (2)=GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
286.0627734 36.3819057 32.2768820
SYMMETRY
1
NON-LINEAR
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
6
1131.8295 1330.0074 1445.4824
1629.4820 3067.6561 4112.8423
```

```
NAME (name of molecule)
CH2O
COMMENTS:
CBS-APNO
TEMPERATURE
6 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 398 598 298 1098 498 (Values of temperature to be read)
ROTOR
0 number of internal rotors
MOLECULAR WT
        30.01056
OPTICAI ISOMER
1
MULTIPLICITY
1 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
HF298
-26.67407265
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 1 H 2 O 1
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
8 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: ZPE, Hvib, Svib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 (include decimal input)
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g* cm^2 (2)=GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
285.1205364 38.7172216 34.0882887
SYMMETRY
2
NON-LINEAR
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
6
1337.5184 1372.3532 1656.9104
2006.7950 3091.5896 3159.7720
```

```
NAME (name of molecule)
TS1
COMMENTS:
CBS-APNO
TEMPERATURE
6 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 398 598 298 1098 498 (Values of temperature to be read)
ROTOR
1 number of internal rotors
MOLECULAR WT
32.02621
OPTICAL ISOMER
1
MULTIPLICITY
1 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
HF298
42.4932171
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 1 H 4 O 1
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
8 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: ZPE, Hvib, Svib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 (include decimal input)
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g* cm^2 (2)=GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
102.7501223 27.8175701 25.6573951
SYMMETRY
1
NON-LINEAR
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
12
-2385.3019 905.8903 1060.0082
1270.3235 1295.5652 1356.0049
1596.5750 1637.7541 2156.3662
2378.2498 3152.9330 3217.2507
```

```
NAME (name of molecule)
TS2
COMMENTS:
CBS-APNO
TEMPERATURE
6 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 398 598 298 1098 498 (Values of temperature to be read)
ROTOR
2 number of internal rotors
MOLECULAR WT
32.02621
OPTICAL ISOMER
1
MULTIPLICITY
1 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
HF298
38.45895531
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 1 H 4 O 1
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
8 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: ZPE, Hvib, Svib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 (include decimal input)
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g* cm^2 (2)=GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
96.9123008 24.9624254 23.3225884
SYMMETRY
I
NON-LINEAR
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
12
    -1270.5891 622.3196 819.5224
    1093.0199 1140.1530 1266.8980
    1403.3584 1539.7751 1786.7812
    3101.8633 3217.9013 4166.2378
```

```
NAME (name of molecule)
TS3
COMMENTS:
CBS-APNO
TEMPERATURE
20 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 50 100 150 200 250 298 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 1000 1100 1200 1300 1400 1500
(Values of temperature to be read)
ROTOR
1 number of internal rotors
MOLECULAR WT
32.02621
OPTICAL ISOMER
I
MULTIPLICITY
l multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
HF298
35.62951272
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C I H 4 O I
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
8 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: ZPE, Hvib, Svib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 (include decimal input)
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g* cm^2 (2)=GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
134.8174049 16.9961064 16.3900576
SYMMETRY
1
NON-LINEAR
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
12
-1715.9436 530.9497 553.3872
861.8992 1116.5935 1247.4180
1517.0757 1541.9227 2453.1009
3251.4901
    3349.5686
    4154.0114
```


## SMCPS input files for the hydroperoxy-ethyl $+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ system

```
NAME (name of molecule)
QCCQJ
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g*Cm^2 (2)=GHz (3)=amu-Bohr`^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
5.90058 2.62927 2.10976
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
27
    80.0927 110.2595 158.0035
    277.1760 332.0784 419.6598
    488.6324 559.2916 820.6392
    867.3868 921.5991 1008.1988
1062.1885 1127.3439 1170.7651
1281.0893 1296.3463 1377.1661
1393.5698 1401.3231 1459.8788
1475.0923 3053.4105 3078.7599
3115.7766 3147.8323 3722.1299
MOLECULAR WT
93.01878
OPTICAL ISOMER
z
MULTIPLICITY
2 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
SYMMETRY
1
NON-LINEAR
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 2 H 5 O 4
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
18 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: ZPE, Hvib, Svib, Cpvib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 0.9 (include decimal input)
HF298
-19.81
TEMPERATURE
10 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 298 398 498 598 698 798 898 998 1098 1198 1298 1398 1498 1598 1698 1798 1898 1998 2098
COMMENTS:
rb3Iyp/6-31gdp
ROTOR
4 number of internal rotors
```

```
NAME (name of molecule)
TQYCCQS
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g* cm^2 (2)=GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
9.82914 1.80218 1.63758
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
27
-1944.0655 83.7545 102.8373
    166.4490 246.5620 355.1433
    432.1545 572.2374 665.5103
    851.9981 906.0094 934.9568
    990.3567 1031.9323 1142.6910
    1177.7069 1210.6429 1247.2861
    1315.9311 1386.7587 1411.4639
    1498.7662 1775.8575 3036.3608
    3109.7341 3127.1794 3760.2729
MOLECULLAR WT
93.01878
OPTICAL ISOMER
4
MOLTIPLICITY
2 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
SYMMETRY
1
ROTOR
3 number of internal rotors
NON-IINEAR
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 2 H 5 O 2
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
18 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: zPE, Hvib, Svib, Cpvib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 0.9 (include decimal input)
HF298
-5.45
TEMPERATURE
10 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 298 398 498 598 698 798 898 998 1098 1198 1298 1398 1498 1598 1698 1798 1898 1998 2098
COMMENTS :
b3lyp/6-31gdp
```

```
NAME (name of molecule)
TQYCCQE
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g*Cm^2 (2)=GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
5.82819 2.24737 1.75028
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
27
-1106.3303 88.1780 149.5404
    182.8281 195.4325 367.2320
    377.6692 483.7977 522.1100
    663.8372 809.1175 848.7265
    925.9543 985.2962 1034.5224
    1164.9298 1251.1695 1304.2169
    1360.9856 1368.5632 1408.8230
    1560.4378 1609.2910 3091.3089
    3193.7723 3294.0608 3761.9325
MOLECULAR WT
93.01878
OPTICAL ISOMER
4
MULTIPLICITY
2 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
SYMMETRY
I
ROTOR
3 number of internal rotors
NON-IINEAR
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 2 H 5 O 2
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
18 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: ZPE, Hvib, Svib, Cpvib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 0.9 (include decimal input)
HF298
-5.45
TEMPERATURE
l0 (Number of temperature to be read in)
COMMENTS
b3lyp/6-31gdp
```

```
NAME (name of molecule)
TQCYCQ
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g* cm^2 (2)=GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
5.62050 2.97413 2.27467
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
27
-1820.5020 111.0329 152.8012
    207.8920 268.7085 420.5832
    481.8726 608.5390 684.1666
    795.7466 829.8911 896.4484
    904.2059 1033.1536 1089.0435
    1109.0848 1147.1510 1276.3169
    1348.5754 1390.0917 1425.0044
    1462.4183 1960.5319 3018.7586
    3069.0831 3092.2572 3679.2356
MOLECULAR WT
93.01878
OPTICAL ISOMER
4
MULTIPLICITY
2 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
SYMMETRY
1
ROTOR
4 number of internal rotors
NON-LINEAR
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 2 H 5 O 2
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
18
(integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: ZPE, Hvib, Svib, Cpvib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 0.9 (include decimal input)
HF298
-5.45
TEMPERATURE
10 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 298 398 498 598 698 798 898 998 1098 1198 1298 1398 1498 1598 1698 1798 1898 1998 2098
COMMENTS:
b3lyp/6-31gdp
```

```
NAME (name of molecule)
QCCHO
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g* Cm^2 (2)=GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
13.66831 2.93933 2.62830
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
21
    76.4357 143.3936 169.9619
    298.2332 419.5897 571.7434
    729.0566 897.6984 1038.0399
1075.2227 1108.8054 1253.0027
1338.7665 1397.1282 1411.8667
1444.0649 1843.0614 2910.8591
3040.7069 3093.1563 3776.6411
MOLECULAR WT
76.01604
OPTICAL ISOMER
2
MULTIPLICITY
1 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
SYMMETRY
I
NON-LINEAR
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 2 H 4 O 3
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
18 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: ZPE, Hvib, Svib, Cpvib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 0.9 (include decimal input)
HF298
-45.29
TEMPERATURE
10 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 298 398 498 598 698 798 898 998 1098 1198 1298 1398 1498 1598 1698 1798 1898 1998 2098
COMMENTS:
b3lyp/6-31gdp
ROTOR
3 number of internal rotors
```

```
NAME (name of molecule)
QCDC
MOMENT (1)=10 e-40 g* cm^2 (2)=GHz (3)=amu-Bohr^2 (4)=amu-Angstrom^2
2 choice of moment of inertia units
18.53739 6.60366 4.94544
FREQ (The format for the frequencies is not important. Units are cm-1)
18
    166.5426 243.0778 324.6857
    620.2303 712.6893 849.0511
    897.7788 974.1802 976.0074
1158.5822 1325.6640 1385.1439
1432.0844 1727.8291 3189.5412
3206.2063 3296.8115 3741.6925
```

```
MOLECULAR WT
60.02113
OPTICAL ISOMER
2
MULTIPLICITY
1 multiplicity of molecular specie of interest
S MMMETRY
1
ROTOR
2 number of internal rotors
NON-LINEAR
STOICHIOMETRY (in form of "atom x" "number of atom x")
C 2 H 4 O 2
(do not put any comments on same line as stoichiometry info)
(The stoichiometry is NOT sorted. Will write to *.lst file as is).
RSCALING FACTOR (Uses Scott & Radom's scaling factors)
18 (integer input)
rem USCALING FACTOR (User define scaling factors: ZPE, Hvib, Svib, Cpvib)
0.8 1.2 1.1 0.9 (include decimal input)
HF298
-5.45
TEMPERATURE
10 (Number of temperature to be read in)
1 298 398 498 598 698 798 898 998 1098 1198 1298 1398 1498 1598 1698 1798 1898 1998 2098
COMMENTS:
b3lyp/6-31gdp
```


## APPENDIX D

## HINDERED-ROTOR CONTRIBUTION CALCULATED BY VIBIR

Calculated hindered-rotor contribution to entropy and heat capacity based on the Pitzer-Gwinn formalism for the molecular species that are presented in this dissertation.

## Hindered-rotor for the species in $\mathbf{H C C H}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ system

| $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}(00)=.\mathrm{C} .-\mathrm{H}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | S298 | Cp300 | Cp400 | Cp500 C | Cp600 | Cp800 C | Cp1000 Cp | Cp1500 |
| + $1 \times$ rotor _ $\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{CO}=\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{H}-.\infty$. : | 5.769 | 2.317 | 72.200 | 2.008 | 1.829 | 1.562 | 21.396 | 61.190 |
| Total Increment of Vibration plus Internal Rota |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.769 | 2.317 | 2.200 | 2.0081 | 1.829 | 1.562 | 1.396 | 1.190 |
| $\mathrm{H}-(\mathrm{COO})-\mathrm{C}:-\mathrm{H}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S298 | Cp300 | Cp400 | Cp500 | Cp600 | Cp800 | Cp1000 CP1 | Cp1500 |
| Total Increment of Vibration plus Internal Rotation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.651 | 2.224 | 2.051 | 1.841 | 1.672 | 1.437 | 1.304 | 1.140 |
| $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} \cdot(-\mathrm{O})-\mathrm{C}(=\mathrm{O})-\mathrm{H}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S298 | Cp300 | Cp400 | CP500 | Cp600 | Cp800 | Cpl000 Cp | Cp1500 |
| $+1 \times \text { rotor _HC. }(\mathrm{sO})-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{do}) \mathrm{H}$ |  | 1.975 | 1.697 | 1.502 | 1.376 | 1.222 | 21.145 | 1.065 |
| Total Increment of Vibration plus Internal Rotation: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6.511 | 1.975 | 1.697 | 1.5021 | 1.376 | 1.222 | 1.145 | 1.065 |
| . $\mathrm{C}(=0)-\mathrm{C}(=0)-\mathrm{H}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S298 | Cp300 | Cp400 | Cp500 C | Cp600 | Cp800 C | Cp1000 Cp | Cp1500 |
| $+1 \times$ rotor _ CTotal do$)-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{do}) \mathrm{H}$ :Increment of Vibration pl | 6.511 | 1.975 | 1.697 | 1.502 | 1.376 | 1.222 | 21.145 | 1.065 |
|  | us Intern | al Rotat | tion: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6.511 | 1.975 | 1.697 | 1.5021 | 1.376 | 1.222 | 1.145 | 1.065 |
| $\mathrm{H}(=\mathrm{C},-\mathrm{H})-\infty$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S298 | Cp300 | Cp400 | CP500 C | Cp600 | Cp800 C | Cp1000 CP | CP1500 |
| $+1 \times$ rotor $-\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{CdC.H})-\infty .1$Total Increment of Vibration pl | 5.769 | 2.317 | 2.200 | 2.008 | 1.829 | 1.562 | 21.396 | 61.190 |
|  | 18 Intern | al Rotat | tion: |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.769 | 2.317 | 2.200 | 2.0081 | 1.829 | 1.562 | 1.396 | 1.190 |
| H-(COO) - C : - H |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | S298 | Cp300 | CP400 | Cp500 Co | CP600 | CP800 Cp | Cp1000 CP | Cp1500 |
|  |  | $2.224$ | 2.051 | 1.841 | 1.672 | 1.437 | 1.304 | 1.140 |
| Total Increment of Vibration plus Internal Rotation: 1.1 .140 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.651 | 2.224 | 2.051 | 1.841 | 1.6721 | 1.437 | 1.304 | 1.140 |

## Hindered-rotor for the species in $\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{H}_{5}+\mathbf{O}_{2}$ system



## Hindered-rotor for the species in $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{O O H}+\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}}$ system



## Hindered-rotor for the species in $\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{O H}$ system



## APPENDIX E

## INPUT FILES FOR QRRK ANALYSIS WITH MASTER EQUATION ANALYSIS FOR FALL-OFF

Individual input files to calculate rate constants based on QRRK analysis with master equation analysis for fall-off for the reaction systems discussed in this dissertation.

## $\mathrm{HCCH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$

```
05/16/98 HCCH + O2(3) rxn with CBS-q//MP2/6-3Ig* calc
chemact
dissoc
FITRANGE (uncomment if want modified Arrhenius fits)
fitglobal
CHEB
    73 300. 2500.1.e-3 1.e+2 20
temp
8 300.450.600. 800. 1000. 1500. 1600. 2500
pres
5 0.001 0.01 0.1 1.3. 10.30.60.100.
INPUT (A, n ,alpha, E kcal k=AT^nexp(- alpha*T) exp(-E/RT) )
2.6814e7 1.88256 0.0 37.169
Mass
58.
PARAMETERS ( Angstrom, K)
3.62 436.3 !double check correct values
COLLIDER (take user's data if collider name started with a '!')
    N2
    I. 28.0 3.621 97.5 400.
INT (integration interval in kcal)
    0.5
WELL. }
    CJ*COOJ
    FREQ
    3 398.5 4.149
        1143.9 5.228
        3380.6 2.123
REACTANT
    C2H2+O2
    1.4345e12 .51519 0.0 2.05
    ISOMER
        CYCOO
        5.5042e11 . 36113 0.0 25.678
END
WELU }
    CYCOO
    FREQ
    3446.9 4.349
        1211.5 5.12
        3296.6 2.031
ISOMER
    CJ* COOJ
        8.8974e11 . 4382 0.0 20.932
    ISOMER
    HCJOJCHAB
        1.502el2 . 38717 0.0 31.092 !TS to get over TS3
WELL 3
    HCJOJCHAB
    FREQ
    3 400.6 3.936
            1320.1 5.402
            3114.3 2.162
    ISOMER
    CYCOO
        5.4167E10 0.70694 0.0 118.36
    PRODUCT
            CJ*OCHO + H
                1.0E13 0.0 0.0 26.37 !Estimate with Ea=dHrxen + 2 from CBS-q
    PRODUCT
        HCO + HCO
        2.5e13 0.0 0.0 14.71 !NIST result Ben/ kny 92 w/ Ea=dHrmm + 5.7
    END
TAG
comments: All 3 param input based on TST. 2 prod (well 3) base on estim. tech.
```

```
4/27/99 HCCH + O2(3) -> (3) adduct -> (1) adduct
dissoc
chemact
temp
6 600. 800. 1000 . 1500. 2000 . 2500.
pres
7 0.001 0.01 0.1 1. 10. 50 . 100.
NOrot (no intermal rotor)
FITRANGE (uncomment if want modified Arrhenius fits)
INPUT (A, n ,alpha, E kcal k=AT^nexp(-alpha*T) exp(-E/RT) )
2.6814e7 1.88256 0.0
Mass
58.
PARAMETERS (Angstrom, K)
3.62 436.3 !double check correct values
COLLIDER (take user's data if collider name started with a '!')
    N2
    1. 28.0 3.621 97.5 400
    EXP (temperature exponent to alpha, alpha=alpha (300)*(T/300)*N)
    0.01
INT (integration interval in kcal)
    0.5
WELL 1
    HCJCOOJAB
    FREQ
    3 398.5 4.149
        1143.9 5.228
        3380.6 2.123
    REACTANT
    HCCHAB+O2AB
    1.4345e12 .51519 0.0 3.3102
    ISOMER
        CyCOOAB
        5.5042e11 . 36113 0.0 15.298
    ISOMER
            ajcoovs
            1.0e13 0.0 0.0 1.02 # singlet adduct 4/27/99
WELL 2
    CyCOOAB
    FREQ
    3 446.9 4.349
            1211.5 5.12
            3296.6 2.031
    ISOMER
    HGJCOOJAB
        8.8974el1 . 4382 0.0 2.522
    ISOMER
    HCJOJCHAB
        1.5019E12 0.38717 0.0 12.062
    END
WELL 3
    HCJOJCHAB
    FREQ
    3 400.6 3.936
        1320.1 5.402
        3114.3 2.162
    ISOMER
    CyCOOAB
        5.4167E10 0.70694 0.0 107.71
    PRODUCT
        CJDOCHOAB + H
        1.0E13 0.0 0.0 26.37 #Estimate with Ea=dHrxen + 2 from CBS-q
    PRODUCT
            HCOAB + HCOAB
            2.5e13 0.0 0.0 26.32 #NIST result Ben/ kny 92 w/ Ea=dHrxn + 5.7
WELL 4
    arcoajs
    FREQ
    3423.7 5.482
        1116.5 3.795
        3169.0 2.222
    ISOMER
        HCJCOOJAB
        7.5288E12 0.0 0.0 0.0 # triplet adduct
    PRODUCT
```

HCOAB + HCOAB \#YCCOO4 $\begin{array}{llll}2.0 \mathrm{E} 12 & 0.0 & 0.0 & 6.0\end{array}$
comments: All 3 param input based on TST.

## Isomerization of HCCH to vinylidene.

```
5/1/99 HCCH -> h2cc : b3lyp/6-31g* calc
FITRANGE (uncomment if want modified Arrhenius fits)
temp
3 300. 1000. 1500.2000. 2500.
pres
7 1. 2. 3. 5. 6. 8. 10 . 100. 1000.
NOrot (no intermal rotor)
dissoc
Mass
26.
PARAMETERS ( Angstrom, K)
3.62 436.3 !double check correct values
COLHIDER (take user's data if collider name started with a '!')
    N2
    1. 28.0 3.621 97.5 400.
    EXP (temperature exponent to alpha, alpha=alpha (300)*(T/300) ^N )
    0.01
INT (integration interval in keal)
    0.5
FREQ
3 587.0 2.173
    1021.7 2.224
    3341.1 2.603
WELL I
    HCCH
    PRODUCT
    H2CCJJ
    1.9618el4 0.07395 0.0 42.983
END
TAG
comments: All 3 param input based on TST.
```


## $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{2}}$

```
6/29/01 updated cco + o rate amd
# 6/28/01 updated k assoch and k associated with change in c.coo thermo
# 10/5/00 repeat with lower delta e av to try to get alpha=830 amd
KFIT (uncomment if want modified Arrhenius fits)
CHEB
    7 250. 2500. 1.e-3 1.e+2 50
950. 300. 400. 500. 750. 1000. 1500 . 2000. 2500.
pres
6 0.001 0.01 0.1 1. 10. 100.
COLLIDER (mass ,sigma, e/k)
28.0}303.62 97.5 (N2) 
chemact
dissoc
Ehead
100.
PARAMETERS ( mass, sigma, e/k)
61. 4.940 450.00
DELTA (E)
830. 0. (taken from literature average)
INT (integration interval in kcal)
    0.5
INPUT (A, n ,alpha, E kcal k=AT^nexp(- alpha*T) exp(-E/RT) )
    2.9436E+13 - .44354 0.0 0.0000E+00 #based on CC+O -> VTST addn rxan
#1.0613E+12 0.0 0.0 -5.0181E-01 #based on CC+OO -> VTST addn ren
# 1.6578E+11 . 24732 0.0 -7.1866E-01 # mechinfo 3 param fit
# 3.8088E+12 - .14072 0.0 0.0000E+00 # mechinfo 2 param fit
WELL 1
            CCOO
            FREQ
            3 372.9 4.134
                1255.5 10.527
                3052.9 5.338
    REACTANT
                C2H5 + O2
                2.4614E+l8 - 1.06998 0.0 35.320 #based on VTST dissoc calc
            ISOMER
                GTCOOH
                    7.9026E+06 1.78500 0.0 35.829
        PRODUCT
                CCHO + OH
                1.3169E+ 09 1.36595 0.0 41.591 #assuming shift rate-limiting
        PRODUCT
                C2H4(hO2/e) + HO2
                8.8006E+ 05 2.24329 0.0 29.613
        PRODUCT
                ccoJ + 0
                2.98E+15 - 0.090 0.0 61.6 # mechinfo fit 300-3000 kr=2e13 ERR= 4.33 %
        END
WELL }
    CJCOOH
    FREQ
3 289.3 6.101
            1161.4 8.165
            3179.1 5.234
    ISOMER
            CCOO
            1.1741E+ 07 1.03838 0.0 17.979
PRODUCT
            C2H4 + HO2
            1.2829E+11 . 51856 0.0 16.153
PRODUCT
            YCOC + OH
            1.3200E+10 . 71630 0.0 15.382
END
TAG
N2 (830)
comments: 10/5/00 lower Delta E down amd
```


## Hydroperoxy-ethyl radical + $\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}}$

```
10/25/00 latest AND Edown value, T=250-2000K for ccoo manuscript
KFIT (uncomment if want modified Arrhenius fits)
CHEB
    7 250. 2500. 1.e-3 1.e+2 50
13 250. 300.400. 500. 750 . 800. 850. 1000. 1100. 1200. 1500. 2000. 2500.
pres
12 0.0075 0.001 .005 0.01 0.05 0.1 0.5 1. 1.21 10 . 50. 100.
COLLIDER (mass ,sigma, e/k)
28.0}30.62 97.5 (N2)
chemact
dissoc
PARAMETERS (mass, sigma, e/k)
61. 4.940 450.00
DELTA (E)
830. 0. (taken from literature average)
INT (integration interval in kcal)
    0.5
INPUT (A, n ,alpha, E kcal k=AT^nexp(-alpha*T) exp(-E/RT) )
2.9436E+13 -.44354 0.0 0.0000E+00 # using current CC+O2 value 1/2001
# 8.8211E+ 09 1.12152 0.0 0.0 #based on MR from VTST of CCOO dissoc rxn
WELL 1
    QCCOJ
    FREQ
    3 386.8 9.617
        1187.1 11.886
        3390.9 3.496
    # 1 893.1 25.000
    REACTANT
        CrCOOHH+O2
        8.2044E+22 - 2.45484 0. 35.080 #base on Af/Ar
    PRODUCT
        QCCHO + OH(ipsO)
        1.7529E+ 03 3.18819 0. 3.8896E+01
    PRODUCT
            QCCHO + OH(5 ring HS)
            1.6053E+02 3.26740 0. 2.7711E+01
    PRODUCT
            QCDC + HO2
            8.6226E+ 01 3.51265 0. 2.8455E+01
    PRODUCT
            OH+JOCCOOU
            3.0E15 0. 0. 43.5
        END
TAG
N2 (830)
comments:
```


## $\mathbf{C H}_{3} \mathbf{O H}$

```
rxn pathway for ch3oh -> prod (Base on cbs-apno for all specie)
KFIT
CHEB
    7 250. 2500. 1.e-3 1.e+2 50
temp
4 290. 473. 700. 298.
pres
```



```
COLLIDER (take user's data if collider name started with a '!')
28. 3.798 71.4 # N2 Reid,Prausnitz Sherwood 3rd Ed.
chemact
dissoc
PARAMETERS ( mase, Angstrom, K)
32.04 3.626 481.8 # CH3OH Reid, Prausnitz Sherwood 3rd Ed.
DELTA (E)
830. 0. (taken from CCOO calc done by AMD 9/28/2001)
INT (integration interval in kcal)
    0.5
INPUT (A, n , alpha, E kcal k=AT'nexp(-alpha*T) exp(-E/RT) )
    3.3134E+06 2.07646 0.0 -1.7551 #MR from recomb VTST calc
WELL 1
    CH3OH
    FREQ
    3 394.6 .885
                1473.4 6.765
                3493.0 3.849
    REACTANT
        CH3 + OH
        3.2591E+10 2.05451 0.0 90.347 #based on VTST calc
    PRODUCT
        CH2O + H2
        1.1004E+ 09 1.28149 0.0 90.233 #based on TSl via CTST
    PRODUCT
        CH3O + H
        1.1908E+ 07 2.38792 0.0 99.614 #based on VTST calc
    PRODUCT
        CH2OH + H
        1.6369E+ 07 2.54513 0.0 91.951 #based on VTST calc non- intperpolate
    PRODUCT
        CH2S + H2O
        3.8735E+ 11 1.60030 0.0 92.538 #TS3B readjust S to match H(T)=0
    PRODUCT
        HCOH + H2
        2.0299E+ 10 1.22342 0.0 86.411 #base on TS2/isodesmic HCOH calc CTST
    END
TAG
comments: CBS-APNO; VTST@CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G( d,p)& MicroRev; Thermkin
```


## $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$

```
11/15/01 Using Jeff's input parameters for CH2OH+O2 in PhD dissertation
chemact
dissoc
KFIT
# showshape
CHEB
    73 250. 2500. 1.e-3 1.e+2 50
temp
4 298. 400. 500. 600. 800. 1000. 1500. 2000.
pres
3 .0001 .001 .01 0.1 1.0 10.0 100.0
COLUIDER (mass), sigma(A), 0/k(K)
            28. 3.798 71.4
# Rot (extermal rotor included)
PARAMETERS (mass), sigma(A), e/k(K)
63.04 4.83 488. # Ing's original
delta (E)
    800. 0. #Ing's original (830.0 from CCOO calc done by AMD 9/28/2001)
INT (integration interval in kcal)
    0.5
#EHEAD integration stops at Ehead + highest barrier, def. 75 kcal
# 75
INPUT
    3.E12 0. 0. 0. #NIST avg k
WELL 1
CQ.H2OH
    FREQ
        3 250.0 4.426
            1134.5 3.544
            2390.7 6.030
    REACTANT
            CH2OH+O2
            1.2610E+18 - 1.33507 0.0 35.1 # Ea from Dibble; A, n using Af/Ar = ca lel4
    ISOMER
                CH2OHOO
                4.96E+ 06 2.11 -.00069 13.6 # Ea from Dibble; A,n, alpha from Ing's original
    ISOMER
                CQH2O.
                6.86E8 1. 0.0 21.8
    ISOMER
                HOC.HQ
                5.90E9 1.0
    PRODUCT
                CO.H2OH+O
                7.55E14 0.0 0.0 57.5
END
WELL 2
CH2OHOO
    FREQ
        3 355.3 5.630
                403.7 1.768
                1829.3 6.602
    ISOMER
        CQ.H2OH
        3.76E7 1.0 0.0 6.3 # Ea base on Dibble calc higher TS; A, n from Ing.
    PRODUCT
        CH2O+HO2
        5.87E17 -2.68 - 0.00007 7.2
END
WELL 3
CQH2O.
    FREQ
    3 279.0 4.648
        800.7 2.876
        1870.6 6.476
    ISOMER
        CQ. H2OH
        5.56E8 . 84 . 00042 7.7
```

```
    PRODUCT
        CH2O+HO2
        1.40E14 0.0 0.0 5.0
END
WELL 4
HOC.HQ
    FREQ
    3 227.8 5.407
        960.1 3.501
        2149.3 4.592
    ISCMER
        CQ.H2OH
        4.19E9 . 8 . 00064 31.6
    PRODUCT
        HCQ*O+H
        3.24E13 0.0 0.0 22.5
    PRODUCT
        HCO2H+OH
        3.31E13 0.0 0.0 1.0
END
TAG
comments:
```


## $\mathbf{C H}_{3}+\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}}$

```
# 11/15/01 Using Jeff's input parameters for CH3+O2 in PhD dissertation
# CH3OO -> CH2O + OH Ea=Using Yu/Wang/Frenklach JPC 1995 rates
chemact
dissoc
KFIT
# showshape
CHEB
    73 250. 2500. 1.e-3 1.e+2 50
temp
4 298. 400. 500. 600. 800. 1000. 1500. 2000.
pres
3 .0001 .001 .01 0.1 1.0 10.0 100.0
COLEIDER (mass), sigma(A), e/k(K)
    28. 3.798 71.4 !keeping same as chemdis for N2 - jwl 7/14/00
# Rot (external rotor included)
PARAMETERS (mass), sigma(A), e/k(K)
47. 4.36 471. # Ing's original
delta (E)
    830. 0.#(830.0 from ccoo calc done by AMD 9/28/2001)
INT (integration interval in kcal)
    0.5
INPUT
    6.44el0 0.53 0.0 0.0 #Jeff's original
WELL 1
CH3CO
    FREQ # Freq uses Jeff's original
        3 603.5 4.810
            1753.8 4.961
            3772.9 1.728
        REACTANT
            CH3+O2
            3.09E15 -0.33 - .00207 30.2 #Jeff's original
        PRODUCT
                CH2O+OH
                6.0E12 0.0 0.0 46.2 # Ea using Frenklach
        PRODUCT
            CH3O+O
                3.10E15 0.0 0.0 60.27 # A- factor=Jeff's original; Ea=dHrxn CBSAPNO
END
TAG
comments:
```


## $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{CH}_{3}$

```
12/13/01 CH3+Ch3
chemact
dissoc
KFIT
# showshape
CHEB
    7 3 250. 2500. 1.0-3 1.e+2 50
temp 298. 400. 500. 600. 800. 1000. 1500. 2000.
pres
3 .0001 .001 .01 0.1 1.0 10.0 100.0
COLLIDER (mass ,sigma, e/k)
28.0 3.62 97.5 # same as one used for CCOO paper with cys/jwb/amd/ayc-2001
# Rot (external rotor included)
PARAMETERS ( mass, sigma, e/k)
30. 4.940 450.00 #base on ccOO paper with cys/jwb/amd/ayc-2001
DELTA (E)
830. 0. # same as one used for CCOO paper with cys/jwb/amd/ayc-2001
# EXP (temperature exponent to alpha, alpha= alpha (300)*(T/300)^N )
# 0.01
#BETA (do constant beta calculation if uncomment)
# . }7
INT (integration interval in kcal)
    0.5
#EHEAD integration stops at Ehead + highest barrier, def. 75 kcal
# 75
INPUT
    3.56E13 0. 0. 0. # NIST 97DEA/BAU
WELL 1
C2H6
    FREQ
        3 758.4 5.279
                1420.9 6.099
                2863.3 6.122
    REACTANT
        CH3 + CH3
        7.943E16 0.0 0.0 89.4 # AMD JPC85
        PRODUCT
            C2H5 + H
            1.2589E16 0.0 0.0 98.0 # AMD JPC85
        PRODUCT
        C2H4 + H2
            1.04E14 0.0 0.0 102.5 # Ea Gordon et al Chem Phys Lett 86
END # A factor Hidaka et al IJCK90
TAG
comments
```


## $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{3}}+\mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{2}}$

\#9/13/00 REPEAT OF EARLIER JWB CALC TO TEST BERYL C2H3+ 02 8/7/99 \#C2H3+O2FIXEDE. INP WITH HIGHER A IN WELL 3 CORRECTED WRONG FREQ IN \#C.*COOH

KFIT
73250 . 2500. 1.e- 3 1.e+2 50
temp
4 290. 473. 700. 298
pres
$4 \begin{array}{lllllllll}4 & 0.01 & 0.03 & 0.05 & 0.075 & 0.1 & 0.3 & 0.5 & 0.75 \\ 1.0\end{array}$
COLLIDER (take user's data if collider name started with a '!')

1. 28. 3.79871 .4 \# N2 Reid, Prausnitz Sherwood 3rd Ed.
chemact
dissoc
PARAMETERS ( mass, Angstrom, K)
$\begin{array}{llll}59.0 & 4.36 \quad 451.0 \quad \# & j w b / a m d ' s ~ v a l u e ~\end{array}$
DELTA (E)
1. 0 . (taken from ccoo calc done by AMD 9/28/2001)

INT (integration interval in kcal)
0.5

INPUT (A, N ,ALPHA, E KCAL K=AT^NEXP(-ALPHA*T)EXP(-E/RT) )
1.0 E 13 0. 0.0 .00 \#(vs. 5E13)

WELL 1 C*COO.
FREQ
$3 \quad 452.6 \quad 5.05$
$1049.8 \quad 5.08$ 2915.94 .31

REACTANT
$\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 3+\mathrm{O}_{2}$
$1.61 E+092.399 \quad 1.869 \mathrm{E}-03$ 44.2 \#FIXED E ERR= 13.01 (ORIG WAS 8.03E+09)
ISOMER
C. CYCOO
$3.07 \mathrm{E}+07 \quad 1.811 \quad 6.108 \mathrm{E}-04 \quad 23.3$ \#DH $1000 \mathrm{~K}=23.3 E R R=\quad 4.75 \%$
PRODUCT
$\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{CHO}+\mathrm{O}$
$1.16 \mathrm{E}+09 \quad 1.688 \quad 5.577 \mathrm{E}-04 \quad 37.7$ \#DELTA H 1000K $=37.7 \quad \operatorname{ERR}=\quad 2.80 \frac{5}{8}$ PRODUCT
$\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 2+\mathrm{HO} 2$ (1)
$6.19 \mathrm{E}+10 \quad 0.8750 .0 \quad 42.5$ \#DELTA H $1000 \mathrm{~K}=42.5 E R R=3.52$ \%
PRODUCT
$\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{HCO} \quad \#(1)$
$3.34 \mathrm{E}+07 \quad 1.725 \quad 5.584 \mathrm{E}-04 \quad 43.6 \quad$ \#DELTA H $1000 \mathrm{~K}=43.6 \quad \mathrm{ERR}=4.77$ :
ISOMER
C. * COOH
$1.37 \mathrm{E}+10 \quad 0.9660 .0 \quad 40.1 \quad$ \#DELTA H $1000 \mathrm{~K}=40.1 \mathrm{ERR}=\quad 3.22 \%$
END
WELL 2
C. * COOH

FREQ
$3 \quad 481.5 \quad 7.31$
$1191.9 \quad 4.42$
$3113.3 \quad 2.27$
ISOMER
C*COO.
$7.06 \mathrm{E}+05 \quad 1.838 \quad 5.752 \mathrm{E}-04 \quad 13.6 \quad$ \#DELTA H $1000 \mathrm{~K}=13.6 \quad \mathrm{ERR}=\quad 6.81 \%$ PRODUCT
$\mathrm{HC} . * \mathrm{CHO}+\mathrm{OH}$
8.0E14 $0.0 \quad 0.0 \quad 22.55$ \#(MEBEL ENTHALPIES, EST A)

PRODUCT
$\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 2+\mathrm{HO} 2$ (2)
$3.32 E+14 \quad 0.140-6.085 E-04 \quad$ \#DELTA H $1000 \mathrm{~K}=26.8 \quad \mathrm{ERR}=\mathrm{F} .99$ \%
END
WELL 3
C. CYCOO

FREQ
$3 \quad 250.2 \quad 1.92$
$966.3 \quad 10.17$
3208. 2.27

ISOMER
C*COO.

```
        13.64E+ 05 2.206 8.807E-04 15.2 #DELTA H 1000K=15.2 ERR= 8.35 %
        ISOMER
        C. ОснO
        18.18E+ 0
    END
WELL 4
        C.OCHO
        FREQ
            3 439.4 4.397
                419.6 1.827
                1808.6 7.202
    PRODUCT
        CH2O+HCO #(4)
        66E+05 #(4)
    ISOMER
        C. CYCOO
        3.50E+ 05 1.879 6.135E-04 96.0 #DELTA H 1000K =96.0 ERR= 6.90%
    END
tAG
    JWB/AMD 10/2001
COMMENTS :
    JWB/AMD 10/2001
```


## Dimethyl-ether radical $+\mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}}$

```
11/24/01 Using Tak's input parameters for CH3-O-CH2+O2 in PhD dissertation
chemact
dissoc
KFIT
# showshape
CHEB
    73 250. 2500. 1.e-3 1.0+2 50
temp
4 298. 400. 500. 600. 800. 1000. 1500. 2000.
pres
3 .0001 .001 . 01 0.1 1.0 10.0 100.0
COLLIDER (mass), sigma(A), e/k(K)
            28. 3.798 71.4 !keeping same as chemdis for N2 - jwl 7/14/00
# Rot (external rotor included)
PARAMETERS (mass), sigma(A), e/k(K)
77. 5.54 460. # Tak's original
delta (E)
    830. 0. # (830.0 from CCOO cale done by AMD 9/28/2001)
# 1000. 0. #jwl's value
# EXP (temperature exponent to alpha, alpha= alpha (300)*(T/300)^N )
# 0.01
#BETA (do constant beta calculation if uncomment)
# . }7
INT (integration interval in kcal)
    0.5
#EHEAD integration stops at Ehead + highest barrier, def. 75 kcal
# 75
INPUT
4.82e12 0.0
WELL 1
COCQ.
    FREQ
        3 363.6 7.347
                1221.8 10.178
        3049.6 4.975
    peactant
        COC .+02
        1.58E15 0.0 0.0 33.4
    ISOMER
        C.OCQ
            1.10E7 1.21 0.0
END
WELL 2
C.OCQ
    FREQ
        3 290.1 7.028
            1102.5 11.198
            3411.5 3.774
        ISOMER
            COCQ.
            5.5604E+07 . 56845 0.0 9.9753 # MR with kfit32c
    PRODUCT
                CH2O+CH2O+OH
                1.81E12 
END
TAG
comments :
```


## $\mathrm{HCO}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$

```
rxn pathway for HCO + O2 base on HBu/mebel/Lin 1996 JPC publication
chemact
dissoc
KFIT
# showshape
CHEB
    7 3 250. 2500. 1.e-3 1.e+2 50
temp
5 298. 400. 500. 600. 800. 1000. 1500. 2000.
pres
4 . 0001 . 001 . 01 0.1 1.0 10.0 100.0
COLLIDER (mass), sigma(A), e/k(K)
    28. 3.798 71.4 lkeeping same as chemdis for N2 - jwl 7/14/00
# Rot (external rotor included)
PARAMETERS (mass), sigma(A), e/k(K)
61. 3.62 436.3
delta (E)
    830. 0. (taken from ccoo calc done by AMD 9/28/2001)
INT (integration interval in kcal)
    0.5
#EHEAD integration stops at Ehead + highest barrier, def. 75 kcal
# 75
INPUT (A, n ,alpha, E kcal k=AT^nexp(-alpha*T) exp (-E/RT) )
5.904e9 0.932 0.0 -0.737 # Hsu et al JPC96
#***************************************************
# Hsu et al also report an abstraction rren for hco + O2 -> co + ho2
# the rate constant is as follows
#!1.5480e4 2.38 0.0 -1.526 :(Hsu96 report 2.58e-20 2.38
#
# we will put this directly into chemkin instead since no way to have
# direct to prod from reactants, viz doesn't go through any energized adduct
#***************************************************
WELL 1
        HCQ .*O
    FREQ
    3 386.3 3.230
            1203.64.713
            3298.3 . }55
        #1 834.9 8.500
        REACTANT
        HCO + O2
        7.6374E18 -1.52526 0. 36.1 #Af/ Ar from HBu and Ea=DHrxm
        ISOMER
            ODCOOH
        1.3910E+11 . 53249 0. 42.259 # ThermKin/ SMCPS base on Hsu value
    PRODUCT
        CO + HO2
        9.6753E+11 . 54495 0. 23.837 # ThermKin/ SMCPS base on Hsu value
    END
WELL 2
    ODCOOH
    FREQ #using ING's C.Q*O vibration
        3 289.6 3.122
        1027.7 3.579
        1535.8 1.298
    #1 580.5 8.000
    ISOMER
        HCQ .*O
        2.1809E+11 . 17053 0. 32.837 # ThermKin/ SMCPS base on Hsu value
    PRODUCT
        CO + HO2
        2.9487E+13 - .04848 0. 21.086 # ThermKin/ SMCPS base on Hgu value
    PRODUCT
        CO2 + OH
        2.2411E+12 . 31056 0. 11.393 # ThermKin/ SMCPS base on Hsu value
END
TAG
comments:
```


## $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ dissociation

```
12/5/01 CH3+NH2 from AMD/JWB gardiner book pg 207
chemact
dissoc
KFIT
CHEB
    73250. 2500.1.0-3 1.0+250
temp
11 300.400. 500.600. 800. 1000. 1200. 1500. 2000. 2500. 3000.
pres
7 . 0001 .001 . 01 0.1 1.0 10.0 100.0
COLLIDER (mass ,sigma, e/k)
28.0 3.62 97.5 # same as one used for CCOO paper with cys/jwb/amd/ayc-2001
PARAMETERS ( mass, sigma, e/k)
31. 3.77 364. #AMD/JWB gardiner pg 207
DELTA (E)
830. 0. # same as one used for CCOO paper with cys/jwb/amd/ayc-2001
INT (integration interval in kcal)
    0.5
INPUT
2.3E13 0.0}00.0\quad0.
WELL 1
CH3NH2
    FREQ # BASE ON B3LYP/6-31G(D ,P)
        3 724.6 3.966
        1472.4 6.318
        3039.6 4.216
    REACTANT
        CH3 + NH2
        1.2E16 0.0 0.0 84.606
    PRODUCT
        CH2NH2 + H
            2.0E15 0.0 0.0 92.33 # Ea = dHrxn, ch2nh2=g2 isodesmic
    PRODUCT
        CH3NH + H
        1.8E15 0.0 0.0 103.38 # Ea = avg AMD+G2/2
    PRODUCT
        H2CDNH + H2
            4.9E13 0.0 0.0 103.487
END
tag
comments:
```


## $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$

```
12/5/01 CH3NH+O2
#thermo : Hf base on G2 and S & Cp base on B3LYP/6-31gdp
chemact
dissoc
KFIT
CHEB
    73 250. 2500.1.0-3 1.0+2 50
11 300.400. 500. 600. 800. 1000. 1200. 1500. 2000. 2500. 3000.
pres
7 .0001 .001 . 01 0.1 1.0 10.0 100.0
COLLIDER (mass ,sigma, e/k)
28.0 3.62 97.5 # same as one used for CCOO paper with cys/jwb/amd/ayc-2001
PARAMETERS ( mass, sigma, e/k)
62. 4.940 450.00 #base on CCOO paper with cys/jwb/amd/ayc-2001
DELTA (E)
830. 0. # same as one used for CCOO paper with cys/jwb/amd/ayc-2001
INT (integration interval in kcal)
    0.5
INPUT
    2.8303E+15 -2.49952 0.0 0. #NIST JWB/AMD 1989 jpc NH2+O2, Ea=0
WELL 1
CH3NHQU
    FPEQ # BASE ON B3LYP/6-31G(D ,P)
        3 436.0 5.769
            1131.7 7.715
            2893.2 3.516
        REACTANT
                CH3NH + O2 # jwb = ok, this well is shallow, prob not impt channel
            2.4297E+31 - 5.80644 0.0 15.058 # MR, k = 1E6 - 3E10
    PRODUCT
                H2CNHOS + OH
    4.E12 0.0 0.0 33.51 #isomer does not exist. Use k(isomer), which immediately form prod.
    PRODUCT #PROD RESULT FROM ISOMER H3CNWQ, IMMED DISSOC TO PROD.
                H3CNDO + OH
                8.E12 0.0 0.0 37.0 #EST. A=4-MEM RING; EA=4-MEM RING STRAIN; JWB est.
    PRODUCT
        CH2=NH}+\textrm{HO2
        8.8006E+05 2.24329 0.0 29.613
END
TAG
comments:
```


## $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{\mathbf{2}}+\mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{2}}$

```
12/5/01 # thermo : Hf base on G2 and S & Cp base on B3LYP/6-31gdp
chemact
dissoc
KFIT
# showshape
#CHEB
#73250.2500.1.e- 3 1.e+2 50
11 300.400. 500.600. 800. 1000. 1200. 1500. 2000. 2500. 3000.
pres
7 .0001 .001 . 01 0.1 1.0 10.0 100.0
COLLIDER (mass ,sigma, e/k)
28.0 3.62 97.5 # same as one used for CCOO paper with cys/jwb/amd/ayc-2001
# Rot (external rotor included)
PARAMETERS ( mass, sigma, e/k)
62. 4.940 450.00 #base on CCOO paper with cys/jwb/amd/ayc-2001
DELTA (E)
830. 0. # same as one used for ccOO paper with cys/jwb/amd/ayc-2001
INT (integration interval in kcal)
    0.5
INPUT
2.11E13 0.0 0.0 0.0 #NIST 95MAS/TSU (JPC 95, pp13126)
WELL 1
CQNNH2
    FREQ # BASE ON B3LYP/6-31G(D ,P)
        3 410.8 5.946
            1149.3 7.238
            3022.1 3.816
    REACTANT
                CH2NH2 + O2
            2.5505E+ 04 4.10228 0. 31.05 # MR with kfit32c
    ISOMER
                H2CONJH
                2.E12 0.0 0.0 36.0 #EST. Ea approx = CCOO-> CjCOOH; cys= adj Ea ca 36.
            PRODUCT #RADICAL SITE ON ADJ C WITH OOH, IMMED GO TO PROD
                NH2CHO + OH
                4.E12 0.0 0.0 46.0 #EST. GUQNH2 NOT CONVERGE, Ea ca CCOO -> CCHO + OH
            PRODUCT
                CH2O + H2NDO # jwb= ** ok need to further test out
                4.E12 0.0 0.0 46.3 #EST. A=4-MEM RING; EA=4-MEM RING STRAIN+DHrxn
    PRODUCT # Molec Elim Channel
                H2CDNH + HO2
                8.8006E+ 05 2.24329 0.0 31.613 # molec elim using k fm ccoo -> c=c + ho2; Ea + 2 higher
END
WELL 2
H2CQNJH
    FREQ # BASE ON B3LYP/6-31G(D ,P)
        3 419.6 6.545
            1172.6 7.291
            3105.4 2.665
    ISOMER
                COJNH2
                1.1679E+12 - . 16280 0. 18.300 #MR with kfit32c of above.
    PRODUCT
                H2CDNH + HO2 ** ok if beat ascission
                1.2829E+11 . 51856 0.0 16.153 #use H-S from CjCOOH -> C=C + HO2
END
TAG
comments:
```


## APPENDIX F <br> THERMODYNAMIC PROPERTIES OF SPECIES

Thermodynamic properties of species used in the pressure dependent mechanism described in Chapter 8.

UNITS : KCAL


| C. H 2 OH | -3.60 | 59.05 | 11.67 | 13.24 | 14.57 | 15.69 | 17.46 | 18.77 | 20.97 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 1 | H | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CH3OO | 4.50 | 66.56 | 13.05 | 15.20 | 17.08 | 18.72 | 21.36 | 23.32 | 26.32 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 1 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| CH 2 OOH | 14.80 | 68.25 | 15.73 | 18.16 | 20.15 | 21.77 | 24.11 | 25.61 | 27.51 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 1 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| CH3OO | 4.50 | 66.56 | 13.05 | 15.20 | 17.08 | 18.72 | 21.36 | 23.32 | 26.32 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 1 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| CH2O.OH | -39.07 | 66.12 | 13.01 | 14.78 | 16.44 | 17.97 | 20.64 | 22.78 | 26.10 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 1 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| $\mathrm{CO} . \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{OH}$ | -39.07 | 66.12 | 13.01 | 14.78 | 16.44 | 17.97 | 20.64 | 22.78 | 26.10 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 1 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| CH2OHOO | -30.30 | 81.72 | 19.44 | 21.48 | 23.33 | 24.99 | 27.78 | 29.87 | 32.73 | rayez, lev 11/ | C | 1 | H | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 2 |
| $\mathrm{CQ} . \mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{OH}$ | -40.36 | 76.82 | 16.65 | 18.40 | 20.10 | 21.73 | 24.68 | 27.14 | 30.98 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 1 | H | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 2 |
| CQH2O. | -24.50 | 75.14 | 17.86 | 20.17 | 22.25 | 24.10 | 27.16 | 29.43 | 32.50 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 1 | H | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 2 |
| HOC.HQ | -34.56 | 77.38 | 19.21 | 21.14 | 22.87 | 24.41 | 26.97 | 28.88 | 31.58 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 1 | H | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 3 |
| C. QOH | -32.56 | 80.42 | 18.92 | 20.67 | 22.30 | 23.80 | 26.41 | 28.47 | 31.44 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 1 | H | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 3 |
| $\mathrm{HC}(\mathrm{OH}) 20$. | -82.99 | 73.70 | 16.24 | 18.11 | 19.98 | 21.79 | 25.06 | 27.69 | 31.37 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 1 | H | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 2 |
| CH4 | -17.90 | 44.40 | 8.64 | 9.82 | 11.05 | 12.29 | 14.68 | 16.78 | 20.36 | J 3/61 | C | 1 | H | 4 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| CH 3 OH | -48.00 | 57.45 | 9.81 | 11.52 | 13.21 | 14.85 | 17.85 | 20.34 | 24.30 | CBS-APNO | C | 1 | H | 4 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| CH 30 OH | -31.60 | 66.34 | 15.10 | 18.13 | 20.67 | 22.81 | 26.07 | 28.33 | 31.52 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 1 | H | 4 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| HOCH2OH | -91.05 | 67.58 | 13.94 | 16.07 | 18.05 | 19.89 | 23.09 | 25.66 | 29.73 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 1 | H | 4 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| HOCH2Q | -76.48 | 76.60 | 18.94 | 21.53 | 23.88 | 25.99 | 29.54 | 32.23 | 36.07 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 1 | H | 4 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 | G 3 |
| $\mathrm{HC}(\mathrm{OH}) 3$ | -134.95 | 75.16 | 17.21 | 19.64 | 21.87 | 23.90 | 27.37 | 30.11 | 34.34 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 1 | H | 4 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 | G 3 |
| C2H | 134.48 | 51.51 | 9.62 | 9.98 | 10.35 | 10.70 | 11.36 | 11.92 | 12.80 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| HCCO | 41.36 | 60.51 | 12.27 | 13.69 | 14.79 | 15.63 | 16.75 | 17.41 | 18.39 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| CYC.* ${ }^{\text {CO }}$ | 81.01 | 54.84 | 13.88 | 15.00 | 15.90 | 16.60 | 17.59 | 18.21 | 19.00 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| C\#COO | 85.15 | 69.85 | 15.93 | 17.45 | 18.68 | 19.67 | 21.10 | 22.03 | 23.29 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| C. \# COOH | 129.65 | 71.74 | 17.44 | 19.04 | 20.24 | 21.12 | 22.19 | 22.71 | 23.31 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| C. *OCHO | -17.10 | 63.01 | 12.82 | 15.13 | 16.99 | 18.49 | 20.61 | 21.91 | 23.38 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| CJ*OCHO | -23.31 | 69.67 | 13.57 | 14.99 | 16.23 | 17.31 | 19.08 | 20.38 | 22.29 | сув 4/98 | C | 2 | H | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| CQ.*C*O | 5.47 | 77.35 | 19.04 | 21.42 | 23.18 | 24.45 | 26.00 | 26.83 | 28.25 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 1 |
| C. $\mathrm{Q}^{*} \mathrm{C}^{*} \mathrm{O}$ | 23.77 | 78.99 | 21.13 | 23.50 | 25.21 | 26.41 | 27.77 | 28.36 | 29.11 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 2 |
| CHOCO2 | -60.76 | 75.92 | 15.74 | 18.73 | 21.15 | 23.08 | 25.77 | 27.38 | 29.12 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 | G 1 |
| C. * OCO 2 H | -70.42 | 73.85 | 16.50 | 19.62 | 22.01 | 23.80 | 26.08 | 27.27 | 28.58 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 | G 2 |
| C 2 H 2 | 54.19 | 47.65 | 10.12 | 11.50 | 12.60 | 13.48 | 14.77 | 15.72 | 17.57 | cys 4/98 | C | 2 | H | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| H2C*CJJ | 97.20 | 52.34 | 9.97 | 10.80 | 11.53 | 12.19 | 13.29 | 14.17 | 15.76 | 4/8/99 CYS | C | 2 | H | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| $\mathrm{HC} \mathrm{\# COH}$ | 20.43 | 58.73 | 13.18 | 14.82 | 16.23 | 17.46 | 19.39 | 20.76 | 22.59 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| C* ${ }^{*}$ O | -11.74 | 57.84 | 12.71 | 14.77 | 16.43 | 17.76 | 19.70 | 20.98 | 22.94 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| CH 2 CO | -11.80 | 57.58 | 12.29 | 14.08 | 15.59 | 16.86 | 18.84 | 20.29 | 22.59 | JWL 7/98CBSQ | C | 2 | H | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| C*C*O | -11.80 | 57.58 | 12.29 | 14.08 | 15.59 | 16.86 | 18.84 | 20.29 | 22.59 | JWL 7/98CBSQ | C | 2 | H | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| CYC*CO | 24.09 | 51.63 | 14.04 | 16.33 | 18.10 | 19.45 | 21.23 | 22.28 | 23.80 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| HCJJCHOT | 61.46 | 63.28 | 13.08 | 14.75 | 16.16 | 17.35 | 19.21 | 20.56 | 22.72 | JWB 3/01 | C | 2 | H | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| C\#COOH | 49.03 | 69.63 | 18.04 | 20.42 | 22.31 | 23.80 | 25.83 | 27.03 | 28.42 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| O*CC*O | -50.60 | 65.44 | 14.90 | 17.53 | 19.70 | 21.49 | 24.14 | 25.87 | 28.10 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| CO.C.*O | 15.36 | 73.25 | 15.67 | 17.29 | 18.81 | 20.21 | 22.62 | 24.51 | 27.30 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| OCYCO | -43.92 | 62.30 | 11.96 | 14.71 | 17.05 | 19.03 | 22.09 | 24.25 | 27.39 | JWL CBSQ | C | 2 | H | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 0 |
| CJJYCOO | 93.39 | 67.75 | 14.98 | 17.49 | 19.52 | 21.14 | 23.50 | 25.06 | 27.40 | cys 4/98 | C | 2 | H | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| HCJOJ* CHO | -2.43 | 70.16 | 14.52 | 16.74 | 18.64 | 20.25 | 22.78 | 24.58 | 27.20 | суs 4/98 | C | 2 | H | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| $\mathrm{HCJ} * \mathrm{COOJ}$ | 79.00 | 69.61 | 15.42 | 17.88 | 19.84 | 21.40 | 23.63 | 25.09 | 27.36 | cys 4/98 | C | 2 | H | 2 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 1 |


| C. CO 2 . | -9.08 | 67.08 | 14.41 | 17.27 | 19.62 | 21.56 | 24.49 | 26.58 | 30.08 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CQ*}{ }^{\text {® }} \mathrm{O}$ | -30.63 | 77.13 | 20.81 | 23.93 | 26.32 | 28.11 | 30.42 | 31.70 | 33.38 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 2 |
| CHOCO2H | -112.72 | 77.38 | 17.37 | 20.50 | 23.09 | 25.20 | 28.32 | 30.35 | 32.94 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 2 | O | 3 | 0 | G 2 |
| C2H3 | 71.63 | 56.62 | 10.09 | 11.98 | 13.61 | 15.02 | 17.30 | 19.03 | 21.82 | JWB 4/30 | /98 | C | 2 | H | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| CC. * 0 | -2.67 | 64.28 | 12.25 | 14.39 | 16.32 | 18.04 | 20.92 | 23.12 | 26.41 | JWL 2/00 | 00CBSQ | C | 2 | H | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| C. CHO | 3.12 | 60.42 | 12.96 | 15.37 | 17.46 | 19.26 | 22.11 | 24.17 | 27.15 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| $\mathrm{CH} 2 * \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{OH}$ | 24.79 | 64.79 | 14.18 | 16.53 | 18.45 | 20.00 | 22.30 | 23.89 | 26.46 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| C. * COH | 29.49 | 64.32 | 14.02 | 16.51 | 18.51 | 20.11 | 22.42 | 23.99 | 26.53 | 10/12/95 |  | C | 2 | H | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| CYC.CO | 29.32 | 60.69 | 11.57 | 14.50 | 16.94 | 18.97 | 22.05 | 24.20 | 27.37 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 3 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| C* CO . | 13.79 | 61.82 | 12.85 | 15.36 | 17.49 | 19.30 | 22.12 | 24.15 | 27.27 | 10/12/95 |  | C | 2 | H | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| CYCOCO. | -6.42 | 65.28 | 14.82 | 18.72 | 21.87 | 24.40 | 28.03 | 30.35 | 33.48 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 3 | - | 2 | 0 | G 0 |
| CYCOOC. | 41.61 | 67.35 | 14.10 | 17.92 | 21.16 | 23.88 | 28.03 | 30.81 | 34.19 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 0 |
| C* COO . | 29.73 | 73.37 | 16.61 | 19.40 | 21.69 | 23.57 | 26.42 | 28.45 | 31.76 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| O*CCO. | -21.54 | 72.13 | 16.47 | 18.75 | 20.81 | 22.67 | 25.80 | 28.23 | 31.89 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 3 | - | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| CCO 2 | -51.38 | 68.43 | 14.77 | 17.75 | 20.29 | 22.44 | 25.80 | 28.21 | 31.77 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| C. CYCOO | 37.56 | 69.29 | 16.38 | 20.23 | 23.37 | 25.91 | 29.55 | 31.78 | 34.29 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| CC*OOJ | -41.41 | 68.43 | 13.79 | 16.54 | 18.96 | 21.09 | 24.56 | 27.17 | 31.12 | CBSQ |  | C | 2 | H | 3 | - | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| C. * COOH | 52.73 | 74.54 | 18.47 | 21.49 | 23.87 | 25.73 | 28.30 | 29.92 | 32.30 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 3 | - | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| $\mathrm{C} * \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{OOH}$ | 50.53 | 74.96 | 18.27 | 21.12 | 23.41 | 25.24 | 27.87 | 29.58 | 32.07 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| C. CO 2 H | -61.00 | 64.91 | 15.83 | 19.08 | 21.73 | 23.88 | 27.03 | 29.12 | 32.05 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| C. CO 2 H | -60.99 | 60.05 | 15.87 | 19.11 | 21.75 | 23.89 | 27.01 | 29.08 | 32.06 | JWB 4/30 | 0/98 | C | 2 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| COHC.*O | -36.60 | 74.71 | 16.57 | 18.59 | 20.45 | 22.14 | 25.03 | 27.31 | 30.85 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| C. OHCHO | -33.70 | 71.22 | 16.14 | 18.55 | 20.68 | 22.55 | 25.62 | 27.92 | 31.31 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| С. OCHO | -39.99 | 75.07 | 17.50 | 19.88 | 22.02 | 23.92 | 27.05 | 29.37 | 32.48 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| COC.*O | -47.49 | 72.67 | 16.13 | 18.66 | 20.94 | 22.97 | 26.34 | 28.85 | 32.21 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| HC*OCOO. | -22.83 | 82.83 | 20.30 | 22.59 | 24.70 | 26.66 | 30.07 | 32.85 | 37.37 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 0 |
| C. * OCOOH | -22.03 | 83.73 | 21.48 | 24.07 | 26.35 | 28.34 | 31.63 | 34.14 | 38.10 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 0 |
| O*COO.C | -44.38 | 77.47 | 18.45 | 21.48 | 24.09 | 26.32 | 29.86 | 32.46 | 36.40 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 3 | - | 3 | 0 | G 2 |
| CCOQJ | -38.87 | 75.57 | 19.48 | 23.22 | 26.28 | 28.78 | 32.43 | 34.83 | 38.00 | JWL 9/00 | 00CBSQ | C | 2 | H | 3 | - | 3 | 0 | G 2 |
| CJCOQ | -32.45 | 79.26 | 21.80 | 25.45 | 28.29 | 30.46 | 33.39 | 35.13 | 37.54 | JWL 7/9 | 98 CBSQ | C | 2 | H | 3 | - | 3 | 0 | G 3 |
| HC*OC. OOH | -19.13 | 80.24 | 20.96 | 24.03 | 26.64 | 28.84 | 32.23 | 34.55 | 37.52 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 3 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 | G 3 |
| CCO 3 | -44.38 | 77.47 | 18.45 | 21.47 | 24.07 | 26.31 | 29.86 | 32.44 | 36.13 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 3 |
| C. CO 3 H | -38.18 | 75.90 | 20.28 | 23.96 | 26.91 | 29.27 | 32.57 | 34.61 | 37.11 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 3 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 | G 4 |
| C2H4 | 12.52 | 52.47 | 10.33 | 12.82 | 15.02 | 16.94 | 20.12 | 22.54 | 26.33 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 4 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| CH3CHO | -39.18 | 63.15 | 13.17 | 15.84 | 18.26 | 20.46 | 24.16 | 27.02 | 31.25 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| C* COH | -29.61 | 62.93 | 14.23 | 17.36 | 19.94 | 22.06 | 25.26 | 27.51 | 31.05 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| CYCCO | -12.57 | 59.36 | 11.35 | 14.87 | 17.90 | 20.48 | 24.55 | 27.48 | 31.78 | 12/19/96 | THERM | C | 2 | H | 4 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| CYCCO | -12.58 | 58.16 | 11.72 | 15.24 | 18.24 | 20.78 | 24.76 | 27.61 | 31.85 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| CYC2O2 | -2.29 | 60.78 | 14.13 | 18.89 | 22.89 | 26.23 | 31.29 | 34.69 | 39.01 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 4 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 0 |
| CCYCOO | -11.44 | 64.50 | 17.10 | 21.33 | 24.89 | 27.86 | 32.33 | 35.29 | 38.92 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 4 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| CYCOCOH | -58.38 | 66.74 | 16.16 | 20.12 | 23.40 | 26.10 | 30.15 | 32.90 | 36.88 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 4 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| $\mathrm{C} * \mathrm{COOH}$ | -6.37 | 73.15 | 18.58 | 22.26 | 25.24 | 27.63 | 31.11 | 33.41 | 36.83 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| CCO 2 H | -103.30 | 67.64 | 16.11 | 19.60 | 22.58 | 25.12 | 29.09 | 31.94 | 36.12 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 4 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| O* CCOH | -73.52 | 73.59 | 17.40 | 20.03 | 22.41 | 24.57 | 28.23 | 31.08 | 35.42 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 4 | O | 2 | 0 | G 2 |


| COCHO | -84.41 | 71.55 | 16.97 | 20.07 | 22.88 | 25.39 | 29.55 | 32.67 | 36.90 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O* COOHC | -80.50 | 77.25 | 20.56 | 24.41 | 27.65 | 30.36 | 34.52 | '37.41 | 41.50 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 3 |
| O*CCQ | -58.93 | 82.61 | 21.33 | 24.49 | 27.32 | 29.84 | 34.00 | 37.11 | 41.50 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 3 |
| CCO 3 H | -80.50 | 77.25 | 20.47 | 24.37 | 27.65 | 30.40 | 34.56 | 37.41 | 41.25 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 4 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 4 |
| C2H5 | 28.60 | 60.61 | 12.16 | 14.70 | 17.00 | 19.08 | 22.62 | 25.42 | 29.92 | CBS-Q//B | 3LYP | C | 2 | H | 5 |  | 0 | 0 | G 1 |
| CCO. | -4.24 | 65.66 | 14.48 | 17.95 | 20.97 | 23.59 | 27.82 | 30.97 | 35.79 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| $\mathrm{CC}$. OH | -14.30 | 67.90 | 15.87 | 18.89 | 21.53 | 23.83 | 27.57 | 30.42 | 34.98 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| C. COH | -7.20 | 70.53 | 14.67 | 17.85 | 20.63 | 23.06 | 27.02 | 30.02 | 34.70 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| COC. | 1.00 | 67.30 | 16.30 | 19.15 | 21.72 | 24.03 | 27.90 | 30.92 | 35.57 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| COC. | . 10 | 67.68 | 14.79 | 17.96 | 20.75 | 23.18 | 27.14 | 30.13 | 34.82 | Tak PhD | CBS-q | C | 2 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| CCOO | -5.53 | 76.36 | 18.28 | 21.78 | 24.88 | 27.60 | 32.07 | 35.48 | 40.71 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| CCOO | -6.72 | 73.83 | 16.15 | 19.97 | 23.34 | 26.28 | 31.07 | 34.64 | 40.02 | CBS-Q/B3 | \&isode | C | 2 | H | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| $\mathrm{CCO} . \mathrm{OH}$ | -50.04 | 72.86 | 18.89 | 22.86 | 26.21 | 29.03 | 33.39 | 36.49 | 41.06 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| coco. | -34.47 | 73.96 | 18.30 | 21.66 | 24.70 | 27.43 | 32.00 | 35.52 | 40.79 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| c. COOH | 6.87 | 79.55 | 19.53 | 23.29 | 26.52 | 29.27 | 33.61 | 36.72 | 41.17 | 10/12/95 |  | C | 2 | H | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| c. COOH | 11.22 | 81.91 | 20.28 | 23.56 | 26.33 | 28.68 | 32.38 | 35.12 | 39.65 | CBS-Q//B | 3LYP | C | 2 | H | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| $\mathrm{CC}$. | 2.27 | 79.96 | 20.40 | 23.81 | 26.79 | 29.38 | 33.56 | 36.64 | 41.11 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| C. $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OH}) 2$ | -54.50 | 79.11 | 19.21 | 22.87 | 25.95 | 28.54 | 32.55 | 35.43 | 39.87 | 10/12/95 |  | C | 2 | H | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| CC. ( OH ) 2 | -62.82 | 78.79 | 19.29 | 22.17 | 24.82 | 27.24 | 31.39 | 34.67 | 39.71 | 10/12/95 |  | C | 2 | H | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| $\mathrm{COC.OH}$ | -44.53 | 76.20 | 19.63 | 22.59 | 25.27 | 27.69 | 31.78 | 34.97 | 39.88 | 10/12/95 |  | C | 2 | H | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| C. OCOH | -42.03 | 77.56 | 19.79 | 22.76 | 25.45 | 27.86 | 31.93 | 35.09 | 39.91 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| COHCQ . | -41.33 | 87.00 | 21.42 | 25.25 | 28.57 | 31.45 | 36.13 | 39.69 | 45.41 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 2 |
| CO.CQ | -25.47 | 85.32 | 22.45 | 26.84 | 30.54 | 33.65 | 38.45 | 41.87 | 46.94 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 2 |
| C. OHCQ | -35.53 | 87.56 | 23.81 | 27.76 | 31.07 | 33.86 | 38.17 | 41.28 | 46.07 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 3 |
| $\mathrm{COHC.OOH}$ | -33.53 | 90.60 | 23.51 | 27.26 | 30.47 | 33.23 | 37.63 | 40.88 | 45.86 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 | G 3 |
| CCQO. | -35.47 | 81.88 | 23.89 | 28.44 | 32.21 | 35.33 | 39.99 | 43.13 | 47.42 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 3 |
| $\mathrm{CCQ} . \mathrm{OH}$ | -51.33 | 83.56 | 23.15 | 26.90 | 30.15 | 32.96 | 37.47 | 40.82 | 45.88 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 3 |
| COCQ. | -33.90 | 83.11 | 21.37 | 25.65 | 29.27 | 32.31 | 37.02 | 40.39 | 45.48 | Tak PhD | CBS-q | C | 2 | H | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 3 |
| C. CQOH | -38.43 | 88.13 | 24.01 | 28.19 | 31.68 | 34.57 | 38.95 | 41.96 | 46.21 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 4 |
| $\mathrm{CC}$. | -46.73 | 89.99 | 24.05 | 27.69 | 30.85 | 33.58 | 37.95 | 41.15 | 45.77 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 4 |
| C.OCQ | -26.50 | 88.03 | 23.11 | 27.67 | 31.33 | 34.25 | 38.46 | 41.21 | 45.37 | Tak PhD | CBS-q | C | 2 | H | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 | G 4 |
| HOOCCOO. | -26.76 | 93.27 | 26.13 | 30.66 | 34.50 | 37.74 | 42.77 | 46.34 | 51.42 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | G 4 |
| $\mathrm{HOOCC} . \mathrm{OOH}$ | -18.96 | 98.24 | 28.13 | 32.65 | 36.44 | 39.59 | 44.36 | 47.60 | 51.87 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | G 5 |
| C2H6 | -20.40 | 55.10 | 12.44 | 15.81 | 18.83 | 21.53 | 26.06 | 29.58 | 35.06 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 6 |  | 0 | 0 | G 1 |
| CCOH | -56.20 | 67.12 | 15.44 | 19.23 | 22.54 | 25.44 | 30.17 | 33.75 | 39.32 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| COC | -43.40 | 63.78 | 15.72 | 19.33 | 22.58 | 25.50 | 30.39 | 34.16 | 39.88 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| CCOOH | -41.63 | 76.14 | 20.33 | 24.69 | 28.44 | 31.66 | 36.77 | 40.48 | 45.86 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| $\mathrm{CC}(\mathrm{OH}) 2$ | -102.02 | 74.32 | 19.89 | 24.18 | 27.82 | 30.91 | 35.74 | 39.23 | 44.59 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| COCOH | -86.45 | 75.42 | 19.24 | 22.96 | 26.32 | 29.34 | 34.40 | 38.32 | 44.33 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| COHCOOH | -77.45 | 86.78 | 23.41 | 28.14 | 32.15 | 35.55 | 40.85 | 44.69 | 50.55 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 3 |
| CCQOH | -87.45 | 83.34 | 24.88 | 29.71 | 33.76 | 37.14 | 42.29 | 45.88 | 50.98 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 6 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 | G 4 |
| HOOCCOOH | -62.88 | 94.42 | 27.49 | 32.73 | 37.15 | 40.87 | 46.60 | 50.60 | 56.10 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 2 | H | 6 | $\bigcirc$ | 4 | 0 | G 5 |
| C\#CCBR | 50.43 | 71.37 | 17.70 | 20.63 | 23.04 | 25.00 | 27.90 | 29.87 | 32.78 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | BR | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| C\#CC. | 81.60 | 59.59 | 13.77 | 16.09 | 18.08 | 19.78 | 22.48 | 24.43 | 27.27 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | G 1 |


| $\mathrm{C} * \mathrm{C}^{*} \mathrm{C}$. | 81.64 | 62.53 | 13.64 | 16.08 | 18.14 | 19.88 | 22.57 | 24.51 | 27.48 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CYC.C*C | 103.15 | 60.71 | 11.97 | 14.85 | 17.24 | 19.22 | 22.21 | 24.28 | 27.37 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| C\#CCCL | 39.17 | 68.80 | 17.26 | 20.08 | 22.43 | 24.39 | 27.39 | 29.49 | 32.62 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | CL | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| C*C.CHOA | 36.58 | 69.22 | 16.69 | 19.63 | 22.08 | 24.13 | 27.24 | 29.42 | 32.61 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| C*CC.*O | 21.58 | 68.56 | 16.97 | 20.10 | 22.65 | 24.73 | 27.78 | 29.83 | 32.83 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| C*C.CHOB | 16.98 | 66.90 | 16.25 | 19.66 | 22.42 | 24.66 | 27.90 | 30.02 | 32.99 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| $\mathrm{C}^{*} \mathrm{C}^{*} \mathrm{CO}$. | 40.49 | 67.82 | 16.68 | 19.70 | 22.21 | 24.29 | 27.44 | 29.64 | 32.95 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| C*C.C*O | 38.99 | 70.23 | 16.49 | 19.46 | 21.97 | 24.08 | 27.35 | 29.63 | 32.84 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| C\#CCO. | 61.80 | 68.48 | 16.80 | 19.43 | 21.74 | 23.77 | 27.05 | 29.46 | 32.81 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{\# CC.OH}$ | 44.72 | 69.49 | 17.21 | 19.67 | 21.77 | 23.58 | 26.43 | 28.49 | 31.55 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| C\#CCOO | 61.51 | 78.83 | 19.77 | 22.47 | 24.87 | 26.99 | 30.47 | 33.10 | 36.95 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| c. \# CCOOH | 106.01 | 80.72 | 22.00 | 24.76 | 27.15 | 29.19 | 32.40 | 34.64 | 37.56 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| C\#CC.OOH | 58.91 | 74.80 | 20.04 | 23.09 | 25.74 | 28.03 | 31.65 | 34.20 | 37.43 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| C* ${ }^{\text {* }}$ COO | 63.95 | 79.37 | 20.46 | 23.74 | 26.40 | 28.56 | 31.73 | 33.90 | 37.34 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| c. * ${ }^{*}$ * COOH | 61.52 | 79.80 | 21.86 | 25.34 | 28.15 | 30.41 | 33.64 | 35.69 | 38.41 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| c. \#cooc | 129.82 | 80.68 | 21.42 | 24.60 | 27.29 | 29.54 | 32.96 | 35.22 | 37.93 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| c\#COOC. | 93.62 | 80.71 | 23.49 | 26.88 | 29.60 | 31.74 | 34.74 | 36.54 | 38.66 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| C* $\mathrm{CO} . \mathrm{CHO}$ | -5.45 | 74.07 | 20.17 | 23.64 | 26.52 | 28.88 | 32.41 | 34.78 | 38.07 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| c. C * OCHO | -23.01 | 76.83 | 19.59 | 22.75 | 25.45 | 27.78 | 31.42 | 33.99 | 37.51 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| C. C*OC*O | -22.18 | 74.90 | 18.78 | 22.49 | 25.59 | 28.16 | 32.01 | 34.59 | 37.96 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| CC*OC.*O | -24.68 | 73.88 | 17.69 | 21.52 | 24.75 | 27.45 | 31.54 | 34.31 | 37.84 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| CYC*C.COO | 61.09 | 71.28 | 16.35 | 20.56 | 24.15 | 27.19 | 31.86 | 35.07 | 39.20 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 0 |
| C. CYC* COO | 63.51 | 67.47 | 19.27 | 24.05 | 27.82 | 30.74 | 34.71 | 37.04 | 39.82 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| CCYC*C.00 | 81.81 | 71.09 | 19.81 | 23.88 | 27.17 | 29.82 | 33.62 | 36.04 | 39.19 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| CYC*CC.00 | 37.67 | 65.66 | 15.17 | 20.08 | 24.17 | 27.54 | 32.54 | 35.78 | 39.67 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | c | 3 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 0 |
| CYC.* CCOO | 58.59 | 71.33 | 16.74 | 21.01 | 24.62 | 27.65 | 32.26 | 35.39 | 39.38 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 0 |
| C. * CYCCOO | 83.23 | 73.37 | 17.32 | 21.63 | 25.22 | 28.19 | 32.66 | 35.64 | 39.48 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 0 |
| C*CYCC. 00 | 57.63 | 68.17 | 15.70 | 20.38 | 24.32 | 27.62 | 32.59 | 35.85 | 39.72 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 0 |
| C* ${ }^{*}$ C | 45.90 | 58.32 | 14.21 | 17.25 | 19.83 | 22.02 | 25.42 | 27.88 | 31.63 |  | API 53 | C | 3 | H | 4 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| C\#CC | 44.28 | 59.30 | 13.98 | 16.66 | 19.11 | 21.32 | 25.03 | 27.87 | 31.89 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 4 |  | 0 | 0 | G 1 |
| C* ${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}$ | 46.72 | 58.49 | 14.12 | 17.14 | 19.73 | 21.95 | 25.47 | 28.05 | 32.01 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 4 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| CYCC* ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 66.25 | 58.21 | 12.82 | 16.30 | 19.21 | 21.63 | 25.36 | 28.00 | 31.99 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 4 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| C* CCHO | -20.32 | 67.41 | 17.05 | 20.86 | 24.03 | 26.66 | 30.63 | 33.38 | 37.39 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| $\mathrm{C} * \mathrm{C}^{\star} \mathrm{COH}$ | 4.59 | 68.93 | 18.07 | 21.69 | 24.64 | 27.04 | 30.58 | 33.01 | 36.75 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| $\mathrm{C} \# \mathrm{CCOH}$ | 9.82 | 69.94 | 17.96 | 20.66 | 23.14 | 25.39 | 29.20 | 32.15 | 36.45 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| $\mathrm{C} \mathrm{\# CCOOH}$ | 25.39 | 78.61 | 22.95 | 26.46 | 29.44 | 31.96 | 35.86 | 38.59 | 42.34 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| $\mathrm{C} * \mathrm{C}^{*} \mathrm{COOH}$ | 27.83 | 79.15 | 22.49 | 26.64 | 29.97 | 32.63 | 36.42 | 38.87 | 42.42 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| C\#COOC | 48.20 | 78.92 | 23.10 | 26.75 | 29.87 | 32.53 | 36.67 | 39.51 | 43.07 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| C* COHCHO | -57.41 | 75.53 | 21.13 | 25.00 | 28.21 | 30.85 | 34.82 | 37.53 | 41.53 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| CC*OC* | -64.50 | 76.25 | 19.04 | 22.99 | 26.42 | 29.38 | 34.10 | 37.49 | 42.16 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| CC*OC*O | -64.50 | 76.25 | 19.04 | 22.99 | 26.42 | 29.38 | 34.10 | 37.49 | 42.16 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| CYC*CCOO | 4.17 | 69.47 | 16.78 | 21.81 | 26.07 | 29.67 | 35.19 | 38.99 | 44.00 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 4 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 0 |
| CCYC*COO | 27.39 | 69.23 | 19.89 | 24.67 | 28.58 | 31.78 | 36.48 | 39.58 | 43.76 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 4 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| C* CYCCOO | 24.11 | 71.98 | 17.46 | 22.40 | 26.58 | 30.09 | 35.43 | 39.06 | 43.69 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 4 | O | 2 | 0 | G 1 |


| C* CCBR | 10.80 | 75.90 | 18.63 | 22.69 | 26.11 | 29.00 | 33.48 | 36.69 | 41.58 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | BR | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C. * CC | 63.77 | 65.22 | 15.24 | 18.50 | 21.36 | 23.86 | 27.93 | 31.00 | 35.78 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 |  | 0 | 0 | G 1 |
| C*C.C | 61.57 | 65.64 | 15.06 | 18.10 | 20.83 | 23.27 | 27.38 | 30.58 | 35.57 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 |  | 0 | 0 | G 1 |
| $\mathrm{C} * \mathrm{CC}$. | 40.75 | 62.07 | 14.91 | 18.66 | 21.87 | 24.62 | 28.97 | 32.12 | 36.74 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 |  | 0 | 0 | G 1 |
| CC*C. | 63.77 | 65.22 | 15.24 | 18.50 | 21.36 | 23.86 | 27.93 | 31.00 | 35.78 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 |  | 0 | 0 | G 1 |
| CC. * C | 61.57 | 65.64 | 15.06 | 18.10 | 20.83 | 23.27 | 27.38 | 30.58 | 35.57 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 |  | 0 | 0 | G 1 |
| C.C*C | 40.75 | 62.07 | 14.91 | 18.66 | 21.87 | 24.62 | 28.97 | 32.12 | 36.74 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 |  | 0 | 0 | G 1 |
| C*CCCL | -. 46 | 73.33 | 18.13 | 22.11 | 25.51 | 28.40 | 32.96 | 36.29 | 41.41 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | CL | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| CCC.*O | -7.60 | 73.87 | 18.49 | 21.95 | 25.01 | 27.70 | 32.11 | 35.46 | 40.55 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| СС. CHO | -4.70 | 70.38 | 18.07 | 21.89 | 25.20 | 28.07 | 32.66 | 36.05 | 41.05 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| C. CCHO | 4.50 | 76.16 | 18.53 | 21.97 | 25.02 | 27.70 | 32.10 | 35.43 | 40.47 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| C2. ${ }^{*}$ - | -9.26 | 71.13 | 18.28 | 22.29 | 25.77 | 28.76 | 33.51 | 36.94 | 41.76 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| C* CCO . | 22.15 | 73.01 | 17.55 | 21.55 | 25.00 | 27.96 | 32.66 | 36.07 | 41.10 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| C * $\mathrm{CC} . \mathrm{OH}$ | 3.69 | 70.66 | 17.10 | 21.05 | 24.48 | 27.46 | 32.23 | 35.73 | 40.81 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| C. * CCOH | 29.29 | 75.86 | 18.24 | 22.01 | 25.28 | 28.09 | 32.56 | 35.81 | 40.59 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| $\mathrm{C} * \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{COH}$ | 24.59 | 76.33 | 18.41 | 22.02 | 25.17 | 27.91 | 32.33 | 35.59 | 40.40 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| C*CO.C | 13.15 | 71.18 | 18.08 | 21.98 | 25.38 | 28.32 | 33.03 | 36.48 | 41.50 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| C * COHC . | -2.71 | 70.88 | 18.55 | 22.58 | 25.97 | 28.80 | 33.15 | 36.23 | 40.84 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| C. * COHC | 20.29 | 74.03 | 18.80 | 22.48 | 25.65 | 28.37 | 32.69 | 35.85 | 40.56 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| $\mathrm{CC*}$ C. OH | 16.92 | 73.38 | 19.39 | 23.09 | 26.21 | 28.84 | 32.91 | 35.85 | 40.45 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| CC. * COH | 19.42 | 73.33 | 19.02 | 22.64 | 25.72 | 28.34 | 32.48 | 35.51 | 40.27 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| c. ${ }^{*}{ }^{\text {c }}$ OH | -1.38 | 69.76 | 18.83 | 23.21 | 26.80 | 29.73 | 34.08 | 37.05 | 41.49 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| c. * CCOH | 29.29 | 75.86 | 18.24 | 22.01 | 25.28 | 28.09 | 32.56 | 35.81 | 40.59 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| c. $\mathrm{CYC2O}$ | 27.12 | 70.38 | 16.89 | 21.51 | 25.35 | 28.54 | 33.38 | 36.74 | 41.67 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| CCYC. CO | 20.02 | 68.12 | 17.54 | 22.13 | 25.92 | 29.05 | 33.75 | 36.99 | 41.80 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| CYCC.CO | 27.99 | 69.00 | 13.74 | 18.68 | 22.91 | 26.49 | 32.06 | 35.96 | 41.47 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| CYC.CCO | 23.54 | 65.71 | 15.17 | 20.17 | 24.34 | 27.82 | 33.10 | 36.77 | 42.15 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| C* COC . | 18.86 | 73.90 | 20.57 | 24.75 | 28.18 | 31.01 | 35.22 | 38.09 | 42.28 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| $\mathrm{CO} . \mathrm{CCHO}$ | -28.34 | 81.93 | 21.46 | 25.54 | 29.08 | 32.13 | 37.00 | 40.56 | 45.77 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| C* CCOO . | 20.86 | 83.71 | 21.46 | 25.39 | 28.84 | 31.88 | 36.85 | 40.60 | 46.26 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| $\mathrm{C} * \mathrm{CC} . \mathrm{OOH}$ | 18.26 | 79.68 | 21.94 | 26.45 | 30.31 | 33.60 | 38.75 | 42.41 | 47.51 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| C. * CCOOH | 43.17 | 85.32 | 23.97 | 28.04 | 31.47 | 34.35 | 38.78 | 41.90 | 46.48 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| C - COOC . | 40.20 | 84.00 | 24.11 | 28.67 | 32.46 | 35.60 | 40.32 | 43.56 | 48.11 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| $\mathrm{C} * \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{COOH}$ | 41.66 | 85.30 | 23.21 | 27.11 | 30.51 | 33.45 | 38.17 | 41.62 | 46.56 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| C. * COOHC | 43.53 | 84.25 | 23.31 | 27.32 | 30.71 | 33.57 | 38.00 | 41.14 | 45.74 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| C* COOHC . | 20.53 | 81.10 | 22.96 | 27.50 | 31.26 | 34.36 | 39.01 | 42.19 | 46.77 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| C*COO. C | 20.53 | 85.26 | 21.50 | 25.25 | 28.54 | 31.42 | 36.13 | 39.69 | 45.18 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| CC*C.OOH | 40.16 | 83.60 | 23.86 | 28.05 | 31.53 | 34.41 | 38.76 | 41.75 | 46.06 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| CC. * COOH | 42.66 | 83.55 | 23.51 | 27.64 | 31.09 | 33.96 | 38.34 | 41.43 | 46.07 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| C. $\mathrm{C}^{\star} \mathrm{COOH}$ | 21.86 | 79.98 | 23.25 | 28.16 | 32.12 | 35.30 | 39.89 | 42.89 | 47.13 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| CC*COO. | 21.86 | 81.96 | 21.74 | 25.91 | 29.43 | 32.38 | 37.00 | 40.37 | 45.72 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| CCO. ${ }^{*}$ O | -31.24 | 79.66 | 21.07 | 25.78 | 29.73 | 33.03 | 38.09 | 41.63 | 46.78 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| C. $\mathrm{COHC*}^{\text {O }}$ | -34.20 | 85.91 | 21.29 | 25.72 | 29.44 | 32.55 | 37.33 | 40.71 | 45.74 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| CCOHC. * | -46.30 | 82.24 | 21.22 | 25.67 | 29.41 | 32.53 | 37.33 | 40.72 | 45.74 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 |  | 2 | 0 | G 3 |


| C. $\mathrm{C} * \mathrm{OCOH}$ | -43.58 | 80.58 | 21.94 | 25.85 | 29.25 | 32.18 | 36.88 | 40.37 | 45.60 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CC*} \mathrm{OC} \mathrm{OH}$ | -46.08 | 79.56 | 20.89 | 24.85 | 28.34 | 31.39 | 36.35 | 40.06 | 45.53 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | O | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| C. * CCOOH | 44.86 | 84.53 | 23.30 | 27.48 | 31.03 | 34.03 | 38.72 | 42.13 | 47.36 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | O | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| CYC. CCOO | 16.71 | 74.38 | 19.56 | 25.32 | 30.14 | 34.14 | 40.16 | 44.20 | 49.50 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | - | 2 | 0 | G 0 |
| cYCC. COO | 19.16 | 75.00 | 17.96 | 23.87 | 28.87 | 33.05 | 39.41 | 43.70 | 49.19 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 0 |
| C. CYCCOO | 37.41 | 75.75 | 19.31 | 25.14 | 29.98 | 33.96 | 39.87 | 43.80 | 48.90 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| CCYC. COO | 29.91 | 78.32 | 20.20 | 25.20 | 29.49 | 33.14 | 38.86 | 42.88 | 48.32 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | O | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| ССYСС. 00 | 32.31 | 76.16 | 20.19 | 25.65 | 30.23 | 34.04 | 39.81 | 43.71 | 48.83 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| CC. QC*O | -25.73 | 90.54 | 26.71 | 31.77 | 35.92 | 39.31 | 44.31 | 47.63 | 52.18 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 4 |
| CC*OCQ . | -35.21 | 91.17 | 25.10 | 28.92 | 32.39 | 35.52 | 40.81 | 44.90 | 50.98 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 3 |
| C. C*OCQ | -29.01 | 89.60 | 26.77 | 31.29 | 35.15 | 38.42 | 43.50 | 47.07 | 51.96 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 4 |
| CC * $\mathrm{OC} . \mathrm{OOH}$ | -34.01 | 90.44 | 25.43 | 29.73 | 33.50 | 36.79 | 42.09 | 45.96 | 51.32 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 | G 4 |
| CCQ.C*O | -31.53 | 89.61 | 24.82 | 29.62 | 33.68 | 37.10 | 42.39 | 46.14 | 51.63 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 | G 3 |
| C. CQC*O | -18.63 | 94.18 | 25.99 | 31.10 | 35.32 | 38.78 | 43.93 | 47.38 | 52.07 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 4 |
| CCQC.*O | -30.73 | 90.51 | 25.90 | 31.04 | 35.27 | 38.75 | 43.92 | 47.38 | 52.08 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 4 |
| CQC.C*O | -25.93 | 90.04 | 25.99 | 30.78 | 34.81 | 38.19 | 43.37 | 46.94 | 51.85 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 4 |
| CQCC.*O | -28.83 | 93.53 | 26.67 | 30.97 | 34.66 | 37.81 | 42.79 | 46.37 | 51.46 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 | G 4 |
| C. QCC*O | -19.33 | 93.52 | 27.92 | 32.29 | 35.99 | 39.12 | 43.96 | 47.36 | 52.07 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 4 |
| CQ. CC*O | -29.63 | 92.63 | 24.20 | 28.29 | 31.92 | 35.14 | 40.45 | 44.46 | 50.34 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 4 |
| C*OCQCO. | -52.67 | 98.67 | 29.62 | 34.89 | 39.28 | 42.92 | 48.40 | 52.15 | 57.34 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | G 4 |
| C*OCQ. COH | -68.55 | 100.35 | 28.47 | 33.21 | 37.26 | 40.70 | 46.12 | 50.04 | 55.90 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | G 4 |
| C. * OCQCOH | -67.75 | 101.25 | 29.44 | 34.47 | 38.67 | 42.18 | 47.51 | 51.23 | 56.59 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | G 4 |
| CYCC.OOCQ | -7.89 | 93.07 | 27.90 | 34.94 | 40.61 | 45.15 | 51.56 | 55.54 | 60.43 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | G 2 |
| CYCCOOCQ. | -15.69 | 89.47 | 25.84 | 32.89 | 38.65 | 43.30 | 50.06 | 54.42 | 60.10 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | G 1 |
| CYC. COOCQ | -5.44 | 92.32 | 27.15 | 34.27 | 40.03 | 44.65 | 51.21 | 55.29 | 60.31 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | 4 | 0 | G 2 |
| C*CC | 4.65 | 63.83 | 15.45 | 19.34 | 22.77 | 25.79 | 30.74 | 34.50 | 40.33 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 |  | 0 | 0 | G 1 |
| CC* ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 4.65 | 63.83 | 15.45 | 19.34 | 22.77 | 25.79 | 30.74 | 34.50 | 40.33 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 |  | 0 | 0 | G 1 |
| СССНO | -44.50 | 72.75 | 19.32 | 23.36 | 26.93 | 30.08 | 35.25 | 39.18 | 45.16 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| C2C*O | -51.56 | 70.11 | 17.90 | 22.15 | 25.95 | 29.33 | 34.92 | 39.16 | 45.43 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| C.CO.C | 35.46 | 76.50 | 19.73 | 24.23 | 28.05 | 31.30 | 36.39 | 40.09 | 45.74 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| CC.O.C | 28.36 | 73.86 | 20.87 | 25.22 | 28.90 | 32.02 | 36.88 | 40.42 | 45.90 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| CC. Co. | 37.18 | 79.52 | 18.28 | 22.60 | 26.40 | 29.72 | 35.11 | 39.10 | 44.95 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| C2. CHO . | 35.46 | 75.69 | 19.75 | 24.19 | 28.00 | 31.27 | 36.43 | 40.18 | 45.64 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| СССНО | -44.50 | 72.75 | 19.32 | 23.36 | 26.93 | 30.08 | 35.25 | 39.18 | 45.16 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| C* CCOH | -29.81 | 74.47 | 18.54 | 22.86 | 26.62 | 29.88 | 35.10 | 38.96 | 44.76 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| C* COHC | -38.81 | 72.64 | 18.99 | 23.33 | 27.10 | 30.37 | 35.60 | 39.44 | 45.17 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| CC* COH | -37.48 | 71.52 | 19.40 | 23.87 | 27.65 | 30.84 | 35.82 | 39.43 | 45.03 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| $\mathrm{C} * \mathrm{COC}$ | -24.54 | 53.24 | 18.87 | 22.95 | 26.45 | 29.46 | 34.30 | 37.98 | 44.10 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| C* COHC | -38.81 | 72.64 | 18.99 | 23.33 | 27.10 | 30.37 | 35.60 | 39.44 | 45.17 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| CCYC2O | -21.88 | 66.97 | 17.65 | 22.88 | 27.26 | 30.92 | 36.53 | 40.48 | 46.32 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| CYCCCO | -18.36 | 64.56 | 15.31 | 20.93 | 25.69 | 29.69 | 35.89 | 40.26 | 45.64 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| C* COC | -25.54 | 71.76 | 20.03 | 24.92 | 29.02 | 32.45 | 37.69 | 41.37 | 46.72 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| COHCCHO | -80.32 | 83.39 | 22.38 | 26.81 | 30.66 | 34.00 | 39.37 | 43.37 | 49.47 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| C* CCOOH | -15.24 | 83.49 | 23.48 | 28.29 | 32.42 | 35.96 | 41.54 | 45.56 | 51.35 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |


| C* COOC | -7.20 | 82.44 | 23.49 | 28.64 | 32.99 | 36.65 | 42.30 | 46.30 | 52.15 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C* COOHC | -15.57 | 82.86 | 23.52 | 28.15 | 32.11 | 35.49 | 40.80 | 44.64 | 50.25 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | c | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| $\mathrm{CC*} \mathrm{COOH}$ | -14.24 | 81.74 | 23.85 | 28.82 | 32.97 | 36.42 | 41.68 | 45.33 | 50.63 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| CCOHC*O | -83.22 | 81.12 | 22.07 | 27.10 | 31.36 | 34.93 | 40.48 | 44.44 | 50.38 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| C * CMOOH | -15.57 | 82.86 | 23.48 | 28.14 | 32.11 | 35.49 | 40.81 | 44.64 | 50.37 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | O | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| $\mathrm{CC*}$ OCO. | -33.92 | 80.47 | 21.13 | 25.14 | 28.72 | 31.90 | 37.21 | 41.34 | 48.05 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{OH}) \mathrm{CCHO}$ | -80.32 | 83.39 | 22.38 | 26.81 | 30.66 | 34.00 | 39.37 | 43.37 | 49.47 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | O | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| CYC3O2 | -27.19 | 70.56 | 19.50 | 26.20 | 31.79 | 36.41 | 43.36 | 48.02 | 54.19 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 0 |
| CCYCCOO | -11.59 | 72.34 | 20.12 | 26.54 | 31.90 | 36.35 | 43.04 | 47.56 | 53.58 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| CC*OCQ | -71.33 | 90.95 | 27.11 | 31.83 | 36.00 | 39.64 | 45.55 | 49.92 | 56.12 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 4 |
| CCQC*O | -67.65 | 89.39 | 26.83 | 32.53 | 37.26 | 41.19 | 47.10 | 51.14 | 56.75 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 4 |
| CQCC*O | -65.48 | 92.23 | 26.60 | 31.75 | 36.17 | 39.96 | 45.94 | 50.23 | 56.26 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 4 |
| $\mathrm{C} * \mathrm{OCQCOH}$ | -104.65 | 100.13 | 30.35 | 36.08 | 40.88 | 44.90 | 51.05 | 55.34 | 61.51 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | G 4 |
| CYCCOOCQ | -52.16 | 89.65 | 26.79 | 34.60 | 41.01 | 46.23 | 53.84 | 58.75 | 64.91 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 6 | 0 | 4 | 0 | G 2 |
| CCC. | 23.67 | 69.31 | 17.09 | 21.37 | 25.15 | 28.48 | 33.97 | 38.15 | 44.58 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 |  | 0 | 0 | G 2 |
| CC. C | 21.02 | 68.96 | 16.22 | 20.42 | 24.19 | 27.56 | 33.19 | 37.53 | 44.14 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 |  | 0 | 0 | G 2 |
| CCCO. | -9.17 | 75.08 | 19.96 | 24.90 | 29.18 | 32.89 | 38.85 | 43.27 | 49.98 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2 . \mathrm{COH}$ | -16.50 | 77.96 | 20.73 | 25.55 | 29.66 | 33.18 | 38.77 | 42.89 | 49.33 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{OH}$ | -23.60 | 73.95 | 21.88 | 26.54 | 30.51 | 33.89 | 39.25 | 43.22 | 49.49 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | - | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| C 2 CO . | -13.54 | 73.08 | 20.56 | 25.62 | 29.95 | 33.66 | 39.52 | 43.82 | 50.37 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| C. CCOH | -12.13 | 79.95 | 20.15 | 24.82 | 28.87 | 32.39 | 38.07 | 42.33 | 48.92 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| $\mathrm{CC}$. | -14.78 | 80.98 | 19.28 | 23.92 | 28.03 | 31.64 | 37.56 | 41.99 | 48.63 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| $\mathrm{CCC}$. OH | -19.23 | 77.32 | 21.26 | 25.82 | 29.76 | 33.15 | 38.61 | 42.70 | 49.14 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| C. OCC | -7.20 | 77.10 | 21.24 | 25.95 | 30.00 | 33.50 | 39.09 | 43.25 | 49.67 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| cocc. | -2.60 | 78.37 | 19.94 | 24.74 | 28.93 | 32.55 | 38.41 | 42.79 | 49.47 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| coc. C | -9.70 | 76.10 | 20.59 | 25.37 | 29.50 | 33.07 | 38.79 | 43.04 | 49.59 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| CCOHC . | -16.50 | 77.96 | 20.73 | 25.55 | 29.66 | 33.18 | 38.77 | 42.89 | 49.33 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| $\mathrm{CC} . \mathrm{OHC}$ | -23.60 | 73.95 | 21.88 | 26.54 | 30.51 | 33.89 | 39.25 | 43.22 | 49.49 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| CCO. C | -13.54 | 73.08 | 20.56 | 25.62 | 29.95 | 33.66 | 39.52 | 43.82 | 50.37 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| $\mathrm{CC} .$. | -. 21 | 90.00 | 24.13 | 29.31 | 33.81 | 37.69 | 43.87 | 48.35 | 54.77 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| $\mathrm{CCC.OOH}$ | -2.66 | 89.38 | 25.94 | 30.83 | 35.08 | 38.75 | 44.66 | 49.01 | 55.40 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| CCCOO | -10.46 | 85.78 | 23.78 | 28.76 | 33.13 | 36.94 | 43.17 | 47.86 | 55.01 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| C. CCOOH | 2.44 | 88.97 | 25.04 | 30.28 | 34.78 | 38.62 | 44.67 | 49.05 | 55.43 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| C2COO | -13.83 | 83.03 | 24.31 | 29.47 | 33.90 | 37.72 | 43.81 | 48.31 | 55.21 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{OOH}$ | -8.43 | 88.79 | 26.46 | 31.13 | 35.21 | 38.77 | 44.55 | 48.90 | 55.54 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2 . \mathrm{COOH}$ | -. 93 | 87.61 | 25.53 | 30.98 | 35.56 | 39.41 | 45.35 | 49.59 | 55.95 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| CCOOC. | 3.94 | 88.72 | 26.11 | 31.20 | 35.67 | 39.57 | 45.83 | 50.37 | 56.53 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| c. COOC | 6.54 | 90.22 | 24.24 | 29.46 | 34.07 | 38.11 | 44.65 | 49.44 | 56.00 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| cC. OOC | 3.44 | 87.74 | 25.44 | 30.22 | 34.52 | 38.34 | 44.65 | 49.36 | 55.91 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| c. COOHC | -. 93 | 87.61 | 25.54 | 30.98 | 35.57 | 39.42 | 45.36 | 49.56 | 55.67 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | c | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| $\mathrm{CC.OOHC}$ | -8.43 | 88.79 | 26.49 | 31.11 | 35.16 | 38.69 | 44.43 | 48.72 | 55.16 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| cococ. | -37.43 | 85.40 | 25.06 | 29.67 | 33.76 | 37.37 | 43.34 | 47.87 | 54.67 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| COC. OC | -39.93 | 83.03 | 24.50 | 29.09 | 33.21 | 36.87 | 42.97 | 47.65 | 54.63 | 10/12/95 |  | C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G |
| C. CQCOH | -36.73 | 98.25 | 28.64 | 34.45 | 39.29 | 43.33 | 49.49 | 53.81 | 60.17 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G |


| CCQCO. | -33.77 | 92.00 | 28.40 | 34.51 | 39.60 | 43.83 | 50.26 | 54.74 | 61.21 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CCQ} . \mathrm{COH}$ | -49.63 | 93.68 | 27.40 | 32.93 | 37.64 | 41.64 | 47.95 | 52.56 | 59.70 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| $\mathrm{CCQC.OH}$ | -43.83 | 94.24 | 29.94 | 35.58 | 40.28 | 44.17 | 50.09 | 54.22 | 60.37 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| $\mathrm{CC}$. | -44.23 | 99.44 | 29.66 | 34.55 | 38.79 | 42.47 | 48.41 | 52.88 | 59.83 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| C. COHCQ | -37.73 | 99.00 | 28.64 | 34.45 | 39.29 | 43.33 | 49.49 | 53.81 | 60.17 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| CCO. CQ | -34.77 | 92.75 | 28.40 | 34.51 | 39.60 | 43.83 | 50.26 | 54.74 | 61.21 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| CCOHCQ . | -50.63 | 94.43 | 27.40 | 32.93 | 37.64 | 41.64 | 47.95 | 52.56 | 59.70 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| CCOHC. Q | -42.83 | 98.03 | 29.76 | 35.17 | 39.74 | 43.60 | 49.59 | 53.87 | 60.21 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| CQCCO. | -30.40 | 94.74 | 27.94 | 33.81 | 38.80 | 43.01 | 49.55 | 54.20 | 60.94 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| $\mathrm{CQ} . \mathrm{CCOH}$ | -46.26 | 96.42 | 26.93 | 32.22 | 36.81 | 40.79 | 47.21 | 52.02 | 59.44 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| C. QCCOH | -38.46 | 100.02 | 29.01 | 34.24 | 38.73 | 42.59 | 48.72 | 53.20 | 59.86 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| $\mathrm{CQC}$. | -36.01 | 100.64 | 27.21 | 32.76 | 37.53 | 41.61 | 48.02 | 52.62 | 59.21 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| $\mathrm{CQCC.OH}$ | -40.46 | 96.98 | 29.27 | 34.72 | 39.33 | 43.22 | 49.27 | 53.60 | 60.06 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| COCOCOH | -124.88 | 93.52 | 27.79 | 33.49 | 38.53 | 42.95 | 50.09 | 55.23 | 61.80 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| COCOCO. | -72.92 | 92.06 | 27.19 | 32.16 | 36.65 | 40.66 | 47.37 | 52.50 | 60.03 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| CCQ.CQ | -34.06 | 102.75 | 32.17 | 38.32 | 43.50 | 47.84 | 54.50 | 59.19 | 65.97 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| CCQCQ | -34.06 | 102.75 | 32.17 | 38.32 | 43.50 | 47.84 | 54.50 | 59.19 | 65.97 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| C. CQCQ | -21.16 | 107.32 | 33.39 | 39.81 | 45.11 | 49.48 | 56.00 | 60.39 | 66.40 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| CC. QCQ | -28.66 | 108.51 | 34.42 | 39.98 | 44.72 | 48.75 | 55.04 | 59.52 | 65.85 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| CCQC.Q | -26.26 | 106.35 | 33.14 | 39.06 | 44.07 | 48.29 | 54.79 | 59.36 | 65.77 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| QCC. Q Q | -21.44 | 109.66 | 32.18 | 38.23 | 43.39 | 47.76 | 54.55 | 59.32 | 65.86 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| Q.CCCQ | -31.69 | 105.44 | 31.69 | 37.65 | 42.74 | 47.06 | 53.84 | 58.70 | 65.74 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| CQCCQ | -31.69 | 105.44 | 31.69 | 37.65 | 42.74 | 47.06 | 53.84 | 58.70 | 65.74 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| QCCC. Q | -21.89 | 108.34 | 32.79 | 38.50 | 43.41 | 47.61 | 54.21 | 58.94 | 65.57 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| CCC | -25.33 | 64.52 | 17.87 | 22.76 | 27.08 | 30.88 | 37.14 | 41.90 | 49.24 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| C 2 COH | -65.52 | 74.54 | 21.54 | 26.92 | 31.56 | 35.54 | 41.89 | 46.61 | 53.96 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| CCCOH | -61.15 | 76.54 | 20.93 | 26.20 | 30.78 | 34.77 | 41.22 | 46.06 | 53.54 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| COCC | -51.60 | 74.95 | 20.75 | 26.12 | 30.82 | 34.90 | 41.53 | 46.50 | 54.08 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| CCOHC | -65.52 | 74.54 | 21.54 | 26.92 | 31.56 | 35.54 | 41.89 | 46.61 | 53.96 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| CCOC | -51.60 | 74.95 | 20.75 | 26.12 | 30.82 | 34.90 | 41.53 | 46.50 | 54.08 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| CCCOOH | -46.56 | 85.56 | 25.79 | 31.66 | 36.70 | 41.02 | 47.85 | 52.81 | 60.11 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| C 2 COOH | -49.93 | 82.81 | 26.30 | 32.36 | 37.49 | 41.81 | 48.52 | 53.31 | 60.34 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| CCOOC | -42.46 | 85.42 | 25.22 | 31.14 | 36.31 | 40.81 | 48.03 | 53.30 | 60.71 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| CCOOHC | -49.93 | 82.81 | 26.30 | 32.36 | 37.49 | 41.81 | 48.52 | 53.31 | 60.34 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| COCOC | -81.85 | 81.88 | 24.55 | 29.84 | 34.58 | 38.79 | 45.77 | 51.08 | 59.00 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| CCQCOH | -85.75 | 93.46 | 29.36 | 35.81 | 41.22 | 45.74 | 52.67 | 57.58 | 64.84 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| CCOHCQ | -86.75 | 94.21 | 29.36 | 35.81 | 41.22 | 45.74 | 52.67 | 57.58 | 64.84 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| CQCCOH | -82.38 | 96.20 | 28.89 | 35.12 | 40.41 | 44.91 | 51.94 | 57.02 | 64.56 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| CCQCQ | -70.18 | 102.53 | 34.15 | 41.20 | 47.06 | 51.90 | 59.19 | 64.17 | 71.09 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| QCCCQ | -65.81 | 104.52 | 33.73 | 40.56 | 46.31 | 51.13 | 58.53 | 63.67 | 70.85 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| C\#CC\#C. | 185.70 | 63.29 | 16.58 | 17.96 | 19.11 | 20.05 | 21.44 | 22.36 | 23.55 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| C\#CC\#C | 105.08 | 59.80 | 17.64 | 19.83 | 21.61 | 23.04 | 25.13 | 26.50 | 28.46 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| C\#CC*C. | 127.29 | 68.18 | 17.25 | 20.59 | 23.28 | 25.44 | 28.53 | 30.52 | 33.28 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |
| C\#CC.* ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 117.59 | 67.50 | 18.28 | 21.05 | 23.42 | 25.44 | 28.58 | 30.76 | 33.61 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa |


| C | 3 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 | G | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G | 4 |
| C | 3 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 | G | 5 |
| C | 3 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 | G | 4 |
| C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G | 5 |
| C | 3 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 | G | 4 |
| C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G | 4 |
| C | 3 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 | G | 5 |
| C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G | 4 |
| C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G | 4 |
| C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G | 5 |
| C | 3 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 | G | 5 |
| C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G | 5 |
| C | 3 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 | G | 5 |
| C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G | 4 |
| C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | G | 5 |
| C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | G | 5 |
| C | 3 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 4 | 0 | G | 6 |
| C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | G | 6 |
| C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | G | 6 |
| C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | G | 6 |
| C | 3 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 4 | 0 | G | 5 |
| C | 3 | H | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | G | 5 |
| C | 3 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 4 | 0 | G | 6 |
| C | 3 | H | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | G | 2 |
| C | 3 | H | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G | 3 |
| C | 3 | H | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G | 3 |
| C | 3 | H | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G | 3 |
| C | 3 | H | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G | 3 |
| C | 3 | H | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G | 3 |
| C | 3 | H | 8 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G | 4 |
| C | 3 | H | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G | 4 |
| C | 3 | H | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G | 4 |
| C | 3 | H | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G | 4 |
| C | 3 | H | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G | 4 |
| C | 3 | H | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G | 5 |
| C | 3 | H | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G | 5 |
| C | 3 | H | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G | 5 |
| C | 3 | H | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | G | 6 |
| C | 3 | H | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | G | 6 |
| C | 4 | H | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | G | 1 |
| C | 4 | H | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | G | 1 |
| C | 4 | H | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | G | 1 |
| C | 4 | H | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | G |  |



| C* CCCO . | 16.05 | 82.81 | 22.64 | 28.31 | 33.15 | 37.26 | 43.70 | 48.36 | 55.36 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C* CCO. C | 12.71 | 80.34 | 23.16 | 29.51 | 34.83 | 39.26 | 45.97 | 50.53 | 56.76 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| C*CC20. | 13.70 | 80.57 | 23.69 | 28.94 | 33.50 | 37.44 | 43.74 | 48.38 | 55.32 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| C2CC.*O | -14.30 | 80.79 | 22.68 | 28.19 | 32.94 | 37.02 | 43.49 | 48.23 | 55.31 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| C2C.C*O | -13.50 | 77.96 | 24.09 | 29.28 | 33.77 | 37.63 | 43.84 | 48.48 | 55.83 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| C2C* CO . | 6.03 | 77.61 | 24.53 | 29.98 | 34.60 | 38.51 | 44.62 | 49.02 | 55.73 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| C*CC2O. | 13.70 | 80.57 | 23.69 | 28.94 | 33.50 | 37.44 | 43.74 | 48.38 | 55.32 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| C*C(C.) OC | 1.36 | 79.71 | 24.38 | 30.14 | 35.03 | 39.17 | 45.61 | 50.17 | 56.66 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| C* $\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{C}) \mathrm{OC}$. | 9.66 | 83.61 | 25.56 | 30.66 | 35.07 | 38.87 | 44.94 | 49.42 | 56.06 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| C* CCOOC . | 35.97 | 95.25 | 28.78 | 34.78 | 39.83 | 44.06 | 50.48 | 54.84 | 60.78 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| C* CCCOO | 14.76 | 93.51 | 26.52 | 32.18 | 37.07 | 41.27 | 47.98 | 52.93 | 60.46 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| C. * CCCOOH | 37.76 | 94.68 | 28.36 | 34.28 | 39.26 | 43.44 | 49.88 | 54.42 | 61.03 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| $\mathrm{C} * \mathrm{CC} .$. | 12.16 | 89.48 | 26.97 | 33.27 | 38.59 | 43.06 | 49.94 | 54.79 | 61.74 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| C* $\mathrm{CCC} . \mathrm{OOH}$ | 22.56 | 97.11 | 28.63 | 34.23 | 39.02 | 43.10 | 49.50 | 54.12 | 60.88 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| C* CC 200 . | 11.72 | 91.71 | 28.18 | 33.31 | 37.75 | 41.61 | 47.84 | 52.55 | 59.98 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| C*CIC.CQ | 11.72 | 89.73 | 29.68 | 35.57 | 40.46 | 44.53 | 50.72 | 55.06 | 61.57 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| C*CICC.Q | 9.12 | 87.68 | 28.67 | 34.37 | 39.23 | 43.35 | 49.81 | 54.45 | 61.29 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| C. * CC 2 OOH | 34.72 | 92.88 | 30.08 | 35.51 | 40.12 | 44.02 | 50.09 | 54.45 | 60.87 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | - | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| CC*CC.Q | 9.70 | 88.71 | 27.79 | 33.47 | 38.34 | 42.50 | 49.09 | 53.88 | 60.97 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| $\mathrm{CC*} \times \mathrm{COO}$. | 12.30 | 92.74 | 27.26 | 32.39 | 36.88 | 40.80 | 47.20 | 52.07 | 59.72 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| C* CCOO. C | 12.42 | 90.29 | 27.12 | 33.09 | 38.13 | 42.38 | 48.96 | 53.69 | 60.87 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| $\mathrm{C*} \mathrm{CCQC}$. | 25.32 | 93.48 | 28.35 | 34.61 | 39.79 | 44.07 | 50.50 | 54.93 | 61.32 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| C. * CCQC | 35.42 | 91.46 | 28.97 | 35.19 | 40.32 | 44.54 | 50.86 | 55.18 | 61.45 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| C*CC. QC | 7.62 | 86.38 | 27.29 | 33.68 | 39.03 | 43.51 | 50.35 | 55.12 | 61.93 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| C. C*CCQ | 12.30 | 90.76 | 28.77 | 34.64 | 39.57 | 43.70 | 50.07 | 54.58 | 61.31 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| CC* CCOO | 12.30 | 92.74 | 27.26 | 32.39 | 36.88 | 40.80 | 47.20 | 52.07 | 59.72 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| CC. * CCQ | 33.10 | 94.33 | 28.93 | 34.11 | 38.63 | 42.55 | 48.86 | 53.53 | 60.43 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| $\mathrm{CC*} \mathrm{C}^{\text {. } \mathrm{CQ}}$ | 33.10 | 94.33 | 28.93 | 34.11 | 38.63 | 42.55 | 48.86 | 53.53 | 60.43 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| CC*OCCO. | -40.72 | 90.27 | 26.21 | 31.83 | 36.71 | 40.94 | 47.72 | 52.74 | 60.27 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| CC*OC. COH | -52.88 | 89.36 | 25.91 | 31.66 | 36.60 | 40.84 | 47.54 | 52.43 | 59.72 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| C. $\mathrm{C}^{*} \mathrm{OCCOH}$ | -50.38 | 89.00 | 26.96 | 32.65 | 37.50 | 41.61 | 48.05 | 52.72 | 59.79 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| CC*OCC. OH | -50.78 | 92.51 | 27.52 | 32.75 | 37.27 | 41.18 | 47.46 | 52.14 | 59.36 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| O* CCC 20. | -35.04 | 88.86 | 25.67 | 31.80 | 37.02 | 41.46 | 48.39 | 53.35 | 60.60 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| O * CCM. COH | -38.00 | 93.73 | 25.86 | 31.71 | 36.70 | 40.95 | 47.61 | 52.41 | 59.52 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| O* $\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{CC} 2 \mathrm{OH}$ | -50.10 | 91.44 | 25.80 | 31.66 | 36.66 | 40.92 | 47.60 | 52.41 | 59.53 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| ССО. ССНО | -37.64 | 89.36 | 27.43 | 33.20 | 38.09 | 42.24 | 48.72 | 53.40 | 60.43 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| CCOHCC.*O | -52.70 | 91.94 | 27.57 | 33.06 | 37.74 | 41.71 | 47.94 | 52.46 | 59.36 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| O* $\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{CCOHC}$ | -52.70 | 91.94 | 27.57 | 33.06 | 37.74 | 41.71 | 47.94 | 52.46 | 59.36 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| O* $\mathrm{CC} . \mathrm{COHC}$ | -49.80 | 88.45 | 27.19 | 33.04 | 37.97 | 42.12 | 48.55 | 53.15 | 60.04 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| O*CCCO. C | -37.64 | 89.36 | 27.43 | 33.20 | 38.09 | 42.24 | 48.72 | 53.40 | 60.43 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| O* CCCOHC . | -40.60 | 94.23 | 27.62 | 33.11 | 37.78 | 41.74 | 47.95 | 52.45 | 59.33 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| CCICO.C*O | -35.04 | 88.86 | 25.67 | 31.80 | 37.02 | 41.46 | 48.39 | 53.35 | 60.60 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| C*CICOOC. | 30.00 | 95.44 | 29.07 | 34.57 | 39.33 | 43.45 | 50.03 | 54.85 | 61.86 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| C* $\mathrm{CC} 2 . \mathrm{OOH}$ | 9.12 | 88.48 | 28.66 | 34.36 | 39.22 | 43.35 | 49.79 | 54.38 | 61.00 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |


| C* $\mathrm{CC} 2 . \mathrm{Q}$ | 9.12 | 87.68 | 28.72 | 34.41 | 39.25 | 43.37 | 49.82 | 54.49 | 61.57 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C*CCOO. C | 12.42 | 90.29 | 27.09 | 33.08 | 38.14 | 42.39 | 48.97 | 53.66 | 60.58 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| C. * $\operatorname{ccQc}$ | 35.42 | 91.46 | 28.97 | 35.19 | 40.32 | 44.54 | 50.86 | 55.18 | 61.45 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| C*CC.QC | 7.62 | 86.38 | 27.26 | 33.63 | 39.00 | 43.48 | 50.34 | 55.09 | 61.66 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| CC*OCCO. | -40.72 | 90.27 | 26.21 | 31.83 | 36.71 | 40.94 | 47.72 | 52.74 | 60.27 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | - | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| CC*OCC. OH | -48.28 | 92.66 | 28.54 | 33.90 | 38.44 | 42.30 | 48.36 | 52.80 | 59.71 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| C. * CCCOOH | 38.76 | 94.33 | 28.36 | 34.28 | 39.26 | 43.44 | 49.88 | 54.42 | 61.03 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| C. * CC 2 OOH | 36.41 | 92.09 | 29.52 | 34.95 | 39.60 | 43.57 | 49.87 | 54.51 | 61.64 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| c. * CCQC | 35.42 | 91.86 | 28.90 | 35.18 | 40.35 | 44.59 | 50.89 | 55.15 | 61.15 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| CC. * CCQ | 34.79 | 93.54 | 28.43 | 33.65 | 38.25 | 42.26 | 48.79 | 53.63 | 60.67 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| CC*C. CQ | 34.79 | 93.54 | 28.43 | 33.65 | 38.25 | 42.26 | 48.79 | 53.63 | 60.67 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| CYCC. CCOO | 8.43 | 79.39 | 22.17 | 29.97 | 36.57 | 42.11 | 50.58 | 56.37 | 64.07 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 0 |
| CYCCCC. 00 | 5.98 | 78.77 | 23.74 | 31.39 | 37.82 | 43.19 | 51.34 | 56.90 | 64.41 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 0 |
| C. CYCCOOC | 14.64 | 82.08 | 23.94 | 31.71 | 38.17 | 43.49 | 51.45 | 56.77 | 63.89 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| CCYCC. OOC | 7.14 | 84.65 | 24.80 | 31.77 | 37.71 | 42.73 | 50.50 | 55.93 | 63.35 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| CCYC. COOC | 10.04 | 83.91 | 23.74 | 30.67 | 36.65 | 41.76 | 49.79 | 55.47 | 63.16 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| CCYCC. COO | 9.86 | 83.80 | 23.94 | 31.54 | 37.90 | 43.18 | 51.14 | 56.51 | 63.69 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| CCYCCC. 00 | 7.41 | 83.18 | 25.53 | 33.01 | 39.22 | 44.35 | 52.03 | 57.20 | 64.17 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| CCYC. CCOO | 5.01 | 85.34 | 25.49 | 32.51 | 38.43 | 43.41 | 51.06 | 56.36 | 63.65 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| C2CQ.C*O. | -39.73 | 93.51 | 30.42 | 36.83 | 42.28 | 46.90 | 54.09 | 59.18 | 66.40 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 4 |
| C2CQC. *O | -38.93 | 94.41 | 31.68 | 38.34 | 43.93 | 48.61 | 55.72 | 60.60 | 67.29 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 4 |
| C2C.OOC*O | -43.23 | 105.62 | 31.57 | 37.34 | 42.36 | 46.72 | 53.73 | 58.87 | 66.30 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 | G 4 |
| C2. CQC*O | -26.43 | 98.94 | 31.96 | 38.52 | 44.03 | 48.63 | 55.62 | 60.40 | 66.86 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 | G 5 |
| C*CICCO.Q | -17.87 | 96.04 | 33.08 | 39.27 | 44.48 | 48.86 | 55.58 | 60.27 | 66.95 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 4 |
| CCYQCOOC | -25.66 | 92.78 | 30.51 | 38.99 | 46.04 | 51.86 | 60.58 | 66.45 | 74.33 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 4 | 0 | G 2 |
| C. CYQCOOC | -12.76 | 95.98 | 31.65 | 40.49 | 47.72 | 53.60 | 62.16 | 67.69 | 74.74 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | G 3 |
| CCYQC.OOC | -19.86 | 95.09 | 32.40 | 41.16 | 48.32 | 54.11 | 62.52 | 67.94 | 74.86 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 7 | 0 | 4 | 0 | G 3 |
| $\mathrm{C}^{*} \mathrm{CC} 2$ | -3.80 | 70.01 | 21.54 | 26.73 | 31.31 | 35.33 | 41.91 | 46.90 | 54.58 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | G 2 |
| C2C*C | -3.80 | 70.01 | 21.54 | 26.73 | 31.31 | 35.33 | 41.91 | 46.90 | 54.58 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | G 2 |
| C* CCC | -. 11 | 73.63 | 20.57 | 26.18 | 31.04 | 35.24 | 41.99 | 46.99 | 54.63 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | G 2 |
| CC*CC | -3.22 | 71.04 | 20.61 | 25.83 | 30.46 | 34.55 | 41.30 | 46.43 | 54.27 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | G 2 |
| C* CCC | -. 11 | 73.63 | 20.57 | 26.18 | 31.04 | 35.24 | 41.99 | 46.99 | 54.63 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | G 2 |
| CC*CC | -3.22 | 71.04 | 20.61 | 25.83 | 30.46 | 34.55 | 41.30 | 46.43 | 54.27 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | G 2 |
| C2C*C | -3.80 | 70.01 | 21.54 | 26.73 | 31.31 | 35.33 | 41.91 | 46.90 | 54.58 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | G 2 |
| C* CMOC | -34.74 | 81.46 | 25.01 | 30.82 | 35.88 | 40.29 | 47.40 | 52.69 | 60.50 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| CC* CCOH | -37.68 | 83.06 | 23.72 | 29.35 | 34.29 | 38.61 | 45.60 | 50.82 | 58.65 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| C* CCCOH | -35.91 | 84.27 | 23.62 | 29.64 | 34.78 | 39.17 | 46.11 | 51.18 | 58.96 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| C* CCOHC | -39.25 | 81.80 | 24.09 | 30.79 | 36.43 | 41.16 | 48.37 | 53.34 | 60.32 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| C* CC 2 OH | -38.26 | 82.03 | 24.64 | 30.22 | 35.09 | 39.30 | 46.11 | 51.16 | 58.86 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | c | 4 | H | 8 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| C2CC*O | -51.20 | 79.67 | 23.54 | 29.66 | 34.94 | 39.48 | 46.69 | 51.95 | 59.76 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{C} * \mathrm{COH}$ | -45.93 | 79.07 | 25.50 | 31.28 | 36.21 | 40.40 | 47.00 | 51.82 | 59.31 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | c | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| C2CYC2O | -31.48 | 72.63 | 23.39 | 30.21 | 35.92 | 40.69 | 47.98 | 53.10 | 60.73 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 2 |
| C*C(C) OC | -34.74 | 81.46 | 25.01 | 30.82 | 35.88 | 40.29 | 47.40 | 52.69 | 60.50 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| C* CCOOC | -20.13 | 111.47 | 35.80 | 43.27 | 49.34 | 54.23 | 61.22 | 65.54 | 70.53 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 |  | 8 |  | 2 | 0 | G 4 |


| C* CCCOOH | -21.34 | 93.29 | 28.51 | 35.08 | 40.66 | 45.37 | 52.70 | 57.93 | 55.58 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ССОНССНО | -89.62 | 90.82 | 28.40 | 34.49 | 39.69 | 44.12 | 51.10 | 56.20 | 54.02 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| O* CCCOHC | -89.62 | 90.82 | 28.40 | 34.49 | 39.69 | 44.12 | 51.10 | 56.20 | 64.02 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| O* CCC 2 OH | -87.02 | 90.32 | 26.63 | 33.09 | 38.62 | 43.34 | 50.76 | 56.14 | 64.17 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| CC*CCQ | -23.80 | 92.52 | 29.36 | 35.31 | 40.44 | 44.85 | 51.87 | 57.04 | 64.82 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| CC*OCCOH | -92.70 | 91.73 | 27.17 | 33.12 | 38.31 | 42.82 | 50.10 | 55.54 | 63.84 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| C* $\mathrm{CC2OOH}$ | -24.38 | 91.49 | 30.26 | 36.24 | 41.35 | 45.72 | 52.60 | 57.61 | 65.15 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| C* CCQC | -23.68 | 90.07 | 29.11 | 35.98 | 41.71 | 46.46 | 53.66 | 58.68 | 65.99 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| CC*OCCOH | -92.70 | 91.73 | 27.17 | 33.12 | 38.31 | 42.82 | 50.10 | 55.54 | 63.84 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| CCICOCOH | -87.02 | 90.32 | 26.63 | 33.09 | 38.62 | 43.34 | 50.76 | 56.14 | 64.17 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| CCICOC*O | -87.02 | 90.32 | 26.63 | 33.09 | 38.62 | 43.34 | 50.76 | 56.14 | 64.17 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| C*CICOOC | -16.40 | 92.14 | 28.43 | 34.52 | 39.85 | 44.49 | 52.00 | 57.56 | 65.59 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| C2C*CQ | -23.92 | 88.65 | 29.81 | 36.05 | 41.37 | 45.90 | 53.00 | 58.10 | 65.57 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| CC*OCCOH | -92.70 | 91.73 | 27.17 | 33.12 | 38.31 | 42.82 | 50.10 | 55.54 | 63.84 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| CYCCCCOO | -37.92 | 73.57 | 23.72 | 32.30 | 39.49 | 45.48 | 54.54 | 60.71 | 69.09 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 0 |
| CCYCCOOC | -34.36 | 78.67 | 24.72 | 33.09 | 40.08 | 45.88 | 54.61 | 60.52 | 68.54 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| CCYCCCOO | -36.49 | 79.36 | 25.30 | 33.78 | 40.79 | 46.57 | 55.18 | 60.95 | 68.78 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| C2CQC*O | -75.45 | 93.99 | 32.54 | 39.75 | 45.83 | 50.92 | 58.70 | 64.07 | 71.48 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 5 |
| C2COOC*O | -84.75 | 100.04 | 31.10 | 38.46 | 44.68 | 49.91 | 57.94 | 63.54 | 71.27 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 5 |
| C*CICCOHQ | -69.85 | 97.50 | 34.06 | 40.57 | 46.08 | 50.73 | 57.95 | 63.08 | 70.56 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G 5 |
| CCYQCCOOC | -61.76 | 92.56 | 32.55 | 41.90 | 49.62 | 55.94 | 65.28 | 71.43 | 79.44 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa. | C | 4 | H | 8 | $\bigcirc$ | 4 | 0 | G 3 |
| C3C. | 11.90 | 74.31 | 22.24 | 27.27 | 31.92 | 36.18 | 43.55 | 49.38 | 58.34 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | c | 4 | H | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | G 3 |
| C3. CH | 16.50 | 77.42 | 22.38 | 28.27 | 33.43 | 37.93 | 45.23 | 50.71 | 59.04 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | G 3 |
| C2CC. | 16.50 | 77.42 | 22.38 | 28.27 | 33.43 | 37.93 | 45.23 | 50.71 | 59.04 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | G 3 |
| CCCC. | 18.74 | 78.73 | 22.56 | 28.34 | 33.41 | 37.84 | 45.06 | 50.49 | 58.79 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | G 3 |
| CC. CC | 16.09 | 79.75 | 21.71 | 27.37 | 32.42 | 36.89 | 44.27 | 49.86 | 58.23 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | c | 4 | H | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | G 3 |
| CCC. C | 16.09 | 79.75 | 21.71 | 27.37 | 32.42 | 36.89 | 44.27 | 49.86 | 58.23 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | G 3 |
| C3. COH | -26.10 | 85.00 | 26.45 | 32.85 | 38.29 | 42.91 | 50.17 | 55.47 | 63.64 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 4 |
| CCCCO | -14.10 | 84.50 | 25.45 | 31.87 | 37.43 | 42.22 | 49.91 | 55.60 | 64.22 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| CCO. CC | -18.47 | 82.50 | 26.07 | 32.62 | 38.22 | 43.00 | 50.58 | 56.13 | 64.57 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| C 2 CCO | -16.34 | 81.81 | 25.15 | 31.79 | 37.49 | 42.38 | 50.12 | 55.77 | 64.29 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| C3CO | -23.14 | 76.57 | 26.25 | 32.93 | 38.60 | 43.42 | 50.95 | 56.41 | 64.70 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| C 2 CCO . | -16.34 | 81.81 | 25.15 | 31.79 | 37.49 | 42.38 | 50.12 | 55.77 | 64.29 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| C3. COH | -26.10 | 85.00 | 26.45 | 32.85 | 38.29 | 42.91 | 50.17 | 55.47 | 63.64 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 4 |
| C2C. COH | -23.90 | 88.51 | 25.23 | 30.71 | 35.67 | 40.14 | 47.67 | 53.51 | 62.49 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 4 |
| $\mathrm{C} 3 . \mathrm{COH}$ | -26.10 | 83.63 | 26.45 | 32.85 | 38.29 | 42.91 | 50.17 | 55.47 | 63.64 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 4 |
| C3CO. | -23.14 | 76.57 | 26.25 | 32.93 | 38.60 | 43.42 | 50.95 | 56.41 | 64.70 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 3 |
| C2C. OC | -16.50 | 83.72 | 27.26 | 33.62 | 39.03 | 43.62 | 50.83 | 56.09 | 64.20 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 4 |
| c2COC. | -16.50 | 84.53 | 27.26 | 33.62 | 39.03 | 43.62 | 50.83 | 56.09 | 64.20 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 4 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2 . \mathrm{COC}$ | -11.90 | 85.80 | 25.99 | 32.43 | 37.94 | 42.66 | 50.14 | 55.63 | 64.00 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 4 |
| $\mathrm{C3} . \mathrm{COOH}$ | -10.93 | 92.57 | 31.26 | 38.28 | 44.19 | 49.15 | 56.80 | 62.25 | 70.43 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| C. COOCC | 3.12 | 100.07 | 28.71 | 35.79 | 41.85 | 47.00 | 55.04 | 60.70 | 68.69 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| C3COO | -23.83 | 85.82 | 30.08 | 36.78 | 42.52 | 47.43 | 55.22 | 60.95 | 69.68 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| CCCCOO | -15.39 | 95.20 | 29.28 | 35.73 | 41.36 | 46.26 | 54.21 | 60.16 | 69.25 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 |  | 9 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 4 |


| $\mathrm{CCCC}$. . OOH | -7.59 | 98.80 | 31.39 | 37.80 | 43.35 | 48.14 | 55.78 | 61.40 | 69.71 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CCC}$. | -5.14 | 99.42 | 29.59 | 36.27 | 42.05 | 47.03 | 54.95 | 60.71 | 69.04 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G |
| $\mathrm{CC}$. | -5.14 | 99.42 | 29.59 | 36.27 | 42.05 | 47.03 | 54.95 | 60.71 | 69.04 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G |
| C. CCCOOH | -2.49 | 99.77 | 30.47 | 37.26 | 43.07 | 48.02 | 55.81 | 61.44 | 69.73 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G |
| C2CCOO | -17.63 | 92.51 | 29.03 | 35.68 | 41.43 | 46.40 | 54.39 | 60.32 | 69.33 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G |
| $\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{CC.OOH}$ | -9.83 | 96.11 | 31.16 | 37.74 | 43.39 | 48.24 | 55.92 | 61.52 | 69.76 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G |
| $\mathrm{C} 2 . \mathrm{CCOOH}$ | -4.73 | 97.09 | 30.27 | 37.22 | 43.13 | 48.14 | 55.98 | 61.59 | 69.81 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G |
| $\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{COOH}$ | -9.33 | 97.53 | 30.16 | 36.23 | 41.63 | 46.42 | 54.33 | 60.31 | 69.11 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G |
| CCOO.CC | -18.76 | 92.45 | 29.79 | 36.44 | 42.16 | 47.07 | 54.89 | 60.67 | 69.48 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G |
| CCOOHCC . | -5.86 | 97.03 | 31.06 | 37.97 | 43.83 | 48.77 | 56.44 | 61.91 | 69.94 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G |
| CCOOHC. C | -8.51 | 96.67 | 30.27 | 37.06 | 42.87 | 47.83 | 55.64 | 61.28 | 69.52 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G |
| CC. OOHCC | -13.36 | 98.21 | 31.95 | 38.09 | 43.44 | 48.09 | 55.61 | 61.19 | 69.51 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G |
| c. COOHCC | -5.86 | 97.03 | 31.06 | 37.97 | 43.83 | 48.77 | 56.44 | 61.91 | 69.94 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G |
| C2C. CQ | -9.53 | 96.88 | 30.47 | 36.53 | 41.92 | 46.68 | 54.52 | 60.44 | 69.17 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G |
| C2CC. Q | -10.93 | 91.74 | 31.63 | 38.37 | 44.09 | 48.92 | 56.46 | 61.91 | 70.19 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G |
| $\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{CO} . \mathrm{CQ}$ | -44.37 | 98.41 | 34.24 | 41.85 | 48.22 | 53.54 | 61.66 | 67.34 | 75.63 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G |
| $\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{CQ} . \mathrm{COH}$ | -59.23 | 99.34 | 33.19 | 40.24 | 46.24 | 51.33 | 59.33 | 65.17 | 74.14 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G |
| C 2 CQCO . | -43.37 | 97.66 | 34.24 | 41.85 | 48.22 | 53.54 | 61.66 | 67.34 | 75.63 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G |
| C2CO.CQ | -44.37 | 98.41 | 34.24 | 41.85 | 48.22 | 53.54 | 61.66 | 67.34 | 75.63 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G |
| C 2 COHCQ . | -60.23 | 100.09 | 33.19 | 40.24 | 46.24 | 51.33 | 59.33 | 65.17 | 74.14 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 9 | 0 | 3 | 0 | G |
| C 3 CH | -32.50 | 70.45 | 23.15 | 29.65 | 35.34 | 40.31 | 48.39 | 54.45 | 63.69 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 10 |  | 0 | 0 | G |
| C3C | -32.50 | 70.45 | 23.15 | 29.65 | 35.34 | 40.31 | 48.39 | 54.45 | 63.69 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 10 |  | 0 | 0 | G |
| CCCC | -30.26 | 73.94 | 23.32 | 29.72 | 35.33 | 40.24 | 48.22 | 54.24 | 63.44 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 10 |  | 0 | 0 | G |
| C 2 COC | -60.90 | 82.38 | 26.78 | 33.81 | 39.86 | 45.04 | 53.28 | 59.36 | 68.63 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 10 | - | 1 | 0 | G |
| CCCCOH | -66.08 | 85.96 | 26.42 | 33.17 | 39.03 | 44.10 | 52.29 | 58.40 | 67.79 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 10 | - | 1 | 0 | G |
| сСонсС | -70.45 | 83.96 | 27.05 | 33.92 | 39.82 | 44.89 | 52.96 | 58.93 | 68.15 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 10 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 0 | G 4 |
| C 3 COH | -75.12 | 78.03 | 27.22 | 34.23 | 40.21 | 45.30 | 53.33 | 59.20 | 68.27 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 10 | - | 1 | 0 | G 4 |
| C 2 CCOH | -68.32 | 83.27 | 26.14 | 33.10 | 39.10 | 44.26 | 52.50 | 58.57 | 67.85 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 10 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 0 | G 4 |
| C 3 COH | -75.12 | 78.03 | 27.22 | 34.23 | 40.21 | 45.30 | 53.33 | 59.20 | 68.27 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 10 | - | 1 | 0 | G 4 |
| C 2 COC | -60.90 | 82.38 | 26.78 | 33.81 | 39.86 | 45.04 | 53.28 | 59.36 | 68.63 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 4 |
| C 3 COOH | -59.93 | 85.60 | 32.07 | 39.67 | 46.10 | 51.53 | 59.94 | 65.94 | 74.80 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 10 | - | 2 | 0 | G |
| ccoocc | -45.88 | 95.27 | 29.37 | 37.17 | 43.82 | 49.45 | 58.22 | 64.41 | 73.25 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 10 | - | 2 | 0 | G |
| CCCCOOH | -51.49 | 94.98 | 31.23 | 38.62 | 44.96 | 50.38 | 58.95 | 65.18 | 74.37 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 10 | - | 2 | 0 | G |
| сСООНсС | -54.86 | 93.60 | 31.83 | 39.33 | 45.71 | 51.12 | 59.57 | 65.64 | 74.59 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G |
| C 2 CCOOH | -53.73 | 92.29 | 31.08 | 38.57 | 44.99 | 50.46 | 59.07 | 65.29 | 74.43 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 10 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G |
| C 2 CCQ | -53.73 | 92.29 | 31.08 | 38.57 | 44.99 | 50.46 | 59.07 | 65.29 | 74.43 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 10 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G |
| C 2 CQCOH | -95.35 | 99.12 | 35.22 | 43.17 | 49.85 | 55.44 | 64.05 | 70.16 | 79.24 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 10 | - | 3 | 0 | G |
| C 2 COHCQ | -96.35 | 99.87 | 35.22 | 43.17 | 49.85 | 55.44 | 64.05 | 70.16 | 79.24 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 4 | H | 10 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 | G 6 |
| C\#CC.C\#C | 123.08 | 69.25 | 21.26 | 22.93 | 25.07 | 27.46 | 32.25 | 36.16 | 41.01 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | G |
| C\#CC\#CC | 95.50 | 71.06 | 21.65 | 25.22 | 28.34 | 31.05 | 35.38 | 38.51 | 42.78 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 4 |  | 0 | 0 | G |
| C\#CC*C* C | 102.39 | 72.79 | 21.34 | 25.77 | 29.38 | 32.32 | 36.63 | 39.48 | 43.46 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 4 |  | 0 | 0 | G |
| C\#CC\#C | 99.18 | 71.92 | 21.27 | 24.89 | 28.06 | 30.83 | 35.28 | 38.49 | 42.80 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 4 |  | 0 | 0 | G |
| C\#CC.C*C | 88.99 | 73.30 | 20.09 | 25.27 | 29.65 | 33.34 | 38.98 | 42.85 | 47.95 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 5 |  | 0 | 0 | G |
| C\#CCC. * C | 121.52 | 78.76 | 22.57 | 26.05 | 29.26 | 32.19 | 37.19 | 41.07 | 46.73 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 5 |  | 0 | 0 | G |


| C\#CCC* ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 123.72 | 78.34 | 22.95 | 26.50 | 29.74 | 32.66 | 37.58 | 41.36 | 46.87 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 5 |  | 0 | 0 | G 2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CY13PD5 | 57.18 | 63.59 | 18.63 | 24.65 | 29.51 | 33.42 | 39.06 | 42.76 | 48.12 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 5 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| C\#CC*CC | 60.32 | 75.38 | 22.66 | 27.95 | 32.41 | 36.14 | 41.88 | 45.92 | 51.77 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 6 |  | 0 | 0 | G 2 |
| C\#CC*C | 65.09 | 77.35 | 22.49 | 27.28 | 31.45 | 35.07 | 40.91 | 45.24 | 51.61 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 6 |  | 0 | 0 | G 2 |
| C\#CCC* ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 64.62 | 76.95 | 22.90 | 27.41 | 31.40 | 34.92 | 40.71 | 45.08 | 51.53 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 6 |  | 0 | 0 | G 2 |
| CY13PD | 31.26 | 65.51 | 18.31 | 24.79 | 30.15 | 34.59 | 41.25 | 45.83 | 52.47 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 6 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| C*CCJC*C | 49.31 | 75.95 | 21.20 | 27.64 | 33.07 | 37.63 | 44.64 | 49.55 | 56.57 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 7 |  | 0 | 0 | G 2 |
| C* CCC* C . | 84.53 | 82.77 | 23.05 | 28.80 | 33.65 | 37.72 | 44.01 | 48.52 | 55.41 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 7 |  | 0 | 0 | G 2 |
| C* CCC. * C | 82.33 | 83.19 | 22.83 | 28.35 | 33.08 | 37.11 | 43.46 | 48.10 | 55.17 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 7 |  | 0 | 0 | G 2 |
| C*CC. ${ }^{*} \mathrm{C}$ | 49.31 | 75.95 | 21.20 | 27.64 | 33.07 | 37.63 | 44.64 | 49.55 | 56.57 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 7 |  | 0 | 0 | G 2 |
| C* CCC* ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 25.41 | 78.63 | 23.20 | 29.60 | 35.03 | 39.63 | 46.82 | 52.02 | 59.92 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | G 2 |
| C* CCC* ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 25.41 | 80.00 | 23.20 | 29.60 | 35.03 | 39.63 | 46.82 | 52.02 | 59.92 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | G 2 |
| C5DE23 | 30.98 | 77.04 | 24.40 | 30.19 | 35.23 | 39.60 | 46.66 | 51.92 | 60.00 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | G 1 |
| CYC5E | 7.82 | 69.26 | 19.63 | 26.97 | 33.21 | 38.49 | 46.71 | 52.57 | 61.19 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| C5DE14 | 25.41 | 80.00 | 23.20 | 29.60 | 35.03 | 39.63 | 46.82 | 52.02 | 59.92 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | G 2 |
| C*CC.CC | 28.46 | 79.24 | 24.55 | 31.33 | 37.20 | 42.25 | 50.30 | 56.20 | 64.92 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | G 3 |
| C* CcCC . | 43.96 | 86.46 | 25.25 | 31.76 | 37.37 | 42.19 | 49.89 | 55.56 | 64.16 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | G 3 |
| C*CCC. C | 41.31 | 87.49 | 24.39 | 30.80 | 36.41 | 41.28 | 49.15 | 54.97 | 63.63 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | G 3 |
| C*CC.CC | 28.46 | 79.24 | 24.48 | 31.32 | 37.20 | 42.25 | 50.29 | 56.16 | 64.97 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | G 2 |
| C*C.CCC | 54.06 | 84.44 | 25.84 | 32.34 | 37.91 | 42.68 | 50.26 | 55.81 | 64.29 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | G 3 |
| C. * $\operatorname{cccc}$ | 54.06 | 84.44 | 25.84 | 32.34 | 37.91 | 42.68 | 50.26 | 55.81 | 64.29 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | G 3 |
| $\mathrm{C} *$ C. CCC | 51.86 | 84.86 | 25.57 | 31.91 | 37.39 | 42.13 | 49.73 | 55.37 | 64.09 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | G 2 |
| C. * $\operatorname{cccc}$ | 54.06 | 84.44 | 25.78 | 32.33 | 37.92 | 42.70 | 50.25 | 55.78 | 64.31 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | G 2 |
| $\mathrm{C} * \mathrm{CCCCQ}$. | 9.83 | 102.93 | 31.98 | 39.15 | 45.33 | 50.63 | 59.07 | 65.29 | 74.72 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| C* $\operatorname{cccc} . \mathrm{Q}$ | 17.63 | 106.53 | 34.16 | 41.23 | 47.28 | 52.44 | 60.58 | 66.47 | 75.14 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| C*CCC. CQ | 20.08 | 107.15 | 32.30 | 39.69 | 46.01 | 51.38 | 59.80 | 65.82 | 74.50 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| C*CC.CCQ | 7.23 | 98.90 | 32.50 | 40.25 | 46.82 | 52.37 | 60.99 | 67.12 | 75.98 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 9 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| C. * CCCCQ | 32.83 | 104.10 | 33.89 | 41.28 | 47.53 | 52.80 | 60.96 | 66.77 | 75.30 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 9 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| C*C.CCCQ | 30.63 | 104.52 | 33.69 | 40.86 | 46.99 | 52.21 | 60.42 | 66.35 | 75.07 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| C* CCQ. CC | 7.49 | 99.71 | 32.62 | 40.07 | 46.37 | 51.71 | 60.03 | 66.04 | 75.14 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 4 |
| C* CCQCC. | 20.39 | 102.90 | 33.84 | 41.58 | 48.04 | 53.41 | 61.58 | 67.28 | 75.60 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| $\mathrm{C} * \mathrm{CCQC} . \mathrm{C}$ | 17.74 | 103.93 | 33.01 | 40.63 | 47.06 | 52.46 | 60.78 | 66.65 | 75.15 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| C. * CCQCC | 30.49 | 100.88 | 34.44 | 42.15 | 48.57 | 53.88 | 61.94 | 67.53 | 75.72 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| C. * $\operatorname{CCCCQ}$ | 33.83 | 103.75 | 33.89 | 41.29 | 47.52 | 52.77 | 60.93 | 66.83 | 76.02 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 2 |
| C*C.CCCQ | 30.63 | 104.52 | 33.69 | 40.86 | 46.99 | 52.21 | 60.42 | 66.35 | 75.07 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 9 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| C. * CCQCC | 30.49 | 101.28 | 34.45 | 42.20 | 48.62 | 53.93 | 61.99 | 67.64 | 76.29 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 9 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| 2ME1BUTE | -8.56 | 81.18 | 26.68 | 33.60 | 39.60 | 44.80 | 53.16 | 59.39 | 68.91 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 10 |  | 0 | 0 | G 3 |
| 2ME2BUTE | -11.67 | 79.97 | 26.72 | 33.26 | 39.03 | 44.12 | 52.47 | 58.82 | 68.56 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 10 |  | 0 | 0 | G 3 |
| 3ME1BUTE | -7.03 | 80.36 | 25.82 | 33.11 | 39.37 | 44.74 | 53.27 | 59.53 | 69.04 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 10 |  | 0 | 0 | G 3 |
| $\mathrm{C} * \mathrm{CCCC}$ | -5.04 | 83.05 | 26.03 | 33.14 | 39.28 | 44.58 | 53.05 | 59.30 | 68.81 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 10 |  | 0 | 0 | G 3 |
| C * $\operatorname{cccc}$ | -5.04 | 83.05 | 26.03 | 33.14 | 39.28 | 44.58 | 53.05 | 59.30 | 68.81 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 10 |  | 0 | 0 | G 3 |
| $\mathrm{C} * \operatorname{CCCCQ}$ | -26.27 | 102.71 | 34.04 | 42.06 | 48.88 | 54.68 | 63.74 | 70.25 | 79.81 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 10 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| $\mathrm{C} * \mathrm{CCQCC}$ | -28.61 | 98.47 | 34.53 | 42.96 | 49.99 | 55.85 | 64.80 | 71.15 | 80.83 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 10 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 3 |
| C3CC. | 8.70 | 79.06 | 28.32 | 36.27 | 43.04 | 48.77 | 57.72 | 64.14 | 73.68 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 |  | 0 |  | G 4 |


| CCCCC. | 13.81 | 88.15 | 28.04 | 35.31 | 41.65 | 47.17 | 56.11 | 62.79 | 72.96 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 |  | 0 | 0 | G 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CCCC. C | 11.16 | 89.17 | 27.16 | 34.33 | 40.66 | 46.23 | 55.33 | 62.16 | 72.37 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 |  | 0 | 0 | G 4 |
| C. CCCC | 13.81 | 88.15 | 28.04 | 35.31 | 41.65 | 47.17 | 56.11 | 62.79 | 72.96 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 |  | 0 | 0 | G 4 |
| CC. CCC | 11.16 | 89.17 | 27.16 | 34.33 | 40.66 | 46.23 | 55.33 | 62.16 | 72.37 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 |  | 0 | 0 | G 4 |
| CCC. CC | 11.16 | 87.80 | 27.16 | 34.33 | 40.66 | 46.23 | 55.33 | 62.16 | 72.37 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | c | 5 | H | 11 |  | 0 | 0 | G 4 |
| C2. CCC | 11.57 | 85.47 | 27.82 | 35.24 | 41.69 | 47.29 | 56.31 | 63.03 | 73.23 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 |  | 0 | 0 | G 4 |
| C2C.cc | 6.97 | 85.91 | 27.64 | 34.24 | 40.23 | 45.62 | 54.72 | 61.80 | 72.60 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | c | 5 | H | 11 |  | 0 | 0 | G 4 |
| C2CC. C | 8.92 | 86.49 | 26.95 | 34.26 | 40.69 | 46.33 | 55.51 | 62.39 | 72.71 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 |  | 0 | 0 | G 4 |
| C2CCC. | 11.57 | 85.47 | 27.82 | 35.24 | 41.69 | 47.29 | 56.31 | 63.03 | 73.23 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 |  | 0 | 0 | G 4 |
| CC4 | 8.70 | 79.06 | 28.32 | 36.27 | 43.04 | 48.77 | 57.72 | 64.14 | 73.68 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 |  | 0 | 0 | G 4 |
| C3CCO. | -24.14 | 84.83 | 31.16 | 39.79 | 47.07 | 53.18 | 62.59 | 69.25 | 79.09 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 4 |
| C3. CCOH | -27.10 | 93.26 | 31.38 | 39.72 | 46.76 | 52.68 | 61.82 | 68.32 | 78.03 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 5 |
| C3. COC | -21.50 | 91.46 | 31.61 | 39.70 | 46.58 | 52.42 | 61.57 | 68.19 | 78.15 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 0 | G 5 |
| c3COC. | -26.10 | 88.01 | 32.94 | 40.92 | 47.68 | 53.39 | 62.29 | 68.69 | 78.42 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 0 | G 5 |
| $\mathrm{C} 3 . \mathrm{COOH}$ | -12.53 | 100.91 | 36.33 | 45.20 | 52.65 | 58.87 | 68.41 | 75.07 | 84.68 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| C 3 CCOO | -25.43 | 95.53 | 35.08 | 43.69 | 51.00 | 57.19 | 66.86 | 73.83 | 84.22 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| C2Q.CCC | -22.56 | 101.93 | 34.45 | 42.64 | 49.71 | 55.80 | 65.52 | 72.71 | 83.63 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| CQCIC.CC | -9.66 | 105.13 | 35.76 | 44.15 | 51.32 | 57.42 | 67.00 | 73.90 | 84.04 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| C2QC. CC | -14.26 | 106.95 | 35.65 | 43.20 | 49.89 | 55.78 | 65.44 | 72.69 | 83.40 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| C2QCC. C | -12.31 | 106.15 | 34.77 | 43.17 | 50.38 | 56.54 | 66.25 | 73.22 | 83.28 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| C2QCCC. | -9.66 | 105.13 | 35.76 | 44.15 | 51.32 | 57.42 | 67.00 | 73.90 | 84.04 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| $\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{CQ} . \mathrm{CC}$ | -28.36 | 98.12 | 35.50 | 43.75 | 50.80 | 56.83 | 66.36 | 73.34 | 83.97 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| C2. CQCC | -15.46 | 101.32 | 36.80 | 45.28 | 52.45 | 58.49 | 67.87 | 74.56 | 84.41 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| C2CQC. C | -18.11 | 102.34 | 35.95 | 44.33 | 51.48 | 57.55 | 67.06 | 73.89 | 83.90 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 | O | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| C2CQCC. | -15.46 | 101.32 | 36.80 | 45.28 | 52.45 | 58.49 | 67.87 | 74.56 | 84.41 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| C2CCQ. C | -25.93 | 99.19 | 34.91 | 43.35 | 50.54 | 56.66 | 66.28 | 73.29 | 83.91 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 | - | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| C2. CCQC | -13.03 | 102.39 | 36.22 | 44.87 | 52.18 | 58.32 | 67.81 | 74.54 | 84.37 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 | - | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| C2C.CQC | -17.63 | 104.21 | 36.27 | 44.02 | 50.80 | 56.70 | 66.23 | 73.29 | 83.69 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| C2CCQC. | -13.03 | 102.39 | 36.22 | 44.87 | 52.18 | 58.32 | 67.81 | 74.54 | 84.37 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| C2CCCQ . | -22.56 | 101.93 | 34.45 | 42.64 | 49.71 | 55.80 | 65.52 | 72.71 | 83.63 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 | - | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| C2. CCCQ | -9.66 | 105.13 | 35.76 | 44.15 | 51.32 | 57.42 | 67.00 | 73.90 | 84.04 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| C2C.CCQ | -14.26 | 106.95 | 35.65 | 43.20 | 49.89 | 55.78 | 65.44 | 72.69 | 83.40 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 | - | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| C2CC.CQ | -12.31 | 106.15 | 34.77 | 43.17 | 50.38 | 56.54 | 66.25 | 73.22 | 83.28 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| CC4Q | -25.43 | 95.53 | 35.08 | 43.69 | 51.00 | 57.19 | 66.86 | 73.83 | 84.22 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| CC4. Q | -12.53 | 100.91 | 36.33 | 45.20 | 52.65 | 58.87 | 68.41 | 75.07 | 84.68 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| C4C | -40.30 | 72.89 | 29.09 | 37.65 | 44.95 | 51.16 | 60.89 | 67.90 | 78.34 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 12 |  | 0 | 0 | G 4 |
| Ccccc | -35.19 | 83.36 | 28.81 | 36.68 | 43.57 | 49.56 | 59.27 | 66.54 | 77.61 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 12 |  | 0 | 0 | G 4 |
| CCICCC | -35.83 | 82.05 | 28.59 | 36.64 | 43.63 | 49.69 | 59.44 | 66.70 | 77.73 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 12 |  | 0 | 0 | G 4 |
| C2CCC | -37.43 | 82.05 | 28.61 | 36.62 | 43.61 | 49.67 | 59.47 | 66.77 | 77.87 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 12 |  | 0 | 0 | G 4 |
| CC4 | -40.30 | 72.89 | 29.09 | 37.65 | 44.95 | 51.16 | 60.89 | 67.90 | 78.34 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 12 |  | 0 | 0 | G 4 |
| $\mathrm{C3CCOH}$ | -76.12 | 86.29 | 32.15 | 41.10 | 48.67 | 55.06 | 64.97 | 72.05 | 82.66 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 5 |
| $\mathrm{C3COC}$ | -70.52 | 85.86 | 32.40 | 41.09 | 48.50 | 54.81 | 64.73 | 71.95 | 82.87 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 12 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 5 |
| $\mathrm{C3CCOOH}$ | -61.53 | 95.31 | 37.12 | 46.59 | 54.57 | 61.26 | 71.56 | 78.81 | 89.32 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| C2QCCC | -58.66 | 101.71 | 36.58 | 45.55 | 53.23 | 59.80 | 70.14 | 77.64 | 88.68 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 |  |  |  | 2 | 0 | G 6 |


| C2CQCC | -65.48 | 98.65 | 37.53 | 46.64 | 54.36 | 60.88 | 71.04 | 78.31 | 89.07 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 12 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 |  | 0 | G 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C2CCQC | -62.03 | 98.97 | 36.95 | 46.24 | 54.09 | 60.71 | 70.99 | 78.30 | 89.05 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 12 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 |  | 0 | G 6 |
| C2CCCQ | -58.66 | 101.71 | 36.58 | 45.55 | 53.23 | 59.80 | 70.14 | 77.64 | 88.68 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 12 | O | 2 |  | 0 | G 6 |
| CC4Q | -61.53 | 95.31 | 37.12 | 46.59 | 54.57 | 61.26 | 71.56 | 78.81 | 89.32 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 5 | H | 12 | O | 2 |  | 0 | G 6 |
| C\#CC\#CC\#C | 156.28 | 71.56 | 24.78 | 27.92 | 30.44 | 32.43 | 35.24 | 36.98 | 39.23 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 2 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G 2 |
| C\#CC\#CC*C | 119.39 | 78.55 | 24.25 | 29.18 | 33.22 | 36.51 | 41.34 | 44.51 | 48.76 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 4 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G 2 |
| ORTACLO. | 4.69 | 84.89 | 26.84 | 33.71 | 39.28 | 43.78 | 50.28 | 54.49 | 60.24 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 4 | 0 | 1 | CL | 1 | G 0 |
| C\#CC.CC\#C | 134.42 | 82.27 | 26.09 | 30.64 | 34.56 | 37.93 | 43.24 | 47.06 | 52.36 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 5 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G 3 |
| LINC6H5P. | 140.85 | 80.94 | 25.89 | 31.70 | 36.34 | 40.02 | 45.23 | 48.54 | 53.10 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 5 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G 3 |
| C6H5. | 80.72 | 69.30 | 19.16 | 25.44 | 30.68 | 35.02 | 41.61 | 46.19 | 52.86 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 5 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G 0 |
| C6H5CL | 12.39 | 74.84 | 23.46 | 30.56 | 36.39 | 41.14 | 48.14 | 52.79 | 59.21 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 5 | CL | 1 |  | 0 | G 0 |
| PHENOXY | 12.10 | 76.49 | 22.96 | 29.83 | 35.55 | 40.29 | 47.44 | 52.32 | 59.11 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | c | 6 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | G 0 |
| CYC6. CLO. | 51.61 | 86.22 | 28.43 | 36.57 | 43.01 | 48.06 | 55.07 | 59.39 | 65.35 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | CL | 1 | G 0 |
| CL. CYC60. | 53.74 | 87.95 | 26.46 | 34.24 | 40.69 | 46.00 | 53.89 | 59.12 | 65.94 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 5 | 0 | 1 | CL | 1 | G 0 |
| ORTACLOH | -29.71 | 83.59 | 28.41 | 35.87 | 41.87 | 46.67 | 53.52 | 57.92 | 64.04 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | CL | 1 | G 1 |
| FULVENE | 42.79 | 63.24 | 24.14 | 31.70 | 37.68 | 42.37 | 48.93 | 53.07 | 59.12 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 6 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G 0 |
| FULVENE | 42.79 | 63.24 | 24.14 | 31.70 | 37.68 | 42.37 | 48.93 | 53.07 | 59.12 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 6 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G 0 |
| C\#CCCC\#C | 99.52 | 81.34 | 26.70 | 31.79 | 36.24 | 40.11 | 46.32 | 50.84 | 57.15 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 6 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G 3 |
| C*C*CC*C* | 98.12 | 81.80 | 26.17 | 31.52 | 36.09 | 39.97 | 46.04 | 50.41 | 56.91 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 6 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G 1 |
| C\#CC\#CCC | 90.77 | 81.36 | 26.55 | 31.72 | 36.21 | 40.08 | 46.26 | 50.74 | 57.05 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 6 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G |
| C\#CC*C*CC | 94.52 | 81.38 | 26.51 | 32.29 | 37.11 | 41.13 | 47.22 | 51.42 | 57.44 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 6 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G |
| C\#CC* CC*C | 81.75 | 79.55 | 26.46 | 32.81 | 37.98 | 42.15 | 48.23 | 52.23 | 57.79 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 6 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G |
| CYC6H6 | 19.80 | 64.26 | 19.61 | 26.71 | 32.67 | 37.66 | 45.29 | 50.61 | 58.12 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 6 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G 0 |
| C6H5OH | -22.30 | 75.19 | 24.55 | 32.03 | 38.17 | 43.21 | 50.69 | 55.73 | 62.93 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 6 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | G |
| PHENOL | -22.30 | 75.19 | 24.55 | 32.03 | 38.17 | 43.21 | 50.69 | 55.73 | 62.93 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | c | 6 | H | 6 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | G |
| CYHXE. 0. | 62.77 | 79.92 | 24.86 | 33.30 | 40.18 | 45.74 | 53.75 | 58.82 | 65.21 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 6 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | G 0 |
| CYC6. CLOH | -. 35 | 87.68 | 29.40 | 37.87 | 44.61 | 49.94 | 57.44 | 62.18 | 68.93 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | c | 6 | H | 6 | 0 | 1 | CL | 1 | G |
| CYC6CL. OH | 1.78 | 89.41 | 29.17 | 36.82 | 43.21 | 48.52 | 56.54 | 62.03 | 69.57 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 6 | 0 | 1 | CL | 1 | G |
| C\#CC. CC* | 94.76 | 86.30 | 27.19 | 33.09 | 38.11 | 42.37 | 49.03 | 53.82 | 60.95 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 7 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G |
| C\#CCC. ${ }^{*}$ C | 93.36 | 82.94 | 26.80 | 32.54 | 37.60 | 42.01 | 49.15 | 54.40 | 61.77 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 7 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G 3 |
| C\#CCCC. * C | 116.76 | 88.56 | 27.33 | 32.91 | 37.76 | 41.96 | 48.74 | 53.75 | 61.16 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 7 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G 3 |
| C\#CCC. ${ }^{*}$ * | 93.36 | 82.94 | 26.80 | 32.54 | 37.60 | 42.01 | 49.15 | 54.40 | 61.77 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 7 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G 3 |
| C\#CCCC*C. | 118.96 | 88.14 | 27.68 | 33.42 | 38.35 | 42.58 | 49.29 | 54.19 | 61.40 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 7 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G 3 |
| C\#CCC. * CC | 114.12 | 87.75 | 27.02 | 32.46 | 37.23 | 41.42 | 48.23 | 53.34 | 60.92 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 7 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G 3 |
| C\#CCC*C.C | 114.12 | 87.75 | 27.02 | 32.46 | 37.23 | 41.42 | 48.23 | 53.34 | 60.92 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 7 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G 3 |
| C\#CCC* CC . | 93.32 | 84.18 | 27.84 | 33.39 | 38.27 | 42.53 | 49.43 | 54.53 | 61.78 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 7 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G 3 |
| C\#CC.C*CC | 86.12 | 82.39 | 26.32 | 32.07 | 37.08 | 41.44 | 48.51 | 53.77 | 61.59 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 7 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G 2 |
| CYHEXE. OH | 10.79 | 81.38 | 25.33 | 34.36 | 41.64 | 47.48 | 55.83 | 61.14 | 68.31 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 7 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | G 1 |
| CYC6CLOH1 | -24.87 | 88.36 | 30.16 | 39.16 | 46.42 | 52.24 | 60.59 | 66.02 | 73.72 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 7 | 0 | 1 | CL | 1 | G 1 |
| CYC6CLOH2 | -22.74 | 90.09 | 29.25 | 37.66 | 44.73 | 50.66 | 59.72 | 65.97 | 74.51 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 7 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | CL | 1 | G 1 |
| C\#CCCC* C | 59.86 | 86.75 | 27.69 | 34.18 | 39.76 | 44.56 | 52.17 | 57.74 | 65.97 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 8 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G 3 |
| C. * $\mathrm{CC} * \mathrm{CC}$. | 106.07 | 83.97 | 27.79 | 35.00 | 40.97 | 45.89 | 53.32 | 58.50 | 66.45 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 8 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G |
| C\#CCCC* C | 59.86 | 86.75 | 27.69 | 34.18 | 39.76 | 44.56 | 52.17 | 57.74 | 65.97 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 8 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G 3 |
| C\#CCC* CC | 57.22 | 85.94 | 27.35 | 33.72 | 39.25 | 44.02 | 51.68 | 57.33 | 65.74 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 8 |  | 0 |  | 0 | G 3 |


| CY13HD | 25.41 | 73.88 | 22.79 | 30.93 | 37.78 | 43.52 | 52.32 | 58.48 | 67.38 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CYC6H7OH | -13.73 | 82.06 | 26.45 | 35.66 | 43.24 | 49.42 | 58.52 | 64.50 | 72.67 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 8 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| C* CC* CC. C | 41.35 | 84.84 | 27.78 | 35.75 | 42.43 | 48.03 | 56.62 | 62.68 | 71.61 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | G 2 |
| C* $\operatorname{Cccc} *$ - | 79.30 | 92.17 | 28.54 | 35.77 | 41.90 | 47.07 | 55.13 | 60.92 | 69.68 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | G 3 |
| C* CCCC. * C | 77.10 | 92.59 | 28.29 | 35.32 | 41.34 | 46.48 | 54.59 | 60.50 | 69.45 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | G 3 |
| C*CCC. ${ }^{*}$ C | 53.68 | 86.97 | 27.23 | 34.76 | 41.17 | 46.62 | 55.16 | 61.30 | 70.30 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | G 3 |
| C*CC*CCC | 13.45 | 86.39 | 29.62 | 37.65 | 44.41 | 50.08 | 58.87 | 65.17 | 74.78 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 10 |  | 0 | 0 | G 3 |
| CC*CC* CC | 10.34 | 85.17 | 29.64 | 37.30 | 43.84 | 49.41 | 58.19 | 64.61 | 74.44 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 10 |  | 0 | 0 | G 3 |
| C*CC*CCC | 13.45 | 86.39 | 29.62 | 37.65 | 44.41 | 50.08 | 58.87 | 65.17 | 74.78 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 10 |  | 0 | 0 | G 3 |
| C* CCCC* | 20.18 | 89.40 | 28.66 | 36.54 | 43.26 | 48.97 | 57.93 | 64.41 | 74.19 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 10 |  | 0 | 0 | G 3 |
| C*CCC. CC | 36.38 | 96.91 | 29.87 | 37.75 | 44.62 | 50.58 | 60.19 | 67.28 | 77.73 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | c | 6 | H | 11 |  | 0 | 0 | G 5 |
| C* CC. CCC | 23.53 | 88.66 | 30.03 | 38.33 | 45.48 | 51.63 | 61.40 | 68.55 | 79.15 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | c | 6 | H | 11 |  | 0 | 0 | G 4 |
| C* CcCCC . | 39.03 | 95.88 | 30.79 | 38.76 | 45.65 | 51.58 | 61.03 | 67.96 | 78.32 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | c | 6 | H | 11 |  | 0 | 0 | G 5 |
| C. ${ }^{*}$ CCCC | 23.19 | 89.88 | 30.75 | 39.09 | 46.22 | 52.31 | 61.91 | 68.88 | 79.28 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | c | 6 | H | 11 |  | 0 | 0 | G 4 |
| CC* CCCC. | 36.09 | 95.05 | 30.42 | 38.28 | 45.10 | 51.00 | 60.48 | 67.50 | 78.15 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 |  | 0 | 0 | G 4 |
| CC*CC. CC | 20.59 | 87.82 | 29.64 | 37.83 | 44.93 | 51.06 | 60.87 | 68.10 | 78.95 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 |  | 0 | 0 | G 3 |
| CC*CCC. C | 33.44 | 96.07 | 29.57 | 37.31 | 44.12 | 50.06 | 59.71 | 66.89 | 77.58 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 |  | 0 | 0 | G 4 |
| C* CCQ. CCC | 2.56 | 106.94 | 38.08 | 47.03 | 54.63 | 61.07 | 71.13 | 78.36 | 89.13 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| C* $\mathrm{CCQC}$. CC | 12.81 | 111.16 | 38.50 | 47.60 | 55.31 | 61.80 | 71.85 | 78.98 | 89.39 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | - | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| C*CCQCC. C | 12.81 | 111.16 | 38.50 | 47.60 | 55.31 | 61.80 | 71.85 | 78.98 | 89.39 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| $\mathrm{C*} \mathrm{CCQCCC}$. | 15.46 | 110.14 | 39.37 | 48.56 | 56.27 | 62.73 | 72.64 | 79.62 | 89.84 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| c. * CCQCCC | 25.56 | 110.30 | 39.97 | 49.14 | 56.81 | 63.21 | 73.00 | 79.88 | 89.97 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| C*C. CQCCC | 23.36 | 110.72 | 39.76 | 48.75 | 56.33 | 62.70 | 72.54 | 79.53 | 89.80 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| C*CC. QCCC | -2.24 | 105.22 | 38.30 | 47.62 | 55.51 | 62.16 | 72.48 | 79.81 | 90.45 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| C* CCQCCC | 15.46 | 112.32 | 39.37 | 48.56 | 56.27 | 62.73 | 72.64 | 79.62 | 89.84 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| Q.CC* CCCC | 2.61 | 111.96 | 37.96 | 46.25 | 53.41 | 59.59 | 69.51 | 76.90 | 88.30 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| QC. ${ }^{*}$ - CCCC | . 01 | 107.93 | 38.42 | 47.29 | 54.86 | 61.30 | 71.41 | 78.71 | 89.53 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| QCC. * CCCC | 32.00 | 121.52 | 44.08 | 53.64 | 61.74 | 68.55 | 78.91 | 85.86 | 94.38 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| QCC* CC. CC | . 01 | 107.93 | 38.42 | 47.29 | 54.86 | 61.30 | 71.41 | 78.71 | 89.53 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| QCC* $\mathrm{CCC} . \mathrm{C}$ | 12.86 | 116.18 | 38.20 | 46.75 | 54.08 | 60.35 | 70.24 | 77.39 | 87.87 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| QCC* CCCC . | 15.51 | 116.53 | 39.19 | 47.73 | 55.02 | 61.24 | 71.02 | 78.12 | 88.74 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| CC*CCQ. CC | -. 38 | 108.29 | 37.83 | 46.61 | 54.11 | 60.51 | 70.62 | 78.00 | 89.20 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| C. C* CCOCC | -. 38 | 107.70 | 39.31 | 48.86 | 56.83 | 63.45 | 73.52 | 80.53 | 90.79 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| CC. * CCQCC | 20.42 | 109.88 | 39.48 | 48.31 | 55.80 | 62.15 | 72.04 | 79.13 | 89.60 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| CC*C. CQCC | 20.42 | 109.88 | 39.48 | 48.31 | 55.80 | 62.15 | 72.04 | 79.13 | 89.60 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| CC*CC.QCC | -5.18 | 104.38 | 37.99 | 47.24 | 55.10 | 61.75 | 72.10 | 79.49 | 90.30 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| CC* $\mathrm{CCQC.C}$ | 9.87 | 112.51 | 38.22 | 47.18 | 54.80 | 61.26 | 71.36 | 78.59 | 89.16 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| CC* CCQCC . | 12.52 | 112.87 | 39.05 | 48.17 | 55.84 | 62.29 | 72.24 | 79.30 | 89.69 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| CCQ. ${ }^{*}$ CCC | -. 21 | 108.67 | 37.49 | 46.50 | 54.15 | 60.63 | 70.79 | 78.14 | 89.27 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| C. CQC* CCC | 12.69 | 113.25 | 38.77 | 48.05 | 55.83 | 62.34 | 72.34 | 79.39 | 89.73 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| CCQC* CCC . | 12.69 | 113.25 | 38.77 | 48.05 | 55.83 | 62.34 | 72.34 | 79.39 | 89.73 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| CC.QC*CCC | -5.01 | 104.76 | 37.69 | 47.08 | 55.03 | 61.74 | 72.15 | 79.56 | 90.32 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| CCQC.* CCC | 20.59 | 110.26 | 39.18 | 48.20 | 55.81 | 62.22 | 72.15 | 79.21 | 89.62 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| CCQC* $\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{CC}$ | 20.59 | 110.26 | 39.18 | 48.20 | 55.81 | 62.22 | 72.15 | 79.21 | 89.62 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 6 |


| CCQC*CC. C | -2.81 | 104.64 | 38.01 | 47.62 | 55.68 | 62.42 | 72.75 | 79.99 | 90.55 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C*C.CQCCC | 23.36 | 110.72 | 39.74 | 48.73 | 56.30 | 62.68 | 72.54 | 79.58 | 90.08 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| QCC* $\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{CCC}$ | 24.10 | 113.11 | 38.99 | 47.43 | 54.72 | 61.01 | 71.05 | 78.45 | 89.48 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | - | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| QCC.* CCCC | 24.10 | 113.11 | 38.99 | 47.43 | 54.72 | 61.01 | 71.05 | 78.45 | 89.48 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| CC. * CCQCC | 20.42 | 109.88 | 39.46 | 48.32 | 55.82 | 62.15 | 72.03 | 79.14 | 89.84 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| CC*C. CQCC | 20.42 | 109.88 | 39.46 | 48.32 | 55.82 | 62.15 | 72.03 | 79.14 | 89.84 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| CCQC.* CCC | 20.59 | 110.26 | 39.12 | 48.22 | 55.87 | 62.29 | 72.22 | 79.30 | 89.93 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| CCQC* $\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{CC}$ | 20.59 | 110.26 | 39.12 | 48.22 | 55.87 | 62.29 | 72.22 | 79.30 | 89.93 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 11 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 5 |
| $\mathrm{C} * \operatorname{ccccc}$ | -9.97 | 92.47 | 31.48 | 40.10 | 47.53 | 53.93 | 64.13 | 71.63 | 82.99 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 12 |  | 0 | 0 | G 4 |
| CC* $\operatorname{cccc}$ | -12.91 | 91.63 | 31.19 | 39.66 | 47.01 | 53.38 | 63.63 | 71.24 | 82.78 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | c | 6 | H | 12 |  | 0 | 0 | G 4 |
| C* CCQCCC | -33.54 | 106.72 | 40.14 | 49.94 | 58.19 | 65.11 | 75.80 | 83.37 | 94.50 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| QCC*CCCC | -33.49 | 111.74 | 39.98 | 49.12 | 56.94 | 63.62 | 74.17 | 81.85 | 93.37 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 12 | - | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| CC* ${ }^{\text {ccec }}$ | -36.48 | 108.07 | 39.80 | 49.52 | 57.74 | 64.66 | 75.39 | 83.04 | 94.34 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 12 | - | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| CCQC* CCC | -36.31 | 108.45 | 39.52 | 49.40 | 57.71 | 64.70 | 75.48 | 83.12 | 94.36 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 6 | H | 12 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 6 |
| CYC6H5C. | 48.71 | 75.65 | 25.93 | 33.76 | 40.35 | 45.88 | 54.37 | 60.27 | 68.50 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 7 | H | 7 |  | 0 | 0 | G 1 |
| C6H5CH3 | 11.81 | 76.83 | 25.18 | 33.37 | 40.36 | 46.32 | 55.66 | 62.35 | 71.98 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | c | 7 | H | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | G 1 |
| CYC6H5C | 11.81 | 76.83 | 25.18 | 33.37 | 40.36 | 46.32 | 55.66 | 62.35 | 71.98 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 7 | H | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | G |
| LINC7H9. | 90.89 | 90.74 | 33.36 | 41.41 | 48.04 | 53.49 | 61.67 | 67.36 | 76.10 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | c | 7 | H | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | G |
| LINC7H9VS | 88.69 | 91.16 | 33.15 | 40.99 | 47.49 | 52.89 | 61.12 | 66.93 | 75.87 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 7 | H | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | G |
| LINC7H10 | 31.77 | 89.35 | 33.52 | 42.21 | 49.43 | 55.40 | 64.47 | 70.85 | 80.62 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 7 | H | 10 |  | 0 | 0 | G |
| C* $\mathrm{CC} 2 \mathrm{CC} * \mathrm{C}$ | 11.73 | 96.96 | 34.78 | 43.98 | 51.83 | 58.54 | 69.11 | 76.81 | 88.48 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | c | 7 | H | 12 |  | 0 | 0 | G 4 |
| C* CCICCC* | 13.26 | 96.14 | 34.02 | 43.53 | 51.61 | 58.46 | 69.20 | 76.96 | 88.66 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 7 | H | 12 |  | 0 | 0 | G 4 |
| C* $\mathrm{CC} 2 \mathrm{CC} * \mathrm{C}$ | 11.73 | 98.34 | 34.92 | 44.02 | 51.84 | 58.53 | 69.13 | 76.87 | 88.45 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 7 | H | 12 |  | 0 | 0 | G |
| $\mathrm{C} * \operatorname{cccccc}$. | 34.10 | 105.30 | 36.17 | 45.69 | 53.88 | 60.90 | 72.05 | 80.21 | 92.51 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 7 | H | 13 |  | 0 | 0 | G |
| C* $\operatorname{ccccc} . \mathrm{C}$ | 31.45 | 106.33 | 35.29 | 44.71 | 52.88 | 59.95 | 71.27 | 79.58 | 91.93 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 7 | H | 13 |  | 0 | 0 | G |
| C* CC. $\operatorname{cccc}$ | 18.60 | 98.08 | 35.52 | 45.30 | 53.72 | 60.96 | 72.45 | 80.85 | 93.31 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 7 | H | 13 |  | 0 | 0 | G |
| C* $\operatorname{cccccc}$ | -14.90 | 101.89 | 36.91 | 47.05 | 55.78 | 63.28 | 75.21 | 83.96 | 97.17 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 7 | H | 14 |  | 0 | 0 | G 5 |
| C3CCIC* | -22.52 | 90.93 | 37.85 | 48.49 | 57.50 | 65.11 | 76.93 | 85.36 | 97.92 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 7 | H | 14 |  | 0 | 0 | G 5 |
| C*CYHEPE. | 51.65 | 85.70 | 32.49 | 43.59 | 52.87 | 60.59 | 72.34 | 80.53 | 92.55 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 8 | H | 11 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| C*CYHEPE | 19.92 | 85.64 | 32.85 | 44.45 | 54.24 | 62.48 | 75.21 | 84.21 | 97.37 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 8 | H | 12 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| DIC2.C*C | 3.28 | 105.89 | 40.92 | 51.40 | 60.40 | 68.09 | 80.28 | 89.21 | 102.78 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 8 | H | 14 |  | 0 | 0 | G |
| C3. CCC3 | -4.80 | 101.22 | 45.10 | 58.20 | 69.20 | 78.40 | 92.53 | 102.48 | 117.26 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 8 | H | 17 |  | 0 | 0 | G |
| C3. CCC3 | -4.80 | 101.22 | 45.10 | 58.20 | 69.20 | 78.40 | 92.53 | 102.48 | 117.26 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 8 | H | 17 |  | 0 | 0 | G |
| C2C.COCC3 | -46.40 | 115.70 | 47.18 | 59.35 | 69.86 | 78.90 | 93.36 | 104.03 | 120.34 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 8 | H | 17 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 7 |
| C3CCC3Q. | -45.33 | 116.88 | 51.89 | 65.61 | 77.14 | 86.81 | 101.68 | 112.19 | 127.69 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 8 | H | 17 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 8 |
| C2C.COOTB | -35.26 | 125.47 | 51.78 | 64.38 | 75.31 | 84.75 | 99.88 | 111.03 | 127.56 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 8 | H | 17 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 8 |
| C3. COOCC3 | -37.46 | 121.94 | 53.02 | 66.48 | 77.85 | 87.44 | 102.32 | 112.93 | 128.59 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 8 | H | 17 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 8 |
| C3CCC3 | -53.80 | 95.62 | 45.88 | 59.58 | 71.11 | 80.79 | 95.70 | 106.22 | 121.72 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 8 | H | 18 |  | 0 | 0 | G 7 |
| C3CCC3 | -53.80 | 95.62 | 45.94 | 59.60 | 71.12 | 80.81 | 95.72 | 106.21 | 121.38 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 8 | H | 18 |  | 0 | 0 | G 9 |
| c3CCCICC | -54.13 | 101.22 | 45.33 | 58.52 | 69.77 | 79.32 | 94.28 | 105.06 | 121.14 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 8 | H | 18 |  | 0 | 0 | G 7 |
| C2CCOCC3 | -90.82 | 111.82 | 48.10 | 61.76 | 73.31 | 83.05 | 98.20 | 109.10 | 125.71 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 8 | H | 18 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 7 |
| C 2 CCOOCC 3 | -79.68 | 121.59 | 52.80 | 66.78 | 78.68 | 88.79 | 104.63 | 116.04 | 132.90 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 8 | H | 18 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 8 |
| C3COOCC3 | -86.48 | 114.97 | 53.84 | 67.87 | 79.77 | 89.82 | 105.47 | 116.68 | 133.25 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 8 | H | 18 | 0 | 2 | 0 | G 8 |
| INDENE. | 71.57 | 82.83 | 28.22 | 38.22 | 46.45 | 53.19 | 63.15 | 69.79 | 78.93 | 0/12/95 | ThmCa | C | 9 | H | 7 |  | 0 |  | G |


| INDENE | 39.82 | 82.77 | 29.11 | 39.16 | 47.61 | 54.70 | 65.52 | 72.98 | 83.34 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 9 | H | 8 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INDANEAL. | 46.39 | 84.58 | 30.40 | 41.86 | 51.31 | 59.07 | 70.55 | 78.16 | 88.53 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 9 | H | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| CC7H913V. | 88.48 | 51.40 | 38.38 | 49.99 | 59.59 | 67.46 | 78.94 | 86.16 | 94.28 | 0/12/95 ThmCa |  | 9 |  | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | G 1 |
| INDANE | 14.66 | 83.14 | 30.75 | 42.63 | 52.53 | 60.72 | 73.03 | 81.35 | 92.84 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 9 | H | 10 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| CC7H1013V | 31.56 | 89.54 | 38.50 | 51.11 | 61.56 | 70.11 | 82.61 | 90.49 | 99.41 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 9 | H | 10 |  | 0 | 0 | G 1 |
| PHPH. | 103.84 | 98.14 | 38.92 | 51.70 | 62.16 | 70.70 | 83.29 | 91.74 | 103.83 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 12 | H | 9 |  | 0 | 0 | G 1 |
| CLBI PHENY | 35.51 | 103.68 | 43.13 | 56.78 | 67.87 | 76.84 | 89.87 | 98.40 | 110.24 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 12 | H | 9 | CL | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| BI PHENYL | 42.92 | 93.91 | 39.29 | 52.94 | 64.17 | 73.38 | 87.02 | 96.19 | 109.08 | 0/12/95 ThmCa | C | 12 | H | 10 |  | 0 | 0 | G 1 |
| C. * ${ }^{*}$ O | 41.36 | 60.50 | 12.23 | 13.70 | 14.81 | 15.64 | 16.72 | 17.36 | 18.37 | 10/25/96 | C | 2 | H | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| *N | 112.97 | 36.61 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.97 | Ј 3/61 | N | 1 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| NH | 85.76 | 43.29 | 6.92 | 6.96 | 7.01 | 7.08 | 7.26 | 7.46 | 8.00 | melius/91 | N | 1 | H | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| NH1 | 120.89 | 41.67 | 6.87 | 7.03 | 7.18 | 7.33 | 7.62 | 7.88 | 8.41 | RADICALC/92 | N | 1 | H | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| NO | 21.58 | 50.34 | 7.00 | 7.20 | 7.37 | 7.54 | 7.83 | 8.08 | 8.53 | J 6/63 | N | 1 | O | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| CN | 104.01 | 48.41 | 6.87 | 7.05 | 7.23 | 7.39 | 7.70 | 7.97 | 8.48 | J 6/69 | C | 1 | N | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| NH2 | 45.49 | 46.51 | 8.07 | 8.25 | 8.51 | 8.81 | 9.49 | 10.18 | 11.53 | L 9/81 | N | 1 | H | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| HNO | 25.40 | 52.73 | 8.27 | 8.81 | 9.33 | 9.82 | 10.70 | 11.42 | 12.51 | WA/93 | H | 1 | N | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| HON | 61.04 | 51.24 | 8.27 | 8.81 | 9.33 | 9.82 | 10.70 | 11.42 | 12.51 | HF MELIUS93 | H | 1 | N | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| HONT | 50.00 | 54.01 | 8.27 | 8.81 | 9.33 | 9.82 | 10.70 | 11.42 | 12.51 | HF MELIUS93 | H | 1 | N | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| NO2 | 7.91 | 57.35 | 8.84 | 9.65 | 10.35 | 10.95 | 11.87 | 12.48 | 13.18 | J 9/64 | N | 1 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| NNH | 59.58 | 53.63 | 8.46 | 8.93 | 9.41 | 9.89 | 10.79 | 11.54 | 12.60 | Melius93 | N | 2 | H | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| N2O | 19.61 | 52.55 | 9.27 | 10.18 | 10.93 | 11.55 | 12.48 | 13.10 | 13.93 | J12/64 | N | 2 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| HCN | 32.30 | 48.20 | 8.60 | 9.32 | 9.94 | 10.47 | 11.34 | 12.02 | 13.18 | L12/69 | H | 1 | C | 1 | N | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| HNC | 45.20 | 49.20 | 8.60 | 9.32 | 9.94 | 10.47 | 11.34 | 12.02 | 13.18 | MEL/LIN92 | H | 1 | C | 1 | N | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| NCO | 31.60 | 55.49 | 9.59 | 10.48 | 11.22 | 11.83 | 12.74 | 13.34 | 14.09 | HFEASTJ12/70 | N | 1 | C | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| NCN | 107.01 | 56.47 | 10.16 | 10.81 | 11.35 | 11.79 | 12.47 | 12.95 | 13.74 | MELIUS/93 |  | 0 | C | 1 | N | 2 | 0 | G 0 |
| CLNO | 12.35 | 62.51 | 10.71 | 11.24 | 11.69 | 12.07 | 12.64 | 13.01 | 13.46 | NOCL | N | 1 |  | 0 | CL | 10 | 1 | G 0 |
| NH3 | -10.97 | 46.03 | 8.56 | 9.32 | 10.08 | 10.83 | 12.25 | 13.50 | 15.78 | J 9/65 | N | 1 | H | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| HNOH | 21.60 | 57.81 | 10.29 | 11.26 | 12.14 | 12.92 | 14.25 | 15.28 | 16.84 | JWB/94 | N | 1 | H | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| NH2O | 15.90 | 55.71 | 9.30 | 10.39 | 11.36 | 12.22 | 13.68 | 14.84 | 16.78 | M/JB86 | N | 1 | H | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| HNO2 | -14.15 | 56.75 | 9.07 | 10.43 | 11.64 | 12.71 | 14.46 | 15.75 | 17.57 | SANDIA90 | N | 1 | H | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 0 |
| HNOO | 56.30 | 59.71 | 10.55 | 11.92 | 13.15 | 14.24 | 16.01 | 17.26 | 18.59 | MELIUS88 | N | 1 | H | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| HONO | -18.60 | 60.71 | 10.56 | 11.80 | 12.85 | 13.73 | 15.10 | 16.06 | 17.38 | NBS | N | 1 | H | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 2 | 0 | G 1 |
| N2H2 | 50.00 | 52.22 | 8.62 | 9.87 | 10.97 | 11.93 | 13.53 | 14.76 | 16.85 | J12/65 | N | 2 | H | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| H2NN | 68.91 | 53.27 | 8.58 | 9.51 | 10.41 | 11.27 | 12.84 | 14.16 | 16.40 | M93/JBPM3 96 | N | 2 | H | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | G 0 |
| HNNO | 55.39 | 60.56 | 10.73 | 12.13 | 13.29 | 14.25 | 15.72 | 16.72 | 18.14 | MELIUS | N | 2 | H | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| H2CN | 59.12 | 53.60 | 9.17 | 10.41 | 11.55 | 12.58 | 14.29 | 15.57 | 17.33 | MELIUS 88 | H | 2 | C | 1 | N | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| HCNH | 66.12 | 55.92 | 9.13 | 10.37 | 11.49 | 12.48 | 14.14 | 15.40 | 17.28 | MELIUS 88 | H | 2 | C | 1 | N | 1 | 0 | G 0 |
| HCNO | 42.13 | 58.01 | 11.21 | 12.59 | 13.72 | 14.66 | 16.08 | 17.04 | 18.37 | LAY/BOZ94 | H | 1 | N | 1 | C | 10 | 1 | G 0 |
| HNCO | -24.30 | 57.54 | 11.07 | 12.27 | 13.28 | 14.11 | 15.39 | 16.28 | 17.60 | SAND90 | H | 1 | N | 1 | C | 10 | 1 | G 0 |
| HOCN | -2.28 | 57.87 | 11.08 | 12.04 | 12.90 | 13.66 | 14.92 | 15.87 | 17.25 | SAND/LAY | H | 1 | N | 1 | C | 10 | 1 | G 1 |
| HCNN | 109.01 | 59.36 | 10.66 | 12.10 | 13.33 | 14.39 | 16.01 | 17.10 | 18.41 | CHEMACT91 | C | 1 | H | 1 | N | 2 | 0 | G 0 |
| NCCN | 73.91 | 57.71 | 13.61 | 14.69 | 15.59 | 16.33 | 17.46 | 18.24 | 19.41 | BENSON/76 |  | 0 | C | 2 | N | 2 | 0 | G 0 |
| NH 2 OH | -10.50 | 56.19 | 10.46 | 12.18 | 13.63 | 14.85 | 16.78 | 18.21 | 20.65 | JWB/SAND8 8 | N | 1 | H | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | G 1 |
| HONHO | 4.70 | 62.51 | 11.87 | 14.49 | 16.60 | 18.28 | 20.62 | 21.99 | 23.44 | M/JB8 6 | N | 1 | H | 2 |  | 2 | 0 | G 1 |


| N2H3 | 48.10 | 54.63 | 10.50 | 12.29 | 13.84 | 15.18 | 17.35 | 18.98 | 21.51 | J12/65 | N | 2 | H | 3 |  | 0 | 0 G 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NH2NO | 17.90 | 60.31 | 12.03 | 14.32 | 16.27 | 17.91 | 20.40 | 22.07 | 24.00 | M/JB189 | N | 2 | H | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 G 1 |
| HNNOHC | 21.48 | 62.05 | 11.74 | 14.16 | 16.19 | 17.88 | 20.40 | 22.05 | 23.96 | M/88 AVG | N | 2 | H | 2 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 0 G 1 |
| HNNOHT | 19.30 | 61.71 | 11.77 | 14.63 | 16.88 | 18.61 | 20.93 | 22.21 | 23.57 | M/88 AVG | N | 2 | H | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 G 1 |
| HNNHO | 26.30 | 58.70 | 10.53 | 12.88 | 14.89 | 16.59 | 19.24 | 21.09 | 23.46 | M/B686 | N | 2 | H | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 G 1 |
| H2C*NH | 21.85 | 55.95 | 9.47 | 10.94 | 12.42 | 13.86 | 16.48 | 18.62 | 21.72 | MELIUS 88 | H | 3 | C | 1 | N | 1 | 0 G 0 |
| CH2NO | 41.43 | 61.03 | 11.77 | 13.86 | 15.59 | 17.03 | 19.19 | 20.70 | 22.93 | MELIUS 88 | H | 2 | N | 1 | C | 10 | 1 G 0 |
| $\mathrm{N} * \mathrm{CHOH}$ | 13.85 | 62.91 | 12.44 | 14.57 | 16.23 | 17.50 | 19.25 | 20.39 | 22.40 | MELIUS 88 | H | 2 | N | 1 | C | 10 | 1 G 0 |
| CH2NN | 68.46 | 57.97 | 11.77 | 13.86 | 15.59 | 17.03 | 19.19 | 20.70 | 22.93 | MELIUS 88 | H | 2 | N | 2 | C | 1 | 0 G 0 |
| N2H4 | 22.79 | 57.04 | 12.26 | 14.72 | 16.77 | 18.49 | 21.14 | 23.08 | 26.23 | J12/65 | N | 2 | H | 4 |  | 0 | 0 G 1 |
| H2NNHO | 33.96 | 64.41 | 13.65 | 15.70 | 17.45 | 18.94 | 21.30 | 23.07 | 26.01 | m93/jwb96pm3 | N | 2 | H | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 G 1 |
| HNNNH2 | 54.08 | 60.68 | 12.58 | 14.84 | 16.81 | 18.51 | 21.26 | 23.32 | 26.51 | MOPAC/JWB | N | 3 | H | 3 |  | 0 | 0 G 1 |
| CH3N.H | 43.26 | 59.68 | 11.15 | 13.24 | 15.08 | 16.70 | 19.40 | 21.52 | 25.10 | THERM92 | H | 4 | C | 1 | N | 1 | 0 G 1 |
| C. H 2 NH 2 | 36.26 | 57.93 | 12.98 | 14.98 | 16.72 | 18.24 | 20.75 | 22.69 | 25.93 | THERM92 | H | 4 | C | 1 | N | 1 | 0 G 1 |
| CH3NO | 18.50 | 63.49 | 12.06 | 14.21 | 16.14 | 17.86 | 20.75 | 22.97 | 26.36 | MELIUS93 | H | 3 | N | 1 | C | 10 | 1 G 1 |
| CH3NH2 | -5.50 | 57.99 | 12.01 | 14.49 | 16.77 | 18.84 | 22.41 | 25.26 | 29.85 | SWS | H | 5 | C | 1 | N | 1 | 0 G 1 |
| NH2CH2O | 2.16 | 65.56 | 13.06 | 15.80 | 18.21 | 20.32 | 23.78 | 26.40 | 30.51 | 6/3/94 | C | 1 | H | 4 | 0 | 1 N | 1 G 1 |
| CH2*NNH2 | 45.46 | 63.11 | 13.82 | 16.69 | 19.27 | 21.58 | 25.42 | 28.31 | 32.34 | THERM/92 | H | 4 | N | 2 | C | 1 | 0 G 1 |
| CH3N*NH | 42.77 | 61.39 | 13.66 | 16.28 | 18.65 | 20.79 | 24.39 | 27.16 | 31.30 | THERM/92 | H | 4 | N | 2 | C | 1 | 0 G 1 |
| HNO3 | -32.10 | 63.67 | 12.84 | 15.11 | 16.93 | 18.36 | 20.37 | 21.63 | 23.37 | J 6/63 | H | 1 | N | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 3 | 0 G |

## APPENDIX G

## PRESSURE-DEPENDENT MECHANISM

Detailed pressure and temperature dependent elementary reaction mechanism for C1-C2 hydrocarbon with nitrogen chemistry.

```
!Master Eqn for Cheby with dates after 01/01/2001 all cheby prior are by chemdis-cheby
!
!**** Nov 6, }2001\mathrm{ Entry**********
The following subsystems were calc at 300-1500K 0.001-100atm 7x3 Cheby - CYS 11/02/01
CH3OH (current work 11/2001)
        HCCH to vinylidene (IJCK/2000/CS/JWB) (Chem phys lett laskin/wang)
        C2H2 + O2 (IJCK/2000/CS/JWB)
        CH3+CO (NIST 2001- jwl/jwb)
        CH3C.O+O2 (NIST 2001-jwl/jwb)
        C.CHO+O2 (NIST 2001- jwl/jwb)
        CC.+O2 (submit jpc 2001 cs/jwb/amd/ayc)
        C2H3+O2 (zeit phys. 2000 ayc/amd/jwb)
!Unified the species to be consistent with meohcys2.lst
!removed redundant specie nomeclature.
!*****End Nov 6,2001 entry*** CYS ****
!**** Nov 19, 2001 Entry********
! Recalculated the CH2OH+O2 system using Jeff's rate constants from his
!PhD dissertation using Cheby Master Equation with following conditions
    7\times3 Cheby, 250-2500K, 0.001-100 atm at 50\times50 grid.
!**** End Nov 19, 2001 Entry**** CYS ****
!
!**** Nov 20, }2001\mathrm{ Entry*********
!Add in reactions to form HCO2H. The 3 rxns of Held/Dryer are included in this
lmec file, but are commented out. Instead will use the series of reactions base
! on estimation from JWB.
!**** End Nov 20, 2001 Entry*** CYS *****
!
!**** Dec 14, 2001 Entry*********
The following subsystems were calc at 250-2500K 0.001-100atm 7x3 Cheby
        using a 50x50 matrix for Master Eqn. The following reaction sets supersedes any
        previously calculated reaction systems - CYS 12/13/01
                CH3+CO (NIST 2001- jwl/jwb)
                CH3C.O+O2 (NIST 2001-jwl/jwb)
                C.CHO+O2 (NIST 2001- jwl/jwb)
                C2H3+O2 (zeit phys. 2000 ayc/amd/jwb)
                CH3+O2 k(inf) using jeff's phd dissertation input.
                        Ea of CH2O+OH from Yu/Wang/Erenklach JPC }199
                CH2OH+O2 k(inf) using Jeff's phd dissertation input. Ea for CQ. H2OH => CH2OH+O2
                        and CQ.H2OH => CH2OHOO using Theodore Dibble (Chem Phys Lett submit
2001: value.
                HCO+O2 BASE ON RECENT CALCULATIONS BY Hsu/mebel/Lin 1996 JPC publication
                        USING AB INITIO AND VTST CALCULATIONS. - CYS 12/14/2001
                CH3+CH3 rate constants from AMD JPC(86). Ea for molec elim to c2h4+h2 from
                        Gordon/Truong/Popel Chem Phys Lett 86 A-factor Hidaka et al IJCK90
                COC.+O2 k(inf) from Tak Yamada's PhD dissertation.
                C.CHO+O2 (NIST 2001- jwl/jwb) using Master Eqn w/ 50x50 matrix.
                CH3+CO (NIST 2001- jwl/jwb) using Master Eqn with 50x50 matrix.
                CC.*O+O2 (NIST 2001- jwl/jwb) using Master Eqn w/ 50x50 matrix.
!Unified the species to be consistent with meohcys2.lst
!removed redundant specie nomeclature.
!*****End Dec 14,2001 entry*** CYS ****
!
!******Dec 21, 2001 Entry**********************************
        Add in the nitrogen mechanism of Dean/Bozzelli. Refer to Gardiner (Editor)
        book "Gas-phase Combustion Chemistry" for details.
        "Gas Phase Combustion Chemistry", Verlag-Springer 2000
        The nitrogen system is at the end of this hydrocarbon mechanism.
        In the nitrogen system, AMD/JWB used "CH3O." while in the C1-C2 system
        "CH3O" is used. The "CH3O." specie in nitrogen system is changed to
        "CH3O".
        Other species changed in nitrogen system.
        C.H2OH }=>\mathrm{ CH2OH
                                    - CYS 12/21/2001
!*****End Dec 21, 2001 Entry*********************************
```






CHEB/ 2.8742E-04 2.4861E-04/


LOW / $1.0 \begin{array}{lllllll} & 0.0 & 0.0 & / & & \\ \text { CHEB/ } 7 & 3 & -2.1521 E+00 & 2.3515 E+00 & -1.0373 E-01 & 6.7230 E+00 /\end{array}$
CHEB/ $1.8812 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 1.2943 \mathrm{E}-01-3.7538 \mathrm{E}-01-3.8917 \mathrm{E}-02-2.4401 \mathrm{E}-02 /$
CHEB/ -6.6129E-02 -7.7131E-03 -6.3515E-03 6.2980E-03 1.9514E-03/
CHEB/ $1.2115 \mathrm{E}-03 \quad 6.4857 \mathrm{E}-03 \quad 1.3587 \mathrm{E}-03 \quad 1.0405 \mathrm{E}-03-1.8611 \mathrm{E}-03 /$
CHEB/ 2.7897E-04 2.3868E-04/
HOC.HOO.T $(+\mathrm{M}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CO} 2+\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad 1.00 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad$.000 $\quad 0$. ING121 $10 / 95$
LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 /
CHEB/ $7 \quad 3 \quad 2.7856 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 2.0202 \mathrm{E}+00-2.2705 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 3.4407 \mathrm{E}+00 /$
CHEB/ 5.2878E-01 2.2434E-01-4.9591E-01-1.0532E-02 2.3468E-02/
CHEB/ -1.1532E-01 -4.2092E-02 -2.0364E-02 -8.3447E-03-1.1935E-02/
CHEB/ -9.6433E-03 4.5276E-03 2.2807E-03-4.5572E-05 -1.5180E-03/
CHEB/ 3.2669E-03 1.8814E-03/
$0 . \mathrm{HC} .00 \mathrm{HT}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{HCO}+\mathrm{HO} 2(+\mathrm{M}) \quad 1.00 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad .000 \quad 0 . \quad$ ING121 $10 / 95$
LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 /
CHEB/ 7 3 6.0115E $+00 \quad 2.4367 \mathrm{E}+00-4.4686 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 1.8625 \mathrm{E}+00 /$
CHEB/ 8.1362E-02 5.6689E-02 -1.5311E-01 -2.0381E-02 -1.3303E-02/
CHEB/ -5.1438E-03-8.8841E-04 -1.0796E-03 -6.7028E-03 1.5635E-03/
CHEB/ 1.1257E-03-9.1339E-03 1.0021E-04 1.1286E-04-5.0691E-03/
CHEB/ -1.6477E-04 -1.2066E-04/
$0 . \mathrm{HC} . \mathrm{OOHT}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CO} 2+\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad 1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$.000 $\quad \Leftrightarrow$ ING121 10/95
LOW / $1.00 .00 .0 /$
CHEB/ $73 \quad 4.9603 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 2.3986 \mathrm{E}+00-6.0571 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 8.3622 \mathrm{E}-01 /$
CHEB/ 8.3202E-02 4.1942E-02 -1.6474E-01 3.9956E-02 3.3133E-02/
CHEB/ -5.4621E-02 -2.1324E-02 -1.2735E-02 -3.3022E-02 -9.8959E-03/
CHEB/ -8.7100E-03-1.5086E-02 4.1572E-03 2.6470E-03-6.4273E-03/
CHEB/ 2.6020E-03 2.2528E-03/
$\mathrm{HOCHO} . \mathrm{O}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{HCO}+\mathrm{HO} 2(+\mathrm{M}) \quad 1.00 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad .000 \quad 0 . \quad$ ING121 $10 / 95$
LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 /
CHEB/ $7 \quad 3 \quad-1.2500 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 2.3612 \mathrm{E}+00-9.7133 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 1.4780 \mathrm{E}+01 /$
CHEB/ $1.8024 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 1.2441 \mathrm{E}-01-8.8125 \mathrm{E}-02-4.0654 \mathrm{E}-02-2.5884 \mathrm{E}-02 /$
CHEB/ -5.0792E-02 -6.8133E-03-5.7570E-03-2.8858E-02 2.1948E-03/
CHEB/ 1.4212E-03-1.5079E-02 1.2623E-03 9.8156E-04-7.0613E-03/
CHEB/ $1.8265 \mathrm{E}-041.6573 \mathrm{E}-04 /$
$\mathrm{HOCHO} . \mathrm{O} .(+\mathrm{M}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CO} 2+\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad$ 1.00E+00 .000 $\quad$. ING121 10/95
LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 /
CHEB/ $7 \quad 3 \quad 5.4464 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 2.4974 \mathrm{E}+00-1.9593 \mathrm{E}-03 \quad 1.4572 \mathrm{E}+00 /$
CHEB/ 2.8658E-03 2.1535E-03 -8.1607E-02 -5.9869E-04 -4.4854E-04/
CHEB/ - $8.2366 \mathrm{E}-03 \quad 3.1303 \mathrm{E}-05 \quad 2.3178 \mathrm{E}-05-5.2053 \mathrm{E}-03 \quad 1.8335 \mathrm{E}-05 /$
CHEB/ 1.3961E-05-4.8180E-03 2.7942E-06 2.1542E-06-3.1329E-03/
CHEB/ 5.6376E-07 4.9922E-07/
$\mathrm{CH} 3+\mathrm{O} \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H} \quad 1.34 \mathrm{E}+14 \quad-0.08 \quad$ 79. !CHEM221

! ************Modified CH3OH subsystem base on CBS-APNO for thermo and CTST ! ************and VTST for hp kinetic. - CYS 11/2001
$\begin{array}{llllll}!* * * * * * * * * * * * * \text { Begin } \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{OH} \text { block******************************************** } \\ \mathrm{CH} 3+\mathrm{OH}(+\mathrm{M}) & \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{H}(+\mathrm{M}) & 1.00 \mathrm{E}+00 & 0.000 & 0 . & \text { ME } 7 \times 3 \text { 300- }\end{array}$
1500k, le-3-100atm 10/01
LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 /
CHEB/ $7 \quad 3 \quad 1.1555 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 1.7001 \mathrm{E}+00-5.4287 \mathrm{E}-01-1.1926 \mathrm{E}+00 /$
CHEB/ 1.1049E+00-6.8443E-02 -4.0119E-01 2.1047E-01 $5.9666 \mathrm{E}-02 /$
CHEB/ -1.6227E-01 1.0455E-02 1.8481E-02 -5.6551E-02 -1.2394E-02/
CHEB/ -1.7001E-04-1.7310E-02 -5.7620E-03 -1.8340E-03 -4.8958E-03/
CHEB/ -9.4940E-04 -3.8047E-04/
$\mathrm{CH} 3+\mathrm{OH}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H} 2(+\mathrm{M}) \quad 1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ 0.000 0. ! ME 7x3 300-
$1500 \mathrm{~K}, 1 \mathrm{e}-3-100 \mathrm{~atm} 10 / 01$
LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 /
CHEB/ 7 3 $3.7349 \mathrm{E}+00-8.9596 \mathrm{E}-01$-2.6375E-01 6.0189E-01/
CHEB/ 9.3224E-01 2.0259E-01 6.3523E-02 4.4087E-02 $\quad 8.6689 \mathrm{E}-02 /$
CHEB/ -3.1875E-02-7.2611E-02 -1.6255E-02 -8.6601E-03 -2.6554E-02/
CHEB/ -1.7470E-02 3.2989E-03 2.5110E-04 -3.1876E-03 2.6929E-03/
CHEB/ 2.9614E-03 1.0370E-03/
$\mathrm{CH} 3+\mathrm{OH}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH} 30+\mathrm{H}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad 1.00 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.000 \quad 0.1$ ME 7×3 300-
1500K, le-3-100atm 10/01
LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 /
CHEB/ 7 3 6.0822E+00 $-2.4590 \mathrm{E}-01$-1.6150E-01 $3.2960 \mathrm{E}+00 /$
CHEB/ 3.2046E-01 2.0064E-01 1.1781E-01-5.4873E-02-1.9862E-02/
CHEB/ 2.1332E-02-2.4977E-02-2.1573E-02 1.0085E-02 7.8817E-04/
CHEB/ $-1.5147 \mathrm{E}-03 \quad 5.2366 \mathrm{E}-03 \quad 3.8265 \mathrm{E}-03 \quad 2.9030 \mathrm{E}-03 \quad 1.8056 \mathrm{E}-03 /$
CHEB/ 1.1992E-03 1.2219E-03/
$\mathrm{CH} 3+\mathrm{OH}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad 1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ 0.000 0. ! ME 7 3 300-
1500K, 1e-3-100atm 10/01
LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 /
CHEB/ 7 3 $3.8123 \mathrm{E}+00-7.7455 \mathrm{E}-01-2.7255 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 1.3375 \mathrm{E}+00 /$
CHEB/ 8.3647E-01 2.3621E-01 $1.4004 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 1.5334 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 7.0755 \mathrm{E}-02 /$
CHEB/ -7.4970E-04 -6.7888E-02 -2.3240E-02 1.5411E-03 -2.2505E-02/
CHEB/ -1.6954E-02 $5.6774 \mathrm{E}-03 \quad 1.1975 \mathrm{E}-03-2.1341 \mathrm{E}-03 \quad 3.1651 \mathrm{E}-03 /$
CHEB/ 3.1628E-03 1.4578E-03/
$\mathrm{CH} 3+\mathrm{OH}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad 1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ 0.000 $\quad 0.1$ ME $7 \times 3$ 300-
1500K, 1e-3-100atm 10/01
LOW / $1.00 .00 .0 /$

```
    CHEB/ 7 3 1.1227E+01 -6.5284E-01 -2.7599E-01 1.2994E+00/
    CHEB/ 7.2771E-01 2.6032E-01 7.0072E-02 -9.1374E-03 5.2472E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.7657E-02 -5.9098E-02 -2.6947E-02 -2.9554E-03 -1.6500E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.4829E-02 3.9496E-03 1.9330E-03-1.0531E-03 2.6063E-03/
    CHEB/ 2.7147E-03 1.5761E-03/
CH3+OH (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 1. % OCOH + H2 (+M) ! ME 7\times3 300-
1500K, 1e-3-100atm 10/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 1.0696E+01 -9.2547E-01 -2.9874E-01 3.5976E-01/
    CHEB/ 9.0940E-01 1.9159E-01 -6.8519E-03 7.2461E-02 1.0564E-01/
    CHEB/ -5.1443E-02 -5.4452E-02 -2.6759E-03 -1.7167E-02 -2.6764E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.5670E-02 1.2696E-04 -3.3706E-03 -5.0721E-03 2.3685E-03/
    CHEB/ 1.6871E-03 4.5613E-05/
!************End CH3OH block -CYS 11/2001***********************************************
```

$!* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * ~$
$!* * * * * * * * * * * * M o d i f i e d ~ C H 3+C H 3 ~ s y s t e m ~ b a s e ~ o n ~ A M D ~ e s t i m a t e s ~(J P C ~ 86) ~ a n d ~ a l s o ~ f r o m ~$
$!+* * * * * * * * * * *$ Gordon/Truong/Pople for Ea of molec elim and Afactor from Hidaka IJCK90.
!************ - CYS 11/2001

$\mathrm{CH} 3+\mathrm{CH} 3(+\mathrm{M}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 6(+\mathrm{M}) \quad 1.00 \mathrm{E}+000.000 \quad 12 / 01$
LOW / $1.00 .00 .0 /$
CHEB/ 7 3 1.2812E+01 6.1030E-01 -1.2037E-01 -1.2080E+00/
CHEB/ 8.4880E-01-6.8703E-02-8.0773E-01 4.0524E-01 4.5733E-02/
CHEB/ -4.7927E-01 1.3469E-01 5.4921E-02 -2.6050E-01 1.9629E-02/
CHEB/ $2.6644 \mathrm{E}-02-1.2994 \mathrm{E}-01-1.2832 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 4.3658 \mathrm{E}-03-5.8494 \mathrm{E}-02 /$
CHEB/ -1.3783E-02 -4.0510E-03/
$\mathrm{CH} 3+\mathrm{CH} 3(+\mathrm{M}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 5+\mathrm{H}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad 1.00 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.000 \quad 12 / 01$
LOW / $1.00 .00 .0 /$
CHEB/ $7 \quad 3 \quad 7.9776 \mathrm{E}+00-9.5623 \mathrm{E}-01-3.0430 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 3.9988 \mathrm{E}+00 /$
CHEB/ 9.0997E-01 2.1975E-01 9.6699E-02 1.8289E-01 1.2466E-01/
CHEB/ -4.5716E-02 -5.0635E-02 8.6833E-03 -4.6767E-02 -6.8091E-02/
CHEB/ -2.9140E-02-1.9349E-02 -2.9803E-02 -2.1178E-02 -2.1257E-03/
CHEB/ -1.9196E-03 -5.6993E-03/
$\mathrm{CH} 3+\mathrm{CH} 3(+\mathrm{M}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 4+\mathrm{H} 2(+\mathrm{M}) \quad 1.00 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.000 \quad 0.12 / 01$
LOW / $1.00 .00 .0 /$
CHEB/ $733 \quad 3.9443 \mathrm{E}+00-6.9600 \mathrm{E}-01-3.0486 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 5.5662 \mathrm{E}+00 /$
CHEB/ 6.9483E-01 2.6278E-01 4.9979E-02 1.0588E-01 9.0336E-02/
CHEB/ - $4.0140 \mathrm{E}-02-4.9690 \mathrm{E}-02-1.0392 \mathrm{E}-02-3.4912 \mathrm{E}-02-4.9025 \mathrm{E}-02 /$
CHEB/ -2.8180E-02 -1.4060E-02 -1.7297E-02 -1.4501E-02 -2.4057E-03/
CHEB/ $1.5507 \mathrm{E}-03-1.5874 \mathrm{E}-03 /$
$\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 6(+\mathrm{M}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 5+\mathrm{H}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad 1.00 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.000 \quad 10.112101$
LOW / $1.00 .00 .0 /$
CHEB/ $7 \quad 3 \quad-3.3198 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 2.7431 \mathrm{E}+00-9.8574 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 3.6456 \mathrm{E}+01 /$
CHEB/ $1.4196 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 6.6237 \mathrm{E}-02-9.9158 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 3.9904 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 1.6180 \mathrm{E}-01 /$
CHEB/ -5.3354E-01 9.2982E-02 7.3714E-02 -2.7457E-01 4.3014E-03/
CHEB/ $1.9808 \mathrm{E}-02-1.3086 \mathrm{E}-01-1.1619 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 5.0296 \mathrm{E}-04-5.6413 \mathrm{E}-02 /$
CHEB/ -8.1261E-03-2.8483E-03/
$\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 6(+\mathrm{M}) \quad<=>\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 4+\mathrm{H} 2(+\mathrm{M}) \quad 1.00 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.000 \quad 0.12 / 01$
LOW / $1.00 .00 .0 /$
CHEB/ $7 \quad 3 \quad-3.8711 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 4.3106 \mathrm{E}+00-1.3129 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 3.7901 \mathrm{E}+01 /$
CHEB/ 1.4129E $+003.4142 \mathrm{E}-01-1.0836 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 3.1620 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 2.1522 \mathrm{E}-01 /$
CHEB/ -5.6427E-01 5.4066E-02 7.2552E-02 -2.8286E-01 -7.5146E-03/
CHEB/ $1.5262 \mathrm{E}-02-1.3178 \mathrm{E}-01-1.2576 \mathrm{E}-02-1.7361 \mathrm{E}-04-5.5863 \mathrm{E}-02 /$
CHEB/ - $6.6333 \mathrm{E}-03-1.6851 \mathrm{E}-03 /$



| CHEB/ -6.7729E-01 | 1.6105E-01 6.2820E-02 | -3.5610E-01 | 2.4959E-03/ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEB/ 3.2070E-02 | -1.6871E-01-3.3499E-02 | 4.5106E-03 | -7.0487E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -2.5548E-02-5.2867E-03/ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CH} 3(+\mathrm{M})$ ( | $\Leftrightarrow$ COC $+\mathrm{H}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 000 0. | ! ING131 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0/ |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $4.4340 \mathrm{E}+00-7.7128 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -2.8202E-01 | $4.9374 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 8.2139E-01 | $2.5007 \mathrm{E}-01-2.0666 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.1549 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $8.9885 \mathrm{E}-02 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.7759E-01 | -8.5995E-02-2.1477E-02 | -8.9828E-02 | -5.5854E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -3.4125E-02 | -3.1076E-02-8.6274E-03 | -1.2427E-02 | -7.4614E-03/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 9.4737E-03 | $2.6644 \mathrm{E}-03 /$ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH} 30+\mathrm{CH} 3(+\mathrm{M})$ ( | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{OH}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 000 0. | ! ING131 | 10/95 |
| LOW / $1.00 .00 .0 /$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $5.5054 \mathrm{E}+00-7.7401 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -2.8258E-01 | $4.9065 \mathrm{E}+001$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 8.2208E-01 | 2.4960E-01-2.1453E-01 | 8.3935E-02 | $9.0785 \mathrm{E}-02 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.7833E-01 | -8.5071E-02-2.0849E-02 | -8.9554E-02 | -5.6577E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -3.4248E-02 | -3.1159E-02-9.3621E-03 | -1.2772E-02 | -7.5350E-03/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 9.3834E-03 | 2.5130E-03/ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}(+\mathrm{M})$ ( | $\Leftrightarrow$ COCO. ( +M ) | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 000 . | ! ING171 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 / |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $4.8507 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 2.4880 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -8.9090E-03 | $1.0885 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 8.4556E-03 | $6.3254 \mathrm{E}-03-3.8968 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.2288E-03 | 3.1392E-03/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -4.9090E-03 | -4.9612E-04-3.7005E-04 | 8,4576E-02 | -8.9232E-04/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -6.6124E-04 | $6.0023 \mathrm{E}-02-1.4535 \mathrm{E}-04$ | -1.0935E-04 | $2.1901 \mathrm{E}-02 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 1.8052E-04 | 1.3041E-04/ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}(+\mathrm{M})$ ( | $\Leftrightarrow$ coc. + O ( +M ) | $1.00 E+00$ | .000 . | ING171 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 / |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | -2.4111E+01-9.9228E-06 | -7.6427E-06 | $2.9025 \mathrm{E}+01 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -6.2432E-06 | -4.3240E-06 2.9663E-01 | -4.6701E-06 | -3.5965E-06/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 9.0999E-02 | -4.5005E-06-3.0985E-06 | $3.1464 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -3.6235E-06/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -2.7602E-06 | 1.1995E-02-2.1099E-06 | -1.6468E-06 | $4.8342 \mathrm{E}-03 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.1241E-06 | -8.9420E-07/ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH} 30+\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}(+\mathrm{M})$ ( | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{OCOH}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 000 0. | ! ING171 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 / |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $3.7598 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 1.3138 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -2.8299E-01 | $2.3923 \mathrm{E}+001$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ $1.0212 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.2356 \mathrm{E}-01-2.0388 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.3200 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.2998E-01/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -5.2722E-02 | -3.2222E-02 3.3932E-02 | 3.5542E-02 | -6.0108E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.3784E-02 | 4.5057E-02-2.6695E-02 | $-1.7156 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.4618 \mathrm{E}-02 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -5.3400E-04 | -6.4646E-03/ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OH}(+\mathrm{M})$ ( | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 000 . | ! ING092 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 / |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $-2.7083 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 1.8020 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -3.0931E-01 | $9.9291 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 5.9467E-01 | $2.1337 \mathrm{E}-01-6.2201 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.0554 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.9454 \mathrm{E}-02 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -2.7808E-01 | -3.9170E-03 1.0325E-02 | -1.1301E-01 | -1.6324E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -6.6713E-03 | -3.9890E-02-9.5562E-03 | $-5.9374 \mathrm{E}-03$ | -1.0784E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -3.5702E-03 | -2.7335E-03/ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OH}(+\mathrm{M})$ ( | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{O}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 000 . | ! ING092 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 / |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $-5.2526 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 2.1026 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -2.2272E-01 | $1.1233 \mathrm{E}+01 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 3.9362E-01 | $2.0200 \mathrm{E}-01-5.8249 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.3501 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 3.6550E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -2.4821E-01 | $-1.7730 \mathrm{E}-02-7.0233 \mathrm{E}-03$ | -9.4377E-02 | -1.3182E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -8.9032E-03 | $-3.0028 \mathrm{E}-02-4.8613 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $-3.9840 E-03$ | -6.3238E-03/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -7.8881E-04-9.0552E-04/ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H} 2$ | $6.03 E+12$ | 0.0 0. | $!87$ TSANG |  |
| $\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{O}$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{OH}$ | $4.22 \mathrm{E}+13$ | 0.0 0. | 187 TSANG |  |
| $\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{OH}$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}$ | 2.41E+13 | 0.0 0. | $!87$ TSANG |  |
| $\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{HCO}$ | $5.49 \mathrm{E}+03$ | 2.85872. | ! 87 TSANG |  |
| $\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{CH} 30-$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}$ | $2.41 \mathrm{E}+13$ | 0.0 0. | 187 TSANG |  |
| $\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OH}$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}$ | $4.82 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 0.0 0. | 187 TSANG |  |
| $\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{OH}$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{O}$ | $7.83 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 0.012062 . | $!87$ TSANG |  |
| $\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{CH} 3+$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CH} 4$ | 2.41E+12 | 0.00 0. | 187 TSANG |  |
| $\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{CH} 3$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CCOH}$ | 1.21E+13 | 0.0 0. | $!87$ TSANG |  |

```
!**************************************************************************
!************Modified CH2OH+O2 using Jeff's (PhD) input with except to two Ea. See
!************notation at beginning for references. - CYS 12/14/2001
!************ Begin CH2OH+O2 block***********************************************
!CH2OH O2 = CH2O + HO2 2.41E+14 0.0 5000. INORTON
CH2OH+O2 (+M) < CQ.H2OH (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0/
    CHEB/7 3 6.5159E+00 6.6291E+00 -1.0657E+00 -1.5692E+00/
    CHEB/ 2.5066E-01 -4.9372E-02 -1.0401E+00 -3.5094E-02 7.5189E-02/
```

```
    CHEB/ -6.8247E-01 -1.6275E-01 4.7213E-02 -3.3797E-01 -1.6483E-01/
    CHEB/ -2.9514E-02 -1.3761E-01 -8.9426E-02 -3.0612E-02 -4.4245E-02/
    CHEB/ -2.9660E-02 -1.9429E-02/
CH2OH+O2 (+M) < CO.H2OH+O (+M)
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 1.9894E+00 -3.7791E-02 -2.8921E-02 9.2142E+00/
    CHEB/ -1.6975E-02 -1.1981E-02 1.6451E-01 2.0371E-02 1.4964E-02/
    CHEB/ 4.6049E-02 2.7453E-02 2.0533E-02 -2.3720E-03 1.2253E-02/
    CHEB/ 9.4430E-03-1.4277E-02 -5.0824E-04 -1.2278E-04 -1.2381E-02/
    CHEB/ -4.2202E-03 -3.1450E-03/
CH2OH+O2 (+M) < CH2OHOO (+M)
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/7 3 5.8810E+00 7.0222E+00 -9.9401E-01 -1.0119E+00/
    CHEB/ -1.2196E-01 -5.0533E-02 -7.4535E-01 -1.8798E-01 -6.3899E-02/
    CHEB/ -4.7967E-01 -8.1853E-02 7.0953E-02 -2.5390E-01 -1.0519E-01/
    CHEB/ 1.6904E-02 -1.2111E-01 -9.0999E-02 -2.6360E-02 -4.1178E-02/
    CHEB/ -4.4421E-02 -2.9809E-02/
CH2OH+O2 (+M) < < CH2O+HO2 (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 1.2140E+01 -4.0040E-01 -2.7758E-01 5.4824E-02/
    CHEB/ 3.8577E-01 2.6187E-01 -7.2838E-02 6.1294E-02 4.3000E-02/
    CHEB/ -4.5505E-02 2.0377E-02 1.4637E-02 -3.5462E-02 -1.5968E-02/
    CHEB/ -6.4225E-03 -2.6294E-02 -2.9620E-02 -1.8845E-02 -1.3844E-02/
    CHEB/ -2.0697E-02 -1.5456E-02/
    DUPLICATE
CH2OH+O2 (+M) < CQH2O. (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0/
    CHEB/ 7 3 -8.5302E-01 5.9600E+00-3.9786E-01 -1.5515E+00/
    CHEB/ 5.1348E-01 -3.5048E-01 -7.2870E-01 7.1739E-02 -2.6924E-02/
    CHEB/ -4.6316E-01 -1.0513E-01 2.2181E-02 -2.7130E-01 -1.4707E-01/
    CHEB/ -3.9769E-02 -1.3860E-01 -9.2116E-02 -3.2691E-02 -5.3544E-02/
    CHEB/ -3.0333E-02 -1.3580E-02/
CH2OH+O2 (+M) < CH2O+HO2 (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0/
    CHEB/ 7 3 1.0941E+01 -2.6409E-01 -1.6930E-01 6.3915E-01/
    CHEB/ 2.1539E-01 1.3366E-01 1.8930E-01 5.1616E-02 3.3914E-02/
    CHEB/ 2.0367E-02 5.2306E-02 3.4838E-02 -2.5916E-02 -2.8901E-03/
    CHEB/ 3.1899E-03 -2.8202E-02 -2.9192E-02 -1.8051E-02 -1.7487E-02/
    CHEB/ -2.3014E-02 -1.6931E-02/
    DUPLICATE
CH2OH+O2 (+M) < 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 -1.1600E+01 2.1959E+00-1.7504E-01 2.0845E+00/
    CHEB/ 2.2063E-01 1.2218E-01 3.9902E-01 2.9663E-02 1.7529E-02/
    CHEB/ 8.0082E-02 5.8337E-02 3.3284E-02 8.3114E-04 1.4709E-02/
    CHEB/ 1.5617E-02 -6.7340E-02 -1.0708E-02 -4.6772E-03 -1.2216E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.3917E-02 -1.0905E-02/
CH2OH+O2 (+M) <=> HCQ*O+H (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0/
    CHEB/ 7 3 5.7931E+00 -2.8632E-01 -1.6909E-01 3.2456E+00/
    CHEE/ 2.3318E-01 1.3144E-01 8.0451E-01 8.6707E-03 5.6280E-03/
    CHEB/ 2.0964E-01 4.5834E-02 2.8199E-02 3.0097E-02 1.4214E-02/
    CHEB/ 1.4627E-02 -8.2022E-03 -9.7595E-03 -4.5530E-03 -9.8945E-03/
    CHEB/ -1.0873E-02 -8.2265E-03/
```



```
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0)
    CHEB/ 7 3 8.4981E+00 -3.1337E-01 -1.8090E-01 2.3380E+00/
    CHEB/ 2.3756E-01 1.3282E-01 4.8516E-01 2.4031E-02 1.3213E-02/
    CHEB/ 1.1716E-01 5.5672E-02 3.2873E-02 5.8136E-03 1.6895E-02/
    CHEB/ 1.6467E-02 -1.6154E-02 -1.2093E-02 -5.5124E-03 -1.3287E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.4771E-02 -1.0713E-02/
CQ.H2OH (+M) < CO.H2OH+O (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 1.3.4786E+01 1.0755E+01 2.3602E+00 1.4955E+01/
    CHEB/ -5.7890E+00 -4.4319E-01 -1.9270E+00 -1.9559E+00 -1.3077E+00/
    CHEB/ -2.5688E-01 -1.3508E-01 -3.2942E-01 5.3855E-02 1.7528E-01/
    CHEB/ 5.9633E-02 2.5098E-02 8.7536E-02 6.2540E-02 -1.3799E-02/
    CHEB/ 6.6578E-03 1.0127E-02/
CQ.H2OH (+M) < CH2OHOO (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/7 3 4.3071E+00 1.4550E+00 -2.0928E-01 4.1963E+00/
```

```
    CHEB/ 1.0982E+00 1.4190E-01 -5.8785E-01 5.2153E-02 1.0683E-01/
    CHEB/ -1.6336E-01 -9.8396E-02 -1.8957E-02 -1.8509E-02 -4.0650E-02/
    CHEB/ -3.1281E-02 2.9593E-03 -7.7174E-03 -1.2237E-02 -4.7036E-03/
    CHEB/ -6.9583E-03 -5.6122E-03/
CQ.H2OH (+M) < CQH2O. (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/7 3 -4.3888E+00 4.1841E+00-1.6133E-01 5.0954E+00/
    CHEB/ -2.5410E-03 9.5819E-01 -1.1992E+00 -7.1132E-01 -9.1188E-02/
    CHEB/ - 3.6406E-01 -3.2564E-01 -2.2404E-01 -8.5437E-02 -8.8366E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.0554E-01 -2.3886E-02 -1.7269E-02 -3.3912E-02 -1.8133E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.1118E-02 -1.4362E-02/
CQ.H2OH (+M) < 1.00E+00 0.000 HOC.HQ (+M) 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 -1.7615E+01 7.6096E+00 8.2532E-01 9.0745E+00/
    CHEB/ -2.7345E+00 7.8295E-01 -1.5467E+00 -1.5155E+00 -8.1603E-01/
    CHEB/ -3.3184E-01 -3.3552E-01 -3.8071E-01 -1.9638E-02 2.0840E-02/
    CHEB/ -5.7358E-02 9.8189E-03 4.6562E-02 1.6905E-02 -6.6219E-03/
    CHEB/ 1.2402E-02 9.0908E-03/
CH2OHOO (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 CH2O+HO2 (+M) 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 3.9951E+00 2.0415E+00 -2.2189E-01 1.8418E+00/
    CHEB/ 5.2577E-01 2.6431E-01 -9.6479E-01 1.1180E-01 9.3824E-02/
    CHEB/ -2.2851E-01 2.7880E-02 3.4678E-02 -3.3366E-02 3.5637E-02/
    CHEB/ 2.8791E-02 4.9554E-03 3.8169E-02 2.8470E-02 7.8619E-03/
    CHEB/ 3.1739E-02 2.3377E-02/
CQH2O. (+M) < CH2O+HO2 (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 5.1070E+00 2.4955E+00 -3.3879E-03 3.7784E+00/
    CHEB/ 4.3280E-03 3.2422E-03 -6.3808E-01 -4.2442E-04 -3.1527E-04/
    CHEE/ -1.4659E-01 -2.2255E-05-1.7183E-05-1.0036E-02 1.5257E-06/
    CHEB/ 1.1815E-06 9.8117E-03 1.3847E-06 1.0233E-06 3.6?10E-03/
    CHEB/ 1.0962E-06 8.3946E-07/
HOC.HQ (+M) <=> HCQ*O+H (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 -1.1446E+01 2.5908E+00 6.8004E-02 7.4770E+00/
    CHEB/ -7.5135E-02 -5.6055E-02 -1.5618E-01 3.4370E-03 2.4539E-03/
    CHEB/ -6.7442E-03 -1.8552E-05 -7.9988E-06 -2.7624E-03 -7.7570E-05/
    CHEB/ -5.8392E-05-3.9824E-03-4.0890E-05 -3.0355E-05 -2.1512E-03/
    CHEE/ -3.2908E-05 -2.4663E-05
HOC.HQ (+M) < HCO2H+OH (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0/
    CHEB/7 3 7.9314E+00 2.4968E+00 -2.4196E-03 8.5104E-01/
    CHEB/ 3.3141E-03 2.4857E-03 -2.4213E-01 -3.9716E-04 -2.9603E-04/
    CHEB/ -2.0998E-02 -2.4273E-05 -1.8475E-05 -4.8441E-03 -6.5957E-07/
    CHEB/ -5.1488E-07 -4.3042E-03 2.7305E-07 1.5470E-07 -2.2011E-03/
    CHEB/ 5.9364E-07 4.1286E-07/
|************END CH2OH+O2 BLOCK**********************************************************
```


$1 * * * * * * * * * * * * t * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *$
$\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{O}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad 1.0 \mathrm{COCOH}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad 1.00 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad$. 000 . ING151 $10 / 95$
LOW / $1.00 .00 .0 /$
CHEB/ $731.2765 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 3.1585 \mathrm{E}-01-5.3598 \mathrm{E}-02-5.8913 \mathrm{E}-01 /$
CHEB/ 5.7115E-01-8.6727E-02 -4.7133E-01 4.2284E-01 -4.1197E-02/
CHEB/ - $3.2940 \mathrm{E}-01$ 2.5509E-01 2.4025E-04-2.0398E-01 1.2181E-01/
CHEB/ 2.0563E-02-1.1346E-01 4.0965E-02 2.1313E-02-5.7199E-02/

| CHEB/ 3.5239E-03 1.3004E-02/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{O}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{COC} .+\mathrm{OH}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 0000 | 0. ! | ING151 | 10/95 |
| LOW / $1.00 .00 .0 /$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $8.4362 \mathrm{E}+00-1.8074 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -9.7130E-02 | $4.1392 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 1.1160E+00 | -1.0038E-01 5.1088E-01 | $5.9278 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.7423E-021 |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ -2.9236E-02 | $1.7853 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 8.6223 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -9.0916E-02 | -1.4344E-02/ |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 5.7277E-02 | -5.9203E-02 -5.5903E-02 | $1.4795 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -2.6655E-02/ |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ -4.0148E-02-7.7983E-03/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{O}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $\Leftrightarrow$ COCO. + H ( +M ) | 1.00E+00 | . 0000 | 0. ! | ING151 | 10/95 |
| LOW / $1.00 .00 .0 /$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $5.0405 \mathrm{E}+00-1.6906 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -1.3637E-01 | $5.9746 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 1.1660E+00 | -7.9029E-02 3.6138E-01 | 5.1796E-01 | $8.7344 \mathrm{E}-021$ |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 8.1062E-03 | $1.1445 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 9.7251 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -7.5612E-02 | -3.5707E-02/ |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 4.2447E-02 | $-5.0719 \mathrm{E}-02-5.5418 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $6.1221 \mathrm{E}-04$ | -1.8706E-02/ |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ - $3.4559 \mathrm{E}-02-1.3994 \mathrm{E}-02 /$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{O}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{COC} . \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}(+\mathrm{M})$ | 1.00E+00 | . 0000 | 0. ! | ING151 | 10/95 |
| Low / 1.00 .00 .0 / |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $8.1247 \mathrm{E}+00-1.8315 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -9.0990E-02 | $3.7136 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 1.0962E+00 | -1.0180E-01 5.3473E-01 | $6.0448 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.7028E-021 |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ -3.6833E-02 | $1.9585 \mathrm{E}-018.0984 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -9.6025E-02 | -5.3785E-03/ |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 5.8977E-02 | $-6.2474 \mathrm{E}-02-5.4119 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.8236 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -2.8035E-02/ |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ -4.1083E-02-5.7476E-03/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{OCOH}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 000 |  | ING181 | 10/95 |
| Low / 1.0 0.0 0.0 / |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $6.8994 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 1.1028 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -2.0010E-01 | $9.1185 \mathrm{E}-01 /$ |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 1.1577E +00 | $2.3886 \mathrm{E}-02-6.6539 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.1431 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.2276E-01/ |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ -2.0079E-01 | -1.7867E-02 5.2954E-02 | -9.5693E-03 | -6.9152E-02/ |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ -4.4478E-03 | 3.5394E-02 -3.6961E-02 | -1.7204E-02 | 2.5521E-021 |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ -5.9296E-03-9.3026E-03/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}(+\mathrm{M})$ | < ${ }^{\text {c }}$ COCO. ( +M ) | 1.00E+00 | . 0000 |  | ING181 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 / |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | -1.7013E-01 1.3674E+00 | -2.8718E-01 | $2.7185 \mathrm{E}+001$ |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ $1.0031 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.4815 \mathrm{E}-01-3.9961 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.2583E-01 | 1.3106E-01/ |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ -9.7280E-02 | -3.8185E-02 $2.8726 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.7643 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -6.5749E-02/ |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.8485E-02 | $3.3334 \mathrm{E}-02-2.9647 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -1.9569E-02 | 1.7779E-02/ |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.2999E-03-7.0409E-03/ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}(+\mathrm{M})$ | 1.00E+00 | . 0000 | 0. ! | ING181 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.0 0.0 $0.0 /$ / ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 1.0033E+00 | $1.8913 \mathrm{E}-01-1.4265 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.0708 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 1.1757E-01/ |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.4623E-01 | -9.4929E-02 -4.5817E-03 | -7.0153E-02 | -6.1151E-02/ |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ -3.3393E-02 | $-1.9392 \mathrm{E}-02-9.5076 \mathrm{E}-03$ | -1.5869E-02 | -8.9030E-04/ |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ $1.0714 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.0726 \mathrm{E}-031$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $\Leftrightarrow$ Coc. + O (+M) | 1.00E+00 | . 0000 | 0. ! | ING181 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 / |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | -2.7707E+01-7.1296E-02 | -5.0802E-02 | $2.9566 \mathrm{E}+01 /$ |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 8.7206E-02 | $6.1354 \mathrm{E}-02$ 4.1510E-02 | -1.2480E-02 | -7.7945E-03/ |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ -4.1169E-03 | $-6.7633 \mathrm{E}-03-5.1554 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $-6.5663 E-03$ | -7.3466E-04/ |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ -6.6434E-04 | -2.3978E-03 8.2975E-04 | $6.0861 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $3.0678 \mathrm{E}-04 /$ |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 5.5392E-04 | 4.2834E-04/ |  |  |  |  |  | LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 /

$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { CHEB/ } 7 & 3 & 8.4362 E+00 & -1.8074 E+00 & -9.7130 E-02 & 4.1392 E+00 /\end{array}$ 1.7853E-01 $8.6223 \mathrm{E}-02-9.0916 \mathrm{E}-02-1.4344 \mathrm{E}-021$ CHEB/ $\quad 5.7277 \mathrm{E}-02-5.9203 \mathrm{E}-02-5.5903 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 1.4795 \mathrm{E}-02-2.6655 \mathrm{E}-02 /$ CHEB/ - $4.0148 \mathrm{E}-02-7.7983 \mathrm{E}-03 /$

CHEB/ 1.1660E+00-7.9029E-02 $3.6138 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 5.1796 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 8.7344 \mathrm{E}-02 /$
$8.1062 \mathrm{E}-03$ 1.1445E-01
CHEB/ $4.2447 \mathrm{E}-02-5.0719 \mathrm{E}-02-5.5418 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 6.1221 \mathrm{E}-04-1.8706 \mathrm{E}-02 /$
CHEB/ -3.4559E-02 -1.3994E-02/
LOW / 1.00 .00 .0
CHEB/ $7 \quad 3 \quad 8.1247 \mathrm{E}+00-1.8315 \mathrm{E}+00-9.0990 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 3.7136 \mathrm{E}+00 /$
CHEB/ $-3.6833 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 1.9585 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 8.0984 \mathrm{E}-02-9.6025 \mathrm{E}-02-5.3785 \mathrm{E}-03$ /
CHEB/ $5.8977 \mathrm{E}-02-6.2474 \mathrm{E}-02-5.4119 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 1.8236 \mathrm{E}-02-2.8035 \mathrm{E}-02$ / CHEB/ -4.1083E-02 -5.7476E-03/

CHEB/ $736.8994 \mathrm{E}+001.1028 \mathrm{E}+00-2.0010 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 9.1185 \mathrm{E}-01 /$
CHEB/ $1.1577 \mathrm{E}+002.3886 \mathrm{E}-02-6.6539 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 3.1431 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 1.2276 \mathrm{E}-01 /$
CHEB/ -2.0079E-01 -1.7867E-02 5.2954E-02 $-9.5693 \mathrm{E}-03-6.9152 \mathrm{E}-02$ /
CHEB/ - $4.4478 \mathrm{E}-03 \quad 3.5394 \mathrm{E}-02-3.6961 \mathrm{E}-02-1.7204 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 2.5521 \mathrm{E}-02 /$
CHEB/ -5.9296E-03 -9.3026E-03/

LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 )


CHEB/ $-1.880 \mathrm{E}-02-3.8185 \mathrm{E}-02.2 .8726 \mathrm{E}-021.7643 \mathrm{E}-02-6.5749 \mathrm{E}-02 /$
CHEB/ -1.2999E-03 -7.0409E-03/
$\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}(+\mathrm{M}) \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH} 30+\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad 1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$.000 0. ! ING181 10/95
LOW / $1.0 \quad 0.0 \quad 0.0$
CHEB $/ 1.0033 \mathrm{E}+00$. $8913 \mathrm{E}-01-4265 \mathrm{E}-01$ - $1.0708 \mathrm{E}-01$
CHEB/ -1.4623E-01 -9.4929E-02 -4.5817E-03 -7.0153E-02 -6.1151E-02/
CHEB/ -3.3393E-02 -1.9392E-02 -9.5076E-03 -1.5869E-02 -8.9030E-04/ LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 /
CHEB/ 7 3 -2.7707E+01 $-7.1296 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad-5.0802 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 2.9566 \mathrm{E}+01 /$ CHEB/ $4.7206 \mathrm{E}-03$-6.7633E-03 $5.1554 \mathrm{E} 03-6.5663 \mathrm{E}-03-7.3466 \mathrm{E}-041$ CHEB/ - $6.6434 \mathrm{E}-04-2.3978 \mathrm{E}-03 \quad 8.2975 \mathrm{E}-04 \quad 6.0861 \mathrm{E}-04 \quad 3.0678 \mathrm{E}-04 /$
CHEB/ 5.5392E-04 4.2834E-04/

```
!************CH3+O2 subsystem uses Jeff's k(inf) and Frenklach's Ea for CH2O+OH
!************calc using Master Eqn. - CYS 12/14/2001
!************Begin CH3O2 dissoc block*********************************************
    Leave in CH2OOH => CH2+OH. Although recent studies show this goes immediately
    to CH2O + OH, some other reaction steps in this mech also generate CH2OOH.
! By leaving this rxn step in, CH2OOH will not become a "sink" but rather go to
products. - CYS 12/14/2001
CH2OOH (+M) 1.00E+00 .000 0. ! INGO61 10/95
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 8.6405E+00 2.4963E+00 -2.8001E-03 1.1638E-01/
    CHEB/ 3.5304E-03 2.6505E-03 -1.0409E-01 -3.2234E-04 -2.4017E-04/
    CHEB/ -2.1004E-02 -1.2670E-05 -9.6422E-06 -7.8210E-03 -1.7268E-05/
    CHEB/ -1.2727E-05 -3.3591E-03 -6.0558E-06 -4.4509E-06 -9.3367E-04/
    CHEB/ 4.2036E-07 3.8397E-07/
CH3OO (+M) <=> CH2O+OH (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 -2.0973E+01 4.7100E+00 1.0524E+00 1.4619E+01/
```

```
    CHEB/ -1.4867E+00 -5.5101E-01 -1.3719E+00 -4.5792E-01 -2.7794E-01/
    CHEB/ -5.4886E-01 -1.2530E-01 -9.6912E-02 -2.0873E-01 -2.1530E-02/
    CHEB/ -2.6941E-02 -7.2607E-02 6.1157E-03 -3.2101E-03 -2.1882E-02/
    CHEB/ 9.7531E-03 3.1115E-03/
CH3OO (+M) < CH3O+O (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 -3.2754E+01 5.1799E+00 1.3879E+00 1.9862E+01/
    CHEB/ -1.9903E+00 -9.0542E-01 -1.3640E+00 -4.5350E-01 -2.8067E-01/
    CHEB/ -5.3702E-01 -1.0567E-01 -8.2791E-02 -2.0345E-01 -1.2270E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.9896E-02 -7.0789E-02 9.3782E-03 -6.5754E-04 -2.1461E-02/
    CHEB/ 1.0521E-02 3.7365E-03/
!************END CH3O2 dissoc block**********************************************
```



```
!***************************************************************************
!*************Modified CH3OH subsystem base on CBS-APNO for thermo and CTST
!*************and VTST for hp kinetic. - CYS 11/2001
!************ Begin CH3OH block***********************************************
CH3OH (+M) < CH2O + H2 (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! ME 7x3 300-
1500K, 1e-3-100atm 10/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0/
    CHEB/ 7 3 -2.8248E+01 1.7114E+00 -5.3989E-01 2.5267E+01/
    CHEB/ 1.1189E+00-6.3093E-02 -4.4413E-01 2.1649E-01 6.2749E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.6758E-01 1.3125E-02 1.9962E-02 -5.7309E-02 -1.1238E-02/
    CHEB/ 5.2575E-04 -1.8085E-02 -5.4851E-03 -1.6016E-03 -5.5519E-03/
    CHEB/ -1.0640E-03 -4.0470E-04/
CH3OH (+M) < CH3O+H (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! ME 7\times3 300-
1500K, 1e-3-100atm 10/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 -3.5024E+01 5.0615E+00 -2.8694E-01 2.7336E+01/
    CHEB/ 5.1256E-01 7.6804E-01 -5.8198E-01 -1.4375E-01 3.5558E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.9429E-01 -7.8292E-02 -3.9970E-02 -5.8153E-02 -2.6370E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.6138E-02 -1.6707E-02 -6.7320E-03 -3.9991E-03 -5.3191E-03/
    CHEB/ -1.6412E-03 -9.6349E-04/
```



```
1500K, le-3-100atm 10/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 -2.7654E+01 2.1347E+00-5.8784E-01 2.5953E+01/
    CHEB/ 1.1089E+00 6.8664E-02 -3.9630E-01 1.7287E-01 8.2284E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.5395E-01 7.9939E-04 1.5622E-02 -5.2327E-02 -1.3269E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.4010E-03 -1.6215E-02 -5.4212E-03 -1.6900E-03 -4.9387E-03/
    CHEB/ -9.5318E-04 -2.5656E-04/
CH3OH (+M) <= CH2S + H2O (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! ME 7x3 300-
1500K, 1e-3-100atm 10/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0/
    CHEB/ 7 3 -2.6700E+01 2.5834E+00 -6.2092E-01 2.5828E+01/
    CHEB/ 1.0863E+00 2.1008E-01 -4.7774E-01 1.3085E-01 9.8571E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.7575E-01 -1.2480E-02 1.0717E-02 -5.7789E-02 -1.5919E-02/
    CHEB/ -3.4366E-03 -1.7503E-02 -5.5260E-03 -1.8720E-03 -5.3389E-03/
    CHEB/ -9.2979E-04 -2.0655E-04/
```



```
    CHEB/ 1.5737E-01 1.1383E-01 1.0034E-01 -3.0786E-02 -1.8454E-02/
    CHEB/ 1.5850E-02 -1.0429E-02 -9.2918E-03 2.0695E-04 1.3485E-03/
    CHEB/ 5.4620E-04 -1.2084E-03 1.6696E-03 1.3899E-03 -2.3254E-04/
    CHEB/ 3.2692E-04 3.4962E-04/
HCJ*COOJ (+M) < CJJYCOO (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. !ME 7\times3 300-
1500K, 1e-3-100atm 10/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 -2.1225E+01 2.4985E+00-1.1219E-03 2.2571E+01/
    CHEB/ 1.4560E-03 1.0949E-03 -5.1391E-01 -1.9823E-04 -1.4859E-04/
    CHEB/ -1.6999E-01 9.6416E-08 -2.8910E-08 -5.5679E-02 1.7363E-07/
    CHEB/ 1.3384E-07 -1.7813E-02 8.4631E-08 1.3200E-07 -5.4687E-03/
    CHEB/ 1.5967E-07 8.3345E-08/
CJJYCOO (+M) < 1.00E+00 0.000 0. !ME 7x3 300-
1500K, 1e-3-100atm 10/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 -2.8027E+01 2.4839E+00-1.1937E-02 2.8772E+01/
    CHEB/ 1.4532E-02 1.0745E-02 -5.0167E-01 -1.5177E-03 -1.0885E-03/
    CHEB/ -1.6366E-01 -6.4412E-05 -5.5717E-05 -5.0781E-02 1.4776E-06/
    CHEB/ 1.0049E-06 -1.5409E-02 4.9888E-06 4.4639E-06 -4.9985E-03/
    CHEB/ 2.0294E-06 2.0143E-06/
HCJOJ*CHO (+M) & CJ*OCHO + H (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. !ME 7x3 300-
1500K, 1e-3-100atm 10/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0
    CHEB/ 7 3 -6.7011E+00 4.8325E+00 1.0101E+00 5.8505E+00/
    CHEB/ -4.4893E-01 -2.8291E-02 -4.0303E-01 -1.1637E-01 -5.7042E-02/
    CHEB/ -6.9939E-02 -2.4580E-02 -1.3746E-02 -1.4558E-03 -i.8882E-03/
    CHEB/ - 8.8406E-04 4.1708E-03 2.0387E-03 1.4614E-03 1.4282E-03/
    CHEB/ 1.5542E-03 1.1516E-03/
HCJOJ*CHO (+M) < H HCO + HCO (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. !ME 7x3 300-
i500K, ie-3-100atm 10/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 4.7190E+00 2.0301E+00 -2.2493E-01 2.9964E+00/
    CHEB/ 3.7150E-01 1.6458E-01 -3.5135E-01 -4.7996E-04 1.0140E-02/
    CHEB/ -6.7630E-02 -1.5780E-02 -7.9456E-03 -1.8398E-03 -2.0735E-03/
    CHEB/ -1.5266E-03 4.2001E-03 2.0658E-03 1.1992E-03 1.4574E-03/
    CHEB/ 1.5670E-03 1.0497E-03/
!*******End C2H2 + O2 block*************************************************************
!*******Added acetylene -> vinylidene isomerization. Computation of thermo at ********
!*******B3LYP/6-31G(d,p) and hp kinetic from canonical TST. See IJCK (2000)
Sheng/Bozzelli
|******* and Chem. Phys. Letter (1999) Laskin/Wang. - CYS 11/2001*****
!********Begin C2H2 -> vinylidene
```



```
1500K, 1e-3-100atm 11/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 -6.1870E+00 2.0016E+00-2.6010E-01 1.1439E+01/
    CHEB/ 3.3020E-01 1.5209E-01 -3.1626E-01 2.5293E-02 2.2639E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.0140E-01 -5.2252E-03 -1.8417E-03 -3.1191E-02 -2.7127E-03/
    CHEB/ -1.7839E-03-9.6508E-03-4.8381E-04 -3.9209E-04 -3.1404E-03/
    CHEB/ 1.9512E-05 -6.5332E-06/
!*******END C2H2 -> vinylidene
block***********************************************************
```


LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 /
CHEB/ 7 3 1.1729E+01 -1.3629E-02 -1.0150E-02 4.8017E-01/
CHEB/ 1.7020E-02 1.2643E-02 7.9983E-02-2.8096E-03-2.0439E-03/


$!* * * * * * * * * * * * \mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 3+\mathrm{O} 2$ subsystem base on hp $k$ in recent AYC/AMD/JWB publication in !************ Zeit. Phys. 2000. - CYS 12/14/2001
$!* * * * * * * * * * * *$ Begin C2H3+O2 Chemical Activation block********************************
$\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 3+\mathrm{O} 2(+\mathrm{M}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\star} \mathrm{COO} .(+\mathrm{M}) \quad 1.00 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.000 \quad 12 / 01$

LOW / $1.00 .00 .0 /$
CHEB/ $7 \quad 3 \quad 1.1392 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 1.7409 \mathrm{E}+00-5.4351 \mathrm{E}-01-2.3418 \mathrm{E}+00 /$
CHEB/ 2.2010E $+00-4.8929 E-01-1.3414 \mathrm{E}+00$ 9.1727E-01 -1.5227E-02/
CHEB/ - $6.7353 \mathrm{E}-01$ 2.3927E-01 1.0195E-01 -3.2054E-01 8.8833E-03/
CHEB/ 6.3923E-02-1.4708E-01 -3.8925E-02 2.1069E-02-6.3810E-02/
CHEB/ - $3.5040 \mathrm{E}-02$ 1.6964E-03/
$\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 3+\mathrm{O} 2(+\mathrm{M}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{CHO}+\mathrm{O}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad 1.00 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.000 \quad 10.12 / 01$
LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 /
CHEB/ $7 \quad 3 \quad 1.1158 \mathrm{E}+01-1.3809 \mathrm{E}+00-1.8020 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 1.1179 \mathrm{E}+00 /$
CHEB/ 1.2271E+00 1.4933E-02 6.4374E-02 3.2187E-01 1.4726E-01/
CHEB/ -6.1207E-02 -4.1942E-02 6.9029E-02 -3.2665E-02 -8.3104E-02/
CHEB/ -1.0312E-02 -2.1670E-03-4.2812E-02 -2.6873E-02 1.0365E-02/
CHEB/ -1.0652E-02 -1.4795E-02/
! The following rxn is chem act to prod via lst well
$\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 3+\mathrm{O} 2(+\mathrm{M}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 2+\mathrm{HO} 2 \quad(+\mathrm{M}) \quad 1.00 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.000 \quad 12 / 01$
LOW / $1.00 .00 .0 /$
CHEB/ $7319.7170 \mathrm{E}+00-1.3393 \mathrm{E}+00-1.8074 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 1.4892 \mathrm{E}+00 /$
CHEB/ $1.2398 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 2.8379 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 1.8150 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 2.8149 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 1.5021 \mathrm{E}-01 /$
CHEB/ - $7.2143 \mathrm{E}-03-6.7399 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 5.7113 \mathrm{E}-02-1.0131 \mathrm{E}-03-8.6196 \mathrm{E}-02 /$
CHEB/ -1.9399E-02 $1.3895 \mathrm{E}-02-3.6831 \mathrm{E}-02-2.7929 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 1.7573 \mathrm{E}-02 /$
CHEB/ -4.6677E-03 -1.2169E-02/
DUPLICATE
! The following rxn is chem act to prod via lst well
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 3+\mathrm{O} 2 & (+\mathrm{M}) & \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{HCO} & (+\mathrm{M}) & 1.00 \mathrm{E}+00 & 0.000 & 0 . & 12 / 01\end{array}$
LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 /
CHEB/ $7 \quad 3 \quad 8.2977 \mathrm{E}+00-1.3211 \mathrm{E}+00-1.8411 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 1.8565 \mathrm{E}+00 /$
CHEB/ 1.2377E+00 3.4882E-02 $1.9515 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 2.6598 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 1.5216 \mathrm{E}-01 /$
CHEB/ -1.9709E-02 -7.2599E-02 5.2878E-02 -1.1716E-02 -8.5067E-02/
CHEB/ - $2.1984 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 6.2791 \mathrm{E}-03-3.4822 \mathrm{E}-02-2.7790 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 1.2706 \mathrm{E}-02 /$
CHEB/ - $3.3838 \mathrm{E}-03-1.1255 \mathrm{E}-02 /$
DUPLICATE
$\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 3+\mathrm{O} 2(+\mathrm{M}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{CYCOO}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad 1.00 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.000 \quad 0.112 / 01$
LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 /


```
    CHEB/ -1.1166E-01 -4.5631E-02 -2.3100E-02 -4.1386E-02 -2.0143E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.5271E-02 -7.7431E-03 -5.4426E-04 -2.2714E-03 2.0231E-03/
    CHEB/ 4.4232E-03 2.5410E-03/
!************END C2H3+O2 Chemical Activation block*********************************
```



```
|*************************************************************************
!************CH3 + CO subsystem base on hp k from Jong Woo Lee
!************* Ref NIST 2001 conference. - CYS 12/14/2001
!*************Begin CH3+CO block***********************************************
CH3+CO (+M) < 1.00E+00 0.000 0.*O (+M) 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0/, 9.2861E+00 2.0889E+00 -2.1469E-01 -2.1398E+00/
    CHEB/ 4.2374E-01 2.0394E-01 -1.0007E+00 -2.8681E-03 1.5938E-02/
    CHEB/ -4.5410E-01 -2.4943E-02 -1.4134E-02 -1.9748E-01 -6.9299E-03/
    CHEB/ -5.5065E-03 -8.4253E-02 5.5312E-04 1.2006E-05 -3.6193E-02/
    CHEB/ 1.0697E-03 7.3141E-04/
CH3+CO (+M) <lllllllll
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 -1.3784E+00 -5.6350E-06 -5.3305E-06 1.0995E+01/
    CHEB/ 1.0299E-05 9.8612E-06 1.4798E-01 -7.6629E-06 -7.3678E-06/
    CHEB/ 4.9005E-02 5.0249E-06 4.6388E-06 1.7159E-02 -2.2308E-06/
    CHEB/ -2.1586E-06 5.8604E-03 9.4601E-07 9.0993E-07 1.7626E-03/
    CHEB/ -2.6693E-07 -2.4545E-07/
CH3+CO (+M) < C.CHO (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0/
    CHEB/ 7 3 -3.6574E+00 5.3054E-01 -9.7447E-02 1.0101E+01/
    CHEB/ 7.4162E-01 -6.4605E-02 -6.5273E-01 3.0786E-01 3.6534E-02/
    CHEB/ -3.9159E-01 6.0268E-02 3.7334E-02 -2.0300E-01 -1.9367E-02/
    CHEB/ 7.7976E-03-9.5206E-02 -2.4402E-02 -7.7439E-03 -4.0688E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.2226E-02 -8.1926E-03/
CH3+CO (+M) < < C*C*O+H (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 -4.8761E+00 -1.2109E+00 -2.2329E-01 1.3473E+01/
    CHEB/ 1.1808E+00 1.0044E-01 8.1632E-02 1.9969E-01 1.4964E-01/
    CHEB/ -7.5011E-02 -8.1465E-02 2.4369E-02 -4.5663E-C2 -7.5251E-02/
    CHEB/ -2.9139E-02 -9.3537E-03 -2.5709E-02 -2.2497E-02 4.6109E-03/
    CHEB/ 4.6922E-04 -6.1240E-03/
```



```
******************************************************************************
!************** CH3C.O+O2 subsystem base on hp k from Jong Woo Lee
!************* Ref NIST 2001 conference.
                                    - CYS 12/14/2001
!************ Begin CH3C.O+O2 block***************************************************
CC.*O+O2 (+M) < 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 9.9683E+00 2.1150E+00-5.2284E-01-3.3364E+00/
    CHEB/ 2.0298E+00-4.4407E-02 -1.7635E+00 4.4882E-01 2.2584E-01/
    CHEB/ -8.3747E-01 -6.6004E-02 1.0426E-01 -3.7067E-01 -1.1996E-01/
    CHEB/ 5.4152E-03-1.5327E-01 -6.6783E-02 -1.9052E-02 -5.8608E-02/
    CHEB/ -2.1464E-02 -1.3180E-02/
CC.*O+O2 (+M) < < C*C*O+HO2 (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 1.1393E+01 -1.0292E+00 -2.2751E-01 7.9587E-01/
    CHEE/ 1.1500E+00 1.6055E-01 -7.5248E-02 5.1135E-02 1.3205E-01/
```

```
    CHEB/ -9.2453E-02 -1.3476E-01 -2.0341E-02 -2.1345E-02 -5.8509E-02/
    CHEB/ -4.3436E-02 8.5902E-03-1.2355E-04 -1.4060E-02 1.0065E-02/
    CHEB/ 1.2957E-02 4.1535E-03/
    DUPLICATE
CC.*O+O2 (+M) < < CC*OOJ+O (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 2.7504E+00 -1.1723E-01 -8.7063E-02 8.1096E+00/
    CHEB/ 1.6022E-01 1.1684E-01 -5.6123E-02 -3.5218E-02 -2.2041E-02/
    CHEB/ -3.2257E-02 -1.3768E-02 -1.2170E-02 -1.6982E-02 3.2501E-03/
    CHEB/ 1.9081E-03-1.3535E-02 3.3151E-03 2.8484E-03-1.1894E-02/
    CHEB/ 2.8427E-04 4.1166E-04/
CC.*O+O2 (+M) < C CJCOQ (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/7 3 8.3253E+00 1.0144E+00-7.4197E-01 -1.8926E+00/
    CHEB/ 2.7729E+00 1.1553E-01 -1.5734E+00 5.4582E-01 2.5449E-01/
    CHEB/ -8.4675E-01 -1.0576E-01 6.6640E-02 -3.8216E-01 -1.4302E-01/
    CHEB/ -2.3933E-02 -1.5215E-01 -6.7045E-02 -2.8244E-02 -5.4201E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.4814E-02 -1.2788E-02/
CC.*O+O2 (+M) < C C* C*O+HO2 (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/7 3 6.7120E+00 -1.1977E+00-4.9970E-01 3.9596E+00/
    CHEB/ 1.4126E+00 5.1607E-01 1.7783E-01 -4.2715E-02 8.5715E-02/
    CHEB/ -3.4599E-02 -1.5508E-01 -7.1116E-02 -1.1011E-02 -4.3461E-02/
    CHEB/ -4.1478E-02 2.6313E-03 8.4126E-03 -3.0023E-03 1.2117E-03/
    CHEB/ 1.2544E-02 7.5196E-03/
    DUPLICATE
CC.*O+O2 (+M)}
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 1.0032E+01 -1.7940E+00-4.8872E-01 1.3453E+00/
    CHEB/ 1.9844E+00 3.9442E-01 -1.5086E-01 8.6315E-02 2.0448E-01/
    CHEB/ -1.7262E-01 -2.0307E-01 -3.7979E-02 -5.6768E-02 -9.2479E-02/
    CHEB/ -6.3405E-02 -6.4976E-03 -1.0108E-02 -2.2867E-02 3.4651E-03/
    CHEB/ 1.2363E-02 1.1989E-03/
CCOQJ (+M) < C*C*O+HO2 (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEE/ 7 3 -1.3370E+00 9.9724E-01 -1.8827E-01 9.5482E+00/
    CHEB/ 1.4305E+00 -1.7349E-01 -9.4123E-01 5.6646E-01 3.0198E-02/
    CHEB/ -4.2777E-01 7.7167E-02 5.6269E-02 -1.4246E-01 -5.0956E-02/
    CHEB/ 1.9750E-02 -3.5389E-02 -3.4900E-02 4.9653E-03 -9.6764E-03/
    CHEB/ -3.5505E-03 1.0683E-02/
CCOQJ (+M) < CC*OOJ+O (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 -2.0605E+01 8.7293E+00 -1.9275E-01 1.6321E+01/
    CHEB/ 8.3981E-01 1.1127E+00-1.8353E+00-2.5381E-01 2.5383E-01/
    CHEB/ -6.5302E-01 -2.5851E-01 3.5204E-02 -1.9657E-01 -1.3718E-01/
    CHEB/ 4.8984E-03 -5.1860E-02 -5.2491E-02 1.1641E-02 -1.9424E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.1626E-02 1.8375E-02/
CCOQJ (+M) < C CJCOQ (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 - % -9.9968E-01 6.2301E-01 -7.8661E-02 9.1661E+00/
    CHEB/ 9.7667E-01 -8.4723E-02 -6.3765E-01 4.5304E-01 2.2055E-02/
    CHEB/ -3.2919E-01 7.7455E-02 5.1562E-02 -1.1199E-01 -4.9915E-02/
    CHEB/ 2.7540E-02 -2.4153E-02 -4.1497E-02 8.4007E-03 -4.4488E-03/
    CHEB/ -8.8204E-03 8.4155E-03/
CJCOQ (+M) & C*C*O+HO2 (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/7 3 -6.0595E+00 4.5257E+00 -9.7709E-01 1.0261E+01/
    CHEB/ 1.2833E+00 5.4538E-01 -9.1843E-01 1.7249E-01 2.0396E-01/
    CHEB/ -3.1216E-01 -6.3117E-02 2.5298E-02 -7.4658E-02 -6.1508E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.1044E-02 -1.0771E-02 -2.4551E-02 1.6731E-03 -6.8651E-03/
    CHEB/ -4.5732E-03 1.5973E-02/
CJCOQ (+M) <=> OCYCO+OH (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 1.8910E+00 9.4075E-01 -1.6038E-01 6.5571E+00/
    CHEB/ 1.1263E+00-4.2811E-02 -7.5719E-01 3.5669E-01 6.4626E-02/
    CHEB/ -2.7944E-01 2.1414E-02 2.6351E-02 -7.2684E-02 -4.3437E-02/
    CHEB/ -7.7840E-03 -1.1530E-02 -2.5305E-02 -7.2380E-03 -5.0654E-03/
    CHEB/ -5.6383E-03 5.1869E-03/
!*************Begin CH3C.O+O2 block***********************************************
```

```
!************ C2H3 + O2 subsystem base on hp k in recent AYC/AMD/JWB publication in
!************* Zeit. Phys. 2000. - CYS 12/14/2001
!*************Begin C2H3+O2 Dissociation block***********************************
C*COO. (+M) < C.CHO+O (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 -2.2273E+01 2.0439E+00-2.4598E-01 3.1133E+01/
    CHEB/ 3.9884E-01 1.8409E-01 -9.8824E-01 -1.7549E-02 1.1082E-02/
    CHEB/ -4.2826E-01 -2.2035E-02 -1.3214E-02 -1.8474E-01 -6.5300E-03/
    CHEB/ -5.2936E-03 -7.9547E-02 -1.2832E-03 -1.0017E-03 -3.3750E-02/
    CHEB/ -4.9251E-04 -2.2205E-04/
! The following rxn is chem act to prod via lst well
C*COO. (+M) < C C2H2+HO2 (+M)
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 <r-2.3025E+01 2.0455E+00 -2.4507E-01 3.0967E+01/
    CHEB/ 3.9977E-01 1.8491E-01 -9.7988E-01 -1.7580E-02 1.1140E-02/
    CHEB/ -4.0750E-01 -2.2055E-02 -1.3250E-02 -1.6964E-01 -6.4502E-03/
    CHEB/ -5.2582E-03 -7.0346E-02 -1.1964E-03 -9.4523E-04 -2.8504E-02/
    CHEB/ -4.3837E-04 -1.8347E-04/
! The following rxn is chem act to prod via lst well
C*COO. (+M) < < CH2O+HCO 
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 -2.4241E+01 2.0461E+00-2.4480E-01 3.1152E+01/
    CHEB/ 3.9993E-01 1.8512E-01 -9.8367E-01 -1.7599E-02 1.1145E-02/
    CHEB/ -4.2674E-01 -2.2056E-02 -1.3259E-02 -1.8410E-01 -6.4284E-03/
    CHEB/ -5.2486E-03 -7.9253E-02 -1.1739E-03 -9.3046E-04 -3.3614E-02/
    CHEB/ -4.2437E-04 -1.7366E-04/
C*COO. (+M) < < C.CYCOO (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0/
    CHEE/ 7 3 -2.2414E+01 2.0404E+00 -2.4792E-01 3.1166E+01/
    CHEB/ 3.9698E-01 1.8238E-01 -9.8426E-01 -1.7487E-02 1.0967E-02/
    CHEB/ -4.2852E-01 -2.1996E-02 -1.3140E-02 -1.8559E-01 -6.6971E-03/
    CHEB/ -5.3677E-03 -8.0233E-02 -1.4656E-03 -1.1198E-03 -3.4184E-02/
    CHEB/ -6.0568E-04 -3.0298E-04/
C*COO. (+M) < C.* COOH (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 -2.3212E+01 2.0447E+00 -2.4556E-01 3.1007E+01/
    CHEB/ 3.9929E-01 1.8448E-01 -9.6937E-01 -1.7561E-02 1.1112E-02/
    CHEB/ -4.0384E-01 -2.2045E-02 -1.3230E-02 -1.6823E-01 -6.4928E-03/
    CHEB/ -5.2769E-03 -6.9777E-02 -1.2423E-03 -9.7504E-04 -2.8262E-02/
    CHEB/ -4.6691E-04 -2.0390E-04/
C*COO. (+M) 1.0 CYCOOC. (+M) 1.00E+00 .000 0. ! ING271 10/95
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 -2.9899E+00 3.5681E+00 -2.5171E-01 6.1143E+00/
    CHEB/ 9.9138E-01 1.5488E-02 -7.8844E-01 2.8337E-01 9.9654E-02/
    CHEB/ -2.9406E-01 1.9392E-02 4.6947E-02 -1.0220E-01 -3.7615E-02/
    CHEB/ 4.0462E-03-2.8976E-02 -2.8104E-02 -8.8939E-03 -5.5588E-03/
    CHEB/ -9.7591E-03 -6.8532E-03/
C.CYCOO (+M) < C.OCHO (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0/
    CHEB/ 7 3 % -2.1670E+01 1.5880E+00 -3.4413E-01 3.1150E+01/
    CHEB/ 6.8285E-01 1.5916E-01 -1.1695E+00 7.8788E-02 7.8239E-02/
    CHEB/ -5.3885E-01 -1.2215E-02 6.7486E-03 -2.2912E-01 -1.3888E-02/
    CHEB/ -7.0998E-03 -9.4295E-02 -5.7939E-03 -4.4085E-03 -4.0090E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.1719E-03-1.1007E-03/
```



```
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 -2.7804E+01 2.4691E+00 -2.2838E-02 3.6851E+01/
    CHEB/ 2.5362E-02 1.8575E-02 -1.2833E+00 1.1680E-03 9.6640E-04/
    CHEB/ -5.5813E-01 3.1276E-04 2.4089E-04 -2.4489E-01 1.0608E-04/
    CHEB/ 8.0767E-05-1.1024E-01 2.9718E-05 2.2831E-05 -5.0515E-02/
    CHEB/ 3.7780E-06 3.0276E-06/
! The following rxn is chem act to prod via 2ND well
C.* COOH (+M)
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 -2.7848E+01 2.4691E+00 -2.2829E-02 3.7131E+01/
    CHEB/ 2.5350E-02 1.8567E-02 -1.1541E+00 1.1663E-03 9.6518E-04/
    CHEB/ -4.9386E-01 3.1314E-04 2.4112E-04 -2.1224E-01 1.0643E-04/
    CHEB/ 8.0963E-05 -9.3512E-02 2.9672E-05 2.2763E-05 -4.1903E-02/
    CHEB/ 3.8538E-06 3.2585E-06/
```

```
! The following rxn is chem act to prod via 4TH well
C.OCHO (+M) < (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. 0, ! ! 12/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 -2.8972E+00 3.7597E-01 -8.4317E-02 1.1939E+01/
    CHEB/ 6.5728E-01 -1.3187E-01 -3.1511E-01 4.3377E-01 -5.2368E-02/
    CHEB/ -2.3013E-01 2.0134E-01 1.4363E-02 -1.0997E-01 4.3954E-02/
    CHEB/ 3.9564E-02 -3.4807E-02 -2.5051E-02 3.1538E-02 -3.0467E-03/
    CHEB/ -3.5975E-02 1.2916E-02/
CYCOOC. (+M) < CH2O + HCO (+M) 1.00E+00 .000 0. ! ING271 10/95
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/7 3 7.9392E+00 2.4879E+00 -7.8189E-03 3.9358E-01/
    CHEB/ 5.8142E-03 5.6855E-03 -2.0594E-01 -2.6030E-03 -2.6423E-03/
    CHEB/ -3.2166E-02 2.7535E-03 5.6156E-04 -1.0019E-02 3.0554E-03/
    CHEB/ 1.4152E-03 -9.9434E-03 1.5429E-03 1.1941E-03 -7.9529E-03/
    CHEB/ 6.2838E-05 4.9228E-04/
CYCOOC. (+M) < O*CC*O + H (+M) 1.00E+00 .000 0. ! ING271 10/95
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 6.3647E+00 2.4880E+00 -7.6974E-03 5.9802E-01/
    CHEB/ 6.0032E-03 5.7841E-03 -9.0019E-02 -1.9401E-03 -2.4034E-03/
    CHEB/ 2.1153E-02 3.4456E-03 8.9793E-04 9.7857E-03 3.2858E-03/
    CHEB/ 1.6512E-03-4.1060E-03 1.2814E-03 1.1949E-03 -6.4318E-03/
    CHEB/ -3.8045E-04 3.0177E-04/
O*CCO. (+M) < 1.00E+00 .000 0. CHO + O (+M) ING271 10/95
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 -2.7031E+01 1.9527E+00 -2.6242E-01 2.7671E+01/
    CHEB/ 5.7206E-01 2.4385E-01 -3.6789E-01 4.1134E-02 5.2198E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.0277E-01 -4.7711E-02 -1.8415E-02 -1.5151E-02 -2.8217E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.9003E-02 1.5813E-03 -4.5347E-03 -5.5961E-03 -4.9989E-04/
    CHEB/ 4.1441E-03 1.6363E-03/
```



```
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 5.9535E+00 2.2546E+00-1.4641E-01 2.2157E+00/
    CHEB/ 2.9404E-01 1.6859E-01 -5.2622E-01 -2.5102E-02 -5.6939E-03/
    CHEB/ -7.1956E-02 -2.5103E-02 -1.6307E-02 3.1340E-02 -3.3056E-03/
    CHEB/ -3.3721E-03 2.6654E-02 2.6803E-03 1.4415E-03 8.4614E-03/
    CHEB/ 1.5293E-03 1.1104E-03/
O*CCO. (+M) < 1.00E+00 .000 CC*O + H (+M) 0. ! ING271 10/95
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 -2.5361E+00 2.4889E+00 -8.2849E-03 8.2726E+00/
    CHEB/ 1.4693E-02 1.0944E-02 -2.4782E-01 -3.5813E-03 -2.6391E-03/
    CHEB/ 3.6022E-03 -4.7377E-04 -3.6951E-04 3.6328E-02 1.5435E-04/
    CHEB/ 1.1550E-04 1.7397E-02 1.0292E-04 7.8185E-05 1.2943E-03/
    CHEB/ 1.4470E-05 1.1090E-05/
!************END C2H3+O2 Dissociation block*********************************
```



```
    CHEB/ 8.4879E-02 -8.7966E-05 -6.8893E-05 4.7002E-04 6.5149E-05/
    CHEB/ 4.9201E-05 -1.0173E-02 2.7381E-05 2.0709E-05 -4.8293E-03/
    CHEB/ 6.0790E-06 4.7285E-06/
C2H4 + O (+M) < CH3CHO (+M) 1.00E+00 .000 0. ! ING301
10/95
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/7 3 7.4090E+00 2.2536E+00-1.6416E-01 -6.2953E-01/
    CHEB/ 2.7976E-01 1.8152E-01 -5.8322E-01 -1.3800E-02 -3.4383E-03/
    CHEB/ -2.9128E-01 -1.9412E-02 -1.3279E-02 -1.3435E-01 -6.2285E-03/
    CHEB/ -4.9381E-03 -5.7490E-02 -1.2264E-04 -3.4308E-04 -2.2987E-02/
    CHEB/ 9.7642E-04 6.6584E-04/
C2H4+O(+M) < CC.*O + H (+M) 1.00E+00 000 ! ING301 10/95
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 1.0149E+01 -2.3605E-01 -1.5735E-01 1.0651E+00/
    CHEB/ 2.7994E-01 1.8238E-01 1.4277E-01 -2.1840E-02 -8.9609E-03/
    CHEB/ 2.3446E-02 -2.3306E-02 -1.6290E-02 -6.6407E-03 -5.5669E-03/
    CHEB/ -4.6163E-03 -8.7191E-03 1.5801E-03 8.7975E-04 -5.0566E-03/
    CHEB/ 1.8518E-03 1.3441E-03/
C2H4+O (+M) < < HCO + CH3 (+M) 1.00E+00 .000 ! ING301 10/95
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0/, 7.4689E+00 -2.3627E-01 -1.5741E-01 1.0919E+00/
    CHEB/ 2.8078E-01 1.8284E-01 1.6253E-01 -2.2322E-02 -9.2605E-03/
    CHEB/ 3.0087E-02 -2.3644E-02 -1.6518E-02 -5.1033E-03 -5.4560E-03/
    CHEB/ -4.5536E-03-8.3850E-03 1.7168E-03 9.7377E-04 -4.9885E-03/
    CHEB/ 1.8761E-03 1.3657E-03/
C2H4+OH (+M) & C.COH (+M) 1.00E+00 .000 IN ING311 10/95
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 / 1.1404E+01 1.2697E+00 -2.6561E-01 -1.5697E+00/
    CHEB/ 9.9945E-01 1.0505E-01 -7.7960E-01 2.5859E-01 1.2033E-01/
    CHEB/ -3.2208E-01 2.8545E-03 3.8799E-02 -9.8984E-02 -4.2643E-02/
    CHEB/ -4.5785E-03 -8.5909E-03 -2.8262E-02 -1.2254E-02 1.6777E-02/
    CHEB/ -9.8758E-03 -7.1983E-03/
C2H4+OH (+M) 1.00E+00 .000 0. ! OOH + H (+M) ING311 10/95
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 1.0602E+01 -8.1901E-01 -2.7411E-01 1.2797E+00/
    CHEB/ 8.6331E-01 2.2662E-01 1.5478E-02 6.9200E-02 9.1985E-02/
    CHEB/ -5.4971E-02 -7.3546E-02 -1.2791E-02 -2.6334E-02 -4.4509E-02/
    CHEB/ -2.7166E-02 -4.4020E-03 -1.0157E-02 -1.1927E-02 3.1007E-03/
    CHEB/ 4.0671E-03 -2.2874E-04/
C2H4+OH (+M) < 1.00E+00 .000 0. ! ING311 10/95
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/7 3 3.3398E+00 1.9388E+00 -3.0608E-01 2.0686E+00/
    CHEB/ 6.0856E-01 3.1033E-01 -4.8489E-01 1.8517E-02 3.3886E-02/
    CHEE/ -1.4075E-01 -4.6626E-02 -2.2520E-02 -2.2978E-03 -2.4657E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.7092E-02 2.9409E-02 -4.9817E-03 -5.2428E-03 2.1908E-02/
    CHEB/ 2.4699E-03 8.0522E-04/
C2H4+OH (+M) & CH2O+CH3 (+M) 1.00E+00 .000 0. ! ING311 10/95
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 6.4834E+00 -5.3567E-01 -2.9302E-01 3.5421E+00/
    CHEB/ 6.0454E-01 3.1124E-01 -2.0973E-02 -5.2460E-04 2.3426E-02/
    CHEB/ -3.6225E-02 -5.3640E-02 -2.8138E-02 -1.3059E-02 -2.2729E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.6861E-02 -4.8853E-04 -1.5579E-03 -3.1417E-03 2.4722E-03/
    CHEB/ 4.2520E-03 2.2396E-03/
C2H4+CH3 (+M) < CCC. (+M) 1.00E+00 000 ! ING421 10/95
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0/
    CHEB/7 3 7.6536E+00 6.9501E-01 -1.0737E-01 1.2850E+00/
    CHEB/ 1.0743E+00 -9.7044E-02 -7.3591E-01 5.3974E-01 3.5666E-02/
    CHEB/ - 3.4943E-01 1.5104E-01 6.9360E-02 -1.1826E-01 -1.8284E-02/
    CHEB/ 3.9591E-02 -1.4986E-02 -5.0436E-02 6.1893E-03 1.6305E-02/
    CHEB/ -3.3050E-02 -8.2393E-03/
C2H4 + CH3 (+M) <=> C*CC + H (+M) 1.00E+00 .000 0. ! ING421 10/95
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 4.9510E+00 -1.3614E+00 -2.1076E-01 5.4115E+00/
    CHEB/ 1.1994E+00 3.5293E-02 9.2586E-02 3.0861E-01 1.4847E-01/
    CHEB/ -8.9644E-02 -4.3377E-02 6.4266E-02 -6.4249E-02 -8.5662E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.1626E-02 -2.3171E-02 -4.5264E-02 -2.8972E-02 -2.6382E-03/
    CHEB/ -1.0376E-02 -1.6650E-02/
CH3CHO (+M) < C.CHO+ H (+M) 1.00E+00 .000 0. ! ING301
10/95
```





```
!*******The following system is from Sheng/Bozzelli/Dean/Chang. Process of
!*******publication to JPC. Thermo at CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p). Kinetic base
!********anonical and variational TST for hi-p rate constant. - CYS 2001********
!********Begin block CC. + O2 system *************
C2H5+O2 (+M) < O CCOO (+M) 0.00E+00 0.000 ! ME 7x3 300-
1500K, le-3-100atm 11/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 1.0648E+01 1.6914E+00 -4.5021E-01 -1.7983E+00/
    CHEB/ 1.2539E+00 -1.0471E-01 -7.9081E-01 3.9266E-01 2.4699E-03/
    CHEB/ -3.1899E-01 8.2473E-02 1.5849E-02 -1.1892E-01 4.4983E-03/
    CHEB/ 9.8409E-03-4.0961E-02 -5.4887E-03 3.7478E-03 -1.2984E-02/
    CHEB/ -3.1869E-03 8.8170E-04/
C2H5+O2 (+M) < CH3CHO + OH (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! ME 7\times3
300-1500K, 1e-3-100atm 11/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/7 3 6.7762E+00 -2.5984E-01 -1.6348E-01 2.6710E+00/
    CHEB/ 2.8925E-01 1.7489E-01 1.1152E-01 -6.1846E-04 7.8591E-03/
    CHEB/ 1.5400E-02 -2.3332E-02 -1.4351E-02 3.8958E-03 -7.6938E-03/
```

```
    CHEB/ -6.0593E-03 2.1391E-03 -4.2456E-04 -7.5550E-04 1.1303E-03/
    CHEB/ 9.1744E-04 5.4377E-04/
C2H5 + O2 (+M) < C2H4 + HO2 (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! ME 7\times3
300-1500K, 1e-3-100atm 11/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/ 7 3 1.0105E+01 -8.6141E-01 -2.4194E-01 7.9372E-01/
    CHEB/ 8.6151E-01 1.7920E-01 3.4629E-02 7.7542E-02 7.4398E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.8220E-02 -5.3277E-02 4.1458E-04 -6.4999E-03 -2.9468E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.1751E-02 7.3956E-04 -6.8387E-03 -6.1751E-03 2.2167E-03/
    CHEB/ 3.3892E-04 -1.6213E-03/
    DUPLICATE 1.00E+00 0.000 1+M)
1500K, 1e-3-100atm 11/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/7 3 5.2169E-01 -2.9427E-04 -2.7338E-04 7.8527E+00/
    CHEB/ 4.0318E-04 3.7551E-04 2.2772E-02 -1.1322E-04 -1.0496E-04/
    CHEB/ 5.3466E-03 -7.1231E-06 -7.0518E-06 1.0738E-03 6.2517E-06/
    CHEB/ 5.7344E-06 5.6882E-05 2.0154E-06 1.8813E-06 -1.4474E-04/
    CHEB/ 9.3592E-08 1.2041E-07/
C2H5+O2 (+M) < 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! M M 7x3 300-
1500K, 1e-3-100atm 11/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0/
    CHEB/7 3 6.0998E+00 1.1509E+00 -6.4659E-01 -5.0817E-01/
    CHEB/ 1.7891E+00 2.9649E-01 -6.8250E-01 1.9036E-01 1.3165E-01/
    CHEB/ -3.1389E-01 -4.9527E-02 -2.2499E-03 -1.1649E-01 -3.2707E-02/
    CHEB/ -1.8396E-02 -3.7037E-02 -7.5047E-03 -7.4647E-03 -9.7406E-03/
    CHEB/ 1.3532E-03 -5.2525E-04/
C2H5+O2 (+M) < C C2H4 + HO2 (+M) 1.00E+00 0.000 0. ! ME 7x3 300-
1500K, 1e-3-100atm 11/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0/
    CHEB/7 3 7.3406E+00-1.2046E+00-4.3450E-01 1.9373E+00/
    CHEB/ 1.3714E+00 4.1593E-01 7.4320E-02 -4.6235E-02 8.0173E-02/
    CHEB/ -2.7475E-02 -1.0104E-01 -3.9862E-02 -5.5053E-03 -3.0101E-02/
    CHEB/ -2.4092E-02 3.2855E-03 -5.3892E-04 -4.3211E-03 3.1951E-03/
    CHEB/ 4.0331E-03 1.4030E-03/
    DUPLICATE 
1500k, 1e-3-100atm 11/01
    LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
    CHEB/7 3 7.1356E+00-1.2418E+00-4.3897E-01 1.9028E+00/
    CHEB/ 1.4013E+00 4.1394E-01 6.7487E-02 -3.5542E-02 8.5737E-02/
    CHEB/ -2.9368E-02 -1.0207E-01 -3.7957E-02 -5.5591E-03 -3.2149E-02/
    CHEB/ -2.4652E-02 3.7193E-03 -1.5371E-03 -4.9716E-03 3.5298E-03/
    CHEB/ 3.8057E-03 1.1490E-03/
!*******END block CC. + O2 system *************
```



| CHEB/ -1.5660E-02 | $4.0654 \mathrm{E}-02-8.2982 \mathrm{E}-03$ | -6.9560E-03 3.9084E-02/ |  |  | 10/95 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEB/ 7.3998E-05 | -9.4111E-04/ |  |  |  |  |
| C. $\mathrm{COH}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CH} 3(+\mathrm{M})$ | 1.00E+00 | . 000 0. | ING311 |  |
| Low / 1.00 .00 .0 / |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 7 | $-6.3702 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 1.9268 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -3.1238E-01 | 1.1174E+01/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 6.0857E-01 | 3.0896E-01 -7.0323E-01 | $2.7524 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.8671 \mathrm{E}-02 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -2.5330E-01 | $-4.2642 \mathrm{E}-02-1.9558 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -4.9829E-02 | -2.5137E-021 |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.6877E-02 | $1.7238 \mathrm{E}-02-6.4291 \mathrm{E}-03$ | -6.0523E-03 | 2.5584E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 1.6127E-03 | 1.4811E-04/ |  |  |  |  |
| C. $\mathrm{COH}+\mathrm{O} 2(+\mathrm{M})$ | $\Leftrightarrow$ COHCQ. ( +M ) | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 000 0. | INGO51 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.0 0.00 .0 / |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $1.1412 \mathrm{E}+01 \quad 1.5416 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -3.3510E-01 | $-1.0332 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 6.8866E-01 | $1.6106 \mathrm{E}-01-4.4033 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.8314 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.3713E-021 |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.2852E-01 | -9.1913E-04 2.1335E-02 | -9.6156E-03 | -2.9432E-021 |  |  |
| CHEB/ -4.1089E-03 | $1.8045 \mathrm{E}-02-1.6107 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -7.1547E-03 | 1.3601E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -2.7650E-03-3.3295E-03/ |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. $\mathrm{COH}+\mathrm{O} 2(+\mathrm{M}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CO} . \mathrm{CQ}(+\mathrm{M})$ |  | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 000 0. | ING051 | 10/95 |
| Low / 1.0 0.0 0.0 / |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $9.6558 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 1.4590 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -4.1393E-01 | -6.1839E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 8.3471E-01 | $2.5749 \mathrm{E}-01-7.1019 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.5396 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.9926 \mathrm{E}-021$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -5.9520E-02 | -2.3979E-02 1.1657E-02 | -4.1507E-02 | -3.6181E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -9.2331E-03 | -2.3296E-02 -1.5320E-02 | -8.3475E-03 | -1.1219E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -7.0540E-04-2.7336E-03/ |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. $\mathrm{COH}+\mathrm{O} 2(+\mathrm{M})$ | $\Leftrightarrow$ CH2O $+\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OOH}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 000 0. | ING051 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.00.0 $0.0 /$ / |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $8.5117 \mathrm{E}+00-4.6701 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -2.8641E-01 | $3.3414 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 4.0373E-01 | $2.3219 \mathrm{E}-01$ 2.1527E-01 | $4.0399 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.0755 \mathrm{E}-021$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ $2.0888 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -2.1832E-02 -9.6609E-03 | -1.5460E-02 | -1.5267E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -9.1665E-03 | -1.4532E-02 -3.1590E-03 | -2.6963E-03 | -8.8686E-03/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 2.0963E-03 | 8.9136E-04/ |  |  |  |  |
| $0 . \mathrm{COH}+02(+\mathrm{M})$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{OHCQ}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $1.00 E+00$ | . 000 0. | ING051 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 / |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $9.0225 \mathrm{E}+001.3167 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -4.7776E-01 | -6.9030E-01/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 9.9446E-01 | $3.4078 \mathrm{E}-01-3.4887 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.5543 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.0193E-021 |  |  |
| CHEE/ -9.0633E-02 | $-3.5821 \mathrm{E}-02-2.2110 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $5.6248 \mathrm{E}-03$ | -3.6592E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.0463E-02 | $1.8774 \mathrm{E}-02-1.2910 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -5.7132E-03 | $8.4763 \mathrm{E}-03 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.4992E-04-1.5453E-03/ |  |  |  |  |  |
| c. $\mathrm{COH}+\mathrm{O} 2(+\mathrm{M})$ ( | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C} * \mathrm{COH}+\mathrm{HO2}(+\mathrm{M})$ | 1.00E+00 | . 000 0. | ING051 | 10/95 |
| LOW / $1.00 .00 .0 /$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $1.1380 \mathrm{E}+01-1.0861 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -4.4409E-01 | $7.6186 \mathrm{E}-01 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ $1.0216 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.6334 \mathrm{E}-015.9485 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.0676 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 6.9498E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -2.3437E-02 | $-6.4116 \mathrm{E}-02-1.2052 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -1.5816E-02 | -4.0520E-021 |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.5394E-02 | -6.1300E-03-9.5225E-03 | -6.4829E-03 | -2.3969E-03/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ $2.5544 \mathrm{E}-03-8.8904 \mathrm{E}-04 /$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| c. $\mathrm{COH}+\mathrm{O} 2(+\mathrm{M})$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{O}=\mathrm{CCQ}+\mathrm{H}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 000 0. | ING051 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 / |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $7.8663 \mathrm{E}+00-5.3843 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -3.1642E-01 | $3.0472 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 5.2917E-01 | $2.9665 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 2.3249 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 2.8707E-02 | 2.5600E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ $4.0234 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -3.7902E-02-1.9791E-02 | $1.2767 \mathrm{E}-03$ | -1.9076E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.1648E-02 | -4.6689E-03-2.7238E-03 | -2.3662E-03 | -4.4155E-03/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 2.6524E-03 1.1871E-03/ |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. $\mathrm{COH}+\mathrm{O} 2(+\mathrm{M}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{COHC} . \mathrm{OOH}(+\mathrm{M})$ |  | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 000 0.! | INGO51 | 10/95 |
| Low / $1.00 .00 .0 /$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $3.3476 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 2.2289 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -1.6978E-01 | $3.8630 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 2.2955E-01 | $1.3636 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 1.7244 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.1064 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $2.8961 \mathrm{E}-021$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 2.1655E-02 | $-1.4764 \mathrm{E}-02-7.1785 \mathrm{E}-03$ | -9.1671E-03 | -1.3552E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -8.5571E-03 | $-8.1048 \mathrm{E}-03-3.6260 \mathrm{E}-03$ | -2.8437E-03 | -3.6983E-03/ |  |  |
| CHEE/ 1.3684E-03 5.7962E-04/ |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. $\mathrm{COH}+\mathrm{O} 2(+\mathrm{M})$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C}^{*} \mathrm{COOH}+\mathrm{OH}(+\mathrm{M})$ | 1.00E+00 | . 000 0.! | ING051 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 / |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $4.2097 E+00-2.1094 E-01$ | -1.3509E-01 | $5.6538 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 2.1382E-01 | $1.3141 \mathrm{E}-01$ 7.2095E-01 | 8.1548E-03 | 9.6245E-03/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 1.8902E-01 | -1.9722E-02-1.1842E-02 | 3.9771E-02 | -8.0107E-03/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -5.9094E-03 | $2.0745 \mathrm{E}-03 \quad 3.6759 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -4.1024E-04 | -4.4217E-03/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 1.8998E-03 | 1.2112E-03/ |  |  |  |  |
| C. $\mathrm{COH}+\mathrm{O} 2(+\mathrm{M})$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{O}$ ( $\mathrm{CCOH}+\mathrm{OH}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 000 0.! | ING051 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 / |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $7.6481 \mathrm{E}+00-2.7025 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -1.6926E-01 | $4.1161 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 2.2967E-01 | $1.3651 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 2.3611 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.0742 \mathrm{E}-02$ | 2.8790E-021 |  |  |
| CHEB/ 5.8229E-02 | $-1.4936 \mathrm{E}-02-7.2993 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $6.9529 \mathrm{E}-03$ | -1.3561E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -8.5765E-03 | -4.3192E-03 -3.5829E-03 | -2.8203E-03 | -4.8663E-03/ |  |  |




CHEB/ 3.3084E-03-2.3345E-03/

!*******The following system is from Sheng/Bozzelli/Dean/Chang. Process of
!*******publication to JPC. Thermo at CBS-Q//B3LYP/6-31G(d,p). Kinetic base
! *******Canonical and variational TST for hi-p rate constant. - CYS 2001********
!*******Begin block CC. + O2 system ************
$\mathrm{CCOO}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{CHO}+\mathrm{OH}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad 1.00 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.000 \quad 0 .!$ ME $7 \times 3$

300-1500K, 1e-3-100atm 11/01 LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 /
CHEB/ 7 3 -9.2770E+00 4.3930E+00 $-6.5901 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 1.0860 \mathrm{E}+01 /$
CHEB/ 9.4478E-01 6.4293E-02 $-6.1227 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 2.7359 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 8.5069 \mathrm{E}-03 /$
CHEB/ -2.2444E-01 4.5858E-02 1.1279E-02 -6.3801E-02 -6.9457E-03/
CHEB/ 8.1815E-03-9.7439E-03 -9.7451E-03 2.3566E-03 3.7546E-03/
CHEB/ -4.6741E-03-8.1974E-04/
$\operatorname{CCOO}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 4+\mathrm{HO} 2(+\mathrm{M}) 1.00 \mathrm{E}+000.000$ 0.! ME $7 \times 3$ 300-1500K,
1e-3-100atm 11/01
LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 /
CHEB/ 7 3 $3-1.3921 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 5.2595 \mathrm{E}-01-8.9234 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 8.4310 \mathrm{E}+00 /$
CHEB/ 7.8077E-01 -1.0272E-01 -3.7393E-01 3.4396E-01 -1.2494E-02/ CHEB/ -1.7771E-01 8.3359E-02 1.2781E-02 -5.4974E-02 -6.9400E-04/ CHEB/ 5.1889E-03 -7.9246E-03 -1.0863E-02 -2.1021E-03 4.2515E-03/ CHEB/ -5.6555E-03 -3.4113E-03/
$\operatorname{CCOO}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CCO} .+0(+\mathrm{M}) \quad 1.00 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.000 \quad$. ! ME 7X3 300-
1500K, 1e-3-100atm 11/01
LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 /
CHEB/ $7 \quad 3 \quad-2.4971 E+01 \quad 9.1651 E+00 \quad 1.3460 E+00 \quad 1.5917 E+01 /$
CHEB/ 4.0054E-01 -1.4954E-01 -7.4489E-01 1.6692E-01 -4.9065E-02/ CHEB/ -2.5033E-01 $2.6143 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 3.4851 \mathrm{E}-03-6.9821 \mathrm{E}-02-1.2215 \mathrm{E}-02 /$ CHEB/ $8.7046 \mathrm{E}-03-1.1756 \mathrm{E}-02-1.2455 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 3.0477 \mathrm{E}-03 \quad 2.8862 \mathrm{E}-03 /$ CHEB/ -6.2282E-03 -5.2609E-04/
$\operatorname{CCOO}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{COOH}(+\mathrm{M}) \quad 1.00 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 0.000 \quad$ 0. ! ME 7X3 300-
1500K, le-3-100atm 11/01
LOW / $1.00 .00 .0 /$
CHEB/ $7 \quad 3 \quad-5.5238 E+00 \quad 1.9079 E+00-5.2514 E-01 \quad 9.4393 E+00 /$
CHEB/ $1.2366 \mathrm{E}+00-8.9895 \mathrm{E}-02-5.5358 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 3.8255 \mathrm{E}-01-5.9976 \mathrm{E}-03 /$
CHEB/ -2.1648E-01 7.6911E-02 6.5892E-03 $-6.3823 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 5.8792 \mathrm{E}-04 /$
CHEB/ 3.4135E-03-1.0009E-02 -8.0750E-03-5.1849E-04 3.8399E-03/
CHEB/ - $4.3138 \mathrm{E}-03-1.9689 \mathrm{E}-03 /!* * * * * * *$ End block CC. + O2 system ************

| $\mathrm{CCOO}+\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CCOOH}+\mathrm{HCO}$ | $5.60 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 0.00 | 13600. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{CCOO}+\mathrm{CCOO}$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CCO} .+\mathrm{CCO}+\mathrm{O}$ | $6.00 \mathrm{E}+10$ | 0.00 | -830. |



| LOW / $1.00 .00 .0 /$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEB/ 73 | $-1.0946 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 3.4420 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -3.2398E-01 | $2.6480 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ $1.0836 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.9159 \mathrm{E}-02-4.3124 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.4910 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.1540 \mathrm{E}-01 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.3888E-01 | -4.7502E-02 5.5323E-03 | 9.4823E-03 | -4.3470E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 4.4200E-04 | $2.1451 \mathrm{E}-02-2.3076 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $-3.6125 E-03$ | $5.3579 \mathrm{E}-03 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.2400E-02-1.0904E-02/ |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCQO. ( +M ) | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CC.QOH}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | .000 . | 0. ! ING041 | 10/95 |
| Low / 1.00 .00 .0 / |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $-3.4535 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 4.8656 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -7.5698E-02 | $3.1020 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.2725E-01 | -7.8846E-02 7.8938E-01 | 1.0932E-01 | $4.9128 \mathrm{E}-02 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 1.6894E-01 | $1.5329 \mathrm{E}-018.6804 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -1.0601E-01 | $1.1187 \mathrm{E}-02 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 2.0136E-02 | -1.9583E-02-8.7996E-02 | -4.2856E-02 | $6.7268 \mathrm{E}-02 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -4.3720E-02-3.2982E-02/ |  |  |  |  |  |
| CCQO. ( +M ) | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{O} * \mathrm{COOHC}+\mathrm{H}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 0000. | 0. ! ING041 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 / |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $-3.2190 \mathrm{E}+002.3212 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -9.5990E-02 | $5.4085 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.1202E-01 | -7.2702E-02 1.1962E+00 | $2.1641 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.0173 \mathrm{E}-01 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 3.0487E-03 | $1.4649 \mathrm{E}-01$ 9.1602E-02 | -1.2696E-01 | -8.9038E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -2.9000E-02 | $5.2943 \mathrm{E}-02-9.5467 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -5.8487E-02 | $4.5274 \mathrm{E}-02 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 3.1170E-02 | 2.4633E-03/ |  |  |  |  |
| C. $\mathrm{CQOH}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C}^{\star} \mathrm{COH}+\mathrm{HO} 2(+\mathrm{M}) 1$. | $\mathrm{O} 2(+\mathrm{M}) \quad 1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$. | 000 0.! | ! ING041 | 10/95 |
| LOW / $1.00 .00 .0 /$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $2.7982 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 1.3797 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -3.4735E-01 | $5.0358 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 7.8723E-01 | $1.0700 \mathrm{E}-01-7.0072 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.6434 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.9395 \mathrm{E}-02 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -2.9710E-01 | -2.5942E-02 1.7318E-02 | -1.1695E-01 | -4.6693E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.3240E-02 | -4.7049E-02-2.3010E-02 | -1.3497E-02 | -2.2123E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -3.6109E-03-5.4408E-03/ |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. $\mathrm{CQOH}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C}^{*} \mathrm{COOH}+\mathrm{OH}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | .0000. | 0. ! ING041 | 10/95 |
| LOW / $1.00 .00 .0 /$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $-3.6836 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 1.9947 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -2.7717E-01 | $9.2143 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 3.8264E-01 | $1.7111 \mathrm{E}-01-1.0617 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.9637E-02 | $3.1738 \mathrm{E}-02 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -3.9822E-01 | -2.8269E-02-1.1460E-02 | -1.3343E-01 | -2.1947E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.3697E-02 | -4.5556E-02-7.9984E-03 | -6.3942E-03 | -2.0415E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -4.5830E-04-1.0774E-03/ |  |  |  |  |  |
| CC. QOH ( +M ) | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{O}$ * $\mathrm{COOHC}+\mathrm{H}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $1.00 E+00$ | . 0000 | 0. ! ING041 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 / |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $3.0229 \mathrm{E}+001.1356 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -2.8337E-01 | $4.7052 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 9.7188E-01 | $4.4777 \mathrm{E}-02-5.1815 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 3.0990E-01 | 1.1753E-01/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.7488E-01 | 3.9046E-02 5.8938E-02 | -3.2370E-02 | -3.4175E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 9.0321E-03 | $1.0401 \mathrm{E}-02-3.1184 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -9.6394E-03 | $1.4319 \mathrm{E}-02 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.3020E-02-9.4219E-03/ |  |  |  |  |  |
| COHCQ. $(+\mathrm{M})$ | $\Leftrightarrow C O . C Q ~(+M)$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 000 0. | 0. ! ING051 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 / |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $1.0872 \mathrm{E}+002.5995 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -1.9314E-01 | $4.8601 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 8.8281E-01 | $1.4184 \mathrm{E}-01-6.7909 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $3.7006 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.0873 \mathrm{E}-021$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -2.9548E-01 | 1.5573E-01 2.5039E-02 | -1.1831E-01 | 5.8499E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ $1.0449 \mathrm{E}-02-8.5620 \mathrm{E}-03 /$ |  | $-5.1895 E-03$ | -1.3329E-02/ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COHCQ. ( +M ) | $\Leftrightarrow$ C.OHCQ ( +M ) | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 000 0. | 0. ! ING051 | $10 / 95$ |
| LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 / |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | -1.2652E-02 2.3430E+00 | -4.5253E-01 | $5.0471 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 1.3726E+00 | $1.0691 \mathrm{E}-01-1.0222 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 3.7612E-01 | $7.3779 \mathrm{E}-02 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -3.4752E-01 | $4.9755 \mathrm{E}-02 \quad 2.7752 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -7.1517E-02 | -1.4588E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 1.1949E-02 | 4.6983E-03-8.3623E-03 | 7.1938E-03 | $8.8224 \mathrm{E}-03 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 2.7131E-03 | $3.8305 \mathrm{E}-03 /$ |  |  |  |  |
| COHCQ. ( +M ) | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{COHC} . \mathrm{OOH}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 0000 | 0. ! ING051 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 / |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | -9.6519E+00 4.6896E+00 | -1.9253E-01 | $1.1698 \mathrm{E}+01 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 2.1774E-01 | $1.2581 \mathrm{E}-01-4.2576 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.9859E-02 | 3.3255E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.2843E-01 | -6.9469E-03-1.9836E-03 | -7.1501E-03 | -1.1907E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -6.9677E-03 | $2.0474 \mathrm{E}-02-4.7035 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $-3.2844 E-03$ | 1.3947E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 5.5744E-04 | 2.9934E-05/ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CO} . \mathrm{CQ}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OOH}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $1.00 E+00$ | . 0000 | 0. ! ING051 | 10/95 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 9.0346E-02 | $5.7855 \mathrm{E}-02-1.5003 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.1112 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $4.3560 \mathrm{E}-04 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -5.5151E-02 | $5.0870 \mathrm{E}-03$ 3.2074E-03 | -2.9015E-02 | $2.7539 \mathrm{E}-03 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 2.5028E-03 | -1.7688E-02 7.7005E-04 | 9.2543E-04 | -1.0790E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 3.1843E-04 | 2.6247E-04/ |  |  |  |  |
| CO.CQ ( +M ) | $\Leftrightarrow C . O H C Q(+M)$ | $1.00 E+00$ | .0000 | 0. ! ING051 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 / |  |  |  |  |  |


| CHEB/ 73 | $4.2362 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 2.3604 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -5.4579E-01 | $7.4906 \mathrm{E}-01 /$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CHEB/ 1.7446E+00 | $2.5148 \mathrm{E}-01-6.9911 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $4.1267 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.7250 \mathrm{E}-02 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -2.8285E-01 | 5.2046E-02 2.1463E-02 | -8.5690E-02 | -1.3114E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 8.4837E-03 | $-1.6996 \mathrm{E}-02-9.8104 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $3.8108 \mathrm{E}-03$ | -1.5134E-03/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.4494E-03 | 4.4388E-04/ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CO} . \mathrm{CQ}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C}^{*} \mathrm{COH}+\mathrm{HO} 2(+\mathrm{M})$ | 1.00E+00 | . 000 . | ! ING051 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 / |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $5.7257 \mathrm{E}+002.7306 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -5.0176E-01 | $2.4741 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 1.8703E+00 | $2.8199 \mathrm{E}-01-2.5958 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.9411 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 8.7092E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.7435E-01 | -3.5892E-02 2.1504E-02 | -6.4060E-02 | -5.8279E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 1.1409E-03 | $-1.4977 \mathrm{E}-02-3.0373 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -3.6397E-03 | -8.4530E-04/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -8.7732E-03-3.4003E-03/ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CO} . \mathrm{CQ}(+\mathrm{M})$ ( | $\Leftrightarrow O^{*} \mathrm{CCQ}+\mathrm{H}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | .000 0. | ! ING051 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 / |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $3.5024 \mathrm{E}-01 \quad 1.7784 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -4.3300E-01 | $6.3189 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 6.3027E-01 | 3.5850E-01-9.8002E-02 | 4.4731E-02 | $3.3311 E-02 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -7.7528E-02 | -2.9861E-02-1.4681E-02 | -4.3598E-02 | -1.8552E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.0198E-02 | -2.2248E-02-4.1700E-03 | -2.7142E-03 | -1.1752E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 1.9266E-03 | 7.5475E-04/ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CO} . \mathrm{CQ}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{COHC} . \mathrm{OOH}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $1.00 E+00$ | . 000 0. | ! ING051 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 / |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $-4.3995 E+00 \quad 4.5880 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -2.6529E-01 | $7.2584 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 3.1616E-01 | $1.9292 \mathrm{E}-01-1.2848 \mathrm{E}-01$ | 4.0739E-02 | $2.9027 \mathrm{E}-02 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -9.2391E-02 | $-1.0238 \mathrm{E}-02-4.2805 \mathrm{E}-03$ | -5.3331E-02 | -1.1544E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -6.8591E-03 | -2.5817E-02-3.7781E-03 | -2.7066E-03 | -1.1412E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 1.1176E-03 4.3297E-04/ |  |  |  |  |  |
| C.OHCQ $(+\mathrm{M})$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OOH}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 000 . | ! ING051 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0/ |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $-4.8150 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 1.7422 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -4.7352E-01 | 8.6559E+00/ |  |  |
| CHEE/ 3.5708E-01 | $2.1170 \mathrm{E}-01-8.1222 \mathrm{E}-01$ | -2.8103E-02 | -1.3111E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -3.2579E-01 | -4.6055E-02-2.5975E-02 | -1.1057E-01 | -3.0548E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.6483E-02 | -4.1046E-02-1.5101E-02 | -7.7691E-03 | -2.1918E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -4.7337E-03-2.2374E-03/ |  |  |  |  |  |
| C. OHCQ ( +M ) | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C} * \mathrm{COH}+\mathrm{HO} 2(+\mathrm{M})$ | $1.00 E+00$ | . 000 . | ! ING051 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.00 .00 .0 / |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $4.6062 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 1.8000 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -2.8515E-01 | $3.3128 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 6.7716E-01 | $2.2913 \mathrm{E}-01-8.2419 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $5.7514 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $6.2000 \mathrm{E}-02 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -3.0478E-01 | -5.4994E-02-1.5867E-02 | -9.4035E-02 | -3.3303E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.9335E-02 | -3.0069E-02-5.8810E-03 | -6.2762E-03 | -1.6936E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 3.9463E-03 | $1.0589 \mathrm{E}-03 /$ |  |  |  |  |
| C.OHCQ ( +M ) | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{O} * \mathrm{CCQ}+\mathrm{H}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 000 | ! ING051 | 10/95 |
| LOW / $1.00 .00 .0 /$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $-1.8606 \mathrm{E}+002.3330 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -1.1073E-01 | $7.0660 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 1.8418E-01 | $1.1829 \mathrm{E}-01-1.0357 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -1.9587E-02 | -7.8722E-03/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -3.9385E-01 | $-1.6750 \mathrm{E}-02-1.1798 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -1.2161E-01 | -3.9605E-03/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -3.2410E-03 | -3.6854E-02 4.0532E-04 | 2.9840E-04 | -1.8251E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEE/ 6.8410E-04 | 6.3322E-04/ |  |  |  |  |
| C.OHCQ ( +M ) | $\Leftrightarrow$ COHC. $\mathrm{OOH}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 000 . | ! ING051 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $-4.8374 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 4.9544 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -2.5952E-02 | $2.7779 \mathrm{E}+00 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -8.6086E-02 | -4.9019E-02-7.6505E-01 | -7.2236E-02 | -4.1182E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.9621E-01 | -5.3407E-02-3.0498E-02 | -2.0107E-02 | -3.4107E-02/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.9505E-02 | $7.8927 \mathrm{E}-03-1.7965 \mathrm{E}-02$ | -1.0268E-02 | -1.8760E-03/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -6.7975E-03 | -3.8419E-03/ |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{COHC.OOH}(+\mathrm{M})$ ( | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{O} * \mathrm{CCOH}+\mathrm{OH}(+\mathrm{M})$ | $1.00 \mathrm{E}+00$ | . 000 . | ! ING051 | 10/95 |
| LOW / 1.0 0.0 0.0 / |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ 73 | $8.9204 \mathrm{E}+00 \quad 2.4959 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-3.0588 \mathrm{E}-03$ | -2.0269E-01/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ 2.8911E-03 | $2.1697 \mathrm{E}-03-3.2281 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.7127 \mathrm{E}-04$ | $1.3009 \mathrm{E}-04 /$ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.8814E-01 | -5.0728E-06-3.5129E-06 | -1.1306E-01 | -2.4196E-05/ |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.8003E-05 | -6.7641E-02-1.7972E-05 | $-1.3486 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -3.8263E-02/ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CHEB/ -1.2124E-05 |  | $6.03 E+13$ | $0.00 \quad 51866$. | ! 92 BAUL |  |
| $\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 6+\mathrm{HO} 2+$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 5+\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} 2$ | 1.70E+13 | 0.0020460. | ! 86 BALD |  |
| $\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 6+\mathrm{CH} 3+$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 5+\mathrm{CH} 4$ | $1.51 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $6.00 \quad 6047$. | $!92$ BAUL |  |
| $\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 6+\mathrm{H}$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 5+\mathrm{H} 2$ | 1.44E+09 | 1.50 7412. | $!92$ BAUL |  |
| $\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 6+\mathrm{OH}$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 5+\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}$ | 7.22E+06 | 2.00864. | $!92$ BAUL |  |
| $\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 6+\mathrm{O}$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{OH}$ | $9.99 E+08$ | 1.50 5803. | ! 92 BAUL |  |
| $\mathrm{CCOH}+\mathrm{O} 2+$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{COH}+\mathrm{HO} 2$ | $2.00 \mathrm{E}+13$ | 0.0553800. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CCOH}+\mathrm{O} 2+$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CC.OH}+\mathrm{HO} 2$ | 1.33E+13 | 0.0 48400. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CCOH}+\mathrm{OH}$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{COH}+\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}$ | $7.55 \mathrm{E}+09$ | 0.971586. |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CCOH}+\mathrm{OH}$ | $\Leftrightarrow \mathrm{CC} . \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}$ | $6.78 \mathrm{E}+07$ | 1.61 35. |  |  |








```
                MORE DETAIL.
            THE MECHANISM WAS LAST REVISED AUGUST 22, }199
                THE RATE CONSTANTS ARE TABULATED IN A MODIEIED ARRHENIUS FORM,
                K = A T^N EXP(-E/RT),
                WITH A (CM^3/MOLE-SEC), N AND E (CAL/MOLE) GIVEN.
            AS LISTED, THE MECHANISM IS CONSISTENT WITH THE CHEMKIN-II FORMAT
            (CE. SANDIA REPORT SAND89-8009 BY R. J. KEE, E. M. RUPLEY, AND J. A. MILLER)
            THE USER SHOULD BE WARNED THAT THERE ARE SOME VERSIONS OE CHEMKIN-II WHICH DO
            NOT PROPERLY HANDLE "." AS PART OF THE SPECIES NAME. A SIMPLE EIX TO THIS IS
            TO REPLACE ALL "." IN THE SPECIES NAMES WITH SOME OTHER SYMBOL, E.G. "Q".
            OF COURSE, A SIMILAR CHANGE MUST BE MADE TO THE SPECIES NAME IN THE THERMO
FILE.
!
EOR SOME REACTIONS WITH A PRESSURE DEPENDENCE, RATE CONSTANT VALUES
ARE LISTED AT SEVERAL PRESSURES, AND THE USER MUST CHOOSE THE DESIRED
PRESSURE.
FOR EXAMPLE, CONSIDER THE ADDITION OE H TO N2O TO FORM HNNO.
            THE FOLLOWING VALUES ARE LISTED:
        N2O+H=HNNO 1.16E+24 -4.46 10700 : 0.1 ATM N2
        N2O+H=HNNO 1.34E+25 -4.48 10770 ! 1 ATM N2
        N2O+H=HNNO 3.22E+26 -4.58 11227 ! 10. ATM N2
        N2O+H+M=HNNO+M 1.10E+27 -3.48 10770 ! M= N2,OK IF P=10,
I>1000 OR E=1, T>300
                AR/0.7/ H2O/7.0/ CO2/2.0/ CH4/2.0/
            THE USER SHOULD REMOVE THE "!" IN COLUMN 1 FOR THE DESIRED PRESSURE.
            (IN THIS INSTANCE, A "LOW PRESSURE" VALUE IS ALSO LISTED THAT IS APPLICAELE
                OVER A RANGE OF PRESSURES. IE THIS OPTION IS USED, THE "!" IN COLUMN 1
                EOR THE COLLISON EFEICIENCIES SHOULD ALSO BE REMOVED.)
                THE DEFAULT CHOICE FOR THE PRESSURE IS 1 ATM.
            THE THERMODYNAMIC PARAMETERS WE RECOMMEND ARE LISTED IN THE CHAPTER.
            A POLYNOMIAL FIT TO THESE VALUES (NASA FORMAT COMPATIBLE WITH CHEMKIN)
            IS AVAILABLE FROM THE AUTHORS.
WE HAVE INCLUDED THE UNDERLYING C-H-O CHEMISTRY OF MILLER AND BOWMAN IN THIS
MECHANISM
! THE USER MIGHT WANT TO REPLACE THAT WITH A MORE RECENT HYDROCARBON MECHANISM.
!*****************************************************************************************
*****!
!ELEMENTS
!H O N C CL AR
!END
!SPECIES
!NH3 NO N2O NO2
!N HNO2 NH NH2 NNH N2H4 N2H3 N2H2 H2NN HNOH
! HNO HON HNOO HONO HNNO NH2O
! H H2 O OH O2 HO2 H2O H2O2 N2 NH2NO NH2OH HNNNH2 H2NNHO HONHO HNNHO
!HCN CN HCNO NCO HNCO HOCN
!CH3NO H2CN HCNH CH2NN HCNN HNC CH2NO N*CHOH NCN NCCN
!CH3NH2 CH3N.H C.H2NH2 H2C*NH CH2*NNH2 CH3N*NH
!C CO CO2 HCO CH CH2 CH3 CH2S CH2O CH3O. C2H C.*C*O C2H3 C2H5
!CH4 C2H2 C2H4 C2H6 CH3OH C.H2OH HCOH
! AR
! END
!REACTIONS
! Beginning of nitrogen chapter mechanism
                                    O+N2=N+NO 1.95E+14 0.00 76817
```










|  | $\mathrm{NH} 2 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{NH} 2=\mathrm{HNOH}+\mathrm{NH} 3$ 1. | E+06 | 1.94 | 3229 | ! HTRANS | 2/6/96 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{NH} 2 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{NH} 2=\mathrm{NH} 2 \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{NH} 3$ | E +05 | 1.94 | 1888 | ! HTRANS | 2/6/96 |
|  | $\mathrm{NH} 2 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{HO} 2=\mathrm{HNOH}+\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} 2$ | E + 04 | 2.69 | 9557 | ! HTRANS | 2/6/96 |
|  | $\mathrm{NH} 2 \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{HO} 2=\mathrm{NH} 2 \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} 2$ | E+04 | 2.69 | 6418 | ! HTRANS | 2/6/96 |
| ! | $\mathrm{NH} 2 \mathrm{NO}=\mathrm{N} 2+\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} \quad 4.10 \mathrm{E}+33$ | -7.18 | 35170 | ! DISSOC | (TOT.) | 0.1 ATM |
|  | $\mathrm{NH} 2 \mathrm{NO}=\mathrm{N} 2+\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} \quad 3.10 \mathrm{E}+34$ | -7.11 | 36283 | ! DISSOC | (TOT.) | 1.0 ATM |
| $!$ | $\mathrm{NH} 2 \mathrm{NO}=\mathrm{N} 2+\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} \quad 2.90 \mathrm{E}+31$ | -5.91 | 36173 | ! DISSOC | (TOT.) | 10. ATM |
|  | $\mathrm{NH} 2 \mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{HNNO}+\mathrm{H} 24.80 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 1.50 | 7412 | ! HTRANS | 2/6/96 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{NH} 2 \mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{HNNO}+\mathrm{OH} 3.30 \mathrm{E}+08$ | 1.50 | 4699 | ! HTRANS | 2/6/96 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{NH} 2 \mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{OH}=\mathrm{HNNO}+\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O}$ | OE+06 | 2.00 | -70 | ! HTRANS | 2/6/96 |
|  | $\mathrm{NH} 2 \mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{CH} 3=\mathrm{HNNO}+\mathrm{CH} 4$ | E + 06 | 1.87 | 7183 | ! HTRANS | 2/6/96 |
|  | $\mathrm{NH} 2 \mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{NH} 2=\mathrm{HNNO}+\mathrm{NH} 3$ | OE+06 | 1.94 | 4540 | ! HTRANS | 2/6/96 |
|  | $\mathrm{NH} 2 \mathrm{NO}+\mathrm{HO} 2=\mathrm{HNNO}+\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} 2-2$ | E +04 | 2.69 | 12627 | ! HTRANS | 2/6/96 |
| ! | $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{NNHO}=\mathrm{NH} 2+\mathrm{HNO} 2.70 \mathrm{E}+39$ | -8.74 | 41618 | ! 0.1 AT | M DISSO | C 2/6/96 |
|  | $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{NNHO}=\mathrm{NH} 2+\mathrm{HNO} 2.40 \mathrm{E}+40$ | -8.73 | 41608 | $!1.00 \mathrm{E}+0$ | 0 ATM |  |
| ! | $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{NNHO}=\mathrm{NH} 2+\mathrm{HNO} 1.20 \mathrm{E}+41$ | -8.64 | 41578 | !1.00E+ | 01 ATM |  |
|  | $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{NNHO}+\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{HNNHO}+\mathrm{H} 2$ - 4. | E + 08 | 1.50 | -894 | ! HTRANS | 2/6/96 |
|  | $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{NNHO}+\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{HNNHO}+\mathrm{OH}$ | E+08 | 1.50 | -894 | ! HTRANS | 2/6/96 |
|  | $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{NNHO}+\mathrm{OH}=\mathrm{HNNHO}+\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} 2$. | E + 06 | 2.00 | -1192 | ! HTRANS | 2/6/96 |
|  | $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{NNHO}+\mathrm{CH} 3=\mathrm{HNNHO}+\mathrm{CH} 4$ 1. | E+06 | 1.87 | 378 | ! HTRANS | 2/6/96 |
|  | $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{NNHO}+\mathrm{NH} 2=\mathrm{HNNHO}+\mathrm{NH}_{3} 1$. | E+06 | 1.94 | -1152 | ! HTRANS | 2/6/96 |
|  | $\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{NNHO}+\mathrm{HO} 2=\mathrm{HNNHO}+\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{O} 22$. | E+04 | 2.69 | -1600 | ! HTRANS | 2/6/96 |
| ! | CLNO+M=NO+CL+M 1.30E+15 | 0.00 | 31991 | ! $M=A R$ |  |  |
| ! | N2/1.5/ O2/1.5/ | H2/1.5/ | H2O/10./ | $\mathrm{CO} 2 / 3.01$ | CH4/3 | . $0 /$ |
| $!$ | $\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CLNO}=\mathrm{CLO}+\mathrm{NO} \quad 5.00 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 0.00 | 3020 |  |  |  |
| ! | $\mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{CLNO}=\mathrm{HOCL}+\mathrm{NO} 5.40 \mathrm{E}+12$ | 0.00 | 2245 |  |  |  |
| $!$ | $\mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{CLNO}=\mathrm{HONO}+\mathrm{CL} 5.50 \mathrm{E}+10$ | 0.00 | -477 |  |  |  |
| ! | $\mathrm{CL}+\mathrm{CLNO}=\mathrm{CL} 2+\mathrm{NO} 4.00 \mathrm{E}+13$ | 0.00 | -258 |  |  |  |
| ! | $\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{CLNO}=\mathrm{HCL}+\mathrm{NO} 4.60 \mathrm{E}+13$ | 0.00 | 904 |  |  |  |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ This will provide unscaled ZPE, $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{vib}}$, and $\mathrm{S}_{\text {vib }}$ results.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Scott and Radom's scaling factor for frequency is used to scale ZPE, $\mathrm{H}_{\text {vib }}$, and $\mathrm{S}_{\text {vib }}$.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ User defined scaling factor is up to user's discretion.

[^1]:    Units: $\mathrm{Hf}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$ in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol} ; \mathrm{S}^{\circ}{ }_{298}$ and $\mathrm{Cp}(\mathrm{T})$ in cal/mol-K. Enthalpy value notations: $\mathrm{a}=\mathrm{BHandH} / 6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}), \mathrm{b}=\mathrm{B} 3 \mathrm{LYP} / 6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{p}), \mathrm{c}=\mathrm{MP} 2 / 6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$, $\mathrm{d}=\mathrm{QCISD}(\mathrm{T}) / 6-31 \mathrm{G} / / \mathrm{MP} 2 / 6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d}), \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{CBS}-\mathrm{q} / / \mathrm{MP} 2 / 6-31 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ and PM3 data are reported within braces; $\{.\}.$.

[^2]:    $\dagger$ Parameters: " $R$ " corresponds to atomic distance between respective atoms in Angstroms, "A" parameter is the bond angle in degrees and " $D$ " is the dihedral angle in degrees.
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    f High pressure limit rate constant determined in current study.

[^4]:    $\dagger$ Parameters: " $R$ " corresponds to atomic distance between respective atoms in Angstroms, " $A$ " parameter is the bond angle in degrees and " $D$ " is the dihedral angle in degrees.

