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## ABSTRACT

Parametric pumping is a separation process characterized by periodic changes in axial displacement, coupled with synchronized changes in some variable affecting the position of the interphase equilibrium. Both continuous and semicontinuous pumps were investigated at various operating conditions using a model system of toluene-n-heptane on a silica gel adsorbent. It has been shown that when the penetration distance for the cold cycle is less than or equal to that for the hot cycle and the height of the column, the pump has the capacity of complete removal of solute from one product stream. A quantity which is important in determining pump performance is the equilibrium parameter, b. Pump performance is enhanced by large interphase movement and hence by large values of the equilibrium parameter.
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## INTRODUCTION

Thermal parametric pumping is a separation process characterized by periodic changes in axiəl displacement of a fluid coupled with synchronized changes in temperature. A jacketed column with top and bottom variable volume reservoirs is packed with a solid adsorbent (silica gel) and filled with a binary mixture (toluene-n-heptane). Reciprocal pumping action by the reservoirs causes either upflow or downflow of the fluid through the bed, while the column jacket and two temperature controlled baths provide the medium for the synchronized temperature changes.

This process has three modes of operation; batch, continuous, and semicontinuous. Batch operation is defined as a constant hot-upflow half cycle followed by a colddownflow half cycle without feed input or product withdrawal. Continuous operation incorporates constant feed input and product withdrawal during the entire cycle. The semicontinuous mode is batch operation during the hot-upflow and continuous operation during the cold-downflow half cycle.

Previously, the semicontinuous and continuous pumps have been investigated by Chen and co-workers $(\underline{1}, \underline{2}, 3)$ and analyzed in terms of an equilibrium theory ( 4,5 ) describing pump performance. It has been shown that under certain conditions the parametric pump can completely remove solute from one product stream and give arbitrarily large enrichment
of solute in the other product stream. The conditions which determine the separation are defined in terms of penetration distances and column height.

This project experimentally investigates the effect of various process parameters upon relatively high separations.

## EXPERIMENTAL

## A. Scope of Investigation

This project investigated the sensativity of the equilibrium parametric pump to various operating parameters; while obtaining high separation factors (the ratio of solute concentration in the two product streams). Thus, only region $l$ operation of the pump will be considered. The operating parameters considered were product flow rate, feed flow rate, feed concentration, time, temperature, and mode of operation. Table 1 indicates the sequential details of this investigation.

## B. Description of Apparatus

The thermal, liquid-solid phase, parametric pump shown in Figure 1 is similar to that used previously ( $\underline{2}, \mathbf{2}$ ) and can be described as follows: (refer to Figure 1)

1. The adjustable length, jacketed, glass adsorption column was an Ace Glass Adjusta-Chrom Recycling Column (5819-06) with an inside diameter of . Ol meters and a length of $\cdot 90$ meters.
2. Top and bottom reservoirs, located at either end of the column, along with the feed apparatus were 50 cc Becton and Dickinson, multifit, luer-lok tip, glass syringes. The syringes were automatically operated by variable speed infusion and withdrawal syringe pumps made by Harvard Apparatus
(series 940). The reservoir pump, which was set for reciprocal operation, was orientated in a vertical position which, along with a glycerin seal, minimized fluid losses. Also, small magnetic stirrers were placed in the reservoir syringes in order to meet the requirement of perfect mixing within the reservoir. The feed pump was orientated in a horizontal position and was set for parallel operation.
3. The reservoir, feed, and product lines were 0.063 inches OD X 0.031 inches ID teflon tubing (by Chromatronox Incorporated).
4. The sampling apparatus was two Micrometric Capillary Valves by Gilmont (M7100) and two 25 ml graduated cylinders.
5. Two Lauda Circulators, which are constant temperature, circulating baths, by Brinkmann Instruments Incorporated (models $\mathrm{K}-2$ and $\mathrm{K}-2 / \mathrm{R}$ ) provided hot and cold water mediums.
6. Standard $\frac{1}{2}$ inch rubber tubing and two 3 way universal operation ASCO solenoid valves (cat \#8320A107) provided the means for switching the column temperature.
7. A recycling timer, type A-Tandem by Industrial Timer Corporation controlled the half cycle time and synchronized the column temperature with the

$m$

FIGURE 1

> direction of fluid flow.
> 8. Samples were analyzed for toluene content using a Beckmann DBG spectrophotometer operating in the ultraviolet spectrum region.

## C. Exnerimental Procedure

Preparation for an experimental run started with the lubricating of the reservoir and feed syringes with glycerin. Two cc of glycerin was left in the vertically orientated top and bottom reservoirs to provide a seal which prevented evaporation losses. Glycerin served the dual purpose of lubricant and seal because of its high viscosity ( 900 centipoises at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), relatively high specific gravity ( 1.3 at room temperature), and low solubility in heptane-toluene solution ( $\langle .03 \%$ at room temperature).

The column was packed with dry $30-60$ mesh, chromatographic grade silica gel and the interstitial volume in the column, along with the feed and reservoirs, was filled with feed solution of toluene and n-heptane at ambient temperature. To facilitate the removal of air from the system, the column was vibrated as the feed solution was introduced.

After the hot and cold baths had reached steady state at their specified temperatures, the run was initiated with upflow on the hot half cycle and continued for $\frac{\pi}{\omega}$ time units. Flow and temperature were then switched to downflow on a cold half cycle, $\frac{\pi}{\omega}$ time units in duration, to complete
one cycle. The dead volumes $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{B}}$ for top and bottom reservoirs respectively, were $4 \mathrm{cc}(2 \mathrm{cc}$ glycerin, 1 cc stirrer volume, and l cc solution). The reservoirs had a a displacement volume of $Q \frac{\pi}{\mathcal{L}}$ where $Q$ is the reservoir displacement rate and $\frac{\pi}{\omega}$ is the half cycle time. The feed rate was $\left(\varnothing_{\mathrm{T}}+\varnothing_{\mathrm{B}}\right) Q, \varnothing_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\varnothing_{\mathrm{B}}$ being the ratio of product volumetric flow rate to the reservoir displacement rate for top and bottom reservoirs respectively.

Removing the top and bottom product while constantly introducing feed solution during both hot and cold half cycles is termed continuous operation. On the other hand, batch mode is operating the apparatus without feeding or sampling during the cycle. Semicontinuous is batch operation during the hot half cycle and continuous operation during the cold half cycle. Top and bottom products are withdrawn at $\emptyset_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{Q}$ and $\emptyset_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{Q}$ respectively.

After approximately 16 cycles, the run is terminated and the the samples analyzed for toluene content using the Beckmann DBG spectrophotometer operating in the ultraviolet region (see appendix B).


The Semicontinuous Parametric Pump
FIGURE 2

## THEORY

Chen and Hill have extended the equilibrium theory of Pigford, Baker and Blum (5) and derived mathematical expressions describing pump performance (1). Three possible regions of pump operation were shown to depend upon the height of the column and the ratio of the penetration distances of the hot half cycle to that of the cold half cycle, i.e. $L_{1} / L_{2}$. Considering only pumps with the feed at the top, $L_{1} / L_{2}$ can be expressed in terms of $\phi_{B}$ and the equilibrium parameter $b$ as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{L_{1}}{L_{2}}=\left(\frac{1+b}{1-b}\right)\left(\frac{1-\phi_{B}}{1+\phi_{B}}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the continuous pump, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{L_{1}}{L_{2}}=\left(\frac{1+b}{1-b}\right)\left(\frac{1}{1+\phi_{B}}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the semicontinuous pump. The cold half cycle penetration distance, $\mathrm{L}_{2}$, in both continuous and semicontinuous pump is express as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{2}=\frac{v_{0}\left(1+\phi_{B}\right) \frac{\pi}{\omega}}{(1+b)\left(1+\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)\right)} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

while $L_{1}$ for the continuous and semicontinuous pump is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}=\frac{v_{0}\left(1-\phi_{B}\right) \frac{\pi}{\omega}}{(1-b)\left(1+\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)\right)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{1}=\frac{v_{0} \frac{\pi}{(u)}}{(1-b)\left(1+\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)\right)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. The equilibrium parameter is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\frac{\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{1}-m_{2}\right)}{1+\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i}=\frac{P_{S}(1-\epsilon) M_{i}(T)}{\epsilon} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Mi(T) is the equilibrium distribution coefficient at temperature Ti . See appendix A for derivation of expressions for $L_{1}, L_{2}$, and $b$.

The three possible regions of pump operation are:
Region 1:

$$
\mathrm{L}_{1} / \mathrm{L}_{2} \geq 1
$$

$\mathrm{L}_{2} \leq \mathrm{h}$
Region 2:
$\mathrm{L}_{1} / \mathrm{L}_{2} \leq 1$
$\mathrm{L}_{2} \leq \mathrm{h}$
Region 3:
$\mathrm{L}_{1}>\mathrm{h}$
$\mathrm{L}_{2}>h$

It has been shown that only region 1 pump operation yields high separation factors. An indication of the separation is the ratio of the concentration of the bottom product on the cold half cycle to the concentretimon of the feed, ie. $y_{B P 2} / y_{0}$. For region 1 operation, the theoretical expression for $\left\langle y_{B P 2}\right\rangle / y_{0}$ is (1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\langle y_{B P 2}\right\rangle_{n}}{y_{0}}=\left(\frac{1-b}{1+b}\right)\left(\frac{\frac{1-b}{1+b}+C_{2}}{1+C_{2}}\right)^{n-1} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

furthermore, at $t=\bigcirc \bigcirc$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left\langle y_{\mathrm{BP} 2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{OO}\right.}{y_{0}}=0 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation 10 states that at steady state there is no solute in the bottom product and all the solute supplied by the feed is in the top product. The expression for the top product concentration is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle y_{\mathrm{BP} 2}\right\rangle=y_{0}\left(1+\frac{\phi_{\mathrm{B}}}{\Phi_{\mathrm{T}}}\right. \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (9) provides a relation from which b can be predicted. Taking the natural logs of equation (9) yields:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \frac{\left\langle y_{B P 2}\right\rangle_{n}}{y_{6}}=(n) \ln \left(\frac{\frac{1-b}{1+b}+C_{2}}{1+C_{2}}\right)+\ln \left(\frac{1-b}{1+b}\right)-\ln \left(\frac{\frac{1-b}{1+b}+C_{2}}{1+C_{2}}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $b$ and $C_{2}$, the ratio of the bottom reservoir dead volume to the displacement, are constants, equation (12) describes a straight line with a slope $\alpha$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=\ln \left(\frac{1-b}{1+b+c_{2}}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\frac{1-e^{\alpha}-c_{2}\left(e^{\alpha}-1\right)}{1+e^{\alpha}+C_{2}\left(e^{\alpha}-1\right)} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The equilibrium parameter can also be calculated from equilibrium data (see appendix $A$ ).

The quantity $b$ is a measure of the extent of solute movement between phases due to column temperature change. $b$ can be any value between zero and one, where $b=0$ indicates the equilibrium distribution is insensitive to temperature, and $b=1$ implies extreme temperature sensitivity.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Eleven experimental runs were executed with conditions set so that region $l$ operation of the pump would result, i.e. $L_{1} / L_{2} \geq 1$ and $L_{2} \leq h$. The process variables for the experimental runs are shown in table 1.

Experimental data was compared with calculations based on the transient equations ( $\underline{1}, \underline{2}, \underline{3}$ ) derived from the equilibrium theory. The primary assumptions of the theory are (see appendix A):
l. local interphase equilibrium exists with a linear distribution having a temperature dependent coefficient, i.e. $x=\bar{M}(T) y$
2. effects of axial diffusion are negligible
3. there are instantaneous temperature changes
4. there is plug flow displacement of fluid
5. the densities of the fluid and the solid are constant.

Figures 3 and 4 show the effect of feed concentration, product flow rate, and feed flow rate upon the bottom product concentration for the semicontinuous and continuous pumps. The agreement between experimental and calculated results is reasonably good. It is evident that $y_{0}, \emptyset_{B}$, and $\phi_{\mathrm{T}}+\phi_{\mathrm{B}}$ have negligible effect upon the bottom product concentration, $\left\langle y_{B P 2}\right\rangle{ }_{n} / y_{0}$, provided that equilibrium of adsorbate between the two phases has been established ( $\frac{\pi}{\omega} \geq$ 10 min.$)$. These figures demonstrate that $\left\langle\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{BP} 2}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{O}}$ and n are inversely proportional and as n becomes large, $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{BP} 2} \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{y}_{0}$
approaches zero as predicted by equation (10). Equation (9) states that the bottom product concentration transient depends upon $b$ and $c_{2}$, where $c_{2}=V_{B} /\left(Q \frac{\pi}{(2)}\right)$, and $b$ is the dimensionless equilibrium parameter defined by equation (28). The values for $b$ were calculated using equation (14) and were found to be 0.22 and 0.15 for $T_{1}=70^{\circ} \mathrm{C} T_{2}=4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{T}_{1}=60^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{T}_{2}=25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ respectively. Pump performance is enhanced by large values of $b$ and thus by large $|\alpha|$ (absolute value of $\alpha$ ), where $\alpha$ defined in equation (13) is the slope of the plot $\ln \left(\left\langle y_{\mathrm{BP} 2}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{y}_{0}\right)$ vs n . For large values of b the transient time for depletion of solute from the bottom product would be very short and approach zero ( $\alpha=-\infty$ ) as b approached one. Furthermore, as $b \rightarrow 0$, and $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ no separation can occur. Figure 3 and equation (11) indicate that an arbitrary high degree of enrichment of the top product may be obtained by adjusting $\varnothing_{B}$ provided steady state has been attained at a given $\emptyset_{\mathbb{T}^{+}} \emptyset_{\mathrm{B}}$. This arbitrary degree of enrichment is not a function of $b$.

Figures 3 and 4 also show that the semicontinuous and continuous pumps are similar in nature. The principal difference between the two modes is the region switching points. For example, region 1 operation ( $L_{1} / L_{2} \geq 1$ and $L_{2} \leq h$ ) of the semicontinuous pump can be defined as $\emptyset_{B} \leq 2 b /(1-b)$ while for the continuous pump $\phi_{B} \leq b$ ( 3 ).

Figure 5 illustrates the effect of cycle time upon separation and gives some insight into the time required
to reach local interphase equilibrium. If $\frac{\pi}{\omega} \geq 10$ minutes, complete solute removal is possible. When $\frac{\pi}{\omega} \leq 3$ minutes, only partial solute removal was obtained because the time was insufficient to reach equilibrium of the adsorbate between the solid and liquid phases.

Figure 6 together with the three previous graphs, gives experimental verification of assumption 1 . A linear equilibrium relation with a temperature dependent coefficient is a good assumption. Furthermore, the degree of depletion of solute in the bottom product is solely a function of temperature (the value of $b$ ) and $C_{2}$ provided the pump is operated in region 1 with $\frac{\pi}{\omega} \geq 10$ minutes.

The remaining figures describe some of the experimental idiosyncrasies of the parametric pump. Consider figure 7 where $\left\langle y_{\mathrm{BP} 2}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{y}_{0}$ decreases as n increases up to a point where a drastic increase with n occurs. Run 3 was well. within the criteria for region $I\left(L_{1} / L_{2}=1.13\right.$ and $\left.L_{2}=53 \mathrm{~cm}\right)$, but yet the final separation was poor. During this run, no top product was removed ( $\varnothing_{\mathrm{T}}=0$ ) which meant no toluene was removed from the system for the first 12 cycles. Eventually the toluene contained in the feed had to appear in the bottom product, i.e. the l3th cycle. The time at which the upward trend of the graph starts can be prolonged or partially eliminated by increasing the heient of the column, decreasing $y_{0}$; but to eliminate it completely a sufficient quantity of top product must be withdrawn. Figures 7 and 8
demonstrate the observation that the upward trend can be eliminated or prolonged by varying $\mathrm{y}_{0}, \varnothing_{\mathrm{T}}$ and $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{O}}$ respectively at a specified $h$.

Figure 9 shows two runs which demonstrate region 2 operation. For run $9, \mathrm{~L}_{1}=54 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $\mathrm{L}_{2}=54 \mathrm{~cm}$ which by definition is a switching point or border between region 1 and region 2. It is highly likely that the process variables were such that region 2 operation resulted.

Figure 10 illustrates an interesting experimental error and its results. On the 8th cycle of run 10A, the temperature of the column did not change and two hot half cycles were run instead of the alternate hot and cold half cycles. Notice this resulted in a horizontal shift of two cycles. Furthermore, subsequent data continued with the same slope, $\alpha$, as run lOB which did not contain a temperature switching error.

Table 1 Experimental and Model Parameters

| Run Number | Mode | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}_{1} \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T}_{2} \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(\min )}{\frac{\pi}{\omega}}$ | $\bar{y}_{0}$ mole frac | $\binom{Q}{(c)}$ | $\varnothing_{\mathrm{T}} \emptyset_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\phi_{\mathrm{B}}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $\stackrel{L_{1}}{\mathrm{~cm}_{\mathrm{m}}}$ | $\left(\begin{array}{c} \mathrm{L}_{2} \\ (\mathrm{~cm}) \end{array}\right.$ | b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Semi | 70 | 4 | 20 | 0.10 | 40 | 0.4 | 0.22 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 60 | 46 | 0.22 |
| 2 | Semi | 70 | 4 | 20 | 0.10 | 40 | 0.4 | 0.25 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 60 | 48 | 0.22 |
| 3 | Semi | 70 | 4 | 20 | 0.10 | 40 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 60 | 53 | 0.22 |
| 4 | Semi | 70 | 4 | 20 | 0.10 | 40 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 60 | 53 | 0.22 |
| 5 | Semi | 70 | 4 | 20 | 0.10 | 40 | 0.4 | 0.32 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 60 | 50 | 0.22 |
| 6 | Semi | 70 | 4 | 20 | 0.05 | 40 | 0.4 | 0.31 | 0.11 | 0.15 | 60 | 50 | 0.22 |
| 7 | Semi | 70 | 4 | 10 | 0.05 | 40 | 0.4 | 0.32 | 0.13 | 0.15 | 60 | 50 | 0.22 |
| 8 | Semi | 70 | 4 | 10 | 0.10 | 40 | 0.4 | 0.31 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 60 | 50 | 0.22 |
| 9 | Semi | 60 | 25 | 10 | 0.05 | 40 | 0.4 | 0.34 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 54 | 54 | 0.15 |
| 10 A | Semi | 60 | 25 | 10 | 0.05 | 40 | 0.4 | 0.17 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 54 | 47 | 0.15 |
| 10 B | Semi | 60 | 25 | 10 | 0.05 | 40 | 0.4 | 0.17 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 54 | 47 | 0.15 |
| 11 | Semi | 60 | 25 | 10 | 0.05 | 40. | 0.5 | 0.17 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 54 | 47 | 0.15 |
| 12 | Cont | 60 | 25 | 20 | 0.034 | 40 | 0.4 | 0.07 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 51 | 43 | 0.15 |
| 13 | Cont | 70 | 4 | 20 | 0.10 | 40 | 0.4 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 51 | 44 | 0.22 |
| 14* | Semi | 60 | 25 | 3 | 0.05 | 15 | 1.02 | 0.23 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 22 | 19 |  |

* Equilibrium theory can not be applied.


Effect of $\vec{y}_{O}$ and $\phi_{\text {A }}$ Upon Separation For Semicontinuous
Andinuous Pumps Figure 3





Region 1 Operation With Small $\phi_{T}$ Figure 7



Comparison Of Region 1 With Region 2
Figure 9


Experimental Shift of Bottom Product Concentration
Figure 10


Figure 11

## CONCLUSION

Region 1 operation of continuous and semicontinuous pumps were investigated to determine the effect of certain process variables upon the separation of the binary components. The variables investigated were temperature, time, feed concentration, feed flow rate, mode of operation, and the product flow rate. Conclusions of this research are as follows:

1. Both pumps have the capability to completely remove solute from one product stream and give an arbitrary enrichment in the other stream provided interphase equilibrium has been attained, i.e. $\frac{\pi}{\omega}>10$ minutes.
2. The degree of depletion of solute in the bottom product stream is a function of reservoir dead volume, temperature, and temperature changes which cause the interphase solute movement.
3. As the temperature difference between $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ increases, the value of the equilibrium parameter increases and pump performance is enhanced.
4. $y_{0}, \emptyset_{\mathrm{B}}$, and $\phi_{\mathrm{T}^{+}} \emptyset_{\mathrm{B}}$ have negligible effect upon the bottom product concentration.
5. At steady state and a given feed rate, the adjustment of $\emptyset_{B}$ gives an arbitrary degree of enrichment in the top product stream.
6. The semicontinuous and continuous pumps are
similar in nature. The degree of separation is not a function of the mode of operation.
7. The primary assumptions of the equilibrium theory are valid since the agreement between theory and experiment is relatively good.

## NOMENCLATURE

$\mathrm{b}=\begin{aligned} & \text { dimensionless equilibrium parameter defined by equa- } \\ & \text { tion (28). }\end{aligned}$
$c_{1}=V_{T} /\left(Q \frac{\pi}{\omega}\right)$, ratio of dead volume of the top reservoir to displacement, dimensionless.
$C_{2}=V_{B} /\left(Q \frac{\pi}{\omega}\right)$, ratio of dead volume of the bottom reservoir
$\mathrm{F}=$ volumetric flow rate/reservoir displacement rate entering or leaving the column, dimensionless.
$h=$ column height, cm.
$L=$ penetration distance defined by equations (3), (4), and (5).
$M=x / \bar{y} \quad \bar{M}=x / y$
$m=$ equilibrium constant parameter defined by equation (17), dimensionless.
$\mathrm{N}=$ final cycle of pump operation.
$\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{cycle}$ number
$Q=$ reservoir displacement, cc/sec.
$T=$ temperature, ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
$\mathbf{v}=$ interstial velocity, cm/sec.
$V_{T}=$ top reservoir dead volume, cc.
$V_{B}=$ bottom reservoir dead volume, cc.
$x=$ concentration of solute in the solid phase, g mole/g.
$y=$ concentration of solute in the liquid phase, $g$ mole/cc.
$\bar{y}=$ concentration of solute in the liquid phase, g mole/g. $\rangle=$ average value.

Greek letters:
$\alpha=$ slope of line on plot of $\ln \left(\left\langle y_{\mathrm{BP} 2}\right\rangle_{\mathrm{n}} / \mathrm{y}_{0}\right)$ vs $n$.
$\rho_{s}=$ density of the solid, $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cc}$.
$\rho_{f}=$ density of the fluid, g/ce
$\epsilon=$ void fraction in packing, dimensionless.
$\emptyset=$ product volumetric flow rate/reservoir displacement rate, dimensionless.
$\frac{\pi}{\omega}=$ duration of half cycle, time units.

## Subscripts:

$0=$ initial condition.
1 = upflow or hot half cycle.
2 = downflow or cold half cycle.
$\mathrm{BP}=$ bottom product. ,
$\mathrm{TP}=$ top proouct.
$B=$ stream from or to bottom of the column.
$T=$ stream from or to top of the column.
$\infty=$ steady state.

## APPENDICES

## Appendix A

 TheoryTHEORY (References $\underline{1}, \underline{2}, \mathbf{3}$ )

Expression for $L_{1}, L_{2}$, and b have been developed from the so called internal equations of the parametric pump. Assuming no axial diffusion, the equation of transport, obtained from a material balance around a differential volume of the liquid and solid phase in the column, is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon D \frac{\partial^{2} y}{\partial z^{2}}+\epsilon v \frac{\partial y}{\partial z}+\epsilon \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}+(1-\epsilon) \rho_{S} \frac{\partial x}{\partial t}=0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

net flow by + net flow by rate of accum axial diffusion ${ }^{+}$bulk movement ${ }^{+}$ulation on liq.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { rate of accum } \\
& \text { ulation on solid }
\end{aligned}=0
$$

We can eliminate x in equation (15) if an instantaneous linear equilibrium relationship is assumed, i.e. $x=M(T) \bar{y}$ or $x=M(T) y / \rho_{f}=\bar{M}(T) y$. Differentiation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d x}{d t}=y \frac{\partial \bar{M}(T)}{\partial t}+\bar{M}(T) \frac{\partial y}{\partial t} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and substituting equation (16) into (15) we find

$$
\epsilon v \frac{\partial y}{\partial z}+\epsilon \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}+(1-\epsilon) p_{S}\left(\bar{M}(T) \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}+y \frac{\partial \bar{M}(T)}{\partial T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}\right)=0
$$

Rearranging gives

$$
\left(1+\frac{(1-\epsilon) \rho_{S} \bar{M}(T)}{\epsilon}\right) \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial y}{\partial z}+\frac{(1-\epsilon) \rho_{S}}{\epsilon} \frac{\partial \bar{M}(T)}{\partial T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t}=0
$$

By assuming constant fluid and solid densities and letting

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=\frac{(1-\epsilon) \rho_{S} \bar{M}(T)}{\epsilon} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+m) \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}+v \frac{\partial y}{\partial z}=-\frac{\partial m}{\partial T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} y \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

This hyperbolic partial differential equation can be solved by the method of Lagrange-Charpit. Within the method of solution lies the mathematical definitions of $L_{1}, L_{2}$, and $b$. Taking the right hand terms of equation (18), we let

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{\partial m}{\partial T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} y=\left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial s}\right)_{\theta} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
y=y(z, t)=y(s, \theta) \quad z=z(s, \theta) \quad t=t(s, \theta)
$$

Obviously

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial y}{\partial s}\right)_{\theta}=\left(\frac{\partial t}{\partial s}\right)_{\theta} \frac{\partial y}{\partial t}+\left(\frac{\partial z}{\partial s}\right)_{\theta} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and comparing equation (20) with (19) while holding constant we see

$$
\frac{d t}{d s}=1+m \quad \text { and } \quad \frac{d z}{d s}=v
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d z}{v}=\frac{d t}{1+m}=\frac{-d y}{\frac{\partial m}{\partial T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} y} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Rearrangement of the first equality in equation (21) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d z}{d t}=\frac{v}{1+m} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assuming instantaneous temperature changes and instantaneous equilibrium between adsorbate in the liquid and solid phases, it is evident both $T(t)$ and $m(t)$ are periodic square waves. Graphically

and mathematically

$$
T=T_{0}+T S q(\omega t)
$$

$$
m=m_{0}-\alpha S q(\omega t)
$$

In other words, $m=m_{0^{-a}}$ for hot upflow and $m=m_{0^{+}}$a for
cold downflow.
Furthermore, the velocity of the concentration front within the column, $d z / d t$, is also a periodic square wave, represented by


Expressions for $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are found by material balances. Consider a semicontinuous pump's top reservoir, feed, and product lines on the cold downflow half cycle.


A material balance yields

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q+Q\left(\phi_{T}+\phi_{B}\right)=Q \phi_{T}+Q F_{T 2} \\
F_{T 2}=1+\phi_{B}
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $Q \mathrm{cc} / \mathrm{sec}=\left(\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{sec}\right)\left(\mathrm{A} \mathrm{cm}^{2}\right)$; the downflow velocity is expressed as $\mathrm{v}_{2}=\mathrm{v}_{0}\left(1+\emptyset_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$. Similarly, a material balance around the top reservoir of a semicontinuous pump in a hot upflow half cycle,

yields

$$
Q=Q F_{T 1}
$$

It follows that $v_{1}=v_{0}$. For a continuous pump in a hot upflow half cycle we find


$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{\mathrm{T} 1} \mathrm{Q}+\mathrm{Q}\left(\phi_{\mathrm{T}}+\phi_{\mathrm{B}}\right)=\mathrm{Q}+\mathrm{Q} \phi_{\mathrm{T}} \\
\mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{T} 1}=1-\phi_{\mathrm{B}}
\end{gathered}
$$

and therefore $\mathrm{v}_{1}=\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{O}}\left(1-\phi_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$. It is obvious that semicontinyous and continuous pumps have the same downflow velocity expression.

For the semicontinuous pump, substitution of $\mathrm{v}_{1}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{2}$ into equation (22) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d z}{d t}=\frac{v_{0}\left(1+\phi_{B}\right)}{1+m_{0}+a}=\frac{v_{0}\left(1+\phi_{B}\right)}{\left(1+m_{0}\right)(1+b)} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for downflow, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d z}{d t}=\frac{v_{0}}{1+m_{O}-a}=\frac{v_{0}}{\left(1+m_{0}\right)(1-b)} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for upflow, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\frac{a}{1+m_{0}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Knowing that

$$
m_{0}=\frac{m_{1}+m_{2}}{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=m_{2}-m_{0}=m_{0}-m_{1} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

we find from equation (25) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b=\frac{\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{2}-m_{1}\right)}{1+\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equations (23) and (24) represent the slope of the y constant characteristic lines on a z vs t plot (see Figure ll). These two equations can be integrated to
yield expression for $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ (see equations (3), (4), and (5)).

Rearranging the second equality in equation (21), we obtain

$$
-\frac{d y}{y}=\frac{\frac{\partial m}{\partial T} \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} d t}{1+m}
$$

which upon integration yields

$$
\ln y=-\ln (1+m)+K=-\ln \left(1+m_{0}-\alpha S_{q}((\omega t))+K\right.
$$

It follows that

$$
\ln y=-\ln (1-b \operatorname{Sq}(\omega t))+k
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d \ln y}{d t}=\frac{d \ln \left(1-b S_{q}(\omega t)\right)}{d t} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(1-b S q(\omega t))=\bar{K} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies y constant characteristics. Equation (29) represents the change in $y$ along the characteristic directions.

Pump performance relations are derived by combining equation (30) with certain external relations obtained by material balances. See Reference (1) for the method
to and solutions of pump performance.

Calculation of $b$ from equilibrium data (Ref 2)
On a linear $x-y$ diagram, $x=\bar{M}(T) y$, the area beneath the line can be expressed as an integral or the area of a triangle, i.e.

$$
\int_{0}^{y} x_{1} d y_{1}=\frac{1}{2} x y=\frac{1}{2} \bar{M}(T) y^{2}
$$

It follows that

$$
\bar{M}(T)=\frac{2}{y^{2}} \int_{0}^{y} x_{1} d y_{1}
$$

where $x_{1}$ and $y_{1}$ are observed values.
Equation (17) can now be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i}=\left[\frac{(1+\epsilon) P_{s}}{\epsilon}\right] \frac{2}{y^{2}} \int_{0}^{y} x_{1} d y_{1} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is now possible to obtain $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ at $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ respectively. $b$ can be calculated from equation (28).


## Appendix B

Sample Analysis

SAMPLE ANALYSIS
Beer's law states: for absorbing solutes, the decrease in the radiant power of a beam of parallel monochromatic radiation with $b$, the path length, is proportional to $I$, the intensity, and the concentration, $y$, of the solution. Mathematically this is:

$$
\frac{d I}{d b}=-a I y
$$

Separating the variables and integration between the limits of Io to $I$ and 0 to $b$ yields:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\ln \frac{I}{I_{0}}=-a b y \\
A=\ln \left(\frac{1}{T}\right)=\ln \frac{I_{0}}{I}=a b y
\end{gathered}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\text { absorbance } \\
& T=\text { transmittance } \\
& I=\text { intensity or radiant power } \\
& \text { Io intensity of incident light } \\
& a=\text { absortivity, a constant } \\
& b=\text { path length or sample cell's thickness } \\
& y=\text { concentration }
\end{aligned}
$$

Pure n-heptane does not absorb over the range of l50mu to 300 mu while toluene does and has a maxium peak at 262 mu . The concentration of the samples can be calculated using Beer's Law.

When necessary, the samples were diluted with nheptane to reduce the height of the absorption peak so that the concentration would fall within the scale of the instrument. This introduced a dilution factor into the calculation for the unknown concentration. For example, 1 ml of sample diluted with 5 ml of n -heptane gave a dilution factor of 6. If l ml of this solution was diluted with another 5 ml of solvent, the dilution factor would be 36. Since the concentration and absorption of the feed are known ( $\mathrm{y}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ at 262mu), the concentration of sample $n$ can be calculated.

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}=y_{0}\left[\frac{A_{n}(\text { dil. fac. })_{n}}{A_{0}(\text { dil. fac. })_{0}}\right] \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tabulated experimental analysis results follow.

Data Sheet
Analysis Run 1
Bottom
Product

| Cycle | Dilution <br> Factor | Absorbance <br> A | $\frac{\mathbf{y}_{\text {BP2 }}}{\mathrm{y}_{0}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed | 396 | 34.0 | $\cdots$ |
| 5 | 216 | 23.0 | 0.368 |
| 7 | 36 | 40.5 | 0.108 |
| 9 | 6 | 56.0 | 0.0249 |
| 11 | 6 | 18.0 | 0.00802 |
| 12 | 1 | 46.0 | 0.00341 |
| 14 | 1 | 29.0 | 0.00215 |
| 15 | 1 | $0 *$ | $\ldots .$. |
| 16 | 1 | $0 *$ | $\ldots .$. |

Top
Product

| Cycle | Dilution <br> Factor | Absorbance <br> $A$ | $\frac{\mathbf{y}_{\mathrm{BP} 2}}{\mathbf{y}_{0}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | 576 | 57.5 | 1.97 |
| 12 | 576 | 66.0 | 2.26 |
| 14 | 576 | 73.0 | 2.49 |
| 15 | 396 | 79.0 | 2.32 |
| 16 | 306 | 80.0 | 2.35 |

* O indicates that the sample was of higher purity than the reference which was Spectro-quality $n$-heptane (MC\&B).


## Data Sheet

Analysis Run 2
Bottom
Product

| Cycle | Dilution Factor | Absorbance A | $\mathrm{y}_{\text {BP2 }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | ${ }^{1} 0$ |
| Feed | 216 | 78.1 | ----- |
| 4 | 396 | 26.8 | 0.629 |
| 5 | 216 | 32.5 | 0.416 |
| 6 | 36 | 100 | 0.2134 |
| 8 | 36 | 22.3 | 0.0476 |
| 10 | 6 | 32.0 | 0.014 |
| 12 | 6 | 20.0 | 0.00711 |
| 13 | 1 | 24.5 | 0.00145 |
| 15 | 1 | $<0$ | ----- |
| 16 | 1 | $<0$ | --- |

Top
Product

| Cycle | Dilution <br> Factor | Absorbance <br> A | $\frac{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{BP} 2}}{\mathrm{y}_{0}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 1296 | 18.5 | 1.42 |
| 8 | 1296 | 19.2 | 1.48 |
| 10 | 1296 | 27.9 | 2.15 |
| 12 | 1296 | 28.0 | 2.15 |
| 14 | 1296 | 33.1 | 2.54 |
| 16 | 1296 | 32.8 | 2.52 |

Data Sheet
Analysis Run 3
Bottom
Product

| Cycle | Dilution Factor | Absorbance A | $\mathrm{y}_{\text {BP2 }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{y}_{0}$ |
| Feed | 216 | 74.0 | - |
| 4 | 216 | 27.5 | 0.372 |
| 5 | 216 | 20.0 | 0.270 |
| 6 | 216 | 6.0 | 0.0812 |
| 7 | 36 | 46.5 | 0.105 |
| 8 | 36 | 19.0 | 0.0428 |
| 10 | 6 | 37.0 | 0.0139 |
| 11 | 6 | 12.0 | 0.00450 |
| 12 | 1 | 22.0 | 0.00138 |
| 14 | 1 | 62.0 | 0.00387 |
| 15 | 36 | 78.0 | 0.176 |
| 16 | 216 | 9.0 | 0.122 |
| 17 | 216 | 15.7 | 0.212 |

Top
Product
No top product was taken during this run.

Data Sheet
Analysis Run 4
Bottom
Product

| Cycle | Dilution Factor | $\underset{A}{\text { Absorbance }}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{BP} 2}}{\mathrm{y}_{0}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Feed | 216 | 95.0 | ----- |
| 5 | 216 | 39.5 | 0.416 |
| 7 | 36 | 88.0 | 0.154 |
| 8 | 36 | 52.0 | 0.0912 |
| 9 | 36 | 86.0 | 0.151 |
| 10 | 36 | 102. | 0.179 |
| 11 | 216 | 15.0 | 0.144 |
| 12 | 36 | 88.0 | 0.154 |
| 13 | 36 | 59.0 | 0.104 |
| 14 | 36 | 79.0 | 0.139 |
| 16 | 36 | 61.0 | 0.107 |

Top
Product

| Cycle | Dilution <br> Factor | Absorbance <br> $\mathbf{A}$ | $\frac{y_{\mathrm{BP} 2}}{\mathrm{y}_{0}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 1331 | 29.0 | 1.88 |
| 11 | 1331 | 30.0 | 1.95 |
| 14 | 1331 | 36.0 | 2.34 |
| 16 | 1331 | 34.0 | 2.21 |

Data Sheet
Analysis Run 5
Bottom
Product

| Cycle | Dilution <br> Factor | Absorbance <br> $A$ | $y_{B P 2}$ <br> Feed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 216 | 82.0 | $y_{0}$ |
| 5 | 216 | 45.0 | 0.549 |
| 6 | 216 | 49.0 | 0.598 |
| 7 | 216 | 11.0 | 0.134 |
| 8 | 216 | 7.0 | 0.0854 |
| 9 | 216 | 16.0 | 0.0195 |
| 10 | 36 | 22.0 | 0.0447 |
| 11 | 6 | 61.0 | 0.0207 |
| 12 | 1 | 83.0 | 0.00745 |
| 13 | 6 | 3.0 | 0.00469 |
| 14 | 1 | 22.0 | 0.00226 |
| 15 | 1 | 34.0 | 0.00745 |
| 16 | 1 |  | 0.00430 |

Top
Product

| Cycle | Dilution <br> Factor | Absorbance <br> $A$ | $\frac{y_{B P 2}}{y_{0}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 1296 | 13.0 | 1.61 |
| 7 | 2197 | 11.5 | 1.43 |
| 10 | 2197 | 17.0 | 2.11 |
| 12 | 2197 | 17.0 | 2.11 |


| Cycle | Dilution <br> Factor | Absorbance | $\mathbf{y}_{\mathrm{BP} 2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2197 | 19.0 | $\mathrm{y}_{0}$ |
| 15 | 2197 | 18.0 | 2.36 |
| 16 | 1331 | 18.0 | 2.23 |
|  |  |  | 2.55 |

Data Sheet
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lccc}\text { Analysis Run } 6 & & & \\
\begin{array}{l}\text { Bottom } \\
\text { Product }\end{array} & & & \\
\text { Cycle } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Dilution } \\
\text { Factor }\end{array}
$$ \& \begin{array}{c}Absorbance <br>

Feed\end{array} \& 121\end{array}\right]\)| $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{BP} 2}$ |
| :---: |
| 5 |

Data Sheet

| Analysis Run 7 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bottom <br> Product | Dilution <br> Factor | Absorbance <br> Cycle | 121 |

Top
Product

| Cycle | Dilution <br> Factor | Absorbance <br> A | $\frac{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{BP} 2}}{\mathrm{y}_{0}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 121 | 100 | 1.43 |
| 8 | 1331 | 13.5 | 2.12 |
| 11 | 1331 | 13.5 | 2.75 |
| 14 | 1331 | 22.0 | 3.4 .6 |
| 17 | 24.5 | 3.85 |  |

*Chromo-qualiey $n$-heptane used as the reference.

Data Sheet
Analysis Run 8
Bottom
Product

| Cycle | Dilution Factor | $\begin{gathered} \text { Absorbance } \\ \text { A } \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{y_{B P 2}}{y}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{y}_{0}$ |
| Feed | 216 | 80.0 | ----- |
| 4 | 126 | 75.0 | 0.547 |
| 6 | 231 | 17.0 | 0.227 |
| 7 | 231 | 9.0 | 0.120 |
| 9 | 36 | 15.0 | 0.0313 |
| 11 | 6 | 28.0 | 0.00972 |
| 13 | 1 | 65.0 | 0.00376 |
| 15 | 1 | 27.0 | 0.00156 |
| 17 | 21 | 6.0 | 0.00729 |
| 18 | 36 | 17.0 | 0.0354 |

Top
Product

| Cycle | Dilution <br> Factor | Absorbance <br> $A$ | $\frac{y_{\mathrm{BP} 2}}{\mathrm{y}_{0}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 1331 | 16.0 | 1.23 |
| 8 | 1331 | 24.5 | 1.89 |
| 11 | 1331 | 30.0 | 2.31 |
| 14 | 1331 | 32.5 | 2.50 |
| 17 | 1331 | 34.0 | 2.62 |

Data Sheet
Analysis Run 9
Bottom
Product

| Cycle | Dilution <br> Factor | Absorbance <br> $A$ | $\frac{y_{B P 2}}{y_{0}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed | 121 | 75.0 | .$--{ }^{2}$ |
| 1 | 121 | 61.0 | 0.813 |
| 3 | 121 | 33.0 | 0.440 |
| 4 | 121 | 27.0 | 0.360 |
| 6 | 121 | 17.0 | 0.227 |
| 8 | 121 | 10.0 | 0.133 |
| 10 | 121 | 6.5 | 0.0867 |
| 12 | 121 | 6.5 | 0.0867 |
| 14 | 36 | 24.0 | 0.0952 |
| 16 | 36 | 41.0 | 0.0163 |

Top
Product

| Cycle | Dilution <br> Factor | Absorbance <br> A | $\frac{y_{B P 2}}{y_{0}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | 1331 | 10.0 | 1.47 |
| 10 | 1331 | 13.5 | 1.98 |
| 13 | 1331 | 15.0 | 2.20 |
| 15 | 1331 | 16.0 | 2.35 |
| 16 | 1331 | 16.5 | 2.42 |

Data Sheet
Analysis Run 10A
Bottom
Product

| Cycle | Dilution Factor | $\underset{A}{\text { Absorbance }}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{BP} 2}}{\mathrm{y}_{0}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed | 121 | 75.0 | ----- |
| 3 | 121 | 32.0 | 0.427 |
| 4 | 36 | 81.5 | 0.323 |
| 6 | 36 | 41.0 | 0.163 |
| 7 | 36 | 29.0 | 0.115 |
| 8 | 36 | 24.0 | 0.0952 |
| 9 | 36 | 23.5 | 0.932 |
| 11 | 36 | 33.5 | 0.133 |
| 13 | 36 | 20.0 | 0.0793 |
| 15 | 6 | 69.0 | 0.0456 |
| 16 | 6 | 55.0 | 0.0377 |

Top
Product

| Cycle | Dilution <br> Factor |
| :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 396 |
| 8 | 726 |
| 10 | 726 |
| 11 | 1331 |
| 14 | 1331 |
| 15 | 1331 |

Data Sheet
Analysis Run 10B
Bottom
Product

| Cycle | Dilution <br> Factor | Absorbance <br> $A$ | $y_{B P 2}$ <br> Feed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 121 | 77.3 | .$- y_{0}$ |  |
| 3 | 121 | 36.5 | 0.473 |
| 4 | 36 | 99.0 | 0.381 |
| 6 | 36 | 57.5 | 0.222 |
| 8 | 36 | 34.0 | 0.131 |
| 9 | 11 | 88.5 | 0.104 |
| 11 | 6 | 75.5 | 0.0485 |
| 13 | 6 | 43.0 | 0.0276 |
| 15 | 6 | 21.5 | 0.0138 |
| 16 | 6 | 16.5 | 0.0106 |

Top
Product
Cycle
Dilution Factor

6
8
10
13
15
16
216
216
216
396
726
726

| Absorbance | $y_{\mathrm{A}}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{BP} 2}}{}$ |  |
| 50.5 | $\mathrm{y}_{0}$ |
| 59.0 | 1.17 |
| 65.3 | 1.36 |
| 41.0 | 1.51 |
| 22.0 | 1.74 |
| 23.5 | 1.71 |
|  | 1.83 |

Data Sheet
Analysis Run 11
Bottom
Product

| Cycle | Dilution <br> Factor | Absorbance <br> A | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{BP} 2}$ <br> Feed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 121 | 69.0 | $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{O}}$ |  |
| 3 | 121 | 29.5 | 0.428 |
| 5 | 36 | 61.0 | 0.263 |
| 7 | 21 | 68.0 | 0.171 |
| 9 | 11 | 78.5 | 0.103 |
| 11 | 6 | 79.5 | 0.0571 |
| 13 | 6 | 48.0 | 0.0345 |
| 15 | 6 | 27.5 | 0.0198 |
| 16 | 6 | 22.0 | 0.0158 |

Top
Product

| Cycle | Dilution <br> Factor | Absorbance <br> A | $\frac{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{BP} 2}}{\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{O}}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 216 | 49.5 | 1.28 |
| 8 | 216 | 55.0 | 1.42 |
| 10 | 216 | 58.0 | 1.50 |
| 13 | 396 | 35.0 | 1.66 |
| 15 | 726 | 19.5 | 1.70 |
| 16 | 726 | 19.5 | 1.70 |

Data Sheet
Analysis Runs 12-13-14
This data was obtained from other sources, see page 81.

Appendix $C$
Flow Data

Data Sheet
Run 1 Semicontinuous

| Cycle | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feed } \\ \text { cc } \\ \text { Left Right } \end{gathered}$ | Reservoir cc Bottom Top | Product c Bottom Top |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | $46 \quad 45$ | 444 | --- --- |
| 1 | -- | 744 | --- --- |
|  | 3938 | 454 | 2.37 .4 |
| 2 | -- -- | 744 | --- |
|  | 3231 | $44 \quad 4$ | 8.6 6.5 |
| 3 | -- -- | 644 | --- |
|  | $24 \quad 23$ | 424 | 8.46 .6 |
| 4 | -- -- | 444 | --- --- |
|  | 1615 | 444 | 3.56 .5 |
| Feed | 50 | 444 | --- --- |
| 5 | -- | 844 | - |
|  | 35 | 444 | 11.06 .8 |
| 6 | -- | 744 | --- --- |
|  | 19 | 447 | 9.46 .5 |
| 7 | -- | $7 \quad 44$ | - |
|  | 3 | 447 | 9.26 .7 |
| Feed | 50 | $44 \quad 4$ | --- --- |
| 8 | -- | 544 | --- |
| $\cdot$ | 35 | 414 | 1.26 .6 |
| 9 | -- | 444 | --- |
|  | 20 | 444 | 8.26 .7 |


| Cycle | Feed cc | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reservoir } \\ \text { cc } \\ \text { Bottom Top } \end{gathered}$ |  | Product c C Bottom Top |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | -- | 7 | 44 | --- | --- |
|  | 5 | 43 | 4 | 1.8 | 6.3 |
| Feed | 50 | 43 | 4 | --- | -- |
| 11 | -- | 7 | 44 | --- | --- |
|  | 35 | 44 | 4 | 13.2 | 6.5 |
| 12 | -- | 5 | 44 | --- | --- |
|  | 19 | 44 | 4 | 5.0 | 6.5 |
| 13 | -- | 6 | 44 | --- | --- |
|  | - | 45 | 4 | --- | --- |
| Feed | 50 | 45 | 4 | -- | - |
| 14 | -- | 5 | 44 | --- | --- |
|  | 35 | 44 | 4 | -- | 6.3 |
| 15 | -- | 7.5 | 44 | --- | --- |
|  | 20 | 44 | 4 | 14.8 | 6.6 |
| 16 | -- | 6 | 44 | --- | - |
|  | 4 | 44 | 4 | 4.1 | 6.6 |

Data Sheet
Run 2 Semicontinuous

| Cycle | Feed <br> cc | Reservoir <br> cc | Pottom | Product <br> cc |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | 50 | 45 | 5 | Botom |

$\left.\begin{array}{ccrcc}\text { Cycle } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Feed } \\ \text { co }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Reservoir } \\ \text { cc }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Pot Tom }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Product } \\ \text { cc }\end{array} \\ \text { Feed }\end{array}\right)$

Data Sheet
Run 3 Semicontinuous

| Cycle | Feed cc | Rese <br> Bottom | $\begin{aligned} & \text { voir } \\ & \text { Top } \end{aligned}$ | Product cc Bottom Top |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | 40 | 45 | 5 | --- --- |
| 1 | -- | 5 | 46 | - |
|  | 26 | 47 | 5 | 12.4--- |
| 2 | -- | 7 | 45 | - |
|  | 11 | 47 | 5 | 13.4--- |
| Feed | 45 | 47 | 5 | - |
| 3 | -- | 7 | 46 | - |
|  | 33 | 45 | 5 | 9.0 --- |
| 4 | -- | 6 | 46 | --- --- |
|  | 20 | 46 | 5 | 15 --- |
| 5 | -- | 6 | 45 | - |
|  | 5 | 45 | 5 | 11.0 |
| Feed | 45 | 45 | 5 | - --- |
| 6 | -- | 6 | 44 | ----- |
| - | 30 | 44 | 5 | 12.6--- |
| 7 | -- | 5 | 45 | - --- |
|  | 17 | 43 | 5 | 13.8 --- |
| Feed | 45 | 43 | 5 | --- --- |
| 8 | -- | 5 | 41 | --- --- |
|  | 33 | 41 | 5 | 9.4 --- |
| 9 | -- | 4 | 44. | --- --- |
|  | 18 | 44 | 4 | 13.8 .-- |


| Cycle | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feed } \\ & \text { cc } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reservoir } \\ \text { cc } \\ \text { Bottom Top } \end{gathered}$ |  | Product cc Bottom Top |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed | 40 | 44 | 4 | -- |
| 10 | -- | 4 | 43 | --- --- |
|  | 25 | 45 | 5 | 10.2 --- |
| 11 | -- | 10 | 45 | - |
|  | 10 | 45 | 4 | 16.5 --- |
| Feed | 45 | 45 | 4 | --- --- |
| 12 | -- | 6 | 46 | ------ - - - |
|  | 29 | 47 | 5 | 11.8--- |
| 13 | -- | 7 | 45 | -- |
|  | 13 | 48 | 5 | 13.4 --- |
| Feed | 45 | 48 | 5 | -- --- |
| 14 | -- | 7 | 45 | --- --- |
|  | 29 | 45 | 5 | 14.6 --- |
| 15 | -- | 7 | 46 | - |
|  | 12 | 46 | 5 | 16.0 |
| Feed | 25 | 46 | 5 | --- --- |
| 16 | -- | 5 | 45 | -- |
|  | 10 | 45 | 5 | 15.4 --- |

Data Sheet
Run 4 Semicontinuous

| Cycle | Feed cc | Reser Bottom | Toir | Product cc Bottom Top |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | 40 | 45 | 4 | --- --- |
| 1 | -- | 6 | 45 | --- --- |
|  | 25 | 45 | 5 | 11.72 .8 |
| Feed | 45 | 45 | 5 | - |
| 2 | -- | 5 | 47 | --- --- |
|  | 23 | 45 | 6 | 15.83 .6 |
| Feed | 47 | 45 | 6 | - |
| 3 | -- | 6 | 46 | - |
|  | 25 | 45 | 5 | 15.84 .2 |
| 4 | -- | 5 | 46 | - |
|  | 4 | 44 | 7 | 13.63 .6 |
| Feed | 45 | 44 | 7 | ----- |
| 5 | -- | 5 | 45 | - |
|  | 25 | 44 | 5 | 13.84 .0 |
| - 6 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- --- |
|  | 5 | 45 | 5 | 11.83 .9 |
| Feed | 46 | 45 | 5 | --- --- |
| 7 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- --- |
|  | 26 | 45 | 5 | 13.02 .4 |
| 8 | -- | 6 | 46 | -- |
|  | 7 | 45 | 5 | 12.83 .8 |


| Cycle | Feed cc | Reservoir c <br> Bottom Top |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Product } \\ \text { cc } \\ \text { Bottom Top } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed | 47 | 45 | 5 | --- --- |
| 9 | -- | 5 | 46 | --- --- |
|  | 27 | 45 | 5 | 11.02 .6 |
| 10 | -- | 5 | 46 | --- --- |
|  | 7 | 45 | 5 | $13 \quad 13.2$ |
| Feed | 45 | 45 | 5 | --- --- |
| 11 | -* | 5 | 45 | --- --- |
|  | 24 | 44 | 5 | 14.63 .7 |
| Feed | 45 | 44 | 5 | -- |
| 12 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- |
|  | 26 | 45 | 5 | 9.84 .3 |
| 13 | -- | 6 | 46 | --- --- |
|  | 7 | 45 | 5 | 13.83 .6 |
| Feed | 45 | 45 | 5 | -- |
| 14 | -- | 6 | 46 | --- --- |
|  | 25 | 45 | 5 | 11.83 .8 |
| - 15 | -- | 6 | 46 | --- --- |
| . | 5 | 45 | 5 | 16.53 .8 |
| Feed | 30 | 45 | 5 | --- --- |
| 16 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- |
|  | 10 | 44 | 5 | 14.23 .5 |

Data Sheet
Run 5 Semicontinuous

| Cycle | Feed ce | Rese <br> Botto |  | Product cc Bottom Top |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | 45 | 45 | 5 | --- --- |
| 1 | -- | 6 | 44 | --- --- |
|  | 28 | 45 | 5 | 10.31 .3 |
| 2 | -- | 7 | 43 | --- --- |
|  | 12 | 46 | 5 | 11.43 .1 |
| Feed | 46 | 46 | 5 | --- --- |
| 3 | -- | 6 | 45 | --- --- |
|  | 26 | 45 | 5 | 10.22 .2 |
| 4 | -- | 25 | 45 | --- --- |
|  | 10 | 45 | 6 | 13.02 .7 |
| Feed | 45 | 45 | 6 | --- --- |
| 5 | -- | 8 | 45 | --- --- |
|  | 31 | 45 | 5 | 14.22 .2 |
| 6 | -- | 6 | 44 | --- --- |
|  | 16 | 43 | 5 | 13.42 .0 |
| Feed | 45 | 43 | 5 | --- |
| 7 | -- | 5 | 44 | --- --- |
|  | 31 | 39 | 5 | 13.62 .2 |
| 8 | -- | 4 | 41 | --- --- |
|  | 12 | 41 | 4 | 10.01 .0 |
| Feed | 50 | 41 | 4 | - |


| Cycle | Feed cc | Reser cc Bottom | oir <br> Top | $\begin{gathered} \text { Product } \\ \text { cc } \\ \text { Bottom Top } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | -- | 5 | 43 | - |
|  | 36 | 40 | 5 | 10.02 .1 |
| 10 | -- | 5 | 45 | - |
|  | 21 | 42 | 5 | 12.21 .7 |
| 11 | -- | 4 | 42 | - |
|  | 6 | 42 | 4 | 6.62 .1 |
| Feed | 51 | 42 | 4 | -- ---- |
| 12 | -- | 4 | 43 | - |
|  | 35 | 44 | 4 | 13.62 .4 |
| 13 | -- | 4 | 43 | --- --- |
|  | 20 | 43 | 4 | 8.61 .9 |
| 14 | -- | 4 | 44 | --- |
|  | 4 | 43 | 4 | 12.62 .0 |
| Feed | 42 | 43 | 4 | -- |
| 15 | -- | 4 | 44 | --- --- |
|  | 26 | 44 | 4 | 8.22 .1 |
| 16 | -- | 4 | 44 | -- |
|  | 10 | 44 | 4 | 14.62 .3 |

Data Sheet
Run 6 Semicontinuous

| Cycle | Feed <br> ce |
| ---: | ---: |
| Initial | 40 |
| 1 | -- |
| 2 | 25 |
| Feed | $-\infty$ |
| 3 | -12 |
|  | 45 |
| 4 | $-\infty$ |
|  | 15 |
| Feed | 46 |


| 6 | -- |
| ---: | ---: |
| Feed | 14 |
| 7 | $-\cdots$ |
|  | 28 |
| 8 | $-\cdots$ |
|  | 13 |
| Feed | 50 |


| $\begin{gathered} \text { Reservoir } \\ c c \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bottom | Top |  |
| 44 | 4 | - |
| 5 | 44 | --- --- |
| 44 | 5 | 9.0 2.1 |
| 6 | 45 | --- |
| 45 | 5 | 10.82 .0 |
| 45 | 5 | -- |
| 6 | 44 | - |
| 45 | 5 | 11.42 .4 |
| 6 | 45 | -- |
| 45 | 5 | 12.62 .5 |
| 45 | 5 | -- |
| 6 | 44 | . --- --- |
| 45 | 4 | 11.42 .0 |
| 7 | 45 | -- |
| 45 | 5 | 10.62 .7 |
| 45 | 5 | -- |
| 6 | 45 | - |
| 45 | 4 | 11.02 .1 |
| 4 | 45 | --- |
| 44 | 4 | 10.62 .1 |
| 44 | 4 | - |


| Cycle | Feed cc | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reservoir } \\ \text { cc } \\ \text { Botom Top } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Product } \\ \text { cc } \\ \text { Bottom Top } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 9 | -- | 4 | 44 | -- |
|  | 35 | 44 | 4 | 7.01 .9 |
| 10 | -- | 6 | 45 | - |
|  | 19 | 45 | 4 | 11.23 .0 |
| 11 | -- | 5 | 46 | - |
|  | 4 | 45 | 5 | 12.12 .0 |
| Feed | 50 | 45 | 5 | -- --- |
| 12 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- --- |
|  | 34 | 44 | 4 | 9.81 .9 |
| 13 | -- | 4 | 44 | --- --- |
|  | 19 | 45 | 5 | 11.02 .0 |
| 14 | -- | 7 | 44 | --- --- |
|  | 4 | 45 | 4 | 10.62 .0 |
| Feed | 43 | 45 | 4 | -- --- |
| 15 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- --- |
|  | 27 | 44 | 4 | 9.62 .1 |
| 16 | -- | 5 | 45 | - |
|  | 12 | 45 | 4 | 12.72 .2 |

Data Sheet
Run 7 Semicontinuous

| Cycle | Feed $\mathrm{cc}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Reservoir } \quad \text { cc } \\ & \text { Bottom Top } \end{aligned}$ |  | Product cc Bottom Top |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | 45 | 45 | 5 | --- | --- |
| 1 | -- | 6 | 45 | --- | --- |
|  | 30 | 45 | 5 | 2.0 | 9.4 |
| 2 | -- | 7 | 45 | --- | --- |
|  | 15 | 46 | 5 | 2.4 | 9.8 |
| Feed | 48 | 46 | 5 | --- | --- |
| 3 | -- | 6 | 46 | --- | --- |
|  | 32 | 45 | 5 | 2.2 | 11.4 |
| 4 | -- | 6 | 45 | --- | --- |
|  | 17 | 46 | 5 | 2.2 | 10.6 |
| Feed | 45 | 46 | 5 | --- | --- |
| 5 | -- | 6 | 46 | -- | --- |
|  | 30 | 46 | 5 | 1.7 | 10.8 |
| 6 | -- | 7 | 45 | --- | --- |
|  | 15 | 45 | 5 | 2.2 | 11.4 |
| Feed | 50 | 45 | 5 | - | --- |
| 7 | -- | 7 | 45 | --- | --- |
|  | 34 | 46 | 5 | 2.4 | 11.4 |
| 8 | -- | 6 | 46 | --- | - |
|  | 18 | 47 | 5 | 2.3 | 11.4 |
| 9 | -- | 8 | 46 | --- | --- |
|  | 2 | 46 | 6 | 2.3 | 13.0 |


| Cycle | Feed cc | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reservoir } \\ \text { ce } \\ \text { Bottom Top } \end{gathered}$ |  | Product cc Bottom Top |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feed | 50 | 46 | 6 | --- | --- |
| 10 | -- | 5 | 46 | --- | --- |
|  | 34 | 45 | 5 | 2.2 | 11.3 |
| 11 | -- | 5 | 46 | --- | --- |
|  | 18 | 45 | 5 | 1.8 | 11.6 |
| Feed | 52 | 45 | 5 | --- | --- |
| 12 | -- | 4 | 45 | -- | --- |
|  | 36 | 44 | 5 | 1.7 | 9.0 |
| 13 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- | --- |
|  | 20 | 45 | 5 | 2.2 | 11.8 |
| 14 | -- | 5 | 46 | --- | --- |
|  | 4 | 45 | 5 | 2.3 | 13.4 |
| Feed | 40 | 45 | 5 | -- | --- |
| 15 | -- | 5 | 46 | --- | --- |
|  | 24 | 44 | 5 | 2.0 | 10.4 |
| 16 | -- | 6 | 46 | --- | --- |
|  | 8 | 46 | 5 | 2.2 | 11.6 |

Data Sheet
Run 8 Semicontinuous

| Cycle | Feed cc | Reservoir c <br> Bottom Top |  | Product cc <br> Bottom Top |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | 42 | 44 | 4 | -- | -- |
| 1 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- | --- |
|  | 26 | 45 | 5 | 8.2 | 1.4 |
| 2 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- | --- |
|  | 10 | 45 | 5 | 8.4 | 1.2 |
| Feed | 45 | 45 | 5 | --- | -- |
| 3 | -- | 5 | 46 | - | --- |
|  | 29 | 45 | 5 | 9.2 | 1.8 |
| 4 | -- | 9 | 45 | --- | --- |
|  | 14 | 45 | 5 | 13.4 | 2.3 |
| Feed | 45 | 45 | 5 | -- | --- |
| 5 | -- | 6 | 46 | --- | --- |
|  | 30 | 46 | 5 | 11.4 | 2.3 |
| - 6 | -- | 7 | 46 | - | --- |
|  | 14 | 46 | 5 | 12.6 | 1.8 |
| Feed | 44 | 46 | 5 | --- | -- |
| 7 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- | --- |
|  | 28 | 45 | 5 | 9.8 | 2.0 |
| 8 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- | --- |
|  | 12 | 45 | 5 | 11.0 | 1.8 |
| Feed | 45 | 45 | 5 | --- | --- |


| Cycle | Feed cc | Reser c Bottom | oir <br> Top | $\begin{gathered} \text { Product } \\ \text { cc } \\ \text { Bottom Top } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | -- | 6 | 46 | --- --- |
|  | 29 | 46 | 5 | 9.03 .5 |
| 10 | -- | 6 | 46 | --- --- |
|  | 13 | 46 | 5 | 11.21 .8 |
| Feed | 45 | 46 | 5 | --- --- |
| 11 | -- | 5 | 46 | --- |
|  | 30 | 45 | 5 | 10.22 .0 |
| 12 | -- | 6 | 47 | -- --- |
|  | 14 | 46 | 5 | 11.02 .0 |
| Feed | 45 | 46 | 5 | --- --- |
| 13 | - | 7 | 45 | --- --- |
|  | 29 | 47 | 5 | 10.62 .0 |
| 14 | -- | 9 | 46 | --- --- |
|  | 13 | 47 | 5 | 11.62 .0 |
| Feed | 44 | 47 | 5 | --- --- |
| 15 | -- | 7 | 45 | -- --- |
|  | 29 | 46 | 5 | 11.21 .8 |
| 16 | -- | 8 | 46 | --- --- |
|  | 13 | 47 | 6 | 10.42 .2 |

Data Sheet
Run 9 Semicontinuous

| Cycle | Feed cc | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reservoir } \\ \text { cc } \\ \text { Bottom Top } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Product } \\ \text { cc } \\ \text { Botom Top } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | 45 | 44 | 4 | --- --- |
| 1 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- --- |
|  | 27 | 45 | 5 | 13.22 .0 |
| 2 | -- | 6 | 45 | --- --- |
|  | 11 | 44 | 6 | 13.22 .1 |
| Feed | 45 | 44 | 6 | --- --- |
| 3 | -- | 6 | 45 | --- --- |
|  | 29 | 45 | 5 | 12.82 .0 |
| 4 | -- | 6 | 45 | - |
|  | 13 | 45 | 5 | 13.62 .0 |
| Feed | 50 | 45 | 5 | --- --- |
| 5 | -- | 6 | 45 | --- --- |
|  | 34 | 45 | 5 | 13.62 .0 |
| 6 | -- | 6 | 45 | - |
|  | 18 | 45 | 5 | 13.32 .1 |
| Feed | 45 | 45 | 5 | - |
| 7 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- --- |
|  | 28 | 44 | 5 | 13.12 .1 |
| 8 | -- | 5 | 45 | - |
|  | 12 | 44 | 6 | 13.22 .0 |
| Feed | 45 | 44 | 6 | -- |


| Cycle | Feed cc | Reser $\square$ Bottom | Toir | $\begin{gathered} \text { Product } \\ \text { cc } \\ \text { Bottom Top } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | -- | 4 | 45 | --- --- |
|  | 29 | 43 | 6 | 13.62 .1 |
| 10 | -- | 4 | 44 | --- --- |
|  | 13 | 43 | 6 | 13.42 .0 |
| Feed | 42 | 43 | 6 | --- --- |
| 11 | -- | 4 | 45 | --- --- |
|  | 27 | 44 | 5 | 12.62 .1 |
| 12 | -- | 5 | 45 | - |
|  | 12 | 44 | 5 | 13.41 .6 |
| Feed | 45 | 44 | 5 | ------ |
| 13 | -- | 5 | 45 | - |
|  | 30 | 44 | 5 | 11.42 .0 |
| 14 | -- | 5 | 45 | - |
|  | 15 | 44 | 5 | 13.02 .0 |
| Feed | 43 | 44 | 5 | -- --- |
| 15 | -- | 4 | 44 | --- |
|  | 28 | 44 | 5 | 12.42 .0 |
| 16 | -- | 5 | 44 | --- --- |
|  | 12 | 44 | 5 | 13.82 .0 |

Data Sheet
Run 10A Semicontinuous
$\left.\begin{array}{ccrrrr}\text { Cycle } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Feed } \\ \text { cc }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Reservoir } \\ \text { cc } \\ \text { Bottom }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Product } \\ \text { cc }\end{array} \\ \text { Initial } & 45 & 45 & 5 & \text { Top } \\ \text { Bottom }\end{array}\right]$

| Cycle | Feed cc | Reservoir cc Bottom Top |  | Product c Bottom Top |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | -- | 5 | 45 | -- | --- |
|  | 28 | 44 | 5 | 8.1 | 8.0 |
| 10 | -- | 4 | 43 | --- | --- |
|  | 12 | 43 | 4 | 7.4 | 8.0 |
| Feed | 45 | 43 | 4 | - | --- |
| 11 | -- | 4 | 44 | --- | --- |
|  | 28 | 45 | 5 | 4.8 | 8.1 |
| 12 | - | 5 | 45 | --- | - |
|  | 12 | 45 | 5 | 6.8 | 8.0 |
| Feed | 46 | 45 | 5 | -- | - |
| 13 | -- | 5 | 46 | - | --- |
|  | 30 | 45 | 5 | 7.4 | 8.0 |
| 14 | - | 5 | 46 | --- | --- |
|  | 14 | 45 | 5 | 7.6 | 7.9 |
| Feed | 45 | 45 | 5 | --- | - |
| 15 | -- | 6 | 46 | - | --- |
| - | 29 | 45 | 5 | 7.8 | 7.9 |
| 16 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- | -- |
|  | 12.5 | 45 | 5 | 7.0 | 8.1 |

Data Sheet
Run 1OB Semicontinuous
$\left.\begin{array}{ccrrr}\text { Cycle } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Feed } \\ \text { cc }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Reservoir } \\ \text { cc }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Pottom }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Product } \\ \text { cc }\end{array} \\ \text { Initial } & \text { Bottom }\end{array}\right]$

| Cycle | Feed c |  |  | Product cc <br> Bottom Top |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | -- | 5 | 44 | -- | --- |
|  | 30 | 44 | 4 | 6.4 | 7.7 |
| 10 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- | --- |
|  | 14 | 45 | 5 | 6.8 | 8.0 |
| Feed | 45 | 45 | 5 | --- | -- |
| 11 | -- | 5 | 45 | -- | --- |
|  | 30 | 45 | 5 | 7.6 | 7.4 |
| 12 | -- | 5 | 46 | --- | --- |
|  | 14 | 45 | 5 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| Feed | 45 | 45 | 5 | -- | - |
| 13 | -- | 5 | 46 | --- | --- |
|  | 30 | 45 | 5 | 8.2 | 7.7 |
| 14 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- | --- |
|  | 14 | 45 | 5 | 7.5 | 8.1 |
| Feed | 45 | 45 | 5 | --- | --- |
| 15 | -- | 5 | 45 | -- | --- |
|  | 30 | 45 | 5 | 7.8 | 8.1 |
| 16 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- | --- |
|  | 14 | 45 | 5 | 8.5 | 8.0 |

Data Sheet
Run 11 Semicontinuous

| Cycle | Feed cc | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reservoir } \\ \text { cc } \\ \text { Bottom Top } \end{gathered}$ |  | Product cc Bottom Top |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial | 43 | 45 | 5 | --- | --- |
| 1 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- | --- |
|  | 23 | 45 | 5 | 8.0 | 8.0 |
| 2 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- | -- |
|  | 3 | 45 | 5 | 7.0 | 9.8 |
| Feed | 46 | 45 | 5 | --- | --- |
| 3 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- | --- |
|  | 26 | 42 | 4 | 10.2 | 9.0 |
| 4 | -- | 4 | 43 | --- | --- |
|  | 7 | 42 | 4 | 8.0 | 9.0 |
| Feed | 46 | 42 | 4 | --- | --- |
| 5 | -- | 4 | 44 | --- | --- |
|  | 27 | 44 | 4 | 7.8 | 9.3 |
| 6 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- | --- |
|  | 6.5 | 44 | 5 | 8.8 | 10.0 |
| Feed | 46 | 44 | 5 | --- | --- |
| 7 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- | --- |
|  | 26 | 45 | 5 | 8.8 | 10.0 |
| 8 | -- | 5 | 45 | -- | --- |
|  | 6 | 45 | 4 | 9.0 | 9.5 |
| Feed | 46 | 45 | 4 | - | - |


| Cycle | Feed cc | $\begin{gathered} \text { Reservoir } \\ \text { cc } \\ \text { Bottom Top } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Product } \\ \text { cc } \\ \text { Bottom Top } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- | --- |
|  | 26 | 45 | 5 | 8.6 | 10.0 |
| 10 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- | --- |
|  | 6 | 45 | 5 | 8.5 | 10.0 |
| Feed | 46 | 45 | 5 | --- | --- |
| 11 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- | --- |
|  | 26 | 45 | 5 | 9.0 | 9.5 |
| 12 | -- | 5 | 46 | --- | --- |
|  | 6 | 45 | 5 | 10.0 | 9.5 |
| Feed | 46 | 45 | 5 | --- | --- |
| 13 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- | --- |
|  | 27 | 45 | 5 | 9.0 | 9.3 |
| 14 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- | --- |
|  | 7 | 45 | 5 | 9.4 | 9.5 |
| Feed | 46 | 45 | 5 | --- | --- |
| 15 | -- | 5 | 45 | --- | --- |
|  | 26 | 45 | 5 | 9.1 | 9.3 |
| 16. | -- | 5 | 45 | --- | --- |
|  | 6 | 46 | 5 | 10.2 | 9.0 |

Data Sheet
Runs 12-13-14
These runs were obtained from other sources.
Run 12 Continuous--Executed by W. Lin on $6 / 7 / 73$.
Run 13 Continuous--Taken from a thesis by Rak, I. An
Experimental Study of Continuous Parametric
Pumping. Newark College of Engineering (1972).
Run 14 Semicontinuous--Executed by J. Gudzer.

Appendix D
Calculations

## CALCULATIONS

The method to calculate the theoretical results is given below.

1. A least square analysis was performed on the bottom product sample analysis data (see appendix B for sample analysis calculations). This compotation yielded a value of $\alpha$.
2. $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ were calculated from the flow data given in appendix $C$.
$C_{1}=\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(V_{T} / Q \frac{\pi}{(1)}\right)_{n}}{N}$ and $C_{2}=\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(V / Q \frac{\pi}{(1)}\right)_{n}}{N}$
where N is the final cycle of pump operation.
3. The experimental value of $b$ could now be found using equation (14).
4. $\varnothing_{B}$ was obtained from the experimental flow data.

$$
\phi_{B}=\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left(V_{B P} / Q \frac{\pi}{(2)}\right)_{n}}{N}
$$

5. $\mathrm{L}_{2}$ was calculated using equation (3) for the semicontinuous pump.

$$
L_{2}=\frac{V_{0}\left(1+\phi_{B}\right) \frac{\pi}{(1)}}{(1+b)\left(1+\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)\right)}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)=1.88 \\
& \epsilon=0.38 \\
& v_{0}=Q /\left(\pi r^{2} \epsilon\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

6. The variables calculated above along with $\phi_{T}+\phi_{\mathrm{B}}$ and $h$ serve as data for computer programs written by Dr. H.T. Chen. These programs solve for the transient concentration ratio. They have the flexibility to solve for all possible combinations of the parameters read in, provided the number of each parameter to be considered is specified on the data cards. A listing of the programs can be found in a thesis by E.H. Reiss, Separations Via Semicontinuous Parametric Pumping, Newark College of Engineering, 1972.

REFERENCES
(1) H.T. Chen and F.B. Hill. Separation Science, 6 (3), 411 (1971).
(2) H.T. Chen, J.L. Rak, J.D. Stokes, and F.B. Hill. AIChE I. 18, 356 (1972).
(3) H.T. Chen, E.H. Reiss, J.D. Stokes, and F.B. Hill. AIChE J. 19, 589 (1973).
(4) R.A. Gregory and N.H. Sweed. Chem Eng. J., I, 207 (1970).
(5) R.L. Pigford, B. Baker, and D.E. Blum. Ind. Eng. Chem. Fundam., 8 , 144 (1969).
(6) N.H. Sweed. PhD Dissertation, Princeton University (1968).

