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## ABSTRACT

An Elementary Reaction Model for
Thermal Decomposition of $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in a $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ Atmosphere and
Experimental studies on Oxidation of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ Plus $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ Under Fuel Rich, stoichiometric and Fuel Lean Conditions

by<br>Cheng Guo

Pyrolysis of $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in an atmosphere of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, has been studied in a tubular flow reactor, 723 to 873 K . Overall reaction conversion proceeds via loss of Cl with $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ formation, then loss of the second Cl atom and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ product. Initiation occurs by unimolecular cl atom loss. Subsequent chain propagation steps rapidly generate active H atoms which abstract Cl atoms, but abstraction of fluorine atoms by atomic hydrogen is slow at the temperatures of this study.

A small mechanism, about 35 elementary reaction steps, and a thermodynamic data base were developed to model the reaction system. Agreement of the mechanism with the data at varied time and temperature is reasonable. Rate constants were determined to be in the fall-off regime for the unimolecular dissociation reactions, cl elimination, and were evaluated by Quantum Kassel Theory coupled with Transition State Theory. HCl and HF molecular elimination paths (both 1,1 and 1,2 ) and insertion of ${ }^{1}: C_{2}$ are also projected to be important in the high temperature chemistry of chloro-fluoro carbons.

$$
\text { Carbene elimination, both }{ }^{1}: \mathrm{CF}_{2} \text { and }{ }^{1}: C F C l \text { from }
$$

$\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$, and thermal reaction of $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ as well as subsequent formation of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ via ${ }^{\mathrm{l}}: \mathrm{CF}_{2}$ into $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ under reaction conditions similar to those utilized in this study can be important.

Part II of this study is the tubular flow reactor experiments on methanol oxidation in the presence of methane fuel at varied fuel equivalence ratios of 0.7-1.7, at 1 atmosphere pressure with residence times of 0.3 to 2.0 seconds and temperature range of $500-850$ C.

The extensive experimental data will be used as a basis for developing a detailed elementary reaction model for methanol/methane oxidation. Intermediate products: dimethyl ether $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ and formaldehyde dimethyl acetal $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, are observed in the low temperature regime (550-650C) and reported for the first time in this study. These products arise from the combination and addition reactions of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ radicals with themselves and with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ radical which are important and readily occur. It is a surprise that they have not been previously observed or anticipated. These observations reveal some new pathways in the methanol combustion process. The new reactions will be included in our reaction modeling studies so that the model can be extended to conditions outside our experimental validation range.
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## CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

There is compelling evidence that the build up of ChloroFluoro Carbons (CFCS) in the troposphere and the stratosphere are effecting significant reduction in ozone levels in the stratosphere $(1-3)$. This ozone reduction allows harmful radiation to reach the troposphere with predicted increases in skin cancer as a result. As a consequence the Montreal Protocol (4) was enacted banning certain chlorofluoro carbons by 1995 and calling for significant reductions in others, with specific scheduled phase outs of CFCs harmful to the ozone layer over the first several decades of the 21 century. Due to the very valuable properties these chloro-fluoro carbons have as refrigerants, aerosol propellants, fire retardants, etc..., they have been produced in very significant amounts - about 109 Kg/year.

The technical community is now faced with finding alternatives to these valuable chemicals and with either converting the large CFC supplies already in the market into less or non harmful substitutes or destroying the CFCs to produce non harmful products.

The objective of this effort is to demonstrate feasibility for conversion of the $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to environmentally acceptable materials hopefully with commercial value through pyrolysis in a hydrogen atmosphere. There are
presently vast stores of chlorofluorocarbons, which are in use as refrigerants, fire suppressants and foaming agent etc. Due to the proven ability of stable chlorinated organics to deplete stratospheric ozone, the chlorofluoro carbons are to be removed from service as specified in the Montreal Protocol and in new Federal Regulations. Consequently it may be of significant economic and environmental benefit if these materials can be converted into valuable and useful substances of lower Ozone Depletion Potential (ODP).

Thermodynamic analysis indicates the overall reactions of CFC'c with hydrogen to form hydrocarbons and HF plus HCl are very favorable over a wide range of temperature.
$\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{HCl} \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}=-23.3 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole}$
$\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}+2 \mathrm{HCl} \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}=-38.1 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole}$ $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{4}+2 \mathrm{HF}+2 \mathrm{HCl} \Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}=-78.6 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole}$ Due to the relative strong $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds (100 Kcal/mole), the weaker $c-c l$ bonds ( $70-80 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole}$ ) are easier to cleave (initiation of reaction) to form fluorocarbon radicals and $C l$.
$\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Cl} \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}=77.0 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole}$ There is also the possibility of molecular elimination channels forming singlet fluoro and chlorofluoro carbenes:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-->: \mathrm{CF}_{2}+\mathrm{Cl}_{2} & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}=74.7 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole} \\
\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-->: \mathrm{CFCl}+\mathrm{ClF} & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}=97.1 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole}
\end{array}
$$

The chloro-fluoro radical $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ will react, in this reaction system, with the $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ bath gas to form new $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonds plus H .

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{H} & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}= & 2.8 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole} \\
\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{H}_{2} & -\rightarrow \mathrm{HCl}+\mathrm{H} & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}=1.2 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole}
\end{array}
$$

The $: C F_{2}$ and $: C F C l$ singlet carbenes may undergo insertion reactions with $H_{2}$ to form an energized adduct $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}\right]^{\#}$ and $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCl}\right]^{\#}$. These adducts may be stabilized or react to lower energy products or back to the initial reactants before stabilization occurs.

$$
: \mathrm{CF}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2}<->\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}\right] \# \quad->\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}=-68.6 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole}
$$

(stabilized)

$$
->: \mathrm{CHF}+\mathrm{HF} \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}=0.1 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole}
$$

$$
: \mathrm{CFCl}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\left\langle->\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCl}\right] \#->\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCl} \quad \Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}=-57.6 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole}\right.
$$

(stabilized)

$$
->: \mathrm{CHF}+\mathrm{HCl} \Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}=9.0 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole}
$$

If reaction conditions could be adjusted so as this process were occurring to a significant extent, then the insertion reactions of $: C H F$ may continue with $H_{2}$, eventually forming all $\mathrm{HF}, \mathrm{HCl}$ plus hydrocarbons.

Converting the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F}$ and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds into $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{F}$ is thermodynamically favorable. But due to the higher $C-F$ bond energy, it is more difficult to initiate.

We therefore conclude one possible mechanism or conversion of a fully chlorinated/fluorinated chlorofluoro carbons which thermolysis in hydrogen atmosphere to
produce a partially hydrogenated chloro-fluoro carbon and HX. The partially hydrogenated chemical may then be useful and not harmful to the ozone layer.

Experimental results on the thermal conversion of $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in a $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ atmosphere to $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ between 723873 K were reported by M. L. Energia Inc.(5) In this study, a detailed chemical kinetic mechanism is developed from fundamental thermochemical principles to describe and help understand the reaction system. The model is applied to the observed reactant and product profiles and shows good agreement over a wide range of experimental data.

There are a limited number of studies on $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ pyrolysis in the literature with only one on pyrolysis (actually $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ irradiation) in $H_{2}$ atmosphere that we are aware of. This one previous study ${ }^{(6)}$ is a $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ photolysis/pyrolysis where up to 20 Torr of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ was added in some of the experiments, by the Grunwald research group. The results showed dramatic changes in stable product profiles when hydrogen was added; that is formation of partially and fully hydrogenated hydrocarbons occurred rapidly.

There is one preliminary report on pyrolysis of $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{ClCFCl}_{2}$ (Freon 113) which illustrates experimental results comparing pyrolysis in helium versus pyrolysis in hydrogen, by E. Ritter ${ }^{(7)}$. This data of Ritter show dramatic changes in both rates of reaction (exponential increases in overall rate of freon decomposition in the presence of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ) as well in the product distribution formation of hydrocarbons and partially hydrogenated chloro - fluoro carbons with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ present.

There is, in addition, one ultraviolet photochemistry study of Rebbert and Ausloos ${ }^{(8)}, 214-147 \mathrm{~nm}$, on $\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ from 234 to 453 K , temperature range, where they added the hydrocarbon ethane to the reactant system. They concluded from results of stable product analysis and reactions with added ethane (a cl atom Scavenger), that
the primary mechanism in their photo chemical studies was atomic chlorine elimination. Specifically the proposed multiple chlorine atom eliminations are:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}--> & 2 \mathrm{Cl}+: \mathrm{CFCl} \\
\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \rightarrow-> & 2 \mathrm{Cl}+: \mathrm{CF}_{2}
\end{array}
$$

where there was ample photon energy for this process to occur in their studies. Rebbert and Ausloos(8) considered chemical activation processes to explain their observed products in only two of the about forty reactions proposed. The possibility of alternate paths, involving insertion of $: C F_{2}$ or $: C F C l$, which could have been produced via $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ elimination (reverse of insertion as the initiation steps), could however be postulated as the mechanism of formation for several of their observed products. Still their product distributions and proposed qualitative mechanism are in very good agreement and they concluded insertion molecular elimination was not an important initiation process.

The thermal decomposition of the chlorofluorocarbons $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}\right)$ were initially studied in a 4 cm ID $x 20 \mathrm{~cm}$ alumina vessel by Trenwith and watson (9) in 1957 over the temperature range $400-900 \mathrm{~K}$ and at the pressure of about one atmosphere. They found that stability increases in proportion of fluorine atoms in the molecule.

The decomposition of $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in this study (9) was over the range $700-900 \mathrm{~K}$. The $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ itself was quite stable
below 750 K and almost completely decomposed at 900 K . The principal products were chloro-trifluoro-methane and chlorine; in addition there were smaller amounts of tri-chloro-fluoro-methane and carbon tetrachloride. They proposed the following mechanism:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Cl} \\
& \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+\mathrm{Cl}=\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \\
& \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{CFCl}_{2} \\
& \mathrm{CFCl}_{2}+\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}
\end{aligned}
$$

In 1976, Dever and Grunwald(6), see above, found that photolysis of $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ with a pulsed $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ laser ( $1.5 \mathrm{MW} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ irradiation of 60 Torr of $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$ at frequency of $1090 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) resulted in the formation of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{6}, \mathrm{CF}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as detected products. In the presence of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, the principal one-carbon product becomes $\mathrm{CHF}_{3}$; additional two-carbon products (molecular weight growth) are $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$. Similar condition of 60 Torr of $\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}$ at $1079 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ yields $\mathrm{CCl}_{2}=\mathrm{CF}_{2}$ and cis- and trans-CFCl=CFCl as detected products either as the pure gas or in the presence of $0-20$ Torr $H_{2}$. Above 20 Torr of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$, formation of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ becomes more important.

The decomposition of $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ by a pulsed infrared $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ laser at $921 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1088 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ was reported by Hill et al (10). Major reaction products were $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{ClCF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$, which account for about $83 \%$ of the decomposed $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Minor products formed initially were $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{ClCFCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}$.

There was no evidence for $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$. They also suggested a mechanism:
$\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Cl}$
$\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}->\left[\right.$ singlet collision complex] $\rightarrow \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{ClCF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$
$\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} \rightarrow\left[\right.$ triplet collision complex] $\rightarrow \mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}+{ }^{3} \mathrm{CFCl}$
J. Hudgens (11) presented results obtained by a two stage differentially pumped molecular beam sampling mass spectrometer designed to allow in situ optical and mass spectral analysis of the photolysis products generated with infrared pulses from $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{TEA}$ laser (irradiation wavelength were 9.24 um for $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and 9.27 um for $\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}$ ). They reported that the dominant decomposition channel and reaction barriers of $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}$ were

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Cl} & \mathrm{Ea}=78 \mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{mol} \\
\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}=\mathrm{CFCl}_{2}+\mathrm{Cl} & \mathrm{Ea}=77 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}
\end{array}
$$

During the 1970's, many scientists focused on the initial reaction steps of $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}$ photo decomposition. They demonstrated that Cl elimination is the initial step by molecular beam experiments employing detection of fragments by mass spectrometry and/or chemical scavengers. Fluorine atom or $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ elimination is negligibly small. In 1978 and 1979, Sudbo et al $(12,13)$ presented two papers about multiphoto dissociation of chlorofluorocarbon molecules. The experiments clearly indicated that single halogen atom Cl or Br elimination is the major process of unimolecular dissociation for halogenated methanes. They estimated that less than $10 \%$ of the $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$
could have dissociated through the three center elimination channel:
$\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}->: \mathrm{CF}_{2}+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$
In 1981, Morrison et al (14) measured absolute conversions and branching ratios for simple bond scission versus diradical formation in the infrared $\operatorname{co}_{2}$ laser ( $927 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) multiphoto dissociation of methyl freons in a serious of scavenged product analysis experiments. The maximum yields of $: \mathrm{CF}_{2}$ from $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were found to be $10 \%$. They indicated that $: C F_{2}$ is formed from $C F_{2} C l_{2}$ in competition with $C-C l$ scission via direct reaction to a low energy primary pathway, not the result of high energy secondary fragmentation.

Naegeli et al (15) studied pyrolysis and oxidation of $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ added to methane and propane air flames to observe effects on flame stability and speed. Varied ratios of freon to fuel showed complete loss of the freon and about $80 \%$ production of HCl for 10 w ratios ( 0.1 ). At higher ratios - approaching 1.0 , the parent freon was destroyed to near completion, but $H X$ acid production could only account for less than $20 \%$ of the mass balance, before flame extinction occurred.

Tokuhashi et al (16) studied decomposition of $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in a Bunsen type burner and observed significant amounts of nonconverted parent freon in the products when the freon/methane ratio exceeded 0.2 . While this is in con-
trast to the data of Naegeli et al, the studies used different types of burners and this could explain the differences.

The molecular beam experiments (12-14) employing mass spectrometry detection of decomposition fragments or analysis of radical scavengers have clearly demonstrated that photo dissociation by $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ laser irradiation proceeds by Cl atom elimination, not molecular elimination for these molecular systems $-\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{CFCl}_{3}$, and $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}$.

Further kinetic studies on chlorofluorocarbon decomposition were reported in 1989 and 1990. Zitter et al $(17,18)$ presented a detailed study of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ laser initiated decomposition of $\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}(17)$ plus $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(18)$ experiments around 50 Torr of the freon, and also concluded that initial decomposition of these molecules occurs by Cl atom elimination from these molecules. They postulated a set of reaction mechanism:
Their research, as that of Ausloos, showed molecular

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Cl} \\
& \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}=\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{ClCF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} \\
& \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Cl}=\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \\
& \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{CFCl}_{2} \\
& \mathrm{CFCl}_{2}+\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=\mathrm{CFCl}_{3}+\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} \\
& \mathrm{CFCl}_{2}+\mathrm{Cl}=\mathrm{CFCl}_{3} \\
& \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{CFCl}_{2}=\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{ClCFCl}_{2} \\
& \mathrm{CFCl}_{2}+\mathrm{CFCl}_{2}=\mathrm{CFCl}_{2} \mathrm{CFCl}_{2} \\
& \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+\mathrm{Cl}=\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

weight growth from combination and possible insertion reactions of the initial radical species occurred. Both studies also emphasized that insertion reactions did not appear to be important processes. Both of Zitter's studies, however, also concluded that there were reaction products, which were not well explained by their qualitative mechanisms.

## CHAPTER 3 <br> RESEARCH BACRGROUND

### 3.1 Methods for The Estimation of Thermochemical Data

Thermochemical data are required to determine the energy balance in chemical reactions and in determining the Gibbs Free Energy of a reaction as a function of temperature. The data also provide a convenient way to determine reverse reaction rate constants from the calculated equilibrium constant of the reaction and the known forward rate. This thermodynamic property data set also serves as the foundation of our mechanism development.

The thermodynamic data base, entropy $\left(S_{298}\right)$, enthalpy of formation $\left(H_{f 298}\right)$ and heat capacity $C_{p}(T)$, is based upon the best currently available thermochemical data. When experimentally based thermochemical data were not available, the values were estimated using the THERM computer code (19), which is based on the group additivity techniques $(20)$.

### 3.1.1 Benson Group Additivity Method

The group-additivity method is applicable for $C_{p}, H_{f 298}$, $S_{298}$. A group is defined as a polyvalent atom(ligancy $\geq 2$ ), in a molecule together with all of its ligands. Molecules such as $\mathrm{HOH}, \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ that contain only one such atom (i.e., only one group), are irreducible entities and
do not need to be treated by group additivity. For each group, the key central atom is given then followed by notation specifying other atoms bonded to the key atom.

For radicals, an average $c_{p}$ can be calculated from values for adjacent saturated and olefinic hydrocarbons to obtain a value for the intermediate radical, for example :
$C_{p}(C C)=.1 / 2\left[C_{p}(C C)+C_{p}(C=C)\right]$
This is a method for an initial rough estimate. The hydrogen bond dissociation group method (see below) is more accurate and recommended.

In calculating entropies by using the group additivity method, correction factors are considered for: symmetry number, optical isomers, degenerate spin of the electron etc. For example:
$S p=S$ (int.) $-R * \ln \sigma_{p}+R * \ln O I$
$S r=S p+R * \ln \sigma_{p}-R * \ln \sigma_{r}+R * \ln 2-0.1-2.6$
Sp : Parent molecule entropy
Spint.: Intrinsic parent molecule entropy
$\sigma_{\mathrm{p}} \quad:$ Number of parent molecule symmetry
$\sigma_{r} \quad: \quad$ Number of radical symmetry
R : Gas constant
$S_{r} \quad:$ Radical entropy
OI : Optical Isomer
. Add R*ln2 for free radical electron spin

- Subtract 0.1 for loss of H atom
- Subtract 2.6 , if loss of an $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ or $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ rotor, compare with parent molecule.


### 3.1.2 Method of Hydrogen Atom Bond Dissociation Group

A data base of $H$ atom bond dissociation (BD) groups (21) is derived for calculating thermodynamic properties on generic classes of radical species relevant to combustion and atmospheric chemistry. It is based on: l. evaluated literature bond energies; 2. calculated changes resulting from loss and/or change in vibration frequencies including inversion; 3. changes in barriers to internal rotation; 4. spin degeneracy; and 5. external rotational and translational effects. The BD groups, when coupled with thermodynamic properties of the corresponding generic class of stable molecules, yield accurate thermodynamic properties for the respective radicals.

A computer code, THERM, which can be used to estimate, edit, or enter thermodynamic property data for gas phase radicals and molecules using Benson's group additivity method has been developed and reported $(19,22)$. One important aspect of THERM is its ability to accurately estimate thermodynamic properties for a wide range of organic species, knowing only a bond type and the thermodynamic properties of the stable parent. These properties for radical species are calculated by applying Hydrogen Atom Bond Dissociation Increments to the corresponding property of stable parent molecules to reflect loss of the H atom.

Benson's group estimation technique is an accurate
method for the estimation of ideal gas phase heat capacities, heats of formation, and entropies of molecules. This method assumes that the properties for a chemical substance are the sum of the contributions from each group or poly-valence atom (central atom) in that molecule. It is referred to as a second order estimation technique since next-nearest-neighbor corrections, and to some extent, chemical structures are accounted for. Estimation based upon chemical bond contributions alone are known as first order estimation techniques, while those based upon atomic contributions alone are referred to as zero order techniques. The second order estimation techniques are naturally more accurate than lower order techniques, however, more information about the molecule is required. Several other estimation techniques based upon group contribution principles are presented in Reid, Prausnitz, and Sherwood(23). This method, however, has become the most widely accepted due, in part, to its ease of use and relative accuracy when compared with other techniques.

To use Benson's method, one must become familiar with the notation used to describe the groups. For example if we consider chlorobenzene $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{Cl}\right)$ we say that it has one $C_{B}-(C l)$ group and five $C_{B}-(H)$ groups. $C_{B}-(C l)$ refers to a carbon atom in a benzene ring which is attached to a cl atom, while $C_{B}-(H)$ refers to a carbon atom in a benzene ring which is attached to an $H$ atom. THERM identifies $C_{B}{ }^{-}$
(Cl) as $C B / C l$ and $C_{B}-(H)$ as $C B / H$. For a second example consider propane $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8}\right)$. This molecule contains two C (H3) (C) groups for the two terminal methyis, and one $C$ (H2)(C2) for the center $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ group. THERM identifies $C$ ( H 3 ) (C) as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{H} 3$, with C ( H 2 ) (C2) identifies as $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} 2 / \mathrm{H} 2$. We feel alphabetical order is preferred for simplicity in remembering the order of atoms in the group id. In addition to the group's id, various corrections for symmetry, optical isomers, ring structure, steric effects must be included where necessary.

Properties for the radicals may be estimated two different ways. One may estimate thermodynamic properties directly with radical group contributions as described by Benson. A second method applies only a single bond dissociation (BD) increment to stable molecules reflecting loss of an $H$ atom from that species.

Conceptually $B D$ groups are based on specific bond energies $(\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{H})$ and differences in heat capacity $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}(\mathrm{T})$ and entropy $S_{298}$, e.g.
$B D\left(C_{p 500}\right)=C_{p 500}$ Radical $-C_{p 500}$ parent or
$B D\left(S_{298}\right)=S_{298}$ Radical $-S_{298}$ parent,
for generic classes of molecules. This group, once obtained, can then be added to the corresponding property of other molecules in that generic class to obtain the property of radical corresponding to the $H$ atom loss. The BD groups have one advantage over those of the radical groups developed by Benson in that only one $B D$ group is
needed for a given radical. In the Benson scheme a radical group is needed for each central atom radical, in addition to a group for each central atom group attached to the radical center. Thus the Benson method requires a larger and more complex data set for determination of radical species thermodynamic properties.

The bond dissociation( $B D$ ) groups incorporate the following:

1. Accurate bond energies ( $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{H}$ ) for specific classes of compounds.
2. Entropy corrections for electron spin and optical isomers.
3. Loss of vibrations and changes in vibration frequencies.
4. Entropy and heat capacity corrections for changes in rotational barriers for rotors connected to radical centers (Benson tables A.18-A.20).
5. Inversion frequencies of carbon centered radicals.
6. Corrections to translational and rotational entropy for small molecules where loss of $H$ atom contributes are described.
7. Changes in Symmetry are included by THERM.

The calculation of heats of formation for radical species simply uses the literature values of the bond dissociation (BD) energy for the specific $H$ atom which is removed to effect the desired radical, plus the definition
of bond energy ( BE ):
$\mathrm{BE}=\Sigma \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}($ products $)-\Sigma \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{f}}$ (reactants)
for simple loss of $a \mathrm{H}$ atom from an organic molecule:
$\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{H} \quad->$ R. +H
$B E=H_{f}\left(R_{0}\right)+H_{f}(H)-H_{f}(R-H)$
$H_{f}$ of the radical: $H_{f}(R)=.B E-H_{f}(H)+H_{f}(R-H)$
The Bond Energy and $H_{f}$ of the corresponding Parent (RH) may be obtained from group additivity (THERM) or from the Iiterature (24-27).

For radical entropies and heat capacities, we have examples for some BD groups below:

RC.H2 = PARENT - V/C-H/ - 2V/H-C-H/ - V/HCC,TR/ +
V/INV-H2/ - IR/RCH2-CH3/ + R/RCH2-CH2/
R2C.H $=$ PARENT $-2 I R / \mathrm{CH} 3-\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 5 /+2 \mathrm{IR} / \mathrm{CH} 3-\mathrm{C} . \mathrm{HCH} 3 /-$
$\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{-} / \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{-} 2 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{INV}-\mathrm{CH} /$
R3C. $=$ PARENT $-3 I R / C H 3-I P R O P /-3 I R / C H 3-C .(C H 3) 2 /-$
$\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} /-3 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} /+\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{INV}-\mathrm{C} 2 /$
here $V$ and $I R$ indicate vibration and internal rotation respectively. The methods for calculation of entropies and heat capacities incorporate effects from both loss of vibrations and changes in vibration frequencies. Effects of changes in rotational barriers due to formation of the radical are also accounted for. For example, resonance stabilization usually increases rotational barriers, and radical centers on carbons adjacent to saturated HC groups usually decrease the internal rotational barrier.
$s_{\text {tot }}=s_{t}+s_{e r}+s_{i r}+s_{\text {vib }}+s_{\text {elec }}$
$C_{\text {ptot }}=C_{p t}+C_{\text {per }}+C_{p i r}+C_{p v i b}$
where $t$ is translation, er and ir are external internal rotation.

The radicals we calculated by this computer code are $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{CFCl}_{2}, \mathrm{CHFCl}$ and CFCl . The input file is: $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}=\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} /-2 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F} /-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl} /+\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{INV}-\mathrm{F} 2 \mathrm{Cl} /$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} /-2 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} /-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F} /+\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{INV}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~F} /$ $\mathrm{CFCl}_{2}=\mathrm{CHFCl}_{2}-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} /-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F} /-2 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl} /+\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{INV}-\mathrm{Cl} 2 \mathrm{~F} /$ $\mathrm{CHFCl}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCl}-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} /-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} /-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F} /-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl} /+\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{INV}-\mathrm{HFCl}$ $\mathrm{CFCl}=\mathrm{CHFCl}-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} /-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F} /-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{Cl} /$

The output file and calculation as well as the current rotation and vibration datca base are attached in the Appendix.

### 3.2 Quantum Kassel Theory

Energized Complex/Quantum Kassel and termed QRRK by Dean $(28,30)$ and later modified by Ritter and Bozzelli(19) for the formation of energized complex was used for modeling reactions involving atom and radical addition to an unsaturated bond and atom plus radical or radical plus radical combination reactions. The modified Quantum Kassel algorithm by Ritter and Bozzelli used Gamma function evaluations for the energy dependent term instead of factorials.

This modification obviates the need to round up the
barriers to the nearest integer, before summation with respect to the energy. As a result the summations are not stopped at integer values of energy. This modification improves the Quantum Kassel prediction, especially at lower temperature where a small change of barrier can give large effect.

The Quantum Kassel approach assumes the formation of an activated complex $[A B]^{\#}$ due to the addition or combination of two species $A$ and $B$. This activated complex has energy equal to the sum of $\Delta H f[A B]$ o (heat of formation of stabilized adduct $A B^{\circ}$ ) plus the bond energy for the formation of adduct. The following pathways are now available to the energized complex:
(i) It can dissociate back to reactants $A$ and $B$.
(ii) It can stabilize to $A B^{\circ}$ and lose the extra energy from the new bond formation in the adduct.
(iii) It can dissociate to new products, by unimolecular reaction which are viable, because of its extra energy.


For condition of steady state: ( $d A B^{\#} / d t=0$ )
$[A B]^{\#}=k_{1}[A][B] /\left(k_{-1}+k_{2}+k_{s t a b}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d[C]}{d t}=\frac{d[D]}{d t}=\frac{k_{1} k_{2}[A][B]}{k_{-1}+k_{2}+k_{s t a b}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\frac{d\left(A B^{\circ}\right)}{d t}=\frac{k_{s t a b} k_{1}[A][B]}{k_{-1}+k_{2}+k_{s t a b}}$
$k_{\text {stab }}$ is calculated via the method of Troe (31) and uses the weak collision assumption and a "Troe" beta function for collision stabilization efficiency.

Eq. (2) and (3) provide apparent reaction rate constants to provide $C+D$ and stabilized adduct, $A B^{\circ}$, by Quantum Kassel approach.

The $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are functions of energy and are determined by an expression given by Dean.

In contrast to the above Quantum Kassel approach the more conventional thermal equilibrium analysis will consider the reaction as:

$$
A+B<\frac{k_{1}}{k_{-1}} A B \xrightarrow{k_{2}} C+D
$$

For conditions of steady state

$$
\begin{align*}
& (A B)_{s s}=k_{1}[A][B] /\left(k_{-1}+k_{2}\right)  \tag{4}\\
& \quad \frac{d([C]+[D])}{d t}=\frac{k_{1} k_{2}[A][B]}{\left(k_{-1}+k_{2}\right)}=k_{2} k_{e q}[A][B] \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Eq. (5) in the limit $k_{-1} \gg k_{2}$ reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d([C]+[D])}{d t}=\frac{k_{1} k_{2}[A][B]}{k_{-1}}=k_{2} k_{e q}[A][B] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$k_{e q}=k_{1} / k_{-1}=[A B] /([A][B])$
Eq. (3) and (6) demonstrate that substantial differences in the computed apparent rate constants for the formation of $C+D$ can arise depending upon whether one uses a Quantum Kassel or chemical thermal approach. Dean, Ritter and Bozzelli showed that the two approaches become equivalent only at the high pressure limit, where all of the [AB]\# is stabilized prior to reaction. Dean $(28)$ found that the pressure required for complete stabilization varies extensively energy with species and temperature.

The present study of $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ system was carried at temperature between 723 K and 873 K and pressure of 1 atm. The Quantum Kassel treatment of energized complex formation and considerations of its stabilization, plus forward and reverse reaction channels is a more accurate way to analyze the thermal reaction processes. Microreversibility for all reactions was also used in the mechanism to evaluate "reasonableness" of the reaction in each direction. Equilibrium could be established for any reaction should the necessary conditions exist via reverse reaction.

CHAPTER 4
EXPERIMENT

### 4.1 Experimental Apparatus

A schematic of the flow reactor is show in Figure 4.1. The high temperature tubular flow reactor was controlled isothermally and at atmosphere pressure in the study. The tubular flow reactor was made of quartz with ID of $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ and maintained at a constant temperature by a brass block constant temperature oven.

The reactor heating block is machined from aluminum bronze alloy (CDA954), and insulated with a ceramic blanket. Heating is achieved by four Watlow Jl6A12 heating cartridges with Watlow 965 controller. Temperature is maintained constant to $+/-1$ C by thermo-couple feed back to the proportional controller.

A reactant stream is created by mixing a flow of gaseous reactants $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ into a hydrogen flow stream (reducing bath gas) or by bubbling $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ carrier through liquid $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ which is held at a constant temperature 0 C (ice/salt bath). The gas mixture then flows through a preheat zone, then the quartz flow tube, which is heated by the temperature oven. After flowing through the preheat zone, the reactant gas mixture goes through the isothermal reaction zone. The gas mixture is held slightly above one atmosphere pressure (several cm of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ).

The $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ stream exits the quartz reactor and is
then vented to the hood. The outlet of the reactor has connections for syringe collection of the effluent gas, a bubbler for collection of HCl and to an evacuated glass bulb for collection of a batch gas sample for further GC or GC/MS analysis.

### 4.2 Quantitative Analysis of Reaction Products

Each experimental run was analyzed by conventional packedcolumn GC. Samples were drawn by syringe from the cooled exit stream of the reaction chamber. For selected runs, gas samples were passed into all all-glass sampling bulb for further analysis by GC/MS. .

Gas Chromatography was performed on a Perkin Elmer 900 GC with FID detector. The column is $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ OD x 2 meter length stainless steel tube packed with supelco Graphpac $60 / 80$ mesh with $5 \%$ Fluorcal coating. The operating conditions are: isothermal temperature is 50 C ; flow rate of carrier gas $N 2$ is $30 \mathrm{cc} / \mathrm{min}$.

Some products could not be identified from GC retention times, and therefore GC/MS analysis was employed.
organic hydrocarbon and chlorocarbon products were identified by using GC/MS Spectrometry on batch samples of reactor gas drown into evacuated Pyrex and stainless steel sample cylinders. A Finnigan 4000 quadrupole mass spectrometer equipped with an HP 5710A Gas Chromatograph and Techivent Vector-1 Data station was used for these analy-
sis. The GC was equipped with a 50 meter (OV101) 0.25 mm ID capillary column with methyl silicone stationary phase. Approximately 2 ml of reactor gas was injected into the GC using a 6 -port sampling valve and cryofocused at the head of the column with liquid nitrogen for 10 minutes to trap the non-methane organics. The $G C$ was held at 35 C for 4 minutes and then programmed at a rate of $16 \mathrm{C} / \mathrm{min}$. to 200 C .

### 4.3 Hydrochloric Acid Analysis

Hydrochloric acid ( HCl ) analysis is performed for each experimental run. The sample for HCl were collected independently from the $G C$ sampling. In the analysis, the effluent was bubbled through a two stage bubbler before exhaust to the fume hood. Each stage of bubbler contained 20 ml of 0.01 M NaOH , plus $2-3$ drops of phenolphthalien indicator. The gas passed through the two bubbler stages until the first stage solution reached the indicator endpoint. The time required for this to occur was recorded. At this point the bubbling was stopped, and the aliquots were combined and then titrated to their end point with standardized 0.01 M HCl .

Acknowledgment: The author acknowledge $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ experiment done by $S$. Gulati, M. Lavid and A. Poulos at M. L. Energia, Inc., Princeton, NJ 08542 (5).

Figure 4.1 Experimental System

### 5.1 Description

$\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is observed to undergo thermal reaction with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ only at temperatures above 723 K . The temperature dependence of $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ decomposition is illustrated in Figure 5.1, which plots fractional concentrations of $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ relative to initial concentration, at nearly constant reactor residence time $2.6-3.2$ seconds, versus reactor temperature at constant composition. The $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ decomposition at a constant temperature $(T=823 \mathrm{~K}) \mathrm{vs}$ different residence time is shown in Figure 5.2.

The major products in the thermal reaction systems of $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ are $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ and HCl (up to $93 \%$ selectivity). Secondary products are $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ (up to $20 \%$ ), and traces of $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CHF}_{2}$. Small (trace) amounts (<1\%) of $\mathrm{CHFCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{ClCF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ are also measured. All these compounds were identified from $G C$ retention times of standards and from GC/MS assignment.

The product distribution is a function of temperature, residence time and ratio of $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{H}_{2}$. Table 5.1 lists the experimental data at residence time of 3 second and $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}: \mathrm{H}_{2}=8: 92$. Extremely high selectivity toward $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ is obtained in the temperature range $773-823 \mathrm{~K}$. At 873 K , the selectivity drops to approximately $60 \%$ due to further reaction of $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ in its conversion to $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$. Experimen-
tal results at different residence time at temperature of 823 K are also listed in Table 5.2.

The initiation step in this reaction system involves unimolecular decomposition of $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ :

$$
\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Cl} \quad \Delta \mathrm{Hf}=77.0 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole}
$$

Thermodynamic analysis for the other channels like

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}->\mathrm{CFCl} 2+\mathrm{F} \quad \wedge \mathrm{Hf}=115.57 \mathrm{KCal} / \mathrm{mole} \\
& \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-->: \mathrm{CFCl}+\mathrm{ClF} \quad \wedge \mathrm{Hf}=97.08 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole}
\end{aligned}
$$

indicate that these two reactions are not reasonable as initial reactions since higher energy barriers limit these processes. Our analysis of the dissociation of $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is in agreement with previous studies (12)-(14).
$H$ atom is rapidly produced from $C l$ atom reaction with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$
$\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{H}_{2}-\mathrm{HCl}+\mathrm{H}$
which serves to continue the chain reaction sequence.
The $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ will now react with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ bath gas.
$\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{H} \quad \mathrm{Hf}=2.76 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole}$
$H$ atoms from reaction (2) and (4) as well as other similar reactions will abstract Cl from the parent $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ forming additional $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ radicals.
$\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+\mathrm{H} \rightarrow \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{HCl}$
The $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ radical can also rapidly react with itself to form a chemically activated adduct $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]^{\#}$ as discussed in 5.2.2.

Further conversion from $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ to $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ can occur by the following different paths:
(i). $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{H}--\mathrm{CHF}_{2}+\mathrm{HCl}$
$\mathrm{CHF}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}+\mathrm{H}$
(ii). $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} \rightarrow \mathrm{CF}_{2}+\mathrm{HCl}$
$: \mathrm{CF}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2}--\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$
Sensitivity analysis show that both paths are impor-
tant but path (i) is more important than the other one.

### 5.2 Quantum Kassel Calculations on combination and carbene <br> (:CF ${ }_{2}$, $\mathrm{CFCl},: \mathrm{CHF}$ Insertion / Elimination Reactions)

The decomposition of the energized radical and molecular complexes was modeled using the Quantum Kassel calculations previously described. The details of the quantum Kassel theory are presented in Chapter 3.

Figure 5. 3-20 illustrate the energy level diagrams and apparent rate constants versus temperature and pressure for
a. Unimolecular dissociation:
i. $\quad \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-->$ products
ii. $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}-->$ products
b. Bimolecular chemical activation reactions:
i. $\quad \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}-->$ products
ii. $: \mathrm{CF}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \quad-->$ products
iii. : $\mathrm{CFCl}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \quad-->$ products
iv. : $\mathrm{CHF}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \quad-->$ products

The input rate parameters used in these calculations are listed in Table 5.3-5.8.

### 5.2.1 Unimolecular Dissociation

i. $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-->$ products
$\begin{array}{rll}\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} & \begin{array}{l}\mathrm{k}_{1} \\ -\mathrm{k}_{2} \\ \mathrm{KF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Cl}\end{array} & \bullet \mathrm{H}_{298}=77.0 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole} \\ & --\mathrm{CF}_{2}+\mathrm{Cl}_{2} & \bullet \mathrm{H}_{298}=74.7 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole} \\ & -->: \mathrm{CFCl}+\mathrm{ClF} & \wedge \mathrm{H}_{298}=97.1 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole}\end{array}$
The $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ dissociation reaction is the most important reaction in this reaction system under initiation conditions. The energy level diagram and calculation results shown in Figure 5.3-5.5 indicate that the dominant channel is the dissociations to $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Cl}\right)$. The other possible dissociation channel is $\left(: \mathrm{CF}_{2}+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. These results are further verified by previously research of sudbo et al $(12,13)$ and Morrison et al(14).

## ii. $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$--> products

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} & \stackrel{\mathrm{k}_{1}}{-1}: \mathrm{CFCl}^{2}+\mathrm{HF} & \wedge \mathrm{H}_{298}=45.0 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole} \\
& \mathrm{k}_{2} \\
& -->: \mathrm{CF}_{2}+\mathrm{HCl} & \wedge \mathrm{H}_{298}=53.9 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole} \\
& \mathrm{k}_{3}>\mathrm{CHF}_{2}+\mathrm{Cl} & \bullet \mathrm{H}_{298}=87.1 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole} \tag{2c}
\end{array}
$$

The $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ is formed by
$\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{H} \quad \Delta \mathrm{HF}=2.76 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole}$
which radical $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ is formed by decomposition of the parent $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in this study. Quantum Kassel calculations indicate that the two three-center elimination channels are dominant ones where the (2a) channel is more important
as shown in Figure 5.6-5.8.

### 5.2.2 Bimolecular Chemical Activation Reactions.

## i. $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ Reaction (combination)

The $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ radical can arise from dissociation of $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or from H atom abstracting Cl from $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ to form $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ and HCl .
$\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} \leftrightarrow\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]^{\#}$

$$
\begin{align*}
-\rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}+\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \bullet \mathrm{H}_{298} & =-25.5 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole}(3 \mathrm{a}) \\
-\rightarrow \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Cl} \Delta \mathrm{H}_{298} & =-6.2 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole}(3 \mathrm{~b}) \\
--\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \Delta \mathrm{H}_{298} & =-87.0 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole}(3 \mathrm{c}) \tag{3c}
\end{align*}
$$

The fraction of activated $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]^{\#}$ that decomposes to reactants, lower energy products $C_{2} F_{4}+C l_{2}$ or $C_{2} F_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ +Cl , or is stabilized to $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is a function of energy distribution in the initially formed $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]^{\#}$ adduct (temperature), stabilizing collisions (pressure), and unimolecular and stabilization rate constants.

Due to the high energy barrier (activation energy of $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ elimination), Channel (3a) does not occur. The channel (3b) and (3c) are both thermodynamically favorable relative to initial energy of the reactants. The energy level diagram for the above reaction system is shown in Figure 5.9. The Quantum Kassel calculations for the temperature range of 298 to 2000 K and pressure range of 0.1-7600 Torr indicate that the $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ channel (stabilization) is
dominant as shown in Figure 5.10-5.11.

## ii. $: \mathrm{CF}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ Reaction

Carbene radicals (all singlets in lowest energy form for this study) such as $: \mathrm{CF}_{2}$, $: \mathrm{CFCl}, \mathrm{CHF}$ which can be formed by dissociation of $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ will combine with bath gas $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ via insertion reactions also forming energized complexes as with the $\left[\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right]^{\#}$ above. These adducts can react to lower energy products before stabilization.

$$
\begin{align*}
: \mathrm{CF}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} & <>\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}\right]^{\#} \\
& -->: \mathrm{CHF}+\mathrm{HF}  \tag{4a}\\
& --\mathrm{H}_{298}=0.1 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole}  \tag{4b}\\
& ->\mathrm{CHF}_{2}+\mathrm{H}  \tag{4c}\\
\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2} & \Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}=34.4 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole} \\
& \Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}=-68.6 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole}
\end{align*}
$$

The Quantum Kassel calculations show that reaction (4a) and (4c) are important ones. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ channel is dominant. But when pressure decreases (as low as 1 Torr) and temperature increases (above 1000 K ), the channel : $\mathrm{CHF}+\mathrm{HF}$ becomes more important. The energy level diagram and calculation results of $: \mathrm{CF}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ are shown in Fig 5.12-5.14.

## iii. : CFCl $+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ Reaction

$$
\begin{align*}
: \mathrm{CFCl}+\mathrm{H}_{2} & \leftrightarrow\left[\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCl}\right]^{\#} \\
& ->\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}+\mathrm{Cl}  \tag{5a}\\
& \bullet \mathrm{H}_{298}=25.9 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole}  \tag{5b}\\
& ->: \mathrm{CHF}+\mathrm{HCl}  \tag{5c}\\
& \bullet \mathrm{H}_{298}=9.0 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole} \\
\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCl} & \bullet \mathrm{H}_{298}=-57.6 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole}
\end{align*}
$$

The Quantum Kassel calculations show that stabilization (5c) is dominant reaction. Only at low pressure (1

Torr) and high temperature (above 1000 K ), the other two channels $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}+\mathrm{Cl}$ and $: \mathrm{CHF}+\mathrm{HCl}$ become more important. The energy level diagram and Quantum kassel calculations of : CFCl $+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ are shown in Fig 5.15-5.17.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { iv. : } \mathrm{CHF}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \text { Reaction } \\
& : \mathrm{CHF}+\mathrm{H}_{2}\left\langle->\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~F}\right]^{\#}\right. \\
& -->\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{F}  \tag{6a}\\
& \Delta \mathrm{H}_{298}=27.7 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole} \\
& \text {--> } \mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{~F}+\mathrm{H} \\
& \text { - } \mathrm{H}_{298}=18.1 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole}  \tag{6b}\\
& -->: \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{HF}  \tag{6c}\\
& \wedge \mathrm{H}_{298}=10.1 \mathrm{Kcal} / \text { mole }(6 \mathrm{c}) \\
& \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~F}  \tag{6d}\\
& \wedge \mathrm{H}_{298}=-81.9 \mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mole}
\end{align*}
$$

The energy diagram and Quantum Kassel calculations about reaction $: \mathrm{CHF}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ are shown in Figure 5.18-5.20. The calculation results indicate that the stabilization channel (6d) is dominant reaction. The channel (6b) becomes important only at high temperature (above 1000 K ) and low pressure (1 Torr).

### 5.3 Kinetic Mechanism and Modeling

A detailed kinetic mechanism (Table 5.9) was developed to describe the systems of reactions studied. This kinetic mechanism consists of 35 elementary reaction steps involving 28 radical and stable compounds. The thermochemical parameter of species which have not been previously measured or calculated are evaluated using the techniques
of group additivity and the THERM computer code. Important dissociation, addition, insertion and combination reactions are analyzed by DISSOC and CHEMACT computer code.

Experimental data are compared with model predictions in Fig. 5.22 for $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ decomposition and product distribution (mainly $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ ) between 723 and 950 K . The calculated mole fractions of $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ are in excellent agreement with those experimental results.

The model predicts that the product $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ will decrease and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ will dominate above 873 K at reaction time of 3 sec . The significant routes to $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ in this study are:
$\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{H}---\mathrm{CHF}_{2}+\mathrm{HCl}$
$\mathrm{CHF}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2}---\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}+\mathrm{H}$
Sensitivity analysis shows that at higher temperature the above two reactions are important and contribute significantly to the formation of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$.

Table 5.1 Selectivity and Conversion of $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ by Thermolysis in Flow Reactor

| T.K | t.sec | Conversion $\%$ | Selectivity $\left(\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}\right) \%$ | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 773 | 3 | 5.6 | 93 | 5.2 |
| 823 | 2.8 | 12.7 | 93 | 11.8 |
| 873 | 2.7 | 60.1 | 65 | 39.1 |

Table 5.2 Thermal Decomposition of $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at 823 K

| Time (sec) | $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ |
| 2.8 | 0.897 | 0.079 | 0.003 |
| 5 | 0.872 | 0.100 | 0.004 |
| 8 | 0.543 | 0.382 | 0.040 |
| 10 | 0.376 | 0.489 | 0.082 |
| $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO}:[$ compound $] /\left[\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right] 0$ | 0.498 | 0.239 |  |


$-* \mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{Cl} 2$
Figure 5.1 Product Distribution vs Temperature

$-\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{~F} 4(7)$
Figure 5.2 Product Distribution vs Time

Figure 5.3 Potential Energy Diagram for CF 2 Cl 2

Table $5.3 \quad \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ DISSOC Input Parameters
$\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\cdots$ PRODUCTS

|  | Reaction |  |  | A | n | $E_{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | --> | $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Cl}$ | 1.00 El 6 | 0.0 | 75.0 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | --> | $1{ }_{1} \mathrm{CF}_{2}+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $4.00 \mathrm{El3}$ | 0.0 | 82.7 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | -> | $1: \mathrm{CFCl}+\mathrm{CIF}$ | I.60E14 | 0.0 | 105.1 |

A's in $\mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mole}-\mathrm{sec} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ in Kcal/mole $\langle v\rangle=567 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, Evaluated from computer code cpfit. $\sigma=4.5 \AA, \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{k}=450 \mathrm{~K} . \mathrm{LJ}$ parameters from The Properties of Gases and Liquids, 3rd Ed., Reid, R. C., Prausnitz, J. M. and Sherwood, T. K.
$k_{1}$ : $A_{1}$ from $A_{-1}$ Microscopic Reversiblity, $A_{-1}=6.00 \mathrm{x}$ $10^{13}$ taken from $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{CHCl} 2$, Danis, F., Caralp, F., Veyret, B., Loirat, H. and Lesclaux, R., Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 21, 715, (1989). Ea $=\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{RT}$.
$k_{2}: \quad A_{2}=(e k T / h) \exp { }^{\Delta} / \mathrm{R} \times$ Degeneracy (Transition State Theory, $\mathrm{e}=2.7183, \mathrm{k}=1.38 \mathrm{E}-16$ Boltzmann Constant, $\mathrm{h}=6.62 \mathrm{E}-27$ Planck Constant, below same), $\mathrm{T}=800 \mathrm{~K}$, $\Delta S=0.0, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=1 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}+8.0$, energy barrier from Arunan,E., Wategaonkar, S.J. and Setser, D.W., J. Phys. Chem. 1539, 95, (1991).
$k_{3}: \quad A_{3}=(e k T / h) \exp ^{\Delta S / R} \times$ Degeneracy (Transition State Theory), $T=800 \mathrm{~K}, \Delta \mathrm{~S}=0.0, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}+8.0$, (see ref. to $k_{2}$ ).

## QRRK Analysis <br> CF2Cl2 --s products




Figure 5.4 Log k vs 1000/T

## QRRK Analysis

CF2Cl2 - - products



Figure 5.5 Log k vs Log P

Figure 5.6 Potential Energy Diagram for CHF2CI

Table 5.4 $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ DISSOC Input Parameters

| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}--->$ PRODUCTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reaction |  |  | A | n | $E_{\text {a }}$ |
| $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | --> | $1: \mathrm{CFCl}+\mathrm{HF}$ | $8.00 \mathrm{El3}$ | 0.0 | 59.8 |
| $k_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | --> | $1: \mathrm{CF}_{2}+\mathrm{HCl}$ | $4.00 \mathrm{El3}$ | 0.0 | 61.8 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | --> | $\mathrm{CHF}_{2}{ }^{2}+\mathrm{Cl}$ | $1.22 \mathrm{E16}$ | 0.0 | 86.5 |

$A^{\prime} s$ in $\mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mole}-\mathrm{sec} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ in Kcal/mole $\langle\mathrm{v}\rangle=898 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, Evaluated from computer code cpfit. $\sigma=4.5 \AA, e / k=450 \mathrm{~K} . \mathrm{LJ}$ parameters from The Properties of Gases and Liquids, 3rd Ed., Reid, R. C., Prausnitz, J. M. and Sherwood, T. K.
$k_{1}: \quad A_{1}=(e k T / h) \exp ^{\Delta S / R} \times$ Degeneracy (Transition State has optical isomer here), $T=800 \mathrm{~K}, \Delta \mathrm{~S}=0.0, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=$ $\Delta H+14.8$, energy barrier from Arunan, E., Wategaonkar, S.J. and Setser, D.W., J. Phys. Chem. 1539, 95, (1991)
$k_{2}: \quad A_{2}=(e k T / h) \exp ^{S / R} \times$ Degeneracy (Transition State Theory), $T=800 \mathrm{~K}, \Delta \mathrm{~S}=0.0, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}+8.0$ (see ref. to $k_{1}$ ).
$k_{3}$ : $A_{3}$ from $A_{-3}$ Microscopic Reversiblity, $A_{-3}=8.0 \mathrm{x}$ $10^{13}$ taken from $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{Cl}$, Danis, F., Caralp, F., Veyret, B., Loirat, H. and Lesclaux, R., Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 21, 715, (1989). Ea $=\perp \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{RT}$.

## QRRK Analysis <br> $\mathrm{CHF} 2 \mathrm{Cl} \rightarrow$ products




Figure 5.7 Log k vs 1000/T

QRRK Analysis
CHF2CI $\rightarrow$ products



Figure 5.8 Log $k$ vs Log $P$

Figure 5.9 Potential Energy Diagram for CF2CI +CF 2 Cl

## Table $5.5 \quad \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ Chemact Input Parameters

|  | $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ <br> Reaction | PROD |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | n | $E_{a}$ |
| $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ | $2 \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | 4.00E12 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{-1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\cdots 2 \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{CI}$ | $2.50 \mathrm{El7}$ | 0.0 | 86.4 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-->\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}^{+}+\mathrm{Cl}$ | 1.50E15 | 0.0 | 80.2 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-->\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}+\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ | $4.30 \mathrm{El2}$ | 0.0 | 104.5 |

A's in $\mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{cm}^{3} /$ mole-sec. $\quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ in Kcal/mole
$\langle v\rangle=576 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, Evaluated from computer code cpfit. $\sigma=5.1 \AA, \quad e / k=500 \mathrm{~K}$, Lennard-Jones (LJ) parameters from The Properties of Gases and Liquids, 3rd Ed., Reid, R. C., Prausnitz, J. M. and Sherwood, T. K.
$k_{1}$ : $A_{1}$ from combination reactions of chloro methyl radi cals vs number of Cl's. $E$ a is 0.0 .
$k_{-1}$ : Thermodynamics \& Microscopic Reversiblity. Averaged over 300 - 1500 K.
$k_{2}: \quad A_{2}$ from $A_{-2}$ Microscopic Reversiblity, $A_{-2}=2.0 \mathrm{x}$ $10^{13}$ taken from Danis, F., Caralp, F., Veyret, B., Loirat, H. and Lesclaux, R., Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 21 , 715, (1989)
$k_{3}: \quad A_{3}=(e k T / h) \exp ^{\Delta / R} \mathrm{x}$ Degeneracy(Transition State Theory), $T=800 \mathrm{~K}, \Delta \mathrm{~S}=-4.0$ lower limit $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=\star \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}$ + 43.0, Karra, S.B. and Senkan, S.M., Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. Vol. 27, No.3, (1988)
(Actual Ea is probably much higher but this channel is unimportant. Increasing the Ea will not effect over all results.)

QRRK Analysis
$\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{Cl} \rightarrow$ products



Figure 5.10 Log k vs 1000/T

## QRRK Analysis

$\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{Cl} \rightarrow$ products



Figure 5.11 Log k vs Log P

Figure 5.12 Potential Energy Diagram for CF2 + H2

Table $5.6^{1}: \mathrm{CF}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ QRRR Input Parameters

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \quad{ }^{1}: C F_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \\ & \text { Reaction } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PRODUC } \\ & \mathrm{A} \end{aligned}$ | n | $E_{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ | ${ }^{1}: \mathrm{CF}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \rightarrow->\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | 6.70 EII | 0.0 | 8.0 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{-1}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}{ }_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \xrightarrow{ }{ }^{1}: \mathrm{CF}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | $3.20 E 13$ | 0.0 | 76.7 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \quad \rightarrow-\mathrm{CHF}_{2}+\mathrm{H}^{2}$ | 5.80E15 | 0.0 | 102.5 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2} \quad-->10 \mathrm{CHF}+\mathrm{HF}$ | $1.30 \mathrm{E14}$ | 0.0 | 83.6 |

A's in $\mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mole}-\mathrm{sec} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ in Kcal/mole $\langle v\rangle=1388 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, Evaluated from computer code Cpfit. $\sigma=3.5 \AA, e / k=350 \mathrm{~K}$. L J parameters from The Properties of Gases and Liquids, 3rd Ed., Reid, R. C., Prausnitz, J. M. and Sherwood, T. K.
$k_{1}: A_{1}$ from $A_{-1}$ Microscopic Reversiblity, $A_{-1}=(e k T / h) x$ $\exp ^{\Delta S / R} \times$ Degeneracy (Transition State Theory), $T=$ $800 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{~S}=0.0, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ evaluated HCl elimination from CHF2Cl, Arunan, E., Wategaonkar, S.J. and Setser, D.W., J. Phys. Chem. 1539, 95, (1991)
$k_{-1}: A_{-1}=(\operatorname{ekT} / \mathrm{h}) \exp ^{\Delta S / R} \times$ Degeneracy (Transition State Theory), $T=800 \mathrm{~K}, \Delta \mathrm{~S}=0.0, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rXn}}+8.0$ (see ref. to $k_{1}$ ).
$k_{2}$ : $A_{2}$ from $A_{-2}$ Microscopic Reversiblity, $A_{-2}=1.0 \mathrm{x}$ $10^{14}$ taken from $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}+\mathrm{H}$, Allara, D. J. and Shaw, R. J., Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 9, 523, (1980)
$k_{3}: \quad A_{3}=(e k T / h) \exp ^{\Delta S / R} \times$ Degeneracy (Transition State Theory), $T=800 \mathrm{~K}, \wedge \mathrm{~S}=0.0, \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=\wedge \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}+14.8$, evaluated $H F$ elimination from CHF3, setser et al., J. Phys. Chem. 1539, 95, (1991).

## QRRK Analysis <br> $\mathrm{CF} 2+\mathrm{H} 2--$ products




Figure 5.13 Log k vs $1000 / \mathrm{T}$

QRRK Analysis
$\mathrm{CF} 2+\mathrm{H} 2 \rightarrow$ products



Figure 5.14 Log $k$ vs Log $P$

Figure 5.15 Potential Energy Diagram for CFCl + H2

Table $5.7^{1}$ :CFCl $+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ CHEMACT Input Parameters

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \quad \begin{array}{l} \text { :CFCl } \\ \text { Reaction } \end{array}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \ldots-\cdots \end{aligned}$ | PRODUCT A | n | $E_{a}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $k_{1}$ | ${ }^{1}: \mathrm{CFCl}+\mathrm{H}_{2}-\mathrm{P} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCl}$ | $1.50 \mathrm{El1}$ | 0.0 | 8.0 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{-1}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCl}-\cdots{ }^{1}: \mathrm{CFCl}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 3.20 El 13 | 0.0 | 65.6 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCl} \quad-\cdots \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}+\mathrm{Cl}^{2}$ | 1.10E15 | 0.0 | 82.9 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}^{2} \mathrm{FCl} \quad-->{ }^{1}: \mathrm{CHF}+\mathrm{HCl}$ | 6.30 El 3 | 0.0 | 74.6 |

A's in $\mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mole}-\mathrm{sec} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ in Kcal/mole $\langle\mathrm{V}\rangle=1255 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, Evaluated from computer code Cpfit. $\sigma=4.5 \AA, e / k=350 \mathrm{~K}$. LJ parameters from The Properties of Gases and Liquids, 3rd Ed., Reid, R. C., Prausnitz, J. M. and Sherwood, T. K.
$\mathrm{k}_{1}$ : $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ from $\mathrm{A}_{-1}$ Microscopic Reversiblity, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=8.0$, evaluated HCl elimination, Arunan, E., Wategaonkar, S.J. and Setser, D.W., J. Phys. Chem. 1539, 95, (1991)
$k_{-1}: \quad A_{-1}=(e k T / h) \exp ^{\Delta S / R} \quad x$ Degeneracy (Transition State Theory), $T=800 \mathrm{~K}, \stackrel{\mathrm{~S}}{\mathrm{~T}}=0.0, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}+8.0$ (see ref. to $\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ).
$k_{2}$ : $A_{2}$ from $A_{-2}$ Microscopic Reversiblity, $A_{-2}=2.0 \times 10^{13}$ taken from Danis,F., Caralp,F., Veyret, B., Loirat,H. and Lesclaux, R., Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 21, 715, (1989)
$k_{3}: \quad A_{3}=(e k T / h) \exp ^{\Delta S / R} \times$ Degeneracy (Transition State Theory), $T=800 \mathrm{~K}, \Delta \mathrm{~S}=0.0, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}+8.0$, evaluated from HCl elimination, Setser et al., J. Phys. Chem. 1539, 95, (1991).

QRRK Analysis
$\mathrm{CFCl}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \rightarrow$ products



Figure 5.16 Log k vs 1000/T

## QRRK Analysis <br> $\mathrm{CFCl}+\mathrm{H} 2 \rightarrow$ products




Figure 5.17 Log $k$ vs Log $P$

Figure 5.18 Potential Energy Diagram for CHF + H2

Table $5.8^{1}: C H F+H_{2}$ CHEMACT Input Parameters

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \quad{ }^{1}: \mathrm{CHF}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \quad \cdots \\ & \text { Reaction } \end{aligned}$ | PRODUCTS <br> A | n | $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{k}_{1}$ | ${ }^{1}: \mathrm{CHF}+\mathrm{H}_{2}-->\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~F}$ | $9.50 \mathrm{El1}$ | 0.0 | 8.0 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{-1}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~F} \quad \longrightarrow{ }^{1}: \mathrm{CHF}+\mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 9.50 El 3 | 0.0 | 89.9 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~F} \quad \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{F}$ | 4.30 E 15 | 0.0 | 109.1 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~F} \quad-\mathrm{C} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}+\mathrm{H}$ | 1.50 E 15 | 0.0 | 99.4 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~F} \quad-->{ }^{1}: \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{HF}$ | 9.50 El 13 | 0.0 | 106.8 |
| $\mathrm{k}_{-4}$ | ${ }^{1}: \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{HF} \longrightarrow-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~F}$ | 1.00 E 05 | 0.0 | 180.0 |

A's in $\mathrm{sec}^{-1}$ and $\mathrm{cm}^{3} / \mathrm{mole}-\mathrm{sec} \quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}$ in Kcal/mole $\langle v\rangle=1704 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, Evaluated from computer code Cpfit. $\sigma=3.8 \AA, e / k=350 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{LJ}$ parameters from The Properties of Gases and Liquids, 3rd Ed., Reid, R. C., Prausnitz, J. M. and Sherwood, T. K.
$\mathrm{k}_{1}$ : $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ from $\mathrm{A}_{-1}$ Microscopic Reversiblity, $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=8.0$, evaluated from HCl elimination, Setser et al., J. Phys. Chem. 1539, 95, (1991)
$k_{-1}: \quad A_{-1}=(e k T / h) \exp ^{\Delta S / R} \times$ Degeneracy (Transition State Theory), $T=800 \mathrm{~K}, \stackrel{\mathrm{~S}}{\mathrm{~T}}=0.0, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=4 \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}+8.0$ (see ref. to $k_{1}$ ).
$k_{2}: \quad A_{2}$ from $A_{-2}$ Microscopic Reversiblity, $A_{-2}=5.4 \times 10^{13}$ taken from $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{OH}$, Tsang, W. and Hampson, R.F., J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 15,1087, (1986)
$k_{3}: \quad A_{3}$ from $A_{-3}$ Microscopic Reversiblity, $A_{-3}=4.0 \mathrm{x}$ $10^{13}$ taken from $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}+\mathrm{H}$, Allara, D.J. and Shaw, R.J., Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 9, 523, (1980)
$\mathrm{k}_{4}: \quad \mathrm{A}_{4}=(\mathrm{ekT} / \mathrm{h}) \exp ^{\Delta \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{R}} \mathrm{x}$ Degeneracy (Transition State Theory), $T=800 \mathrm{~K}, \Delta \mathrm{~S}=0.0, \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{a}}=\Delta \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{rxn}}+14.8$, evaluated from HF elimination, Setser et al., J. Phys. Chem. 1539, 95, (1991).

# QRRK Analysis <br> $\mathrm{CHF}+\mathrm{H} 2 \rightarrow$ products 




Figure 5.19 Log k vs $1000 / \mathrm{T}$
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* CF2CI2 (EXPT.) $\quad$ CHF2Cl (EXPT.) $\times$ CH2F2 (EXPT.)
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Figure 5.22 Comparison of Model with Experiment vS Time


## Table 5.9 Mechanism for $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2}$ Reaction System

REACTIONS

A
$n$
$1.39 \mathrm{E} 37-6.75$
81.5 DISSOC

1. $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=C F_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Cl}$
2.20 E 31 -6.47 86.9 DISSOC
2. $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=\mathrm{CF}_{2}(\mathrm{~S})+\mathrm{Cl} 2$
$1.06 E 21 \quad-3.80 \quad 107.0 \quad$ DISSOC
3. $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=\mathrm{CFCl}+\mathrm{ClF}$
13.71
4. $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{H}$
8.00 E 12
0.0
9.02
5. $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}+\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{HCl}$
$3.16 \mathrm{E} 13 \quad 0.0$
5.5 3
6. $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{HCl}+\mathrm{H}$
$7.94 \mathrm{E} 13 \quad 0.0$
3.54
7. $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Cl}=\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{HCl} 1.00 \mathrm{E} 1300$
8. $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{CHF}_{2}+\mathrm{HCl} 1.58 \mathrm{El} 3$
0.0
9.02
9. $\mathrm{CHF}_{2}+\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}+\mathrm{H}$
8.00 E 12
0.0
13.71
10. $\mathrm{CHF}_{2}+\mathrm{CHF}_{2}=\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CHF}_{2}$
1.00 E 12
0.0
0.05
11. $\mathrm{CF}_{2}(\mathrm{~S})+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}=\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{~F}_{6}$
$7.94 E 09$
0.0
7.0 6
12. $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}=\mathrm{CHF}_{2}+\mathrm{Cl}$
$1.48 \mathrm{E} 28-4.43$
89.7 DISSOC
13. $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}=\mathrm{CF}_{2}(\mathrm{~S})+\mathrm{HCl}$
5.19E32-5.94
69.1 DISSOC
14. $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}=\mathrm{HF}+\mathrm{CFCl} \quad 5.44 \mathrm{E} 31 \quad-5.51 \quad 66.3 \quad$ DISSOC
15. $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{CHF}_{2}=\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl} 1.00 \mathrm{El} 12 \quad 0.0 \quad 0.0 \quad 5$
$16 . \mathrm{CF}_{2}(\mathrm{~S})+\mathrm{CF}_{2}(\mathrm{~S})=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \quad 3.98 \mathrm{El1} \quad 0.0 \quad 0.0 \quad 6$
$17 . \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}+\mathrm{Cl}=\mathrm{CHF}_{2}+\mathrm{HCl} 1.58 \mathrm{E} 13$
$0.0 \quad 3.5 \quad 7$
$18 . \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Cl}_{2}+\mathrm{M} \quad 3.16 \mathrm{El} 15 \quad 0.0 \quad 0.0 \quad 3$
16. $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} 4.30 \mathrm{E} 22-3.08 \quad$ 4.04 CHEMACT
$20 . \mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}+\mathrm{Cl}_{2} 4.10 \mathrm{E} 34 \quad-7.66 \quad 36.11 \quad$ CHEMACT
17. $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Cl} 2.04 \mathrm{El} 9 \quad-1.84 \quad 14.28 \quad$ CHEMACT
$22 . \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{4}+\mathrm{Cl} \quad 1.58 \mathrm{El} 3 \quad 0.0 \quad 37.91 \quad 8,9$

| 23. $\mathrm{CF}_{2}(\mathrm{~S})+\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ | $3.31 E 16$ | -1.45 | 9.8 | CHEMACT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24. $\mathrm{CF}_{2}(\mathrm{~S})+\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{CHF}_{2}+\mathrm{H}$ | 2.20E20 | -1.94 | 37.94 | CHEMACT |
| $25 . \mathrm{CF}_{2}(\mathrm{~S})+\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{CHF}(\mathrm{S})+\mathrm{HF}$ | $1.23 \mathrm{El1}$ | 0.49 | 18.02 | CHEMACT |
| 26. $\mathrm{CFCl}+\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCl}$ | 5.56 E 16 | $-1.73$ | 10.12 | CHEMACT |
| 27. $\mathrm{CFCl}+\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}+\mathrm{Cl}$ | $3.64 \mathrm{E17}$ | -1.47 | 28.47 | CHEMACT |
| 28. $\mathrm{CFCl}+\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{CHF}(\mathrm{S})+\mathrm{HCl}$ | $4.82 \mathrm{E1} 4$ | -0.85 | 21.62 | CHEMACT |
| 29. $\mathrm{CHF}(\mathrm{S})+\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~F}$ | 2.63 E 17 | $-1.69$ | 10.06 | CHEMACT |
| 30. $\mathrm{CHF}(\mathrm{S})+\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{F}$ | $1.09 \mathrm{E17}$ | -1.18 | 29.1 | CHEMACT |
| 31. $\mathrm{CHF}(\mathrm{S})+\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}+\mathrm{H}$ | 1.85 E 15 | -0.66 | 20.12 | CHEMACT |
| 32. $\mathrm{CHF}(\mathrm{S})+\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}(\mathrm{~S})+\mathrm{HF}$ | 1.01 El 8 | -1.86 | 29.79 | CHEMACT |
| 33. $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}=\mathrm{CFCl}_{2}+\mathrm{F}$ | 7.26E15 | 0.0 | 114.91 | 9,10 |
| 34.ClF $+\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{F}+\mathrm{M}$ | 1.20E16 | 0.0 | 59.21 | 9,11 |
| 35. $\mathrm{H}_{2}+\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{HF}+\mathrm{H}$ | 1.15 E 14 | 0.0 | 1.13 | 12 |

Temperature: 723-873 K
DISSOC: Apparent rate constant by DISSOC computer code. CHEMACT: Apparent rate constant from CHEMACT computer code.

Sources of Rate Constants:

1. Evaluated from $\mathrm{CCl}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}+\mathrm{H}(\mathrm{A}=5.01 \mathrm{El2}$, $\mathrm{Ea}=14.3)$ Hautecloque, S., J. Chim. Phys., 67,771 (1970)
2. Evaluated from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{A}=3.7 \mathrm{El} 3$, $\mathrm{Ea}=9.3$ ) Westenberg,A.A and deHaas, N., J. Chem. Phys., 62, 3321 (1975)
3. V. N. Kondratiev, Rate Constant of Gas Phase Reactions, Moscow (1970)
4. Evaluated from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Cl}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{HCl}(\mathrm{A}=2.05 \mathrm{El} 3, \mathrm{Ea}=2.5)$ Atkinson, R. et al, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 18, 881 (1989)
5. From $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{ClCH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{A}=1.0 \mathrm{El} 3, \mathrm{Ea}=0.0)$, Weissman, M. and Benson, S.W., Int. J. Chem. Kinet., 16, 307 (1984)
6. NIST Kinetic Data Base, (1990)
7. Okabe, H., Photochemistry of Small Molecules, J. Wiley, pp301, 305, 308. (1978)
8. Evaluated from $\mathrm{CHCl}_{2} \mathrm{CCl}_{2}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{HCl}_{3}+\mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{A}=2.5 \mathrm{El} 3, \mathrm{Ea}=19.8)$ Benson, S.W. and Weissman, M., Int. J. Chem. Kinet. 14, 1287 (1982)
9. $E_{a}=\Delta H_{r x n}-R T_{m}$.
10.A factor based on thermodynamics and microreversibility. $A_{-1}$ taken as that for $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}\left(\mathrm{~A}=2.0 \times 10^{13}\right)$, Allara, D.L. and Shaw, R.J., J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 9, 523, (1980)
10. A factor based on thermodynamics and microreversibility. $A_{-1}$ taken as that for $C l+C l\left(A=3.0 \times 10^{15}\right)$
12.Atkinson, R. et.al, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data. 18, 881. (1989)

Experiments on thermal reaction of $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ bath gas at $723-873 \mathrm{~K}$ and 2 to 3 second residence time were performed to determine reaction products and conversion efficiency to hydrogenated chlorofluorocarbons.

Results indicate that $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ will be converted to $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ with high selectivity (up to $93 \%$ ) in temperature range $723-823 \mathrm{~K}$ and lower selectivity of $60 \%$ at 873 K . The observed decay of $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ is about $80 \%$ at 873 K and time of 3 sec. Further conversion of the initial stable product $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ to $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ is observed above 873 K . Products from the reaction process are $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$; these hydrogenated chlorofluorocarbons offer potentially less destruction potential to the stratospheric ozone layer and are of industrial values as chlorofluorocarbon substitutes. The organic chlorine is removed as HCl .

Detailed kinetic reaction mechanism based upon fundamental thermochemical and kinetic principles and literature data was developed. This mechanism includes 35 elementary reaction steps. Quantum Kassel theory was used to analyze those reactions involving dissociation, addition, insertion and recombination for pressure and temperature effects.

## Appendix I Thermochemical Database

| SPECIES | Hf(298) | S(298) | Cp |  |  |  |  |  |  | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 300 | 400 | 500 | 600 | 800 | 1000 | 1500 |  |
| Ar | 0.00 | 36.98 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.97 | JANAF |
| CF 2 Cl | -66.00 | 68.39 | 13.12 | 14.80 | 16.00 | 16.86 | 17.96 | 18.59 | 19.28 | CALC |
| CH3F | -55.91 | 53.31 | 8.99 | 10.56 | 12.26 | 13.83 | 16.45 | 18.44 | 21.55 | STULL |
| CH2F | -8.00 | 55.34 | 8.65 | 9.66 | 10.83 | 11.93 | 13.65 | 14.99 | 17.06 | CALC |
| CHF(S) | 26.00 | 53.37 | 8.28 | 8.82 | 9.41 | 9.97 | 10.89 | 11.59 | 12.61 | TRC |
| CHF(T) | 37.50 | 55.23 | 8.43 | 9.06 | 9.67 | 10.19 | 11.01 | 11.62 | 12.58 | TRC |
| CHF2 | -57.10 | 61.74 | 10.32 | 11.74 | 13.05 | 14.11 | 15.66 | 16.68 | 18.11 | TRC |
| CH2F2 | -108.08 | 59.03 | 10.27 | 12.22 | 14.09 | 15.72 | 18.22 | 19.98 | 22.54 | PED. \&JANAF |
| CHF3 | -166.20 | 62.12 | 12.24 | 14.58 | 16.56 | 18.13 | 20.33 | 21.74 | 23.62 | PED. \&STULL |
| CF4 | -223.14 | 62.60 | 14.73 | 17.41 | 19.43 | 20.91 | 22.84 | 23.91 | 24.80 | PED. 85 TULL |
| CF3 | -112.40 | 63.43 | 11.94 | 13.73 | 15.13 | 16.17 | 17.50 | 18.25 | 19.10 | JANAF |
| CF2(S) | -39.40 | 57.55 | 9.32 | 10.29 | 11.09 | 11.71 | 12.50 | 12.95 | 13.45 | SOU. \& TRC |
| CF2(T) | 5.40 | 59.97 | 9.64 | 10.55 | 11.31 | 11.88 | 12.61 | 13.02 | 13.49 | TRC |
| CF | 61.00 | 50.96 | 7.19 | 7.43 | 7.71 | 7.95 | 8.31 | 8.54 | 0.0 | JANAF |
| CH 2 FCl | -62.61 | 63.27 | 11.28 | 13.28 | 15.07 | 16.56 | 18.80 | 20.39 | 22.73 | JANAF |
| CHFCl2 | -67.71 | 70.18 | 14.62 | 16.78 | 18.45 | 19.70 | 21.41 | 22.51 | 24.01 | JANAF |
| CHF 2 Cl | -115.34 | 67.24 | 13.70 | 16.04 | 17.85 | 19.22 | 21.09 | 22.28 | 23.90 | JANAF |
| CFCl3 | -64.13 | 74.17 | 18.70 | 20.83 | 22.21 | 23.12 | 24.18 | 24.73 | 25.32 | PED. \& JANAF |
| CF2Cl2 | -114.10 | 72.03 | 17.36 | 19.68 | 21.28 | 22.37 | 23.68 | 24.39 | 25.16 | PEO. \&JANAF |
| $\mathrm{CF3Cl}$ | -168.81 | 68.30 | 16.04 | 18.53 | 20.32 | 21.59 | 23.16 | 24.02 | 24.98 | PED. \& JANAF |
| C2F4 | - 157.48 | 71.82 | 19.29 | 21.97 | 23.99 | 25.53 | 27.61 | 28.86 | 30.35 | JANAF |
| C2H3F | -33.17 | 60.41 | 11.90 | 14.76 | 17.01 | 19.00 | 21.87 | 23.97 | 0.0 | PED.\&THERM |
| CH2CF2 | -80.07 | 63.53 | 14.80 | 17.36 | 19.51 | 21.20 | 23.87 | 25.77 | 0.0 | PED.\&THERM |
| CHFCHF | -71.00 | 64.22 | 13.60 | 16.80 | 19.00 | 21.00 | 23.60 | 25.40 | 0.0 | PED. \&THERM |
| C2HF3 | -117.21 | 70.10 | 16.50 | 19.40 | 21.50 | 23.20 | 25.60 | 27.20 | 0.0 | PED.\&THERM |
| C2F6 | -329.27 | 79.55 | 25.53 | 30.01 | 33.26 | 35.54 | 38.32 | 39.79 | 41.38 | PED.gJANAF |
| C2H5F | -61.70 | 63.63 | 14.29 | 17.84 | 21.40 | 23.79 | 28.22 | 31.37 | 0.0 | THERM |
| CH3CHF2 | -118.79 | 67.33 | 16.09 | 19.84 | 23.10 | 25.89 | 29.72 | 32.57 | 0.0 | PED.\&IHERM |
| CH3CF3 | -177.96 | 68.54 | 18.89 | 22.84 | 25.80 | 28.69 | 32.32 | 34.77 | 0.0 | PED. \&THERM |
| C2F2 | 5.00 | 58.42 | 13.64 | 15.12 | 16.24 | 17.11 | 18.31 | 19.05 | 19.96 | JANAF |
| C2HF | 26.00 | 55.43 | 12.54 | 13.91 | 14.85 | 15.57 | 16.66 | 17.49 | 18.85 | l IAS\&JANAF |
| CHF2CHF2 | -218.60 | 78.20 | 19.80 | 24.00 | 27.40 | 30.20 | 33.40 | 35.60 | 0.0 | THERM |
| C4F2CF2Cl | -215.60 | 79.60 | 23.60 | 28.10 | 31.20 | 33.70 | 36.50 | 38.20 | 0.0 | THERM |
| C2F4Cl2 | -219.00 | 86.00 | 27.40 | 32.20 | 35.00 | 37.20 | 39.60 | 40.80 | 0.0 | ED. STU.THER |
| Cl | 28.90 | 39.50 | 5.20 | 5.34 | 5.40 | 5.41 | 5.35 | 5.30 | 5.20 | BENSON |
| Cl 2 | . 00 | 53.30 | 8.10 | 8.38 | 8.58 | 8.74 | 8.91 | 8.99 | 9.10 | BENSON |
| H | 52.10 | 27.39 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.97 | JANAF |
| HCl | -22.06 | 44.64 | 6.96 | 6.95 | 6.99 | 7.07 | 7.29 | 7.56 | 8.10 | STULL |
| H 2 | . 00 | 31.21 | 6.90 | 6.95 | 6.99 | 7.02 | 7.10 | 7.21 | 7.72 | JANAF |
| C3F6 | -269.00 | 65.30 | 25.99 | 30.56 | 33.44 | 35.90 | 38.94 | 40.57 | 0.0 | THERM |
| CFCl2 | -17.50 | 69.95 | 14.04 | 15.54 | 16.60 | 17.34 | 18.28 | 18.81 | 18.39 | CALC. |
| CH2CFCl | -41.99 | 67.71 | 15.42 | 18.21 | 20.39 | 22.06 | 24.47 | 26.12 | 28.59 | TRC |
| CHFCHCl | -40.39 | 68.12 | 14.77 | 17.57 | 19.81 | 21.58 | 24.14 | 25.88 | 28.42 | TRC |
| CHFCFCl | -80.81 | 72.71 | 17.54 | 20.20 | 22.28 | 23.88 | 26.10 | 27.53 | 29.47 | TRC |
| CHCICF2 | -79.40 | 72.39 | 17.28 | 20.08 | 22.23 | 23.85 | 26.08 | 27.53 | 29.47 | TRC |

Appendix I (Cont'd)

| Species | Hf (298) | S(298) | Cp |  |  |  |  |  |  | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 300 | 400 | 500 | 600 | 800 | 1000 | 1500 |  |
| chfccle | -40.01 | 75.19 | 18.38 | 20.98 | 22.94 | 24.43 | 26.48 | 27.82 | 29.61 | TRC |
| CHClCFCl | -42.59 | 75.02 | 18.28 | 20.98 | 22.99 | 24.50 | 26.55 | 27.87 | 29.64 | TRC |
| c2f3cl | -120.79 | 77.10 | 20.12 | 22.73 | 24.67 | 26.10 | 28.04 | 29.16 | 30.52 | TRC |
| CF2CCl2 | -80.31 | 77.84 | 20.84 | 23.37 | 25.24 | 26.60 | 28.37 | 29.42 | 30.64 | TRC |
| cfelcfel | -84.20 | 78.04 | 20.98 | 23.52 | 25.36 | 26.72 | 28.47 | 29.49 | 30.69 | IRC |
| c2fel3 | -43.50 | 81.86 | 21.82 | 24.26 | 26.00 | 27.25 | 28.85 | 29.78 | 30.81 | rRC |
| c2f5 | -213.00 | 81.33 | 22.22 | 25.77 | 28.39 | 30.28 | 32.65 | 33.96 | 35.43 | TRC |
| C3F7 | -309.03 | 97.13 | 32.56 | 38.08 | 42.04 | 44.82 | 48.19 | 49.98 | 51.95 | IRC |
| C4F9 | -407.50 | 113.74 | 42.53 | 49.74 | 54.93 | 58.58 | 63.05 | 65.48 | 68.16 | TRC |
| C5F11 | -505.98 | 130.35 | 52.50 | 61.41 | 67.81 | 72.34 | 77.92 | 80.98 | 84.38 | IRC |
| C6F13 | -604.45 | 146.96 | 62.47 | 73.07 | 80.70 | 86.10 | 92.79 | 86.47 | 100.59 | IRC |
| C6F6 | -228.35 | 91.46 | 37.29 | 43.65 | 48.61 | 52.42 | 57.54 | 60.56 | 64.15 | PED.gTRC |
| C6H5F | -27.72 | 72.33 | 22.70 | 29.96 | 36.00 | 40.79 | 47.68 | 52.32 | 58.89 | PED.8TRC |
| 12C6H4F2 | -70.22 | 77.00 | 25.65 | 32.77 | 38.56 | 43.13 | 49.63 | 53.94 | 59.89 | PED. \&TRC |
| 13C6H4F2 | -73.90 | 76.82 | 25.60 | 32.75 | 38.57 | 43.13 | 49.63 | 53.94 | 59.99 | PED.8TRC |
| 14C6H4F2 | -73.30 | 75.42 | 25.59 | 32.73 | 38.54 | 43.11 | 49.61 | 53.92 | 59.97 | PED.gTRC |
| C6HF5 | -192.76 | 90.27 | 34.32 | 40.94 | 46.12 | 50.12 | 55.58 | 58.94 | 63.12 | PED. |
| F | 18.97 | 37.94 | 5.43 | 5.36 | 5.28 | 5.22 | 5.13 | 5.08 | 5.02 | TRC |
| F2 | . 00 | 48.47 | 7.49 | 7.89 | 8.19 | 8.41 | 8.68 | 8.89 | 9.13 | janaf |
| Fo | 26.05 | 51.95 | 7.32 | 7.68 | 7.99 | 8.22 | 8.53 | 8.72 | 8.95 | tre |
| FO2 | 3.20 | 60.65 | 10.64 | 11.33 | 11.85 | 12.25 | 12.80 | 13.13 | 13.53 | TRC |
| F20 | 5.90 | 59.11 | 10.37 | 11.40 | 12.12 | 12.62 | 13.22 | 13.56 | 14.00 | TRC |
| F202 | 4.30 | 66.24 | 14.86 | 16.31 | 17.26 | 17.90 | 18.65 | 19.05 | 19.49 | TRC |
| HF | -65.32 | 41.53 | 6.96 | 6.97 | 6.97 | 6.99 | 7.06 | 7.21 | 7.71 | tre |
| HFO | -23.50 | 55.33 | 8.59 | 9.19 | 9.75 | 10.21 | 10.91 | 11.45 | 12.36 | IRC |
| HCOF | -93.80 | 58.92 | 9.68 | 11.08 | 12.35 | 13.43 | 15.08 | 16.22 | 17.85 | zhaodjanaf |
| COF | -41.00 | 59.39 | 9.32 | 10.11 | 10.77 | 11.31 | 12.10 | 12.61 | 13.25 | Janaf |
| COF2 | -152.70 | 61.88 | 11.33 | 13.09 | 14.46 | 15.51 | 16.92 | 17.79 | 18.83 | janaf |
| CF30F | -182.80 | 77.09 | 19.03 | 21.76 | 23.87 | 25.45 | 27.37 | 28.45 | 29.70 | janaf |
| clf | -12.02 | 52.09 | 7.68 | 8.07 | 8.33 | 8.51 | 8.73 | 8.85 | 9.01 | Janaf |
| Clf3 | -37.97 | 67.30 | 15.30 | 16.88 | 17.80 | 18.36 | 18.98 | 19.29 | 19.61 | Janaf |
| Clf5 | -57.00 | 74.27 | 23.30 | 26.29 | 28.01 | 29.06 | 30.19 | 30.75 | 31.32 | Janaf |
| C2F4Cl | -167.06 | 79.95 | 22.82 | 26.91 | 29.58 | 31.61 | 33.49 | 34.40 | 0.0 | THERM |
| CFCl | -5.00 | 65.59 | 10.21 | 10.88 | 11.42 | 11.84 | 12.45 | 12.86 | 93.37 | liasscalc |
| CH | 142.00 | 43.72 | 6.97 | 6.97 | 7.03 | 7.12 | 7.41 | 7.77 | 8.74 | JANAF |
| CH2(S) | 101.44 | 44.15 | 8.28 | 8.62 | 8.99 | 9.37 | 10.15 | 10.88 | 12.22 |  |
| CH2(t) | 92.35 | 46.32 | 8.28 | 8.62 | 8.99 | 9.37 | 10.15 | 10.88 | 12.22 | Janaf |
| CH3 | 34.82 | 46.38 | 9.26 | 10.05 | 10.81 | 11.54 | 12.90 | 14.09 | 16.26 | JANAF |
| CH4 | -17.90 | 44.48 | 8.51 | 9.77 | 11.10 | 12.44 | 15.00 | 17.20 | 20.61 | Janaf |
| CF3CN | -118.41 | 71.31 | 18.67 | 21.31 | 23.40 | 25.04 | 27.28 | 28.62 | 30.21 | Janaf |
| FCH | 8.60 | 53.87 | 10.13 | 10.93 | 11.54 | 12.03 | 12.78 | 13.31 | 14.05 | janaf |
| NF | 59.50 | 51.45 | 7.26 | 7.60 | 7.91 | 8.16 | 8.48 | 8.67 | 8.92 | Jandi |
| HF2 | 10.10 | 59.74 | 9.82 | 10.85 | 11.62 | 12.16 | 12.82 | 13.18 | 13.57 | JANAF |
| NF3 | -31.57 | 62.33 | 12.80 | 14.78 | 16.15 | 17.07 | 18.16 | 18.73 | 19.34 | janaf |
| N2F2(CIS) | 16.40 | 62.10 | 11.98 | 13.90 | 15.31 | 16.32 | 17.59 | 18.31 | 19.13 | Jamaf |

Appendix I (Cont'd)

| SPECIES | Hf(298) | S(298) | Cp |  |  |  |  |  |  | COMMENTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 300 | 400 | 500 | 600 | 800 | 1000 | 1500 |  |
| N2F2(TR) | 19.40 | 62.78 | 12.81 | 14.39 | 15.58 | 16.47 | 17.64 | 18.32 | 19.12 | JANAF |
| N2F4 | -2.00 | 71.99 | 19.00 | 22.58 | 25.05 | 26.72 | 28.68 | 29.72 | 30.83 | janaf |
| ONF | -15.70 | 59.29 | 9.90 | 10.65 | 11.23 | 11.69 | 12.35 | 12.78 | 13.34 | Janaf |
| NO2F | -26.00 | 62.20 | 11.95 | 13.63 | 14.90 | 15.88 | 17.19 | 17.99 | 18.94 | JANAF |
| FONO2 | 2.50 | 70.01 | 15.64 | 17.95 | 19.69 | 20.97 | 22.65 | 23.63 | 24.76 | Janaf |
| NF30 | -39.00 | 66.56 | 16.28 | 18.82 | 20.53 | 21.72 | 23.19 | 24.02 | 24.96 | Janaf |
| CHFCl | -25.00 | 64.44 | 11.17 | 12.94 | 14.40 | 15.51 | 16.95 | 17.75 | 18.34 | CALC. |
| CH2FCF2 | -106.60 | 74.34 | 17.71 | 20.72 | 23.29 | 25.37 | 28.39 | 30.42 | 33.25 | CRT |
| CHF2CF2 | - 157.80 | 78.48 | 20.16 | 23.17 | 25.66 | 27.62 | 30.35 | 32.06 | 34.24 | CRT |
| CH2FCHF | -56.28 | 70.11 | 16.64 | 19.42 | 21.82 | 23.78 | 26.68 | 28.63 | 31.39 | CRT |
| CHF2CHF | - 108.01 | 74.24 | 18.20 | 21.28 | 23.80 | 25.80 | 28.66 | 30.59 | 33.31 | CRT |
| CF3CHF | - 164.51 | 77.97 | 20.52 | 23.73 | 26.22 | 28.11 | 30.67 | 32.27 | 34.36 | CRT |
| CH2FCH2 | -10.65 | 66.86 | 13.90 | 16.68 | 19.20 | 21.36 | 24.78 | 27.33 | 31.29 | CRT |
| CHf2CH2 | -66.26 | 71.17 | 16.03 | 19.03 | 21.57 | 23.64 | 26.75 | 28.96 | 32.28 | CRT |
| CF3CH2 | -123.60 | 72.32 | 18.49 | 21.79 | 24.32 | 26.26 | 28.99 | 30.80 | 33.40 | CRT |
| Ch3Chf | -17.30 | 65.48 | 14.06 | 16.63 | 19.08 | 21.23 | 24.68 | 27.27 | 31.29 | CRT |
| CH3CF2 | -72.30 | 69.39 | 16.10 | 18.89 | 21.33 | 23.40 | 26.59 | 28.88 | 32.39 | CRT |

Unit: Hf: Kcal/mol, S and Cp : Cal/mol.K

## References for Thermodynamic Properties

CALC: Calculated by Radicalc computer code. $\mathrm{CF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ from $\mathrm{CHF}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~F}$ from $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~F}, \mathrm{CFCl}_{2}$ from $\mathrm{CHFCl}_{2}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{CFCl}$ from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{FCl}$.

CRT: Chen,y, Raux, A. and Tschuikow-Roux, J. Chem. Phys. 95(4), 2774, (1991)

BENSON: Benson, S.W., Thermochemical Kinetics, John Wiley and Sons (1976)

JANAF: JANAF Thermochemical Tables, 3rd Edition, NSRDS-NBS 37 (1987)

LIAS: Lias,S.G., Bartmess,J.E., Liebman,J.F., Holmes,J.L., Levin, R.D. and Mallard, W.G., J. Phy. Chem. Ref. Data, Supplement No.l, Vol. 17 (1988)

PED: Pedley, J.B., Naylor, R.D., and Kirby, S.P., ThermoChemical Data of organic Compounds, 2nd edit. Chamman and Hall (1986)

ROUX: Tschuikow-Roux, E., and Paddison, S., Inter. J. Chem. Kinet. 19; 15 (1987)

SQU: Paulino, J.A. and Squires, R.R., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 5573 (1991).

STULL: Stull, D.R., Westrum, E.F., and Sinke, G.C., The Chemical Thermodynamics organic Compounds, Robert E. Kreger Publishing Co. (1987)

THERM: Computer Code for Thermodynamic Properties Estimation, Ritter, E.R., and Bozzelli, J.W., Inter. J. Chem. Kinet., 23, 767 (1991)

TRC: TRC Thermodynamic Tables, Texas A\&M University.
ZHAO: Zhao, Y, and Francisco, J.S., Chem. Phys. Letters, Vol. 173 No. 5.6 (1990)

Appendix II Vibration Assigned to Normal and Partial Bond Bending and Stretching Motions

| mode Fr | Frequency | ( $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ Comments | Mode Fr | Frequency | $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ Corment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| inv-ch3 | 300 | CH3 radical inversion | $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{N}$ | 1150 |  |
| inv-h2 | 550 | C-CH2 group inversion | $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}$ | 1050 |  |
| inv-he | 570 | $\mathrm{C2}-\mathrm{CH}$ group inversion | H.C-C | 800 |  |
| inv-c2 | 600 | c3-C group inversion | H-O-C | 1400 |  |
| inv-clh2 | 2 750 | cclinz radical inversion | H-0.O | 1050 |  |
| inv-cleh | 2h 780 | ccl 2 H radical inversion | $\mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{O} \cdot \mathrm{N}$ | 1200 |  |
| inv-cl3 | 3500 | ccl3 radical inversion | H.O-C | 840 |  |
| inv-hel | 1730 | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CHCl}$ group inversion | $\mathrm{H} . \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{N}$ | 840 |  |
| inv-ho | 730 | c-CHO group inversion | H-C\#C, W | 730 | -CH triple bond was |
| inv-cl2 | 2750 | c-CCl2 group inversion | H-C\#C, R | 610 | -CH triple bond rock |
| inv-f2cl | -1 600 | C-F2Cl group inversion | $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ | 1050 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}=0$ | 1700 | stretch | $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{N}$ | 1150 |  |
| C-. 0 | 1400 |  | $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{O}$ | 1150 |  |
| C-O, ETH | H 1100 | c-0 stretch in ethanol | H.C=C | 790 |  |
| C-O, ACID | ID 1200 | C-O stretch in acid | H.C=N | 790 |  |
| c. 0 | 710 |  | $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-. \mathrm{C}$ | 1150 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ | 1650 |  | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ | 420 |  |
| C-. C | 1300 |  | C. $C=0$ | 290 |  |
| c-C | 1000 |  | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ | 420 |  |
| c. C | 675 |  | O-.N-O | 420 |  |
| $\mathrm{CD}-\mathrm{H}$ | 3100 |  | $0-C=0$ | 420 |  |
| ct -h | 3400 |  | O-.C-. 0 | 420 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ | 3000 |  | O-.N-. O | 360 |  |
| $\mathrm{c}-1$ | 500 |  | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}$ | 850 |  |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ | 3400 |  | $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C} \cdot . \mathrm{C}$ | 635 |  |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}$ | 3100 |  | $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{R}$ | 720 | -CH2-, rock |
| S-H | 3100 |  | $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{TR}$ | R 850 | terminal - CH 3 rock |
| C. H | 2200 |  | H-C. C, R | R 700 |  |
| O.H | 2200 |  | $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{OP}$ | P 700 | out-of-plane bend |
| C-F | 1100 |  | $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{Cl}$ | 400 |  |
| C. F | 820 |  | c.c.cl | 280 |  |
| $\mathrm{C-Cl}$ | 700 |  | $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{Cl}$ | 280 |  |
| c.cl | 490 |  | C-C-bR | 360 |  |
| C-1 | 500 |  | C-C. 8 R | 250 |  |
| C. 1 | 375 |  | C-C-1 | 320 |  |
| C-BR | 560 |  | c-C. 1 | 220 |  |
| C. 8 R | 420 |  | C-C-C | 420 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ | 1400 |  | C-C.C | 300 |  |
| H.C-H | 1000 |  | C-O-C | 400 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CO}$ | 1150 |  | c-0.c | 280 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CD}$ | 1150 |  | C-C-O | 400 |  |
| $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{C}$ | 1150 |  | C-C.0 | 280 |  |
| H-C-Cl,tw $1150-\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{Cl},-\mathrm{CHCl} 2$ wag/twist |  |  | 0-C-0 | 400 |  |
| H-C-Cl, R 1050 |  | - $\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{Cl},-\mathrm{CHCl} 2, \mathrm{ROCK}$ | $C=C, T R$ | 500 | torsion frequency |
| $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{TH}$$\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{F}$ | Tw 1150 | - CH 3 , - CH 2 - wag/twist | $C C=C C, T R$ | R 400 | torsion frequency |
|  | 1250 |  | $H C=C C, T R$ | R 600 | torsion frequency |

Appendix III Monents of Inertia (I), Torsion Barriers (V)and Symetry Numbers (S)
to Free Rotation about Single Bonds

| Rotors ( $A-B$ ) | $I_{a}$ | $I_{b}$ | $V / R$ | $S_{b}$ | $S_{a}$ | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CH3-CH2 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 80 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| RCH2-CH2 | 36.5 | 1.8 | 80 | 2 | 1 | Assigned |
| $\mathrm{CH} 3-\mathrm{CCH}$ | 3.2 | 17.0 | 100 | 1 | 3 | Assigned |
| CH2-C2H5 | 1.8 | 17.0 | 100 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| CH3-C. HCH 3 | 1.8 | 56.0 | 370 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| CH3-C. (CH3) 2 | 1.8 | 100.0 | 760 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| CH3-CF3 | 3.2 | 87.3 | 1660 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| CH3-CH3 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 1600 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| RCH2-CH3 | 36.5 | 3.2 | 1600 | 3 | 1 | Assigned |
| CH3-C2H5 | 3.2 | 17.0 | 1655 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| CH3-IPROP | 3.2 | 56.0 | 1950 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| RIPROP-CH3 | 83.0 | 3.2 | 1950 | 3 | 1 | Assigned |
| CH3-TBUT | 3.2 | 00.0 | 2365 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| CH3-PHENYL | 3.2 | 88.0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{CH} 3-\mathrm{OH}$ | 3.2 | 1.0 | 500 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| RCH2-OH | 36.5 | 1.0 | 500 | 1 | 1 | Assigned |
| $\mathrm{C} 2 \mathrm{H} 5-\mathrm{OH}$ | 17.0 | 1.0 | 600 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{CH} 2-\mathrm{OH}$ | 1.8 | 1.0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | Assigned |
| $\mathrm{CH} 3-\mathrm{OCH} 3$ | 3.2 | 17.0 | 1409 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| CH3-OCH2R | 3.2 | 36.5 | 1409 | 1 | 3 | Assigned |
| $\mathrm{CH} 2-\mathrm{OCH} 3$ | 1.8 | 17.0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | Assigned |
| $\mathrm{CH} 3-\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{OH}$ | 3.2 | 17.0 | 1676 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| IPROP-OH | 56.0 | 1.0 | 600 | 1 | 1 | Assigned |
| $\mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{OC}$ | 17.0 | 25.6 | 1273 | 1 | 1 | Assigned |
| CC-OCH2R | 17.0 | 36.5 | 273 | 1 | 1 | Assigned |
| IPROP-OC | 56.0 | 25.6 | 1500 | 1 | 1 | Assigned |
| VIN-OH | 15.0 | 1.0 | 404 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| RVIN-OH | 36.5 | 1.0 | 404 | 1 | 1 | Assigned |
| VIN-OR | 15.0 | 36.5 | 404 | 1 | 1 | Assigned |
| CH3-OOH | 3.2 | 34.7 | 598 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| RCH2-OOH | 36.5 | 34.7 | 598 | 1 | 1 | Assigned |
| $\mathrm{CH} 2-\mathrm{OOH}$ | 1.8 | 34.7 | 0 | 1 | 2 | Assigned |
| $\mathrm{CCO}-\mathrm{OH}$ | 55.0 | 1.0 | 330 | 1 | 1 | Assigned |
| RCH2O-OH | 55.0 | 1.0 | 330 | 1 | 1 | Assigned |
| $\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{OH}$ | 17.0 | 1.0 | 330 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| $\mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{OOH}$ | 17.0 | 34.7 | 598 | 1 | 1 | Assigned |
| IPROP-OOH | 56.0 | 34.7 | 598 | 1 | 1 | Assigned |
| CH3-CHO | 3.2 | 17.0 | 635 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| CH3-COCH3 | 3.2 | 34.0 | 635 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{CH} 2-\mathrm{COCH} 3$ | 1.8 | 34.0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | Assigned |
| CC-CHO | 17.0 | 17.0 | 635 |  | 1 | Assigned |
| CH3-C.*O | 3.2 | 1.0 | 190 | 1 | 3 | Assigned |
| CH2-VIN | 1.8 | 15.0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | Assigned |
| CH3-VIN | 3.2 | 15.0 | 634 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| CH3-VINR | 3.2 | 36.5 | 634 | 1 | 3 | Assigned |

Appendix III (cont'd)

| Rotors ( $A-B$ ) | $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | $I_{b}$ | $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{R}$ | $S_{b}$ | $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | Reference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CH3-C.*CHR | 3.2 | 18.0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | Assigned |
| CC-VINR | 17.0 | 36.5 | 634 | 1 | 1 | Assigned |
| IPROP-VIN | 56.0 | 15.0 | 634 | 1 | 1 | Assigned |
| IPROP-VINR | 56.0 | 36.5 | 634 | 1 | 1 | Assigned |
| CH3-C=CC, TRA | 3.2 | 16.0 | 377 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| $\mathrm{CH} 3-\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CC}$, CIS | 3.2 | 16.0 | 981 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| CH3-PH | 3.2 | 88.0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| CH2-PH | 1.8 | 88.0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | Assigned |
| $\mathrm{CC}-\mathrm{PH}$ | 17.0 | 88.0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | Assigned |
| IPROP-PH | 56.0 | 88.0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | Assigned |
| CH3-BENZYL | 3.2 | 170.0 | 1600 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| PHENYL-PHENYL | 89.2 | 89.2 | 754.9 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| PH-BENZYL | 89.2 | 170.0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | Assigned |
| OH-PHENYL | 1.0 | 89.2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| CF3-CF3 | 87.9 | 87.9 | 1992 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| CH3-CH2Cl | 3.2 | 103 | 1887 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| CH3-C. HCl | 3.2 | 103 | 400 | 1 | 3 | Roux 1992 |
| CH2-CH2Cl | 1.8 | 103 | 150 | 1 | 2 | Assigned |
| CH3-CHCl2 | 3.2 | 203 | 2300 | 1 | 3 | Assigned |
| CH3-C.Cl2 | 3.2 | 203 | 1000 | 2 | 3 | Roux 1992 |
| CH2-CHCl2 | 1.8 | 203 | 200 | 1 | 1 | Assigned |
| $\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{Cl}$ | 103 | 103 | 2700 | 1 | 1 | Assigned |
| C. $\mathrm{HCl}-\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{Cl}$ | 102 | 103 | 400 | 1 |  | Assigned |
| CH3-CCl3 | 3.2 | 303 | 2500 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| CH2-CCl 3 | 1.8 | 303 | 550 | 3 | 2 | Assigned |
| $\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{CHCl} 2$ | 103 | 203 | 2900 | 1 | 1 | Assigned |
| C. $\mathrm{HCl}-\mathrm{CHCl} 2$ | 102 | 203 | 600 | 2 | 1 | Assigned |
| CH2Cl-C.Cl2 | 103 | 202 | 600 | 2 | 1 | Assigned |
| CHCl2-CHCl2 | 203 | 203 | 5500 | 1 | 1 | Assigned |
| C. $\mathrm{Cl} 2-\mathrm{CHCl} 2$ | 202 | 203 | 800 | 1 | 2 | Assigned |
| CH2Cl-CCl3 | 103 | 303 | 5000 | 3 | 1 | Assigned |
| C. $\mathrm{HCl}-\mathrm{CCl} 3$ | 103 | 303 | 850 | 3 | 1 | Assigned |
| CHCl2-CCl3 | 203 | 303 | 6000 | 3 | 1 | Assigned |
| C. $\mathrm{Cl2}-\mathrm{CCl} 3$ | 203 | 302 | 1000 | 3 | 2 | Assigned |
| CCl3-CCl3 | 303 | 303 | 6492 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| CH3-C\#C | 3.2 | 1.0 | 190 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| C2-C\#C | 17.0 | 1.0 | 190 | 1 | 1 | Assigned |
| IPROP-C\#C | 56.0 | 1.0 | 190 | 1 | 1 | Assigned |

* symbols noted :

1. Rotors (A-B) presents two rotors, $A$ and $B$, along the single bond, $A-B$.
2. $I_{a}$ and $S_{a}$ : moments of inertia, amu- $\AA^{2}$, and symmetry number for rotor $A$.
3. $I_{b}$ and $S_{b}$ : moments of inertia, $a m u-\AA^{2}$, and symmetry number for rotor B.
For $I_{a}$ and $I_{b}$, the moments are taken along the $A-B$ axis.
4. V: torsion barrier, here divided by $R$ (ideal gas constant), expressed as $V / R, V / R$ unit $={ }^{\circ} \mathrm{K}$.

## * Reference :

1. S. W. Benson, Thermochemical Kinetics, 2nd Ed, p305, 306, (1976)
2. Yonghua Chen, Arvi Rauk, and E. Tschuikow-Roux, J. Chem. Phys., 1187, 93, (1990)
3. D. G. Lister, J. N. Macdonald and N. L. Owen, Internal Rotation and Inversion, Academic Press, pl28-139 (1978)
4. J. Pacansky, et. al., J. Phys. Chem., 94, 6250 (1990)
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## Appendix IV Output file of Some Radical Species by RADICALC Computer Code

| $\mathrm{CF2CL}=\mathrm{CHF} 2 \mathrm{CL}-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} /-2 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F} /-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CL} /+\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{INV}-\mathrm{F} 2 \mathrm{CL} /$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HEAT CAPACITY INCREMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -1*V/C-H | . 000 | . 000 | $\because .005$ | -. 026 | -. 077 | -. 264 | -. 507 | -1.039 |
| -2*V/H-C-F | $\cdot .347$ | -. 357 | -. 914 | -1.488 | - 1.972 | -2.650 | -3.055 | -3.530 |
| -1*V/H-C-CL | -. 609 | -. 617 | -. 999 | -1.267 | -1.448 | -1.659 | -1.769 | - 1.886 |
| V/INV-F2CL | 1.030 | 1.039 | 1.366 | 1.559 | 1.677 | 1.805 | 1.868 | 1.933 |
| ENTROPY INCREMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -1*V/C-H | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | -. 003 | -. 012 | -. 058 | -. 142 | -. 455 |
| -2 *V/H-C-F | -. 067 | -. 069 | -. 245 | -. 512 | -. 828 | -1.496 | -2.135 | -3.480 |
| -1*V/H-C-CL | -. 187 | -. 191 | -. 424 | -. 678 | -. 926 | -1.375 | -1.758 | -2.502 |
| $V / I N V-F 2 C l$ | . 448 | . 455 | . 803 | 1.130 | 1.426 | 1.928 | 2.338 | 3.111 |
| Temperature(k) heat capacity entropy cal/mol k cal/mol K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 298.0000 | . 0741 | . 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300.0000 | . 0644 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400.0000 | -. 5523 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500.0000 | -1.2224 | -. 06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 600.0000 | - 1.8208 | -. 33 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 800.0000 | -2.7682 | -1.000 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1000.0000 | -3.4628 | - 9.69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1500.0000 | -4.5217 | -3.32 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{~F}=\mathrm{CH} 3 \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} /-2 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H} /-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F} /+\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{INV}-\mathrm{H} 2 \mathrm{~F} /$

```
HEAT CAPACITY INCREMENTS
```

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $-1 * V / C-H$ | .000 | .000 | -.005 | -.026 | -.077 | -.264 | -.507 | -1.039 |
| $-2 * V / H-C-H$ | -.210 | -.217 | -.662 | -1.188 | -1.673 | -2.402 | -2.863 | -3.426 |
| $-1 * V / H-C-F$ | -.174 | -.178 | -.457 | -.744 | -.986 | -1.325 | -1.527 | -1.765 |
| V/INV-H2F | .735 | .744 | 1.119 | 1.366 | 1.527 | 1.711 | 1.805 | 1.903 |
| ENTROPY INCREMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $-1 * V / C-H$ | .000 | .000 | .000 | -.003 | -.012 | -.058 | -.142 | -.455 |
| $-2 * V / H-C-H$ | -.036 | -.037 | -.156 | -.361 | -.621 | -1.210 | -1.799 | -3.086 |
| $-1 * V / H-C-F$ | -.033 | -.035 | -.123 | -.256 | -.414 | -.748 | -1.067 | -1.740 |
| V/INV-H2F | .251 | .256 | .525 | .803 | 1.067 | 1.535 | 1.928 | 2.682 |


| Temperature(K) | heat capacity <br> cal/mol K | entropy <br> cal/mol K |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 298.0000 | .3508 | .1821 |
| 300.0000 | .3482 | .1844 |
| 400.0000 | -.0053 | .2453 |
| 500.0000 | -.5921 | .1830 |
| 600.0000 | -1.2087 | .0202 |
| 800.0000 | -2.2799 | -.4806 |
| 1000.0000 | -3.0928 | -1.0811 |
| 1500.0000 | -4.3264 | -2.5987 |

$\mathrm{CFCL}=\mathrm{CHFCLZ} \cdot \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{H} / \cdot 2 \mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{CL} /-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{F} /+\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{INV} \cdot \mathrm{CL} 2 \mathrm{~F} /$

| -1*V/C-H | . 000 | . 000 | $\cdot .005$ | -. 026 | -. 077 | $-.264$ | -. 507 | - 1.039 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -2*V/H-C-CL | -1.217 | -1.235 | -1.998 | -2.534 | -2.896 | -3.318 | -3.538 | -3.773 |
| $-1 * V / H-C-F$ | -. 174 | -. 178 | -. 457 | -. 744 | -. 986 | -1.325 | -1.527 | -1.765 |
| V/INV-CL2F | 1.138 | 1.146 | 1.448 | 1.619 | 1.722 | 1.832 | 1.886 | 1.944 |
| ENIROPY INCREMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -1 * V/C-H | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | $\because .003$ | $-.012$ | -. 058 | $-.142$ | -. 455 |
| -2* V/H-C-CL | -. 374 | -. 382 | -. 848 | -1.355 | -1.851 | -2.749 | -3.516 | -5.003 |
| -1*V/H-C-F | -. 033 | -. 035 | -. 123 | -. 256 | -. 414 | -. 748 | -1.067 | -1.740 |
| $\mathrm{V} / 1 \mathrm{NV}$-CL2F | . 543 | . 550 | . 926 | 1.269 | 1.574 | 2.086 | 2.502 | 3.279 |
| Temperature(k) heat capacity entropy cal/mol k cal/mol k |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 298.0000 | -. 2531 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300.0000 | -. 2673 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400.0000 | -1.0126 | $\because .04$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500.0000 | - 1.6851 | -. 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 600.0000 | -2.2368 | -. 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 800.0000 | -3.0745 | - 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1000.0000 | -3.6858 | -2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1500.0000 | -4.6347 | -3.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{CHFCL}=\mathrm{CH} 2 \mathrm{FCL}-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{H} /-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{H} /-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{F} /-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CL} /+\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{INV}-\mathrm{HFCL} /$

| -1*V/C-H | . 000 | . 000 | -. 005 | -. 026 | -. 077 | -. 264 | -. 507 | -1.039 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -T*V/H.C-H | -. 105 | -. 909 | -. 331 | -. 594 | -. 836 | -1.201 | -1.432 | -1.713 |
| -1*V/H-C-F | -. 174 | $\bigcirc .178$ | -. 457 | -. 744 | -. 986 | -1.325 | -1.527 | -1.765 |
| -1*V/H-C-CL | -. 609 | -. 617 | -. 999 | -1.267 | -1.448 | -1.659 | - 9.769 | -1.886 |
| V/JNV-HFCL | . 735 | . 744 | 1.119 | 1.366 | 1.527 | 1.711 | 1.805 | 1.903 |
| ENTROPY INCREMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -1 * V/C-H | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | $\cdot .003$ | -. 012 | -. 058 | -. 142 | -. 455 |
| -1 * V/H-C-H | -. 018 | -. 019 | . .078 | -. 180 | -. 311 | -. 605 | -. 900 | -1.543 |
| -1* V/H-C-F | -. 033 | -. 035 | -. 123 | -. 256 | -. 414 | -. 748 | -1.067 | -1.740 |
| -1* V/H-C-CL | -. 187 | . .191 | - .424 | -. 678 | -. 926 | -1.375 | -1.758 | -2.502 |
| V/INV-HFCL | . 251 | . 256 | . 525 | . 803 | 1.067 | 1.535 | 9.928 | 2.682 |
| Temperature(K) heat capacity entropy cal/mol k cal/mol K |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 298.0000 | -. 1527 | . 0128 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300.0000 | -. 1607 | .0117 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400.0000 | -. 6734 | -. 1004 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500.0000 | -1.2650 | -. 3142 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 600.0000 | -1.8201 | -. 5948 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 800.0000 | -2.7379 | -1.2502 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1000.0000 | -3.4301 | -1.9392 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1500.0000 | -4.4996 | -3.5575 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| $\mathrm{CFCL}=\mathrm{CHFCL} \cdot \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{H} / \cdot \mathrm{V} / \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} \cdot \mathrm{F} /-\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{H} \cdot \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CL} /$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| heat capacity increments |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -1*V/C-H | . 000 | . 000 | -. 005 | -. 026 | -. 077 | -. 264 | -. 507 | -1.039 |
| -1* V/H-C-F | -. 174 | -. 178 | -. 457 | -. 744 | -. 986 | -1.325 | -1.527 | -1.765 |
| -1*V/H-C-CL | -. 609 | -. 617 | -. 999 | -1.267 | -1.448 | -1.659 | -1.769 | -1.886 |
| ENTROPY INCREMENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| -1* V/C-H | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | -. 003 | -. 012 | -. 058 | -. 142 | -. 455 |
| -1*V/H-C-F | -. 033 | -. 035 | -. 123 | -. 256 | -. 414 | -. 748 | -1.067 | -1.740 |
| $-1 * V / H-C-C L$ | -. 187 | -. 191 | -. 426 | -. 678 | -. 926 | $-1.375$ | -1.758 | -2.502 |
| Temperature(K) | heat capacity entropy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | cal/mol K |  | ( K |  |  |  |  |  |
| 298.0000 | -. 7825 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 300.0000 | -. 7960 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 400.0000 | -1.4612 |  | 471 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 500.0000 | -2.0371 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 600.0000 | -2.5111 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 800.0000 | -3.2480 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1000.0000 | -3.8032 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1500.0000 | -4.6898 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix $V$ Thermodynamic Analysis for the Mechanism



|  | thermooynamic analysis for reaction |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rx | CF2CL2 | $=\mathrm{CF} 2 \mathrm{~S}$ | + CL |  |
|  | Hf $\{\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) | -114.100 | -39.400 |  |  |
|  | S (cal/mol K) | 72.000 | 57.500 | 53.3 |  |
| dir (kcal/mol\} (298k) | 74.70 | dHr avg | (298., 1500 | K) | 74.17 |
| du (de) (kcal/mol) (i') | 74.11 | dur avg | (298., 1500 | K) | 72.98 |
| dSr (cal/mol K) (") | 38.80 | dSr avg | (298., 1500 | K) | 37.95 |
| dGr (kcal/mol) ( ") | 63.13 | der avg | (298., 1500 | K) | 40.05 |
| Af/Ar (") | $4.546 \mathrm{E}+03$ | Af/Ar ava | 9 (298., 150 | . K | 52E+02 |


| $T(\mathrm{~K})$ | $\mathrm{dH}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $d U(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\mathrm{dS}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K})$ | $(\mathrm{Af} / \mathrm{Ar})$ | $\mathrm{dG}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300.00 | $7.470 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.410 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.880 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.518 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $6.306 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 400.00 | $7.465 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.385 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.866 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.154 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $5.919 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 500.00 | $7.452 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.352 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.837 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.981 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $5.533 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 600.00 | $7.433 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.314 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.804 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.538 \mathrm{E}+03$ | $5.151 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 800.00 | $7.389 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.230 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.739 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.348 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.397 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1000.00 | $7.339 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.141 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.684 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.066 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.655 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1200.00 | $7.289 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.050 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.638 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.344 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.923 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1500.00 | $7.219 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.920 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.586 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.057 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.840 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 2000.00 | $7.128 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.730 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.533 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.184 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $6.112 \mathrm{E}-01$ |



| Rx | C2F4CL | = C2F4 | $+\mathrm{Cl}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hf (Kcal/mol) | -187.000 | -157.400 | 28.900 |
| s \{cal/mol K \} | 79.900 | 71.800 | 39.500 |



| $T(K)$ | $d H(K c a l / m o l)$ | $d U(K c a l / m o l)$ | $d S(c a l / m o l ~ K)$ | $(A f / A r)$ | $d G(K c a l / m o l)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300.00 | $3.850 E+01$ | $3.791 E+01$ | $3.141 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.096 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.908 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 400.00 | $3.860 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.781 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.171 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $9.550 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.592 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 500.00 | $3.861 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.762 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.173 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.717 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.275 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 600.00 | $3.857 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.737 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.165 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $0.176 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.958 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 800.00 | $3.843 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.684 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.145 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.192 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.327 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1000.00 | $3.832 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.633 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.132 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.149 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.993 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 1200.00 | $3.826 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.587 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.127 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.552 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.344 \mathrm{E}-01$ |
| 1500.00 | $3.821 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.523 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.123 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.005 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-8.640 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 2000.00 | $3.820 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.422 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.122 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.497 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-2.425 \mathrm{E}+01$ |


| THERMODYNAMIC ANALYSIS for REACTION |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RX | CF2CL | +HZ | $=$ CHF2CL | +H |
| $\mathrm{Hf}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | -66.000 | 0.000 | -115.300 | 52.100 |
| $\mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K})$ | 68.300 | 31.200 | 67.200 | 27.300 |



| $T(\mathrm{~K})$ | $\mathrm{dH}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\mathrm{dU}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\mathrm{dS}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K})$ | $(\mathrm{Af} / \mathrm{Ar})$ | $\mathrm{dC}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300.00 | $2.797 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.797 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-5.009 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.04 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.300 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 400.00 | $2.691 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.691 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-5.318 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.882 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $4.819 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 500.00 | $2.642 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.642 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-5.430 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.505 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $5.357 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 600.00 | $2.642 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.642 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-5.432 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.498 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $5.901 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 800.00 | $2.758 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.758 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-5.270 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.050 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $6.974 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 1000.00 | $2.988 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.988 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-5.015 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $8.016 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $8.003 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 1200.00 | $3.293 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.293 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-4.737 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $9.216 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $8.978 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 1500.00 | $3.828 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.828 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-4.340 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.125 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.034 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 2000.00 | $4.808 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.808 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-3.778 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.494 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.236 \mathrm{E}+01$ |


| THERMODYNAMIC ANALYSIS for REACIION |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| RX CF2CL2 | +H | $=$ CF2CL | +HCL |  |
| $\mathrm{Hf}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | -114.100 | 52.100 | -66.000 | -22.000 |
| $\mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K})$ | 72.000 | 27.300 | 68.300 | 44.600 |



| I (K) | $\mathrm{dH}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\mathrm{du}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\mathrm{dS}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K})$ | $(\mathrm{Af} / \mathrm{Ar})$ | $\mathrm{dG}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300.00 | $-2.600 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-2.600 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.359 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $9.320 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-3.008 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 400.00 | $-2.626 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-2.626 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.285 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.426 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-3.140 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 500.00 | $-2.656 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-2.656 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.218 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.597 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-3.265 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 600.0 | $-2.688 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-2.688 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.159 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.416 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-3.384 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 800.00 | $-2.756 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-2.756 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.062 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.094 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-3.606 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1000.00 | $-2.823 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-2.823 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $9.875 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.440 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-3.81+01$ |
| 1200.00 | $-2.886 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-2.886 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $9.300 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.078 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-4.002 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1500.00 | $-2.973 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-2.973 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.651 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.778 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-4.271 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 2000.00 | $-3.100 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-3.100 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.918 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.379 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-4.683 \mathrm{E}+01$ |



| ThERMODYNAMIC ANALYSIS for reaction |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rx | Chfacl | + H | $=\mathrm{CHF2}$ | + HCL |
| Hf \{Kcal/mol | -115.300 | 52.100 | -57.100 | -22.000 |
| 5 \{cal/mol K | ) 67.200 | 27.300 | 61.700 | 44.600 |



| $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$ | $\mathrm{dH}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | dU(Kcal/mol) | $\mathrm{dS}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K})$ | $(\mathrm{Af} / \mathrm{Ar})$ | $d \mathrm{~d}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300.00 | $-1.590 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-1.590 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.179 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.776 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-1.944 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 400.00 | $-1.609 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-1.609 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.125 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.873 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-2.059 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 500.00 | $-1.634 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-1.634 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.069 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.176 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-2.169 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 600.00 | $-1.663 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-1.663 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.018 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.677 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-2.273 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 800.00 | $-1.724 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-1.724 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $9.298 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.077 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $-2.468 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1000.00 | $-1.785 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-1.785 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.616 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.640 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-2.646 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1200.00 | $-1.843 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-1.843 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.086 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.852 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-2.813 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1500.00 | $-1.924 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-1.924 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.479 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.31 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-3.046 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 2000.00 | $-2.045 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-2.045 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.781 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.035 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-3.402 \mathrm{E}+01$ |


| THERMODYNAMIC ANALYSIS for REACTION |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| RX | CHF2 | +H 2 | $=$ CH2F2 | +H |
| $\mathrm{Hf}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | -57.100 | 0.000 | -108.000 | 52.100 |
| $\mathrm{~S}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K})$ | 61.700 | 31.200 | 59.000 | 27.300 |


| dHr (kca | l) (298K) | 1.20 | dhr avg (2) | 8., 1500. | $=1.03$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| du (dE) (k | cal/mol) (1') | 1.20 | dur avg (29 | 8., 1500. | $k)=1.03$ |
| dSr (cal/ | ol K) (") | -6.60 | dSr avg (29 | 8., 1500. | $k)=\quad-7.05$ |
| dGr \{kcal | /mol) (") | 3.17 | dGr avg | 8., 1500. | $K)=7.37$ |
|  | Af/Ar (") | $=3.610 \mathrm{E}-02$ | Af/Ar avg | 298., 1500. | $)=2.880 E-02$ |
| T (K) | $\mathrm{dH}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | duckeal/mol) | dS(cal/mol K) | (Af/Ar) | $\mathrm{dG}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| 300.00 | $1.196 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.196 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -6.613E+00 | 3.586E-02 | $3.180 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 400.00 | $1.018 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.018 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-7.129 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.765E-02 | $3.870 E+00$ |
| 500.00 | 8.946E-09 | 8.946E-01 | -7.407E+00 | 2.405E-02 | $4.598 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 600.00 | 8.225E-01 | 8.225E-01 | -7.540E+00 | 2.249E-02 | $5.346 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 800.00 | 8.137E-01 | 8.137E-01 | $-7.558 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.229E-02 | $6.860 E+00$ |
| 1000.00 | 9.482E-01 | 9.482E-01 | -7.411E+00 | 2.400E-02 | $8.359 E+00$ |
| 1200.00 | $1.180 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.180 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-7.200 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 2.668E-02 | $9.820 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 1500.00 | $1.626 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.626 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-6.870 \mathrm{E}+00$ | 3.151E-02 | $1.193 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 2000.00 | $2.494 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.494 \mathrm{E}+00$ | -6.372E+00 | 4.049E-02 | $1.524 \mathrm{E}+01$ |



| THERMODYNAMIC ANALYSIS for REACTION |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| RX | CF2S | + C2F4 | $=$ C3F6 |  |
| Hf $\{\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\}$ | -39.400 | -157.400 | -269.000 |  |
| $\mathrm{~S}\{$ cal/mol K $\}$ | 57.500 | 71.800 | 65.300 |  |



| $T(\mathrm{~K})$ | $\mathrm{dH}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $d U(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\mathrm{dS}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K})$ | $(\mathrm{Af} / \mathrm{Ar})$ | $\mathrm{dG}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300.00 | $-7.220 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-7.161 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-6.401 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.822 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $-5.300 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 400.00 | $-7.240 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-7.161 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-6.459 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.806 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $-4.657 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 500.00 | $-7.253 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-7.154 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-6.488 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.355 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $-4.009 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 600.00 | $-7.261 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-7.142 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-6.502 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.217 \mathrm{E}-10$ | $-3.360 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 800.00 | $-7.266 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-7.107 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-6.510 \mathrm{E}+09$ | $1.055 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $-2.058 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1000.00 | $-7.261 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-7.063 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-6.505 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.351 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $-7.564 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 1200.00 | $-7.250 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-7.011 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-6.495 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.708 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $5.436 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 1500.00 | $-7.229 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-6.931 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-6.479 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.310 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $2.490 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 2000.00 | $-7.197 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-6.799 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-6.460 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.381 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $5.724 \mathrm{E}+01$ |



| THERMODYNAMIC ANALYSIS for REACTION |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rx | CHF2CL | = CF2S | + HCL |
| Hf $\{\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\}$ | -115.300 | -39.400 | -22.000 |
| S \{cal/mol K $\}$ | 67.200 | 57.500 | 44.600 |



| $T(K)$ | $d H(K c a l / m o l)$ | $d U(K c a l / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\mathrm{dS}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K})$ | $(\mathrm{Af} / \mathrm{Ar})$ | $\mathrm{dG}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300.00 | $5.390 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.331 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.491 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.392 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $4.343 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 400.00 | $5.407 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.328 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.541 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $6.142 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.991 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 500.00 | $5.414 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.315 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.556 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.307 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.636 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 600.00 | $5.413 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.294 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.554 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.384 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $3.280 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 800.00 | $5.395 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.236 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.529 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.889 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $2.572 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1000.00 | $5.362 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.164 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.493 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.931 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.870 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1200.00 | $5.322 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.083 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.456 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.335 \mathrm{E}+02$ | $1.175 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1500.00 | $5.259 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.961 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.409 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.460 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.454 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 2000.00 | $5.175 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.777 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.360 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.956 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-1.546 \mathrm{E}+01$ |



|  |  | thermooynamic analysis for reaction |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rx CF | CF2CL + CH | $2=$ CHF2CF2CL |  |
|  |  | Hf (Kcal/mol) | -66.000 | -57.100-2 | 15.600 |
|  |  | $s$ (cal/mol K) | 68.300 | 61.700 | 79.600 |
| dhr (kcal/n | mol) (298k) | -92.50 | dHr avg (298 | 298., 1500. | $k)=-91.77$ |
| OU ( $d E$ ) \{kc | cal/mol) (") | -91.91 | dur avg (28) | 298., 1500. | $k)=-90.58$ |
| dSr \{ cal/mol | ( K) (") | -50.40 | dSr avg (28 | 298., 1500. | $k)=-49.21$ |
| dGr <kcal/ | (mol) ( " ) | -77.47 | dGr avg (2 | 298., 1500. | $K)=-47.52$ |
|  | affar (") | $=6.412 \mathrm{E} \cdot 07$ | Af/Ar avg ( | 298., 1500. | $k)=3.512 \mathrm{E}-06$ |
| T (K) | dH(Kcal/mol) | du(Kcal/mol) | dS(cal/mol K) | (Af/Ar) | $\mathrm{dG}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| 300.00 | -9.250E+01 | -9.190E+01 | -5.040E+01 | $6.454 \mathrm{E}-07$ | -7.738E+01 |
| 400.00 | $-9.242 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-9.162 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-5.017 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 9.653E-07 | $-7.235 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 500.00 | $-9.223 E+01$ | $-9.124 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-4.975 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.488E-06 | $-6.735 E+01$ |
| 600.00 | $-9.197 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -9.078E+01 | $-4.929 E+01$ | 2.259E-06 | $-6.240 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 800.00 | $-9.136 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-8.977 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-4.841 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 4.692E-06 | $-5.263 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1000.00 | $-9.071 E+01$ | $-8.872 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-4.769 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 8.431E-06 | $-4.303 E+01$ |
| 1200.00 | $-9.008 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -8.770E+01 | $-4.711 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.351E-05 | $-3.355 \varepsilon+01$ |
| 1500.00 | $-8.915 E+01$ | -8.617E+01 | -4.642E+01 | 2.390E-05 | $-1.952 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 2000.00 | $-8.764 E+01$ | $-8.366 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-4.555 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 4.945E-05 | $3.458 E+00$ |


| IHERMODYNAMIC ANALYSIS | for REACTION |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RX | 2 CF2S | $=$ C2F6 |
| Hf (Kcal/mol) | -78.800 | -157.400 |
| S (cal/mol K) | 115.000 | 71.800 |



| thermoornamic analysis for reaction |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rx | CH2F2 | + CL | $=\mathrm{CHF} 2$ | + HCL |
| Hf (Kcal/mol) | -108.000 | 28.900 | -57.100 | -22.000 |
| S (cal/mol K | ) 59.000 | 39.500 | 69.700 | 44.600 |



| $T(\mathrm{~K})$ | $\mathrm{dH}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | dU(Kcal/mol) | $\mathrm{dS}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K})$ | $(\mathrm{Af} / \mathrm{Ar})$ | $\mathrm{dG}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300.00 | $2.983 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $2.983 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $7.810 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.093 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-2.340 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 400.00 | $1.375 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $1.375 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.201 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.200 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-3.143 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 500.00 | $2.215 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.215 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $8.390 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.820 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-3.974 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 600.00 | $2.584 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.584 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $8.459 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.060 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-4.817 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 800.00 | $2.101 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.101 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.394 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.835 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-6.505 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 1000.00 | $3.484 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $3.484 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $8.201 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $6.201 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-8.166 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 1200.00 | $-2.232 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-2.232 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.967 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $5.511 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-9.783 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 1500.00 | $-6.856 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $-6.856 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.623 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.637 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-1.212 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 2000.00 | $-1.538 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $-1.538 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $7.134 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.624 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-1.581 \mathrm{E}+01$ |



| IHERMODYNAMIC ANALYSIS | for REACTION |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| RX 2 CF 2 CL | $=\mathrm{C} 2 F 4 \mathrm{CL} 2$ |  |
| $\mathrm{Hf}\{\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\}$ | -132.000 | -219.000 |
| $\mathrm{~S}\{\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K}\}$ | 136.600 | 86.000 |



| $T(\mathrm{~K})$ | $\mathrm{dH}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\mathrm{dU}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\mathrm{dS}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K})$ | (Af/Ar) | $\mathrm{dG}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300.00 | $-8.700 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-8.640 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-5.059 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.870 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $-7.182 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 400.00 | $-8.675 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-8.596 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-4.988 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.115 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $-6.680 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 500.00 | $-8.643 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-8.544 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-4.918 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.991 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $-6.184 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 600.00 | $-8.607 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-8.488 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-4.852 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $3.322 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $-5.696 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 800.00 | $-8.531 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-8.372 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-4.743 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $7.661 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $-4.737 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1000.00 | $-8.458 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-8.259 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-4.661 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $1.448 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $-3.797 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1200.00 | $-8.388 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-8.150 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-4.598 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.391 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $-2.871 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1500.00 | $-8.287 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-7.989 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-4.523 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $4.361 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $-1.504 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 2000.00 | $-8.125 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-7.727 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-4.429 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $9.317 \mathrm{E}-05$ | $7.330 \mathrm{E}+00$ |





|  |  | thermodynamic analysis for reaction |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | RX CFCL + |  | $\mathrm{H2}=$ | CH2FCL |
|  |  | Hf (Kcal/mol) | -5.000 | $0.000-6$ | 62.600 |
|  |  | $S$ \{cal/mol K $\}$ | 65.500 | 31.200 | 63.200 |
| dhr (keal/m | 01) (298K) | $=-57.60$ | dHr avg ( | 298., 1500. | K) $=\quad .58 .36$ |
| du (dE) (kc | al/mol) ('i) | -57.01 | dur avg ( | 298., 1500. | K) $=-57.17$ |
| dSr ( $\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol}$ | l K) ( ") | -33.50 | dSr avg ( | 298., 1500. | K) $=-35.19$ |
| dGr <kcal/ | mol3 ( " ) | -47.61 | dGr avg ( | 298., 1500. | K) $=-26.72$ |
|  | f/ar (") | $=3.168 \mathrm{E}-03$ | Af/Ar avg | (298., 1500. | $K)=4.089 \mathrm{E}-03$ |
| T (K) | dM(Kcal/mol) | du(Kcal/mol) | ds(cal/mol K) | (Af/Ar) | de(Kcal/mol) |
| 300.00 | $-5.761 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-5.701 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -3.354E+01 | 3.130E-03 | $-4.755 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 400.00 | $-5.812 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-5.733 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -3.502E+01 | 1.974E-03 | $-4.412 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 500.00 | $-5.851 E+01$ | $-5.752 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -3.589E+01 | $1.597 \mathrm{E}-03$ | $-4.057 E+01$ |
| 600.00 | $-5.879 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-5.759 E+01$ | $-3.639 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.485E-03 | $-3.695 E+01$ |
| 800.00 | $-5.908 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-5.749 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-3.682 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.597E-03 | $-2.962 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1000.00 | -5.911E+01 | $-5.712 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-3.686 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.958E-03 | -2.225E+01 |
| 1200.00 | $-5.896 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-5.658 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-3.673 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 2.511E-03 | $-1.488 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1500.00 | $-5.853 E+01$ | -5.555E+01 | $-3.641 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 3.679E-03 | $-3.912 \mathrm{E}+00$ |
| 2000.00 | $-5.766 E+01$ | $-5.368 E+01$ | $-3.591 E+01$ | $6.314 \mathrm{E} \cdot 03$ | $1.417 E+01$ |



|  |  | Thermoo ynamic analysis for reaction |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rx | CHFS | + H 2 | CH3F |
|  |  | Hf (Kcal/mol) | 26.000 | $0.000-55$ | 55.900 |
|  |  | s (cal/mol K) | 53.300 | 31.200 | 53.300 |
| dHr ( $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{m}$ | mol) (298k) | -81.90 | ditr avg | (298., 1500. K) | $=-82.90$ |
| dU (dE) \{kea | cal/mol) (") | -81.31 | dur avg | (298., 1500. K) | $k)=-81.71$ |
| dsr (cal/mol | o( K) (") | -31.20 | dSr avg | (298., 1500. K | $k)=-33.30$ |
| dor ckeal | /mol) ( ") | -72.60 | dGr avg | (298., 1500. | -52.96 |
|  | af/ar (") | $=1.008 \mathrm{E}-02$ | Af/ar avg | (298., 1500. | $)=1.055 E \cdot 02$ |
| T (K) | dH (Kcal/mol) | du(Kcal/mol) | $\mathrm{dS}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K}$ | k) (Af/Ar) | do (Kcal/mol) |
| 300.00 | -8.191E+01 | -8.132E+01 | $-3.124 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 9.951e.03 | -7.254E+01 |
| 400.00 | $-8.247 \mathrm{E}+01$ | -8.168E+01 | -3.287E+01 | 5.850E-03 | -6.933E+01 |
| 500.00 | -8.294E+01 | -8.194E+01 | $-3.390 E+01$ | 4.340E-03 | -6.599E+01 |
| 600.00 | -8.330E+01 | -8.211E+01 | -3.457E+01 | 3.717E-03 | -6.256E+01 |
| 800.00 | $-8.377 E+01$ | -8.218E+01 | $-3.526 E+01$ | 3.504E-03 | -5.557E+01 |
| 1000.00 | $-8.396 E+01$ | -8.197E+01 | $-3.547 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 3.944E-03 | $-4.849 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1200.00 | $-8.393 E+01$ | $-8.155 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-3.545 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 4.782E-03 | $-4.139 E+01$ |
| 1500.00 | $-8.3665+01$ | $-8.068 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-3.525 E+01$ | 6.599E-03 | $-3.079 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 2000.00 | $-8.290 E+01$ | $-7.892 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-3.482 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 1.096E-02 | $-1.327 \mathrm{E}+01$ |


| thermodymamic analysis for reaction |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rx | CHFS | + H2 | $=\mathrm{CH3}$ | + F |
| Hf (Kcal/mol) | 26.000 | 0.000 | 34.800 | 18.900 |
| s \{cal/mol K \} | 53.300 | 31.2 | 46.3 | 37.900 |



| $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{K})$ | $\mathrm{dH}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\mathrm{dU}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ | $\mathrm{dS}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K})$ | $(\mathrm{Af} / \mathrm{Ar})$ | $\mathrm{dG}(\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300.00 | $2.770 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.770 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-3.041 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.581 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.779 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 400.00 | $2.764 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.764 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-4.768 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.867 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.783 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 500.00 | $2.759 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.759 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-5.807 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.466 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.788 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 600.00 | $2.756 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.756 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-6.367 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.258 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.794 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 800.00 | $2.755 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.755 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-6.512 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.206 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.807 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1000.00 | $2.762 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.762 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-5.829 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.458 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.820 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1200.00 | $2.774 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.776 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-4.684 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $7.900 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $2.830 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1500.00 | $2.802 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.802 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $-2.605 \mathrm{E}-01$ | $8.771 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $2.84 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 2000.00 | $2.863 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.863 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $8.499 \mathrm{E}-02$ | $1.044 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $2.846 \mathrm{E}+01$ |




## THERMODYNAMIC ANALYSIS for REACTION

| Rx | CLF | $=\mathrm{CL}$ | +F |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Hf}\{\mathrm{Kcal} / \mathrm{mol}\}$ | -12.000 | 28.900 | 18.900 |
| $\mathrm{~S}\{\mathrm{Cal} / \mathrm{mol} \mathrm{K}\}$ | 52.000 | 39.500 | 37.900 |



| T (K) | dil (Kcal/mol) | dU(Kcal/mol) | $\mathrm{dS}(\mathrm{cal} / \mathrm{molk} \mathrm{K})$ | ( $A f / A r$ ) | dG (Kcal/mol) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300.00 | $5.981 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.921 E+01$ | $2.542 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 5.368E +00 | $5.218 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 400.00 | $6.006 E+01$ | $5.927 E+01$ | $2.616 E+01$ | $5.861 E+00$ | $4.960 E+01$ |
| 500.00 | $6.030 E+01$ | $5.930 E+01$ | $2.669 E+01$ | $6.097 E+00$ | $4.695 E+01$ |
| 600.00 | $6.051 E+01$ | $5.932 \mathrm{E}+01$ | 2.707E+01 | $6.182 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $4.427 E+01$ |
| 800.00 | $6.089 E+01$ | $5.930 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $2.763 E+01$ | $6.124 E+00$ | $3.879 E+01$ |
| 1000.00 | $6.124 E+01$ | 5.925E+01 | $2.801 E+01$ | $5.942 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $3.323 E+09$ |
| 1200.00 | $6.156 E+01$ | $5.917 E+01$ | $2.830 \mathrm{E}+01$ | $5.731 E+00$ | $2.759 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 1500.00 | $6.200 E+01$ | $5.902 E+01$ | $2.863 E+09$ | $5.418 \mathrm{E}+00$ | $1.905 \mathrm{E}+01$ |
| 2000.00 | $6.270 E+01$ | $5.872 E+01$ | $2.903 E+01$ | $4.973 E+00$ | $4.628 E+00$ |
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CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION

Methanol has played an important role in industry and environmental fields. It is of "widespread interest as an additive and an alternative to conventional transportation fuels"(1) because of potential reductions in pollutant emissions. Specifically, methanol is indicated to aid in reduction of unburned hydrocarbons, which enhance ozone production and photo-chemical smog formation. Methanol is easily produced from petroleum and non-petroleum resources such as coal, wood etc. It is also an "important intermediate species formed in the combustion of the high-octanenumber fuel additive MTBE".

The oxidation and pyrolysis of gas phase methanol has been studied widely over the past half century by several different methods: diffusion flame, shock-tube and static or flow reactors. study on pyrolysis and oxidation of methanol by diffusion flames was reported by Smith and Gordon(2) in 1956. They used a quartz probe sampling technique to extract stable species from the flame regions. The samples were analyzed with a consolidated analytical mass spectrometer. The temperature measured in the flames varied from about 200 C at the wick to about 1400 C at the tip and edge of the flame. From the analysis of these products, they indicated that "the mechanism of burning involves a pyrolysis of the alcohol followed by an
oxidation of the pyrolysis products. The pyrolysis of methanol was free radical induced by the small percentage of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ which diffuses in near the base of the burner, and H or OH radicals which come from the reaction of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ an $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ". Even earlier studies were reported by Fletcher(3) in 1934 and Someno(4) in 1942. Fletcher studied the pyrolysis of methanol in a static system at pressure less than atmospheric and temperatures between 626-730C. He proposed that the pyrolysis occurs in two stages; the first stage gives formaldehyde and hydrogen, and the formaldehyde is pyrolyzed in the second stage to CO and $\mathrm{H}_{2}$.

Someno ${ }^{(4)}$ studied the thermal decomposition and slow combustion of monohydric alcohols. He worked in quartz vessels at sub-Atmospheric pressure and temperatures up to 650 C. Identification of products was made spectrographically (IR and visible spectroscopy). Ketones, aldehydes, ketenes and unsaturated hydrocarbons were found in the products. Formaldehyde was found only in the products from the slow oxidation.

A shock-tube study of the ignition of methanol with oxygen was made by cooke and co-workers ${ }^{(5)}$. The experiment was performed in stoichiometric mixtures of methanol and oxygen diluted with $95 \%$ argon at shock generated temperatures from 1300-1600 C, pressure range 200-300 Torr. They found methanol was less reactive than ethanol. In the high temperature conditions, the following reactions were reported to evolve:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{OH} \\
& \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}
\end{aligned}
$$

with fission of the $0-H$ bond less likely to occur.
Bowman ${ }^{(6)}$ also studied the high temperature oxidation of methanol behind reflected shock waves in temperature range 1272-1907 c. The reaction of methanol-oxygen mixtures, dilute in argon, was initiated by reflected shock waves in a shock tube. The concentrations of $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{OH}$, $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and CO were measured using various spectroscopic techniques. He said: "Following shock-heating, oxidation appears to proceed through two distinct phases: an induction period, in which the concentrations of radical species and water increase rapidly with little change in temperature; followed by exothermic reaction with the concentration of radical species and water slowly approaching equilibrium values". He proposed a small reaction mechanism and indicated that the significant reactions include "thermal decomposition of methanol, attack of radicals $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{OH}$ and H on methanol and thermal decomposition of the important radical intermediate $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}^{\prime \prime}$. Aronowitz et al ${ }^{(7)}$ reported methanol oxidation results $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{N}_{2}\right)$ in an adiabatic, turbulent flow reactor, having plug flow characteristics that allowed for chemical sampling with high spatial resolution. Experiments were performed at atmospheric pressure, in the temperature and equivalence ratio ranges of $680-880 \mathrm{C}$ and
$0.03-3.16$ respectively. He found "major products included $\mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ with small amounts of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. Trace quantities of hydrocarbon products were observed at the more fuel rich equivalence ratios". He specifically emphasized the importance of $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ chemistry to the oxidation of methanol in this temperature region and atmospheric pressure which Westbrook et al (8) had previously indicated that hydroperoxyl radicals can be important in high temperature chemistry.

A study of methanol combustion in laminar flames was made by Vandooren and Van Tiggelen (9) in 1981. A detailed mechanism and rate constants of elementary steps for lean methanol flames burning at 40 Torr were investigated by using molecular beam sampling coupled with mass spectrometric analysis. They reported that "about $70 \%$ of the fuel molecules were consumed by reaction with hydroxyl radical and $30 \%$ by reaction with hydrogen atom". The main initial product was $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ which reacts further, principally with the molecular oxygen. "The occurrence of electronically excited $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was detected and attributed to highly exothermic reactions between $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ and $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{H}$ or OH radicals". They indicated that the formaldehyde conversion occurred via reactions with radicals, mainly $O H$, or by unimolecular (low pressure limit) decomposition process.

Important elementary reactions of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ with molecular and atomic oxygen in the methanol oxidation system was studied by Grotheer et al (10) using direct discharge flow
reactor in the temperature range between $25-400 \mathrm{C}$ at pres sure around 1 mbar. Radical profiles were monitored by a low energy-electron impact ionization mass spectrometer. The rate coefficient was measured for the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{O}$ reaction. They found that "the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ reaction exhibits a strong non-Arrhenius behavior".

Recent studies on methanol oxidation and pyrolysis are also reported by Norton and $\operatorname{Dryer}(1,11,12)$. In 1989, they performed the methanol oxidation experiment using a turbulent flow reactor at equivalence ratios of 0.6-1.6 and initial temperatures of $752-817 \mathrm{C}$ at atmospheric pressure. They found "at intermediate extents of reaction, a marked deceleration in chemical reaction rate was observed to effect a 'plateau' in the energy release and species concentration profiles". They communicated that "experimental observations on the intermediate-temperature flow reactor oxidation of methanol, combined with their updated modeling, yield an improved view of some important details of methanol chemistry under flow reactor conditions". These new insights "concern the importance of $\mathrm{HO}_{2}$ radicals during all stages of fuel decay, the causes of a chemical deceleration before the $C O$ peak under fuel-rich conditions, and the origin of small amounts of $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ and early $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ ". They indicate that "the existing and widely-used mechanism(13) for methanol oxidation is in error" and should be updated.

Norton and Dryer presented a kinetic mechanism(11) for methanol pyrolysis which matched multiple sets of experimental data from static, flow, and shock tube reactors, covering temperatures of 700 to 1720 C and pressures of 0.3 to 1 atmosphere. They indicated "the model results were highly sensitive to the rates of unimolecular fuel decomposition and of various chain termination reactions that remove $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ and H radicals, as well as to experimental temperature uncertainties. The fuel decomposition reaction $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}$, which had previously been included only in mechanisms for high temperature conditions, was found to have a significant effect at low temperatures as well, through radical combination..., The reaction:

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CO}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{CO}_{2}
$$

rather than:

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}
$$

was found to be the dominant source of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ at low temperatures. The reverse of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{OH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}$ was important to $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ production at high temperatures".

One of the principle problems with the Norton-Dryer mechanism is that it does not consider pressure effects of the important unimolecular and bimolecular reactions. For example, the pressure dependence of the decomposition steps below are not fully included or analyzed for the different systems:

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-->\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{OH}
$$

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-->\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{H}
$$

and more importantly the correct path way analysis for $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}->\left[. \mathrm{OOCH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}\right] \# \rightarrow . \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{O}$

$$
\rightarrow[\mathrm{HOOC.} \mathrm{HOH}] \# \rightarrow \mathrm{HCO}_{2}+\mathrm{OH}
$$

$$
\rightarrow\left[\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{O} \cdot\right] \# \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{HO}_{2}
$$

$$
-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{O}_{2} \text { (Reverse - No Rxn) }
$$

$$
\rightarrow . \mathrm{OOCH}_{2} \mathrm{OH} \text { (Stabilization) }
$$

as well as reactions of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ to form $\left[\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OOO}\right] \#$ and its further reactions.

An example is that the Norton-Dryer Mechanism does nothing to properly incorporate the negative temperature dependence, pressure effects and correct reaction pathways that account for the observations by Grotheer.

These pressure dependent reactions are very important in formation of CO and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. It is specifically important to evaluate properly the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ formation path from $\mathrm{HCO}_{2}$ formation in the reaction of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}+\mathrm{O}_{2}$ shown above. This reaction proceeds through a chemically activated intermediate, which can be stabilized, dissociate back to reactants (no reaction) or react via isomerization to either HOOC. HOH or $\mathrm{HOOCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. isomers; both of which will immediately dissociate to lower energy products as shown above.

Further items missing from the present mechanisms include pathways for formation of higher molecular weight products, such as the formation of methyl ethers, which we observe and report for the first time in this study.

This study presents experimental data on the decomposition of methanol in several different reaction environments - fuel lean to fuel rich at temperatures between 600 and 850 C . Methane fuel is also added in several of the systems studied in order to provide experimental data on the use of methanol as an additive in motor vehicle fuels. This data will be a basis, along with that in the literature, on the validation of a model, for methanol oxidation and pyrolysis that can be used for evaluation and simulation of methanol combustion under atmospheric conditions as well as in compression engines or turbines, where pressures are very different from atmospheric.

## CHAPTER 2

EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

### 2.1 Experimental Apparatus

A diagram of the experimental apparatus is shown in figure 2.1. The oxidation and pyrolysis of methanol with methane/oxygen was analyzed by varying the temperature, residence time and reactant ratios. The temperature range of 500 to 850 C and residence time range of 0.3 to 2.0 seconds were studied in three reaction ratios in a high temperature tubular flow reactor which operated isothermally at 1 atm pressure in this study.

The carrier gas (Ar) was passed through a saturation bubbler set which contained the liquid methanol held at oc using an ice bath. A second argon flow stream (after the bubbler) was used as make-up gas in order to achieve the desired mole fraction between reagents. Methane and oxygen were then added to the $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH} / \mathrm{Ar}$ flow as required. Before entering the reactor, the feed mixture could be transferred directly from the bubbler to the GC sampling valve via a by-pass line. This was necessary to determine the GC peak area that corresponded to the input initial concentration of the reagents without going through the high temperature reactor. The mixtures were preheated to about 100 C to improve the reactor temperature control. A small fraction of the reactor effluent gas passed through a
heated line to the GC sampler and exhaust. All gas lines to the analytical equipment were held at about 100 C to limit condensation.

A quartz tube of 10.5 mm ID was chosen as the reactor for minimal effect of reactor wall and good control of the temperature profile and residence time. Three reactant ratio sets which represent the stoichiometric, fuel rich and fuel lean conditions were studied.
I. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}: \mathrm{CH}_{4}: \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{Ar}=1: 1: 3.5: 94.5 \quad \phi=1.0$
II. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}: \mathrm{CH}_{4}: \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{Ar}=1: 1: 2: 96 \quad \phi=1.75$
III. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}: \mathrm{CH}_{4}: \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{Ar}=1: 1: 5: 93 \quad \phi=0.7$

### 2.2 Temperature Control and Measurement

A quartz reactor tube of 10.5 mm i.d. was housed within a three zone electric tube furnace (Lindberg electric tube furnace) of 46 cm length equipped with three independent temperature controllers (Omega Engineering, Inc.) in order to adjust the operation condition nearly isothermally ( $\pm 5$ C). The actual temperature profile of the tubular reactor was obtained using type $K$ thermocouple probe moved coaxially within the reactor. The temperature measurements were performed with a steady flow rate of Argon gas through the reactor tube. The temperature profiles with tight temperature control obtained as shown in Figure 2.2 were isothermal to within $\pm 5 \mathrm{C}$ for 39 cm for all temperature ranges of this study. Figure 2.3 shows isothermal
temperature profiles at several temperatures.

### 2.3 Quantitative Analysis of Reaction Products

A Varian 3700 on-line gas chromatograph with flame ionization detector was used to determine the concentration of the reaction products. The line between reactor exit and GC were heated to 100 C to limit condensation. The channel A of the GC used a 1.8 meter long by $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ O. D. stainless steel tube packed with $1 \%$ Alltech AT-1000 on Graphpac GB as the column to analyze heavy molecular compounds. Channel $B$ used 1.8 meter long by $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ O.D. stainless steel column packed with Porapak $T 80 / 100$ mesh to light molecular compounds: methane, formaldehyde, carbon monoxide, and carbon dioxide.

A six port gas sample valve with 1.0 ml volume sample loop was maintained at 170 C and 1 atm pressure. Chromatogram peak integration was performed with a Varian 4270 integrator/plotter. Table 2.1 shows the retention time of compound and peak identification.

A catalytic convertor which contained $5 \%$ ruthenium on alumina catalyst was placed between column B effluent and the FID of channel B. $C O$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ separated by column $B$ was then reacted with $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ in the convertor which was maintained at 330 C to form $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ that can be detected by FID $B$.

Calibration of the flame ionization detector to
obtain appropriate molar response factors was done by injecting a known quantity of the relevant compound such as $\mathrm{CH}_{4}, \mathrm{CO}, \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, etc., then measuring the corresponding response area. The relative response factor was determined for compounds shown in Table 2.2. The sensitivity of flame ionization detector corresponds to the number of carbons. We find that the response factors for all $C_{1}$ compounds is similar, and the response factor of $C_{2}$ compounds are nearly twice the response of the $C_{I}$ compounds.

### 2.4 Qualitative Analysis of Reaction Products

We employed GC/MS (Hewlett Packard 5988) with a 50 m length, 0.22 mm ID methyl-silicone capillary column to check the GC identification. Evacuated 25 ml stainless steel sampling cylinders were used to collect the reactor effluent gas. Injection of the samples into the GC/MS made use of a six port gas sampling valve.

### 2.5 Detailed Reaction Model Interpretation and Integration by CHEMKIN program

CHEMKIN is a package of FORTRAN programs which are designed to facilitate a chemist's interaction with the computer in modeling chemical kinetics. The CHEMKIN package (14) is composed of four important species :
(i) The INTERPRETER is a program which first reads the user's symbolic description of the reaction mechanism.
(ii) The Thermodynamic Data Base has exactly the same format as that used by the NASA complex chemical equilibrium code by Gordon and McBride (15).
(iii) The Linking File is ready by an initialization subroutine which is called from the user code, which contains all the current information on the element, species, and reaction mechanism.
(iv) The Gas-Phase Subroutine Library, which are called to return information on the elements, species, reactions, equation of state, thermodynamic properties, chemical reduction rates, sensitivity parameters, derivatives of chemical production rates, and derivatives of thermodynamic properties. Generally the input to these routines will be the state variables of the gas--pressure or density, temperature, and the species composition. All routine can be called with the species composition defined as mass fractions, mole fractions, or molar concentration.

Figure 2.1 Experimental System



## Table 2.1 Average Retention Time

| Porapak T |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Compound | Average Retention Time (min) |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | 0.60 |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | 0.90 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 3.30 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | 3.80 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 4.40 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 11.40 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | 14.20 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 15.80 |

Alltech 1000

| Compound | Average Retention Time (min) |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | 1.50 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 2.50 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | 3.00 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | 5.90 |

Table 2.2 Relative Response Factor (RRF)

| Porapak $T$ | Relative Response Factor (RRF) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Compound |  |
|  |  |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{4}$ | 0.72 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | 1.00 |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 0.05 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | 1.82 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 1.87 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 0.95 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | 1.90 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 0.95 |
|  | 2.85 |

Alltech 1000

| Rentention Time | Relative Response Factor (RRF) |
| :--- | ---: |
|  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | 1.00 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 2.02 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | 2.06 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | 0.55 |

Corrected Area $=$ Measured Area / RRF

### 3.1 Reaction of Methanol in $\mathrm{CH}_{4} / \mathrm{O}_{2} / \mathrm{Ar}$ Atmosphere

Experimental results on decay of methanol and methane are shown in Figures $3.1-3.6$, which plot the normalized concentration (C/Co) as a function of reaction time and temperature in the three reaction environments. Figure 3.7 and 3.8 show methanol and methane decay as a function of temperature at 1.0 second reaction time in the three different reactants ratios.

The methanol consistently decreases with increasing reaction time for all temperature studied and different reaction environments. For a constant residence time, methanol exhibits a lower concentration with the increasing temperature in all reaction environments. Methane also consistently decreases in concentration for increases in temperature at constant time or increase in time at constant temperature. Longer reaction time or higher temperatures are, however, needed in the methane case relative to the methanol. Methanol decays more rapidly than the methane in three different reaction environments at relative low temperature. At high temperature and sufficient oxygen level (except the fuel rich), methanol and methane have the similar high decomposition rates.

The decomposition rate for methanol and methane both
increase, with increasing $O_{2}$ levels from fuel rich, stoichiometric to fuel lean.

Methanol starts to decompose at 500 C at residence time of 1.0 second and $95 \%$ is converted at 750 C in all three reaction environments. Methane starts to react at about 650 C at residence time of 1.0 second and exhibits $95 \%$ conversion at 800 C at both stoichiometric and fuel lean conditions. The methane is, however, not completely converted at 850 C in the fuel rich enviromment.

## 3.2 product Distribution and Material Balance

### 3.2.1 Product Distribution

Figure 3.19-3.24 illustrate the product distribution vs reaction time in the stoichiometric environment at different temperatures. Major products are $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and CO at low temperature regime (initial of reaction), then CO and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ at high temperature regime.

About $3 \% \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$, dimethyl ether, is detected in the temperature range 550-650C. A small amount of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$, formaldehyde dimethyl acetal (about $2 \%$ ), is also found in the same temperature regime. Mass Spectrometry analysis has been used to verify and or identify the existence of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$. The Mass spectrometry analysis are shown in Figure 3.39-3.40. The $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ probably arises from combination of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ radicals. Modeling results will be performed in future studies in this laboratory to validate
the mechanism of dimethyl ether and formaldehyde dimethyl acetal formation. The appearance of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ is accompanied by the observation of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The acetal, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-$ $0-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ is likely formed by reaction of dimethyl ether with $\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{OH}$, or $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ to form a radical:
$\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}+\mathrm{OH} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \cdot+\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
and then $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$. combines with a methoxy radical $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)$

$$
\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} .+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O} \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}
$$

A second mechanism of formation of these ether products are addition reactions of methoxy radicals $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)$ and/or methyl radicals $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)$ with formaldehyde:
$\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{O} \quad \leftrightarrow--\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}$.
This followed by combination with a methyl radical will form the acetal:
$\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}+\mathrm{CH}_{3}<-->\mathrm{CH}_{3}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$
These are important reactions that will readily occur in methanol systems. We report observations of these products for the first time in this study.

It is important to note that this is an initial experimental study and the reaction paths above are not complete. It is not appropriate to include all the possible abstraction, addition or combination reactions of the methyl, methoxy, alkoxy and aldehydic species in this system at this point of our study. These will be included however in our future reaction modeling studies so the model can be extended to conditions outside our experimen-
tal validation range.
When temperature increases above 650 C , the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ decreases, thus formaldehyde only exists for a short residence time. co increases rapidly with the rapid conversion of methanol. The formation of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ then arises when the large amount of CO produced starts to convert to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Above 750 C , oxidation of CO occurs rapidly to the final product $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$.

Minor products $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ are observed in high temperature range. The concentration of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ are about $3 \%$ and $1 \%$ respectively. Methanol is completely decomposed at 750 C and the total conversion of methane happens at 800 C . Above 850 C , only $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ exists in the reaction system.

The product distributions vs temperature in stoichiometric at different reaction times (0.3-2.0 sec) are shown in Figures 3.25-3.30. The CO exhibits high concentration at 750 C and then rapidly decays to form $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ which is dominant product above $800 \mathrm{C} . \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ only exists at low temperature range 500-700C with a concentration of about $20 \%$. The minor products: $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ seem to be grouped into two sections. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ appear below about 680 C , where $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ are very low in concentration. Above 680C, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ increase where $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ disappear.
3.2.2 Main Products $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CO}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$

Distribution of main products: $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{CO}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ vs temperature or reaction time in the varied reaction environments are shown in Figure 3.33-3.38.

The concentration of $C O$ increases faster under fuel lean conditions than stoichiometric and slower yet under fuel rich conditions when under initial reaction conditions. At stoichiometric conditions, higher temperature is needed for $c o$ to reach its maximum concentration than under fuel lean conditions. Under fuel rich conditions, CO is the final product which does not convert to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ due to the lack of oxygen.
$\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ increases rapidly at 700 C in fuel lean and at 750 c under stoichiometric conditions. $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ achieves it's maximum level at 850 C for both fuel lean and stoichiometric conditions. In fuel rich-lowest oxygen environment, $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ is found at low concentration with little change from the increasing temperatures.

The intermediate product $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ exhibits almost same status in all three different reaction environments. It exists in the low temperature regime ( 500 to 700 C ) as the pyrolysis product of methanol and continually oxidizes to $C O$ at higher temperature.

### 3.2.3 Material Balance

The material balance gives us important information
about high molecular weight compounds and soot which are not quantitatively detected. The carbon material balances for the present study are listed in Table 3.1-3.3.

All three reaction systems show relatively good material balance over wide temperature below 750 C and at 1.0 residence time. At higher temperature, especially in fuel lean, the material balance is low-apparent loss of carbon. This is probably due to the formation of relatively high levels of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, which saturates our catalyst conversion reactor. This results in reduced conversion efficiency of the $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ levels to $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$, which is detected by the FID. Future studies will need to correct this problem, or convert to a Thermo-Conductivity Detector for quantitative analysis of CO and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$. Since the efficiency of the catalyst conversion is not unlimited, only small levels of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ can be converted to $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ which is detected by the FID.

### 3.3 Effects of Oxygen

In our study, we fix the percentage of methanol and methane at $1 \%$ respectively, and change the percentage of oxygen to meet the conditions of fuel rich, stoichiometric and fuel lean. All reaction environments are diluted in argon. Figures 3.7 and 3.8 show that there is limited effect on the decomposition of methanol by changes in oxygen for our temperature and pressure conditions. The methanol decreases a slightly faster in fuel lean than
under stoichiometric and fuel rich conditions. Methane, when in fuel rich conditions, decreases much slower than under the stoichiometric or fuel rich cases. A trend of increasing rate of decomposition is observed from fuel rich to stoichiometric and then to fuel lean.

The same results can be found in the decomposition of methanol and methane vs reaction time, as shown in Figures 3.9-3.18.

The effect of oxygen is further illustrated in Figures $3.28,3.31$ and 3.32 which illustrate the product distribution vs temperature in fuel rich, stoichiometric and fuel lean conditions at residence time of 1.0 second. A trend is observed that $C O$ occurs at lower temperature in the fuel lean case then in stoichiometric and fuel rich. co also decreases faster in fuel lean with the increase of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$, then in stoichiometric. Under fuel rich conditions where the $C O$ does not further convert to $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$.

Relative concentrations of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ are observed to increased under fuel rich conditions and decrease in fuel lean. $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ is just reverse, however, it decreases under fuel rich conditions and increases in fuel lean. In the fuel rich environment, trace acetylene, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$, ( $0.2 \%$ ) is detected at high temperature - 850 C . This is because $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ is a relatively favorable product when lack of $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ - pyrolysis conditions. In stoichiometric or fuel lean, the $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ limits $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ production through very
rapid reaction with the vinyl, $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}$ radical, which is the immediate precursor to acetylene in this reaction system. $\mathrm{O}_{2}$ also limits $\mathrm{C}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ production, from combination of methyl radicals, by reaction with the methyl radicals.

Table 3.1 Material Balance for Carbon

Residence Time $=1.0 \mathrm{sec}$.
$\left(\mathrm{CH}_{4}: \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}: \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{Ar}=1: 1: 3.5: 94.5\right)$
Stoichiometric

|  |  |  | Temperature (C) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Species | 550 | 600 | 650 | 700 | 750 | 800 | 850 |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.961 | 0.835 | 0.161 | 0.008 | 0.006 |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | 0.874 | 0.728 | 0.551 | 0.116 | 0.011 | 0.006 | 0.005 |  |
| CO | 0.004 | 0.020 | 0.360 | 0.779 | 1.872 | 0.770 | 0.047 |  |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | 0.002 | 0.0 | 0.029 | 0.023 | 0.198 | 1.058 | 1.873 |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.105 | 0.140 | 0.165 | 0.020 | 0.001 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.004 | 0.018 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | 0.0 | 0.001 | 0.0 | 0.006 | 0.006 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 0.015 | 0.025 | 0.013 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 0.009 | 0.013 | 0.001 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| TOtal | 2.009 | 1.927 | 2.08 | 1.783 | 2.267 | 1.842 | 1.931 |  |
| $\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{CO}(\%)$ | 100.5 | 96.4 | 104.0 | 89.2 | 113.4 | 92.1 | 96.6 |  |

## Table 3.2 Material Balance for Carbon

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Residence Time } & =1.0 \text { sec. } \\
\left(\mathrm{CH}_{4}: \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}: \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{Ar}\right. & =1: 1: 2: 96)
\end{aligned}
$$

Fuel Rich

| Species | 550 | 600 | Temperature (C) |  |  | 800 | 850 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 650 | 700 | 750 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.979 | 0.864 | 0.699 | 0.616 | 0.142 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | 0.925 | 0.820 | 0.658 | 0.136 | 0.010 | 0.003 | 0.006 |
| CO | 0.003 | 0.013 | 0.347 | 0.680 | 1.610 | 1.409 | 1.664 |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | 0.002 | 0.0 | 0.035 | 0.022 | 0.073 | 0.091 | 0.075 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.116 | 0.132 | 0.177 | 0.019 | 0.005 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.003 | 0.012 | 0.032 | 0.024 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | 0.0 | 0.001 | 0.0 | 0.005 | 0.007 | 0.013 | 0.002 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 0.008 | 0.010 | 0.011 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 0.010 | 0.013 | 0.001 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.002 |
| Total | 2.064 | 1.989 | 2.208 | 1.729 | 2.416 | 2.163 | 1.915 |
| C/CO (\%) | 103.2 | 99.5 | 110.4 | 86.5 | 120.8 | 108.2 | 95.8 |

Table 3.3 Material Balance for Carbon

```
Residence Time \(=1.0 \mathrm{sec}\).
\(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{4}: \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}: \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{Ar}=1: 1: 5: 93\right)\)
```

Fuel Lean

| Species | 550 | 600 | Temperature (C) |  |  | 800 | 850 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 650 | 700 | 750 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.958 | 0.783 | 0.006 | 0.010 | 0.003 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ | 0.850 | 0.690 | 0.495 | 0.119 | 0.009 | 0.008 | 0.006 |
| CO | 0.004 | 0.094 | 0.394 | 0.821 | 0.017 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.041 | 0.025 | 1.237 | 1.349 | 1.795 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | 0.113 | 0.144 | 0.143 | 0.014 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.006 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ | 0.0 | 0.001 | 0.0 | 0.008 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 0.016 | 0.026 | 0.012 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ | 0.014 | 0.013 | 0.001 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total | 1.999 | 1.969 | 2.044 | 1.776 | 1.269 | 1.367 | 1.804 |
| C/CO (\%) | 99.9 | 98.5 | 102.2 | 88.8 | 63.5 | 68.4 | 90.2 |



Figure 3.1 Decay of $\mathrm{CH} 3 O \mathrm{OH}$ vs Time


Figure 3.2 Decay of CH 4 vs Time


Figure 3.3 Decay of CH 3 OH vs Time


Figure 3.4 Decay of CH 4 vs Time


Figure 3.5 Decay of CH 3 OH vs Time


Figure 3.6 Decay of CH 4 vs Time


Figure 3.7 Decay of CH 3 OH vs Temperature


Figure 3.8 Decay of CH 4 vs Temperature


Figure 3.9 Decay of CH 3 OH vs Time


Figure 3.10 Decay of CH 4 vs Time


Figure 3.11 Decay of CH 3 OH vs Time


Figure 3.12 Decay of CH 4 vs Time


Figure 3.13 Decay of CH 3 OH vs Time


Figure 3.14 Decay of CH 4 vs Time


Figure 3.15 Decay of CH 3 OH vs Time
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Figure 3.16 Decay of CH 4 vs Time


Figure 3.17 Decay of CH 3 OH vs Time


Figure 3.18 Decay of CH 4 vs Time



Figure 3.19 Product Distribution vs Time



Figure 3.20 Product Distribution vs Time



Figure 3.21 Product Distribution vs Time



Figure 3.22 Product Distribution vs Time


Figure 3.23 Product Distribution vs Time


Figure 3.24 Product Distribution vs Time



Figure 3.25 Product Distribution vs Temperature


Figure 3.26 Product Distribution vs Temperature



Figure 3.27 Product Distribution vs Temperature



Figure 3.28 Product Distribution vs Temperature



Figure 3.29 Product Distribution vs Temperature



Figure 3.30 Product Distribution vs Temperature



Figure 3.31 Product Distribution vs Temperature



Figure 3.32 Product Distribution vs Temperature



Figure 3.34 CO vs Time


Figure 3.35 CO 2 vs Temperature


Figure 3.36 CO 2 vs Time


Figure 3.37 CH 2 O vs Temperature


Figure 3.38 CH 2 O vs Time
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Figure 3.39 GC/MS Analysis

Figure 3.40 GC/MS Analysis

## CHAPTER 4

CONCLUSION

Tubular flow reactor experiments on methanol oxidation are performed in the presence of methane fuel at varied fuel equivalence ratios, at 1 atmosphere pressure with residence times of 0.3 to 2.0 seconds and temperature range of 500-850 C. The equivalence ratios are:
(1) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}: \mathrm{CH}_{4}: \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{Ar}=1: 1: 2: 96$
$\phi=1.75$
(2) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}: \mathrm{CH}_{4}: \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{Ar}=1: 1: 3.5: 94.5 \quad \phi=1.0$
(3) $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}: \mathrm{CH}_{4}: \mathrm{O}_{2}: \mathrm{Ar}=1: 1: 5: 93 \quad \phi=0.7$

The extensive experimental data will be used as a basis for developing a detailed elementary reaction model for methanol/methane oxidation, i.e. validation of the model. Intermediate products: dimethyl ether $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$ and formaldehyde dimethyl acetal $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, are observed in the low temperature regime $(550-650 C)$ and reported for the first time in this study. These products arise from the combination and addition reactions of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ radicals with themselves and with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ or $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ radical.

These combination and addition reactions are important and readily occur in the methanol/methane system. It is a surprise that they have not been previously observed or anticipated. These observations reveal some new pathways in the methanol combustion process. The new reactions will be included in our reaction modeling studies so that the model can be extended to conditions outside our experimental validation range.
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