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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

Injection molding is considered to be a very important industrial process for the manufacturing of plastics objects. The molding cycle is composed of three stages : filling, packing and cooling. During filling, the molten polymer, which is produced by the shearing action of a rotating screw combined with external heating, is introduced into the mold. After filling, extra material is packed under high pressure to compensate for shrinkage as the material cools. After the injection pressure is removed, cooling continues, and the pressure decreases. Fig. 1.1 shows an injection molding pressure-time cycle schematically Experimental studies suggest that mold filling process determines the 2 final product properties to a very great extent. Manzione, in a very recent study of simulation of cavity filling in Reaction Injection Molding, suggests that such simulation of reactive cavity filling is important in the determination of moldability because it allows prediction of the viscosity and temperature rise.

Flow visualization studies of cavity filling have been reported in the 4 literature. The first reported study was made by Spencer and Gilmore, who have studied visually the filling of the mold and derived an 6 empirical equation for the determination of filling time. Huang simulated cavity filling by highly viscous thermoplast melt flowing between two rigid parallel plate boundaries with the gate at one edge. 5
Kamal and Lafleur, in their report last year, used semi-circular and rectangular mold geometries.

Figure 1.1
Variation of Pressure With Time in Injection Molding


1. Filling
2. Packing
3. Cooling

The present study involves a thermoplast melt flowing through a mold of cylindrical shape. The front region has a free fluid boundary and time dependent velocity components.

Cavity filling is simulated by using a modification of simplified 7
marker and cell method developed by researchers at Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory. This method can be applied for numerical solution of problems concerning the time-dependent, viscous flow of an incompressible fluid in several space dimensions. The cells are characterized according to whether they are solid-fluid boundaries, free-slip or no-slip boundaries, inflow or outflow surfaces.

An interlaced grid system is used where the velocity components are centered at the cell sides and pressures placed at cell centers. This minimizes the involvement of neighboring cells for rigorous momentum conservation. At the same time it also decreases the amount of averaging usually required to provide variable values at grid points where the variables are not explicitly defined. The unique exact form of continuity equation can only be achieved by such a grid.

The first step in the setup of Marker And Cell (MAC) method is to provide the informations regarding the number of cells, viscosity, time step, marker particles density and other parameters pertaining to to the problem. The next step is to build up the cells. Here the input informations concerning the system boundaries include the shape of the cells and types of boundaries represented. Such informations are used
to identify all cells in the system. If there are no particles in the system, all interior cells are flagged as EMP cells (Empty Cells). The final step is to set up the marker particles which will represent the fluid flow. The coordinates of these particles are stored for future reference. The velocity field of the fluid flow is calculated and stored into the appropriate cells in the system. Consequently, the cells that contain particles are flagged as FUL or SUR cells (Full or Surface cell).

The fluid flow is then advanced through a series of time cycles, each of finite length $\delta t$. During each time cycle the output information is taken from the previous cycle and then the time is advanced by an increment of $\delta t$. Then the cells are checked to see if any of the previously EMP cells now contain fluid, or if any of the earlier SUR cells are now EMP or FUL. Flagging and changing of variables are done accordingly. The next step is to calculate the new velocity field from previously known velocities or input conditions. Finally, the marker particles are moved with a weighted average of the four nearest cell velocities. These time cycles are repeated as long as the problem is of any interest.

The following chapters describe the mathematical simulation of the problem,which involves writing the relevant continuity and momentum equations governing the system with appropriate boundary and initial conditions representing the prevailing conditions in the cavity, as well as the essential solution techniques utilizing MAC method.

CHAPTER II

MATHEMATICAL SIMULATION

To illustrate the Marker And Cell method for solving free-surface problems, we restrict ourselves to two-dimensional motions in a plane.

A cylindrical coordinate system $(r, \theta, z)$ is chosen with $r$ measured in the radial direction and $z$ taken normal to the r-axis. The effects in the $\theta$-direction are assumed to be negligible.

### 2.1 Equation Of Continuity

Assuming the fluid to be non-Newtonian and incompressible in an isothermal flow process, we have
$D=(1 / r)(\partial / \partial r)\left(r V_{r}\right)+(\partial / \partial z)\left(V_{z}\right)=0$
where $D$ should vanish everywhere during the finite-difference calculation for the conservation of mass for an incompressible fluid.
2.2 Equation Of Momentum

For two-dimensional motion we can write
r-component
$\rho\left(\left(\partial V_{r} / \partial t\right)+V_{r}\left(\partial V_{r} / \partial r\right)+V_{z}\left(\partial V_{r} / \partial z\right)\right)=-(\partial P / \partial r)-\left((1 / r)(\partial / \partial r)\left(r \gamma_{r r}\right)\right.$
$\left.-\left(Z_{\theta \Theta} / r\right)+\left(\partial \tau_{r Z} / \partial z\right)\right)$
$z$-component
$\rho\left(\left(\partial V_{z} / \partial t\right)+V_{r}\left(\partial V_{z} / \partial r\right)+V_{z}\left(\partial V_{z} / \partial z\right)\right)=-(\partial P / \partial z)-\left((1 / r)(\partial / \partial r)\left(r Z_{r z}\right)\right.$
$+\left(\partial Z_{z z} / \partial_{z}\right)$

In order to solve the equations of change, a rheological constitutive equation, which relates the stress tensor to the velocity field, is required.For a non-Newtonian fluid we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{\sim}{\tau}=-\eta \triangleq \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which the non-Newtonian viscosity $\mathcal{Y}$, a scalar quantity, is a function of rate of deformation or strain tensor, $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$. A large amount of data available in the literature indicate that the Ostwald-de Waele or the Power Law Model is successful in describing the stress-rate of strain relationship for polymer melts, especially within narrow ranges of shear rate. Thus, we can represent this particular situation by :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta=m\left|\left(\frac{1}{2}(\Delta: \Delta)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right|^{\mathrm{n}-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $m$, $n$ are empirical fluid parameters. The rate of strain tensor is expressed as below :
$\Delta=\left|\begin{array}{ccc}2\left(\partial V_{r} / \partial r\right) & 0 & \left(\partial V_{r} / \partial z\right)+\left(\partial V_{z} / \partial r\right) \\ 0 & 2 V_{r} / r & 0 \\ \left(\partial V_{r} / \partial z\right)+\left(\partial V_{z} / \partial r\right) & 0 & 2\left(\partial V_{z} / \partial z\right)\end{array}\right|$
and $\triangleq: \triangleq=4\left(\partial V_{r} / \partial r\right)^{2}+2\left(\partial V_{r} / \partial z+\partial V_{z} / \partial r\right)^{2}+4\left(V_{r} / r\right)^{2}$

$$
+4\left(\partial V_{z} / \partial z\right)^{2}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { consequently } \\
& \eta=m\left|2\left(\partial V_{r} / \partial r\right)^{2}+\left(\left(\partial V_{r} / \partial z\right)+\left(\partial V_{z} / \partial r\right)\right)^{2}+2\left(V_{r} / r\right)^{2}+2\left(\partial V_{z} / \partial z\right)^{2}\right|^{\frac{n-1}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is evident from equation (6) that the injection molding process is not a steady process dominated by either shear flow or extensional flow, but clearly a dynamic process with some combination of both types of flows ( represented by a rate of deformation tensor with both non-zero diagonal and off-diagonal components ) .

The choice of the fluid parameters, $m$ and $n$ in equation (7) may show the shear viscosity data from the viscometry measurement. The two dimensional flow model in the front region is only a small part in the total flow compared with the fully developed region which is mostly shear flow in essence. The motivation for this choice is due to its success in describing experimentally measured material function for bulk polymers in simple shearing flows. The applicability of equation (7) to extensional viscosities both uniaxial and biaxial is 9 proved experimentally by Denson , to be suitable for a low rate of extension where the fluid behavior approaches Newtonian viscosity.

Equation (4) may then be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\mathrm{rr}}=-\eta\left(2 \partial \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{r}} / \partial \mathrm{r}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau_{\theta \theta}=-\eta\left(2 V_{r} / r\right)  \tag{9}\\
& \tau_{z z}=-\left(2 \partial v_{z} / \partial z\right)  \tag{10}\\
& \tau_{r z}=\tau_{z r}=-\eta\left(\left(\partial V_{z} / \partial r\right)+\left(\partial v_{r} / \partial z\right)\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

With the help of equations (1), (8), (9), (10), (11) we can now develop equations (2) and (3) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial V_{r} / \partial t= & -(1 / r)\left(\partial\left(r V_{r}^{2}\right) / \partial r\right)-\left(\partial\left(V_{r} V_{z}\right) / \partial z\right)-(\partial(P / \rho) / \partial r) \\
& \left.+(\eta / \rho)\left(\partial^{2} V_{r} / \partial r^{2}\right)+(1 / r)\left(\partial V_{r} / \partial r\right)-V_{r} / r^{2}+\partial^{2} V_{r} / \partial z^{2}\right) \\
& +2\left(\partial V_{r} / \partial r\right)(\partial(\eta / \rho) / \partial r)+\left(\left(\partial V_{z} / \partial r\right)+\left(\partial V_{r} / \partial z\right)\right)(\partial(\eta / \rho) / \partial z) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial V_{z} / \partial t= & -(1 / r)\left(\partial\left(r V_{r} V_{z}\right) / \partial r\right)-\partial V_{z}^{2} / \partial z-\partial(P / \rho) / \partial z \\
& +(\eta / \rho)\left(\left(\partial^{2} V_{z} / \partial r^{2}\right)+(1 / r)\left(\partial V_{z} / \partial r\right)+\left(\partial \partial_{z} / \partial z^{2}\right)\right) \\
& +2\left(\partial V_{z} / \partial z\right)(\partial(\eta / \rho) / \partial z)+\left(\left(\partial V_{z} / \partial r\right)+\left(\partial V_{r} / \partial z\right)\right)(\partial(\eta / \rho) / \partial r)
\end{aligned}
$$

Like any other specific problem, it is necessary here to provide an appropriate set of initial and boundary conditions. We are particularly concerned with a prescribed set of rigid walls that may be no-slip or free-slip, and with inflow and outflow boundaries.

In addition to the prescribed boundary specifications, there will be boundary conditions to apply at the free surface, whose position varies with time in a previously unknown manner.

The rigid wall boundary conditions follow directly from momentum equations. For a free-slip boundary, the normal velocity component must vanish; for a no-slip boundary, the tangential components must, in addition, vanish. Consequently, boundary conditions on pressure are obtained through equations (12) and (13). However, we do not write these differential boundary conditions in detail here, as, for the numerical calculations, it is necessary to derive the finite-difference analogies to the boundary conditions directly from the finite-difference momentum equations.

Conditions along an inflow boundary are similarly derived; the only difference is that the velocity components are prescribed in the following arbitrary manner, rather than forced to vanish.

Here, for the sake of simplicity, the inlet velocity profile at the entrance of the mold is assumed to be that of a steady one dimensional fully developed flow model. This can be derived from equation (2). Consequently, the equation of motion becomes

$$
(\partial P / \partial r)+\left(\partial \tau_{r Z} / \partial z\right)=0
$$

Thus, the velocity profile becomes

$$
V_{z}=\bar{V}((2 n+1) /(n+1))\left(1-(x /(H / 2))^{\frac{1}{\mathrm{n}}+1}\right)
$$

where $H=$ diameter of the cylindrical mold

```
    x = 0 represents the centerline
```

    \(r=H / 2\) represents at wall
    \(\overline{\mathrm{V}}=\) Average velocity
    Volumetric flow rate
        = --------------------------------1
            Area of the entrance of mold
    Pressure boundary conditions then follow from equations (12) and (13) in such a way as to again insure consistency with the momentum balance. The following principles form the basis for the free-surface boundary conditions :
i) Stress tangential to the surface must vanish.
ii) Stress normal to the surface must exactly balance any externally applied normal stress.

The detailed application of the boundary condition to the finite difference form of the Marker And Cell method will be discussed in the next chapter.

CHAPTER III

THE SOLUTION TECHNIQUE

In the preceding chapter we utilized the momentum equation to describe the dynamics of non-Newtonian melt through the cylindrical flow region. The complexity of the equations involved results in simplifying assumptions of incompressible isothermal flow and the resort to computer simulation and numerical solutions of these equations. The principal method we use here to solve this particular problem is the adaptation of a numerical technique based on the Marker And Cell (MAC) method. The preference for this particular numerical solution technique has been essentially governed by the presence of a free surface, at the front fluid boundaries, whose position varies with time and also by the nature of the two dimensional flow at the front flow region.

### 3.1 Representation of The Fluid Flow Field

In the development of any computing method for fluid dynamics problems, there are two interacting considerations that must be taken into account :
i) How are the fluid and its environment to be represented ?
ii) How are changes through time to be calculated ?

Many representations can be visualized for calculating the flow of an incompressible fluid with a free surface. The MAC arrangement appears to be one of very few approaches which enable us to achieve rigorous mass and momentum conservation.

There are, in effect, two coordinate systems used in MAC-method calculations : The primary one covers the entire domain of interest with rectangular grid of cells, each of dimensions $\delta r$ by $\delta z$. The cells are numbered by indices $i$ and $j$, with $i$ counting the columns in the $r$-direction and $j$ counting the rows in the $z$-direction. The field variable values describing the flow field are directly associated with these cells. Their point of definition, relative to a cell, are shown in Fig. 3.1 .

Actually the true field would, in general, have different set of field-variable values for every infinitesimal point in the fluid. The representation used for computing, however, must be restricted to a finite number of values, each approximating an average through the


Figure 3.1
Field Variable Layout
immediately adjacent region. It follows that the accuracy of the representation depends strongly upon the fineness of the mesh compared to the macroscopic structure of the flow.

The placement of the field-variable quantities relative to the mesh is of considerable importance to the matter of conservation. It has been observed,by the developers of MAC-method at Los Alamos Scientific 3
laboratory, that if the field-variables are placed at the cell centers the following complication is introduced : To attain rigorous finite difference mass conservation, the finite difference equation for pressure would require the involvement of the next layer of cells beyond that which immediately surrounds any central cell. Such an involvement adds enormously to the complexity and inaccuracy of the solution technique.

Apart from the primary-coordinate system of finite-difference cells, there is also a coordinate system of particles whose motions describe the trajectories of fluid elements. These particles serve two purposes : First, they show which cells are surface cells, into which the surface boundary conditions should be applied. Secondly, they show the motion of the fluid and all its distortions as it passes through the computing region. Thus, these particles delineate the fluid surface location and orientation. They move through a network of Eulerian cells, each cell is flagged to denote whether it is an empty cell (E) containing no fluid (hence no particles), a surface cell (S), which contains fluid but is adjacent to an empty cell, or a full cell (F),
which contains fluid and is not next to an empty cell. In addition, the cell network is surrounded by a frame of boundary cells (B). These may also contain particles, in which case they are either inflow or outflow boundaries, or, they may be empty representing rigid walls that may be ' free-slip ' or ' no-slip '. Fig. 3.2 illustrates this labeling. However, it should be noted here that these particles do not contribute anything to the dynamics of the flow. They enter the calculations only when determining the location and orientation of the free-surface. The solution of all the finite-difference approximations is carried out only in the region or regions of the mesh that contain particles.

### 3.2 Outline Of The Computing Method

The cell-and-particle system enables an instantaneous representation of the fluid for any particular time during the evolution of the dynamics. In addition, it is necessary to have a means of actually calculating the changes with time of the fluid representation. With the help of this computing technique the prescribed initial conditions can develop, within the limitations imposed by the boundary conditions, into that subsequent set of configurations that most nearly represent the behavior of a real fluid.

Like most other fluid dynamics computing techniques for transient problems, the MAC method works with a time cycle, or ' movie frame ' point of view. This means that the calculation proceeds through a

Figure 3.2
Cell Labels and Computing Mesh

$\overline{\mathrm{I}}$
$B=$ Boundary (Inflow, Outflow, Free-slip, or No-slip)
$\mathrm{E}=$ Empty (No Marker Particles)
$F=$ Full (Contains particles and is not adjacent to an Empty cell)
$S=$ Surface (Contain particles but is exposed on one or more sides)
sequence of cycles, each advancing the entire fluid configuration through a small, but finite, increment of time, $\delta t$. The results of each cycle act as initial conditions for the next one, and the calculation continues for as many cycles as the investigator wishes. Each cycle itself is subdivided into phases :
i) The pressure for each cell is obtained by solving a finite difference Poisson's equation, whose source term is a function of the velocities. This equation was derived subject to the requirement that the resulting momentum equations should produce a new velocity field that satisfies the incompressibility condition.
ii) The full finite-difference Navier-Stokes equations are used to find the new velocities throughout the mesh.
iii) The marker particles are moved to their new positions, using for their velocities simple interpolated values from the nearby cells.
iv) Bookkeeping processes are accomplished related to the creation or destruction of surface cells, the input or output of particles, the advancement of a time counter, printing or plotting results, and numerous similar matters.

By the end of the cycle, the results have been arranged in the computer memory in such a way that the next cycle can immediately begin.

### 3.3 The Finite Difference Equations

The finite-difference for equation (1), the discrepancy term, is :

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{i, j}= & \left(1 / r_{i j}\right)(1 / \delta r)\left(\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}-\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j}\right) \\
& +(1 / \delta z)\left(V_{z i, j+\frac{1}{2}}-V_{z i, j-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the incompressibility condition becomes

$$
D_{i j}=0
$$

which we require for every cell at every time step.

The finite -difference forms of equations (12) and (13) can be written as :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, j}^{\alpha+1}= V_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, j}+\delta_{t\left(-\left(1 / r_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}\right)\left(1 / \delta_{r}\right)\left(r_{i+1, j} V_{r i+1, j}^{2}-\right.\right.} \\
&\left.r_{i, j} V_{r i, j}^{2}\right)-(1 / \delta z)\left(\left(V_{r} V_{z}\right)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}-\left(V_{r} V_{z}\right)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
&\left.-\left(1 / \delta_{r}\right)\left((P / \rho)_{i+1, j}-(P / \rho)_{i, j}\right)+\beta_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}\right) \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{z i, j+\frac{1}{2}}^{\alpha+1}= & V_{z i, j+\frac{1}{2}}+\delta_{t}\left(-\left(1 / r_{i, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right)(1 / \delta r)\left(\left(r V_{r} V_{z i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\left(r V_{r} V_{z}\right)_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right)-\left(1 / \delta_{z}\right)\left(V_{z i, j+1}^{2}-v_{z i, j}^{2}\right) \\
& \left.-\left(1 / \delta_{z}\right)\left((P / \rho)_{i, j+1}-(P / \rho)_{i, j}\right)+\beta_{i, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right) \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{i+\frac{1}{3}, j}=(\eta / \rho)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}\left(\left(1 / \delta r^{2}\right)\left(V_{r i+3 / 2, j}+V_{r i-\frac{1}{2}, j}-2 V_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, j}\right)\right. \\
& +\left(1 / r_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}\right)\left(V_{r i+3 / 2, j}-V_{r i-\frac{1}{2}, j}\right)(1 / 2 \delta r)-\left(V_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, j} / r_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}\right) \\
& \left.+\left(1 / \delta z^{2}\right)\left(V_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, j+1}+V_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, j-1}-2 V_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, j}\right)\right) \\
& +2\left(1 / 2 \delta_{r}\right)\left(V_{r i+3 / 2, j}-V_{r i-\frac{1}{2}, j}\right)\left(1 / \delta_{r}\right)\left((\eta / \rho)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}\right. \\
& \left.-(\eta / \rho)_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j}\right)+\left((1 / \delta r)\left(V_{z i+1, j}-V_{z i, j}\right)+\left(1 / \delta_{z}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left.\left(\nabla_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}-\nabla_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)\left(1 / \delta_{z}\right)(\eta / \rho)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}-(\eta / \rho)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j-1}\right) \\
& \beta_{i, j+\frac{1}{2}}=(\eta / \rho)_{i, j+\frac{1}{2}}\left(1 / \delta r^{2}\right)\left(V_{z i+1, j+\frac{1}{2}}+V_{z i-1, j+\frac{1}{2}}-2 V_{z i, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
& +\left(1 / r_{i, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right)(1 / 2 \delta r)\left(V_{z i+1, j+\frac{1}{2}}-V_{z i-1, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right)+\left(1 / \delta z^{2}\right)\left(V_{z i, j+3 / 2}\right. \\
& \left.+V_{z_{i}, j-\frac{1}{2}}-2 V_{z_{i, j+\frac{1}{2}}}\right)+2\left(1 / 2 \delta_{z}\right)\left(V_{z_{i, j+3 / 2}}-V_{z_{i, j-\frac{1}{2}}}\right)\left(I / \delta_{z}\right) \\
& \left((\eta / \rho)_{i, j+\frac{1}{2}}-(\eta / \rho)_{i, j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)+\left(\left(1 / \delta_{r}\right)\left(V_{z i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}-V_{z i-\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(1 / \delta_{z}\right)\left(V_{r i, j+1}-V_{r i, j}\right)\right)\left(1 / \delta_{r}\right)\left((\eta / \rho)_{i, j+\frac{1}{2}}-(\eta / \rho)_{i-1, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The superscript $\propto$ or $\propto+1$ refer to a value at time $\alpha \delta t$ or $(\propto+1) \delta t$, so that $\alpha$ counts the number of time cycles, where the superscript is omitted, $\propto$ is implied, i.e., the value of the quantity at the beginning
of the cycle.

The finite difference approximation for calculating the viscosity, equation (7), is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j} & =m \left\lvert\, 2\left(\left(1 / 2 \delta_{r}\right)\left(v_{r i+3 / 2, j}-v_{r i-\frac{1}{2}, j}\right)\right)^{2}\right. \\
& +\left(\left(1 / 2 \delta_{z}\right)\left(v_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, j+1}-v_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, j-1}\right)+\left(1 / \delta_{r}\right)\left(v_{z i+1, j}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-v_{z i, j}\right)\right)^{2}+2\left(v_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, j} / r_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}\right)+2\left(( 1 / \delta _ { z } ) \left(v_{z i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-v_{z i+\frac{1}{2}, j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)\left.^{2}\right|^{(n-1) / 2} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta_{i, j+\frac{1}{2}} & =m \left\lvert\, 2\left(\left(1 / \delta_{r}\right)\left(v_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}-V_{r i-\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)^{2}\right. \\
& +\left(\left(1 / \delta_{z}\right)\left(V_{r i, j+1}-V_{r i, j}\right)+\left(1 / 2 \delta_{r}\right)\left(V_{z i+1, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-V_{z i-1, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)^{2}+2\left(v_{r i, j+\frac{1}{2}} / r_{i, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right)+2\left(( 1 / 2 \delta _ { z } ) \left(V_{z i, j+3 / 2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-V_{z i, j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)\left.^{2}\right|^{(n-1) / 2} \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

In the above finite difference equations ( eqs. (15), (16), (17) and (18) ) , some of the primary cell variables, such as velocities, appear at positions other than those assigned in the Fig.3.1. In such a case, a special differencing code, referred to as ZIP type, is used.

A description of this technique and a discussion of its advantages are 10
presented by Hirt. The following are some examples of the ZIP code :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{r i, j}^{2}=V_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, j} V_{r i-\frac{1}{2}, j} \\
& V_{z i, j}^{2}=V_{z i, j+\frac{1}{2}} V_{z i, j-\frac{1}{2}} \\
& V_{r i, j}=\frac{1 / 2}{2}\left(v_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, j}+V_{r i-\frac{1}{2}, j}\right) \\
& V_{z i, j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(V_{z i, j+\frac{1}{2}}+V_{z i, j-\frac{1}{2}}\right) \\
& V_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(V_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, j+1}+V_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

It may be noticed that as soon as the pressures are known for all the cells, the equations (15) and (16) become appropriate for the calculation of new velocities, a process accomplished by simple algebraic substitution. To find an equation for the pressures, it is only necessary to manipulate equations (15) and (16) into an expression for the rate of change $D_{i j}$. Let us define :

$$
\phi=P / \rho
$$

Then, by substituting equations (15) and (16) into equation (14) we have :

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_{i, j}^{\alpha+1} & =D_{i, j}+\delta_{t\left(-Q_{i, j}\right.}-\left(1 / r_{i} \delta r^{2}\right)\left(r_{i+\frac{1}{2}}\left(\phi_{i+1, j}-\phi_{i, j}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-r_{i-\frac{1}{2}}\left(\phi_{i, j}-\phi_{i-1, j}\right)\right)-\left(1 / \delta_{z^{2}}\right)\left(\phi_{i, j+1}+\phi_{i, j-1}-2 \phi_{i, j}\right) \\
& \left.+\left(1 / r_{i} \delta r\right)\left(r_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \beta_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}-r_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \beta_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j}\right)+\left(1 / \delta_{z}\right)\left(\beta_{i, j+\frac{1}{2}}-\beta_{i, j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{i, j}= & \left(1 / r_{i} \delta r^{2}\right)\left(r_{i+1} V_{r i+1, j}^{2}+r_{i-1} V_{r i-1, j}^{2}-2 r_{i} V_{r i, j}^{2}\right) \\
+ & \left(1 / \delta z_{z}^{2}\right)\left(V_{z i, j+1}^{2}+V_{z i, j-1}^{2}-2 V_{z i, j}^{2}\right)+\left(2 / r_{i} \delta r \delta z\right) \\
& \left(\left(r V_{r} V_{z}\right)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left(r V_{r} V_{z}\right)_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j-\frac{1}{2}}-\left(r V_{r} V_{z}\right)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j-\frac{1}{2}}-\left(r V_{r} V_{z}\right)_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The equation obtained by setting $\begin{gathered}\alpha+1 \\ D_{i, j}\end{gathered}=0$ in equation (19) is used for finding the pressures.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi_{i, j}=(1 / \xi)\left(\left(1 / r_{i} \delta^{2}\right)\left(r_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \phi_{i+1, j}+r_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \phi_{i-1, j}\right)+\left(1 / \delta_{z^{2}}\right)\right. \\
&\left.\left(\phi_{i, j+1}+\phi_{i, j-1}\right)+R_{i, j}\right) \\
& \text { where } \quad \xi=2\left(\left(1 / \delta r^{2}\right)+\left(1 / \delta_{z^{2}}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $R_{i, j}=Q_{i, j}-\left(D_{i, j} / \delta t\right)-\left(\left(1 / r_{i} \delta r\right)\left(r_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \beta_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}\right.\right.$

$$
\left.\left.-r_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \beta_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j}\right)+\left(1 / \delta_{z}\right)\left(\beta_{i, j+\frac{1}{2}}-\beta_{i, j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)
$$

### 3.4 Pressure Field Calculation

Equation (20) is now applied iteratively over all the points concerned until the $\phi_{i, j}$ computed at each point shows little further change. We can rewrite equation (20) as :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{i, j}^{s+1} & =\left((1+\lambda) / \xi_{j}\right)\left(\phi_{i+1, j}^{s}+\phi_{i-1, j}^{s}\right)\left(1 / \delta r^{2}\right)+\left(\phi_{i, j+1}^{s}+\phi_{i, j-1}^{s}\right)\left(1 / \delta_{z^{2}}\right) \\
& +R_{i, j}-\lambda \phi_{i, j}^{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\lambda$ is an overrelaxation parameter between 0 and 1 . If $\lambda=0$, overrelaxation is eliminated completely. The old values of $\phi$ (iteration No. s) may be used on the right hand side until a complete set of new values $\phi$ (iteration No. s+1) are computed. The Gauss-Seidel 11
Method , where the most recent values of $\phi$ are used on the right hand side, is however preferred.

The iteration is considered to have converged when $\left(\left(\phi_{i, j}^{s+1}-\phi_{i, j}^{s}\right) /\left(\phi_{i, j}^{s+1}+\phi_{i, j}^{s}\right)\right)<\epsilon$
has been satisfied for all the concerned points. (Where $\epsilon=2 \times 10^{-4}$ usually) Computation of pressure in the boundary cells and at the free surface requires certain special considerations which will be discussed later.

### 3.5 The Particle Movement

The marker particles, which enter into the solutions of the cell quantity equations, serve only the purpose of showing where the moving, free surface is located, and accordingly which cells should have free-surface boundary conditions imposed in them. In order to keep this information on free-surface position current, it is necessary to move the marker particles each cycle in such a way that they accurately represent the fluid motion.

The technique used by the researchers at the Los Alamos Scientific 3
Laboratory is essentially to find a velocity for the movement of each particle by using a simple area-weighted interpolation method among 6
the nearby cell velocities. Huang used the same area-weighting scheme for the simulation of flow through parallel plates. With reference to Fig. 3.3 , the reference cell is shown as the cell lying between the lower pair of $V_{r}$ velocities when calculating the $V_{r}$ of the Kth particle $\left(V_{r k}\right)$.

Similarly, the reference cell will be considered as the one lying between the left-most pair of $V_{z}$ velocities when calculating the $V_{z}$ of the Kth particle $\left(V_{z k}\right)$. The reference cells provide an indexing base for referencing the four $V_{r} s$ and four $V_{z} s$. The donor cell is, on the otherhand, defined as the cell containing the particle before it is moved. Evidently, there is a fifty-percent chance of the reference cell being the donor cell for either $V_{r k}$ or $V_{z k}$. The reference cell is indexed as (IR,JR) and the donor cell as (ID,JD). A particle cell of the same dimensions as the computational cell, is constructed around the marker particle of interest and is positioned so that the particle lies at its center. The fractional area of the cell segments $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}$ and $A_{4}$, generated by the intersection of a grid line with a line passing through a reference cell center, are used for weighting the nearest velocities. From Fig. 3.3 it is evident that $V_{r k}$ and $V_{z k}$ can be calculated for any of the four possible cases (i,ii,iii and iv) by using the equation on the following page :

Figure 3.3
Area Weighting Scheme For The Particle Velocities $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{rk}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{zk}}$. The Shaded Cell Is The Reference Cell In Each Case.
(i)

(ii)


$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{r k} & =A_{2} \times V_{r}(I D-1, J R+1)+A_{1} \times V_{r}(I D, J R+1)+A_{3} \times V_{r}(I D-1, J R) \\
& +A_{4} \times V_{r}(I D, J R)
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =A_{2} \times V_{r 2}+A_{1} \times V_{r 1}+A_{3} \times V_{r 3}+A_{4} \times V_{r 4} \\
V_{z k} & =A_{2} \times V_{z}(I R, J D)+A_{1} \times V_{z}(I R+1, J D)+A_{3} \times V_{z}(I R, J D-1) \\
& +A_{4} \times V_{z}(I R+1, J D-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
=A_{2} \times V_{z 2}+A_{1} \times V_{z 1}+A_{3} \times V_{z 3}+A_{4} \times V_{z 4}
$$

After $V_{r k}$ and $V_{z k}$ have been determined, the particles are moved by adjusting its position co-ordinates $\left(r_{k}, z_{k}\right)$ as follows :

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{k}^{\alpha+1}=r_{k}^{\alpha}+V_{r k}(t / r) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{k}^{\alpha+1}=z_{k}^{\alpha}+V_{z k}(t / z) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted here that the particle co-ordinates are calculated in units of cell distance rather than distance on the basis of mold size. Particles are created from the inflow boundary at the prescribed rate. If a particle moves to an Empty Cell, the receiving cell becomes a Surface Cell. Alternately, if all particles move out of a Full or Surface Cell, it is labelled empty. However, the time interval is normally set in such a fashion that the particle movement per increment never exceeds half a cell. Thus a transition from Full to Empty or Empty to Full can only take place over several time steps during which
time a cell becomes a Surface Cell before turning Empty or Full.

### 3.6 Boundary Conditions

The type of boundary conditions to be applied depend entirely on the kind of boundary under consideration. Based on the mold geometry involved in this study, we describe the following conditions :
i) At The Center Line (Figure 3.4)

This is a free-slip boundary which has a line of symmetry. The transverse velocity component vanishes at the centerline, and there is no gradient in either the flow direction velocity component or the pressure function $P$. It is noted that the particle which happens to be at the intersection of the centerline and the front free surface should move to the wall due to the fountain effect. Physically, the particles which originate from the center of the entrance will decelerate along the centerline toward the front surface. Once the particle reaches the intersection of the centerline and the front free surface, it should continue to move ahead, otherwise, there is no room for the next coming particle to move to this point. Consequently, we assign the transverse velocity component at this particular point to be approximately equal to that of the next grid point. Thus,
a) $V_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, 1}=V_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, 2}$
b) $V_{z i, 1+\frac{1}{2}}=0$ (except at front surface)
$=V_{z i, 2+\frac{1}{2}}$ (at the front surface)
c) $P_{i, 1}=P_{i, 2}$


Figure 3.4
Boundary at the centerline
ii) At The Wall (Top And Bottom Side) (Figure 3.5)

Before proceeding to describe the boundary conditions, it should noted here that the present applications of the MAC-method restrict the rigid walls, as well as influx and outflux walls, to follow the cell boundaries. This is inevitable as Eulerian fluid dynamics calculation precludes any other form of representation. In this case we have a no-slip rigid boundary. The velocity components both normal and tangential to the wall are forced to vanish.
a) $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{zi}}, j, j p 1+\frac{1}{2}$
$=0$
b) $V_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, j p 2}=-V_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, j p 1}$
c) $P_{i, j p 2}=P_{i, j p 1}$


Figure 3.5
Boundary at the bottom wall
iii) At The Entrance (Figure 3.6)

An input wall or an inflow wall at the entrance allows the fluid to move into the system at a prescribed rate. The flow direction velocity field is a function of $z$ and kept constant throughout the run. Marker particles are inserted through the wall to represent the incoming fluid.
a) $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}} 1+\frac{1}{2}, \mathrm{j}=\mathrm{f}(\mathrm{z})$
b) $V_{z 1, j+\frac{1}{2}}=0$
c) $P_{1, j} \quad=P_{2, j}-(\eta)_{1+\frac{1}{2}, j} x$

$$
\left(\Delta r / \delta z^{2}\right)\left(v_{r} 1+\frac{1}{2}, j+1+V_{r 1+\frac{1}{2}, j-1}-2 V_{r 1+\frac{1}{2}, j}\right)
$$



Figure 3.6
Boundary at the Entrance
iv) At The Right Side Wall ( Figure 3.7)

Here the boundary condition is analoguous to top and bottom side wall where a no-slip rigid wall exists. Consequently,
a) $V_{r i p l+\frac{1}{2}, j}=0$
b) $V_{z i p 2, j+\frac{1}{2}}=-V_{z i p 1, j+\frac{1}{2}}$
c) $P_{i p 2, j}=P_{i p 1, j}$


WALL

Figure 3.7
Boundary at the right side wall
v) Boundary Condition At The Free Surface

The free surface boundary conditions necessitates the condition that no momentum flux may pass through the free surface of the fluid In this connection, the stress tensor, $\mathcal{Z}_{i, j}$, is defined as the amount of i-th component momentum flowing per unit time through unit area normal to the $j$-th direction. To satisfy the above,free fluid surface condition may then be expressed by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{i, j} n_{j}=P_{e} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $P_{e}$ is the external pressure applied to the fluid surface, assuming zero stress on the air side.
$n_{j}$ is the unit normal to the surface
and, $Z_{i, j}$, the stress tensor for an incompressible fluid, is given by :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{i, j}=-P \delta_{i, j}+\eta\left(\left(\partial v_{j} / \partial r_{i}\right)+\left(\partial v_{i} / \partial r_{j}\right)\right) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta_{i j}$ is the kronecker delta

$$
\delta_{i j}=1 \quad \text { if } \quad i=j
$$

$$
\delta_{i j}=0 \quad i f \quad i \neq j
$$

and $P$ is the internal pressure at the surface.

In the equation (24) above the external pressure term, $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{e}}$, consists of two pressures, one being atmospheric and the other due
to surface tension effects at the fluid surface. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{e}=P_{a}+\sigma\left(1 / R_{1}+1 / R_{2}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\sigma$ is the surface tension coefficient ( stress/ unit length ) and, $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are the principal radii of curvature at the surface. It has been observed that, even with high surface tension coefficients, the surface tension is very small compared to the viscous stresses generated by the high viscous polymer fluid flow. Consequently, the external pressures $P_{e}$ are represented by the atmospheric pressure $P_{a}$ in this study.

The trace of the free fluid surface can be represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=S(r, t) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $n_{r}$ and $n_{z}$ be the components of a unit outward vector, normal to the surface. Using equation (27) these components are given by :

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{r}=-\left(1+(\delta s / \delta r)^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\partial s / \partial r) \\
& n_{z}=\left(1+(\partial s / \partial r)^{2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, let $m_{r}$ and $m_{z}$ be the corresponding components of a unit tangential vectors so that

$$
\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{r}}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{z}} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{z}}=-\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{r}}
$$

Thus, within the fluid at its surface, the boundary condition equation (24) may be separated into two components, the tangential stress condition :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \eta\left(2 n_{r} m_{r}\left(\partial V_{r} / \partial r\right)+\left(n_{r} m_{z}+n_{z} m_{r}\right)\left(\left(\partial V_{r} / \partial z\right)+\left(\partial V_{z} / \partial r\right)\right)\right. \\
& \quad+2 n_{z} m_{z}\left(\partial V_{z} / \partial z\right)=0 \tag{30}
\end{align*}
$$

and the normal stress condition :

$$
\begin{gather*}
P-2 \eta\left(n_{r} n_{r}\left(\partial V_{r} / \partial r\right)+n_{r} n_{z}\left(\left(\partial V_{r} / \partial z\right)+\left(\partial V_{z} / \partial r\right)\right)\right. \\
+n_{z} n_{z}\left(\partial V_{z} / \partial z\right)=P_{e} \tag{31}
\end{gather*}
$$

If the curvature is small, the $(\delta s / \delta r)^{2}$ term in equations (28) and (29) may be neglected and equations (30) and (31) may be approximated by :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta\left(\left(\partial V_{n} / \partial m\right)+\left(\partial V_{I n} / \partial n\right)=0\right. \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P-2 \eta\left(\partial V_{n} / \partial n\right)=P_{e} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ refers to the local outward normal direction of the free surface and $m$ to the tangential direction.

### 3.7 Application Of Normal Stress Condition

Equation (33) shows that the application of the normal stress condition requires the determination of both the surface slope and the location within the surface cell at which the internal and external normal stresses must balance. The exact surface slope may be determined at the expense of a considerable amount of calculation. Instead, an approximation, based on the cell flagging scheme in Fig. 3.2 , is used.

Thus, if the cell above the surface cell is the only adjacent empty cell, then the surface is considered to be horizontal. If both the cell to the left and the one above the surface cell are empty, then the surface is considered to be oriented $45^{\circ}$ to the horizontal. Similarly, orientations at $90^{\circ}$ and $135^{\circ}$, as well as the equivalent cases with the surface exposed from below, may be also determined. The appropriate normal stress, which depends on the slope,is then derived from equation (33) . Fig. 3.8 shows the fifteen possible arrangements of empty cells (E) about a surface cell (S).

By satisfying the continuity equation, given by eq.(14), for all free surface cells the surface velocities (indicated by ' $x$ ' in Fig.3.8) are calculated. For one empty face ( configurations 1, 2, 4 and 8 ) or two adjacent faces ( $3,6,9,12$ ) the

Figure 3.8
Fifteen Possible Arrangements of Empty Cells
About a Surface Cell.
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appropriate $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}}$ and/or $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}$ may be calculated precisely. In the remaining cases ( $5,7,10,11,13,14$ and 15 ) more than one $V_{r}$ and/or $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}$ are involved and the treatment can only be approximate. Here one velocity is adjusted to assure that at least $D=0$ in the surface cell Accordingly, the appropriate forms of equation (14), labelled (a to h) in equation (34) are used in the following arrangement for each of the fifteen configurations :

| Configuration No. | Form of Equation (14) |
| :---: | :---: |
| In Figure 3.8 | Used |
| 1, 5, 11, 13, 15 | a |
| 2, 7, 10, 14 | b |
| 3 | $c$ and f |
| 4 | d |
| 6 | $e$ and f |
| 8 | g |
| 9 | $c$ and $h$ |
| 12 | $e$ and $h$ |

a) $V_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, j}=\left(\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j} / r_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}\right)-\left(r_{i, j} / r_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}\right)\left(\delta_{r} / \delta_{z}\right)\left(V_{z i, j+\frac{1}{2}}-V_{z i, j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$
b) $V_{z i, j+\frac{1}{2}}=V_{z i, j-\frac{1}{2}}-\left(1 / r_{i, j}\right)(\delta z / \delta r)\left(\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}-\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j}\right)$
c) $V_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, j}=\left(r_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j} / r_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}\right) V_{r i-\frac{1}{2}, j}$
d) $V_{r i-\frac{1}{2}, j}=\left(\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j} / r_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j}\right)+\left(r_{i, j} / r_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j}\right)\left(\delta_{r} / \delta_{z}\right)\left(V_{z, i, j+\frac{1}{2}}-V_{z i} \quad i, j-\frac{1}{2}\right)$
e) $V_{r i-\frac{1}{2}, j}=\left(\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j} / r_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j}\right)$
f) $V_{z i, j+\frac{1}{2}}=V_{z i, j-\frac{1}{2}}$
g) $V_{z i, j-\frac{1}{2}}=V_{z i, j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left(1 / r_{i, j}\right)\left(\delta_{z /} / \delta_{r}\right)\left(\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}-\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j}\right)$
h) $v_{z i, j-\frac{1}{2}}=v_{z i, j+\frac{1}{2}}$

Once the surface cell velocities on empty cell faces are known, the normal stress condition given by equation (33) may now be applied. The ce11 arrangements in Fig. 3.8 can be classified into three groups :

Group (i) : Surface cells with one empty side (1, 2, 4 and 8) The free surface orientation is either horizontal (2 and 8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=2 \eta\left(\partial V_{z} / \partial z\right)+P_{e} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

or vertical (l and 4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=2 \eta\left(\partial V_{r} / \partial r\right)+P_{e} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Group (ii) : Surface cells with two diagonally adjacent empty cells (3, 6, 9 and 12)

The outward normal direction is assumed to be at $45^{\circ}$ between the exposed sides of the cell. In this case the normal stress condition, given by equation (33) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
P= \pm\left(\left(V_{r} / z\right)+\left(V_{z} / r\right)+P_{e}\right. \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

12
where the sign is chosen equal to the sign of $n_{r} n_{z}$. For example, if the open sides are at $\left(i, j+\frac{1}{2}\right)$ and $\left(i+\frac{1}{2}, j\right)$, then $n_{r}$ and $n_{z}$ are positive.

Group (iii) : Surface cells with three open sides or with two open sides that are opposite one another (5,7,10,11,13,14 and 15). The pressure for the cell is set equal to the external pressure $P_{e}$.

The velocity partial derivatives in the equations (35) through (37) can easily be approximated by local finite differences and these eight approximations are listed below :

Configuration No. Finite Difference Approximation
in Fig. 3.8

1

9

12
$(2 \eta / \delta r)\left(\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}-\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j}\right)+P_{e} i, j$
$(2 \eta / \delta r)\left(\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j}-\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}\right)+P_{e} i, j$
$\left(2 \eta / \delta_{z}\right)\left(V_{z} i, j+\frac{1}{2}-V_{z i} i, j-\frac{1}{2}\right)+P_{e} i, j$
$\left(2 \eta / \delta_{z}\right)\left(V_{z i, j-\frac{1}{2}}-V_{z i, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right)+P_{e} i, j$
$(\eta / 2)\left((1 / z)\left(\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}-\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j-1}\right.\right.$
$\left.+\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j}-\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j-1}\right)+(1 / \delta r)\left(V_{z i, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right.$
$\left.\left.-V_{z i-1, j+\frac{1}{2}}+V_{z i, j-\frac{1}{2}}-V_{z i-1, j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)+P_{e} i, j$
$(\eta / 2)\left((1 / \delta z)\left(\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}-\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j-1}\right.\right.$
$\left.+\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j}-\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j-1}\right)+(1 / \delta r)\left(V_{z i, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right.$
$\left.\left.-V_{z i+1, j+\frac{1}{2}}+V_{z i, j-\frac{1}{2}}-V_{z i+1, j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)+P_{e} i, j$
$(\eta / 2)\left(\left(1 / \delta_{z}\right)\left(\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}-\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+1}\right.\right.$
$\left.+\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j}+\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j+1}\right)+(1 / \delta r)\left(V_{z i, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right.$
$\left.\left.-V_{z i=1, j+\frac{1}{2}}+V_{z i, j-\frac{1}{2}}-V_{z i-1, j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)\right)+P_{e} i, j$
$(\eta / 2)\left((1 / \delta z)\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}-\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+1}\right.$
$\left.+\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j}-\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j+1}\right)+(1 / \delta r)\left(V_{z i, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right.$
$\left.\left.-V_{z i+1, j+\frac{1}{2}}+V_{z i, j-\frac{1}{2}}-V_{z i} i+1, j-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right)+P_{e} i, j$

### 3.8 Application Of Tangential Stress Condition

The tangential stress condition is given by the equation (32). The position and shape of the surface have to be known before this condition can be applied. From the arrangement of neighboring empty cells the surface slope can be roughly estimated, as was done in the application of normal stress condition, although these can be calculated from the location of marker particles. This estimation is sufficiently accurate for our purpose because of other inherent approximations in the MAC method itself. Consequently, for a two-dimensional surface, if the surface is nearly horizontal or vertical, which is the case when the surface cell is open at one end to the empty cell only, the tangential stress condition of equation (32) becomes :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta\left(\left(\partial V_{r} / \partial z\right)+\left(\partial V_{z} / \partial r\right)\right)=0 \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

If, however, the surface slope is at $45^{\circ}$ to the horizontal, i.e. when there are two adjacent empty cells contiguous to the surface cell, the tangential stress condition reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta\left(\left(\partial V_{r} / \partial r\right)-\left(\partial V_{z} / \partial z\right)\right)=0 \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

From these two forms of the tangential stress condition, the just outside tangential velocities may be calculated with the help of finite difference approximations. There are only four
basic arrangements of surface (S) to empty (E) cells that must be checked to satisfy equation (39). These are illustrated in Fig. 3.9. The equation (40) can not be easily formulated in the same fashion. Instead, it is satisfied by making ( $\left.\partial V_{r} / \partial r\right)$ and ( $\partial V_{z} / \partial z$ ) each equal to zero.This was done in equation (34). The appropriate Finite Difference Approximations of the equation (39) are given below for each of the four arrangements shown in Fig. 3.9.
a) $V_{z i+1, j+\frac{1}{2}}=V_{z i, j+\frac{1}{2}}-\left(1 / r_{i, j}\right)\left(\delta r / \delta_{z}\right)\left(\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+1}-\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j}\right)$
b) $V_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, j+1}=\left(\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j} / r_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+1}\right)-\left(r_{i, j} / r_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j+1}\right)\left(\delta z_{z} / \delta r\right)\left(V_{z i+1, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right.$

$$
\left.-v_{z i, j+\frac{1}{2}}\right)
$$

c) $V_{z i-1, j+\frac{1}{2}}=V_{z i, j+\frac{1}{2}}+\left(1 / r_{i, j}\right)(\delta r / \delta z)\left(\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j+1}-\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i-\frac{1}{2}, j}\right)$
d) $V_{r i+\frac{1}{2}, j-1}=\left(\left(r V_{r}\right)_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j} / r_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j-1}\right)+\left(r_{i, j} / r_{i+\frac{1}{2}, j-1}\right)\left(\delta_{z} / \delta r\right)\left(V_{z i+1, j-\frac{1}{2}}\right.$
$\left.-V_{z i, j-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$

Figure 3.9
Four Arrangements of Surface (S) to Empty (E) Cells For
Tangential Stress Condition.
( The surface cell in each case has the indices $i, j$ and the velocity to be determined ( x ) is a function of three other velocities(*) . )

(a)

(c)

(b)


### 3.9 Stability And Accuracy

The solution of initial value problems by finite-difference approximations is almost always plagued by potential difficulties with numerical instability.There are unique numerical instabilities associated with each of the iteration sequences in MAC calculations, i.e., with the pressure iteration at a given time step and with the successive steps of time advancement. In the original MAC method the condition necessary to insure stability through successive time steps was

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta t<(1 / 2 \eta)\left(\left(\delta r^{2} \delta z^{2}\right) /\left(\delta r^{2}+\delta z^{2}\right)\right) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, this condition must be modified to suit the present non-Newtonian problem. This is done by setting the viscosity $\eta$ to be at the zero shear rate for the least value of $\delta t$. For this particular high viscosity case, the time increment per cycle is quite small which necessitates a long consumption of computation time.

The obvious requirements, as far as the accuracy is concerned, include the necessity for cells fine enough to resolve the features of interest and for time steps small enough to prevent instability. However, it should be noted here that a precise statement concerning cellwise resolution appears impossible to give. In general, it may be stated that the cells must be so small that no field variable changes appreciably across any cell.

Experience with many different types of computing techniques has shown that one of the most important contributors to accuracy is the degree of conservation of the finite-difference equations. For incompressible flow calculations of the type discussed here, the primary quantities to conserve are mass and momentum. Mass conservation is assured if, at every cycle, $D=0$ for every cell.

Momentum conservation is also strongly required for accuracy of calculations. Consequently, the terms in the transport part of the momentum equations of the form

$$
V_{r}\left(\partial V_{r} / \partial r\right)+V_{z}\left(\partial V_{r} / \partial z\right)
$$

are transformed (using the incompressibility condition) to

$$
(1 / r)\left(\partial\left(r V_{r}^{2}\right) / \partial r\right)+\left(\partial\left(V_{r} V_{z}\right) / \partial z\right)
$$

The difference form of such an expression can then be written as pure differences, so that the flux of momentum out of one side of a cell exactly equals the flux into that same side of the adjacent cell.

CHAPTER IV

COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS
4.1 Sequency Of Computation

Based on the solution technique described in the last chapter, we are now in a position to set up a computer program to solve this particular problem. The computer logic flow diagram in Fig. 4.1 explains how this simulation of the filling process can be carried out. Each box in the flow diagram is now discussed in detail in the order in which it appears.

1) Input Information
i) material parameters
a) density $\varsigma$
b) Rheological parameters n, m
ii) Geometrical parameters
a) dimension of gate
b) dimension of mold
iii) Process operating condition specify volumetric flow rate, Q
iv) Specify inflow velocity profile
v) Specify the number of particles per cell.
2) Reflagging

Reflagging is performed by making,first, a sweep through a11 the particles to determine which cells contain particles and which cells do not. Then, all SUR cells that no longer contain particles


Figure 4.1
Computer Logic Flow Diagram
become EMP cells, and velocities are zeroed on any faces of such cells that are adjacent to other EMP cells. Next step is to make a check on the FUL cells. If FUL cell has any EMP neighbors, it becomes a SUR cell Finally, the SUR cells with no EMP neighbors become FUL cells.
3) SUR Cell Velocities On EMP Cell Faces These velocities are forced to satisfy the equation of continuity ( equation (34) ).
4) Just-outside And Across-The-Wall Tangential Velocities The velocities outside the free surface are adjusted to satisfy the tangential stress condition, equation (41). The wall boundary conditions are used to set the tangential velocities across the walls.
5) Calculate The Pressure Field At The Surface Cells

The pressure field is adjusted to satisfy the normal stress condition at the fluid surface, equation (38).
6) Calculate The Viscosity Field

This is done with the help of equations (17) and (18).
7) Iteration Of The Pressure Field

The iteration of the equation (20) among all FUL cells leads to the proper pressure field for each cell.
8) Final Velocity Field

The pressure field obtained from can now be applied to equations (15) and (16) to calculate the final velocities field.
9) SUR Cell Velocities On EMP Cell Faces

Same as in step 3
10) Just-outside And Across-The-Wall Tangential Velocities

Same as in step 4
11) Is The Cavity Full ?

As long as there is any EMP cell remaining, the cycle is kept running. Otherwise the program can be stopped.
12) Time Increment $\delta t$

The size of time increment $\delta t$ must consider the stability criterion and consequently equation (42) has to be checked.
13) Particle Movement

The marker particles are moved according to the velocity components in their vicinity as described in equations (22) and (23). After all the particles have been moved, particles are created at the inflow boundary at the prescribed rate.

The control is now passed back to the reflagging step to begin the next cycle.

### 4.2 Input Of Parameters In The Computer Program

A computer program in FORTRAN code, which is a modification of the 6
original program written by Huang, has been used to find a numerical solution of the finite-difference equations developed in the preceding chapter. The rheological properties of glass-bead-filled polypropylene 6 used by Huang were originally investigated by Schmidt . The same values for the parameters of the power law constitutive equation have been used in the present study :

| Glass-bead-filled polypropylene | n | m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(\phi=0.05)$ | 0.71 | 20000 |

The melt density is considered to be approximately equal to $0.735 \mathrm{gm} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ at a constant temperature of $240^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

A listing of the computer program, which had to be modified for compatibility with New Jersey Institute of Technology UNIVAC Computer, is given in Appendix A.

The input of geometrical parameters to the program includes the dimension of the mold and gate entrance. As discussed earlier, the mold under consideration is of cylindrical shape with a diameter of $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ and a length of $2.5^{\prime \prime}$. The gate entrance to the mold is taken as 1/8" x $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$.

A constant volumetric flow rate of $2.21 \mathrm{in}^{3} / \mathrm{min}(0.603 \mathrm{cc} / \mathrm{sec})$ is
used for the purpose of calculation.

Exactly one half of the flow field in r-z plane is considered for computation due to the presence of a line of symmetry along the center line of the cylindrical mold. Consequently, a $7 \times 72$ grid system, with a total number of cells equalling 504 , is used for our purpose. Thus, the dimension of $\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{z}$ plane becomes $1 / 8^{\prime \prime} \times 2.5^{\prime \prime}(0.3175 \mathrm{~cm} \times 6.35 \mathrm{~cm})$ and the grid size equals $\delta_{z}=0.0907 \mathrm{~cm}$ by $\delta_{r}=0.03175 \mathrm{~cm}$. An average of 10 particles per cell is maintained to represent the fluid flow and approximately 50 minutes of computation time is necessary for a complete run of the program.

Figure 4.2
Schematic Diagram of The $7 \times 72$ Grid System


## CHAPTER V

ANALYSIS OF COMPUTATIONAL RESULTS

In this chapter we will be trying to present and analyze the massive amount of data generated by the computer program in our simulation studies. Since the purpose of the present study is to visualize the flow phenomena as the glass-bead-filled polypropylene melt enters the cylindrical cavity, we will be particularly interested in the velocity and pressure distribution along the cavity as the flow front advances.

Srictly speaking, the flow in the specific mold is a three-dimensional flow. However, as mentioned earlier, because of the inherent mathematical difficulty in solving a three-dimensional flow we are compelled to use the two-dimensional flow model. Consequently, the velocity distributions can be plotted in the axial and transverse directions only.

A quantitative information of the flow details is provided by Figures 5.1 through 5.8. These plots are velocity profiles in the axial direction at different times. The value of $z_{d}$ indicates the distance from the entrance of the mold. The velocity profiles show how the front surface moves with time and also the fact that the velocity decelerates in the flow direction. This is evident from the curves which show a gradual tendency of flattening out as we move further away from the entrance. The velocity at the wall is zero in each case and it
registers a pattern of sharp deceleration as we come near the wall.

Velocity Profile In The Axial Direction, $V_{z}$ For Various Position From The Entrance, $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{d}}$

| Time $=0.107$ seconds | $z_{d}=z / \Delta z$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\Delta z=0.0907 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\Delta r=0.03175 \mathrm{~cm}$ |



Figure 5.1

Velocity Profile In The Axial Direction, $V_{z}$
For Various Position From The Entrance, $z_{d}$

| Time $=0.322$ seconds | $z_{d}=z / \Delta z$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\Delta z=0.0907 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\Delta r=0.03175 \mathrm{~cm}$ |



Figure 5.2

Velocity Profile In The Axial Direction, $V_{z}$ For Various Position From The Entrance, $z_{d}$

| Time $=0.742$ seconds | $z_{d}=z / \Delta z$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\Delta z=0.0907 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\Delta r=0.03175 \mathrm{~cm}$ |



Figure 5.3

Velocity Profile In The Axial Direction, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}$ For Various Position From Entrance, $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{d}}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Time }=1.393 \text { seconds } & z_{d}=z / \Delta z \\
\Delta z=0.0907 \mathrm{~cm} & \Delta r=0.03175 \mathrm{~cm}
\end{array}
$$



Figure 5.4

Velocity Profile In The Axial Direction, $V_{z}$ For Various Position From The Entrance, $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{d}}$

| Time $=2.49$ seconds | $z_{d}=z / \Delta z$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\Delta z=0.0907 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\Delta r=0.03175 \mathrm{~cm}$ |



Figure 5.5

Velocity Profile In The Axial Direction, $V_{z}$ For Various Position From Entrance, $z_{d}$

| Time $=3.797$ seconds | $z_{d}=z / \Delta z$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\Delta z=0.0907 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\Delta r=0.03175 \mathrm{~cm}$ |



Figure 5.6

Velocity Profile In The Axial Direction, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{z}}$
For Various Position From The Entrance, $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{d}}$

| Time $=5.91$ seconds | $z_{d}=z / \Delta z$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\Delta z=0.0907 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\Delta r=0.03175 \mathrm{~cm}$ |



Figure 5.7

Velocity Profile In The Axial Direction, $V_{z}$ For Various Position From The Entrance, $z_{d}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Time }=8.39 \text { seconds } & z_{d}=z / \Delta z \\
\Delta z=0.0907 \mathrm{~cm} & \Delta r=0.03175 \mathrm{~cm}
\end{array}
$$



Figure 5.8

The transverse velocity profiles are plotted in Figures 5.9 through 5.16 .It can be observed from these diagrams that the velocity in the transverse direction is comparatively small in the region near the entrance and reaches a significant value as we move closer to the front surface region. This indicates an intensification of two-dimensional flow phenomena near the front region. The transverse velocity, as should be expected in this case, is observed to be zero both at the wall and the centerline. However, the velocity of the front surface at the centerline has a non-zero magnitude.

Transverse Velocity Profile, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}}$
For Various Position From The Entrance, $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{d}}$

| Time $=0.107$ seconds | $z_{d}=z / \Delta z$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\Delta z=0.0907 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\Delta r=0.03175 \mathrm{~cm}$ |



Figure 5.9

Transverse Velocity Profile, $V_{r}$ For Various Position From The Entrance, $z_{d}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Time }=0.322 \text { seconds } & z_{\mathrm{d}}=z / \Delta z \\
\Delta z=0.0907 \mathrm{~cm} & \Delta r=0.03175 \mathrm{~cm}
\end{array}
$$



Figure 5.10

Transverse Velocity Profile, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}}$
For Various Position From The Entrance, $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{d}}$

| Time $=0.742$ seconds | $z_{d}=z / \Delta z$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\Delta z=0.0907 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\Delta x=0.03175 \mathrm{~cm}$ |



Figure 5.11

Transverse Velocity Profile, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}}$
For Various Positions From The Entrance, $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{d}}$

| Time $=1.393$ seconds | $z_{d}=z / \Delta z$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\Delta z=0.0907 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\Delta r=0.03175 \mathrm{~cm}$ |



Figure 5.12

Transverse Velocity Profile, $V_{r}$
For Various Positions From The Entrance, $z_{d}$


Figure 5.13

Transverse Velocity Profile, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}}$
For Various Positions From The Entrance, $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{d}}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Time }=3.797 \text { seconds } & z_{d}=z / \Delta z \\
\Delta z=0.0907 \mathrm{~cm} & \Delta r=0.03175 \mathrm{~cm}
\end{array}
$$



Figure 5.14

Transverse Velocity Profile, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}}$
For Various Positions From The Entrance, $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{d}}$

| Time $=5.909$ seconds | $z_{d}=z / \Delta z$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\Delta z=0.0907 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\Delta r=0.03175 \mathrm{~cm}$ |



Figure 5.15

Transverse Velocity Profile, $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{r}}$
For Various Positions From The Entrance, $\mathrm{z}_{\mathrm{d}}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Time }=8.39 \text { seconds } & z_{d}=z / \Delta z \\
\Delta z=0.0907 \mathrm{~cm} & \Delta r=0.03175 \mathrm{~cm}
\end{array}
$$



Figure 5.16

Based on the velocity profiles plotted earlier, we are now in a position to draw the flow front progression in r-z plane at various times. The plot, as shown in Fig. 5.17 , is drawn over half of the symmetrical mold cavity. The values of $r / \Delta r$ equal to 0 and 5 represent the centerline and bottom wall respectively.

Except for the initial time of 0.107 seconds, the shape of the flow front becomes flatter and flatter as the time progresses.In each case it reaches a maximum value at the centerline and falls off to zero as we approach the bottom wall.

Figure 5.17
Flow Front Progression in $r-z$ plane at various times.


Figures 5.18 through 5.25 show the pressure distribution across the mold at different times. A dimensionless form is utilized by taking the ratio of local and maximum pressure in each case. From the figures it is observed that the pressure falls off to zero at the wall, as was expected in the case of velocity profiles discussed earlier. We can also observe from the distribution plots that, at each indicated time, over half of the filled cavity has reached a pressure of $50 \%$ of the maximum pressure or higher.

Finally, we can conclude this investigation by saying that such simulation of the mold filling process with Marker And Cell numerical technique is indeed a powerful tool for the detailed study of the velocity profiles and pressure distribution in two dimensional isothermal problems. Although such simulation works have already been reported in the literature, the present study purports to be an extension of the 1 earlier work done by Kamal and Kenig (using semi-circular mold 6 geometries) and Huang who used a flow region between two rigid parallel plate boundaries.

Pressure Distribution At Various Positions From The Entrance

| Time $=0.107$ seconds | ${ }_{\mathrm{z}}^{\mathrm{d}}=\mathrm{z} / \Delta \mathrm{z}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\Delta z=0.0907 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\Delta r=0.03175 \mathrm{~cm}$ |



Figure 5.18

Pressure Distribution At Various Positions From The Entrance

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Time }=0.322 \text { seconds } & z_{d}=z / \Delta z \\
\Delta z=0.0907 \mathrm{~cm} & \Delta r=0.03175 \mathrm{~cm}
\end{array}
$$



Figure 5.19

Pressure Distribution At Various Positions From The Entrance

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Time }=0.742 \text { seconds } & z_{d}=z / \Delta z \\
\Delta z=0.0907 \mathrm{~cm} & \Delta r=0.03175 \mathrm{~cm}
\end{array}
$$



Figure 5.20

Pressure Distribution At Various Positions From The Entrance


Figure 5.21

Pressure Distribution At Various Positions From The Entrance

| Time $=2.489$ seconds | $z_{d}=z / \Delta z$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\Delta z=0.0907 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\Delta r=0.03175 \mathrm{~cm}$ |



Figure 5.22

Pressure Distribution At Various Positions From The Entrance
Time $=3.797$ seconds
$z_{d}=z / \Delta z$
$\Delta z=0.0907 \mathrm{~cm}$
$\Delta r=0.03175 \mathrm{~cm}$


Figure 5.23

Pressure Distribution At Various Positions From The Entrance

Time $=5.909$ seconds
$\Delta z=0.0907 \mathrm{~cm}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{d}=z / \Delta z \\
& \Delta r=0.03175 \mathrm{~cm}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 5.24

Pressure Distribution At Various Positions From The Entrance

| Time $=8.39$ seconds | $z_{d}=z / \Delta z$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\Delta z=0.0907 \mathrm{~cm}$ | $\Delta r=0.03175 \mathrm{~cm}$ |



Figure 5.25

Appendix A

A Listing of The Computer Program
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