Copyright Warning & Restrictions

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material.

Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a, user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use" that user may be liable for copyright infringement,

This institution reserves the right to refuse to accept a copying order if, in its judgment, fulfillment of the order would involve violation of copyright law.

Please Note: The author retains the copyright while the New Jersey Institute of Technology reserves the right to distribute this thesis or dissertation

Printing note: If you do not wish to print this page, then select "Pages from: first page # to: last page #" on the print dialog screen



The Van Houten library has removed some of the personal information and all signatures from the approval page and biographical sketches of theses and dissertations in order to protect the identity of NJIT graduates and faculty.

OPTIMAL PLANNING OF FIXED ROUTE BUS TRANSIT SYSTEMS : A SYSTEMS APPROACH

ΒY

YOUNG LEE

A DISSERTATION

PRESENTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF

THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE DEGREE

OF

DOCTOR OF ENGINEERING SCIENCE

 \mathbf{AT}

NEWARK COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING

This dissertation is to be used only with due regard to the rights of the author. Bibliographical references may be noted, but passages must not be copied without permission of the College and without credit being given in subsequent written or published work.

Newark, New Jersey 1974

ABSTRACT

A new bus transit planning tool is developed for application in determining operating policies of a fixed-route bus transit system. The objective of the study is to model a bus transit system which functions under time-varying passenger demands and service characteristics.

The two phase transit model developed in this research is intended for use as a mass transit planning tool, to solve transit problems confronting the mass transit planner. The model is used to compute cost differentials in transit system options. These alternatives of expanding, abandoning or modifying service depend upon the service frequency, fleet size and other system attributes such as operating speed, delay, passenger demand and relevant cost factors.

The model is formulated in two phases, jointly utilizing linear and dynamic programming techniques. It is directed toward optimizing transit operation during one period and then aggregating each operation over the range of transit service periods. The basic components of system function to be optimized (minimal total cost) include such variables as bus operating and ownership costs, passenger costs in terms of walking, riding, and transfer times as well as bus fares.

The transit model has been programmed for a digital computer. This model requires inputs of existing street configuration and bus routes, bus schedules, speed and delay data for street networks, fare structure, load factor and passenger Origin-Destination information for different periods.

A practical application of the transit model is presented in the format of a case study. This application illustrates the utilization of the methodology for deriving bus transit operating policies and the consideration of planning alternatives. The result of a comparison of these policies and alternatives is a significant reduction in the total system cost.

Special emphasis has been given to the analysis of the structural elements involved in a transit system as well as new transit planning techniques. There follows a summation of the findings and the implications of the results. This summary includes an appraisal of the model as to its limitations as well as recommendations for future research. The appendix, finally, lists a summary of notations, review of previous research, flow charts and listings of computer programs, supplemental data, computer input and output files, and an annotated bibliography containing current literature concerning the operation and planning of public transportation.

APPROVAL OF DISSERTATION

OPTIMAL PLANNING OF FIXED ROUTE BUS

TRANSIT SYSTEMS : A SYSTEMS APPROACH

ΒY

YOUNG LEE

FOR

DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL AND ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Y}$

FACULTY COMMITTEE

APPROVED: _____ CHAIRMAN

ستسبي كمناصر فسيتهم ستبعض والمستعد

NEWARK, NEW JERSEY

iv

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to express his thanks and gratitude to the members of his thesis committee, Professors James Rigassio, Frederick Lehman, Julian Scher and Harold Deutschman, for their comments and suggestions during the course of the research. In particular, the author is greatly indebted to Dr. Deutschman, chairman of the committee for his advice, encouragement, and valuable suggestions in the preparation of this thesis.

Acknowledgement is made to Mr. Albert Aiello, Jr. of Sperry and Hutchinson, New York for his consultation on mathematical formulation. Thanks are also due to Mr. William Tanner, mass transit analyst and Mr. Kevin Tremble, transportation engineer of Porter and Ripa, Morristown, New Jersey for their professional review and patient reading of the preliminary manuscripts.

The financial support of the United States Department of Transportation, Urban Mass Transportation Administration, The Foundation of Newark College of Engineering, and The Graduate School of Newark College of Engineering is gratefully acknowledged.

Finally, the author wishes to thank his wife, Keumja, in deepest gratitude for her continuing support and optimism throughout the graduate study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT		ii
APPROVAL	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEM	IENTS	v
TABLE OF CONT	TENTS	vi
LIST OF FIGURE	S	ix
LIST OF TABLE	s	x
CHAPTER I:	INTRODUCTION Bus Transit as a Public Service Need for Improved Planning Technology The Concept of Systems Analysis in Planning for Bus Transit Study Objectives Approach Toward Bus Transit Modeling Synopsis	1 2 5 8 10 12 15
CHAPTER II:	A FRAMEWORK FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF BUS TRANSIT SYSTEM MODEL Introduction The Concept of Modeling as a Tool for Bus Transit Studies	18 18 18
	 A Conceptual Development of the New Technique for Transit Planning Bus Transit System Parameters and Variables Transit Systems Goals and Evaluation Criteria Bus Transit Operation and Planning Bus Transit Operation Approach Toward Bus System Planning Service Mode and Bus Stop Organization Strategy for the Development of the Transit Model 	20 26 27 31 32 33 35
CHAPTER III:	ANALYSIS OF BUS TRANSIT SYSTEMS Introduction Transit System Components A Bus Transit Corridor Street Network Building the Proposed Route Operator Components Bus Service Frequency Bus Fleet Size Schedule Period Operating Budget	37 37 37 39 43 44 45 47 49

		User Components Anticipated Passenger Demands Generating Travel Paths Vehicle Carrying Capacity of Streets Priorities of Bus Transit Improvements Summary	51 51 53 54 56 59
CHAPTER	IV:	DEVELOPMENT OF THE FIRST PHASE TRANSIT OPERATIONS MODEL	
		Introduction	60
		Data Source	60
		Generation of Passenger Origin-Destination	60
		Information	63
		Formulation of Bus Transit Operation	64
		Structural Equations	66
		Objective Function	67
		Operational Restrictions	69
		Passenger Demand Constraints	69
		Service Level Constrainsts	70
		Generation of Travel Pains	71
		Flast Size	72
		Character Bize	73
		Street Consoity Constraints	74
		Solution Method	75
		Summary	76
		Summary	79
CHAPTER	V:	DEVELOPMENT OF THE SECOND PHASE	
		BUS TRANSIT PLANNING MODEL	01
		Introduction	01 Q1
		Criteria for Evaluating Bus Transit	01
		Planning Alternatives	82
		Dynamic Programming Process	84
		Design of Stages	86
		Design of States	89
		Direct Route Operating Cost	93
		Bus Ownership Cost	94
		Transit Planning as a Dynamic	96
		Programming Problem	97
		Summary	103
CHAPTER	VI:	APPLICATION OF BUS TRANSIT MODEL	106
		Introduction	106
		Application of the Model	106
		Evaluation of the Optimum Transit	
		System-Cost Impacts	108
		Computation of Service Frequency	118
		Feasibility of a New Bus Route	123
		Computation of Fleet Size Impact of Parameter Variations Upon	124
		Transit Policy	196
		Summary	120
			140

CHAPTER	VIII: CONCLUSIONS AND RESEARCH	
	RECOMMENDATIONS	130
	General Conclusions	130
	Transit System Modeling Efforts	131
	Limitations of the Current Transit Model	134
	Fixed Route	134
	The Transit Planning Cycle	134
	Load Factor and Demand Elasticity	135
	Costing	135
	Analytical Tool	136
	Implications of Results to the	
	Study Objective	136
	Future Research	141
	Data Collection	141
	Bus Transit Parameters	142
	Computational Procedures	142
	Summation	143

APPENDIX A:	SUMMARY OF NOTATION	145
APPENDIX B:	REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE	147
APPENDIX C:	FLOW CHARTS AND LISTINGS OF COMPUTER PROGRAMS	162
APPENDIX D:	SUPPLEMENTAL DATA	183
APPENDIX E:	COMPUTER INPUT AND OUTPUT	201
REFERENCES		251
VITA	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	261

viii

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure

1.	Study Area and Corridor Design	40
2.	Street Network in Springfield Corridor	41
3.	Piece-wise Linear Approximation of Service	
	Elasticity	48
4.	Data Flow and Study Design	62
5.	Linear Programming Formulation	78
6.	A Joint Linear and Dynamic Programming Model	100
7.	Bus Transit Planning Model	102
8.	Dynamic Programming Formulation	104
9.	Bus Transit Policy Decision Path Matrix	128
10.	Typical Public Bus Schedule	186
11.	Typical Speed and Delay Survey Form	188
12.	Typical Census Tracts of Springfield Corridor in	
	Newark, New Jersey	190
13.	Daily Variation of Passenger Demand	192
14.	Week-day Bus Schedule Hourly Distribution	194
15.	Saturday Bus Schedule Hourly Distribution	195
16.	Sunday Bus Schedule Hourly Distribution	196
17.	Week-day Schedule Variation	197
18.	Saturday Schedule Variation	198
19.	Sunday Schedule Variation	199
20.	Bus Fare Structure from Center of Newark	200

LIST OF TABLES

Table

1.	Bus Passenger Demands Forecast During	
	Schedule Periods 1 and 2	65
2.	Linear Programming Tableau	77
3.	Cost Comparison 1	111
4.	Optimal Service Frequency versus Base	
	Fleet	113
5.	Cost Comparison 2	115
6.	Cost Comparison 3	117
7.	Cost Comparison 4	122
8.	Passenger Demand and Limit of Load	
	Factor 1	183
9.	Bus Passenger Demands Forecast During	
	Schedule Periods 3 and 4	184
10.	Bus Passenger Demands Forecast During	
	Schedule Periods 5 and 6	185
11.	Demand-Chain Incidence Matrix	187
12.	Link Properties	189
13.	Chains of Links	191
14.	Bus Routes and Service Configurations	193

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

This thesis is a systems analysis of bus transit service in urban areas. The study involves the structuring and modeling of a bus transit system to develop an analytical planning tool which transit planners can utilize to determine where, when and how to improve fixed route bus transit service in congested urban centers. For this purpose, an analytical transit model is developed and tested to measure the performance of a typical fixed route bus transit system.

A fixed route service refers to a bus route which is established on well defined street links and does not change between schedule periods. Buses on a fixed route run according to a printed transit time table as opposed to taxi or dial-a-bus systems which have greater flexibility in the selection of a route and operating time.

The study is conducted from the systems viewpoint to reflect the effects of various bus system components upon both transit users and operators. The approach of the study is first to derive an optimum bus transit operation during one schedule period and then to aggregrate those transit operations throughout various schedule periods for an overall optimum system configuration.

This study is oriented toward the use and need of the public transportation planning agency and the local municipal government. The public agencies charged with the responsibility of planning and operating transit systems need to view bus transit service in the broad perspective of its benefits and costs to the community.

Bus Transit as a Public Service

In recent years there has been a growing desire for improved public transportation services throughout the country. This is especially true in urbanized areas where higher population densities provide sufficient public transportation users to support a transit system. The regional services such as industry, retail business, education, and health care provided in these urban centers are mainly supported by available public transit services for their functioning.

In urban areas, people depend on public transportation for work, recreation, and other social activities because of congestion, parking problems and various other constraints to private automobiles.

The present auto-based transportation system does not meet the needs of people who are left to use the transit system. These "captive" riders, the elderly, the poor, the handicapped and the young, suffer serious disadvantages from being served improperly. The proportion of captive riders is growing higher in urbanized areas and there is a definite need for improving mass transit systems to increase the mobility of such people.

For example, in the study area for this thesis, Newark, New Jersey, 52 percent¹ of the trips to and from the Central Business District are by mass transit.² For local trips within the City, the percentage is even higher, with 57 percent of the trips by transit. A recently completed bus survey found that of the total bus ridership on selected bus lines, two-thirds are captive riders having no other means of transportation.³

The Tri-State Transportation Commission's Home Interview Survey⁴ in 1964 found that an estimated 72,000 passengers use public transportation for a one way trip daily in Newark. The 1969 Newark bus transit study⁵ reveals that 34 bus companies are operating an estimated 2,945 buses in the Newark area. The highest daily volume of 1,979 buses in one direction occurs northbound on Broad Street between Clinton and Commerce Street, which indicates the magnitude of bus usage. The trips by transit are predominantly work oriented, with concentrations in two peak periods (6 A.M. - 9 A.M. and 3 P.M. - 6 P.M.).

²Mass transit means "Transportation serving the general public and moving over prescribed routes" U.S. Public Law 88-365. Mass transit generally refers to urban bus and rail service.

 3 For more information on captive riders, see Deutschman (78).

⁴For summarized daily transit trip from Central Business Districts in Newark metropolitan area, see (68) Table 4.

[°]For more information, see (105).

¹See (68) P. 2.

A large segment of the population is dependent upon mass transit as evidenced by the magnitude of transit service provided in the study area. Consequently, the access to urban opportunity and the economic vitality of urban centers such as Newark are almost entirely dependent on the availability of public transportation. The major portion of the public transportation in states such as New Jersey is provided by bus systems, which carry more than nine times as many people as are carried on the rail system.⁶

Buses, as a mode of mass transit, have advantages over rail transit. One advantage is the flexibility of bus transit system in coping with problems which are presently affecting many core cities in urban areas - such as the shift of population and industry which generate shifting patterns of travel demands. Another advantage of the bus system is its effectiveness in serving a lower level of demand with less capital investment than rail transit. Rail transit is feasible only in relatively few areas of extremely high population density.

Consequently, mass transportation solutions in most urban areas look to bus transit systems. However, present bus service is characterized by the long walk to the bus stop, frequent delays

6See (68) P. 1.

to load and discharge, low operating speed, inflexible routes, infrequent service, multiple transfers, no shelter for inclement weather, lack of service information and high fares. Total onbus time of 35 minutes to travel less than three miles of urban arterial in Newark highlights the inefficiency of the system.⁷

Need for Improved Planning Technology

Bus transit may be successful when it uses its inherent flexibilities to best serve movements in congested urban areas. However, transit operators are reluctant to provide new service or to change system components largely because of the lack of planning tool which can efficiently test alternative bus transit service configurations before they are actually implemented on the street network.

The complexity of bus networks, systems parameters and the multiple demand patterns with high peaking characteristics within an urban area make it difficult to assess the measure of major transit system outputs such as revenue, passenger benefits, transit operating costs and the return of system improvements.

The development of a transit model for determining cost-utility of transit operations and the optimal planning of bus service is

 $^{^{7}}$ For more information on travel speed, delay time and service time, see (104).

highly desirable due to the magnitude of analysis involved and the far reaching effects of the system modifications. A planning model can help to redesign existing routes and to provide more direct and convenient trips.

A need for improvement of route systems is generally recognized by transit management. Nonetheless, the steadily decreasing patronage of bus transit and increasing labor and equipment costs make it difficult to justify expenditures for analyzing route system and scheduling practice on a continuous basis. The existing manual process of constructing new routes and a schedule policy based on a schedule maker's subjective judgement is very time consuming and expensive but still does not provide information on the optimal solution.

Therefore, to overcome the limitation of the manual method and to take account of the effect of the relocation of the transit user market and the shift of travel patterns, the necessity of developing a planning model for bus system analysis is realized.

Furthermore, some public aid will be necessary to augment the transit revenue obtained from passenger fares. For this purpose, the Federal Mass Transit Act was enacted to finance the capital improvements of mass transit systems.⁸

In this regard, the questions to be considered are to determine what form and what amount of public assistance is needed to satisfy the transit requirements for the optimal operation or, in the worst situation, just for the survival of the existing bus transit system. To answer these questions, a validated transit model as posed in this research is necessary.

The proposed functions of the transit model are not only to evaluate the need in the order of improvement priority, but also to determine the necessary amount of service to be retained. Another function of the model is to take proper accounts of all costs that incurred to both transit users and operators.

Improvements of transit service for each service period and route should be ordered based on the urgency of need. For example, one bus route in the system may have a higher priorty than another route because of a greater concentration of passengers. Likewise, one period, the weekday morning rush hour, may need more bus vehicles than the Sunday period.

The determination of amount of service requires special consideration

⁸In fact, the passage of the Urban Mass Transportation Assistance Act of 1970 provides financial aid to local communities to meet urban mass transportation requirements. This program, begun in fiscal 1971, provides for 3.1 billion dollars for the following five years.

since an adequate level of service should be provided at all times. However, due to the variations of passenger demands during different periods, transit service frequency and the associated fleet size should be determined flexibly in response to these variations.

The derivation of total cost-utility, a measure of transit system performance, can be an important basis for the determination of public subsidy since the amount of subsidy may well be justified due to the cost savings derived by the transit model.

Consequently, it is reemphasized that, in planning an optimal transit system, there is a definite need for a validated tool which will provide reliable alternatives to current bus transit service configurations.

The Concept of Systems Analysis in Planning for Bus Transit

In planning for bus transit, the planner must choose among a set of alternative systems of bus routes, headways, fleet sizes and bus stops. The optimal transit system is determined based on the total cost comprising of bus operating and ownership costs, passenger cost and bus fares. This problem of finding the optimum transit system is particularly critical since a sub-optimum system causes extra cost to the operator as well as the passenger.

In order to produce an optimum bus system, a multitude of

interacting variables must be considered. It is also important that a bus route inside a system be viewed as a part of the system rather than as an isolated one. In the past, only the costs and benefits directly associated with the route being analyzed have been considered. However, an improvement of one bus route or addition of a new route in the system can result in benefits in other parts of the system. This is referred to as "system effect", which will be analyzed by the model developed in this study.

The system effects, therefore, must be measured by the performance of bus transit service in view of the overall system objective, which reflects the essential elements of the system.

The quantifiable system measures generally consist of accessibility of service, waiting and traveling time, passenger service time, delays due to traffic congestion and signals, bus operating costs and the ownership cost of the bus fleet.

Different system measures for alternative bus systems usually arise from variations of such bus transit system elements as route structure, service frequency, fleet size and service mode. In complex bus systems in large cities, the variations of the above elements are almost infinite and there is a need for planning tools which can determine the optimum bus system configurations among alternatives through systematic investigations. This consideration necessitates the application of the concept of systems analysis to

the study of bus system operations and planning.

The central aim of systems analysis is the development of mathematical models that permit a formalizing of the problem under investigation in precise mathematical terms. For the study of bus transit operation and planning, an emphasis is made, in this respect, on the application of the systems techniques of linear programming and dynamic programming.

Besides these techniques, a variety of other techniques have been developed for a wide range of systems application. Among these, such techniques as game theory, queueing theory, inventory theory and simulation also have been successfully applied to various aspects of the systems problem.

The revolution of computer technology, in addition to the rapid advancements of system analysis tools, has given great impetus to applications of systems analysis in a variety of contexts in the field of transit planning.

Study Objectives

The purpose of this study is to develop a bus transit model capable of establishing an explicit relationship among the major factors of the bus transit system - transit users, transit operators and transit system, to compute the bus transit figure of costutility, the measure of system performance. The use of formal planning tools in the analysis of a bus transit system has been directed primarily toward the costs and benefits associated with particular transit routes isolated from the total system. The reasons for this isolated approach are partly because the number of transit factors must be limited to make an operational model, and partly because direct impacts from particular bus routes tend to draw more attention than the complex transit system effects. The result is that the analysis is not truly system oriented, but piecemeal and localized.

Here, the emphasis is to incorporate the essential elements of bus transit system into the transit model. The analysis and the derivation of an optimal transit system, then, are made using versatile systems analysis tools and efficient computer programs. The use of modern computer technology with well organized systems tools enables the investigation of bus transit system effects as well as economical consideration of many relevant transit factors.

The study addresses itself directly to the question of whether or not a newly proposed bus route can be extended to the existing bus transit system to bring about reductions in the total cost measure, and if it can, what will be the optimum level of service to be introduced to the system. The answer to this question is important for the public transportation planner to determine a program of transit improvements to be included in the coordinated transportation plan.

Furthermore, an analysis of the existing system using an analytical planning tool will be helpful in determining the extension or curtailment of service, and the effective coordination of bus transit with other forms of transport in urban areas.

The study is designed mainly for the need for systemwide transit planning technology. The study does not include the development of specific vehicle schedules or manpower assignments.

Within the framework of bus transit planning, the study has two specific objectives: One is to develop a two phase model to evaluate bus transit operations and to plan systems improvements. The other is to apply the model to explore the feasibility of cost savings for the proposed bus system using automatic computational routines especially developed for this purpose.

Approach Toward Bus Transit Modeling

This study attempts to improve bus transit service by optimizing the systemwide configurations of bus route, service frequency and bus fleet size which are operational in nature.

The approach of the study towards this goal is characterized by the use of mathematical programming techniques. The programming techniques utilized for the purpose of formulating the model are first a linear programming algorithm and second, the dynamic programming process. The former investigates the transit system operation during a specific schedule period which has fixed system characteristics as to the route network, service frequency, fleet size and passenger demand profile. The latter determines the optimum size of transit improvements to have an overall system effectiveness throughout all schedule periods. The term schedule period refers to a partition of time to represent homogenous travel characteristics of a day and a week.

The bus transit model is, therefore, a joint model consisting of the first-phase, linear programming model and the secondphase dynamic programming model. These two phases of the model are interrelated with each other. For example, the output of the linear programming model for the optimum transit operation becomes an input to the dynamic programming model to make a decision on the planning of the optimum system improvements during all schedule periods.

In determining where, when and how to alter the transit system variables, the approach taken is defined as follows:

Given:

- Passenger demands for bus transit service between major traffic generators.
- 2. Street network and existing transit routes.
- Service frequency of all existing routes representing passenger carrying capacity of each link of the route network.

- 4. Transit demand elasticity over service. This is expressed as a linear approximation of the relationship between the load factor and the number of operating buses.
- 5. Transit fleet size of all existing routes.
- Operating budget of transit service for the chosen study network.
- 7. Passenger Origin-Destination and distribution over time.
- 8. Properties of schedule period such as duration and demand density.
- 9. Physical traffic characteristics of the study network such as street capacity and bus stop locations.
- Cost parameters for transit operating expense and passenger time.
- 11. Bus fleet ownership cost.
- 12. Transit planning policy on how different cost components should be weighted.

Determine:

- 1. System benefits of adding or deleting a bus route.
- 2. Service frequency to operate on the new proposed route.
- 3. Bus fleet size to provide optimum service during different service periods.
- 4. Bus and passenger flows during different periods to provide optimum transit operation.

- 5. Cost-utility of transit operation such as operating cost, passenger revenue and passenger cost.
- 6. Incremental costs due to the change of network characteristics such as operating speed.
- 7. Incremental costs caused by the change of transit service such as headway and fleet size.
- 8. Effects of bus ownership costs on transit system configuration.
- Impact of transit parameter variations on transit cost and service preformance.

Solution:

The two-phase transit model developed in this research is used to compute incremental costs of extending new routes, abandoning routes or modifying the service frequency, fleet size and other attributes of transit systemwide configuration, i.e. link operating speed, delay, demand and cost factors. The model formulated jointly in the linear and dynamic programming problem is intended to solve both the fixed transit operation during one period and the dynamic planning over the entire transit service cycle.

Synopsis

Chapter II offers a discussion on the conceptual framework for the development of the bus transit planning model. General concepts, strategy and new transit planning techniques are discussed as utilized in the study. This section of the thesis also outlines and describes the transit system objectives, components and major system elements of the two-phase joint transit operation and planning model.

Chapter III extends the discussions on the structural elements of transit services to formulate the analytical relationships between transit performance and system variables. This chapter discusses the analysis and selection of major components of transit service environments as related to the model.

Chapter IV develops the first-phase transit operations model and identifies, relates and specifies the interrelationships of system elements. It develops the formulation of the transit operations problem into a linear programming problem specifying an objective function and various system constraints in mathematical terms.

Chapter V develops the second-phase transit planning model and extends the single period transit operation to multiple schedule periods for transit planning. It develops the dynamic programming process of the transit planning problem. It also presents criteria for the evaluation and design of the structural elements of the model.

Chapter VI presents an application of the transit model in a case study format to illustrate the capabilities of the transit model through its application and an evaluation of results.

Chapter VII offers a summary of the findings and an appraisal of the model with regard to its limitations and the implications of the results to the study objectives. It also presents suggestions for future research needs.

In the Appendix, a selected review of the literature of transit operations and planning analysis is presented. In another section of the Appendix, flow charts, listings of computer programs and graphical supplements to the text are also included. In addition, the computer inputs and outputs for the case study conducted using the transit model are also attached in the last section.

CHAPTER II

A FRAMEWORK FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE BUS TRANSIT SYSTEM MODEL

Introduction

In this Chapter, a general analytical framework for bus transit simulation is described. From this framework, a study of transit service impacts on the urban community is developed. The Chapter is also devoted to the development of the theoretical background that permits a formalizing of the transit operation and planning problems into analytical relations.

The Concept of Modeling as a Tool for Bus Transit Studies

The use of models in transit planning analysis is as much a philosophy for approaching a complex urban problem as it is a technique. A model is a symbolic representation of a real world system. The function of a transit planning model is to establish a logical framework within which the relationship between the variables and parameters of a transit planning problem can be specified for the analysis of the overall system. The urban transit study is concerned with determining the implications of future policy decisions upon urban transit systems. Later, the model is applied as a guide for policy in the operations and planning for a bus transit system in a specified study area.

Essentially, the variations in transit policy constitute different

transit service conditions, i.e. different headway, fleet size and route configurations. The model generates a measure of system performance, cost-utility, by testing and analyzing the extent of both service and user requirements for the transit system. The measure of system performance thus generated can comprise a basis for transit policies on system operation and planning functions.

The modeling concept posed in this study dwells on four premises.¹ First, a model should be a product of a logically consistent organizing concept. Its design should be based on some theoretical framework to represent the process of transit systems as it occurs in the real world and to focus on the transit operation as it actually takes place within the urban transportation network.

The second premise is that it should have a function which relates both short term and long term transit operations in a continuing process. The function should suggest long range transit policy with built-in features for adjustment and modification. Accordingly, the model should be designed to take account of major transit system variables as well as parameters that transit planners consider in selecting transit operation and planning policies.

The third premise is that the model should have dynamic characteristics so that the evolutionary nature of transit service improvements can be analyzed. For example, the service improve-

¹For specific criteria for model design, see (31) P. 102.

ment at one point in space and time may influence another point at some other part of the system. More specifically, modification of fleet size along one route during the morning rush hour may affect another route during the off-peak period. Ideally, a transit model should be able to analyze the need for transit service from an individual point of view, rather than from the "mass" point of view. For example, if a bus route is designed purely based on area coverage or demand density, it may overlook individual trip characteristics as to user access, path and physical properties of street uses. Also, due to the magnitude of the investigation and the computations involved in transit planning, the decomposition of a large problem into smaller planning entities should be introduced. The decomposition sometimes requires an investigation of dynamic relationships between smaller planning entities to yield a realistic analysis of the whole system.

Lastly, the model should have the adaptability to high speed computer technology because transit operations and planning in an urban community are very complex and cannot be analyzed in simple abstract forms. The modern computer system with its capability of efficient data handling and storage can be utilized for the investigation of urban transit operations and planning at tremendous savings of time and cost.

A Conceptual Development of the New Technique for Transit Planning

The conceptual framework for determining an optimal transit

system operation is based on transit operational characteristics which are identified from the observation and analysis of actual transit systems. As a first characteristic of a transit system in an urban community, the fixed nature of the transit route configurations is identified. A bus route is designed and implemented to serve a specific passenger demand in such a way that reasonably direct connections between major urban activity centers can be provided.

However, once a bus route is established, then it remains fixed to serve anticipated passenger demand until a major change of demand absolutely necessitates the modification of the route structure. Often the routes remain fixed regardless of the shift of demand and other variations of bus transit service conditions.

This seemingly detrimental aspect of transit service has its own virtue too, in the sense that it provides consistent service which will help the potential transit users to avoid confusion arising by ever-changing bus routes without proper advance notifications. On the other hand, it is also true that this fixed route character of transit systems reduces the operational efficiency and sensitive response to the changing pattern of passenger demand.

Meanwhile, bus transit has the flexibility and adaptability to meet the changing service requirements in contrast to fixed route structure of rail transit. However, bus transit routes should be investigated during planning stages well before the implementation of actual service in order to utilize the inherent flexibility unless a complete demand responsive system is established with an instant real-time communication system between the user and the transit operator.

In order to make the best use of flexibility, it is imperative to have an optimal selection of route location during planning stages, using such a model as proposed in this thesis to meet all stochastic demands during all planning periods over the entire range of service areas.

In connection with bus routes, it is also observed that scheduling of bus service on transit routes on a continuous time scale has a distinct character of cycling. A cycle is a repetitive function of phenomenon or process. As an obvious example of a cycle, traffic signal cycle is illustrated here.² It has a constant cycle length and uniform splits such as green, amber and red to assign right-of-way to the different approaches of an intersection alternately. Once a cycle is selected, then any length of time can be serviced by continuing cycle and splits.

Likewise, any planning period of transit service can be defined by using the concept of a cycle in terms of bus use and service provision. Observation of a bus timetable easily reveals

 $^{^{2}}$ For further discussion and design of traffic signal cycle, see (5).

a period of a week for the transit service cycle.³ This cycle
includes all distinguishable service and demand characteristics
in the weekly cycle such as (1) weekday evening peak period,
(2) morning peak period, (3) weekday off-peak period,
(4) Saturday peak and (5) off-peak period, and (6) Sunday period.

Consequently, this study identifies the transit cycle and suggests its use as an entity of transit planning.

Another interesting system characteristic which extends from the concept of transit schedule-cycles is the partitioning of the weekly cycle into schedule periods. This partitioning enables the use of a multi-stage decision process⁴ to determine transit pol-

icies for each individual schedule period for the system. Specifically, the process develops service frequency and fleet size required for the optimal system.

A decision at one schedule period influences a decision at another period, as the optimal solution for one period may not be the best for another period. Subsequently, a systematic approach should be applied to determine the policy at each period in order to produce overall system effectiveness.

³The scheduled bus distributions over time was examined based on November, 1971 bus block diagrams of line No. 25-26 in Newark, New Jersey.

⁴See Nemhauser (62).

Furthermore, one characteristic of the transit system which is used as a building block of the model is also derived from the realization that transit system variables have different degrees of freedom for modification and alteration.⁵ Since transit service environments keep changing due to the shift of population and change of land use patterns, the transit system should be able to incorporate these changing processes to meet the varying service requirements more efficiently. This can be best accomplished by modifying system variables according to their degree of freedom.

Accordingly, before any system modifications are implemented, the proper order of major system variables should be identified with regard to their degrees of freedom and ease of modification. As observed in actual transit operation, the degrees of freedom are realized in the descending order of service frequency, fleet size and lastly, transit route configuration.

The reasoning behind these orders of freedom is easily seen by inspecting the operation of bus transit. For example, bus service frequency, the headway provided by a bus fleet, can be easily adjusted within the range of potential service frequencies. This is so because the service frequency of a given bus fleet may have an unused portion which can be utilized to expand and modify the service frequency. This is especially true when a

⁵For guides in developing transit improvements, see (105) "Recommended Standards, Warrants, and Objectives for Transit Services and Facilities."
given bus fleet produces a maximum capacity during peak rush hours, say weekday morning and evening peak hours, while it uses only a portion of that maximum capacity during off-peak period, say Sunday. If additional demands require more service on Sunday, then the unused part of service should be first utilized before the fleet size is increased.

The same reasoning can be applied to the transit route. Once a transit route is installed on a street network, an adequate bus headway is provided by a bus fleet to realize the demands along the route. However, the passenger demand pattern can be shifted and a change of system may be required. In this case, a change of system in response to the change of demand profile should be first realized through the modification of service and associated fleet size.

With the understanding of major system variables of headway, fleet size and route configurations, determination of an optimal transit system operation is carried out by computing cost-utilities incurred in providing the existing and proposed bus transit service.

The actual value of the cost-utility of a transit service is calculated based on cost and performance actually experienced by the transit operator as well as the transit users. Also, transit network characteristics are considered in the derivation of costutility figures of merit since they contribute to the system measure directly in terms of service quality. If an independent value of cost-utility is established for each combination of demand pattern, service level, and physical network configuration, it can be a useful measure for transit planners to compare different demandservice-system alternatives to choose an optimum solution.

In fact, the number of above transit system combinations is tremendously large. Therefore, it is recognized that a bus transit model that would systematically determine the feasibility of a new route and the cost-utility of different system configurations would ultimately prove beneficial to bus transit planners, who need analytical tools to evaluate transit systems.

Bus Transit System Parameters and Variables

After the conceptual framework defines the basic structure for the transit model, the analytical design of the model is undertaken by first investigating the variables and system parameters which affect the quantified study objectives.

The parameters considered in the structural analysis are those that are descriptive of the performance and operational characteristics of the transit system. As major parameters for the first phase, transit operations model, the transit patronage, route network configurations, operating cost, travel time, load factors, passenger time value and the passenger revenue are selected.⁶ For the second phase of the transit planning model, potential ranges and increments for service frequency and fleet size, and the unit bus runs of each schedule period are chosen as parameters. In addition to this, annual bus ownership cost and the transit usage weighting factors are considered.⁷

As decision variables which influence the outcome of the transit system, the amount of transit service provided and the transit demand realized are identified. The computational routine is such that the above variables are computed in an optimal manner. In more detail, the transit service provided is further specified in terms of service frequency and the transit fleet size. The demand realized refers to specific information on passenger flows and their service characteristics such as travel path, load factor and passenger time costs.

The detailed definition and relationships of the above system parameters and variables are further discussed in Chapter IV and V including the development of a set of system equations.

Transit System Goals and Evaluation Criteria

The transit system objective as proposed in this study is to optimize the objective function which is an explicit mathematical statement of transit service output. This quantitative measure of

 $^{^{7}}$ For regression relationship between operating expense and service, see (71).

system operation and performance is highly useful in the determination of optimum transit operating and planning policies.

In practice, the goal of the bus transit system can vary widely, ranging from the minimization of operating cost to the maximization of profit or other combined social goals such as ridership with a certain percent of profit. An array of objective functions most frequently investigated by the transit operator consists of either maximization or minimization of certain properties of the transit system output subject to a set of transit service constraints. For maximization, such properties as transit profit, revenue and ridership are usually considered, while for minimization, operating cost, fleet size or manpower requirements are investigated.⁸ These objective functions can be used singularly or in combination. For combined objectives, two or more single objectives are related and investigated concurrently to represent the system performance in a more realistic way.

This study deals with a wide variety of system objectives which are importantly related to the major transit system components, that is, the transit user, operator and the system.

For this study, four major elements are selected to formulate the objective function of the transit model. They are passenger

 $^{^{8}}$ For further discussion of manpower assignments for bus transit, see Elias (81).

cost, bus operating cost, the passenger revenue and the vehicle ownership cost.

The first, passenger cost, are those costs which are seldom considered quantitatively by transit planners. These costs occur to passengers during the use of the transit system in terms of time spent for service. For example, time spent for walking, transferring and riding are considered as important passenger The second, transit operating cost, refers to the cost costs. incurred to the transit operator in terms of system products such as bus-miles and bus-hours. For example, the bus operation for an hour or a mile requires expenses like wage, fuel and tires.⁹ The third, passenger revenue, is the potential income derived from the collection of fares.¹⁰ The fourth, ownership cost of revenue vehicles, is the cost incurred by bus vehicles which are introduced into the transit system. This cost is dependent upon the size of bus fleet retained for a specific level of service which causes costs to the transit operator in terms of purchase, and other financial fees.

Based on this ownership cost and the number of buses that should be introduced in the system during a particular period for an

 $^{^{9}}$ For correlation matrix of bus cost parameters, see (71).

 $^{^{10}}$ For fares of Public Service lines in Newark, New Jersey, see (106).

overall optimal transit operation, the transit planner may consider an alternative to the outright purchase of bus vehicles. By leasing vehicles for peak period use rather than owning them, the total bus ownership cost can be reduced because of more effective equipment utilization. A further discussion of ownership cost can be found in Chapter V.

The above mentioned cost elements are combined as a criterion for evaluating alternative transit system configurations. The first three elements – passenger cost, transit operating cost and passenger revenue are incorporated into the first phase transit operation model. The difference between the passenger revenue and transit operating cost is the transit operating profit that does not account for vehicular ownership costs, which are considered in the second phase transit planning model. Therefore, the overall optimal solution provided by the model would be based on the quantified total cost of the objective function that takes account of all major items of transit performance.

In summary, the bus transit evaluation criteria proposed in this study are unique in the sense that they integrate all major transit system components, i.e. the transit user, operator and the system. Traditionary, only those costs related to the transit operator and network have been considered, overlooking inconvenience and delay incurred to the user. Therefore, the new concept of the evaluation criteria may be useful for a transit planning agency at the state level where policy-making is done on the basis of overall system effectiveness.

30

Bus Transit Operation and Planning

As discussed in previous sections, the study consists of two distinct phases of work to develop an analytical tool of wide application within the framework of fixed route bus transit. It is appropriate at this point to consider the objective of each phase and their relationship with the overall mechanics of the model.

Transit service within urban areas is characterized by the fixed nature of their service and route network during schedule periods. However, the stochastic character of urban travel requirements makes it necessary to have a certain variation of service to meet the prevalent demand pattern in a more efficient way.

Accordingly, the first phase of analysis concerns bus transit operation during one period, for example, weekday morning peak period. This period has a known patronage which is served by a fixed number of buses with a constant headway. The objective of this phase is to have bus transit operation in such a way that the total system performance measure would be optimized.

The second phase of the study combines each of the first phase transit operations for a given period with all others so that transit service can be modeled on a continual basis. In fact, there can be many different ways to combine transit operation for entire planning periods. Consequently, by aggregating bus operation of each period for the entire weekly cycle in an optimal way, the second phase can provide a dynamic response to the varying nature of transit demand and trip characteristics.

Therefore, the effort toward the development of the two phase model centers on two system characters, that is, fixed character of bus transit operation during a single schedule period and the dynamic nature of the transit planning for the provision of continuous service.

Multi-Stage Decision Approach Toward Bus System Planning

In planning continuous transit service, the cyclic pattern of demands is recognized for a design of a basic time unit of planning. For example, an observation of existing transit schedule and passenger demands indicates that a period of one week, which includes regular weekday and weekend, usually includes all different characteristics of transit service environment. In addition to this, monthly and seasonal variation can be added as a useful incentive for system modification. However, the usually negligible change in month and season simplifies the selection of the transit planning cycle to be a weekly period.

Subsequently, this study deals with a weekly period as a unit for analysis and planning of the transit system. Therefore, once the transit operating and planning policies are determined, the service can be provided continuously with a cycle of a week.

A cycle of a week is further partitioned into weekday evening peak period, morning peak period and off-peak period, etc., to represent the homogenous trip characteristics within the cycle. The subdivided interval is referred to as a schedule period. Once a basic planning cycle is partitioned, then the nature of the multistage decision process can be utilized to determine service frequency and fleet size for each schedule period.

A multi-stage decision process is a technique to make a sequence of interrelated decisions to have overall effectiveness of decisions. This process is characterized by the fact that the overall decision problem can be divided up into stages and each stage requires a policy decision to yield maximum system return.

This nature of multi-stage decision process is captured in combining the transit operation of each period as well as optimum decisions in the same period. The decision refers to the service frequency and fleet size for the overall optimum system configuration.

Service Mode and Bus Stop Organization

Once the bus route under investigation is located and the optimum service frequency is determined, details of operational problems should be considered.

One important problem, in this regard, is to locate bus stops along the radial bus route which carries downtown oriented commuter type passengers with high directional variation. An explicit mathematical statement concerning bus stop location is very useful, if it can be developed, in measuring quantitatively the efficiency of transit performance which is related to operational delays at bus stops.

The radial bus route carrying commuters to and from the downtown area requires a fast inbound and outbound service during morning and evening rush hours to satisfy demands with high peaking characteristics. The frequent stops at series of bus stops along the route incur unnecessary delays to the through passengers.

The demands for bus service are distributed over bus stops and different periods of the day. During peak periods, it may be feasible to employ two modes of service which are express and local in order to reduce unnecessary intermediate stops of the downtown oriented through passengers. The provision of two-mode service makes it necessary to group a series of stops into the express and local stops.

More specifically, during peak periods, a selection of bus stops can be designated as express stops and the passengers at these stops may be served by both express and local, and the rest of the stops by only local buses. If a local bus is dispatched, the bus makes a stop at all stops and if the express is picked, the bus stops only at express stops traveling non-stop at local stops. The bus stops organized in this way would meet passenger demands in a way to favor major downtown oriented passengers. As a result, the total passenger delay on the bus route will be reduced. Transit data usually available for the purpose of organizing bus stops include bus stops and their locations, downtown oriented demands, number of passengers boarding and alighting at each stop, average operating speed and vehicle performance characteristics such as acceleration and deceleration. Once a bus route is located using the transit model, then bus stops can be grouped into local and express by comparing total delays incurred by different configurations of bus stops.

Strategy for the Development of the Transit Model

In implementing the concepts discussed in previous sections, the sequence of analytical steps required for the development of an operational model comprises the design of a two phase joint mathematical programming model. One phase is for transit operation and the other phase for transit planning.

This type of investigation may have two distinct approaches.¹¹ The first approach is a macro-analysis which is characterized by progressive disaggregation of complex relationships into mathematical expressions to estimate the system performance. The second approach may be described as a micro-analysis. This approach first defines the relationship of the subsystem and then combines them in a progressive aggregation to yield system evaluation measures.

¹¹See Lowry (32) P. 160.

Both approaches have advantages and pitfalls. For example, the macro-model approach has the advantage of concentrating on those relationships contributing directly to the objective, thus simplifying the overall formulation and data requirements. One shortcoming is that it does not guarantee the causal relationship between functions. By contrast, the micro-model has the advantage of having well defined and accurate relationships, yet the micro-model requires the investigation of variables which may not affect objectives, and thereby demands much more data.

The study is, in essence, a macro-analytic approach to the development of a two phase model for transit system operation and planning. This approach resulted after reviewing the features of both the macroscopic and microscopic approaches, the requirement of a predictive capability for the model, the data requirements and the use of available analytical tools.

36

CHAPTER III

ANALYSIS OF BUS TRANSIT SYSTEMS

Introduction

In this chapter the basic structural elements of bus transit systems are investigated in order to develop an analytical framework for model building. This process of forming a logical basis consists of an identification of the transit system components by first defining (1) the transit system, (2) the operator, and (3) the user.

Transit System Components

For an analysis of a bus transit system, the transit service area should be defined geographically in sufficient detail. In defining the service area, first the segment of an urban region is selected. Second, the street network within the segment is further identified to show existing bus transit routes and to build proposed routes.

<u>A Bus Transit Corridor.</u> As an example of a transit corridor, the segment of an urban region is termed a corridor when it includes radial roadways connecting a downtown area with major activity centers. The connection is made through major streets which efficiently move auto and passenger traffic. This corridor usually includes a radial roadway, a major street which is characterized by relatively wide pavement, uniform traffic control devices, and roadside facilities such as curbs or guard rails to separate auto traffic from pedestrian traffic. In addition, major streets are distinguished most conspicuously by favorable signal progression for predominant traffic flows.

The corridor analysis is specifically designed to identify and analyze a study area whose bus transit operation is independent of any other corridor and whose trip characteristics are relatively homogenous. The hypothesis on independence and the homogenity of trip character is realistic and practical due to geographic separation of transit route area and the limitation of walking distance.¹

In other words, a corridor defined by a geographic barrier or maximum walking distance can be an entity or unit for the analysis and design of bus transit system operation. This concept indicates that the change of a system configuration such as either route or service frequency or both within a single corridor does not affect the bus operation in any other corridor.

The division of a large area with non-uniform traffic characteristics into corridors helps to reduce the size of the problem under investigation. Thus, the use of the corridor concept makes the analysis of a transit system feasible and managable.

Consequently, a large city is divided into a set of corridors. Each corridor is to include at least one major radial arterial

 $^{^{1}}$ For a discussion of limitation of walking distance, see Peterson (36).

street connecting the Central Business District with outlying areas. The corridor boundaries should be chosen with consideration for the present bus route configurations and topography. The boundaries should be placed so as to contain at least one radial route and to cross a minimum number of radial bus lines. The study area and a typical design of corridors are shown in Figure 1.

In a transit study, the importance of the radial route remains critical because passengers are concentrated on this line and competitiveness of bus transit in a large city is most favorable to radial movements due to high density of population, easy access to the bus service and relatively high bus operating speed along the radial route.

<u>Street Network</u>. A street network within a corridor consists of many features in order to move people and goods efficiently.

The street network of the study area is shown in Figure 2. This network includes the existing bus routes and those street links which can be used for a bus route in the future. Generally, the number of existing streets qualified to be a potential bus route is limited due to street approach width, turning radii, parking conditions and existing traffic volume. Since streets whose geometrics are not adequate for a bus route can be taken out of the study route network, the skeleton network under investigation consists of only adequate streets for a bus route.² Subsequently,

 $^{^{2}}$ For geometrics of bus runways, see (86) and (65).



CITY OF NEWARK, N.J. SIGNAL LOCATIONS AND ONE WAY STREET SYSTEM

FIGURE 1. STUDY AREA AND CORRIDOR DESIGN

۰.

ID

ATION

TREET



40



FIGURE 2 STREET NETWORK IN SPRINGFIELD CORRIDOR

41

data is collected only for this skeleton network whose size may be much smaller than the original one.

For the purpose of representing transit service in mathematical terms, the network is first defined by means of links, nodes and chains. A street link is a segment of street connecting two nodes with possibly street intersections on both ends. It is characterized by uniform link properties pertinent to link travel time, link operating cost and passenger carrying capacity.

A node is an intersection of links and can serve as a point of passenger demand. Usually a passenger demand is the trip need between two nodes in the network during a schedule period. In fact, an origin or a destination can be any point other than a node if the connection between the point and the node in the network is defined. For a city with a high density of street network, a node can be satisfactorily used to represent any demand since any point in the area is close to a node of some sort.

A chain is defined as a sequence of links to go from an origin to a destination. A chain, therefore, consists of a set of links connecting any two nodes consecutively. Since most nodes in the network are connected by more than one link, there can be more than one chain to connect two nodes. In fact, if all possible chains are considered, then there can be too many chains for investigation. However, chains which are reasonably direct can be easily determined by observation. When a passenger travels by bus, he is interested in his total travel time. This travel time consists of not only riding time along bus routes but also times required for walking, waiting and transferring. In fact, the last three time elements have very important bearing on the success of transit service. Consequently, a set of imaginary links representing walking and transfering are utilized in this study to trace the actual path of travel and to compare travel times by alternate routes.

Once a network is defined, the passenger demands between any two Origin and Destination nodes can be realized by the flow of passengers on chains connecting corresponding nodes.

Building the Proposed Bus Route. A representation of both existing and proposed bus route networks is essential for the bus study since transit networks directly affect transit users and operators. In fact, the actual configuration of the route network is the most influential system component that characterizes transit service environments.

The detailed route description of the existing bus operation can be made based on bus route maps, schedules and run guides. However, the design of a proposed bus route which is evaluated as an alternate modification of the existing transit system, should be appraised based on not only quantitative transit system criteria such as total cost-utility of service, but also qualitative criteria³ such as simplicity of bus route and avoidence of a long loop. This is partly because bus routing should have desirable characteristics recognized as a qualitative routing standards and partly because an evaluation of the proposed route based on routing standards would help to reduce possible system alternatives for investigation.

In designing a bus transit route, emphasis should be first given to qualitative criteria for upgrading transit service quality in terms of:

- 1. Passenger satisfaction and convenience.
- 2. Minimization of required transfer between various bus lines.
- The improvement of operating speeds and reduction of delays.
- 4. Provision of reasonably direct, non-duplicated and simple routes.

Operator Components

For the development of transit improvements on prescribed bus routes, the operator must consider such relevant components as service frequency, fleet size and operating budget. These components are interrelated among one another and require certain

³For standards for routing, see (105).

service conditions.

<u>Bus Service Frequency</u>. Service frequency is a measure of amount of service given on the transit route network. It is also expressed as headway which is the time interval between bus vehicles. The amount of service provided must be given careful attention since it is closely related to the financial outcome of transit service. For example, the product of transit service is potential bus riders which exist only during the time of service, so the unused part of the service becomes a waste of equipment and manpower.

Consequently, the frequency of service provided should be evaluated and controlled on a continual basis. The purpose of the evaluation is to minimize waste and operating costs involving the high wage rate,⁴ material cost and maintenance fees required for the provision of transit service during each schedule period.

The existing service frequency on each link of bus network is derived from the bus route map and block diagrams. When bus lines running on each link and their frequencies are known, then the total service frequency on a specific link is computed by summing up all related service frequencies.

In addition to existing service frequency, new services provided

⁴For discussion of historical yearly increase of hourly wage rate, see (68) Charts 1 and 2.

on the proposed bus route should be considered for the analysis of the feasibility of the new route. The new service is added along the proposed route by means of a constant increment so that the amount of service can be adjusted for different headway configurations. The actual numerical value of an increment can be varied depending upon the required accuracy.

Once service frequency is quantified for links in the network, the passenger carrying capacity of same links can be computed based on the frequency, average bus occupancy rate and bus capacity. The occupancy rates are affected by the time of service, i.e., peak or non-peak periods. The occupancy rate is empirically derived for the transit model from the existing bus data and it is termed a load factor. Numerically, a load factor is the number of bus riders per bus during a specific schedule periods.

The number of bus passengers for a specific Origin - Destination pair during a particular period is seemingly fixed. However, it is observed in reality that the demand itself has an elasticity over service. In other words, demand responds to the amount of service provided.

The reasoning behind this is that as more service is provided, the better the level of transit service becomes, which in turn will induce more people to switch to the bus transit system from other modes. Yet, the rate of increase may become smaller when service surpasses a certain limit. This is because the total trip demand generated in a given area is relatively constant and it restricts the diversion of trips from other modes, i.e. passenger cars to bus transit. Typical load factors are approximated linearly as a function of either bus passengers or bus frequency is shown in Figure 3. The derivation of the limits of passenger flows with higher load factor requires a large amount of data collection and analysis of demand elasticity.⁵ The demand elasticity should be analyzed on a long range basis for different trip purposes and trip makers.

<u>Bus Fleet Size.</u> The transit demand during a particular period governs the choice of bus service frequency. This, in turn, determines the minimum bus fleet size to be retained for the service. Nevertheless, the fleet size required for overall transit service during an entire planning cycle can not be determined based only on the service frequency required for one specific schedule period, say, weekday peak period. This is because other periods may need different fleet sizes for the overall optimal transit operation.

Accordingly it is useful to compute fleet size required for each individual schedule period and then to derive one overall optimum fleet size. For this purpose, the schedule periods are arranged in

47

⁵Demand elasticity is defined as the change of demand rate due to the change of service. For further discussion, see Hartgen (89).



the order of demand densities⁶ of schedule periods so that fleet size for smaller demand is first computed and then next higher demand. This arrangement of schedule periods facilitates the determination of different fleet sizes, that is, fleet size utilized for the entire planning cycle, the fleet size required for individual periods and the fleet size required only during the highest peak period. The first type of fleet is a base fleet while the other two are non-base fleets.

Once this information on different types of fleet sizes is determined, then actual provision of bus vehicles can be arranged by selecting types of ownership, i.e. publically owned, privately owned or rented vehicles. The percent utilization of a bus fleet during a planning cycle can also be determined based on total periods of usage. A determination of the number of bus vehicles required during each schedule period would facilitate the development of factors to weight bus fleet ownership cost. The weighting of the ownership cost would be based on the annual bus ownership cost, total operating hours and the period during which the bus fleet should be incremented to meet the demand.

<u>Schedule Period Operating Budget.</u> An operating budget of transit service is to ensure that required expenses for the provision of service should be within a predetermined budget limit for a specific schedule period. The ever increasing cost of wages,

⁶Demand density refers to number of passengers per unit of time.

maintenance costs, material costs and taxes required for transit service call for an efficient control over expenses by transit management during each schedule period.

In order to impose a budget limit of operating cost, an actual bus operating cost incurred during each period has to be computed. The bus operating cost is computed based on bus-hour or bus-mile. Since the operating cost occurs due to direct wages, fuel, tires, repairs and service, the bus service output per bus-hour or bus-mile is well correlated with the operating cost. In fact a previous bus transit cost study⁷ shows a high correlation between operating cost and bus-hours with coefficients of correlation ranging from 0.91 to 0.99 depending upon the catagory of fleet sizes.

The available bus transit data is usually grouped according to salient features of transit system configuration such as fleet size, service area and ownership status. This grouping would help to make a statistical analysis to derive a set of linear regression equations of bus operating cost for different transit service conditions.

⁷See (71). Transit data from the American Transit Association was analyzed for correlations among bus parameters to identify significant variables for bus operating cost. The correlation between operating cost and bus-hours was found to be statistically significant for bus fleets stratified as under 100, 100-250, and above 250.

Once bus operating hours on each link of a transit route are estimated based on average operating speed and service frequency, then the bus operating cost can be computed using a proper linear regression equation for the known service condition. By summing all link operating costs, the total operating cost can be derived and then compared with the budget limit.

User Components

The transit passenger loads and their distribution in time and space are fundamental information required for evaluation and improvement of transit service. In this regard, passenger demands and their travel paths are discussed and analyzed for their incorporation into the model as major transit system variables.

Anticipated Passenger Demands. When and where people travel in the study area by bus is vital information for the meaningful analysis of transit service and determination of optimal operating policies. Passenger Origin-Destination information for the study area was collected from various sources for major bus trip generators and attractors. The information concerns average daily trips by bus, trip purposes and passenger distributions over time.

The transit system investigation requires not only existing demands but also forecasted trip requirements for the future. The future demands are usually forecasted based on such transportation planning processes as trip distribution and modal split. In analyzing transit service as a part of the coordinated transportation system, information is usually available from two sources. One is from passenger Origin and Destination survey and the other from bus managements. Data from a bus passenger Origin-Destination survey includes bus passengers' origin and destination, bus route taken, trip purpose, boarding and alighting at bus stops, mode to and from bus stops, car ownership status and their preference of the service improvements.

Much useful information may be obtained from the bus operator which is valuable in preparing a data base for forecasting bus passenger demand. The forecast which is required for the transit model is made for each scheduled period. Information that can be collected from the bus company includes time tables, block diagrams, bus terminal operational statistics, expense sheets and fare collection statistics. A block diagram usually shows bus run number, major check points and check-in times.

A study of passenger demand profiles shows that demands are distributed over time with concentrations during morning and evening peak periods. In addition, the weekly passenger demand statistics reveals that passengers are distributed over a weekly period following a constant pattern with the highest demands on Friday and the lowest one on Sunday. This consistent pattern of demand persists within the same study area throughout the year.⁸ This nature of

⁸For passenger distributions over time, see Appendix D.

passenger distribution permits further partitioning of a transit planning cycle into smaller time intervals such as a (1) weekday morning peak period, (2) weekday evening peak period, (3) weekday off-peak period, (4) Saturday peak period, (5) Saturday off-peak period, and (6) Sunday period. The use of these schedule periods is advantageous in the sense that interrelationships of transit service among schedule periods can be analyzed in detail through the application of dynamic programming.

<u>Generating Travel Paths</u>. After passenger demands between major Origin-Destination pairs are known, the next task is to simulate the travel paths of transit passengers. Here, the term travel path is identical to chain as defined previously and both are used interchangeably. Since there may be more than one travel path from a given origin to a given destination, all reasonably economic paths must be considered in an actual assignment. Passengers using the same path would reevaluate their travel time and readjust their paths. As a consequence of readjustment, the transit system would inevitably come to a new equilibrium.

By simulating the travel path in mathematical equations, passenger flows are related with their actual assignment along links which have distinct properties as to operating speed,⁹ travel time, service capacity and other link-related parameters.

53

 $^{^{9}}$ For further information on Speed and Delay study, see (63).

In a real world problem there are a large number of passenger paths. But without losing accuracy, only those paths which might be economical to use can be easily selected by observation for the model. Furthermore, a path for a specific origin and destination needs not be completely connected by bus routes. A path can be a combination of walks, bus rides and transfers. This indicates that there may not be a direct bus route to go from one node to another. Yet, demands between nodes can be satisfied by the path which is composed of imaginary links of walks and transfers, and physical links of bus routes.

Vehicle Carrying Capacity of Streets

In previous sections, transit system components with regard to the user, the operator, and the system were discussed. In addition, the transit systems analysis is extended to a consideration of the traffic engineering aspects of the street network. Traffic engineering as it relates to bus transit operation is significant because transit movements and general auto traffic affect each other and often times bus transit has to compete with private autombiles for the use of limited roadway facilities.

In considering passenger carrying capacity of street links, the actual maximum number of buses that can pass a specific link and intersection is another important system parameter to be investigated. This is because physical traffic capacity may restrain the service frequency even though it can be provided by the available bus fleet. The presence of a bus route on an urban street, especially the hourly volume of bus traffic during rush hours, significantly reduces the roadway traffic capacity. Therefore, it is sometimes necessary to have a traffic operational policy regarding bus volume and bus stop locations. Also, the need for exclusive bus lanes or other transit priority devices such as a bus pre-emption signal system should be evaluated. The adverse effects of bus flow on other auto traffic in congested urban areas are usually by the following reasons:

- 1. In and out movements from loading zones.
- 2. Passenger crossing at crosswalks or at midblock.
- 3. Passenger loading and unloading practice.
- 4. Blockage of turning traffic movements caused by buses standing at bus stops at a near side of an intersection.

Another important effect exerted by bus transit operation on local traffic is caused by the location and use of bus stops. Since the effect of bus stops on local traffic is quite significant, their adverse effect on traffic capacity should be considered during an initial transit planning stage. The restraining aspect of bus flows on local traffic should be incorporated in the system analysis.

Basically, bus stops affect traffic capacity at signalized intersections in the form of capacity reduction. If there is any local bus flow, the intersection capacity has to be adjusted by bus factors. In computing bus adjusted traffic capacity of a signalized intersection, first the intersection capacity is derived as a function of approach width, percent truck, percent turning, metro area adjustment, peak hour factor and the ratio of green time to signal cycle.¹⁰ Then the bus factor is computed using hourly bus volume, area location, approach width, parking conditions, bus stop location, i.e. nearside or farside and percent turning. This factor is to adjust the traffic capacity by multiplying the capacity derived for a specific intersection. Bus factors¹¹ in urban areas usually vary within a range of 0.8 to 1.3.

The above investigations of bus flow and related traffic capacity would help to determine traffic policy. Consequently, the limitations of street link capacity for adequacy of bus operation should be analyzed for the overall transit system effectiveness.

Priorities of Bus Transit Improvements

The description of major transit system components so far illuminates the complexity involved in the evaluation and improvements of bus transit service in an urban area. In connection with this complexity of the transit problem, a new concept of transit

 $^{^{10}}$ A rational and practical method for the determination of traffic capacity has been devised in (91). Here, the capacity is defined as the maximum number of vehicles per unit of time that can be handled by a particular roadway component under the prevailing conditions.

¹¹For the derivation of actual bus factors, see (5).

systems analysis is developed in this study. The new concept is to analyze and improve transit service from the systems viewpoint by evaluating concurrently the economics of the transit operator as well as the transit user.

The evaluation of the economics is based on the quantification of passenger cost, operating cost,¹² passenger revenue and vehicle ownership cost. This quantified evaluation supplemented by generally recognized priorities of bus transit improvements would assist the mass transit planner and transit industry in the formulation of an adequate transit improvement plan for an urban area.

In discussing priorities of transit improvements, the inherent problem to be noticed is the steady reduction of bus transit patronage even though bus transit is an essential means of urban transportation. Because of the reduction of patronage, the transit system in urban areas are, in general, experiencing considerable financial pressures caused by decreasing revenue and rising costs.¹³

In order to overcome these adverse financial trends, the transit industry and planners have exerted continuous efforts to eliminate operational inefficiencies on the one hand and to improve service quality to attract more people to bus transit on the other hand.

¹²See (71).

¹³See (68).

However, present bus transit is characterized by very poor quality of service as compared with private passenger cars. Therefore, there is a definite need for improving transit service based on a logical order of priorities for improvements. For this purpose, the transit improvement priorities are discussed below.

The first priority for improvement is the reliability of bus transit service. As revealed by previous transit studies¹⁴ and user preference surveys, one of the major disadvantages of transit service is the unreliability of service. Services should be provided on every route by running buses strictly according to schedule.

The second priority is the improvement of service quality in terms of headway. It has been observed too often that the transit service is infrequent even during peak periods or no service is provided during non-peak periods. This lack of service tends to penalize passengers causing inconvenience. Therefore, more frequent service should be provided based on the continued evaluation of the transit service requirements.

The third priority is the improvement of transit route configuration. This improvement is to ensure more convenient and quicker trips by analyzing existing routes based on the changing pattern of Origin - Destination demands and bus routing standards. The routing standards are the following:

 $^{^{14}}$ For more information, see Nash, et.al. (35).

- 1. Direct with respect to geographic distribution of demands.
- 2. Proper connection among major activity centers.
- 3. Free from duplication.
- 4. Proper feeder service connection.

In connection with the route improvement, amenity of service and the provision of service information should be considered.

Lastly, the general improvement of service should consider the provision of clean, attractive and comfortable bus vehicles as well as bus shelters at strategic locations to protect passengers from inclement weather.

Summary

The major components of the transit model were developed in this chapter. Descriptions were made of those components related to the user, operator and the system. In addition, traffic engineering aspects of street networks and the priorities of transit system improvements were discussed.

It also provided symbolic representation of the transit network by means of links, nodes and chains. Simulation of travel paths was discussed using both physical street links for bus rides and imaginary links for passenger walking and transferring.

Other factors such as passenger demand elasticity and effects of bus transit service on traffic flow were also analyzed in conjunction with the effort to integrate transit operation with overall community transportation programs.

CHAPTER IV

DEVELOPMENT OF THE FIRST PHASE BUS TRANSIT OPERATIONS MODEL

Introduction

The main purpose of this chapter is to develop the basic structure of the first-phase transit operations model. The transit operations model is formulated into a linear programming problem. The optimal solution of the model is based on the minimization of the objective function, transit operation cost, within various constraints imposed by the passenger, the operator and the transit system. The subsequent discussion identifies each of the major elements of the model and expresses the interrelationship of system variables and parameters in precise mathematical terms.

Data Source

The mathematical development of the model first requires a sound data base. The major elements of this data base and their use in the overall study design is depicted in Figure 4. The collection of required data forms an essential part of any engineering and planning study. Bus transit studies require both time-consuming and expensive collection of data pertaining to characteristics of the bus passenger, the trip and the transit system. Bus data and related information which are essential to the application of such a planning model as proposed here, include not only the general information from conventional sources, but also comprehensive data. Some data may be difficult to obtain directly from transit surveys.
However, it may be possible to synthesize existing transit data to develop more comprehensive data for systematic analysis. For example, data concerning passenger Origin and Destination information, physical properties of street links and bus routes, and passenger fare structures are readily available from bus companies and planning agencies. In contrast, passenger load factors in terms of passengers per bus for different levels of service may not be available directly from the above sources. This is because the determination of the elasticity of demand over service requires an analysis and investigation of many related factors such as passenger preference, auto ownership, income and land use pattern.

As an extension of the theory developed in this research, the two phase transit model is applied to the practical case of a bus network in Newark, New Jersey. The corresponding data flow chart and the study design are shown in Figure 4.

In the southwest section of Newark, there have been a series of studies and data collections to improve transit services along a major route, Springfield Avenue.¹ One of the above studies pertains to an extension of the subway system currently serving downtown and northeast areas. The proposal calls for an extension of the city subway to the Irvington bus terminal which is located three miles west of the downtown area and handles the bulk of

¹See Deutschman (78) and (104).



FIGURE 4. DATA FLOW AND STUDY DESIGN

downtown oriented passengers.

As a result of the transit studies, data concerning the bus system on the Springfield Avenue corridor has been collected. The collection has been made in many transit related fields, especially in areas of bus transit network configuration, bus headways for peak hours and off-peak hours, directional variations of passengers during rush hours, bus stop organization, passenger boarding and alighting information at each bus stop and most significantly bus passenger Origin and Destination information between census tracts along the corridor area.

The transit data collected for testing the transit model is first analyzed and then reduced on proper forms so that it can be directly utilized by the model. In addition to the use of existing data, some of the unavailable data, especially bus load factors for different service levels and their linear approximations have to be assumed based on past trends and engineering judgements so that the model would produce meaningful results. However, since the model has the adaptability to new data sets, the use and testing of the model should be adjusted accordingly based on new revised information whenever it becomes available.

Generation of Passenger Origin-Destination Information

The major demands selected for the application of the transit

model are eleven major Origin and Destination² pairs between important nodes within the study network. For computational simplicity, two-way travel demands between nodes are used instead of one-way trips. However, the transit model is flexible enough to utilize one-way travel demands to represent possible directional variations in operating speed and demands.

Triangular Origin and Destination tables for each schedule period are prepared based on the passenger distributions over day, hour and week which are approximated from average 24 hour passenger information. The approximation is determined by fare collection statistics and bus schedule block diagrams which show the scheduled bus movements during each of all schedule periods within a planning cycle.

The transit trip tables are generated for six schedule periods. Table 1 shows two sample Origin and Destination tables for Sunday and Saturday off-peak periods.

Formulation of Bus Transit Operation

Bus transit operations during each schedule period of an entire planning cycle are highly dependent upon passenger needs for services, community restrictions and various constraints imposed by the transit operator. For example, the service frequency

²Bus passenger Origin and Destination information is developed for census tracts along Springfield Avenue corridor in Newark, New Jersey, see (69).

65

· .	× · · ·					~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~				
	D	1	. 3	5	6	7	8	15	17	20
	1		572	1472	793	1418				-
	3					413	674			
our	5					780	1650			
18 1	6						1174			
AY (7						1561			530
SUND	8									
	15							\square		
	17						<u></u>			
	20									
	1 <u></u>			4			<u> </u>	<u></u>		••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
		l	3	5	6	7	8	15	17	20
	l		432	1111	597	1080				
ours	3					312	713			
(2) SATURDAY (18 ho OFF PEAK	5					588	1246			
	6	•					887			
	7						1179			400
	8									
	15						-			
. 1	17									,
	20									
	- <u>1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999</u>				•			•		

.

TABLE 1BUS PASSENGER DEMAND FORECAST.
DURING SCHEDULE PERIODS 1 & 2 5

during a particular period is governed by the socio-economic characteristics of the community which generate a certain level of transit patronage. Also, the transit operator has limited resources to allocate to transit service. Usually, the limited resources include passenger service capacity, available number of buses, restricted union contract and a limited operating budget.

Bus transit operations are usually planned within this framework of passenger demand and constraints which can be represented by an analytical relationship specified by the transit model. The model would produce the optimum system configuration after making a number of systematic comparisons of alternate transit operating policies which concern bus route, headway and fleet size when coded input enters the model.

As discussed earlier in Chapter II, the transit operations model is concerned with the optimum possible operation of bus transit during a particular schedule period which has fixed service configuration and a known average transit patronage.

The nature of the optimum transit operation is captured in the first-phase model which is formulated as a linear programming problem. The model optimizes the system performance measure, a cost-utility, which considers both the desire and interest of the transit user and the economics of the transit operator.

Structural Equations

The proposed transit operations model is essentially a large

size linear programming problem. In particular, the model is similar to a network flow problem formulated in arc-chain form.³ The size of the sample problem has sixty-six variables and thirtyeight inequalities. The basic linear programming model focuses on the transit passenger flows of the specified bus route network. Subsequently, the structure of the transit operations model is expressed by an objective function and six sets of linear equations comprising a total of thirty-eight inequalities for imposing various transit operational constraints.

<u>Objective Function</u>. The objective function of the first phase transit operations model is to minimize the system performance measure, the cost-utility of bus service subject to various constraints. The objective function is defined as:

Cost-utility = passenger cost + bus operating cost - passenger revenue The decision variables specified in the model are the assigned passenger flows for each passenger demand using a particular travel path at a specified level of service for a schedule period. The passenger flow refers to the number of bus riders assigned to a chain with known costs during individual schedule periods.

Mathematically, the objective function is expressed as: Minimize $U^{n}=(W)(A)(X)^{n}+(L)(OC)(A)(X)^{n}-(F)(X)^{n}$ (1)

⁶⁷

³See Tomlin (44).

The notations are as defined below:

- Uⁿ = Objective function of a transit operation during nth schedule period
- (W) = Row vector of passenger cost with a dimension of $(1 \times 1')$
- (A) = An incidence matrix with a dimension of (1' x dcu). The matrix has elements of 0 and 1 to define whether a chain passes a particular link or not. A more detailed definition is provided later in the section on generation of travel paths.
- $(X)^{II}$ = Column vector of passenger flows with dimension (dcu x 1) during nth schedule period.

(L) = Inverse of load factor, scalar parameter

(OC) = Row vector of link operating cost with size of $(1 \times 1')$

(F) = Row vector of passenger fare with size of $(1 \times dcu)$

Here, 1', d, c and u refer link, Origin - Destination demand, chain and load factor numbers respectively. The first term, $(W)(A)(X)^n$ refers to costs incurred to passengers using the bus transit in the form of time for walking, riding and transferring. The second term, $(L)(OC)^{(A)}(X)^n$ represents the sum of operating costs to the transit operator. This term is calculated based on the link operating cost which is correlated with transit system output such as busmiles and bus-hours. The third term, $(F)(X)^n$ refers to the passenger revenue produced by assigning passengers over the network based on minimum total transit operation cost (U^n) . When passenger demand between two nodes is satisfied, an associated fare is payed to the transit operator for the transit revenue. The structure of fare is usually based upon travel distance and zone boundaries. <u>Operational Restrictions</u>. The bus transit operation during a schedule period is affected by various constraints. These constraints are usually imposed by service requirements, limited equipment and manpower, operating budget, the vehicle occupancy and other constraints to ensure path continuity.

In the following sections, each constraint is mathematically expressed to formulate a linear programming problem for the first phase transit operations model.

<u>Passenger Demand Constraints</u>. It is necessary to ensure that the service provided should be equal or greater than the minimum passenger demand for all origin and destination pairs within the study network. A necessary condition for these passenger demand constraints is that there exists at least one chain of links for each Origin-Destination passenger demand. The demand constraints are expressed mathematically by means of chain flows as shown in the following equation:

$$\sum_{u} \sum_{c} X \quad dcu \ge f \cdot r_d^{n} \qquad d=1, \dots N \qquad (2)$$

Where:

n Xdcu	=	Number of passengers assigned on chain "c" with "u" load factor for demand "d" during schedule period "n"
f	=	Probability distribution factor of passenger arrivals to ensure satisfaction of demand based on a minimum confidence level.
rd	=	The average: passenger demand for demand "d" dur- ing schedule period "n"

As may be noticed, passenger demand for each Origin-Destination pair is numbered 1, 2, 3, . . . N for each schedule period. Each Origin-Destination passenger demand requires one inequality and there should be as many inequality as the number of Origin-Destination demands. For the sample test case, eleven major Origin-Destination pairs are considered which requires eleven constraining inequalities.

<u>Service Level Constraints</u>. The constraints of service level are perhaps most difficult to understand. Their function is simply to ensure that an additional increment of service would be first provided on those bus routes which carry passengers at the higher load factor. The load factor is, as discussed earlier in Chapter II, the number of passengers per bus. The load factor is a decreasing function of bus flow since the bus transit patronage increases as the frequency of service increases but at a decreasing rate.⁴ The decreasing rate is due to the limited transit market which restricts the demand elasticity over service improvements. The term, level of service is used here to refer to transit service at different load factors since the service quality can be related to load factors, especially in passenger loading and comforts.

The relationship between load factors and bus or passenger flows for known average demands can be empirically derived. How-

 4 For more discussion and factual data, see Hartgen (89) pp. 12-25.

ever, the precise elasticity of demand due to service improvement or curtailment is not well known. For the study, two different load factors are used on the basis of passenger flows (X_{dcu}) . Load factor L_1 is used for all X_{dc1}^n for $\sum_{c} X_{dc1}^n \leq U_{d1}^n$ where U_{d1}^n is the upper bound of passenger flow at the higher load factor. The constraint on bus flow due to different load factors, therefore, can be imposed as follows:

$$\sum_{c} X \frac{n}{dc1} \leqslant U_{d1}^{\prime n} \text{ for } d = 1, 2, 3, \cdots N$$
(3)

where 1 represents X_{dc} with higher load factor. The total number of these constraints is equal to the number of Origin-Destination pairs. Other notations are the same as defined earlier. Passenger demands and limits of load factor 1 for each Origin-Destination pair during every individual schedule period are shown in Appendix D.

<u>Generation of Travel Paths</u>. The actual path of travel by a bus passenger is simulated on an individual basis rather than mass basis by connecting relevant links which represent the physical street links. Imaginary links to cover walking and transferring activities for service are also used. This path simulation is undertaken by using the concept of chain incidence on links.⁵

In order to impose other related constraints such as link passenger carrying capacity and also to formulate an objective function,

⁵For detail, see Table II, Apendix D.

the chain flow must be related to link flow. For this purpose, incidence numbers $(E_{dc}^{1'})$ are introduced as follows:

$$E_{dc}^{l'} = \begin{array}{c} 1 & \text{if chain "c" for demand "d" passes link "1"} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array}$$
(4)

The notion of "incidence" of demand chains on street links is very useful to represent the actual movement of passengers in the network. Based on this notion, an incidence matrix is developed to cover all routes taken by each demand chain. Any specific chain for demand "d" can be traced on the network through the incidence matrix.

By using an incidence matrix with elements of 1 or 0, the relationship between demands and other service constraints such as service capacity, operating budget and available bus fleet can be explicitly defined.

The objective function of the transit operations model is also derived by multiplying the incidence matrix with property vectors such as passenger time, bus operating cost and passenger fares. A typical demand-chain incidence matrix is shown in Appendix D, page 187 which includes both physical and imaginary links.

<u>Passenger Service Capacity</u>. The basic capacity constraints concerns the limited service capacity on bus routes which are imposed by a given headway during a particular schedule period.

Here, the passenger flows of each chain are converted to link flows and then to bus flows by means of matrix multiplication. The link passenger carrying capacity is formally formulated as following:

$$L_{u}^{-1} \cdot (A) (X)^{n} \leq (C)$$
(5)

The links considered here also include imaginary links. (C) is the vector notation of service capacity of each link which is represented in terms of number of buses running on each link. (C) is a column vector with as many elements as the number of links in the study network.

 L_u^{-1} is the inverse of a load factor for the level of service "u". A load factor is expressed in terms of passengers per bus. If sufficient data is available to derive separate load factors for different origin and destination pairs, multiple load factors can be used for the same level of service.

<u>Fleet Size</u>. The operation of bus transit system is also affected by fleet size, operating budget and union contracts, etc. Fleet size is the number of buses acquired and retained to produce revenue-making system output. According to the available fleet size, the range of feasible service frequency can be determined for different schedule periods. During off-peak periods, only a portion of the total fleet is used and fleet size may not become critical. In comparison, peak periods usually have demand with high peaking characteristics and require a large fleet size. This factor can be a major constraint.

Fleet size imposes a constraint to the transit operation in the form of limited resources and can be expressed as following:

$$L_{u}^{-1} (T) (A) (X)^{n} \leq FN^{n}P^{n}$$
(6)

Where:

(T)	=	Row vector of one-way running time with size of $(1 \times 1')$
(X) ⁿ	Ξ	Column vector of passenger flows during schedule period "n"
FN^n	=	Fleet size during schedule period "n" (scalar)
P ⁿ	=	Number of bus operating hours during schedule period "n" (scalar)

This constraint of fleet size is imposed by operating policy and has no direct relationship with the service provided during a specific period. There is one inequality of this type to ensure that total need of bus vehicles will not exceed available bus fleet and operating hours during the same period.

<u>Operating Budget</u>. This constraint of operating budget is also expressed in the same format as the fleet size constraint in the previous section. Here, the operating budget refers to the total direct operating cost incurred to transit operator in terms of wage, fuel, tires and other maintenance costs. These costs are well correlated with transit system output such as bus-hours and busmiles as discussed earlier.

This constraint will have same mathematical format as (6), but with a different row vector of cost. Namely,

$$L_{u}^{-1} \cdot (OC) (A) (X)^{n} \leq B^{n}$$
(7)

Where:

(OC) = Row vector of operating cost with size of (l xl')

 B^n = Budget limit during schedule period "n" (scalar) One inequality of budget constraint is required so that the total operating cost during a specific schedule period be subjected to the predetermined operating budget limit.

Street Capacity Constraints. Another set of constraints may be imposed upon the transit operation by the physical traffic carrying capacity of street links or signalized intersections along the route. These constraints are redundant on most links due to the presence of link service capacity constraints. However, these constraints are pragmatic since only a limited number of buses can pass a link due to physical link capacity or traffic operational policy. Only those links that may have a capacity problem are subjected to these constraints.

These constraints have the same mathematical expression as for the link service capacity, but with different right-hand sides. The equation has the following form.

$$L_{u}^{-1}$$
 (A) (X) \leq (C') (8)

Where:

(C') = Physical link capacity with size of
$$(1 \times 1')$$

There are as many inequalities of physical capacity constraints as there are links, but those links which have potential vehicle carrying capacity greater than service frequency are not affected by the constraints. If there is a link restricted by its physical capacity before the service capacity, then for computational simplicity, the right-hand side of the service capacity constraint would be replaced by the physical capacity. The replacement of the righthand side is much simpler than having the same two linear inequalities with different values for the right-hand sides which makes one constraint redundant.

The structure of the formal linear programming problem is given in Table 2. The resulting linear programming is of the form:

$$Minimize \quad Z = CX \tag{9}$$

Subject to E' $X \ge D'$ (10)

$$\begin{array}{c} A' \quad X \leq L \\ X \geq 0 \end{array} \tag{11}$$

where the vector X is the set of decision variables, C the vector of cost, D' and L the vector of right-hand side, and matrices E' and A' are coefficients of X. The structural equations are summarized in Figure 5.

Solution Method

The selection of a convenient solution method devised for the linear programming formulation depends on the type of model employed, the size of the problem and the computational facilities available to the transit planner. The transit operations problem formulated as a linear programming problem in this chapter is similar to

VAR.	х ₁₁	x ₁₂	x ₂₁	x ₂₂	X _{de}	x' ₁₁	x' ₁₂	x_21	x'22	x' dc	RHS
SERVICE	1	1	0	0	• • •	0	0	0	0		≤u ₁
ELASTICITY	0	0	1	1	•••	0	0	0	0	• • •	'≤ _{U2}
LINK	0	0	0	0	•••	0_	0	0	0	• • •	≤c ₁
CAPACITY	L^{-1}_{1}	0	${f L}^{-1}_{-1}$	0	• • •	L^{-1}_2	0	${\tt L}_2^{-1}$	0		≤ _{C2}
DUVCICAT	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	• • •	≤ _{C1} '
CAPACITY	L^{-1}_{1}	0	L^{-1}_{1}	0		L^{-1}_{2}	0	L_2^{-1}	0	• • •	≤ _{C2} '
FLEET	$\mathbf{L}_{1}^{-1}\mathbf{T}_{11}$	$\mathbf{L}_{1}^{-1}\mathbf{T}_{12}$	$L^{-1}_{1}T_{21}$	$\mathbf{L}^{-1}_{1}\mathbf{T}_{22}$	• • •	$\mathtt{L}_{2}^{-1}\mathtt{T}_{11}$	$\mathbf{L}_{2}^{-1}\mathbf{T}_{12}$	$\mathbf{L}_{2}^{-1}\mathbf{T}_{21}$	$\mathrm{L}^{-1}_{2}\mathrm{T}_{22}$	•••	≤ _{N P}
BUDGET	$L_{1}^{-1}C_{11}$	$L^{-1}_{1}C_{12}$	$L_{1}^{-1}C_{21}$	$L^{-1}_{1}C_{22}$	•••	$\mathtt{L}_{2}^{-1}\mathtt{C}_{11}$	$L_{2}^{-1}C_{12}$	$L^{-1}_{2}C_{21}$	$L_{2}^{-1}C_{22}$	• • •	≤ _B
PASSENGER	1	1	0	0	•••	1	1	0	0	•••	\geq_{D_1}
	0	0	1	1		. 0	0	1	1	•••	≥ _{D2}
OBJECTIVE FUNCTION	°11	°12	e ₂₁	°22	•••	c_11	c' ₁₂	c21	c222	• • •	

Note:

TABLE 2 LINEAR PROGRAMMING TABLEAU X_{dc} = Number of passengers for demand "d" using chain "c" T_{dc}^{dc} = The total passenger time for X_{dc}^{c} C_{dc} = The total bus operating cost for X_{dc}^{c}

STRUCTURE OF TRANSIT OPERATIONS MODEL

Min.
$$U^{n} = (W) (A) (X)^{n} + (L) (OC) (A) (X)^{n} - (F) (X)^{n}$$
 (1) Objective
Function
S.T. $\underbrace{Vac}^{n}_{dcu} \ge fr_{d}^{n}$ (2) Demand
 $\underbrace{\Sigma X}_{dcl}^{n} \le U_{dl}^{n}$ (3) Level of
 $E_{dc}^{1} = \begin{array}{c} 1 \text{ if chain "c" for demand "d" pass link "1'" (4) Chain Incidence} \\ (L_{u}^{-1}) (A) (X) (C) (5) Link Capacity \\ (L_{u}^{-1}) (T) . (A) (X)^{n} \le N^{n}P^{n}$ (6) Fleet size
 $(L_{u}^{-1}) (OC) (A) (X)^{n} \le B^{n}$ (7) Budget
 $(L_{u}^{-1}) (A) (X) \le (C') (8)$ Physical Capacity

Min.
$$Z=CX$$
 (9)
Subject to $E'X \ge D'$ (10)
 $A'X \le L$ (11)
 $X \ge O$

FIGURE 5. LINEAR PROGRAMMING FORMULATION

that formulated by Tomlin (44) as an optimal network flow arc-chain formulation.

The linear programming formulation based on an arc-chain incidence matrix is difficult to compute because many paths between each Origin and Destination pair must be enumerated. For a practical study, a large linear programming system is recommended for the transit operations solution. Such a system is MPS/360⁶ which utilizes many mathematical programming devices for efficient solution. For a large network of transit routes, the problem can be more efficiently handled by means of the Ford-Fulkerson column generating technique and the decomposition principle.⁷

Summary

The first phase, the transit operations model, was formulated as a linear programming problem in this chapter. Initially, the data source and the study design were discussed for the development of the model. Then an objective function and its elements were specified in matrix and vector form to assess the system performance of bus operations. As the result of a linear programming solution, costs incurred to the passenger and the operator were specified mathematically in the objective function.

Finally, seven sets of contraints on transit operation imposed by

⁶For actual use of computer program, see (94).

⁷See discussion by Dantzig, et.al. (9) and Charnes, et.al. (55).

the user, the operator and the system were defined mathematically by linear inequalities. These constraints included demand, service level, chain incidence, link capacity, fleet size, budget and physical capacity of street link. Solution of the linear programming formulation was also discussed.

CHAPTER V

DEVELOPMENT OF THE SECOND PHASE BUS TRANSIT PLANNING MODEL

Introduction

The objective of this chapter is to formalize the second phase, the transit planning model. This transit planning model is structured as a dynamic programming process and it utilizes the results of the first phase transit operations model.

The transit operations model is aimed at only one service frequency state during a single schedule period. However, the transit planning cycle consists of many schedule periods with different demand and service conditions. For this reason, it is necessary to formulate a proper process to expand the transit operation from a single service frequency state of one period to the multiple frequency states during all schedule periods.

This process of expansion consists of two stages. First, the optimal service frequency state is selected from the possible range of frequency states for a given fleet size state. The chosen frequency state incurs the minimum sum of the transit operation cost and the direct route operating cost for the specific fleet size. The direct route operating cost is the cost which is not accounted for by the objective function of the first phase transit operations model and it is further discussed later in this chapter. Second, the aggregation of a single state transit operation is made through the systematic evaluation of combinations of transit operations over all schedule periods based on the dynamic programming algorithm.

Criteria for Evaluating Bus Transit Planning Alternatives

In planning a bus transit system, there are almost an infinite¹ number of transit operating alternatives. These alternatives stem from variations of transit system components such as service frequency, bus fleet size and the route locations.

Before the optimal configurations of the transit system components are sought on a proposed transit route, the feasibility of introducing the new proposed transit route should be established first. It should be based on whether the addition of the new route produces a lower total transit system planning cost or whether it does not. The analysis of the economic feasibility of the new transit route is accomplished by the use of the second phase transit planning model which is structured as a multi-stage decision process. In a multi-stage decision process, a decision at one stage affects decisions in succeeding stages. A dynamic programming model is applied to the multi-stage decision process in order to derive an optimal sequence of decisions for service frequency, fleet size and its overall transit planning cost. In order to construct a flexible and inclusive evaluation criteria of transit planning

¹The number of alternatives is a power function of transit variables, i.e. transit route, service frequency, fleet size and schedule periods.

such major cost components as bus operating cost, passenger cost, passenger revenue, route operating cost and finally bus ownership cost are selected as basic structural members of the criterion.

As discussed earlier in Chapter IV, the first three cost components are analyized in the first phase linear programming model. This model generates the optimum state of transit operation for a given fleet size, The information derived in conjunction with the optimum frequency state is required for the second phase transit model since it compares various transit planning costs based on all cost components.

The annual ownership cost of a bus fleet reflects the cost incurred to the transit operator. The ownership cost per vehicle has a fixed cost nature regardless of the number of times the vehicle is used. Thus, the total ownership cost increases as the required fleet size increases.

The choice of the above major transit cost components as a criterion of transit system performance represents a significant step toward the systematic assessment of transit service in urban areas. Previously, costs which are incurred by both the transit operator and the user have rarely been considered concurrently in the overall planning of a bus transit system.

Nevertheless, there is a need for discriminating one cost from another since the effects of cost components may impose different

transit service conditions. For example, the monetary value² of passenger time may have to be weighted much lower than bus operating cost or ownership cost in places where a tight transit budget restriction is prevalent. For this purpose, the transit model incorporates cost weighting factors as discussed in a later section of this chapter.

In summary, the criterion of the transit planning model is the transit planning cost which covers all salient cost elements of a transit service for both the individual schedule period and the overall weekly planning cycle.

Dynamic Programming Process

The procedure of dynamic programming is briefly described here to relate its application to the bus transit planning model presented in this chapter. In the discussion of a dynamic programming problem, a stage refers to one of the decision points which, in sequence, comprise the multi-stage decision problem. Meanwhile, states are the various possible conditions in which the system may find itself at a particular stage of the problem. In the transit planning model, stages are transit schedule periods while states associated with each stage are fleet sizes which the transit system may have at that schedule period. The dynamic

 $^{^{2}}$ For the case study, a bus passenger time value of \$2.40 per hour was used. For further discussion see Lisco (98).

programming approach shows that one can compare the transit planning cost and benefit of moving to another state, given that the system is in a particular state at a particular decision stage. Using this approach, the user can make the optimal decision at each decision stage to yield maximum transit system benefit. The course of optimal decision at each decision stage can be traced when the decision process is completed for all decision stages.

The bus transit planning problem is characterized by basic features of a simplified dynamic programming problem in the sense that:

1. The problem can be divided up into a sequence of stages with a policy decision required at each stage.

2. Each stage has a set of states which are transformed to other states in the next stage by the decision made in the present stage.

3. The optimal policy for the remaining stages is not affected by decisions made in previous stages.

4. A recursive relationship exists between any two succeeding stages that identifies the optimal policy at the present stage given that the optimal policy for each state for all previous stages are known.

Based on these basic features of typical dynamic programming problems identified, the formulation of the transit model is further discussed here. In this model, the transit planning cycle of a week is divided up into six stages of schedule periods. The transit policy decision at each schedule period is the determination of whether additional bus vehicles are to be introduced into the system. By the addition of these vehicles, the service frequency of the transit system may be increased.

Another feature of the model concerns various fleet sizes associated with each decision stage, a schedule period. Once a specific decision is made during a schedule period, then the existing fleet size is transformed into another fleet size for the next schedule period according to the decision on additions to the bus fleet. Based on two computational properties, that is, the independence of previous decisions on the overall optimal decision path, and the recursive relationships of decisions between two succeeding stages, the transit model computes the optimal solution proceeding backward starting from the last stage. The model proceeds with the derivation of the optimal decision (additional fleet) stage by stage, each time finding the optimal policy for each state of fleet size of a specific schedule period until it completes the whole planning cycle.

Design of Stages

As a first step toward formulation of the second phase transit planning model, the nature of the multi-stage decision process of a bus transit planning is to be recognized.

An observation and analysis of the existing bus transit schedule

reveals that the service can be homogenously specified for different times of day, i.e. morning peak period, evening peak period and off-peak periods as well as for different days of the week, i.e. average weekday, Saturday and Sunday with distinct weekly cyclical pattern. Consequently, the schedule periods are designed as decision stages in the dynamic programming model with a total of six schedule periods.

The fundamental assumption underlying the schedule period is that each schedule period has homogeneity in passenger travel demands, trip purpose and trip makers' characteristics during the same period. This concept of the schedule period is analogous to the design hour volume or peak hour volume for highway or intersection design. Design hour volume or peak hour volume³ is traffic volume measured in the number of cars during a unit time period. These volumes are used in designing a highway or an intersection to satisfy traffic demand at a certain confidence level, for example 95 percent of demand times, even though the traffic volume is distributed widely over time and area. In designing an efficient highway facility more than one volume may be used to take traffic variations into account. For example, three different traffic volumes can be efficiently used for the economic design for an intersection. They are morning peak hour volume,

 3 For more information see (86) and (63).

evening peak hour volume and mid-day off-peak volume to represent variations of traffic volumes for all periods.

Likewise, the bus transit demand and service variations are represented by six schedule periods. For better accuracy, these schedule periods can be further refined in sufficient detail by increasing the number of schedule periods. The use of the schedule period enables the determination of the sequence of the optimal decision at each decision stage. The sequence of the optimal decisions concerns itself with the feasibility of a new route, the optimal service frequency and the optimal fleet size which together determine the minimum annual total transit planning cost for the study area.

Once the schedule cycle of a week is further partitioned into individual schedule periods, each schedule period is ordered according to the passenger demand density. The density is expressed as a passenger concentration during a unit time, namely as passengers per hour. This rank ordering of schedule periods according to their density⁴ is to represent the difficulty of reducing bus fleet size without financial losses once increases are introduced to the existing system. In other words, the rank ordering of schedule periods ensures that if a bus is introduced to the existing system,

⁴For bus passenger density of selected bus lines in Newark, New Jersey during peak and non-peak weekday periods, see Deutschman (78).

it should be in the system, thereby restricting the freedom of bus fleet as a system variable. The ordering is just a practical consideration to ensure an extensive investigation of all system variables that have more freedom than the number of new buses before any attempt is made to increase the fleet size. One example of the transit system variable which has more freedom of adjustment than fleet size is the service frequency that can be provided by the existing bus fleet and manpower. In reality, it is more economical and flexible to adjust service frequency than fleet size if the service frequency can be provided by the unused existing fleet.

The actual arrangement of schedule periods are in the order of Sunday, Saturday off-peak period, weekday off-peak period, Saturday peak period, weekday A.M. peak period followed by weekday P.M. peak period which has the highest demand density. The beginning and ending of each schedule period and its duration are shown in Appendix D.

Design of States

The concept of state of a schedule period refers to conditions of two major system variables that can be modified during the same schedule period. They are states of service frequency and states of the retained fleet sizes. The first is the condition of service frequency provided on the proposed new route while the second refers to that of fleet size operating on the same route during the same schedule periods. In the dynamic programming formulation, fleet sizes are states associated with each schedule period. The fleet size imposes a boundary condition on service frequency in terms of maximum service frequency.

In choosing a service frequency for a specific demand during a particular schedule period, a uniform increment of frequency is selected to define different states of service frequency. In this study, for example, an increment of fifty bus runs per period is used. Now let delta (Δ) denote one increment, that is, fifty additional bus runs, then service frequency states with O, Δ , 2Δ , 3Δ , . . . M Δ would have 0, 50, 100, 150, 50M service frequencies during the same schedule period. The numerical value of Δ can be chosen at will, thereby, the accuracy of this state of service frequency can be further refined if it is necessary.

The second state of fleet size can be similarly represented to define the fleet sizes retained for the specific service frequency. To depict the state of fleet size for each different period, a uniform increment is again used which can vary as follows:

 $FN = 0, \theta, 2\theta, 3\theta, \ldots, k\theta$

where FN is fleet size and θ denotes the uniform increment of fleet size. The increment can be selected arbitrarily. $\theta = 5$ is used for the sample computer run.

The number of buses available has no direct relationship with

service frequency except that the fleet size provides the boundary condition of the potential service amount. Each state of fleet size can provide a different range of frequencies as long as the service does not exceed the limited equipment expressed as available bushours.

For example, if a bus can provide ten dispatches during a schedule period and the service frequency increment of ten is used, then a fleet of five buses can provide service frequency of 0, 10, 20, 30, . . 50 bus runs during the same period.

Once additional buses are added to the transit system during any schedule period, they remain in the system. Therefore, a retained fleet size is either constant or increasing starting from the lowest passenger density to the highest one. However, service frequency can vary and it can be even reduced if necessary regardless of the ordering of schedule periods.

There is a trade-off in adding more buses on a route. If more buses are added, then more bus service can be provided. However, the returns from having bigger fleet size are not always paid off since the ownership cost and the operating costs may increase more rapidly than the benefits derived from the higher service.

The fleet size that has to be retained to provide a specific service of frequency is derived based on the following formula:

$$Fleet size = \frac{Round Trip Time (Min.)}{Headway (Min.)}$$

Round Trip Time =
$$\frac{\text{Route Length}}{\text{Schedule Speed}}$$
 + layover time

Once a round-trip is computed based on schedule-speed, route length and layover time, the number of vehicles required to operate a given headway is estimated by dividing the round-trip time by the headway. The bus headway is the time spacing between two successive buses and is calculated by dividing the time duration by the number of bus runs.

Let	FN	=	Fleet size
	$T_{\mathbf{r}}$	=	Round trip time
	Н	=	Headway in minute
	$^{\rm L}{ m r}$	=	Route length in miles
	v	=	Schedule speed in miles/hour
	^L t	=	Layover time
	Δ	=	Service frequency increment (bus runs)
	K	=	Number of service frequency states
	Pn	Ξ	Number of hours in schedule period

Then,

FN =
$$\frac{L_t + \frac{60 \times 2 \times L_r}{v}}{H}$$
$$= (L_t + \frac{120 L_r}{v}) / (\frac{60 \times Pn}{k\Delta})$$

For practical purposes, the value of fleet size is rounded to the next higher integer. The selection of fleet size for a given service frequency or the choice of service frequency state for a given fleet size is determined using the above formula.

Direct Route Operating Cost

The direct route operating cost is defined as cost which is not included either in the transit operation cost or in the vehicle ownership cost. This cost has to be considered separately because it may not be accounted for by the objective function of the first phase linear programming model. The new transit service may be used only partially in the optimal transit operation even though the new service incurs a fixed amount of operating cost measured as a function of the service frequency provided.

The direct route operating cost can be readily computed after the linear programming model yields the optimal transit operational configuration and associated passenger flows. The operating cost which is not included in the objective function is derived by multiplying the unused part of the new service frequency with a unit bus operating cost.

The direct route operating cost implies two important bus transit planning considerations. One consideration is that, for existing transit service, only operating cost for the used service should be considered. In other words, there can be unused service whose cost is not directly included in the total transit operation cost. The second consideration is that, for the new transit service, the total bus operating cost should be considered in full measure even though there may be unused service. The differentiation in operating cost betweeen the existing service and the new service is to reflect that the existing service should be modified according to the optimal solution while the new service should be added only in a necessary amount. The direct route operating cost also indicates that by the introduction of new service into the existing system, the existing service should be accordingly modified.

Let ROC denote the direct route operating cost, then the following expression can be made:

ROC = (OC) x (C + M
$$\triangle$$
 - L⁻¹X) (12)
for all links covered by the new route
and ROC \leq OC x M \triangle

Where:

(OC) = Link operating cost vector with dimension of $(1 \times 1')$ (C) = Existing link service frequency with dimension of $(1' \times 1)$ $M\Delta$ = Mth service frequency state $(L^{-1}X)$ = Assigned optimum bus link flow with dimension of $(1' \times 1)$

 $L^{-1}X$ can be derived from optimal passenger flows as follows:

$$L^{-1}X = L_{u}^{-1}$$
 (A) (X) (13)

where notations are same as discussed in Chapter IV.

Bus Ownership Cost

The annual bus ownership cost of acquiring and retaining bus vehicles must be known to determine the transit operational and planning policies using the two phase joint linear and dynamic programming model. The ownership cost covers all costs incurred by the fleet of buses including the expenses for principal payment, interest, taxes, insurance, vehicle registration and depreciation. This ownership cost is analogous to the fixed cost of the inventory cost model which is often referred to as a setup cost while the operating cost is variable cost directly proportional to the amount of transit service output.⁵ Consequently, an ownership cost is affected only by the number of vehicles, the purchase price, the vehicle operating life-span and salvage value and not by the amount of operation.

The annual ownership cost of a specified fleet size can be easily determined by an analytical approach. This approach computes the constant annual cost flow of an investment on the bus fleet for its life-span by a long accepted formula of engineering economics. This annual cost analysis usually includes three major items such as depreciation, interest and other expenditures. Mathematically the annual ownership cost can be expressed as follows:

AOWC = ((PR - S) x
$$\frac{I}{(1 + I)^{t} - 1}$$
 + PR·I)·VN (14)

Where:

AOWC = Annual ownership cost PR = Purchase price of bus vehicle

 $^{^{5}}$ In an inventory model, the cost of ordering or manufacturing is usually composed of two parts, one which is proportional to the amount ordered and another which is constant.

Ι	=	Rate of return demanded on investment
S	=	Net salvage value of the equipment at the end of its estimated life
t	=	Estimated service life of vehicle

VN = Number of vehicles.

This cost reflects the constant cash flow by taking into account purchase price, rate of return, the net salvage value at the time of replacement and other financing service charges.

Weighting Factor

In determining the optimal transit operation for a specific service condition during a particular stage of schedule period or for planning overall optimum transit system, many variables have to be introduced to the transit model. Accordingly, during the planning phase there is a need to consider how different policies will be affected by the variables in the system. This need requires flexibility for the transit planner to weight variables differently. For example, in some cases, bus operating cost has to be weighted higher than the passenger time value since the former is far more restrictive for the service improvement than the latter in a short planning period.

For this reason, two sets of weighting factors are introduced to be incorporated into the transit model. The first set of weighting factors are for basic cost variables of the transit system such as bus operating cost, passenger cost and passenger revenue while the second set pertains to the annual bus ownership cost. Different
degrees of bus usages may require different weighting factors so that ownership cost can be charged according to its usage. In order to weight the ownership cost, both retained fleet size in the system and the time when the additional buses are introduced into the system should be known. Once the overall fleet size and the number of buses introduced to the system during each schedule period are known, the numerical values of weighting factors for ownership cost are computed according to the number of hours of bus use during a year. The actual values used for the application of the model are shown in both Appendices D and E.

Transit Planning as a Dynamic Programming Problem

The mathematical expression of the second phase transit planning model is based on the concept of state and stages as well as the recursive relationahip between succeeding stages. As a basic input to the dynamic programming model, the results of the first phase, linear programming model are utilized for the derivation of the optimal transit planning configuration.

If fⁿ denotes a state of fleet during the schedule period "n", then the transit planning process can be expressed as interrelated relationship among multi-stage transit decision process. The overall optimum transit planning cost and its associated transit planning configurations are derived through the following recursive relations.

$$V^{n-1}(f^{n-1}) = \underset{f^{n-1}}{\overset{\text{Min}}{=}} \left[\underbrace{U^{n-1} + \text{ROC}^{n-1}}_{f^{n-1}} + \underbrace{D^{n}(f^{n-1}, f^{n}) + \underbrace{V^{n}(f^{n})}_{*} \right]$$
(15)

Where:

$V^{n-1}(f^{n-1})$	=	Minimum achievable transit planning cost at schedule period n-1 for all schedule periods > n-1, given that the fleet size is in a state of "f ⁿ⁻¹ " at schedule period n-1
f^{n-1}	=	Fleet size states at schedule period n-1
$\overset{*}{\mathrm{U}}^{\mathrm{n-1}}$	=	Minimum transit operation cost at schedule period n-1 given that the fleet size is in a state of f ⁿ⁻¹
roc ⁿ⁻¹	=	Direct route operating cost at schedule period ⁿ⁻¹
$D^{n}(f_{\cdot}^{n-1},f^{n})$	=	Cost of introducing a bus fleet at the end of the schedule period n-1 for permissible transition
$v^{n}(f^{n})$	=	The minimum transit planning cost of all schedule periods \geq n.

This functional relationship applies to all schedule periods and to all permissible transition of fleet size.

In considering the boundary condition of a transit planning, let N_s denote the last schedule period, then the schedule period for $n \ge N_s^{+1}$, the optimum transit planning cost is defined to be

$$\overset{*}{V}^{n}(f^{n}) = 0 \text{ for } n \ge N_{s} + 1$$
(16)

As discussed in the previous section, there may be a situation where cost variables must be weighted differently according to bus transit policy which represents a prevalent transit budget or other characteristics of the community. This discriminating treatment of cost factors can be accomplished in the transit planning model by introducing the associated weighting factors as shown below.

$$V^{n-1}(f^{n-1}) = {\mathop{\min}}_{f^{n-1}} (aU^{n-1} + bROC^{n-1}) + e^n D^n(f^{n-1}, f^n) + V^{n}(f^n)$$
(17)

where a and b are weighting factors for the transit operation cost and route operating cost respectively while e refers to the weighting factor for bus ownership cost for the schedule period "n".

The transit planner may have a further reason to restrict transit operation between any two schedule periods because the revenue equipment: is unavailable due to repairing or service required for peak hour operation. The transit operator may even have a policy to smooth out frequencies during schedule periods. This constraint can be easily imposed on the objective function by specifying that $D(f^{n-1}, f^n)$ must be less than a certain predetermined amount. This smoothing out of the budget can be formally expressed as follows:

$$V^{n-1}(f^{n-1}) = \prod_{f^{n-1}}^{Min} (aU^{n-1} + bROC^{n-1}) + e^n D^n(f^{n-1}, f^n) + V^n(f^n)$$
(18)

for only $D^{n}(f^{n-1},f^{n}) \leq SB^{n}$

where SBⁿ referes to a specific schedule budget limit during schedule period n.

The computational procedures for the second phase transit planning model can be outlined in two steps as shown in Figure 6. First step is to vary the service frequency during each schedule period using the uniform frequency increment, Δ and obtain the optimum transit operation cost for each service frequency. The optimum transit operation cost is derived by the linear programming model for every frequency state of each schedule period.



FIGURE 6 A. JOINT LINEAR AND DYNAMIC

PROGRAMMING MODEL

100

As a second step, a state of retained fleet size is chosen starting from the last stage. Then, based on the same fleet size, duration of schedule period, bus runs per period and the optimum bus flow, the range of feasible service frequency is set. From this range, the frequency yielding the best transit operation cost is found. Then, starting from the last schedule period, the transit operation cost, route operating cost and the decision cost of adding more buses are summed up and stored in the proper stage to go to a state in the next stage. The transformation of states are made by the decision of adding more buses at each stage of schedule period. The feasible transformation of fleet size and the feasible paths of decisions for any successive periods can be best illustrated by the dynamic programming structure shown in Figure 7.

The number of fleet states for a particular stage can be adjusted as necessary using the fleet size formula in the previous section.

One of the characteristics of dynamic programming is that the solution procedure usually begins by finding the optimal policy for each state of the last stage of the schedule period. A computer program is developed to compute the transit planning cost by approaching the optimum solution backward starting from the last stage.⁶ The listings of computer programs are shown in Appendix C.

 $^{^{6}}$ The author would like to thank Mr. Donald Cohen of Newark College of Engineering for his aid in the programming stage of the research.

DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING STRUCTURE

STAGES



FIGURE 7 BUS TRANSIT PLANNING MODEL

102

Once all optimum paths associated with every state of the initial stage are found, then the overall optimum path of decision for the entire planning cycle can be derived. The overall optimum solution will give the optimum total transit planning cost with all necessary information on the optimum transit system configuration. The optimum solution derived by the two phase transit model provides useful information for the transit planner to evaluate the affects of the bus transit system modification on costs as well as benefits to the community. A summarized dynamic programming formulation is shown in Figure 8.

Summary

In this chapter, the second phase transit planning model was formulated as a dynamic programming problem to extend single period transit operations to multiple schedule period transit planning.

In the first section, the criterion for the evaluation of a transit system during multiple periods was discussed in conjunction with the single period transit operation. The following sections offered the basic elements of the second phase transit planning model as it was structured in a dynamic programming algorithm. As basic elements, decision stages of the model and the associated states were designed to illustrate the structure and the operation of the model. Then, more discussions were provided for the additional cost consideration in the second phase model which incorporated the route operating cost and the bus ownership cost with the re-

STRUCTURE OF BUS TRANSIT PLANNING MODEL

ROC = (OC) x (C+MW $-L^{-1}X$) for all links cover by the new route (12) Route Oper. Cost and ROC \leq OC x MW

$$L^{-1} X = L^{-1}_{u}$$
 (A) (X) (13) Assigned
Bus Flow

AOWC = ((PR-S) x
$$\frac{I}{(1 + I)^{t-1}}$$
 + PR.I) ·VN (14) Ownership

$$V^{n-1}(f^{n-1}) = f^{n-1}(U^{n-1} + ROC^{n-1}) + D^{n}(f^{n-1}, f^{n}) + V^{n}(f^{n})$$

(15) Recursive Relationship

D.P.

- $\operatorname{\overset{*}{V}{}}^{n}(\operatorname{f}^{n}) = 0 \text{ for } N \ge N_{s}+1$ (16) Boundary Condition
- $\mathbf{V}^{n-1}(\mathbf{f}^{n-1}) = \mathbf{f}^{n-1}(\mathbf{a}^{*n-1}_{U} + \mathbf{b} \mathbf{ROC}^{n-1}) + \mathbf{e}^{n} \mathbf{D}^{n}(\mathbf{f}^{n-1}, \mathbf{f}^{n}) + \mathbf{V}^{n}(\mathbf{f}^{n})$ (17) Weighted
- $V^{n-1}(f^{n-1}) = f^{n-1}(aU^{n-1} + bROC^{n-1}) + e^{n Dn}(f^{n-1}, f^n) + V^{n}(f^n) \text{ for only } D^n(f^{n-1}, f^n) \leq SB^{n}$ (18) Budget Constraint

FIGURE 8 DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING FORMULATION

lated weighting factors for a flexible transit policy decision.Finally, in the last section, the mathematical equations were developed to formalize the transit planning concept in precise terms.A set of equations specified a recursive relation of the model,boundary conditions, cost weighting and budget leveling.

CHAPTER VI

APPLICATION OF THE BUS TRANSIT MODEL

Introduction

This chapter presents some of the potential applications of the methodology developed in this research. It illustrates the capabilities of the transit model through its application to a real world problem and an evaluation of results.

The new transit planning technique developed allows the study of numerous transit operational questions and transit planning problems which would help decide optimal transit operating policies and improvement alternatives. The case study presented here investigates major transit system elements which are critical to both the transit user and the operator. From the evaluation of results, types of decisions that the transit planner can make and the kinds of transit planning problems that the model can address are discussed.

Application of the Model

The context in which this case study is conducted, is the Springfield Avenue corridor in Newark, New Jersey as discussed earlier in Chapter IV. The input data to the model is traffic and transit data for the study corridor for a cycle of one week period.

Eleven passenger origin and destination pairs among major transit nodes in the area are considered for the application of the model. Meanwhile, fourteen street links are used to specify the existing and proposed bus route structure. In addition, altogether, thirty three demand-chains and two load factors are taken into consideration to realize passenger trip desires. Passenger demands used in the model are two-way demands for each Origin and Destination pair for six schedule periods within the weekly transit planning cycle.

The input data is coded as shown in Appendix E for computer programming routines. These routines are specifically designed to operate the transit planning model for an actual application.¹ The computer programming logic, flow charts and computer programs are attached in Appendix C.

The first part of the data presents the required input to the first phase transit operations model formulated as a linear programming problem. The data consists of five major blocks as discussed in Chapter IV. The second part of the data specifies the required input to the second phase transit planning model structured as a dynamic programming process. The number of stages and states involved in conjunction with service frequency and fleet size are identified here. In addition, results of the first phase model are coded as basic input for the second phase dy-

¹The actual application of the model was performed by the TSOS system of Newark College of Engineering and the MPS/360 Linear program of Princeton University.

namic programming model. This input identifies the optimal transit operation during one time period. For example, each input represents the optimal transit operation cost for the corresponding individual state of service frequency.

Evaluation of Cost Impacts of the Optimum Transit System

The optimum transit system configuration is the final result of the model application. The optimum system is intended to provide a transit service which is optimal in terms of transit routing, headway and fleet size for all service periods.

As indicated in the case study which is tested on a computer system² in the context of a real world problem, the two phase joint transit model is capable of analyzing the cost impact of numerous transit system alternatives. The transit alternatives arise when the transit planner varies transit system configurations. In fact, there are almost an infinite number of variations of transit routes, headways and fleet sizes for each individual schedule period. Among these variations, a certain alternative is found to provide better service than others in terms of the annual total transit planning cost, a criterion developed for a transit system evaluation.

²For flexibility in the use of package linear programs, computer input coding is also provided for other package programs such as SSLP of RCA and LINPRO of Amos Tuck School of Hanover, New Hampshire.

Using this criterion and the results from the model, the transit system alternatives can be compared with one another. This comparison is very useful when planning a new bus route or reevaluating an existing route since the transit planner needs an estimate of the potential cost savings that any of the new system variations would produce. For this reason, the cost savings of the optimal transit system is estimated within the accuracy of increments³ selected for the analysis of service frequency and fleet size. The incremental costs resulting from the variations of a route, headway and fleet size are derived based on the computer output of the transit model and tabulated in Tables from 3 through 7, inclusive.

The principal comparison made between the optimum transit system and another system configuration is the total transit planning cost for one whole year of transit service within the study area. As already discussed, the total planning cost includes passenger cost, bus operating cost, passenger revenue and annual bus ownership cost.

The optimal system is proved superior to another sub-optimal transit system with regard to the annual total transit planning cost. These tables showing cost comparisons among various alternatives can be readily understood. However, in order to gain a full

 $^{^{3}}$ This refers to both service frequency and fleet size increments.

understanding of the impacts of the optimal system on transit cost, a further detail review is made here.

The cost comparison illustrated in Table 3 examines the cost implications of abandoning the new proposed route. As indicated in Table 3, a significant cost difference is recognized. For example, the installation of the new transit route with the optimal headway and fleet size yields a significant reduction of cost over the existing system. The percentage reduction of the total transit planning cost for the entire period. is 26.6 percent of the existing cost. This comparison indicates that by adding the new route to the existing transit routes and by operating the optimal service frequency and fleet size on the route recommended by the transit model, a total 26.6 percent of the annual total cost, that is, the sum of passenger cost, bus operating cost, passenger revenue and the annual bus ownership cost, can be saved. This cost reduction clearly recommends the installation of the new route at the specific location with the recommended service capacity as to service frequency and fleet size as derived from the optimal transit system analysis.

Of the six schedule periods, schedule periods 4 (weekday off-peak period) and 5 (weekday A.M. peak period) have most significant cost savings of 77.5 and 57.9 percent cost savings respectively over the same existing schedule periods. The optimal fleet size along the new route is 10 buses from Sunday period to Saturday off-peak period, and 15 buses during A.M.

TABLE 3

COST COMPARISON 1

OPTIMAL FLEET SIZE AND OPTIMAL SERVICE FREQUENCY VERSUS EXISTING SYSTEM

No.	Schedule Period	Optimal Fleet Size	Optimal Transit Planning Cost	Transit Planning Cost for Existing System	Cost Ratio	Percent Difference
1	Sun.	10	1,930	2,287	1.185	18.5%
2	Sat. Off.	10	974	1,192	1.224	22.4%
3	Week Off	10	4,974	6,190	1.245	24.5%
4	Sat. Peak	10	129	229	1.775	77.5%
5	A.M. Peak	15	922	1,456	1.579	57.9%
6	P.M. Peak	15	1,495	1,847	1.236	23.6%
	Annual Cost		10,424	13,201	1.266	26.6%

Note: All costs are in Thousand Dollars.

111

peak and P.M. peak periods.

Table 4 shows the interrelationship between optimal service frequency and base fleet size during every individual schedule period. As defined earlier, the base fleet refers to the number of buses introduced to the existing transit system to operate on the new route at the start of schedule period 1, Sunday period. The base fleet is intended to be utilized during all planning cycles and can be used as a good basis for determining a fleet size. As seen in the table, the service frequency is represented by the frequency state number which is a multiple of a unit service frequency. The total transit planning cost for different base fleet is based on the optimal transit operation costs and the annual bus ownership costs. As observed from the row of operation cost, the annual total transit operation cost decreases as the base fleet increases. This is because as base fleet increases, the service frequency that can be provided by the base fleet during any schedule period can be increased, thereby increasing the transit capacity of a route which may be more direct and economical to use for both the transit user and the operator.

However, the total transit operation cost decreased continuously up to a certain limit and then stays constant. This is because service provisions beyond the demand requirements tends to waste available bus fleet capacity even though only adequate headway is provided during schedule periods.

TABLE 4

				and the second se	and the second sec	the second se			
Base F1't. Scd. Prd.	0	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40
P.M.Peak	0	0	0	50	50	50	50	50	50
A.M. Peak	0	0	0	50	50	50	50	50	50
Sat. Peak	0	0	50	50	50	50	50	50	50
Week Off	0	50	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Sat. Off	0	100	150	150	150	150	150	150	150
Sun	0	100	150	150	150	150	150	150	150
Ann. Tran. Oper. Cost	13,201	11,868	11,259	10,357	10,357	10,357	10,357	10,357	10,357
Ann. Bus Own. Cost	0	25	50	75	100	125	150	175	200
Annual Tot. Cost	13,201	11,893	11,309	10,432	10,457	10,482	10,507	10,532	10,557

OPTIMAL FREQUENCY FOR DIFFERENT BASE FLEET

Note: All costs are in thousand dollars Frequency is bus runs per period 113

In contrast, the annual ownership cost of bus vehicles increases monotonically as the base fleet increases in its number. This is because a certain amount of ownership cost is required to retain a specific fleet size regardless of their actual use on bus routes.

Another cost comparison between the optimal transit planning cost and the sub-optimal transit planning cost which is based on variable fleet size and the optimal service frequency provided by the corresponding fleet size is tabulated in Table 5. Here, the optimal transit planning cost, of course, refers to that which is based on the optimal service frequency and fleet size derived from the model. As realized from the column of the differential cost of the table, the total transit planning cost of the sub-optimal system is varying depending upon the fleet size being retained for the entire schedule period. The sub-optimal transit planning cost for a specific fleet size includes the annual total transit operation cost and the bus ownership cost for the given fleet size. The transit operation cost considered here is for the optimal service frequency that can be provided by the corresponding fleet size.

The highest difference of the total transit planning cost is between the optimum transit planning cost and that for fleet size of zero. The difference is 26.6 percent and it is the same with the cost difference already discussed in the previous Table 3.

One interesting implication of the incremental cost in conjunction with fleet size is observed for a fleet size of 15. The

TABLE 5

COST COMPARISON 2

INCREMENTAL FLEET SIZE AND OPTIMAL SERVICE FREQUENCY VERSUS OPTIMAL FLEET SIZE AND OPTIMAL SERVICE FREQUENCY

Fleet Size	Transit Planning Cost	Optimal Transit Planning Cost	Cost Ratio	Percent Difference
0	13,201	10,424	1.266	26.6%
5	11,893	10,424	1.141	14.1%
10	- 11,309	10,424	1.085	8.5%
15	10,432	10,424	1.001	0.1%
20	10,457	10,424	1.003	0.3%
25	10,482	10,424	1.006	0.6%
30	10,507	10,424	1.008	0.8%
35	10,532	10,424	1.010	1.0%
40	10,557	10,424	1.013	1.3%

Note: All costs are in Thousand Dollars

115

.

difference between the optimal transit planning cost and that for a fleet size of 15, even though it is very small, indicates that the optimal fleet size for the overall period may not necessarily be the optimal fleet size during different periods. This is especially true when a bus fleet can be introduced into the existing system at the middle of the transit planning cycle at a reduced ownership cost. The fixed optimal fleet size of 15 for the entire planning cycle will cost 0.1 percent more than the optimal fleet size which is flexible to vary with 10 buses for schedule periods from Sunday through Saturday peak periods and 15 buses for A.M. and P.M. peak periods. The fleet size that produces the second highest differential transit planning cost is fleet size during A.M. peak periods which cost 14.1 percent more of the total transit planning cost.

In conjunction with service frequency provided by the optimal fleet size during each schedule period, another interesting cost implication of service frequency is presented in Table 6. Table 6 illustrates the relationship between the optimal transit planning cost and that for maximum service frequency provided by the optimal fleet size during individual schedule periods. As shown in the row of schedule period 3, this schedule period has the optimal fleet size of 10 and the optimal service frequency of 100 which jointly incur the optimal transit planning cost for the same schedule period. The optimal cost is 1.8 percent less than that for the maximum service frequency that can be provided by the

TABLE **6**

COST COMPARISON 3

OPTIMAL FLEET SIZE AND OPTIMAL SERVICE FREQUENCY VERSUS OPTIMAL FLEET SIZE AND MAXIMUM SERVICE FREQUENCY

No.	Schedule Period	Optimal Fleet Size	Optimal Frequency	Optimal Transit Planning Cosț	Maximum Service Frequency	Transit Planning Cost For Max. Frequency	Cost Ratio	Percent Difference
1	Sun.	10	150	1,930	150	1,930	1.000	0.0%
2	Sat. Off	10	150	974	150	974	1.000	0.0%
3	Week Off	10	100	4,974	150	5,061	1.018	1.8%
4	Sat. Peak	10	50	129	50	129	1.000	0.0%
5	A.M. Peak	15 _	50	922	50	922	1.000	0.0%
6	P.M. Peak	15	50	1,495	50	1,495	1.000	0.0%
	Annual Cost			10,424		10,511	1.008	0.8%

Note: Frequency is bus runs per period

117

same optimal fleet size of weekday off-peak periods. This differential cost indicates that the available bus fleet need not be fully utilized during a particular period, but to provide just enough service in order to minimize the bus operating cost. However, for the efficient system operation, most schedule periods should fully utilize available revenue-producing bus vehicles as shown in the column of the differential costs. All schedule periods other than the schedule period 3, differential costs are zeros indicating the maximum service frequencies are fully utilized.

Computation of Service Frequency

This application aims at two related objectives. The first is to compute the service frequency required during each schedule period for the new bus route. This service frequency computation is performed provided that the route is feasible based on transit cost reductions. The second is to expand this computation to include the derivation of service frequencies for existing bus routes.

The transit operations model structured in the linear programming problem is capable of analyzing the system cost effects of increasing or reducing the service frequency along a well defined route.

The needs of this application arise when bus passenger demand patterns have varied in such a way that will necessitate a change of headway. This analysis also applies when the transit planner expects either a change of travel paths between major activity centers, or a change of transit operating speed on major street links due to the modification of traffic operational characteristics. For example, provision of exclusive bus lanes for the expedition of bus operations, relocation of bus stops and general traffic engineering improvements for circulation, i.e. signal progression, street widening and installation of one way streets, have pronounced effects on bus transit operations.⁴ Improvements such as these may affect costs for both the transit operator and the passenger.

When the transit planner has to deal with these situations, he has the planning alternatives of service increase, service reduction or total service abandonment. Before any alternate is selected as a solution, the transit planner has to analyze the potential cost impact of different alternatives. Furthermore, the incremental cost of service reduction or expansion must be known so that the selection of a solution will provide all necessary information on potential cost savings and the required service amounts.

⁴For example, over 800 buses bypass congestion on New Jersey I-495 near Lincoln Tunnel via the Exclusive Bus Lane during three morning peak hours. The Exclusive Bus Lane was implemented in December, 1971 under the Urban Corridor Demonstration Program and saves 15 minutes per person on the average totaling approximately 2 million passenger-hours annually. The Exclusive Bus Lane also attracted additional 2,300 daily morning peak-period riders representing a 6 percent increase in 1971. For further information, see Goodman (88).

In order to apply the transit model for the computation of service frequency and associated transit operation cost, data must be collected on changes of transit demand and transit operational characteristics. Such data is usually collected by means of a transit survey and consists of passenger Origin and Destination information, bus speed and delay data and travel paths among major nodes.

The Origin and Destination information is usually collected over a period of a week and then further broken down into individual schedule periods such as the weekday morning peak period, off-peak period etc., to represent significant passenger demand variations over time. The speed and delay data is collected in the form of travel speeds on street links and delays caused by traffic signals and congestion.

If the problem is to examine the cost impact of changing service frequency on the bus route, the necessary data such as demands, travel time, operating cost, load factor, monetary value of passenger time and fare are entered into the model in order to compute the optimal transit operation cost for each state of service frequency and related passenger and bus flows. Next, if the problem is to examine the cost impact of changes in existing service on the total system, the input data to the model must be modified by considering the existing service frequency of the route under investigation. Once the transit operation cost for different frequency increments is computed, the difference between the optimal cost and sub-optimal cost is computed. The percentage differences of these two costs are calculated by dividing the cost difference by the optimal transit operation cost as shown in Table 7. The result is a product of the first phase model and does not include the bus ownership costs for the provision of service frequency. However, for relative cost comparison, the result is significant with an average 27.5 percent cost difference for all periods and the highest, a 77.5 percent difference for Saturday peak periods. More specifically, the implementation of the optimal service frequency will reduce the transit operation cost by 27.5 percent on the average for all periods.

The first column of Table 7 refers to the schedule period for which a demand profile and the existing transit service are known. The second column represents the transit operation cost, while the optimal service frequency for the same schedule period is shown in column 3. Column 4 offers the maximum transit operation cost while column 5 shows the service frequency which causes the maximum transit operation costs within the range of available frequency states. The zero frequencies derived indicate that a lack of service frequency increases the transit operation cost by increasing passenger costs. For example, during A.M. peak periods, minimum cost is incurred with service frequency of 50, while without any service, the cost is increased by 60.9 percent

TABLE 7

COST COMPARISON 4

.

MINIMUM TRANSIT OPERATION COST VERSUS MAXIMUM TRANSIT OPERATION COST

.

·	1		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·	
No.	Schedule Period	Minimum Transit Operation Cost	Service Frequency For Min. Cost	Maximum Transit Operation Cost	Service Frequency For Max. Cost	Cost Ratio	Percent Dfference
1	Sun.	1,880	150	2,287	0	1.217	21.7%
2	Sat. Off	974	150	1,192	0	1.224	22.4%
3	Week Off	4,974	100	6,190	0	1.245	24.5%
4	Sat. Peak	129	50	229	0	1.775	77.5%
5	A.M. Peak	905	50	1,456	0	1.609	60.9%
6	P.M. Peak	1,495	50	1,847	0	1.236	23.6%
	Annual Cost	10,357		13,201		1.275	27.5%

Note: All costs are in Thousand Dollars Frequency is bus runs per period 122

of the minimum cost. The optimal service frequencies derived here are based on system effects of new service on the whole system, however, they do not take fleet size into account. In other words, the fleet size does not impose constraining conditions upon the computation of these service frequencies. For service frequency constrained by fleet size, the results of the joint two phase transit model should be used.

Feasibility of a New Bus Route

Once the optimal transit operation cost is determined for each frequency state over the entire range of schedule periods, a bus fleet size cost matrix can be developed for the purpose of computing the fleet size and service frequency that produce the minimum annual transit planning cost.

As realized from the transit operation cost analysis, a saving of transit operation cost can be made by increasing the service frequency. However, an excessive increase of frequency will incur very high cost because of the increase of the bus operating cost. Therefore, the increase of service frequency should be made just enough in order to optimize cost savings.

A given fleet size can make only a limited number of bus runs during a specific period. Consequently, in order to increase service frequency, fleet size must be increased. If fleet size is increased, the fleet is going to remain in the system continuously. Therefore, the cost saving made from the increase of service frequency and new additional cost from the increase of fleet size should be compared with each other. This comparison is to determine whether to increase service frequency and, if a route addition is economically feasible, then to determine the required amount of service and the associated fleet size.

Based on service requirements and total transit planning cost, the optimal transit system configuration is arrived at using the transit model. As an optimal solution, the transit model may generate zero service frequency and zero fleet size on the proposed bus route for all schedule periods. For example, the transit service provided by the existing system without a new route may incur less cost to society than a new system with an additional new route.

This clearly indicates that the new proposed route under investigation is not feasible because the cost saving cannot justify the additional cost for the new route. The solution from the model considers all possible interrelations between the existing system and the new system as well as among schedule periods within each system. Therefore, the solution of the model can be used as an objective basis for evaluating the feasibility of the new proposed route.

Computation of Fleet Size

The problem of computing benefits of different fleet sizes appears in the model as the aggregation of differences between the benefits and costs generated by variations of fleet size. The principal concern of the transit planner in determining the optimal fleet size is to decide how many buses to retain during what schedule period and by what type of ownership, i.e. rent or own. Another problem occurs when the transit planner has to evaluate the cost impact of different fleet sizes either for the capital improvement of existing route or for justification of government subsidy for the addition of new service.

The answer to the former problem is particularly important in a situation where the passenger demand fluctuates greatly over different periods. In this case, the required fleet size should be adjusted accordingly. The latter problem also presents a complex question of how the optimal fleet size should be determined with regard to available funds, user requirements and existing service conditions.

In computing the fleet size, the basic data for the first phase model should be collected as discussed in the section of computation of service frequency. In addition to this data, fleet size increment, maximum range of increments, vehicle ownership cost, bus runs per period and schedule period weighting factors are entered into the second phase transit planning model. The model then sets the possible range of service frequencies from which the optimal service frequency is derived. The model compares systematically the cost savings of the optimal fleet size which provides, in turn, the optimal service frequency, by means of computerized dynamic programming routine.

The results of the model show varying fleet sizes during different schedule periods and the optimal service frequency that should be provided by each fleet size. Consequently, the transit planner can obtain fleet sizes during both individual and overall schedule periods, and also total transit planning cost which can be utilized for the transit policy decision-making process.

Impact of Parameter Variations Upon Transit Policy

Many aspects of transit operation and planning are represented by the transit model which is composed of various transit parameters. Change or modification of one or more of these parameters can have a profound effect on the service frequency, fleet size and the total cost. These changes may be either system wide or confined to a specific transit route.

Transit parameters can be generally grouped into three categories: transit user oriented, transit operator oriented and the network system oriented. As user oriented parameters, minimum passenger demand, passenger origin and destination information, demand distribution over time and space, load factors and passenger time cost can be chosen. The transit operator oriented parameters of, operating cost, ownership cost, budget, weighting factors, existing service frequency, existing fleet size and fare structure can be altered to fit particular service condition. In addition, as a system oriented parameters, different values for link operating speed, delay, bus stop location and street network can be selected.

The transit model can compute the cost impacts of changes in the transit parameters if the change is coded and entered into the model. Based on these changed parameters, the model computes all controllable decision variables such as passenger flow and bus headway in such a way that the total transit planning cost can be minimized. Once the new optimum transit planning cost for one set of parameters is derived by the model, it can be computed with another cost which is based on different parameters.

Likewise, a series of cost computations can be made for varying assumptions in transit user requirements, service conditons and system characteristics. This computation enables the transit planner to evaluate effects of transit parameter variations upon the total cost and the system consigurations.

As an illustrative example, the model is tested for its sensitivity to the variation of bus ownership cost. The bus ownership cost of the original transit input data is replaced with a new ownership cost to form a second set of input data. Appendix E shows the results of this additional application of the model using the second set of the input data. As a new ownership cost, a figure which is much higher than the original one is used. The new high ownership cost is based on the assumption that the capital invesment for the retainment of the bus fleet is much more valuable than

CALCULATION =====>

PAT	HM	ATR	IX		
STATE/STAGE	.1	2.	3	4	5
1	3	3	3	4	4
2	3	3	3	4	4
3	3	3	3	4	4
4	4	4	4	4	4
5	5	5	5	5	5
6	6	6	6	6	6
7	7	7	7	7	7
8	8	8	8	8	8
9	9	9	9	9	9

* * * * * * * * * * BUS SYSTEM STUDY RESULTS * * * * * * * * * * * *

•

| | SCHEDULE P | ER | 101 | D | FLEET | SIZE |
|-----------|------------|----|-----|----|-------|------|
| SUN | 10 | В | US. | ES | | |
| SAT OFF | 10 | B | US | ES | | |
| WEEK OFF | 10 | В | US. | ES | | |
| SAT PEAK | 10 | В | US | ES | | |
| A.N. PEAK | (15 | В | US. | ES | | |
| P.N. PEAK | 15 | В | US | ES | | |
| | | | | | | |

| SCHEDULE PERIOD | SERVICE FREQUENCY |
|-----------------|-------------------|
| SUN | 150 DISPATCHES |
| SAT OFF | 150 DISPATCHES |
| VEEK OFF | 100 DISPATCHES |
| SAT PEAK | 50 DISPATCHES |
| A.M. PEAK | 50 DISPATCHES |
| P.M. PEAK | 50 DISPATCHES |

OPTIMUM FLEET SIZE OF PROPOSED BUS ROUTE IS 15 BUSES

FIGURE 9 BUS TRANSIT POLICY DECISION PATH MATRIX

the transit planning cost as discussed earlier in Chapter V. The high value of ownership cost is because of the fact that the transit planning cost includes the passenger time cost while the ownership cost does not.

The effect of the higher ownership cost is clearly indicated by the results of the model which recommends to drop the proposed bus route for an overall optimum transit system. The decision path taken for this case is different from the original transit policy path matrix shown in Figure 9.

Summary

In summary, the application of the two phase transit model to a specific bus transit study demonstrated that the model is useful in measuring cost impacts of bus transit system configurations. Specifically, the model can be used, within the present limitations of the model, in the evaluation of the optimum versus sub-optimum transit systems, computation of service frequency, feasibility of a new route, computation of fleet size and effects of parameter variations upon transit policy. The evaluation of computational results found that the new transit model is an effective aid in estimating quickly and efficiently, impacts of transit system improvements and revisions upon transit service to the community.

CHAPTER VII

CONCLUSIONS AND RESEARCH RECOMMENDATIONS

General Conclusions

A systems approach to the optimal design of a fixed route bus transit system has demonstrated its value and advantage as an analytical bus transit planning tool. This tool developed in the form of two phase bus transit model, is especially useful in analyzing a multitude of bus transit variables and their interactions. Various bus transit system alternatives and system effects of service modification also became apparent through the use of the model in a systematic investigation for determining the optimal transit system.

The formalization of bus transit problems in concise mathematical terms provides a better insight into the complexity of bus transit planning as well as a flexible and objective evaluative criterion for bus systems analysis. Based on this evaluative criterion, which includes such major components as bus operating cost, passenger cost, passenger revenue and bus ownership cost, an optimal transit system configuration is determined. The optimal transit system, then, provides valuable information as to required bus service frequency, fleet size and route configuration for an overall optimal system. In addition, the model provides impacts of bus transit parameter variations on the system performance.

The model developed in this research is operational within the limitations discussed elsewhere herein and with the present data source. An optimal bus transit system for the study corridor has been generated by using the transit model and found to be a significant improvement over the existing system. Chapter VI contains a detailed discussion of the application of the model.

The model was developed to investigate both the fixed nature of bus transit operation during one schedule period and the dynamic characteristics of transit improvements over entire schedule periods. Therefore, an analysis of the transit system using the two phase linear and dynamic programming model was helpful in determining the extension or curtailment of service, and the effective coordination of bus transit with other forms of transport in urban areas.

The model, which was programmed for a digital computer, made it technically and economically feasible to investigate complex urban transit problems. The results of modeling efforts also implied that major system components, i.e., the transit user, the operator and the transit system, can be integrated for a more realistic systems analysis.

Transit System Modeling Efforts

The study has been directed toward developing a transit model that can be used to analyze and determine the optimal planning of a fixed route bus transit system through the use of a systems approach. For this end, a number of efforts have been made to keep the analysis as practical and operational as possible. First, the complexity of the transit planning process and the time limitation involved with the planning of a transit system in a congested urban area requires the selection of efficient systems analysis tools for developing the model.

The systems tools applied in this reasearch are linear programming and dynamic programming techniques which pose logically consistent concepts as well as efficient computational routines. The use of these techniques keeps the formulation of the transit operation in concise and logical format while at the same time permits the analysis of systems effects both within the transit network and among schedule periods.

The second type of effort at keeping the transit model operational for a realistic problem is in the development of the decomposition concept of transit operations. The decomposition, the breakdown of transit operation and planning into smaller entities, is proved to be efficient for the identification and definition of the multitude of transit system configurations. The decomposition is carried out both in time and space.

The basic planning entities as broken down here, include the transit planning cycle of a week, schedule period, service increments and transit corridor design. The cycle of a week is a method devised to define the transit system on a continuous time scale, while the schedule period is to represent variations of the transit demand profile, service amounts, and the transit network properties within the cycle. The service increment is a flexible
measure of the magnitude of service frequency and fleet size during each schedule period. The corridor design is the geographical division of an urban area to represent uniform traffic characteristics.

The third way in which attempts are made to keep the model building internally consistent is through the use of the concept of degrees of freedom for modifying major transit system components. The transit route, fleet size and bus headway have different degrees of freedom. These components are restricted in their variation according to the order of their importance and impacts upon transit service for the community.

The restriction of variations of major transit system components enables the in-depth and exhaustive analysis of one system component before the next component or combination of components are analyzed. The restriction also facilitates the two phase development of the transit model. The first phase is for the transit operation during a single schedule period while the second phase model is directed toward the transit planning during multiple schedule periods by combining the analysis done in the first phase.

The fourth effort is directed toward the automation of transit planning techniques to provide quick and effective method for comprehensive transit system investigation. For this purpose, considerable efforts have been made to develop automatic computer routines for input data verification, data generation for the first phase transit operations model, and flexible data conversion for the use of available computer package programs.

Limitations of the Current Transit Model

In spite of many aforementioned efforts for comprehensive and operational model building, a number of assumptions are made to facilitate the study within the limits of a current data source and the time constraint of the research. Although some of the assumptions can be readily checked, others are much more difficult and require many years of research to obtain completely satisfactory results. The following list suggests the basic areas of limitations.

<u>Fixed Route</u>. With the concentration of regular bus transit demands and the limited street network suitable for bus routes in urban areas, it is most likely that regularly scheduled bus service should be on fixed routes. However, the transit planner may have ample reason to test variable route configurations. In this respect, the model is limited because its structure is based on the assumption that the revision of the route has the least freedom of change. It is possible to test a route with the model if the route is fixed during the planning cycle.

<u>The Transit Planning Cycle</u>. The predicted Origin and Destination information during various schedule periods of a cycle depends on the 24-hour average passenger demands which are derived from the census data. This method does not fully consider the fact that trip characteristics during each schedule period can be independent of the average 24-hour volume. It is also possible that transit demand may have monthly and seasonal variation in the area where recreational trip occupies an important portion of the total trip. With the use of a planning cycle, it is possible that the seasonal variation cannot be fully considered.

Load Factor and Demand Elasticity. The relationship between the transit service provided and the passenger demand realized is assumed to be a convex, non-linear function. This demand elasticity can be empirically derived. However, the accurate functional relationship between bus runs and passengers should be made based on trip purposes and trip makers. With the improvement of service, it is obvious that more passengers would be drawn to bus transit, but the load factors for different levels of service and their limits would need to be the subject of further research. The whole subject of transit demand elasticity is very important for balanced transit planning and it deserves an in-depth, long range analysis.

<u>Costing</u>. The monetary value of passenger time can be a subject of much speculation. In reality, the time values of walking, waiting and riding are somewhat different. The walking and waiting time may have higher value than that of riding time. In addition, the passenger waiting time is not considered as a separate cost item in the model. The present transit model can be expanded to include waiting time based on an average waiting time by considering a uniform bus headway and uniform passenger arrivals for a given service frequency. It is also possible that link operating time and cost may vary over time. In this respect, the present model cannot be readily applicable to accurate cost accounting. In addition, weighting factors for bus ownership cost need more rigorous investigation.

<u>Analytical Tool</u>. The passenger and bus flows in the resulting optimal system are based on the minimum cost path and do not preclude the possibility that individual passenger route preferences can be different from the theoretical minimum path. In addition, the structure of the first phase linear programming model and the second phase dynamic programming model is limited to the assumptions and constraints implicit in the techniques.

Implications of Results to the Study Objective

The results of this study have direct bearing on a number of transit planning problems for an efficient public transportation system in a congested urban area. Foremost in these implications is the development of a two phase transit model to meet the needs of the public transportation planning agency. The bus transit system modeling philosophy adopted in this research reveals that a model can represent the complex relationships among the multitude of bus system variables and parameters.

Particularly, this research demonstrated the value of systems techniques such as linear and dynamic programming tools in representing major bus transit components. However, some additional refinements of the methodology for the application of the systems techniques to large scale implementation may be necessary to make such an application both more practical and profitable. Derivation of the optimal transit operation during a given period and transit planning for overall periods requires analysis and investigation of a number of factors affecting bus transit performance and cost. However, because of interactive relationships among these factors affecting revenue, passenger cost and system operating and ownership cost, it is not adequate to analyze individual factors, taken one at a time.

Furthermore, a great number of bus transit system alternatives arise from variations of transit variables such as route, service frequency, fleet size and other operating policies. Accordingly, a systematic approach toward an analysis of the overall transit system will provide better insight into the complexity of bus transit planning in congested urban areas.

The primary purpose of this study is to develop an analytical technique to approach the transit planning problem from a systems viewpoint. The systematic approach aims at a better understanding of the complex interactions of transit system elements and to answer specific bus transit planning questions as:

1. Can addition or deletion of a bus route provide more feasible solution for an optimal system?

2. What is the optimum headway on the route being tested, given the existing bus routes and service?

3. What is the fleet size that offers maximum cost savings on the route, given the existing transit service, bus operating and ownership cost and other transit operating policies?

4. What are the bus and passenger flows that provide minimum transit operation cost?

5. What is the total operating cost, passenger revenue and passenger cost?

6. How does the change of network characteristics affect total cost?

7. How does the change of bus headway and fleet size affect total cost?

8. How does the bus ownership cost affect transit system configuration?

9. What will happen to the performance of the system when parameters of transit system vary?

10. When should the transit system provide more service and bus fleet?

The second implication of the study is the dynamic response of the transit model to fluctuations of various inputs to the transit system. The time varying and interrelated inputs are compiled from the basic transit system characteristics identified by the transit trip maker, the trip and the transit service. The dynamic procedures built into the model facilitate analysis of the transit system according to the transit system effectiveness derived as total transit operation and planning cost. Introduction of schedule periods, service frequency and fleet size states into the model make it possible to generate dynamic and plausible transit system configurations.

The third implication of the study has to do with the system effects of transit service. The solution by the model of the single period transit operation can be interpreted as a transit network equilibrium, i.e., as an assignment of passengers and buses over minimum path taking into account the capacity constraints of the network. This assignment considers existing transit service as well as proposed service on various routes. The solution also identifies system effects of one part of the system on other parts of the system. In addition, the progressive aggregation of single period transit operation over all schedule periods can be interpreted as the investigation of effects of transit system modification during one period on other periods. The solution of the model strongly indicates benefits obtainable from the analysis of transit system effects in time and space.

The fourth implication is the fact that even though the transit system analysis by the model is primarily concerned with one single route at a time, it can be also used to analyze the whole transit system. The application of the model in this regard indicates that an in-depth analysis of one route at a time can be more efficient and practical than a concurrent analysis of multiple routes.

The last implication of the modeling effort comes from the integration of major transit system components, i.e., the transit user, the operator and the transit system. Traditionally, the transit system analysis has been confined to the transit system network and the operator. For this reason, the model incorporates passenger costs with a built-in weighting factor and a parameter of passenger time into the derivation of the total transit planning cost. The passenger cost feature which includes time spent for walking, riding and transferring, allows the transit planner to weight major transit cost items flexibly according to transit policies. Thus, the value of passenger time can be adjusted according to prevalent local conditions. However, the equal treatment of passenger time cost with bus operating and ownership costs may make the passenger costs a major cost item in comparison with passenger revenue, bus operating cost and ownership cost. In a tight money market situation that requires more emphasis on available capital, the result of the model implies that passenger time value should be discounted, so that the bus operating and ownership cost can be more sensitive to the optimal transit policy decision.

The transit service improvement on line-haul is usually offset by the passenger inconvenience to get to the service and the waiting time for the service. This suggests that major efforts should be made to analyze the residential collection and the downtown distribution, more specifically, passenger time spent for walking, waiting and transferring. In order to provide for future refinement, the transit model has a structural capability for integrating bus passengers' walking, waiting and transferring on an average basis.

Future Research

A joint linear and dynamic programming transit model has been developed specifically to fit the context of a bus transit system in an urban area. However, it is emphasized that the model is not a finished product, but rather a prototype which provides guidelines and insight into solutions of complex urban transit problems. The study is basically experimental and has left many relevant questions and hypotheses unanswered. Additional research is needed in three related areas. They are (1) the development of an efficient data collection mechanism, (2) the derivation of validated bus transit parameters, and (3) the refinement of computational procedures.

<u>Data Collection</u>. The successful planning of a bus transit system depends mainly on the availability of data. Accurate passenger demands and Origin and Destination information are especially vital. The bus headway and transit route configurations must be constantly analyzed and modified to meet greatly changing conditions and varying needs of transit passengers. The amount of work involved in traffic counts, balance and projection to the future is considerable. The collected data and counts also must be reduced and summarized for their efficient use in the transit system analysis. A high speed automatic data collection method should be developed to facilitate continuous transit system analysis within time and budget limits of the transit planning agency.

Bus Transit Parameters. The complex transit system in urban areas is represented by a number of parameters and variables. Without validated parameters, the results may not be reliable. Especially transit demand elasticity which refers to the relationship between anticipated passenger demand and the provided transit service deserves further research. The derivation of transit demand elasticity is a difficult task because anticipated passenger demand is a function of many social and economic variables such as trip purpose, time, car ownership, income, sex and relative travel time ratio just to name a few. The transit demand elasticity and load factors approximated from it may be synthesized from comprehensive existing transit data. However, since the new ridership, drawn by better service may not have the same characteristics as existing transit patronage, there is a need for developing an advanced method of forecasting bus transit usage which can integrate the most relevant socio-economic factors affecting both existing and new bus ridership. In conjunction with parameters, the cost weighting factors introduced in the model should be more accurately validated by using detailed transit cost models.

<u>Computational Procedures</u>. Once a viable data base is obtained, it is possible to analyze an actual bus transit system network in an urban area in order to determine the optimal transit system configuration. However, the size of problem is relatively large even for a small network due to the great number of Origin and Destination pairs and potential trip paths. The analysis and computation of the model is very time consuming and inefficient, especially for the first phase transit model structured in linear programming. Accordingly, a more efficient computational algorithm should be developed to make the application of the model to a larger area reasonably quick and efficient.

Summation

It is the intent of this research to apply the systems approach to the description and investigation of the bus transit system in an urban area. Based on this approach, the dynamic interactions of urban bus transit system components can be efficiently analyzed by using the two phase model.

In this research, two innovative concepts are incorporated into the bus transit model. The first notion is that a transit system should be analyzed from the total systems viewpoint. The second is that complex transit system improvements can be systematically investigated by an analytical model such as is suggested here. The application of these concepts in the context of urban transit systems analysis is shown to be both rewarding and educational.

In summation, a continued study and refinement of the model in the areas of efficient collection of transit data, derivation of valid transit parameters and the improvement of computational procedures can raise the efficiency of the operational model of urban transit system planning. The two phase transit model developed in this research has demonstrated its utility as a tool for dynamic transit improvement planning for the simplified study area. Using this transit model, the public transportation planner and the transit operator could provide much greater efficient and effective transit system in congested urban areas.

APPENDIX A

SUMMARY OF NOTATION

| Α | = | Chain-link incidence matrix |
|-------------------|---|---|
| AOWC | = | Annual ownership cost |
| B ⁿ | = | Budget limit during nth period for bus operation |
| С | = | Column vector of link bus capacity |
| C' | = | Column Vector of physical link capacity |
| $D(f^n, f^{n-1})$ | - | Decision cost to transform fleet size from f^{n-1} to f^n |
| DL | = | Layover Time |
| DT | = | Turn Around Time |
| E | = | Incidence Number |
| F | = | Fare for dth demand |
| FN ⁿ | = | Fleet size during nth schedule period n |
| Н | = | Headway |
| I | = | Rate of return |
| L _u | = | Load factor for "u" level of service |
| Ν | = | Maximum bus passenger origin and destination number |
| Nc | = | Maximum chain number |
| N ₁ ' | = | Maximum link number |
| N _s | = | Maximum schedule period number |
| OC | = | Operating cost of link |
| P ⁿ | = | Number of hours in nth schedule period |
| PR | = | Purchase price |
| ROC | = | Route operating cost |

| S | = | Net Salvage value |
|---------------------------------|---|--|
| sb^n | = | Schedule budget for nth period |
| Т | = | Row vector of link running time |
| U ⁿ | = | Transit operation cost for nth period |
| $\hat{\mathbf{U}}^{\mathbf{n}}$ | = | Optimal transit operation cost for nth period |
| ט' | = | Upper limit of load factor |
| v ⁿ | = | Total transit planning cost for period \ge n |
| VN | = | Number of bus purchased |
| W | Ξ | Row vector of bus passenger cost |
| Х | = | Decision variables for assigned passengers |
| с | = | Chain number |
| d | = | Demand number |
| k | = | Number of fleet size increment |
| 1' | = | Link number |
| m | = | Number of frequency increments |
| n | = | Variable schedule period |
| r | = | Average passenger demand |
| t | = | Estimated service life of bus vehicle |
| u | = | Level of service |
| v | = | Schedule speed |
| a | = | Weighting factor of ownership cost |
| b | = | Weighting factor of route operating cost |
| е | = | Weighting factor of ownership cost |
| Δ | = | Unit increment of frequency |
| f | Ξ | Passenger distribution factor |
| θ | = | Unit increment of fleet size |

APPENDIX B

REVIEW OF RELATED LITERATURE

Introduction

In order to acquire an understanding of current bus transit system planning techniques and research works, a substantial effort has been devoted to examining previous studies and then applications to the planning of a bus transit system. The previous research efforts can be generally categorized into studies of socio-economic impact, operational policy and bus hardware innovations. Within these broad categories, a review of the relevant literature and its relationship to this study was made with respect to components of a bus transit system, relevant transit factors, bus transit problem formulation and the selection of a solution method.

The components of a transit system are those attributes that characterize the transit service. They are the transit user, the system operator and the system itself. The relevant factors to be considered in conjunction with a transit study are those measures that affect the transit service and should be considered for the solution of the transit problem. Some of the more relevant factors are operating speed, delay and costs.

Furthermore, the transit system can be viewed from many different points. For example, the system can be analyzed from the bus management point of view while it is also possible to examine the service from the user's point of view. Accordingly, the transit system can be formulated in a variety of ways to identify problems. The solution method refers to the approaches to the problem, i.e. heuristic or mathematical programming techniques employed for the solution of the formulated transit problem. The review of previous study is made according to (1) transit system components (2) relevant factors (3) formalizing of problems and (4) solution methods.

Transit System Components

Much research has been conducted for the investigation of transit system components. This research has been concentrated especially in the area of the transit model building, computer simulation, scheduling, inventory analysis and operating cost analysis.

In addition, the transit user and operator requirements were also investigated. The questions related to user benefit which should be also reviewed from a management point of view include network flow and structure, fare structure, vehicle size, fleet size, manpower assignment, terminal requirements and location, and bus priority consideration.

In the area of network flow many researchers have made theoretical contributions. The flows on network links are, however, determined by traffic assignment techniques. The simplest assignment is "all or nothing" which assigns demand according to a minimum time path (or cost path) between origin and destination. Another variation of this is the assignment by recomputing travel time after considering capacity constraints of links. The assignment is continued until system reaches equilibrium. Another method of determining the traffic flow is by linear programming methods which attempt to minimize overall travel time subject to resource constraints such as equipment and manpower. Manheim (34) and Tomlins (44) are concerned with determining network flows in equilibrium through linear programming. Synthesis of networks were the concern of many researchers such as Carter and Stowers (6), Quandt (39), Hershdorfer (90), Hay, Morlok and Charnes (24) and Ocha-Rossa (103). The practicality of the models manifested especially by Hershdorfer, who developed a model to design urban system networks by determining optimal link additions and directionality of traffic flow, and Hay, Morlok and Charnes (24) whose model determined the optimal mix of rapid transit and highway capacity.

The user benefits have been analyzed broadly in two categories. The first category measures individual travel properties. These properties include trip purpose, fare and level of service, passenger preference between departure oriented or arrival oriented (123), and duration of trip as was considered in the computerized school bus model by Tracz and Norman (45). The second category tries to aggregate the user satisfaction in terms of total travel time,

149

total delay and waiting time, and demand elasticity as in the case of Webster (120).

The user requirements usually impose such constraints as maximum walking distance to a bus stop, maximum waiting time, and clear information on scheduling. Maximum walking distance depends on route network, bus stop organization and user characteristics. Peterson (37), investigated the average walking distance by people in the Washington, D.C. residential area. By considering car ownership and socio-economic status, walking patterns of people from their home to a bus stop was analyzed and statistically computed to get the mean walking distance, standard deviation and standard error. The source of data was an Origin-Destination questionnaire completed by selected bus riders in Washington, D.C.

Maximum waiting time is related to bus headway and to the vacancy of bus seats. The headway is, in turn, directly related to number of bus dispatches over the route network. The cost of operating a certain size of bus fleet is primarily due to the number of required dispatches. This is the reason why the scheduling problem is one of the most relevant factors in bus transit system operation.

Relevant Factors

The factors affecting transit service are mainly operating speed, delay, headway, cost and traffic engineering features. All these factors are closely related to bus transit scheduling. Therefore, scheduling is a sensitive element of transit system improvement. Scheduling refers to such functions as selecting vehicle headways, constructing time tables and dispatching vehicles for trips. Scheduling is a complex and time consuming task. A high speed ground transportation simulation by Crane (75) and the airline simulation projects by Kingsley (28) concern the quantitative measures of scheduling in terms of cost and utility performance.

The determination of the required vehicle inventory for implementing a fixed timetable was given much attention by transportaon researchers. Seshagiri, et. al. (40) studied bus schedules for large bus transport network, and Lines, Lampkin and Saalmans (30) for a municipal bus undertaking were concerned with computing minimum vehicle requirements as part of overall schedule determinations. In addition, Simpson (112) has included minimum fleet size for an air-bus system. In the context of railroad systems, White and Wrathall (121) dealt with a problem of scheduling the actual movement of all cars.

In the context of real world bus system, Tracz and Norman (45), have developed a computerized approach for route design, vehicle assignment and time table development for a school bus system. Others such as Eliaas (81), and Lines, Lampkin and Saalmans (30) have directed their investigations to obtain a methodology for economic scheduling.

In a study by Lines, et al. (30) the travelling requirement

of the public was defined by demand nodes and matrices for different days and different periods of each day. The problem was simplified by assuming that there is no short-term relationship between service and usage, consequently the income was assumed the same for all plans, and the differences in profit between different schemes were the differences in cost.

Further simplification was made with the approximation that the major bus transit operating cost consists of only bus crews, and so minimizing total travel time subject to a given crew strength is equivalent to minimizing total travel time subject to a fixed level of profit. The problem of choosing service frequencies was formulated as the minimization of the total travel time subject to the total fleet size. An heuristic algorithm was developed in order to produce the necessary route network. When routes and frequencies had been determined for each period, timetables were drawn up, and bus and crew schedules prepared.

Another element of bus transit system improvement closer to real world problem is a traffic engineering application to efficient and smooth bus system operation on existing street networks. The techniques considered usually include bus priority and traffic control, park and ride, bus stop location and access. Bus stop locations and lengths (70) were investigated in relation to safety and traffic flow. The advantages and disadvantages of bus stop locations i.e., near side, far side and mid-block were analyzed in reference to various bus and traffic movements. Besides the location of bus stops, the overall organization of stops into express and local stops for different service modes are important for system utility since each added stop generally decreases the average bus operating speed, increases delays for a majority of the passengers and causes traffic congestion. Little work has been done in this Black (3) was concerned with determining a break point on area. radial routes of rail transit to employ local trains between Central Business District and the breakpoint and express trains carrying through passengers non-stop from the breakpoint to Central Business District. The total cost consisting of equipment cost, construction cost and travel cost was expressed as a function of the location of the breakpoint from Central Business District. The practice of bus stops for freeway operation has been reviewed by Homburger and et al. (60), and Rainville (108).

Determination of operating cost is another essential element of bus transit improvement. Operating cost is usually a function of route miles, route running time, required number of vehicles, vehicle-miles, vehicle-hours, layover time and efficiency of scheduling. These items are an integrated part of every transit improvement study in part or in combination. Studies done by Nemhauser (36), Ward (119), Devanney (77), and Lines, Lampkins and Saalmans (30) are directly concerned with this aspect of bus undertaking. In addition, user costs such as walking distance, waiting time, stop and delay and maximum speed cost are considered.

In the area of bus operation run-cutting, several attempts

were made to computerize the assignment of crew and vehicle in bus transit. Elias (81) formulated this problem through methematical programming. Integer linear programming was used and the objective function was set up to include splitting of runs as decision variables. For even a simple route, it was discovered that the model is considerably beyond the ability of current integer programming algorithms. Accordingly, heuristic programming techniques were developed to simplify the problem.

Formalizing the Problems

The problems of urban bus transit operations are complex. Their complexity requires the use of many different methods to relate diverse system elements to the system objective. Previous researchers have placed emphasis on different aspects of transit system elements.

In the area of determining optimum bus service by developing optimal route, frequency, bus sizes and service mode, several efforts have been made. Webster (120) estimated the effect of London car commuters transferring to bus travel. The possibility of using several different sizes of buses were investigated by assuming that all commuters are transferring to alternate system of uniform size of bus. Such factors as passenger car unit equivalents of different size buses at intersections, passenger carrying capacity of street, vehicle travel speed as a function of traffic flow, total travel time and route density were considered to compute the cost to operators and the cost to passengers in terms of time and direct expenditures. The total travel time was calculated after considering the effects of bus stops and bus flows on traveling speed, and also the effect of route density and service interval on minimum waiting and walking times. All these calculations were based on the assumption that buses are running in uniform urban area and all figures are related to average journeys and not to a particular one. Therefore, it does not provide any information on the actual route location and timetable construction.

Another simple theoretical model of bus service was also concerned with a large uniform area. Holroyd (92) developed a method of finding the optimum bus routes and frequencies in a large uniform area with a grid system of routes and the same frequency of buses on each route. Formulae are derived to give the average times on the trip spent walking, waiting and riding buses in terms of the parameters of the model. The optimum route spacing and frequency minimizing the system objective such as the time cost of travel plus cost of providing bus service were calculated Mathematically.

An area of bus transit improvement that concerns researchers is the development of a method for analyzing bus transit system on a computer to determine the usefulness of an alternate system in comparison with the existing system configuration. Seshagiri, et al. (40) developed a method of analyzing a large transport network on a digital computer to improve the utilization of buses and the duty allocation for the crew without collecting extensive data. The approach to the problem solution was to minimize the sum of the fixed cost and the variable cost with the parameter values lying between the lower and upper bounds prescribed by the problem. The objective function to find its minimum was represented in terms of vacancies, distances between stops and the capacity of a bus. Mathematically, the objective function is the inverse of capacity minus vacancies multiplied by distance between stops and the summing through the range of all trips and stops. The objective function was minimized by perturbing the headway list, which in turn perturbs the arrival time of a bus at each stop, which then perturbs the vacancy, forming the objective function as an independent variable. In this study, a reduction of the number of trips during the non-peak hours was the prime objective. The optimum headway list was averaged together with the running time subject to various constraints.

The logical structure of a model directed toward bus system improvements can be expressed in an objective function and a set of constraints. The need for a carefully chosen objective function is evident since it is a measure of system optimization. The objective function should provide a good measure of service impacts and the various cost components. Objective functions formulated for optimization models differ in relevance to system criteria and their purpose. Some simple objective functions were structured to take account of only prespecified constraints imposed either by demand sides or supply sides. Another set of objective functions follow strictly economic outputs such as maximization of revenue or minimization of cost (3).

More elaborate formulations synthesize the level of service and costs such as combination of total travel time, total waiting time and delay, and system operation cost. The dynamic programming formulation by Devanney (77) and Ward (119) are good examples of this type of objective function. It is foreseen that more analytical efforts will be directed in the synthesis of cost elements and to its optimization.

Demand responsive and dual mode system have been paid much attention by many researchers (20) as a future transit system and this effort will no doubt help to develop a "real" time system to handle door to door demand. However, more research efforts are required in the area of planning the bus transit system being operated on fixed routes with high service frequency in congested urban area.

Solution Methods

Finally, it may be useful to review solution techniques in current use. The solution process for bus transit improvements may not be identical in all cases and may differ depending on the elements included and the special nature of the problem. The nature of bus transit improvements is quite complex and a wide variety of physical characteristics are encountered in practical problems. The complexity and the different structural characteristics of the problem clearly indicate the need for a variety of techniques to cope with the solution of the problems. The range of techniques include statistical decision theory, game theory, control theory, calculus of variations, mathematical programming, simulation, analytical approach and heuristic algorithm, etc. These techniques are being applied independently or combined during the optimization process. Black (3) used the analytical approach for passenger car dispatching policy and selection of service mode. Foulkes et al. (15) solved the sequencing of buses in a network with a set of linear simultaneous equations. Beckman et al. (54) determined the best freight schedule in a simple network with an analytic solution. Simulation and experimental methods have been used by Gunn (22), Howard and Eberhardt (13), Crane (75) and Kingsley (28). Heuristic algorithm have proved to be a powerful tool to handle a complex problem. Elias (81) developed heuristic programming for crew and vehicle assignment. Lines et al. (30) also employed this technique for municipal bus route construction. Gagnon (17) assigned passenger to flights based on heuristic procedures.

Mathematical programming has been widely used as a powerful optimization technique. Linear programming and variations of this technique have been used by many researchers. Manheim and Martin (34), Tomlins (44), Hay et al. (24), Hershdorfer (90) and Hartgen (89) are all good examples. Network flow theory (58) was also used by Simpson (112) for computerized schedule construction for an airline system. Dynamic programming techniques were applied by Devanney (77), Ward (119) and Young (123) for the solution of transit scheduling problems.

In the area of computer simulation, analysis of bus transit system by a series of computer programs developed for long-range public transit system planning, was conducted for the Washington, D.C. Transit System by Voorhees (114). One of the primary objectives of this study was to investigate the effectiveness of a transit system simulation through computer methods as a short-range planning tool. First, in order to develop the basic optimum bus route system, alternate systems were developed in succession based on routing criteria such as route simplicity, avoidance of loops and maintenance of existing cost structure, etc. An evaluation based on travel times, numbers of transfers and operating cost was then made. A revised set of special purpose routes was added to the basic optimum system to serve demands not covered by basic system. In fact, the optimum system thus developed is not a global optimum system, but provides the best system among alternates. After running times and the route structure were determined, scheduling was processed using the basic information such as maximum boarding-alighting counts and scheduling standards to calculate bus headways for each route.

In last few years, dynamic programming concepts started to be used by mass transit researchers such as Devanney (77), Ward (119) and Young (123) as an aid in multi-stage decision process toward overall optimal system operation. Dynamic programming is a technique to find a best solution among several feasible alternatives. Dynamic programming was first theorized by Bellman (52) whose book on the subject was published in 1957. Dynamic programming provides a systematic procedure for determining the combination of decisions which maximizes the objective. The dynamic programming problems can be basically divided into stages, with a policy decision required at each stage which has a number of states associated. The decision making at each stage transforms the current state into a state in the next stage. After dynamic programming was developed, many problem areas, such as control processes, inventory theory, and allocation, were approached by applying this sequential decision process for their optimization.

An initial application of dynamic programming was made by Devanney (77) to develop optimal one-way timetables for dispatching vehicles on a linear network. Ward (119) developed computer programs to implement this algorithm for different types of network configuration. As a criteria of optimality, passenger delay and system capacity were chosen, and the objective function to be minimized was expressed in terms of a weighted sum of passenger delay and system capacity. The decision times were predetermined arbitrally on the fixed time horizon, and optimal decision was sought for at at each stage among alternate decisions which were prespecified in order that the objective function incurred the minimum cost. This calculation was performed backward through the full range of decision stages based on the recursive relationship of dynamic programming. In order to facilitate the calculation of passenger

160

delay, the distribution of passenger arrival was transformed into a function of time. Decision times were spaced at equal increments of passenger arrivals.

Young (123) was concerned with a method for developing efficient timetables for the operation of fixed schedule commoncarrier passenger transportation systems. The timetable optimization is accomplished by maximizing an objective function consisting of three basic components, operating costs, revenues and traveler benefits. The method of optimizing a vehicle fleet timetable is based on successive use of a dynamic programming algorithm that computes a currently optimal schedule for a single vehicle. At each stage (stage was defined as a discrete time variable), the dispatch decision was made by maximizing profit over the destination node, and the service mode and network path to get there. The alternatives include a decision of remaining at the current node until the next decision stage. It was difficult to deal with the practical problem to get the optimal solution due to the multi-demensionality of the state variables.

In summary, various parts of transit systems have been studied in order to identify, formulate and analyze complex problems of urban transit service. The approach to the problem and the selection of the solution methodology should be considered in relevance to the problem size, computational facility and the transit planning objective.

APPENDIX C

FLOW CHARTS AND LISTINGS OF COMPUTER PROGRAMS

The basic process of computation as well as interactions among computer programs comprising the bus planning model are illustrated here to show how the optimum bus transit system is determined. The planning model is a joint linear and dynamic programming model consisting of four major computer programs which perform the necessary computations.

These programs are an input generator program (LPDGEN), a linear program (IBM MPS/360), a dynamic program (LEEDP) and a conversion program (LPTEST). A conversion program is developed to flexibly utilize available linear programming package programs which are based on different solution techniques such as the two phase method and the revised simplex method. The first program, the input generator, supplies input data to the package linear program according to the structure of the transit model described in Chapters IV and V.

The main purpose of the input generator (LPDGEN) is to mechanize the time consuming preparation of input data to the linear program. The generator is also used because the linear program requires a precise order of contraints and objective function. This program also converts the matrix notations of equations into regular linear equations through a series of multiplications of problem matrices. The function of this program is:

1. Perform program specification.

2. Read general linear programming structural data such as nature of objective function (minimization or maximization), number of constraints, number of variables, number of "less than or equal" constraints and number of "greater than" constraints.

3. Read basic bus transit system input in the order of number of passenger demand, number of chains connecting particular origins and destinations, number of links, load factor, chain-link incidence, monetary value of passenger's time, link travel time, link operating cost and bus fare.

4. Verify input data.

5. Generate service elasticity matrix.

6. Generate link service capacity matrix using incidence matrix and load factor.

7. Generate fleet size constraints.

8. Generate budget constraints.

9. Generate cost coefficients for objective function by combining passenger cost, bus system operating cost and revenue. The typical output of this program is shown in Appendix E. The flow chart of the program illustrates the logic of computation.

After the matrices of coefficients of the linear programming model are generated by (LPDGEN), the proper right-hand-sides¹ of equations are added to the input. The input, then, is converted according to

¹The right-hand-sides will change over states for those links covered by the proposed route within the same stage. Also, righthand-sides will vary over different stages because of change of service.

the specification of a particular package program. The actual computation of the sample test case was performed by using IBM/360 Mathematical Programming System at Princeton University accessed through Newark College of Engineering computer center. In order to make flexible use of the linear programming computer operation, computer inputs are also provided for other linear programming package programs, such package program as LINPRO developed by Dartmouth College in both Basic and FORTRAN IV language and SSLP of RCA.

The outputs from the IBM MPS/360 linear program are a job control language, a control program listing, and a summary of minor and major errors. Following these outputs, the optimum solutions and related information are produced. A sample output of linear programming is shown in Appendix E. The total elapsed time of a typical run of a problem with 39 constraints and 66 decision variables is 66 seconds. Once the linear programming run is finished, then the optimum passenger flow and associated bus fleet assignment on the street network are known. All basic information necessary for the dynamic programming phase is stored in the file name (LEEDP INPUT) for the execution of the dynamic programming. Input to the dynamic programming program is prepared after bus transit operation is optimized in both space and service quality for each schedule period and each service frequency. This optimal transit operation is represented by the objective function value which is minimized based on the simplex algorithm.

BUS TRANSIT PLANNING MODEL FLOW-CHART FOR DATA FLOW FOR LP/DP MODEL

June, 197**3**





BUS TRANSIT PLANNING MODEL FLOW-CHART FOR L.P. GENERATOR PROGRAM (LPDGEN)

June, 1973








BUS TRANSIT PLANNING MODEL FLOW-CHART FOR DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING MODEL (LEEDP)

June, 1973





| 1
2
3 | С
С | | PRUG | RAM | LPI | GEN | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|--|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------|--------|------|-------|----|
| 45 | C
C | | | | | | | | | ₽ [~] 130 ⁻⁰ 3 | | 19 - N ET 14 | | | | | | | | | |
| 6
7
8 | Ç
C | | REAL | L (N) | KSE | (2 (| 1 9 76 |), , l | .00 | r1) | , p lo l | JUPI | £. | | | | | | | | |
| 9
10 | ç | | LOGI | CAL | VΕ | R I E V | Y/.F | ALS | SE. | / | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 11
12
13 | Ç | | DIME | NSI | DA
DN | SERI | ELA
VCH | (20)
(38) | • 76
) • L |),,
BRI | A (2)
V C H | 38 و0
د 10) | 3)
•38) | | | | | | | | |
| 14
15
16 | | | DIME
DIME
DIME | NSI
NSI
NSI | OM
OM
QM | FLEI
PAS:
58ji | ET(
SD(2
FUN | 76),
20,
(76 | , BU
76)
) | DG!
, Pi | ET(
Cos | 38),
T(70 | T(3
5),E | USC | C(38
D(76 |)
) * N(| CHAI | N (38 | 1),R | EV (7 | 6) |
| 17
18
19 | Ċ
Ç | | ΠΑΤΑ | SF | Q F I | 6/1 | 520: | *0. | 3/2 | A/' | 760 | *). | 7∕a¥ | ·/ I Y | 1/ | | | | | | |
| 20
21 | C
C | _ | 1 3 0.4 1 3 0.4 | 999 (m. | n Tri Ciri denni | | ar ta | | | | | P. 10. | 1 m a d | to A b | | | | | | | |
| 22 | C
C
C | INI | vur p | ΑΤΑ | FO | K L | P D, | άτα | GE | NE | ΕĄΤ | UK I | "KUÇ | ≯K A ™ | | | | | | | |
| 25
26 | ÷ | 10 | FORM | E (2
AT (| ,10
 D |)
() Y | ງປ | VIS | H 7 | ' C | VER | ĮFY | INF | י ע י | DATA | (Y | • N) ? | 1) | | | |
| 27
28
29 | | 11 | FORM
IFORM | (1,
AT(
'ES. | 11)
A1)
EC. | »Y⊨
v) | S
VER | İFV | =.7 | "RU | E. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 30
31 | | 27 | READ | (75
1AT (| >27
613 |) I
) | ZøM | » N » | LË, | IE | , İ G | | | | | | | | | | |
| 32
33
34 | | | READ
READ
READ | 1(75
)(75
)(75 | (1 م
(1 م
(1 م | ND
ND
NL | MAN
Cha
Ink | р
N
S | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 35 | | 1 | FORM | AT (| 15)
•2) | La | OFT | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 31
38
39 | | 2 | FORM
ALPH | 14T(
141= | 52)
F5. | 1)
1)
100 | FT1 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 40
41
42 | • | 3 | REAL | 142=
1(75 | 1./
,3) | 00 <u>1</u> '
(M) | FT2
CHA | IN(| I), | ,] = | 1.1 | IDMA | ND) | | | | | | | | |
| 43
44 | -
9
+ | ~ | K=N(
00 4 | AHDC
AJ= | N/2
1,* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 45
46
47 | 3
N
7 | 4 | REAL
L=NI
REAL |)(75
3RHC
)(75 | () ()
() ()
() () () () () () () () () () () () () (| N
)
) (| RNC | H(J
NCH |)
(Ť | (ار و | , ī = | =1L |) | | | | | | | | |
| 48
49 | <u>}</u> | -
6
26 | FOR | 4ΔΤ(
4ΔΤ(| 13 | ()
(3) | 900 - 200 f V | li (Å i - | | ti na fi | - 1 | | v | | | | | | | | |
| 50 |) | | REAL |)(75 | 5,7 | PΔ | SSC | T | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

7 FORMAT(F5.2)

51 52

53

100 C

```
54
       3 READ(75,0) T(L),C(L)
55
       9 FORMAT(2F7.3)
56
         READ(75.7) FARE
         WRITE(77,28) IZ, M, N, LE, IE, IG
57
58
      28 FIRMAT(1X:614)
         WRITE(77,29) NDMAND, NLINKS, NDCHAN
59
60
      29 FORMAT(1X,313)
         IF(.NOT,VERIFY) GD TO 99
61
         WRITE(2,12) MDMAND, NOCHAM
62
      12 FORMAT(/! DATA VERIFICATION!
63
64
        %/// THERE ARE 1,13,1 DEMANDS!
65
        %/1 AND 1, IB, 1 DEMAND-CHAINS!
66
        %//! DEMAND# CHAIN#
                                  LINKS!}
67
         N0=0
68
         DO 15 NEL,NOMAND
69
         MM=NCHAIR(M)
70
         00 15 N=1,MM
71
         ND=ND+1
72
         L=NBENCH(ND)
73
      15 MRITE(2,16) H, N, (LBRHCH(1, ND), I=1,L)
74
      16 FORMAT(1X, 14, 4X, 14, 3X, 14, 1014)
75
         WRITE(2,17) HLINKS
76
      17 FORMAT(//! THERE ARE !. IB. LINKS!
77
        %//1X, LINK& TRAVEL TIME OPERATING COST!)
78
         DD 18 L=1, MLINKS
79
      18 WRITE(2,19) L.T(L),C(L)
80
      19 FORMAT(1X, 14, 3X, 57, 3, 6×, 57, 3)
81
         MRITE(2,20) LODFT1,LODFT2,PASSCT,FARE
      20 FORMAT(//! LOAD FACTOR 1= 1,F5,1
82
83
        %/! LOAD FACTOR 2= 1,F5,1
84
        %/1 PASSENGER COST= 1,F5.2
85
        %/1 FARE= 1,F5.2)
86
         WRITE(2,21)
87
      21 FURMAT(///! IS INPUT DATA CORRECT (Y,N)?!)
88
         READ(1,11) YES
89
         IF(YES,EQ,Y) GD TD 99
90
         WRITE(2:22)
91
      22 FORMAT(//+ YOU MUST CORRECT YOUR INPUT FILE USING FOT+
92
        %/! LPDGEN WILL TERMINATE, YOU MUST THEN EXECUTE EDT!
93
        %/! AND CORRECT YOUR FILE!
94
        %/! THEN RE-EXECUTE LPDGEN!
95
        %/ HOWEVER, YOU MUST FIRST ERASE THE PRESENT OBJECT MODUAL!
96
        %/1 BY TYPING ER * FOLLOWING THE SLASH, /, RETURNED BY THE!
97
        %/! COMPUTER FOLLOWING LPOGEN TERMINATION!)
98
         GD TO 1000
99 C
```

```
A FORTRAH IV (VER L39) SOURCE LISTINGE LPDGEN PROGRAM
                                                                  175
  101 C
  102 C
         SERVICE ELASTICITY /SERELA/ (NDMAND X NDCHAN)
  103 C
  104 C
  105 C
         PUT 1'S FOR EACH CHAIN OF CORRESPONDING ROW DEMAND, O'S ELSEWHERE
  106 C
  107 C
  108
         99 L=1
  109
             DO 100 I=1, NOMAND
             K=NCHAIN(I)
  110
  111
             00 100 J=1,K
  112
             SERELA(I.L)=1.0
  113
        100 L=[+]
  114
             WRITE(77,101) ((SERELA(I,J),J=1,NOCHAN), I=1, NDMAND)
  115
        101 FURMAT(1X, 8F7.2)
  116 C
  117 C
  118 C
         LINK SERVICE CAPACITY /LNKSER/ (NLINKS X NDCHAN) REAL
  119 C
  120 C
  121 C
         FIRST GENERATE INCIDENCE MATRIX, /A/, (NLINKS X NDCHAN/2)
  122 C
  123 C
  124
             K=NDCHAN/2
             00 200 J=1,K
  125
  126
             L=HBRNCH(J)
  127
             00 200 1=1.L
  128
        200 A(LERNCH(I.J).J)=1.0
  129 C
  130 C
  131 C
         NEXT MULTIPLY /A/ BY ALPHA 1 TO GET THE LEVEL
         OF SERVICE 1 SECTION OF /LNKSER/ AND /A/ BY ALPHA2
  132 C
         TO GET THE LEVEL OF SERVICE 2 SECTION OF /LNKSER/
  133 0
  134 C
  135 C
  136
             L=MLINKS-3
  137
             CC 210 1=1,L
  138
             DD 210 J=1,K
             LNKSER(I,J) = A(I,J) * ALPHA1
  139
  140
        210 LNKSER(I,J+K)=A(I,J)*ALPHA2
  141
             1=1+1
             DO 211 I=L, MLINKS
  142
  143
             DD 211 J=1,K
             LNKSER(I,J)=A(I,J)
  144
  145
        211 LNKSER(I_{J}J+K)=A(I_{J}J)
             WRITE(77,212) ((LNKSER(I,J),J=1, MDCHAN), I=1, NLINKS)
  145
        212 FORMAT(1X, 0F7, 2)
  147
  148 C
  149 C
         FLEET SIZE /FLEET/ (1 X NDCHAN)
  150 C
```

```
151 C
152 C
       FIRST MULTIPLY INCIDENCE MATRIX, /A/, BY LINK
153 C
       TRAVEL TIME ROW VECTOR, T
154 C
155 C
156 C
157
          1=NDCHAH/2
158
          00 310 J=1.I
159
          SUM=0.0
160
          00 300 L=1,NLINKS
161
      300 SUM=SUM+T(L)*A(L.J)
162 C
163 C
       SUBTRACT CUST OF IMAGINARY LINKS 12, 13, AND 14
164 C
165 C
166 C
167
          00 305 L=1,3
168
          K=(NLINKS+1)-L
      305 SUN=SUN=T(K)*A(K.J)
169
170 C
171 C
       THEN MULTIPLY THE RESULTANT ROW VECTOR BY APPROPRIATE
172 C
173 C
       ALPHA 1 DR ALPHA 2
174 C
175 C
          FLEET(J)=SUM#ALPHA1
176
      310 FLEET(J+I)=SUM*ALPHA2
177
          WRITE(77,311) (FLEET(I), TH1, NDCHAN)
178
      311 FORMAT(1×,8F7.2)
179
180 C
181 C
182 C
       BUDGET /BUDGET/ (1 X NOCHAN)
183 C
184 C
      FIRST MULTIPLY INCIDENCE MATRIX, /A/, BY LINK
185 C
186 C
       OPERATING COST ROW VECTOR, C
187 C
188 C
189
           I=NDCHAN/2
190
           DC 410 J=1,1
           SUM=0.0
191
192
           DO 400 L=1,NLINKS
193
      400 SUM=SUM+C(L)*A(L,J)
194 C
195 C
       THEN MULTIPLY THE RESULTANT ROW VECTOR BY APPROPRIATE
196 C
197 C
       ALPHA 1 OR ALPHA 2
198 C
199 C
200
           BUDGET(J)=SUM*ALPHA1
```

201 410 BUDGET(J+I)=SUM#ALPHA2 202 BRITE(77,411) (BUDGET(I),I=1,HDCHAN) 203 411 FORMAT(1X, 8F7.2) 204 6 205 C 206 C PASSENGER DEMAND /PASSD/ (NOMAND X HDCHAN) 207 C 208 C 209 C SAME AS /SERELA/ EXCEPT LEVEL OF SERVICE 2 SECTION IS OUPLICATE OF LEVEL OF SERVICE I SECTION 210 C 211 C OF /SERELA/ 212 C 213 C 214 K=NOCHAN/2 215 00 500 1=1,NOMAND 216 00 500 J=1,K 217 PASSD(I))=SERELA(I)) 218 500 PASSE(I,J+K)=SERELA(I,J) 219 C 220 WRITE(77,501) ((PASSO(I,J),J=1,NOCHAN),I=1,NOMAND) 221 501 FORMAT(1x, 8F7.2) 222 C 223 C 224 C DBJECTIVE FUNCTION /OBJEUN/ (1 X NOCHAN) DEJECTIVE FUNCTION CONSISTS OF THREE PARTS: 225 0 225 C NIMINIZE PASSENGER COST PLUS BUS SYSTEM OPERATING COST 227 C MINUS REVENUE 228 Ç 229 C 230 C FIRST CALCULATE PASSENGER COST /PODST/ (1 X NOCHAM) 231 C MULTIPLY INCIDENCE NATRIX, /A/, BY LINK 232 C TRAVEL TIME ROW VECTOR, T 233 C 234 C 235 K=NDCHAN/2 236 00 610 J=1,K 237 SUM=0.0 238 DO 600 L=1,NLINKS 239 600 SUN=SUM+T(L)*A(L.J) 240 C 241 C 242 C THEN TRANSFORM THE RESULTING ROW VECTOR OF TIMES 243 C INTO A ROW VECTOR OF COSTS MY MULTIPLYING BY 244 C COST CUNVERSION FACTOR, CFACT (CFACT=PASSCT/60.) 245 C 246 C 247 PCOST(J)=SUM*CFACT 248 610 PCDST(J+K)=SUM*CFACT 249 C 250 C

```
251 C
       CALCULATE BUS SYSTEM OPERATING COST /BUSCO/ (1 X NDCHAN)
252 C
       HULTIPLY INCIDENCE MATRIX, /A/, BY LINK OPERATING
253 C
       COST ROW VECTOR, C
254 C
255 C
256
          K=RDCHAN/2
257
          00 630 J=1,K
258
          SUM#().0
259
          00 620 L=1, MLINKS
      620 SUM=SUM+C(L)+A(L.J)
260
261 C
262 C
       THEN HULTIPLY THE RESULTANT ROW VECTOR BY THE APPROPRIATE
263 C
264 C
       ALPHA 1 DR ALPHA 2 TO GET SYSTEM COST
265 C
266 0
267
          BUSCO(J)=SUM#ALPHA1
268
      630 RUSCO(J+K)=SUH*ALPHA2
269 0
270 C
      CALCULATE REVERUE /REV/ (1 × NDCHAN)
271 C
272 C PUT COST OF FACE IN EACH ELEMENT OF ROW VECTOR, REV
273 C
274 C
275
          DO 540 I=1, NOCHAN
276
      640 REV(I)=FARE
277 C
278 C
      CONSINE TERMS TO GET OBJECTIVE FUNCTION
279 C
280 C
281 C
292
          DO 650 I=1. NOCHAN
283
      650 OBJFUN(I)=PCOST(I)+BUSCU(I)-REV(I)
284
          WRITE(77+651) (OBJEUN(I), I=1, NDCHAN)
      651 FORMAT(1X, 8F7.2)
235
286 0
237 C
288 C
      TERMINATE PROGRAM
289 C
290 C
291
    1000 STUP
292
          END
```

00010000 PROGRAM LEEDP 00020000C 000300000 DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING MODEL 00040000c 00050000 INTEGER DELTA, THETA, PATH(10,10) 000600000 00070000 DIMENSION DIFACT(10), STEACT(10), BUSFRQ(5,10) 00080000 DIMENSION COST(10,10), SCOST(10), TEXT(10,10) 00090000 DIMENSION NRANGE(10,10), NFLEET(10), NFREQ(10) 001000000 00110000 WRITE(2,1) 001200001 FORMAT(20X) DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING MODEL ///28X) YOUNG LE 00130000C 001400000 INPUT PROGRAM PARAMETERS 00150000C 00160000 READ(70,3) M, DELTA, THETA, NSTAGE, NSTATE, DWC 001700003 FORMAT(513, F9.2) 00180000 READ(70,5) (DTFACT(1),1=1,NSTAGE) 001900005 FORMAT(3F5.2) 00200000 READ(70,5) (STFACT(I), I=1, NSTAGE) 00210000 M = M + 100220000 READ(70,9) ((BUSFRQ(I)),I=1,M),J=1,NSTAGE) 002300009 FORMAT(4F11.2)00240000 DD 12 I=1,NSTAGE 0025000012 READ(70,10) (TEXT(I,J),J=1,10) 0026000010 FORMAT(10A1) 00270000C 00280000C VERIFY INPUT DATA 002900000 00300000 WRITE(2,11) M, DELTA, THETA, NSTAGE, NSTATE, OWC 0031000011 FORMAT(10X, INPUT DATA!//! Mais13,14X, DELTA=', 13 00320000 %/! THETA=!, I3, 10X, INSTAGE=', I3/! NSTATE=', I3, 9X, OWC= \$', F9,2) 00330000 WRITE(2,8) FORMAT(| STAGE 00340000A DELTA/THETA STAGE FACTOR!) 00350000 DO 14 II=1,NSTAGE 00360000 I=(NSTAGE+1)-II 0037000014 WRITE(2,13) 1, DTFACT(II), STFACT(II) 0038000013 FORMAT(3X, 11, 5X, F6.2, 9X, F6.2) 00390000 WRITE(2,15) (I, (BUSFRQ(I,J),J=1,NSTAGE),I=1,M) 0040000015 FORMAT(//20X, BUS FREQUENCY COSTS! %/! STATE/STAGE 6 00410000 5 4 31 00420000 % . 1 2 11,5(/3X,11,4X,6F9.0)) 00430000C 004400000 GENERATE ELEMENT COSTS FOR BUS FLEETSIZE MATRIX 00450000c 004600000 DETERMINE RANGE OF CHDICES FROM BUS FREQUENCY COSTS 00470000C EACH ELEMENT HAS 004800000 00490000 DD 20 J=1,NSTAGE 00500000 DO 20 I=1,NSTATE 00510000 N=(I-1)*THETA*DTFACT(J)/DELTA+1 00520000 IF(N.GT.M) N=M States and the second 00530000 JJ=(NSTAGE+1)-J 00540000 WRITE(2,17) I,JJAN

```
0055000017
               FORMAT(' FLEETSIZE(',I3,',I3,') HAS_RANGE OF',I3)
005600000
00570000C
            CHOUSE MINIMUM COST FROM RANGE OF COSTS FOR EACH ELEMENT
00580000C
00590000
               CUST(I,J) = BUSFRO(1,J)
00600000
               NRANGE(I,J)=1
00610000
               IF(N.EQ.1) GO TO 20
00620000
               DD 25 L=1.N
00630000
               IF(COST(I,J), LE, BUSFRQ(L,J)) GO TO 25
00640000
               NRANGE(I,J)=L
00650000
               COST(I_J) = BUSFRQ(L_J)
0066000025
               CONTINUE
0067000020
               CONTINUE
006800000
00690000C
            VERIFY BUS FLEETSIZE COST MATRIX
0070000C
00710000
               WRITE(2,21) (I)(COST(I,J)J=1,NSTAGE),I=1,NSTATE)
0072000021
               FORMAT(//20X, JOUS FLEETSIZE COST MATRIX'
                                                           31
00730000
              %/! STATE/STAGE 6
                                         5
                                                  4
00740000
              % . !
                          2
                                   11,10(/3X,11,4X,6F9.0))
00750000
               WRITE(2,23)
0076000023
               FORMAT(/20X, CALCULATION =====>!//)
00770000C
007800000
            ADD COST OF INITIAL FLEET SIZE
00790000C
000008000
               DO 22 I=1, NSTATE
               COST(I,NSTAGE)=COST(I,NSTAGE)+(I=1)*THETA*OWC
0081000022
008200000
            IDENTIFY NSTATE OPTIMUM PATHS THRU THE BUS FLEETSIZE MATRIX
008300000
                   PROCESS BEGINS AT STAGE N AND MOVES TOWARDS STAGE 1
00840000C
            NOTE:
                   THE NUMBER OF BUSES (THETAIS) CANNOT INCREASE, THEY
008500000
            NOTES
            MUST DECREASE DR REMAIN THE SAME
00860000C
008700000
00880000
               DD 60 J=2,NSTAGE
               DO 40 I=1,NSTATE
0000989000
               SCOST(I)=(I-1)*THETA*OWC*STFACT(J-1)
009000040
               DO 60 I=1,NSTATE
00910000
00920000
               TEMP=10**8
00930000
               SAVE=COST(1,J)
00940000
               DO 50 II=I.NSTATE
               COST(I_J) = COST(II_J-1) + SCOST(II-I+1) + SAVE
00950000
               IF(TEMP, LE.COST(I, J)) GO TO 50
00960000
               TEMP=COST(I,J)
00970000
00980000
               K=NSTAGE-(J-1)
00990000
               PATH(I,K)=II
0100000050
               CONTINUE
               COST(I,J)=TEMP
01010000
0102000060
               CONTINUE
010300000
010400000
            OUTPUT PATH MATRIX
010500000
01060000
               K=NSTAGE-1
               WRITE(2,61) (I, (PATH(I, J), J=1,K), I=1, NSTATE)
01070000
               FORMAT(10X, PATH MATRIX'
0108000061
```

```
01090000
              %/! STATE/STAGE 1 2 3
                                         4
                                            51
01100000
              %10(/3X, I1, 3X, 5I3))
011100000
01120000C
            FIND PATH WITH MINIMUM COST OF THE NSTATE PATHS
011300000
01140000
               XMIN=COST(1,NSTAGE)
01150000
               00 70 1=2,NSTATE
01160000
               IF(XMIN.LE.COST(I,MSTAGE)) GO TO 70
01170000
               XMIN=COST(I,NSTAGE)
01180000
               IMIN=I
0119000070
               CONTINUE
012000000
01210000C
           OUTPUT RESULTS
01220000C
01230000
               WRITE(2,73)
0124000073
               FORMAT(//1X,11(!* !), BUS SYSTEM STUDY RESULTS',11(! *!))
01250000
               NFLEET(1)=(IMIN-1)*THETA
01260000
               MAXF=NFLFET(1)
01270000
               MFREQ(1)=(NRANGE(IMIN,6)-1)*DELTA
01280000
               DD 78 J=2,NSTAGE
01290000
               IMIN=PATH(IMIN,J-1)
01300000
               NFLEET(J)=(IMIN-1)*THETA
01310000
               IF(NFLEET(J), LE, MAXF) GD TD 77
01320000
               MAXF=NFLEET(J)
0133000077
               K=NSTAGE-(J-1)
01340000
               NFREQ(J)=(NRANGE(ININ,K)-1)*DELTA
0135000078
               CONTINUE
01360000
               IF(MAXF.GT.O) GO TO 83
01370000
               WRITE(2,80)
0138000080
               FORMAT(/// PROPOSED BUS ROUTE NOT RECOMMENDED!)
01390000
               STOP
014000083
              WRITE(2,85) (((TEXT(J,I))I=1,10), NFLEET(J)), J=1, NSTAGE)
0141000085
              FORMAT(//10x, ISCHEDULE PERIOD FLEET SIZE!
01420000
             %6(/1X,10A1,5X,14,1 BUSES!))
01430000
              WRITE(2,87) (((TEXT(J,I),I=1,10))NFREQ(J)),J=1,NSTAGE)
0144000087
              FORMAT(//1X, ISCHEDULE PERIOD SERVICE FREQUENCY!
01450000
             %6(/1X,10A1,5X,14,1 DISPATCHES!))
01460000
              WRITE(2,89) MAXF, XMIN
0147000089
               FORMAT(//! OPTIMUM FLEET SIZE OF PROPOSED BUS ROUTE IS', I5,
01480000
             %! BUSES!//! OPTIMUM TOTAL BUS TRANSIT SYSTEM COST IS $!>F15.2///>
01490000
              STOP
01500000
              END
```

| | 182 | |
|------------|---|---|
| 00010000 | PROGRAM LPTEST | |
| 00020000 | DIMENSION ROW(50), SROW(50), COL(75), A(50, 75), RHS(50) | |
| 00030000 | DATA UNINUS/1-1/, BLANK/1 1/ | |
| 00040000 | WRITE(81,10) | |
| 0005000010 | FDRMAT(50(1*1)) | |
| 00060000 | WRITE(81,1) | |
| 000700001 | FURMAT(11234567890123456789012345678901234567890123456789012345678901 |) |
| 00080000 | $READ(BO_2) (SRDW(\mathbf{I}), RDW(\mathbf{I}), \mathbf{I}=1, 39)$ | |
| 000900002 | FORMAT(A1,A4) | |
| 00100000 | $READ(BO_{12})$ (CDL(1), 1=1,66) | |
| 0011000012 | PORMAT(A4) | |
| 00120000 | WRITE(81,3) (SRDW(1),RDW(1),1=1,39) | |
| 001300003 | | |
| 001400005 | $PEAU(7999) ((A(I_3J)_3J=1_366)_3I=2_312)$ | |
| 001200000 | REAU(79,9) ((A(1,J),J=1,66),1=13,26) | |
| 001600007 | REAU(7799) (A(279J))J=1966) | |
| 001/0000 | KEAU(7999) (A(283J)) JEI(500) | |
| 00100008 | KEAU(7799) (A(13J)341300)317729339) | |
| 00190000 | KEAU(/Y/Y) (A(1/J)/J=1/00) | |
| 002000009 | $FUK(MA) (LX_{g} \otimes FY_{g} \otimes)$ | |
| 00510000 | | |
| 00220000 | $K[AU((7)11] (KHS(1))]=Z_2SY$ | |
| 0023000011 | | |
| 00240000 | | |
| 00220000 | DG 30 1-1 30
Df 70 1-1900 | |
| 0020000 | 10 LU 171937 | |
| 00270000 | LF(A(L)),EQ,O,OU) GU IU XV
UD7#E/C3 SEN CONTIN DOMNYS A/T IN | |
| 004000010 | MUDHAF/AN AA AN AN AN BANDESA BANDAN
MUDHAF/AN AA AN AN AN BANDESA | |
| 0029000020 | ГШКЛАТ VYAJA4JQAJA4JQAJГLC+7/
Слаттацис | |
| 0031000020 | LDTTE/ST.IVV
LDTTE/ST.IVV | |
| 00310000 | 20日 つく T = 2 - 200 | |
| 00320000 | 사님 수영 김학소원의가
비행기까지 1 여행지 아이티카지지 아니에서지 | |
| 0099000021 | やべましたものままん!! べいがちましまい けなもま! | |
| 0035000027 | CONTINUE
CONTINUE | |
| 00360000 | を見ててた。
1917年1月1日 - 1917年
1917年1月1日 - 1917年 | |
| 00370000 | STAIN SAULA AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN | |
| 00380000 | e lur
Enn | |
| ***** | len t 1 total | |

00010000/PRBC C 00020000/FILE LEE.LPDGEN.DATA,LINK=DSET79,FCBTYPE=SAM,RECFORM=V 00030000/FILE LEE.LPTEST.INPUT,LINK=DSET30,FCBTYPE=SAM,RECFORM=V 00040000/FILE LEE.LPTEST.OUTPUT,LINK=DSET51,FCBTYPE=SAM,RECFORM=V 00050000/EXEC LPTEST. 00060000/PRINT LEE.LPTEST.

APPENDIX D

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

| ʻ, | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--------------|------|---------------|----------------|------|-------------------|------|---------------------------|----------|------|-----|-----|-----|
| | DEMAND | PAS | EXPI
SENGE | ECTED
R FOR | ECAS | r(D _i |). | LIMIT OF LOAD FACTOR 1 (I | | | | | |
| NO | S.P.
0-D | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4. | 5 | 6 | l | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| 1 | 1-3 | 572 | 432 | 603 | 226 | 361 | 373 | 458 | 346 | 482 | 181 | 289 | 298 |
| 2 | 1-5 | 1472 | 1111 | 1 5 52 | 586 | 929 | 961 | 1178 | 889 | 1242 | 469 | 743 | 769 |
| 3 | 1- 6 | 793 | 597 | 835 | 214 | 500 | 516 | 634 | 478
` | 668 | 172 | 400 | 413 |
| 4 | 1-7 | 1418 | 1080 | 1492 | 564 | 896 | 925 | 1135 | 864 | 1193 | 451 | 727 | 740 |
| 5 | 3-7 | 413 | 312 | 435 | 164 | 260 | 269 | 330 | 250 | 348 | 131 | 208 | 215 |
| 6 | 3-8 | 674 | 713 | 995 | 376 | 596 | 616 | 755 | 570 | 796 | 300 | 477 | 493 |
| 7 | 5-7 | 780 | 588 | 822 | 310 | 492 | 508 | 624 | 471 | 658 | 248 | 394 | 407 |
| 8 | 5 - 8 | 1650 | 1246 | 1741 | 654 | 1043 | 1077 | 1320 | 997 | 1393 | 523 | 834 | 861 |
| 9 | 6-8 | 1174 | 887 | 1237 | 464 | 742 | 766 | 939 | 709 | 989 | 371 | 594 | 613 |
| 10 | 7 - 8 | 1561 | 1 179 | 1646 | 618 | 987 | 1019 | 1249 | 943 | 1317 | 494 | 790 | 815 |
| 11 | 7-20 | 530 | 400 | 558 | 210 | 335 | 345 | 424 | 320 | 446 | 168 | 268 | 276 |

TABLE 8PASSENGER DEMAND AND
LIMIT OF LOAD FACTOR 1

ī.

(3) WEEK DAY (12 hours) OFF PEAK

| Second statements and statem | and the second s | server and state a server state or server because and | server, disability and the server time and the server is a first of the server | the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second se | second data with the second second second second second second second second second second second second second | and the second state of the se | and the second state of th | and the second se | the state of the second second second second second second second second second second second second second se |
|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|--|---|--|
| 0 D | 1 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 15 | 17 | 20 |
| 1 | | 603 | 1552 | 835 | 1492 | | | | |
| 3 | , | | | | 435 | 995 | | | |
| 5 | | | | | 822 | 1741 | | | |
| 6 | 4 | | | | | 1237 | • . | | • |
| 7 | | | | | | 1646 | | | 558 |
| 8 | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | | | | | | _ | | | |
| 20 | | | | | | | | | |
| | ***** | | | | | | | ···· | |

D (4) SATURDAY (4 hours) PEAK PERIOD -

TABLE 9BUS PASSENGER DEMAND FORECAST
DURING SCHEDULE PERIODS 3 & 4

TABLE 10BUS PASSENGER DEMAND FORECASTDURING SCHEDULE PERIODS 5 & 6



. ÷ • · 335[;]

DAY (3 hours) PEAK (5) WEEK A.M.

D

| 10 | NEWAR | ĸ | | | | | | | | | | | | - | TO M | CRRIS | TOWN | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--------------------------------|---|--|---|---|---------------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|--|---|---------------------------------|
| CHATHAM CENTER
Moin St. & Possaic Ave. | SHORT HILLS MALL | SUMMAIT
River Rd. & Iris RJ. | SUMMIT
Broad & Elm Sts. | SPRINGFIELD
Morris & Mountain Aves. | Millburn Ave. & Lockowanna Pl. | MAPLEWOOD LOOP | HILTON GARAGE | IRVINGTON CENTER | NEWARK
Penii Railroud Station | NEWARK
Penn Railraad Station | IRVINCTON CENTEP | HILTON GARAGE | MAPLEWOOD LOOP | MICLBURN
Naia St. & Millburn Ave. | SPRINGFIELD
Morris & Mountain Aves. | SUMMIT
Broad & Elm Sts. | SUMMIT
River Rd. & Iris Rd. | SHORT HILLS MALL |
| 5.06
5.03
7.0
7.4
8.0
8.2
9.0
9.4
9.2
9.4
9.5
10.1
11.11
12.11 | 7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7
7 | 4.55
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
- | 5.00
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
- | 5.10
5.10
6.10
6.28
6.52
7.09
7.29
8.47
9.07
9.27
9.37
9.27
9.37
10.62
11.02
11.02
12.02
12.02
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.20
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1.00
1 | 5.13)
 | S.17
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
- | 5.22
5.45
5.55
5.55
6.15
6.24
6.41
7.05
7.24
8.24
-
9.06
8.24
-
9.06
8.24
9.04
9.04
9.04
1.0.22
9.52
9.52
9.52
10.17
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
10.77
1 | 5.27
5.50
6.60
6.61
6.21
6.30
6.47
7.11
7.13
7.30
7.52
8.30
7.52
8.30
7.52
8.30
7.52
9.48
9.48
-
10.05
7.11
10.23
11.03
11.03
11.03
11.23 | 5.43
6.05
6.16
6.31
6.41
6.50
7.07
7.09
7.31
7.37
7.50
9.14
6.52
9.12
-
-
9.48
-
10.43
-
-
10.43
-
11.43
-
12.13
12.13
12.13 | | 6.033
6.10
6.23
6.533
6.533
6.57
7.43
6.57
7.49
8.01
9.25
9.38
9.08
9.00
9.03
9.00
9.03
9.00
9.03
9.00
9.03
9.00
9.03
9.00
9.03
9.00
9.03
9.00
9.03
9.00
9.03
9.00
9.03
9.00
9.03
9.00
9.03
9.00
9.03
9.00
9.00 | $\begin{array}{c} 4,3n,\\ 4,56,\\ 5,25,\\ 5,25,\\ 5,30,\\ 5,46,\\ 5,57,\\ 6,69,\\ 6,29,\\ 6,30,\\ 6,29,\\ 6,30,\\ 6,29,\\ 6,30,\\ 6,29,\\ 6,30,\\ 6,29,\\ 6,30,\\ 6,29,\\ 6,30,\\ 6,$ | 4.37
4.57
5.32
5.37
6.04
6.23
6.23
6.23
6.24
6.36
6.41
6.36
6.41
7.10
7.24
7.33
7.24
7.23
8.11
7.24
8.20
8.20
8.20
8.21
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.22
9.36
1.23
9.36
1.23
9.36
1.23
9.36
1.23
9.36
1.23
9.36
1.23
9.36
1.23
9.36
1.23
9.36
1.23
9.36
1.23
9.36
1.23
9.36
1.23
9.36
1.23
9.36
1.23
9.36
1.23
9.36
1.23
1.34
1.24
1.24
1.24
1.24
1.24
1.24
1.24
1.2 | 4.41
5.34
5.38
5.41
5.53
6.10
6.22
6.39
6.30
6.42
7.42
6.42
7.42
6.42
7.42
6.42
7.42
6.42
7.42
6.42
7.42
6.42
7.42
8.42
8.42
8.42
8.42
9.42
7.42
1.12
1.12
1.12
1.12
1.12
1.12
1.12
1 | S.04
S.39
-
S.56
6.25
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.64
5.56
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
6.32
-
C.65
-
C.65
-
C.65
-
C.65
-
C.65
-
C.65
-
C.06
-
C.25
-
C.06
-
C.12
-
C.06
-
C.13
-
C.06
-
C.13
-
C.06
-
C.14
-
C.01
-
C.06
-
C.13
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C.01
-
C
-
C.01
-
C
-
C
-
C
-
C
-
C
-
C
-
C
-
C
-
C
- | 5.14
5.45
6.06
6.35
6.45
7.07
7.04
7.56
4.10
8.34
9.41
9.41
9.41
9.41
9.41
9.41
9.41
10.26
10.26 | 4.5%
5.15
5.47
5.54
5.54
5.54
5.54
5.54
5.54
5.5 | 7.26
8.20
9.06
9.11.0f |
| . 1.10 | 1 - | 1.14 | 1.22 | - 1.32 | 1.36 | 1.40 | 2.07 | 2.13 | 2.13 | 12.30 | 12.53 | 12.59 | 1.06 | 1.12 | 1.16 | 1.25 | 1.34 | - |

| | | | | | | | | s | ATUR | DAY 5 | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| т | NEW. | APK | | | | | | | | | | | | | Т | 0 MOR | PISTO | WN |
| CHATHAM CENTE:
Moin St. & Passaic Ave. | 5HORT HILLS MALL | SURMIT
River Rd. & Iris Rd | SUMMIT
Broad & Elm Sis. | SPRINGFIEL D
Morris & Mountain Aves. | MILLBURN
Killburn Ave. & Lai kawanna Pl. | WAPLEWOOD LOON | HILTON GARAGE | IRVINGTON CENTER | NEWARK
Peon Ruitead Station | NEWARK
Pein Railroad Station | IRVINGTON CENTER | HILTON CARAGE | AAFLEWOOD LOOI | MILLBURN
Main St. & Millburn Ave. | SPRING FIELD
Mairis & Mountain / ves. | SUMMIT
Broad & Elm Sts. | SUMMIT
River Rd. & Iris Rd. | SHORT HILLS MALL |
| -
 | - | 5.5.1
6.3 | €.07 | 6.1(
6.5 | -
6,16
0,56 | £.26 | 5.23
6.07
6.27
7.67 | 5.27
6.17
6.37
7.14 | 5 5 S | -
-
-
 | -
-
- | 9.31
5.30
6.14 | - , 1)
- , 1)
- , 2)
- , 2) | | 5.47
6.14 | 5.5.)
5.40 | 5.52
5.04
6.54 | - |
| 7.01 | -
-
7.51 | 7.14
7.14 | 7.22
8.02 | 7.32
8.1.1 | 7.36
8.16 | 7.40
8.30 | 2.37
7.47
5.27 | 7.40
7.50
8.30 | 8.63
9.1
8.5 | 0.35
7.10
7.10 | 8 58
7 35
8 63 | 7.01 | 7.11
7.40
8.16 | 7.17 | 7.21
7.56
8.26 | 7.11
8.66
8.35 | 7.39
8.14
5.44 | 7.4
9.4t |
| 8.29 | - | -
6.34 | 8.42 | -
8.52 | -
8.56 | 4.6C | 9.04 | 9.0. | 9.30
9.3 | 9.10
9.40 | 4.33
4.44 | н,3н
0,05 | 8.4F
9.10 | 8.50
9.20 | 8.50
9.20 | 9.00
9.30 | 9.14
9.44 | 9.11
9.40 |
| 9.55 | 9.01
9.31
10.01
10.24 | 9.03
9.11
10.63
10.23 | 0.12
9.42
16.12
14.37 | 9.22
9.52
10.22
10.47 | 4.26
5.52
10.26
10.51 | 9,96
10,00
10,59
10,55 | 9,32
10,07
10,37
11,62 | 9.47
16.17
10.4.
11.07 | 10.03
10.3
11.0 -
11.05 | $ \begin{array}{c} 9.16 \\ 4.35 \\ 10.6 \\ 10.25 \\ 10.25 \\ \end{array} $ | 4,39
3,58
10,09
10,48 | - 0,39
10,03
10,25
10,25 | 9.45
16.11
16.36
11.61 | 9,62
10,17
10,40
11,07 | 4.50
10.21
10.40
11.11 | 10.00
10.31
10.50
11.21 | 10.14
10.39
11.64
11.29 | 10.17
10.4
11.00
11.3 |
| 11.35 | 11.1 | 11.
11.
12.09 | 12.17 | 11.17
11.37
12.02
12.27 | 11.10
11.41
12.06
12.31 | 11.2
11.4
12.10
12.35 | 11.15
11.51
12.17
12.42 | 11.22
12.22
12.47 | 12.18
12.43
1.08 | 10.50
11.15
11.40
12.05 | 12.03 | 12.08 | 11.51
12.16
12.41 | 11.31
11.57
12.22
12.47 | 12.01
12.26
12.51 | 11.40
12.11
12.36
1.01 | 12.19 | 12.21 |
| 1.15 | 12.31
12.56
1.21
1.46 | 12.34
12.59
1.24
1.49 | 12.42
1.07
1.32
1.57 | 12.52
1.17
1.42
2.07 | 12.56 | 1.00
1.25
1.50
2.15 | 1.07
1.32
1.57
2.22 | 1.12
1.37
2.02
2.27 | 1.33
1.58
2.23
2.48 | 12.30
12.55
1.20
1.45 | 12.53
1.18
1.43
2.08 | 12.5J
1.23
1.48
2.13 | 1.06
1.31
1.56
2.21 | 1.12
1.37
2.02
2.27 | 1.16
1.41
2.06
2.31 | 1.26
1.51
2.16
2.41 | 1.34
1.59
2.24
2.49 | 1.3¢
2.0°
2.2¢
2.5° |

*Source: Transport of New Jersey July,1972

FIGURE 10 TYPICAL PUBLIC BUS SCHEDULE

| | DM. | 1 | | | 2 | | ç | ; . | • | • | |
|----|-------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----|-----|----|----|
| NO | N _N CH | 11 | 12 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 31 | 32 | ••• | 51 | 52 |
| 1 | street
link | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ••• | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | tt | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | •• | 1 | 0 |
| 4 | - 11 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | ••• | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | TT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ••• | 1 | 1 |
| 6 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ••• | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | TT | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | • • | 0 | 0 |
| 8 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 1 |
| 9 | tt | Ö | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ••• | 0 | 1 |
| 10 | - 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | TT | 0 | 0. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | walk | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ó | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 1 |
| 13 | trans-
fer | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| 14 | other
mode | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 |

TABLE 11 TYPICAL DEMAND-CHAIN INCIDENCE MATRIX

.

τ.

.

TRAVEL TIME AND DELAY STUDY

| STREET | Broad | 1 | DIRECTION | N - Bound | TIME START | 3-37-30 |
|--------|--------|-------------|---------------------|------------------|------------|---------|
| DAY | Monday | DATE Oct. 2 | <u>, 19</u> 67WEATH | IER <u>Clear</u> | TRIP NO_ | |

• • • • • •

| INTERSECTION
CHECK POINT | TIME
CROSSING | REASON
FOR
DELAY | DELAY
TIME | TRAVEL
TIME | TOTAL
TIME |
|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|
| Miller | 3.37.30 | | | | |
| Wright | 38.20 | T.S | .26 | 0:00:24 | 0: 00: 50 |
| So. St. | 40.15 | T.S | . 36 | 0:01:19 | 0:01:55 |
| Chest. | 37.20 | T.S | .28 | | |
| Franklin | | T.S. | .38 | | |
| Laf. | 44.10 | | | 0: 02: 49 | 0.03.55 |
| 13 Plac. | | T.S. | . 43 | | |
| Market | 45.15 | | · | 0:00:22 | 0:01:05 |
| Academy | | T.S. | .41 | | |
| Raymond | 46.15 | | | 0:00:19 | 0: 01: 00 |
| Rectur | | T.S | .42 | | |
| Central | 48.30 | | | 0:01:33 | 0:02:15 |
| Bridge | | T.S. | .27 | | |
| Orange | 50.00 | | | | |
| R. R. | 50.30 | | | 0:01:33 | 0:02:00 |
| Total | 13:00 | | 4: 41 | 8:19 | 13:00 |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | 1 | | | |
| | | | · | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

REASON FOR DELAY: T.S. = TRAFFIC SIGNAL CONG. = CONGESTION

DRIVER

Siemer OBSERVER

Grant

FIGURE 11 TYPICAL SPEED AND DELAY SURVEY FORM

| LINK
NUMBER | LINK
TRAVEL TIME | LINK
OPER. COST | CAPACITY
CONSTRNT |
|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| l | 2.270 | 0.682 | 200 |
| 2 | 3.060 | 0.716 | 150 |
| 3 | 4.330 | 1.044 | 150 |
| 4 | 4.550 | 1.064 | 210 |
| 5 | 3.220 | 0.800 | 210 |
| 6 | 11.130 | 2.828 | 200 |
| 7 | 8.690 | 2.102 | 100 |
| 8 | 7.430 | 1.764 | 100 |
| 9 | 9.160 | 1.946 | 100 |
| 10 | 8.480 | 1.840 | 250 |
| 11 | 6.700 | 1.498 | 250 |
| 12 | 5.000 | 0.000 | - |
| 13 | 10.000 | 0.000 | _ |
| 14 | 120.000 | 0.000 | - |

TABLE 12 LINK PROPERTIES



FIGURE 12 TYPICAL CENSUS TRACTS OF SPRINGFIELD AVENUE CORRIDOR IN NEWARK, NEW JERSEY

| | and the second se | | | | | | |
|-----|---|------|--------------|----------|----------|--------------|---------------------|
| NO | O-D | D-C | LINK | NO | O-D | D-C | LINK |
| 1 | 1-3 | 1,1 | 2 | 6 | 3−8 | 6,2 | 14 |
| 11 | 11 | 1,2 | 14 | . 7 | 5-7 | 7,1 | 4,5 |
| 2 | 1-5 | 2,1 | 2,3 | tt | 11 | 7,2 | 14 |
| 11 | . 11 | 2,2 | 10,8 | 8 | 5-8. | 8,1 | 13,3,2,1 |
| tt | 11 | 2,3 | 14 | 11 | TT , | 8,2 | 13,8,10,12,1 |
| 3 | 1-6 | 3,1 | 2,3,4 | 11 | TI | 8,3 | 14 |
| tt | 11 | 3,2. | 10,7 | 9 | 6-8 | 9,1 | 4,3,2,1 |
| 11 | 11 | 3,3 | 14 | TT | 11 | 9,2 | 7,10,1 |
| 4 | 1-7 | 4,1 | 2,3,4,5 | 11 | 11 | 9,3 | 14 |
| 11 | 11 | 4,2 | 10,6 | 10 | 7-8 | 10,1 | 5,4,3,2,1 |
| tt. | 11 | 4,3 | 10,7,5 | 11 | 11 | 10,2 | 5,7,10,1 |
| tt | 11 | 4,4 | 14 | 11 | TT | 10,3 | 6,10,12,1 |
| 5 | 3-7 | 5,1 | 3,4,5 | 17 | 11 | 10,4 | 14 |
| .11 | 11 | 5,2 | 9,12,8,4,5 . | 11 | 7-20 | 11,1 | 13,5,4,3,2,12
11 |
| tt | tt | 5,3 | 14 | 11 | 11 | 11,2 | 13,4,8,10,11 |
| 6 | 3-8 | 6,1 | 2,1 | 11
11 | 11
17 | 11,3
11,4 | 13,6,10,11
14 |

TABLE 13CHAINS OF LINKS

•



* Based on Sunday Block Diagram of line # 25-26 in Newark. Same information is not available for Williamsport.

FIGURE 13

| ······ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------|--------|-------------------|----------------|--|--|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| BUS R | OUTE | SUN | SAT.
OFF | WEEK
OFF | SAT
PEAK | WEEK
A.M. | WEEK
P.M. | | | | | |
| 10m 17 | DISPATCH | 104 | 110 | 108 | 40 | 51 | 55 | | | | | |
| RT. 19 | LINK | 6,10, | 11 | | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | ,
, | | | | | |
| | DISPATCH | 64 | 70 | 77 | 26 | 35 | 35 | | | | | |
| RT. 16 | LINK | 6,10, | 11 | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | | | | | | | |
| | DISPATCH | 87 | 87 91 93 34 42 41 | | | | | | | | | |
| RT. 25 | T. 25
LINK | | ,2,1 | | , and general in <u>a specific second second second second</u> second second second second second second second second | 999-9-6-8999-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9-9 | | | | | | |
| | DISPATCH | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 9 | | | | | |
| RT. 52 | LINK | 5,7,1 | 0,11 | | | | | | | | | |
| RT. 70 | DISPATCH | 0 | 0 0 10 24 26 | | | | | | | | | |
| | LINK | 5,7,1 | 0,1 | | | | , | | | | | |
| ROUTE
PROP. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | LINK | | ,10,11 | | | | | | | | | |
| FLEET SIZE | | Nl | N ₂ | N ₃ | N ₄ | N5 | ^N 6 | | | | | |
| SERVICE HOUR | | 18 | 18 | 12 | 4 | 3 | 3 | | | | | |
| BUDGET (\$) | | 30,000 | 30,000 | 20,000 | 15,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | | | | | |

TABLE 14 BUS ROUTE AND SERVICE CONFIGURATIONS



FIGURE 14



FIGURE 15







WEEKDAY SCHEDULE VARIATION BASED ON IRVINGTON BUS TERMINAL OPERATION

LEGEND 30.3 % of Average Weekday Total

FIGURE 17



FIGURE 18



LEGEND 13.8%.... % of Sunday Total 6.7 %... % of Average Weekday Total



* Source; "Public Transportation and Acess to Job Opportunities, Newark to selected Employment Centers," Edwards and Kelcey, Inc. August, 1970

FIGURE 20 BUS FARE STRUCTURE FROM CENTER OF NEWARK, N.J. (JULY, 1970)

APPENDIX E

COMPUTER INPUT AND OUTPUT

- 1. LEE · LPDGEN · INPUT
- 2. LEE · LPDGEN · DATA
- 3. LEE · LPTEST · INPUT
- 4. Lee \cdot LPTEST \cdot OUTPUT
- 5. LEE \cdot LEEDP \cdot INPUT
- 6. LPDGEN · INPUT · VERIFICATION
- 7. LINEAR PROGRAMMING OUTPUT (MPS/360)
- 8. DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING OUTPUT

| 38
11
66
14 | 66 | 27 | 0 | 11 | | | | | | | | | | L | | | |
|----------------------|---------|---------|----------|----|---|------|---|---|------------|--|--|--|--|---|--|--|---|
| •0
•3 | | 4 | 1 | 2 | 2 | (R.) | 3 | ٤ | ' + | | | | | | | | • |
| 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3
7 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3
6
7 | 4 | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | 8 | 4 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | 2
10 | 1
12 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| · 3 | 2 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| 3
7
1
14
5 | 10 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|---------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----|----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 545461 | 4
7
10 | 3
10
12 | 2 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 14
7
13
5
13
4 | 5 | 8 | 3
10 | 2 | 12 | 11 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 13
14
2.
3 | 40
27
06 | 10 | 11 | 82
16 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4
3
11
8
7 | 33
55
22
13
69 | | 1.0
1.0
2.8
2.1
1.7 | 44
64
00
28
02
64 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 9
8
5
10
120 | • 16
• 48
• 70
• 00
• 00
• 0 | | 1.9
1.8
1.4
0.0
0.0 | 46
98
00
00 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| -1 38 | 66 27 | ÷.; | 11 | | | | | 401 |
|----------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------|-----|
| 11 14 66 | -9 | | | | | | | |
| 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | o.co | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | ം റ | ្តំ ូល | 0,00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | ្ទុំ០០ | ូចំព | 0,00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | () e () () | 0.00 | ្នំ្ ្រប | ം ം റ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 . 00 | 0.00 | 00,00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0 🖡 🗇 🕂 | 0 . 00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.90 | 0 . 00 | 0.00 | 1,00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 , 00 | 0 <u>,</u> 4 0 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 6Q.,Q0 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0,00 | ି 🖕 ିଠି | 0 .0 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | o,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.6 00 | e. qo | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | O C C | ः . ०० | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0,04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.0 0 | 0.10 | 0,00 | 0 . 00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.0 | 1.00 | ္ ့ ၀၀ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.GU | ୁ , ୦୦ | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0.00 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 | |
| | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| | 9:00 | V. • 00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | C . CO | 0,00 | 0.00 | |
| | | - 영흥(이태)
- 주 · 주 · 주 | | 0.00 | V # UU | V a V V
A A A A | | |
| 0,00 | | -0∦-012
≞ | | 0.00 | V BVV | | | |
| 0 • 00
A AA | 0.00 | പ്∦ംലില്ല
തെട്ടും. | | | | | 1 00 | |
| 1 00 | 1 00 | ାପ ର ୀୟ ହିଲ
ଅଭିନୟ ଅଭିନ | C.O. | 0,00 | 0.9.09
0.00 | 1,00 | 1.00 | |
| 7 00
T 00 | 1.00
A AA | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00
0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | U 8 U 10
6 6 6 6 | 0.00
0.00 | | <u></u> | | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | - 0.0 - 0.0 -
- 6 - 0.0 - | 0 60 | 0 8 0 0 | - ME 更不可处于
475 : (34首) | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000
0.000 | 87 8 94 5.
Ka - Ca Ka | 0,00
0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 | 1,00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 9 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0. | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | \$. 00 | 0. CO | 0 • 0 · | U , 00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 1.00 | 1,00 | 0.00 | ംറഠ | 00.00 | 000 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 00.0 | 0.00 | 0 • OC | 0.00 | 3 • 60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | C.0 | 0.0 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 00.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | C • C O | 0.02 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | n•46 | 0.00 | ~ 0.0 0 | T*00 | T.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | D - 040 | 0.60 | 00 | 0 00 | 5 6A | 0.00 |
|-------------------|--|---|---|--------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|
| 0.00 | ୍ କ୍ଟ୍ର
ଅ-ସମ | AN BRINGE
EST FLES | 0 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 | ୍ର କୁହୁହୁ
ଚ. ଅନ | 9 a 00 | 99979
0 00 | |
| a no | inali ∰ti sati Nati
Interna internati | ್ಷಕೂ ಬಿಗಿದ | | U . UU | 1.9 a 1.7 5.1 | U . U U | 0,00 |
| | | 0.00 | Q • 9 0 | ା , ୯୦ | 0.00 | C . 00 | 0,00 |
| U.UU | 0. . 00 | ပ္န္းမွ | 0 🔹 🗘 🖓 | 0 . 00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 |
| 0,00 | Q ₽ 00 | ំភ្លេះ | 0,00 | ୍କ ପତ | 0,00 | ം റ | 0.00 |
| 0,00 | ំត្រូវាប់ | 0.00 | 0.00 | ്ററ്റ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0,00 | \$,00 | ៍ 🖕 ៈ ំ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0,00 | 0 ,)C | 0.0 | 0.00 | ្វុំ០០ | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0,00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.0 | 000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 6.00 | 6 . OC | 6 8 9 9 14
71 1 73 11 | | ាត្រូស្ទ
(ា (ា) | 6 60 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 50 88 50 503
8 8 - 13 / 3 | 0 0 0 0 | 0.00 | 0 80
0 80 | 2.000
0.000 | |
| 0.00 | 50 8 - 50-
11 - 11 - 11 | 20 8 28 29
20 - 25 2 0 | - 32 8 52 57
- 35 - 65 65 | 010 UV | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0 00 | - Mar 88 Northa
- Anno - 다.
(고종)
(고종) | 0.800 | · • 00 | 0.00 | | 0,00 |
| 0 00 - | | V . 19 | | ູ່ມູນ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 9.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0,00 | 0,00 | ି • ି ଠି | 0,00 | G.00 | 0.00 | 1,00 | 1.00 |
| 1,00 | 3.00 | 0, 0 | 0.00 | း 🔹 ၀၀ | 00 . 00 | 00,00 | 0.00 |
| 0,00 | 0 , 30 | 6.0C | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 |
| 0,00 | 6.30 | 6.00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | ം ററ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 6 . 00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | ñ. 66 | 0.40 | 0000 | | 0 00 | 1000 # 1000
1000 - 1000 | 0.00 |
| 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1 10 | | 300 € No. 17
11 - 2020 | 1 30 | 0 8 V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V |
| 0.60 | 21.03 | က်မှုလှင့်
ကြီး လိုက် | 11. 18. 5.1 5.7
17 21. 17. | - <u></u> | 4889 | 6 56
6 56 | V 8 9 9 9 |
| 0.00 | 6 * 6 2
6 . 6 3 | | | 00 e | | 0,00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 240V
2010 | - Martin
Martin | 0.00 | | | - S 2 a S2 S4
25 - 25 25 | 0.00 |
| | V . 99 | V • U U | Q .CU | ្តុប្ប | U. 200 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 , 0 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 * 00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 |
| 0,00 | 신 • 상원 | 0, | 0.00 | ୁ 🔹 ୦ ୦ | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 , 00 | 0 . CC | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0,00 |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0 . 00 | 0.00 | 0 ,00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 |
| 0,00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0,00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | ្.೧೦ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 19 1 1 1 | ີ 1 ດ | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| 0.00 | 6.1A | 0/# 55
A 1A | 52 B L S | 0 00 | 0 00 | 13 # 4 33
For 2173 | 0 00 |
| 0.00 | 2884
6 63 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | | | 0.00 |
| 0 00 | - V∰UU
2. 38 | いたい | | 19 . 00 | | 0,00 | 0.00 |
| 0,14
0.14 | 7,00
7,00 | | 0.00 | ··•00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 |
| ህመታቸ
ለ 1 ለ | V. 199 | 9.00 | 0.00 | . 14 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.14 |
| V 8 4 7 | 9.0Q | 0114 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| U # U ()
A A A | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0,10 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0,10 |
| 0.00 | 0,00 | 0,10 | 0.00 | ୍ , ୯୦ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0,00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.0 | ာ္စင္၀ | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.10 | 0,90 | 0.0 | 0.10 | ្ធ.០០ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.00 |
| | | - | | | | - | · · · · |

| 0.00 | 0.14 | 6.36 | 0.04 | 1.4 | 0.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 | |
|----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---|------------|--|
| 0,14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | .00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,10 | 0.00 | ្រូំំ្ំំ | 0.00 | S.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | |
| 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,10 | 0.00 | 00.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0,10 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0 . 00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.00 | ~.00 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.14 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 2.14 | .00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.00 | .00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | C.OQ | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.00 | e.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0,00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | .14 | 0,00 | 0.00 | C.14 | |
| 0.00 | 9 , 00 | 0.0 | 0.14 | 0,14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.14 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0,00 | 0,00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,10 | 0,00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | ្វ.10 | 0,10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.10 | 0 🔒 C () | 0.00 | ំ . ០០ | 3.00 | 00.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.00 | े, ०० | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | ः,०० | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 3.14 | 0,00 | 0.14 | ୍ର, ୦୦ | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0,00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.00 | <i>ି</i> , ୦୦ | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.14 | ି , ପ ପ | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0 , 0 0 | o∎00 | 9 ° 60 | 0,00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,10 | ଼ , ୦୦ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | ្រុំ្ | 0.00 | ⇒,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | ្ , 00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0,00 | G.10 | ្រុះស្ | 0.00 | ം ററ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0,00 | 00.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | .14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,600 | 0.00 | ം ററ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | े,00 | 0,00 | 0,14 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 6 . C O | 0.14 | 0.00 | ្ . ំ ០ ំ | 00.00 | 00 . | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0 .1 0 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0 . 60 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.10 | ្.០០ | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | ಂ , ೦೦ | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.14 | ୍କ ୦୦ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | ္ ေပ | 0,00 | ် , ၀၀ | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | ð . 14 | 0,00 | 6.00 | 0.14 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0. • ° O | Q . Q C | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0. 00 | ្ធុំប៉ុល្ | 0.00 | C.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 9 •00 | 0.10 | ာ ပဝ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0,00 | 0,00 | C.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | C # CQ | 0.14 | 0.00 | ုန္ပ္ဝု | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | U.a.00 | 0.00 | | V.U9 | | 0,00 | |
| 0.00 | U 00 | U # 0 0 | O . 14 | 0.UU | 000 | U # 9 V
A AA | 0.00 | |
| | 00000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 13814
0 00 | U • UU
A AA | V. VU | |
| | U:0U | 9 e 3 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0.00 | V B V C
A AA | 0:00 · | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0 10 C | U. UU. | 0.00 | |
| 0 1 0 0 | 13 # 13 13 | VevU | O CO | 0.00 | 0.00 | V • V V | VAVV | |
| | | | | a shiri shiri | | n de la composition d | anta Trans | |

| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------|--|
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.00 | 6.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | n. ao | 6 . 25 | 0 00 | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | | 0.00 | 2 s 2 0
8 - 2 0 | 98999
(* 20) | 0,00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 6 . | - 0A | | 128월 121년
751 - 11 74 | | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5 1 4 4 4 | | | 10 g 1 ff | 0.00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00
0.00 | ്റ്റ് | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.00 | 6.00 | 8 . A | 9 8 9 G
0 000 | ୁ କ୍ରହ
ସା ଜନ | . M. S. M
 | 9.00
0.00 | 0,00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.10 | 0.98 | 90 8 2010
101 2 2011 | 0.00
0.10 | | | 10 a 11 52
75 - 15 75 | 0.00 | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.00 | 14.0P. | | 50 8 4 5
75 - 5575 | 0 00 | | U + U U
A + A | O,QU | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.00 | 0.10 | 94 🗮 92 M.C.
18 - 20 - 01 | പ്പെഴെം/ം
ത്രംഗ | | | V . 1V | 0,00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.10 | 0.10 | べましい
内 小派 | 9 8 9 0 0 | 0 00
1 0 | V.LV
0 00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.00 | 0.14 | 5 8 G S | - おまいい | | 0.00 | 0 a 2.44 | 0.00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.00 | ು ಕ . ೧೧ | - V∦⊂V
A ~A | | 12 A L 44 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | | . V∉₩V
∧ ∞o | | | 0,00 | 0,14 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.00 | 59 8 54 59
79 1 1 4 | N 8 6 14
N 8 6 14 | | | U.e.1.4 | | 0.00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.00 | 18 8 4 97
6 1 - 2013 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.00 | ାର୍ଶ୍ୱେୟ
ଅକ୍ଟ୍ୟୁ | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 17 a 17 V | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.00 | N 6 V V
N - N N | U::U:: | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0,00
0,00 | M 8 12 12
A - 9 A | U # 0 0 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0 00 | 0.10 | 12 a 1 12 | 0.10 | ္ႏွင့္ | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 19 a 12 14 | 0 * 0 - 5 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 6,00 | 0,00 | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0,00 | ⊴ ∌ 90 | 0.00 | 6°00 |),CQ | 0 , 00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.14 | .14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 . 00 | 0,00 | 00.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | င်နင်စ် | 1,00 | 0.00 | 0,90 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0,00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0 , 00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0,00 | 1.00 | 0.UQ | 1.6 00 | 0 . C O | 0.00 | 0.0 | 00.00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | ം 00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0,00 | ି ≜ ି⊈ | 0,00 | 0.0 0 | 1,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0,00 | 1,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0,00 | ∂.0 0 | 0,0 | 0.00 | ် ့ ၀၀ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0,00 | 0 . 00 | 0 , 60 | 0 , 0 C | ះ,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | l e Q 5 | 1.00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0,00 | 0 . 00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1,00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 . 60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1,00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0,00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 9.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1,00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | ം റേ | 0,00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0,00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |) , () () | 0 . 00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1,00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 1.00 | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0,00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0,00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1,00 | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0,00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | ō.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | |
| $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0,00 | 1.00 | 0.40 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 1,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | |
| $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | | | | ****** | |
| 1.52 1.96 2.04 0.00 1.21 2.44 0.00 0.53 0.00 0.78 0.00 0.97 1.82 0.00 1.42 1.94 0.00 1.74 2.27 2.19 0.00 2.19 2.72 2.63 0.00 0.444 0.00 1.06 2.27 0.00 1.71 2.45 0.00 2.17 2.60 2.91 0.00 1.73 3.46 0.00 0.76 0.00 1.11 0.00 1.38 2.60 0.00 2.03 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.74 | 1.59 | (·. 00 | 1.19 | 1.72 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 0.78 0.00 0.97 1.82 0.00 1.42 1.94 0.00 1.74 2.27 2.19 0.00 2.19 2.72 2.63 0.00 0.44 0.00 1.66 2.27 0.00 1.71 2.45 0.00 2.17 2.60 2.91 0.00 1.73 3.46 0.00 0.76 0.00 1.11 0.00 1.38 2.60 0.00 2.03 | 1,52 | 1.96 | 2.04 | 0.00 | 1.21 | 2.44 | 0.00 | 0.53 | |
| 0.00 1.74 2.27 2.19 0.00 2.19 2.72 2.63 0.00 0.44 0.00 1.06 2.27 0.00 1.71 2.45 0.00 2.17 2.60 2.91 0.00 1.73 3.48 0.00 0.76 0.00 1.11 0.00 1.38 2.60 0.00 2.03 | 0.00 | .78 | 0.00 | 0.97 | 1.82 | 0.00 | 1.42 | 1.94 | |
| 0.00 0.44 0.00 1.06 2.27 0.00 1.71 2.45
0.00 2.17 2.80 2.91 0.00 1.73 3.48 0.00
0.76 0.00 1.11 0.00 1.38 2.60 0.00 2.03 | 0.00 | 1.74 | 2.27 | 2.10 | a • • • • | 2.19 | 2.72 | 2.62 | |
| 0,00 2,17 2,60 2,91 0,00 1,73 3,48 0,00
0,76 0,00 1,11 0,00 1,38 2,60 0,00 2,03 | 0.00 | 1.44 | 0.00 | 1.04 | 2.27 | 0.00 | 1.71 | 2.45 | |
| 0.76 0.00 1.11 0.00 1.38 2.60 0.00 2.03 | 0.00 | 2.17 | ●★9℃
2. 於ハー | 2 01 | 6 8 6 I | 1.72 | 3.40 | A 1 7 4 | |
| ····································· | 0.76 | ⊷*+7
0.58 | 4m # 24 1.7 | 6 8 7 8 - | 1 38 | 2.AA | 0.00 | · 2.03 | |
| | ∞ाक्षु र 14मां | 1997 후 19 31 1947 | 平 8 수 1 | V & VV | 4- 8 -7-Ω | 新田主名 | V & V V | 6 ≰ ⊻ ₿ | |

| 2.78 | 0.00 | 2.49 | 3.24 | 3,13 | C * 00 | 3.12 | 3,88 | |
|---------|------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|--|
| 3,76 | ំ.ស | | | | | | | |
| 0.07 | 0.00 | 0,18 | 0.36 | ୍ତ . ୦୦ | 0.23 | 0.39 | 0.00 | |
| 0.36 | .47 | 0.47 | 0.00 | .29 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.14 | |
| 0.00 | 0.19 | C. () | 0.24 | .43 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 0.46 | |
| 0.00 | 0.43 | 3.34 | 0.53 | .00 | 0.51 | 0.62 | 0.62 | |
| 0.00 | 0.10 | 6 | 61.25 | 5 51 | 0.0U | 0.40 | 0 56 | |
| 0.00 | 0.52 | 11.67 | 0.63 | 0.00 | 0.42 | 0.30 | | |
| 0.20 | 5.0A | 0.07 | ್ಯಾಂಡಾಟ್ ಇಂ
ಗೋರ್ಟ್ ಎಂಬ | ୁ ଅକ | ం ఉక | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.66 | - 20 8 9 9
20 - 49 69 | いまたす ひょう | 999V
8 979 | 29 | A NO | 0.000
0.7770 | 0.20 | |
| V 00 | 52 # 1437
A DAS | V : 24 | Vali | : : • (Q | 1 2 1 1 | U e l D | 0,100 | |
| | | <i>C</i> | 0.00 | | 0 15 E | | | |
| 1100 | ∔ ∎922 | | 0.00 | U., U.U., . | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0, 00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | $0 \bullet 0$ | 0.00 | 0 , 0 0 | 0.00 | 0.00. | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | C,30 | 0.00 | 0.0 C | ္င္လ | 0. 00 | 0,00 | 00,00 | |
| 0,00 | 1.00 | 1 , $\odot \mathbf{O}$ | 0.00 | ာ ့ ၀၀ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0,00 | 6.00 | 000 | 0.00 | ာ • ၀၀ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0,00 | 0,,00 | 000 | 0,00 | ം ററ | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | ្ទុំល្ | 0 e C Q | ္ခ်စ္ေ | ംററ | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | o . CO | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | ്റ്റ് | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | ົ້ດດ | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 81.85A | 0 00 | . 00 | 6 66 | a 66 | <u>0</u> 00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 8 10 10
13 10 10 | 10 2 10 10
13 10 10 | ്റ്റ | | 0 00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00
A 30 | | 0,00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 5 8 9 9 9
6 - 8 6 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0 0 0 0 | | 0.00 | |
| 0 00 | (JA))다.
1. 카이드 | V # 444 | 0.00 | 0.00 | U (1900)
A (1945) | | 0 + 00 | |
| | 4,900 | | 1.890 | 0,00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0 00 | 1490 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0 00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.30 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0:00 | 0.0 | O, OO | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0,00 | 0 . C 0 | 1.00 | 1,•00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0 . 0 0 | 0,00 | 0. 00 | 0 , 00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | 0 . 0 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | ¢∎\$Ç | 0.0 | 0.00 | ୍ . ୯୦ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 00 | 6 * 0 0 | 0.00 | ႏုလ | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1,00 | |
| 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0 . 00 | ം ၀၀ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | ം റെ | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0,00 | 0.0 | 0,00 | ംററ | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | .00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | |
| 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.60 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.0c | e.00 | 0.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 6.00 | 6.00 | 6.60 | 0.00 | ō. ōĵ | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.30 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 07.04
19.040 | 52 18 54 G
23 - 232 C | 0000 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 被害死权
约复合约 | 9 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 | N AND | 6 nn | 0.00° | 0.00 | ∾∎ <i>∀∀</i>
∂.00 | |
| 0.00 | 17.0AA | | NAVV
Alan | C NA | N 80 | NAVY
A AA | A AA | |
| 0.00 | 0.800
0.00 | | U . UU | U, UU | V .VV
S AND | 1. g 1.8 1.
9 - 21 69 | | |
| | 15.10分1日
 | V • U V | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | T.00 | |
| 0 # V V | | | 0.00 | 0.00 | | 0.10 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| v • vV | G • 90 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| | | | | | | | | |

| 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | n in | . 00 | A AN | A 30 | 0 00 | |
|------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------|--|
| 0.00 | 4 | | - ジョレマ
- ひつつ | . 00 | 64 등 52 53
63 - 63 64 | | U.UV | |
| 6.00 | 12 8 17 12
13 13 13 | 88499
8 40 | 0 0 0 0 | 0 00 | (1.6.12)
이 전요 | V 8 9 9 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | មុ <i>ត្បម្</i>
ស ១១ | · 양종도교
 | ∵ <u>e</u> uŲ
 | 13 . VU | V.UU
0.000 | 0,00 | |
| n 00 | 5 8 7 5 | 0.800
1 00 | មុត្សប
។ គេ។ | 0,00 | U, UU
0 00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0,00 | පුරුණු වෝඩාම
මම පරාවන | | 1.0.4 | · • • • • • • • | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0,00 | · 가용당당
 | · · · · · · · · | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| | N ∎ MU
20 - 10 - 20 | 1 e 10 | 0.0 00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0,00 | 년 · 신년
 | V # (/ U) | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0.00 | U.U.U. | ₩ . €010 | (k 👷 () 🗠 | 0 , 00 | 1,00 | 100 | 0 . 00 | |
| 0,00 | | 년 · 이 년
· · · · · · | 0.00 | <u>.</u> | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0.00 | ₩ ∎UO | 0 | 0,00 | ្នុល | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | |
| | | V | 신송이었 | ្ំតូល | 0.00 | 0.00 | e, co | |
| | 0 | 1) # 7 () | 0.00 | 0 . 0 0 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1,00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | ule:::0 | OsCu | 🕁 👷 O O | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 .0 0 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | 6.00 | 0.00 | . , C O | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | |
| 0.00 | 9.00 | 9 a 0 9 | $O_{\bullet}O$ | ୍ , ୦୦ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0.00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | ំ , ០០ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0,00 | 1.00 | 1 . 0 |] <u>.</u> Ç O | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 00,00 | |
| 0,00 | C • O O | ି , ି ଠି | 0 . C C | ∴. 00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 은 # 않다 | 0 . 20 | 0, , 00 | ം,ററ | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0 , 90 | 1.00 | 1,00 | 1,00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0,00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | ၀္ စ္ ၀ | ୍ , ୦୦ | 0.00 | 000 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 06.00 | 0 , 9 0 | ္ ေ | 0 .00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0 . 00 | 0 . 0 0 | 0.00 | ÷.00 | 6 . 00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.00 | ្ 🖕 ិ 🗘 | 0,00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | |
| 1,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | ୍ର , ୦୦ | 0.00 | 6°°96 | 0,00 | |
| 0.00 | 00.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | ୍ର, ୦୦ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | C • 30 | 0.00 | 0 ,0 0 | ာ ့ ၀ ၀ | 0,00 | 00 # 00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.0 | 0,00 | o . 00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | 1,00 | |
| 1,00 | 1.00 | 0.0 | 0.00 | ି . ୁଦ | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0,00 | |
| 0,00 | G.,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 . 00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.00 | បត្រប់ប | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | Q:09 | 0.00 | 0,00 | ំ • ០០ | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0,00 | (Je)()
(* 20) | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | 다 | 9.800
0.200 | 0.00 | 0.QQ | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0.00 | 10 0 000 | 9 a U 9 | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| | | | 0.00 | ୁ କାପ୍ତ | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | |
| 0.00 | 0.000 | | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | 1.00 | |
| 0.00 | | - ₽ • 3 ₽
0 - 2 0 | -0.04
0.04 | · · · · · · · · | 9.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | ₩.∰ 양자:
AL ALA | V : 3V | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0, , 00
 | 0,00 | |
| 0,00 | V • Q U | 0.00
0.00 | 0.00 | 0,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0 00 | 1 24 CU | | 0 a 0 U | : . UO | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0,00 | | 1.004
0.00 | | | | U.a. 1940
A. 1940 | 0,00 | |
| 0.00 | 14 8 12 13
70 - 14 10 | 0 g 17 0
 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | U.U.U. | 0,00 | |
| 0.00 | ារស្រែ
ក្រុងស្រុ | U • UU | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| 0 00 | | | V:VV | 1,00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| -0.21 | 54 ∎ M G
2. – 4 m | | T .00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | | | |
| -V+61
0.57 | 4 · 40 | U.O.I
J. CA | 0.50 | 4,4() | 0.30 | 0.08 | 4,40 | |
| U # 2 (
4 4 A | ()::0()
()::0() | 13 A 7 9
1. 1. 4 | 4 . 4 | 1.37 | 1.000 | 4.44 | -0.05 | |
| 4 40 | (기용보다)
(신. 1721년) | 4.40 | 0.63 | 1.30 | 9994U | 0.22 | 0.84 | |
| 4.40 | | | 1.951 | 4.40 | 1.27 | 1.10 | 1.0/ | |
| 4.40 | ······································ | 4:4U
1 af | Villa | 0.12 | M + 40 | 0.48 | 0.85 | |
| -π ≬ π ⊌ | V . 16 | T # 75 | T • 0 A | 4,40 | 0,20 | 1.21 | 4 . 40 | |

| 0.01 | 4,40 | 0 ,1 8 | 4.40 | 0.74 | 1.54 | 4.40 | 0,67 |
|------|------|---------------|------|------|--------|------|------|
| 1.04 | 4:40 | 0,71 | 1.2 | 1.44 | 4 . 40 | 1.81 | 1.97 |
| 1,93 | 4.40 | | | | | | |

| NCOST
LUGSI | 3 | 211 |
|--|---|-----|
| LU002
LU003
LU004
LU005
LU006
LU007 | | |
| LU008
LU009
LU010
LU011
LC001
LC002 | | |
| LC003
LC004
LC005
LC006
LC007
LC008 | | |
| LC009
LC010
LC011
LC012
LC013
LC014 | | |
| LB001
LB002
GD001
GD002
GD003
GD004 | | |
| GD005
GD006
GD007
GD008
GD009
GD010 | | |
| GDC11
CLO1
CLO2
CLO3
CLO4
CLO5 | | |
| CL06
CL07
CL08
CL09
CL10
CL11 | | |
| CL12
CL13
CL14
CL15 | | |

CL16 CL17 CL18 CL19 CL20 CL21 CL22 CL23 CL24 CL25 CL26 CL27 CL28 CL29 CL30 CL31 CL32 . CL33 C M01 **C**M02 C M03 CM04 C M05 **C**M06 CM07 **C**M08 CM09 CM10 CM11 CM12 CM13 CM14 CM15 CM16 CM17 CM18 **C**M19 CM20 CM21 CM22 CM23 CM24 CM25 CM26 CM27 CM28 CM29 CM30 CM31 CM32 CM33

212

| | | | | | | all and a state of the second | 213 |
|------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|------------------|-------------|--------|--|--------|
| *** | ***** | ·库尔库市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市市 | (宋安安安市市市市大学大学大学) | 1. 你爹爹你你你你! | **** | | |
| 123 | 456789 | 01234567890123456 | 73901234567 | 190123451 | \$7890 | (4) | |
| Ν | ÇDST | | | | | A State of the sta | |
| L | U001 | | | | | | |
| L | U002 | | | | | | |
| Ē | U003 | | | | | • | |
| L | U004 | | | | | | |
| Ĩ, | U005 | | | | | | |
| L | U006 | | | | | | |
| L | U097 | | | | | | |
| L | U008 | | | | | | |
| L, | U009 | | | | | | |
| L | U010 | | | | | | |
| L. | U011 | | | | | | |
| L | C001 | | | | | | |
| Ļ | C002 | | | | | | |
| Ļ | C003 | | | | | | |
| L | C004 | | | | | | |
| L | C005 | | | | | | |
| L | CO 06 | | | | | | |
| L, | C007 | | | | | | |
| L | C008 | | | | | | |
| L | C009 | | | | | | |
| L . | C010 | | | | | | |
| L | C011 | | | | | | |
| L | C012 | | | | | | |
| L | C013 | | | | | | |
| L | C014 | | | | | | |
| Ļ | B001 | | | | | | |
| Ļ | B002 | | | | | | |
| G | 0001 | | | | | | |
| G | 0002 | | | | | | |
| G | D003 | | | | | | |
| Ģ | D004 | | | | | | |
| Ģ | D005 | | | | | | |
| G | 0000 | | | | | | |
| G | 0007 | | | | | | |
| G | 0008 | | | | | | |
| 6 | 0009 | | | | | | |
| Ģ | 0010 | | | | | | |
| G | 0011 | | | | | | |
| *** | ****** | ****** | **** | ******* | **** | | |
| | CL01 | C () S T | -0.210 | | | | |
| | | <u>9001</u> | 1,000 | | | | |
| | | C 0 0 2 | 0.100 | | | | |
| | CLOI | 2001 | 0.310 | | | | |
| | | ु ८ ० २ | 0,070 | | | | |
| | 6L00 | 1200 1 | 1.000 | | | | |
| | | CLST | 4:400 | | | | |
| | | 9001 | 1.000 | | | | |
| | | C O 1 4 | 1.000 | | | | |
| | CLAA | 0001 | 1.000 | | | | |
| | ULU3
. ("Ina | ÇÜST | 0.070 | | | | |
| | v L V S | SOCZ | 1.000 | | | | н
Н |
| | | | | | | | |

| CL03 | C 002 | 0.100 | |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| CL03 | 0003 | 0.100 | |
| CL03 | 約4:01.
教内在 9 | 0,740 | |
| CLOS | 200 4
200 2 | 1.000 | |
| CLO4 | C (1) S T | 1,000
0,600 | |
| CLO4 | 1.00 2 | 1.000 | |
| CL04 | Coca | 3.1 00 | |
| CL04 | C 0 1 0 | 0.100 | |
| CL04 | 8001 | 1,590 | |
| CLO4 | 600 2 | 0,360 | |
| CL04 | 000 2 | 1.000 | |
| CL05 | C n S T | 4.460 | |
| CL05 | U002 | 1,000 | |
| | 0014 | 1,000 | |
| | 0002 | 1,000 | |
| 0106 | | U . 20U | |
| | | 2 100
1 2000 | |
| | 4, 129 6.
12 19 1 3 | 0 1 2 0 | |
| CL06 | Cnn4 | 0.100 | |
| CL06 | 8001 | 1.190 | |
| CL06 | 3002 | 0.280 | |
| CL06 | 003 | 1,000 | |
| CL07 | CUST | 0.680 | |
| CL07 | 003 | 1.000 | |
| CL07 | C 0 0 7 | 0.100 | |
| CLO7 | 6010 | 0.100 | |
| | 8091
 | 1.720 | |
| 6 K V I | じ <i>いじん</i>
つらた う | 0.340 | |
| <u>C108</u> | 0000
Cost | L 1000 | |
| CLOB | 960 3 | 1 000 | |
| CL08 | C014 | 1.000 | |
| CLOS | Dool | 1.000 | |
| CLO9 | COST | 0.570 | |
| CL09 | 1004 | 1.000 | |
| CLO9 | C U O 2 | 0.100 | |
| CL09 | C 0 0 3 | 0.100 | |
| CL09 | C004 | 0.100 | |
| CT03 | C C O 5 | 0.100 | |
| CLOS | 8001 | 1.520 | |
| C109 | 900 2
5664 | 0.360 | |
| | 0054
682 7 | | |
| CL10 | Han4 | | |
| CL10 | C 0 0 6 | 0.100 | |
| CLIO | <u>colo</u> | 0.100 | |
| CL10 | 3001 | 1.960 | |
| CL10 | 800 2 | 0.470 | |
| CL10 | 0004 | 1.000 | |
| CL11 | C () S T | 0,890 | |
| CL11 | 1004 | 1.000 | |
| CL11 | C005 | 0.100 | |

| CL11 | C007 | | 0,100 | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|---|-----------|---|--|--|
| CL11 | 010 | | 0,100 | | | |
| CL11 | 1001 | | 2.040 | | | |
| CL11 | 800 2 | | 0.470 | | | |
| CL11 | 004 | | 1,000 | | | |
| CL12 | COST | | 4.400 | | | |
| CL12 | 0004 | | 1.000 | | | |
| 0112 | 6614 | | 1 202 | | | |
| CI 12 | - <u>NOLT</u>
1565A | | | | | |
| ****
^! 13 | i i Norski Mitta
Premi Prime | | | | | |
| CL10 | - 511131 | | 0.110 | | | |
| 6613 | | | 1.000 | | | |
| | ្លូលឆ | | 0.100 | | | |
| CLIB | 6004 | | 0.100 | | | |
| CL13 | C (10 5 | | 0,100 | | | |
| CL13 | 800 1 | | 1.210 | | | |
| CL13 | 800 2 | | 0,290 | | | |
| CL13 | DC0\$ | | 1,000 | | | |
| CL14 | CUST | | 1,330 | | | |
| CL14 | 0005 | | 1.000 | | | |
| CL14 | C 004 | | 0.100 | | | |
| CL14 | C 005 | | 0.100 | | | |
| CL14 | 0003 | | a.100 | | | |
| CL14 | 6009
6009 | | 0 100 | | | |
| CI 14 | C 01 2 | | | | | |
| 2 H + T | 13 14 4 4 | | 1 8 W V V | • | | |
| 9 M M M M | 2001
Dec e | | 6.440 | | | |
| 6112 | 800Z | | 0.500 | | | |
| | 0.005 | | 1.000 | | | |
| CLID | CUST | | 4,400 | | | |
| CL15 | U005 | | 1.000 | | | |
| CL15 | C014 | | 1,000 | | | |
| CL15 | 000 5 | | 1,000 | | | |
| CL16 | COST | | -0,050 | | | |
| CL16 | 006 | | 1,000 | | | |
| CL16 | C001 | | 0.100 | | | |
| CL16 | C002 | | 0.100 | | | |
| CL16 | 8001 | | 0.530 | | | |
| CL16 | 8002 | | 0.140 | | | |
| CL16 | 0006 | | 1.000 | | | |
| CL17 | C nsT | | 4.400 | | | |
| CI17 | UAAA | | 1 000 | | | |
| CL17 | C 0 1 4 | | 1.000 | | | |
| CI 17 | NYAT | | 1.000 | | | |
| C 10 | 99999
2127 | | | | | |
| ~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~ | | | 0.100 | | | |
| C110 | | | | | | |
| C-10 | GU04 | | 0.100 | | | |
| | 0003 | | 0,100 | | | |
| LL18 | 8001 . | | 0.780 | | | |
| CL18 | 800 2 | - | 0.190 | | | |
| CF18 | 0007 | | 1,000 | | | |
| UL19 | CLIST | | 4.400 | | | |
| CL19 | 4607 | | 1,000 | | | |
| CL19 | C014 | | 1.000 | | | |
| CL19 | DO07 | | 1.000 | | | |
| CL20 | CIIST | | 0.630 | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| CL20 | 0008 | 1,000 |
|---|---|---|
| CL20 | (CO)1 | 0.100 |
| CL20 | 0002 | 0.100 |
| CLZO | C003 | 0.100 |
| CL20 | C 61 3 | 1.000 |
| ri 20 | 85.44
86.00 1 | |
| | 0001 | |
| 6620 | 8 (A) 4 | 0 , Z40 |
| CLZO | 0000 | 1 a C O O |
| CL21 | CEST | 1,360 |
| CL21 | 0008 | 1,000 |
| CL21 | C001 | 0.100 |
| CI 21 | rona | 0.100 |
| CI 21 | C 610 | ※ 御 あた と
の、 当 6 介介 |
| CI 21 | 6010
6010 | 1 (1)
1 (1) |
| 6 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | to to L fa | |
| | 601 3 | Ι , 000 |
| CLZI | 6 0 01 | 1.820 |
| CL21 | BC02 | 0,430 |
| CL21 | 0008 | 1.000 |
| CL22 | CEST | 4.400 |
| CL22 | Ucoa | 1.000 |
| CL22 | C 0 1 4 | 1.000 |
| CI 22 | nna | 1 000 |
| C1 22 | ner
Aner | al an Al Ar Ar An An An An An An An An An An An An An |
| C100 | ha bi ni l
Li na co M | |
| | 0007 | |
| | 000 L | |
| | 000Z | 0.100 |
| 6623 | C003 | 0.100 |
| CL23 | <u>C004</u> | 0,100 |
| CL23 | 8001 | 1.420 |
| CL23 | B00 2 | 0,350 |
| CL23 | D009 | 1.000 |
| CL24 | COST | 0.840 |
| CL24 | UcoS | |
| CL24 | C 0 0 1 | 0.100 |
| CL24 | C 0 6 7 | 0.100 |
| 0124 | 6610 | 8 # # C * |
| C194 | Na Na Ja Na
Esta esta 1 | ₩★ 長 M M
9 - 約 A A |
| 210A | 121 1月 1月 1日
121 1月 1日
121 1日 1月 1日 | |
| 6104 | euuz
Do::0 | V. 40V |
| 6 6 4 | UQ00 | |
| | CUNI | 4 4 4 U U |
| 6625 | 0004 | 1.000 |
| CL25 | Ç614 | |
| CL25 | 0009 | 1.000 |
| CL26 | COST | 0,730 |
| CL26 | U610 | 1.000 |
| CL26 | C 0 0 1 | 0.100 m |
| CL26 | C002 | 0.100 |
| CL26 | rana | 0 ¹ 100 |
| CI 26 | 10004
10004 | - 1997年1月1日 - 1997年1日 - 1997年11月 - 1997年 |
| ~ | 14 U U T
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | |
| 0 4 5 0
C 1 3 4 | | しゅ たいし |
| | 3001 | 1.5740 |
| | 800Z | 0,430 |
| 6620 | 0010 | 1,000 |
| CL27 | COST | 1.050 |
| | | |

| CL27 | 1010 | 1,000 | |
|---------------|---|--------|--|
| CL27 | 6 Q () 1 | 0,100 | |
| CL27 | C 0 0 <u>5</u> | 0.100 | |
| CL27 | C007 | 0.100 | |
| CL27 | C010 | 0,100 | |
| CL27 | BCOL | 2.270 | |
| CL27 | 8 C O Z | 0.540 | |
| CL27 | 2010 | 1.000 | |
| 6628 | | 1.510 | |
| | 2010 | 1,000 | |
| | C061 | 0.100 | |
| | C006 | 0.100 | |
| | CO10 | 0.100 | |
| | | T.000 | |
| 6669
6190 | in the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second | 2.190 | |
| CL20 | 8002 | 0.220 | |
| | | 1,000 | |
| 6467 | | 4.400 | |
| 6120 | - 5010
6614 | 1,000 | |
| 6467
C1 20 | - 1.914
- 2019 | 1 000 | |
| 6120 | | 1 800 | |
| 0120 | 1.05 1 1
1.05 1 1 | 1.000 | |
| | | 1.000 | |
| C120 | 6002
6003 | 0 100 | |
| 6120 | | | |
| CL 30 | C 0 0 4
C 0 0 5 | | |
| CI 30 | 6011 | 0 100 | |
| 6130 | 6013 | 1 000 | |
| CL30 | ro13 | 1.000 | |
| CL30 | 2001 | 2.190 | |
| 6130 | R 0 0 2 | 6. S10 | |
| CL30 | DO11 | 1.000 | |
| CL31 | enst | 1,700 | |
| CL31 | | 1.000 | |
| CL31 | C004 | 0.100 | |
| CL31 | cond | 0.100 | |
| CL31 | Colo | 0.100 | |
| CL31 | COLL | 0.100 | |
| CL31 | C013 | 1.000 | |
| CL31 | 6001 | 2.720 | |
| CL31 | 8002 | 0,620 | |
| CL31 | 0011 | 1.000 | |
| CL32 | COST | 1.670 | |
| CL32 | V011 | 1:000 | |
| CL32 | C006 | 0.100 | |
| CL32 | C010 | 0,100 | |
| CL32 | C011 | 0,100 | |
| CL32 | C013 | 1.000 | |
| CL32 | <u>сост</u> | 2.630 | |
| CL32 | 800 2 | 0.620 | |
| CL32 | DC11 | 1.000 | |
| CL33 | COST | 4.400 | |
| CL33 | 011 | 1.000 | |
| | | | |

| CL33 CO11 1.000 CM01 CDST -0.180 CM01 CO22 0.140 CM01 CO22 0.140 CM01 CO22 0.140 CM01 CO22 0.140 CM01 CO2 CUST 4.450 CM02 CUST 4.450 CM02 CO14 1.000 CM02 CO14 1.000 CM03 CO22 C.140 CM03 CO22 D.140 CM03 CO22 D.140 CM03 CO22 D.140 CM03 CO22 D.250 CM03 CO22 D.250 CM03 CO22 D.250 CM04 CO22 D.270 CM04 CO22 D.140 CM04 CO22 D.140 CM04 CO22 D.140 CM04 CO22 D.140 CM05 CO37 D.140 CM06 CO32 D.140 CM06 CO32 D.140 | 0133 | 1014 | 1 000 |
|---|---------------|--|-----------------|
| CH01 CU11 1.000 CM01 CUST -0.130 CM01 B001 0.440 CM01 B001 0.440 CM01 B001 0.440 CM01 B001 1.000 CM02 CUST 4.450 CM02 C014 1.000 CM02 C051 1.000 CM03 C002 0.140 CM03 C002 0.140 CM03 C002 0.140 CM03 C002 0.250 CM03 B002 0.250 CM04 C010 0.140 CM04 C010 0.140 CM04 C010 0.140 CM04 B001 2.270 CM04 B002 1.000 CM04 C010 0.140 CM05 C057 4.400 CM05 C044 1.000 CM06 C032 0.140 CM06 C033 0.140 CM06 C033 0.140 CM06 | *****
**** | 50 G L 19
10 G L 19 | 1 # 21/1 () |
| CM01 CDST -0.150 CM01 CO02 9.140 CM01 E002 9.100 CM01 E002 9.100 CM02 CUST 4.400 CM02 CO14 1.000 CM02 CO14 1.000 CM03 CO22 9.140 CM03 CO2 0.140 CM03 CO22 9.140 CM03 CO22 9.140 CM03 CO22 9.140 CM03 CO22 9.140 CM03 E002 9.250 CM03 E002 9.250 CM04 CO22 9.140 CM04 CO22 9.140 CM04 CO2 9.140 CM04 CO2 9.140 CM04 B022 9.140 CM04 B022 9.140 CM05 CO14 1.000 CM06 CO33 0.140 CM06 CO33 1.400 CM06 CO33 1.000 CM06 < | 6623 | 1.017 | |
| CM01 C002 0.140 CM01 B001 0.440 CM01 B002 0.100 CM02 CUST 4.450 CM02 C014 1.600 CM02 C011 1.600 CM02 C011 1.600 CM03 C02 0.140 CM03 C002 0.140 CM03 C002 0.250 CM03 C002 0.250 CM03 D002 0.250 CM03 D002 0.250 CM04 C009 0.140 CM04 C002 0.140 CM04 C002 0.140 CM04 C002 0.140 CM04 B002 0.140 CM05 C014 1.000 CM05 C014 1.000 CM05 C014 1.000 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 | CMO1 | COST | -0 .1 30 |
| CM01 2001 0.440 CM01 EG62 0.100 CM02 CUST 4.450 CM02 CUST 4.450 CM02 CUST 4.450 CM02 CG14 1.600 CM02 CG14 1.600 CM03 CO2 0.140 CM03 CO02 0.140 CM03 CO02 0.250 CM03 CO02 0.250 CM03 CO02 0.250 CM03 CO02 0.140 CM04 CO22 0.250 CM04 CO22 0.140 CM04 CO2 0.140 CM04 CO2 0.140 CM04 CO2 0.140 CM04 CO2 0.140 CM05 CO14 1.000 CM05 CO14 1.000 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C004 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 | CMO1 | C002 | 0.140 |
| CM01 E002 0.100 CM02 CUST 4.430 CM02 C01 1.000 CM02 C01 1.000 CM02 C01 1.000 CM03 COST 0.150 CM03 C002 0.140 CM03 E002 0.140 CM03 E002 0.250 CM03 E002 0.250 CM03 E002 0.140 CM04 C002 0.140 CM04 C002 0.140 CM04 C002 0.140 CM04 C002 0.140 CM04 B002 1.000 CM04 B002 0.140 CM05 C014 1.000 CM05 C012 0.140 CM05 C014 1.000 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C004 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 S001 1.710 CM06 <t< th=""><th>CMO1</th><th>2001</th><th>0.440</th></t<> | CMO1 | 2001 | 0.440 |
| CM01 D0C1 1.000 CM02 CUST 4.430 CM02 C014 1.000 CM02 C014 1.000 CM03 CDST 0.150 CM03 C002 0.140 CM03 C002 0.140 CM03 C002 0.250 CM03 D002 1.000 CM04 C007 0.140 CM04 C007 0.140 CM04 C007 0.140 CM04 C002 1.000 CM04 C002 1.000 CM04 C002 1.000 CM04 C002 1.000 CM05 C014 1.000 CM05 C014 1.000 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 1.000 CM06 | CM01 | B 0 0 2 | 0.100 |
| CM02 CUST 4.400 CM02 C014 1.000 CM03 CSST 0.150 CM03 C002 0.140 CM03 C003 0.140 CM03 C003 0.140 CM03 C003 0.140 CM03 B001 1.000 CM03 B002 0.250 CM03 B002 0.250 CM04 C008 0.140 CM04 C010 0.140 CM04 C012 0.750 CM04 C010 0.140 CM05 C014 1.000 CM05 C014 1.000 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 1.000 CM06 C003 1.000 CM06 C003 1.000 CM07 | CM01 | 0661 | 1 61011 |
| CM02 C004 1.000 CM02 C014 1.000 CM03 C037 0.150 CM03 C002 0.140 CM03 C003 0.140 CM03 C003 0.140 CM03 B001 1.060 CM03 B002 0.250 CM03 B002 0.250 CM04 C037 0.750 CM04 C002 0.140 CM04 C010 C.140 CM04 C010 C.140 CM04 B002 0.510 CM04 B002 0.510 CM04 B002 0.510 CM05 C057 4.400 CM05 C057 0.440 CM05 C014 1.000 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 1.000 CM06 C003 1.000 CM06 C007 0.140 CM06 | CM02 | 1003
1057 | |
| CM02 C014 1.000 CM03 C03T 0.150 CM03 C002 0.140 CM03 C003 0.140 CM03 C003 0.140 CM03 B001 1.000 CM03 B002 0.250 CM03 B002 0.250 CM04 CBST 0.750 CM04 C002 0.140 CM04 C010 C.140 CM04 C012 0.140 CM04 B001 2.270 CM04 B002 0.140 CM04 B002 0.510 CM05 C05T 4.400 CM05 C05T 4.400 CM05 C044 1.000 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 | 6400 | 6031
621 | 4.400 |
| CM02 D001 1.000 CM03 CEST 0.150 CM03 C002 0.140 CM03 E001 1.000 CM03 E002 0.250 CM03 D002 0.250 CM03 D002 0.140 CM04 COST 0.750 CM04 C002 0.140 CM04 C010 0.140 CM04 C012 0.750 CM04 C012 0.140 CM04 C012 0.140 CM04 C012 0.140 CM04 C012 0.140 CM04 B001 2.270 CM04 B002 1.000 CM05 C05T 4.400 CM05 C014 1.000 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C004 0.140 CM06 C003 1.000 CM06 E003 1.000 CM07 | CMUZ | 6014 | |
| CM03 CEST 0.150 CM03 C002 0.140 CM03 C003 0.140 CM03 B001 1.060 CM03 D002 0.250 CM03 D002 0.140 CM04 COST 0.750 CM04 C002 0.140 CM04 C002 0.140 CM04 C002 0.140 CM04 C002 0.140 CM04 B001 2.270 CM04 B002 0.510 CM05 COST 4.400 CM05 C014 1.000 CM05 C014 1.000 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C004 0.140 CM06 C003 1.000 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 | CMOZ | 0001 | 1,000 |
| CM03 C002 0.140 CM03 B001 1.060 CM03 B001 1.060 CM03 B002 0.250 CM03 B002 0.250 CM03 B002 0.140 CM04 C002 0.140 CM04 C010 C.140 CM04 B001 2.270 CM04 B002 0.510 CM04 B002 0.510 CM05 COST 4.400 CM05 COST 4.400 CM05 CO14 1.000 CM06 C002 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 B003 1.000 CM06 E003 1.000 CM06 E003 1.000 CM06 E003 1.000 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B003 1.000 CM07 | CM03 | COST | 0,150 |
| CM03 C003 0.140 CM03 E001 1.060 CM03 E002 0.250 CM03 E002 1.000 CM04 CBST 0.750 CM04 C009 0.140 CM04 C010 0.140 CM04 C011 2.270 CM04 B002 0.510 CM04 E002 0.510 CM04 E002 0.510 CM04 E002 0.510 CM05 CGST 4.400 CM05 C014 1.000 CM06 C002 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 E003 1.000 CM06 E003 1.000 CM06 E003 1.000 CM07 C007 0.140 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B003 1.000 CM07 B003 1.000 CM07 | СМОЗ | C 0 0 Z | 0.140 |
| CM03 B001 1.060 CM03 B002 0.250 CM03 B002 1.000 CM04 COST 0.750 CM04 COST 0.140 CM04 CO10 0.140 CM04 B001 2.270 CM04 B002 1.000 CM04 B002 1.000 CM04 B002 1.000 CM04 B002 1.000 CM05 COST 4.400 CM05 COST 4.400 CM05 CO12 1.000 CM06 CD02 1.000 CM06 CD03 0.140 CM06 CO03 0.140 CM06 E001 1.710 CM06 E003 1.000 CM06 E003 1.000 CM07 CO27 C.140 CM07 E003 1.000 CM07 B003 1.000 CM07 B003 1.000 CM07 B003 1.000 CM08 | CM03 | C 0 0 3 | 0.140 |
| CM03 E002 0.250 CM03 D002 1.000 CM04 CDST 0.750 CM04 C003 0.140 CM04 C010 0.140 CM04 C010 0.140 CM04 B001 2.270 CM04 B002 0.510 CM04 E002 0.510 CM05 C0ST 4.400 CM05 C014 1.000 CM05 C017 0.440 CM06 C002 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 E003 1.000 CM06 E003 1.000 CM06 E003 1.000 CM07 C007 0.140 CM07 B002 0.560 CM07 B002 0.560 CM07 B003 1.000 CM07 B003 1.000 CM08 C014 1.000 CM09 | CM03 | 8601 | 1.060 |
| CM03 D002 1,000 CM04 C0ST 0.750 CM04 C002 0.140 CM04 C010 0.140 CM04 B001 2.270 CM04 B002 0.140 CM04 B002 0.140 CM04 B002 0.140 CM05 C0ST 4.400 CM05 C014 1.000 CM05 C012 1.000 CM06 C032 0.140 CM06 C002 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 B001 1.710 CM06 B003 1.000 CM07 C010 0.140 CM07 C010 0.140 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B003 1.000 CM07 B003 1.000 CM07 B003 1.000 CM08 | CM03 | R002 | a. 25n |
| CM04 CBST 0.750 CM04 C002 0.140 CM04 C010 0.140 CM04 B001 2.270 CM04 B002 0.510 CM04 B002 0.510 CM04 B002 0.000 CM05 C05T 4.400 CM05 C014 1.000 CM05 C017 0.480 CM06 C002 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C004 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 B001 1.710 CM06 B001 1.710 CM06 B001 1.000 CM07 C007 0.140 CM07 C007 0.140 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B003 1.000 CM07 B003 1.000 CM07 B003 1.000 CM08 C014 1.000 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 | CMOR | Bae2 | 1 400 |
| CM04 C031 0.1700 CM04 C010 0.140 CM04 BG02 0.510 CM04 BG02 0.000 CM04 BG02 1.000 CM04 BG02 1.000 CM05 C05T 4.400 CM05 C014 1.000 CM05 C022 1.000 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 B001 1.710 CM06 B003 1.000 CM06 B003 1.000 CM07 C007 0.140 CM07 C010 0.140 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B003 1.000 CM07 B003 1.000 CM08 C014 1.000 CM09 | CMOL | 64 5.4 5.5 464
19 19 19 19 19 19 19 | |
| CM04 C002 0.140 CM04 3001 2.270 CM04 8002 0.510 CM04 5002 1.000 CM05 C05T 4.400 CM05 C014 1.000 CM05 C014 1.000 CM05 C002 0.140 CM06 C05T 0.480 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C004 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 S001 1.710 CM06 S002 0.400 CM06 S003 1.000 CM07 C007 0.140 CM07 S002 0.560 CM07 S002 0.560 CM07 S003 1.000 CM08 C014 1.000 CM08 C014 1.000 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 | | VU31 | 0.700 |
| CM04 GO1C C.140 CM04 BG02 C.510 CM04 DG02 1.000 CM05 COST 4.400 CM05 CO14 1.000 CM05 CO12 1.000 CM06 CDST 0.420 CM06 CO22 0.140 CM06 CO02 0.140 CM06 CO03 0.140 CM06 CO04 0.140 CM06 CO03 0.140 CM06 B001 1.710 CM06 B002 0.400 CM06 B002 0.400 CM06 B003 1.000 CM06 D003 1.000 CM07 C010 0.140 CM07 C010 0.140 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B002 0.560 CM07 B003 1.000 CM07 B003 1.000 CM07 C002 0.140 CM09 | CM04 | C 0 0 8 | 0.140 |
| CM04 BO01 2:270 CM04 BG02 0:510 CM04 BG02 1:000 CM05 COST 4:400 CM05 CO14 1:000 CM05 D002 1:000 CM06 CBST 0:480 CM06 C003 0:140 CM06 C003 0:140 CM06 C004 0:140 CM06 C003 0:140 CM06 C003 0:140 CM06 B001 1:710 CM06 B002 0:400 CM07 C007 0:140 CM07 B001 2:450 CM07 B001 2:450 CM07 B002 0:560 CM07 B003 1:000 CM07 B003 1:000 CM07 C002 0:140 CM09 | CM04 | C O 1 C | õ . 140 |
| CM04 PG02 0.510 CM05 C05T 4.400 CM05 C05T 4.400 CM05 C014 1.000 CM05 C02 1.000 CM06 C05T 0.4450 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C004 0.140 CM06 C003 0.440 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 D003 1.000 CM06 D003 1.000 CM07 C007 0.140 CM07 C007 0.140 CM07 C007 0.140 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B003 1.000 CM07 B003 1.000 CM08 C014 1.000 CM08 C025 0.140 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C004 0.140 CM09 | CMO4 | 3001 | 2.270 |
| CM04 D002 1.000 CM05 C05T 4.400 CM05 C014 1.000 CM05 D002 1.000 CM06 CD5T 0.4450 CM06 C003 C.140 CM06 C003 C.140 CM06 C004 0.140 CM06 C003 C.400 CM06 D003 1.000 CM06 D003 1.000 CM06 D003 1.000 CM06 D003 1.000 CM07 C007 C.140 CM07 C007 C.140 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B003 1.000 CM07 B003 1.000 CM08 C014 1.000 CM08 C014 1.000 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C004 0.140 CM09 | CM04 | BG02 | 0,510 |
| CM05 C05T 4.400 CM05 C014 1.000 CM05 D002 1.000 CM06 C05T 0.480 CM06 C002 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C004 0.140 CM06 C004 0.140 CM06 D003 1.000 CM06 D003 1.000 CM06 D003 1.000 CM06 D003 1.000 CM07 C007 0.140 CM07 C007 0.140 CM07 C007 0.140 CM07 C007 0.140 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B002 0.560 CM07 B003 1.000 CM08 C014 1.900 CM08 C014 1.900 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C004 0.520 CM09 | CM04 | D002 | 1.000 |
| CM05 C014 1.000 CM05 D002 1.000 CM06 CBST 0.480 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C004 0.140 CM06 D001 1.710 CM06 D003 1.000 CM06 D003 1.000 CM06 D003 1.000 CM07 C007 0.140 CM07 C007 0.140 CM07 C007 0.140 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B003 1.000 CM08 CD14 1.000 CM08 C014 1.000 CM08 C014 1.000 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C003 0.520 CM09 C004 0.520 CM09 | CM05 | COST | 4.400 |
| CM05 D002 1:000 CM06 CDST 0:480 CM06 C003 0:140 CM06 B001 1:710 CM06 B002 0:400 CM06 D003 1:000 CM06 D003 1:000 CM07 C007 0:140 CM07 C007 0:140 CM07 C0010 0:140 CM07 B001 2:450 CM07 B001 2:450 CM07 B003 1:000 CM07 B003 1:000 CM08 C014 1:000 CM08 C014 1:000 CM09 C003 0:140 CM09 C003 0:140 CM09 C002 0:520 CM09 E002 0:520 CM09 E002 0:520 CM10 | CMOS | C014 | 1 000 |
| CM06 CDST 0.480 CM06 C002 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C004 0.140 CM06 B001 1.710 CM06 B002 0.400 CM06 B003 1.000 CM06 D003 1.000 CM07 CDST 0.850 CM07 C007 0.140 CM07 C007 0.140 CM07 C010 0.140 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B003 1.000 CM08 C05T 4.400 CM08 C014 1.000 CM08 C014 1.000 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C003 0.520 CM09 C004 0.520 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E002 0.520 CM10 | CMOS | 6023 | 1 200 |
| CM00 CD31 0.400 CM06 C002 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C004 0.140 CM06 B001 1.710 CM06 B001 1.710 CM06 B001 1.710 CM06 B002 0.400 CM06 D003 1.000 CM07 C05T 0.850 CM07 C010 0.140 CM07 C010 0.140 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B002 0.560 CM07 B003 1.000 CM08 C05T 4.400 CM08 C014 1.000 CM08 C014 1.000 CM09 C002 0.140 CM09 C002 0.140 CM09 C004 1.000 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 | CMC2 | 000 6
6867 | |
| CM00 C002 0.140 CM06 C003 0.140 CM06 C004 0.140 CM06 B001 1.710 CM06 B002 0.400 CM06 D003 1.000 CM07 CDST 0.850 CM07 C007 0.140 CM07 C007 0.140 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B002 0.560 CM07 B003 1.000 CM08 CD14 1.000 CM08 C014 1.000 CM08 C014 1.000 CM09 C002 0.140 CM09 C002 0.140 CM09 C002 0.140 CM09 C004 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 C002 0.520 CM09 D004 1.000 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 D004 1.000 CM10 | CHVQ
CHVQ | CUSI | 0.40 |
| CMOOCOOB0:140CMOOCOOB0:140CMOOBOO11:710CMOOBOO20:400CMOODOOB1:000CMO7COO70:140CMO7COO70:140CMO7COO70:140CMO7BOO12:450CMO7BOO20:560CMO7DOOB1:000CMO7BOO20:560CMO7DOOB1:000CMO8CDST4:400CMO8CO141:000CMO9CO020:140CMO9CO020:140CMO9CO030:140CMO9CO040:140CMO9CO050:140CMO9CO040:520CMO9DO041:000CM10CDST1:050CM10CO100:140CM10CO100:140CM10CO100:140CM10CO100:140CM10BO012:800CM10BO012:800CM10BO012:800CM10BO012:800CM10BO012:800CM10SO20:670 | LMUO | cooz | 0.140 |
| CM06C0040±140CM06B0011±710CM06B0020±400CM06D0031±000CM07CDST0±850CM07C0070±140CM07B0012±450CM07B0020±560CM07B0031±000CM08CDST4±400CM08C0141±000CM08C0141±000CM09C0031±000CM09C0020±140CM09C0030±140CM09C0040±140CM09C0050±140CM09C0050±140CM09C0050±140CM09C0050±140CM09C0041±000CM09D0041±000CM10CDST1±050CM10C0060±140CM10C0100±140CM10B0012±800CM10B0012±800CM10B0012±800CM10B0012±800 | CMUO | C003 | 0.140 |
| CM06B0011.710CM06B0020.400CM07CDST0.850CM07C0070.140CM07C0100.146CM07B0012.450CM07B0020.560CM07B0031.000CM08CUST4.400CM08C0141.000CM09C0031.400CM09C0030.140CM09C0040.140CM09C0050.140CM09C0040.140CM09C0050.140CM09C0041.000CM09C0050.140CM09C0050.140CM09C0050.140CM09C0041.000CM10C0060.140CM10C0060.140CM10C0060.140CM10C0100.140CM10B0012.800CM10B0012.800CM10B0012.800 | CM06 | C 0 0 4 | 6.140 |
| CM06 6002 0.400 CM06 D003 1.000 CM07 CDST 0.850 CM07 C007 0.140 CM07 C010 0.146 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B002 0.560 CM07 B003 1.000 CM08 CUST 4.400 CM08 C014 1.000 CM08 C017 0.02 CM08 C014 1.000 CM09 C002 0.140 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 E002 0.520 CM10 C010 0.140 CM10 C010 0.140 CM10 E001 2.800 CM10 | CM06 | 8001 | 1.710 |
| CM06D0031.000CM07CDST0.850CM07C0070.140CM07C0100.146CM07B0012.450CM07B0020.560CM07D0031.000CM08CUST4.400CM08C0141.000CM08C020.140CM09C0020.140CM09C0030.140CM09C0040.140CM09C0050.140CM09C0040.140CM09C0050.140CM09C0041.000CM09C0050.140CM09C0041.000CM09C0050.140CM09C0041.000CM10C0060.140CM10C0060.140CM10C0060.140CM10C0100.140CM10B0012.800CM10B0012.800CM10B0020.670 | CM06 | 800 2 | 0,400 |
| CM07CDST0.850CM07C0070.140CM07C0100.146CM07B0012.450CM07B0020.560CM07D0031.000CM08CDST4.400CM08C0141.000CM08C0141.000CM09C0020.140CM09C0030.140CM09C0030.140CM09C0050.140CM09C0050.140CM09E0020.520CM09E0020.520CM09E0020.520CM10C0060.140CM10C0100.140CM10C0100.140CM10B0012.800CM10B0012.800CM10B0012.800 | CM06 | D003 | 1,000 |
| CM07 C007 C140 CM07 C010 C14C CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B002 C560 CM07 B003 1.000 CM08 CDST 4.400 CM08 C014 1.000 CM08 CDST C.720 CM09 CD02 0.140 CM09 C002 0.140 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C004 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 C004 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E004 1.000 CM10 C006 0.140 CM10 C010 0.140 CM10 C010 0.140 CM10 B001 2.800 CM10 B001 2.800 <th>CM07</th> <th>CEST</th> <th>0.850</th> | CM07 | CEST | 0.850 |
| CM07 C010 0.140 CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B002 0.560 CM07 D003 1.000 CM08 CDST 4.400 CM08 CDST 4.400 CM08 CDST 4.400 CM08 CDST 4.400 CM09 CDST C.720 CM09 CD02 0.140 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C004 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 C004 0.140 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E004 1.000 CM10 C006 0.140 CM10 C006 0.140 CM10 C010 0.140 CM10 B001 2.800 CM10 B002 0.670 | CM07 | 0007 | 0.140 |
| CM07 B001 2.450 CM07 B002 0.560 CM07 D003 1.000 CM08 CDST 4.400 CM09 CDST C.720 CM09 CD02 0.140 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 E002 0.520 CM10 C006 0.140 CM10 C006 0.140 CM10 C010 0.140 CM10 B001 2.800 CM10 B001 2.800 CM10 B002 0.670 | CM07 | Calo | 0.14C |
| CM07 8001 2.4400 CM07 8002 0.560 CM07 0003 1.5000 CM08 CDST 4.400 CM08 C014 1.000 CM08 C014 1.000 CM09 CDST C.720 CM09 C002 0.140 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E002 0.520 CM10 C006 0.140 CM10 C006 0.140 CM10 C001 0.140 CM10 B001 2.800 CM10 B001 2.800 CM10 B002 0.670 | CMO7 | 0001
0001 | |
| CM07 5002 0.560 CM07 0003 1.000 CM08 C0ST 4.400 CM08 C014 1.000 CM09 C003 1.000 CM09 C0ST 0.140 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E002 0.520 CM10 C006 0.140 CM10 C006 0.140 CM10 C010 0.140 CM10 B001 2.800 CM10 B002 0.670 | CM07 | 59260 L
3000 | 「ななない」 |
| CM07 0003 1.000 CM08 CUST 4.400 CM08 C014 1.000 CM08 0003 1.000 CM09 CUST 0.720 CM09 C002 0.140 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E004 1.000 CM10 CEST 1.050 CM10 C006 0.140 CM10 C001 0.140 CM10 B001 2.800 CM10 B002 0.670 | CMOR | 800 2 | 9.50 0 |
| CM08 CUST 4.400 CM08 C014 1.000 CM08 D003 1.000 CM09 CUST 0.720 CM09 C002 0.140 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C004 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E004 1.000 CM10 CEST 1.050 CM10 C006 0.140 CM10 C010 0.140 CM10 B001 2.800 CM10 B002 0.670 | CMU7 | 0003 | Τ. • Ο Ο Ο |
| CM08 C014 1.000 CM08 D003 1.000 CM09 CUST C.720 CM09 C002 0.140 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E004 1.000 CM10 CEST 1.050 CM10 C006 0.140 CM10 C010 0.140 CM10 B001 2.800 CM10 B002 0.670 | CMOB | Ç@ST | 4,400 |
| CM08 D003 1:000 CM09 CDST C:720 CM09 C002 0:140 CM09 C003 0:140 CM09 C004 0:140 CM09 C005 0:140 CM09 C005 0:140 CM09 C005 0:140 CM09 B001 2:170 CM09 B002 0:520 CM09 D004 1:000 CM10 CBST 1:000 CM10 C010 0:140 CM10 B001 2:800 CM10 B002 0:670 | CM08 | C014 | 1,000 |
| CM09 CDST C.720 CM09 C002 0.140 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C004 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 D004 1.000 CM10 C006 0.140 CM10 C006 0.140 CM10 C010 0.140 CM10 B001 2.800 CM10 B002 0.670 | CMOB | 0003 | 1.000 |
| CM09 C002 0.140 CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C004 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E004 1.000 CM10 CEST 1.050 CM10 C006 0.140 CM10 C010 0.140 CM10 B001 2.800 CM10 B002 0.670 | CM09 | CUST | 0.720 |
| CM09 C003 0.140 CM09 C004 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 E004 1.000 CM09 E004 1.000 CM10 CEST 1.050 CM10 C006 0.140 CM10 C010 0.140 CM10 B001 2.800 CM10 B002 0.670 | CMO9 | 0002 | 0.140 |
| CM09 C004 0.140 CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 B001 2.170 CM09 B002 0.520 CM09 D004 1.000 CM10 CDST 1.050 CM10 C006 0.140 CM10 C010 0.140 CM10 B001 2.800 CM10 B002 0.670 | CM09 | Coca | 0.140 |
| CM09 C005 0.140 CM09 B001 2.170 CM09 B002 0.520 CM09 D004 1.000 CM10 CBST 1.050 CM10 C010 0.140 CM10 C010 0.140 CM10 B001 2.800 CM10 B002 0.670 | CM09 | Cona | 0.140 |
| CM09 B001 2.170 CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 D004 1.000 CM10 CBST 1.000 CM10 C006 0.140 CM10 C010 0.140 CM10 B001 2.800 CM10 B002 0.670 | CMOS | COOR | 0140 |
| CM09 E002 0.520 CM09 D004 1.000 CM10 CBST 1.000 CM10 C006 0.140 CM10 C010 0.140 CM10 B001 2.800 CM10 B002 0.670 | CMD9 | 8001
8001 | |
| CM09 D004 D000 CM10 CBST 1.000 CM10 C006 0.140 CM10 C010 0.140 CM10 B001 2.800 CM10 B002 0.670 | C MAO | だいいました。 | £ . 1 U |
| CM10 CDST 1.000 CM10 CDST 1.050 CM10 C006 0.140 CM10 C010 0.140 CM10 B001 2.800 CM10 B002 0.670 | CMAG | nuuz | 0.320 |
| CDST 1.050 CM10 C006 0.140 CM10 C010 0.140 CM10 B001 2.800 CM10 B002 0.670 | | 0004 | 1.000 |
| CM10 C006 0.140 CM10 C010 0.140 CM10 B001 2.800 CM10 B002 0.670 | LN10 | CEST | 1.050 |
| CM10 C010 D.140 CM10 B001 2.800 CM10 B002 0.670 | CMTO | C006 | 0,140 |
| CM10 B001 2.800 CM10 B002 0.670 | CM10 | C010 | 0.140 |
| CM10 8002 0,670 | CM10 | B001 | 2,800 |
| • | CM10 | B002 | 0,670 |

| CM10
CM11 | 0004
Crist | 1,000 | | |
|--------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| CM11 | Č G O S | 0.140 | | |
| CM11 | C007 | 0,140 | | |
| CM11 | C010 | 0.140 | | |
| CM11 | 8001 | 2,910 | | |
| CM11 | FOOS | 0.680 | | |
| CM11 | 8004 | 1,000 | | |
| CM12 | CLIST | 4,400 | | |
| CM12 | | 1,000 | | |
| CMIZ | | 1.000 | | |
| CM10 | QUA I | 0,300 | | |
| CM12 | | 0.140 | | |
| CM12 | | 0.140 | | |
| CM12 | DAC1 | | | |
| CM13 | じ())(1)
1) (A.1) つ | | | |
| CM13 | 0002 | 1 000 | | |
| CM14 | rns t | 1 670 | | |
| CM14 | 0004 | 140
140 | | |
| CM14 | Coos | 0.140 | | |
| CM14 | Coca | 0.140 | | |
| CM14 | C 309 | 0.140 | | |
| CM14 | co12 | 1.000 | | |
| CM14 | 8001 | 3.480 | | |
| CM14 | 9002 | 0.800 | | |
| CM14 | 0005 | 1,000 | | |
| CM15 | COST | 4.400 | | |
| CM15 | C014 | 1.000 | | |
| CM15 | B005 | 1.000 | | |
| CM16 | COST | 0,010 | | |
| CM16 | C001 | 0.140 | | |
| CMIC | <u>ç 0 0 2</u> | 0.140 | | |
| CM16 | K 00 I | 0.760 | | |
| CM16 | | 0,200 | | |
| CM17 | | | | |
| CM17 | | 4:400 | | |
| CM17 | | | | |
| CM18 | rnst | 1 <u>1</u> 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | | |
| CM18 | C 0 0 4 | 0 140 | | |
| ČM18 | C 0 0 5 | 0 140 | | |
| CM18 | Ano t | | | |
| CM18 | 800 2 | n.27n | | |
| CM18 | 1200 7 | 1.000 | | |
| CM19 | COST | 4.400 | | |
| CM19 | C014 | 1.000 | | |
| CM19 | 0007 | 1.000 | | |
| CM20 | CUST | 0.740 | | |
| CM20 | C001 | 0.140 | | |
| CM20 | C 0 0 2 | 0.140 | | |
| CMZO | C003 | 0.140 | | |
| CM20 | C013 | 1.000 | | |
| LMZO | 800 1 | 1,380 | | |

| | | 220 |
|---------|---|---|
| CM20 | <u> 602</u> | 0,350 |
| CM20 | 000 ai | 1.000 |
| CM21 | COST | 1,540 |
| CM21 | 6001 | 0,140 |
| CM21 | C C O B | 0,140 |
| CM21 | C010 | 0.140 |
| CM21 | 0012 | 1.000 |
| CM21 | cola | 1.000 |
| CM21 | P061 | 2.400 |
| CM21 | P.002 | |
| CM21 | n a se se se se se se se se se se se se se | 1 00A |
| CM22 | 1997 568 767 166
1979 567 567 1987 | ▲ 夏父紀録
★ - ★ 西西山市 この「日本市」では、1995年1月1日に、1995年1月1日に、1995年1月1日に、1995年1日 |
| CM22 | | |
| 6M09 | 5 S 1 4
No 2 2 | |
| 6 M 2 2 | | |
| 6M23 | CUS I | |
| 6 M 0 0 | COOL | 0.140 |
| CM23 | C002 | 0.140 |
| CM23 | C 0 0 3 | 0.140 |
| CM23 | C 0 0 4 | 0 .14 0 |
| CM23 | 50cl | 2,030 |
| CM23 | BC02 | () .5 () |
| CM23 | 6009 | 1,000 |
| CM24 | COST | 1.040 |
| CM24 | C 001 | 0.140 |
| CM24 | 6007 | 0.140 |
| CM24 | 6610 | 0.140 |
| CM24 | 8001 | 2.780 |
| CM24 | 8002 | 9.660 |
| CM24 | 2009 | 1.000 |
| CM25 | COST | 4.400 |
| CM25 | 6014 | 1_060 |
| CM25 | <u> 0009</u> | |
| CM26 | cest | |
| CM26 | C001 | |
| CM26 | C 0 6 2 | |
| CM26 | 6003 | 13.5 美 (75)/
13.5 手 人内 |
| CM26 | e te te te te | |
| CM26 | C004 | |
| CM2A | | |
| CMOK | BOOD | |
| CMOL | 87 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | $O_{\bullet} C Z Q$ |
| CNOT | 1.5 1.2 1. 1.3
Ann ann ann | |
| 6 M 7 7 | Cusi | 1.282 |
| CM27 | C O O I | 0.140 |
| CHOR | C003 | 0.140 |
| GILL T | 6907 | 0.140 |
| CM27 | C010 | 0.140 |
| LMC1 | 8001 | 3,240 |
| UM27 | E 0 0 Z | 0.770 |
| CM27 | DO10 | 1,000 |
| CMZ8 | COST | 1.440 |
| CM28 | C 0 0 1 | 0.140 |
| CM28 | C 0 0 6 | 0.140 , 140 , 0.140 |
| CM28 | C010 | 0,140 |
| CM28 | C012 | 1.000 |
| | | an an an an an an an an an an an an an a |

| C M 2 8 | \$661 | 2 120 | |
|---------|-----------------------|---|-------|
| CM28 | 300 3 | 28 6 1, 22 54
1 | |
| CM28 | | | |
| CM29 | ビビまし | | |
| 6 M 0 0 | 5031
601 | 4.4UU | |
| 6429 | <u>CO14</u> | 1.000 | |
| CM29 | 8010 | 1.000 | |
| ÇM30 | C (J S T | 1.61.0 | |
| CMBO | C O C 2 | 0,140 | |
| CM30 | C 0 0 3 | 0.140 | |
| CM30 | C004 | 0.140 | |
| CMBO | 6.005 | 0.140 | |
| CM30 | C 0 1 1 | 0.140 | |
| CM30 | 6612 | 1.000 | |
| CM30 | C G 1 2 | 1 404 | |
| CM30 | 5041
5001 | 1,000 | |
| CM20 | 0 10 V &
11 10 0 0 | <i>ማ ይ አር የያ</i>
ስ ማ ም ስ | |
| 6 M 3 A | euvz | 0./30 | |
| UM30 | POLL | 1.000 | |
| | CUS I | 1.970 | |
| CM31 | CO04 | 0,140 | |
| CM31 | \$00 2 | 0.140 | |
| CM31 | C010 | 0 .14 0 | |
| CM31 | C 0 1 1 | 0,140 | |
| CM31 | C013 | 1.000 | |
| CM31 | 8001 | 3.880 | |
| CM31 | 6602 | 0.880 | |
| CM31 | 0011 | 1.000 | |
| CM32 | Cest | 1 4 4 6 | |
| CM32 | COOK | 3 14A | |
| CM32 | 6010
6010 | いまたな | |
| CM32 | 0010 | した。
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
の
した
した
した
した
した
した
した
した
した
した | |
| CM22 | | V # 1 4 0 | |
| CM20 | 6012
6012 | | |
| CM22 | e U U L | 3 · / 20 | |
| CM22 | 1:00Z | 0.580 | |
| CHO2 | 0011 | T * OCC | |
| CM33 | <u>cus</u> r | 4.400 | |
| CM33 | C 014 | 1.000 | |
| CM33 | 80 11 | 1.000 | |
| *** | ****** | ****** | ***** |
| RHSOL | UQ01 | 458,000 | |
| RHS01 | U002 | 1178.000 | |
| RHS01 | Ugoa | 634.000 | |
| RH501 | U004 | 1135.000 | |
| RHS01 | U005 | 330.000 | |
| RHSOI | 2006 | 755.000 | |
| RHS01 | 0007 | 624-000 | |
| RHS01 | Uoaa | 1320-000 | |
| RHS01 | Unae | 1224 000 | |
| RHSOI | Unin | 1249 000 | |
| RHSOI | 1011 | エムチアルリリン | |
| RHSOI | COOL | マムヤカワシン | |
| RHCOI | 5 N N N N
17 N N N | | |
| RHSAT | 600 2 | 87.000 | |
| BACVI | 6000 | 81.000 | |
| DHCV1 | 6034 | 87.000 | |
| vusõ1 | 6002 | 87.000 | |
| | | • | |

| RHSO1 | C006 | 168,000 | |
|-------|--------------|-----------------------|------|
| RHSOL | COS7 | 0 . 0 0 | |
| RHS01 | C 0 0 3 | 0.0CO | |
| RH501 | 6009 | 0.00 | |
| RHS01 | 010 | 168.000 | |
| RHSOL | CC11 | 168.000 | |
| RHSOL | S100 | 1 C O O O O O O | |
| RHSOL | C 0 1 3 | 100000.000 | |
| RHS01 | 6014 | 10000 .000 | |
| RHS01 | 800 1 | 20000 .000 | |
| RHS01 | 3602 | 30000 .000 | |
| RHSO1 | 0 001 | 572,000 | |
| RHSOl | 0002 | 1472.000 | |
| RHSC1 | 000 3 | 793,000 | |
| RHSOl | B004 | 1418.000 | |
| RHSOL | UÇ05 | 413.000 | |
| RHSOl | 0006 | 674.000 | |
| RHSOl | 0007 | 780.000 | |
| RHSOL | 8008 | 1650.000 | |
| RHSOL | 0000 | 1174,000 | |
| RHS01 | 0010 | 1561,000 | |
| RHS01 | p011 | 530.000 | |
| **** | **** | ***** | **** |

@P

3 50 5 6 9 10000.00 1.0000 4.00 4.00 6.00 2.0000 3.0000 18.0027.0027.00 .70 .80 4.0000 .70 .95 1.00 5.0000 .85 6.0000 1846500.00 1495250.00 1500000.00 1500000.00 7.0000 1455750.00 904750.00 956000.00 1007250.00 8.0000 228850.00 128900.00 146300.00 163700.00 9.0000 6190500.00 5345500.00 4974250.00 5061250.00 10.0000 1192200.00 1098000.00 1021150.00 973600.00 11.0000 2287090.00 2083575.00 1970345.00 1880190.00 12.0000 SUN 13.0000 SAT OFF 14.0000 WEEK OFF 15.0000 SAT PEAK 16.0000 A.M. PEAK 17.0000 P.M. PEAK

18.

/DO LEE.PROC %/PROC C %/FILE LEE.LPDGEN.INPUT,LINK=DSET75,FCBTYPE=SAM,RECFORM=V %/FILE LEE.LPDGEN.DATA,LINK=DSET77,FCBTYPE=SAM,RECFORM=V %/EXFC LPDGEN % C P500 LOADING. FORTRAN IV PROGRAM LPDGEN STARTED --- 08/23/73

DO YOU WISH TO VERIFY INPUT DATA (Y,N)? *Y

DATA VERIFICATION

THERE ARE 11 DEMANDS AND 66 DEMAND-CHAINS

| DEMAND # | CHAIN# | LI | INKS | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|----|----|----|
| 122 | 121 | 2
-14
-2
10 | 3
8 | | | | | |
| 3 | 1:
2 | - 10 | 3
7 | 4 | | | | |
| 3
4
⊿ | 3
1
2 | 14
2
10 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | |
| 4 | 3 | 10
14 | 7 | 5 | | | | |
| 5 | 1
2
3 | 3
9
14 | 4
12 | 5
8 | 4 | 5 | | |
| 6 | 1 2 | 2
14 | 1 | | | | | |
| 7 | 1
2
1 | 14
13 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | | |
| 33
23
0 | 23 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 1 | | |
| , <i>a</i> , <i>a</i> , | 1
2
3 | 4
7
14 | 10 | 2.
1 | 1 | | | |
| 10
10
10 | 1
2
3 | 5
5
6 | 4
7
10 | 3
10
12 | 2
1
1 | 1 | | |
| 10 | 4 | 14 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 11 |
| 1 1
1 1
1 1 | 2
3
4 | 13
13
14 | 4
6 | е
10 | 10
11 | 11 | | |

THERE ARE 14 LINKS

| LINK#
1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14 | TRAVEL TIME
2.270
3.060
4.330
4.550
3.220
11.130
8.690
7.430
9.160
8.480
6.700
5.000
10.000 | OPERATING
0.682
0.716
1.044
1.064
0.800
2.829
2.102
1.764
1.946
1.840
1.498
0.000
0.000 | COST | |
|--|--|--|------------|-----------|
| LOAD F
LOAD F
PASSEN
FARE= | ACTOR 1= 10,
ACTOR 2= 7.
GER CÓST= 2.
0.40 | 0
0
40 | | |
| IS INF
*Y
*/FORI
7/PRINI
7 C SP(
7/ENDP
/ | PUT DATA CORRE
TRAN ** STOP
TLEE.LPDGEN.D
DI PRINT LEE.L | CCT (Y,N)?
DATA
.PDGEN.DATA | INITIATED: | TSN=7580. |

CONTROL PROGRAM COMPILER - MPS/360 V2-M8

| 0001 | PROGRAM |
|------|-------------------------|
| 0002 | INITIALZ |
| 0064 | MOVE(XDATA, 'LPTEST') |
| 0065 | MOVE(XPBNAME, 'PBFILE') |
| 0066 | CONVERT (SUMMARY) |
| 0067 | BCDOUT |
| 0068 | SETUP |
| 0069 | MOVE(XOBJ, *COST*) |
| 0070 | MOVE(XRHS, *RHS01*) |
| 0071 | PRIMAL |
| 0072 | TRACE |
| 0073 | SOLUTION |
| 0074 | EXIT |
| 0075 | PEND |
| | |

CONVERT LPTEST TO PBFILE

TIME = 0.02

SUMMARY

1- ROWS SECTION.

and the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second

0 MINOR ERROR(S) - 0 MAJOR ERROR(S).

2- COLUMNS SECTION.

0 MINOR ERROR(S) - 0 MAJOR ERROR(S).

3- RHS'S SECTION.

RHS01

0 MINDR ERROR(S) - 0 MAJOR ERROR(S).

| | | EXECUTOR. | MPS/3 | 160 V2-M8 | | | | | | | PAGE | 2 - | 73/240 | |
|-------|----------|---------------|----------|-----------|------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|--------|-------|---------|--------|-------------|
| NUMBI | ER OF EL | EMENTS BY | COLUMN C | IRDER | | | | | | | | | | |
| 40 | CLOI | *****6 | CL02 | ****4 | CL03 | *****7 | CL04 | *****7 | CL05 | 4 | CL06 | | CL 07 | 7 |
| 47 | CL08 | • • • • • 4 | CL09 | *****9 | CL10 | 7 | CL11 | *****8 | CL12 | | CL 13 | | CL 14 | |
| 54 | CL15 | • • • • • 4 | CL15 | ****7 | CL17 | 4 | CLI8 | 7 | CL19 | 4 | CL 20 | | CL 21 | |
| 61 | CL22 | · · · · · · 4 | CL23 | ••••9 | CL24 | · · · · · 8 | CL 25 | • • • • • 4 | CL 26 | | CL 27 | | CL 29 | |
| 68 | CL 29 | 4 | CL30 | 12 | CL31 | ••••10 | CL32 | | CL 33 | | CM01 | ***** | CHOR | *******
 |
| 75 | CM03 | *****6 | CM04 | ••••G | CM05 | | CM05 | | CM07 | | CMOR | *****:7 | CM02 | ****** |
| 82 | CM10 | *****6 | CMI 1 | 7 | CM12 | | CM13 | | CM1A | | CNIE | ******* | CMU9 | |
| 89 | CM17 | •••••3 | CM18 | | CM19 | | СМ20 | | CM21 | ***** | CM10 | *****) | CMIG | |
| 96 | CM24 | | CM25 | | CM26 | | CM27 | ****U | CH2I | *****9 | CM22 | •••••3 | CM23 | 8 |
| 103 | CM31 | ***** | CM32 | *****8 | CM33 | | CMET | | CM28 | *****8 | CW58 | ••••3 | СМ30 | ••••11 |

| | EXECUTOR. | MP5/360 | V2-M8 | | | | | | | 6 | | | PAGE | 3 | 73/24 | 0 |
|-------------|-------------|------------|--------|-------------|-------------|-----|---------|---------|----|-------|--------|----|------|--------|-------|-----|
| NUMBER OF | ELEMENTS BY | ROW ORDER. | EXCLUD | DING RHS'5, | INCLUDI | NG | SLACK E | LEMENT | | | | | | | | |
| ' I N COST | ••••67 | L U001 | 3 | L U002 | 4 | Ļ | U003 | 4 | L | U004 | *****5 | LU | 005 | 4 | L UCO | 5 |
| 8 L U007 | 3 | L U008 | 4 | L U009 | • • • • • 4 | L | U010 | *****5 | L | 0011 | *****5 | LC | 001 | 17 | L C00 | 219 |
| 15 L C003 | + + + + 17 | L C004 | 19 | L C005 | ••••17 | L | C006 | *****7 | - | C007 | *****9 | LC | 800 | 9 | L C00 | 9 |
| 22 L C010 | 21 | L C011 | ••••7 | L C012 | *****9 | L | C013 | | ۱. | C014 | 23 | LB | 001 | ••••45 | L 800 | 2 |
| 29 G D001 | ****5 | G D002 | 7 | G D003 | 7 | G | D004 | 9 | G | D005 | 7 | GΟ | 006 | ****5 | G 000 | 7 |
| 36 G D008 | *****7 | G D(09 | •••• | G D010 | ****9 | G | D011 | | | | | | | | | |
| ROBLEM STAT | ISTICS - | 39 ROWS. | 105 | VARIABLES. | 466 | EL. | EMENTS | DENSITY | = | 11.37 | • | | | | | |

0 MINOR ERRORS, 0 MAJOR ERRORS.

CL04

CL04

C008

B001

| N CCST
L U001
L U002
L U003
L U004
L U006
L U007
L U006
L U007
L C002
L C002
L C003
L C004
L C005
L C005
L C006
L C007
L C006
L C007
L C006
L C007
L C008
L C009
L C010
L C012
L C012
L C012
L C014
L B001
L B002
G D001
G D004
G D005
G D001
CL01
CO2
CL01
C C07
L C02
L C01
L C01
L C01
L C012
L C014
L B001
L B002
G D004
G D005
G D004
G D006
G D006
G D001
CL01
C C07
L C02
L C01
L C02
L C01
L C02
L C03
L C02
L C03
L C02
L C03
L C00
L C03
L C02
L C03
L C02
L C03
L C00
L C03
L C02
L C03
L C02
L C03
L C02
L C03
L C00
L C03
L C02
L C03
L C02
L C03
L C03
L C02
L C03
L C | NAM
Row | IE
IS | LPTES | ST | | | |
|--|------------|----------|-------|----------------------|--|---|--|
| L U001
L U003
L U003
L U004
L U005
L U007
L U007
L U008
L U007
L U009
L U010
L U010
L U010
L U011
L C002
L C003
L C004
L C004
L C005
L C006
L C006
L C007
L C006
L C007
L C008
L C007
L C008
L C007
L C008
L C007
L C013
L C014
L 8001
L C014
L 8002
G D004
G D005
G D005
G D005
G D006
G D006
G D006
G D007
G D008
CL01
CO17
L C012
L C013
L C014
L 8002
G D005
G D006
G D006
G D006
G D007
G D006
G D007
G D006
G D007
G D006
G D007
G D008
CL01
CO17
L C012
L C013
L C011
L C012
L C013
L C014
L 8002
G D005
G D006
G D006
G D007
G D006
G D006
CL01
CO2
CL01
CO3
L C014
L C012
L C013
L C010
L C011
L C012
L C010
L C010
L C010
L C010
L C010
L C010
L C010
L C011
L C012
L C010
L C010
L C011
L C012
L C010
L C010
L C011
L C012
L C010
L C010
L C011
L C010
L C010
L C011
L C010
L C010
L C010
L C011
L C010
L C011
L C010
L C010
L C011
L C011
L C010
L C010
L C011
L C010
L C011
L C010
L C011
L C011
L C010
L C011
L C011
L C012
L C010
L C011
L C011
L C011
L C010
L C011
L C012
L C014
L C002
L C014
L C000
L C014
L C000
L C03
L C014
L C002
L C014
L C000
L C03
L C014
L C002
L C000
L C03
L C014
L C000
L C03
L C014
L C000
L C03
L C014
L C000
L C03
L C002
L C007
L C007 | N | COST | | | | | |
| L 0002
L 0004
L 0006
L 0006
L 0007
L 0008
L 0007
L 0010
L 0010
L 0010
L 0010
L 0011
L 0002
L 0004
L 0004
L 0005
L 0004
L 0005
L 0004
L 0005
L 0006
L 0005
L 0006
L 0007
L 0006
L 0007
L 0008
L 0007
L 0012
L 0012
L 0012
L 0012
L 0014
L 8001
L 8002
G 0003
G 0004
G 0005
G 0006
L 001
L 002
L 0005
L 0007
L 0007
L 0007
L 0007
L 0007
L 0007
L 0000
L 0011
L 0000
L 0001
L 0000
L 0007
L 0000
L 0001
L 0000
L 0000
L 0001
L 0000
L 0000
L 0000
L 0001
L 0000
L 0000
L 0001
L 0000
L 0000
L 0001
L 0000
L 0000
L 0001
L 0000
L 0000
L 0000
L 000
L 0002
L 0000
L 0002
L 0002
L 0000
L 0002
L 0000
L 000
L 0000
L 0000
L 000
L 000
L 0000
L 0000
L 0000
L 0000
L 0000
L 0000
L 000 | L | U001 | | | | | |
| L 0003
L 0004
L 0006
L 0007
L 0007
L 0008
L 0010
L 0011
L C001
L C002
L C003
L C004
L C004
L C005
L C006
L C006
L C007
L C006
L C007
L C008
L C007
L C010
L C011
L C012
L C013
L C014
L 8001
L C014
L 8001
L 8002
G D001
G D002
G D004
G D005
G D004
G D005
G D005
G D004
G D005
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D004
G D005
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D001
CL01
CO2
CL01
CO2
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL01
CO2
CO3
CL02
CO3
CO3
CL03
CO2
CO3
CL03
CO2
CO3
CL03
CO2
CO3
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CO2
CL03
CD02
CL03
CD02
CL03
CD02
CL03
CD02
CL03
CD02
CL03
CD02
CL03
CL03
CD02
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03
CL03 | L | 0002 | | | | | |
| L 0004
L 0005
L 0007
L 0008
L 0009
L 0010
L 0010
L 0011
L 0011
L 0002
L 0002
L 0004
L 0005
L 0004
L 0005
L 0006
L 0007
L 0006
L 0007
L 0008
L 0007
L 0008
L 0009
L 0010
L 0012
L 0012
L 0012
L 0014
L 8001
L 8001
L 8002
G D001
G D003
G D004
G D005
G D005
G D006
G D005
G D006
G D007
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D009
G D001
CL01
COST - 21000
B001
- 10000
CL01
COST - 21000
B001
- 10000
CL02
CO14
- 21000
B001
- 10000
CL01
CO2
- 10000
B001
- 10000
CL02
- 10000
B001
- 10000
CL03
CO2
- 10000
B002
- 10000
CL03
CO2
- 10000
B002
- 10000
- | L | 0003 | | | | | |
| L 0005
L 0006
L 0007
L 0008
L 0010
L 0011
L 0011
L 0011
L 0002
L 0005
L 0006
L 0006
L 0002
L 0003
L 0004
L 0006
L 0006
L 0006
L 0006
L 0006
L 0006
L 0007
L 0008
L 0008
L 0008
L 0008
L 0008
L 0010
L 0010
L 0010
L 0011
L 0012
L 0013
L 0014
B 002
G 0001
G 0002
G 0003
G 0004
G 0005
G 0006
G 0007
G 0008
G 0006
G 0007
G 0008
G 0006
G 0007
G 0008
G 0006
G 0007
CL01 COST2100 U001 1.00000
CL01 COS2 .0700 D001 1.00000
CL01 COS2 .0700 D001 1.00000
CL02 COST .07000 U002 1.00000
CL03 COS2 .10000 E002 .18000 | L | 0004 | | | | | |
| L 0000
L 0007
L 0007
L 0008
L 0010
L 0011
L 0011
L 0001
L 0003
L 0004
L 0006
L 0006
L 0006
L 0007
L 0008
L 0007
L 0008
L 0007
L 0008
L 0007
L 0008
L 0009
L 0010
L 0012
L 0012
L 0014
L 8001
L 8001
L 8002
G D003
G D004
G D004
G D005
G D004
G D005
G D004
G D005
G D006
G D007
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D008
G D009
G D008
G D009
G D009
G D001
CL01 CD72100 U001 1.00000
CL01 C02 .10000 B001 .31000
CL01 C02 .014 1.00000 D001 1.00000
CL02 CD5T 4.4000 U001 1.00000
CL02 CD14 1.00000 D001 1.00000
CL03 C057 .07000 U002 1.00000
CL03 C057 .10000 C003 .10000 | L
, | 0005 | | | | | |
| L 0007
L 0008
L 0010
L 0011
L 0011
L 0001
L 0002
L 0003
L 0004
L 0005
L 0006
L 0007
L 0008
L 0007
L 0010
L 0011
L 0012
L 0013
L 0014
L 8001
L 0013
L 0014
L 8001
L 8002
G 0003
G 0004
G 0005
G 0004
G 0005
G 0004
G 0005
G 0006
G 0005
G 0006
G 0007
G 0008
G 0006
G 0007
G 0008
G 0006
G 0006
G 0007
CL01 CDST21000 U001 i.00000
CL02 COST 4.4000 U001 i.00000
CL02 COST 4.4000 U001 i.00000
CL02 COST 4.40000 U001 i.00000
CL02 COST 4.40000 U001 i.00000
CL02 COST 4.40000 U001 i.00000
CL02 COST 4.40000 U001 i.00000
CL03 COS2 i.0000 COS3 i.10000
CL03 COS2 i.0000 COS3 i.10000
CL03 COS2 i.0000 COS3 i.10000 | لے
۱ | 0005 | | | | | |
| L 0000
L 0001
L 001
L 001
L 0001
L 0002
L 0003
L 0006
L 0006
L 0006
L 0009
L 0006
L 0009
L 0010
L 0011
L 0012
L 0013
L 0014
L 8001
L 8001
L 8002
G D001
G D002
G D003
G D004
G D005
G D006
G D006
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D006
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D006
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D009
G D001
COLUMNS
CL01 CDT - 21000 U001 1.00000
CL01 C02 1.0000 B001 .31000
CL02 CDT 4.4000 U001 1.00000
CL02 CDT 4.4000 U001 1.00000
CL02 CDT 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL02 CDT 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL03 CD5T .07000 U002 1.00000
CL03 CD5T .07000 U002 .10000 | لد.
۱ | 0007 | | | | | |
| L 00010
L 0010
L 0011
L 0002
L 0003
L 0004
L 0005
L 0006
L 0007
L 0008
L 0010
L 0010
L 0010
L 0012
L 0013
L 0013
L 0014
L 8001
L 8002
G D004
G D005
G D004
G D005
G D006
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D006
G D007
CL01 CUST2100 U001 1.00000
CL01 CUST2100 B001 .31000
CL01 C002 .10000 B001 .31000
CL02 CUST 4.4000 U001 1.00000
CL02 CUST 4.4000 U001 1.00000
CL02 CUST 4.4000 U001 1.00000
CL02 CUST 4.4000 U001 1.00000
CL02 CUST 4.4000 U001 1.00000
CL03 CUST .0700 U002 1.00000
CL03 CUST .07000 U002 1.00000
CL03 CUST .0000 .0002 .10000 | ين
ا | 00000 | | | | | |
| L 0011
L 0001
L 0002
L 0003
L 0004
L 0005
L 0006
L 0007
L 0008
L 0009
L 0010
L 0011
L 0012
L 0013
L 0014
L 8001
L 8001
L 8002
G 0001
G 0002
G 0004
G 0005
G 0005
G 0006
G 0007
G 0008
G 0007
G 0008
G 0008
G 0007
G 0008
G 0009
G 0010
G 0009
G 0010
G 0009
CL01 COST2100 U001 1.00000
.31000
CL01 CO2 .10000 B001 .31000
CL01 C002 .0700 D001 1.00000
CL02 COST 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL02 COST .07000 D001 1.00000
CL03 COST .07000 U002 1.00000
CL03 C002 .10000 C003 .10000 | د
۱ | 0009 | | | | | |
| L COOI
L COOI
L COO3
L COO3
L COO4
L COO5
L COO6
L COO7
L CO08
L CO00
L CO10
L CO11
L CO12
L CO12
L CO13
L CO14
L B002
G D001
G D002
G D003
G D004
G D005
G D006
G D005
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D008
G D008
G D008
G D009
G D010
CL01
CUI
CUI
CUI
CUI
CUI
CUI
CUI
CUI | 1 | 0010 | | | | | |
| L C002
L C003
L C004
L C005
L C006
L C007
L C008
L C009
L C010
L C011
L C012
L C013
L C014
L B001
L B002
G D003
G D004
G D005
G D004
G D005
G D006
G D007
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D009
G D010
G D010
CL01 C02 | 1 | 0011 | | | | | |
| L C003
L C004
L C005
L C006
L C007
L C010
L C011
L C012
L C013
L C013
L C014
L 8001
L 8001
C 8002
G D003
G D004
G D005
G D006
G D005
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D007
G D008
G D009
G D010
CL01 COST2100 U001 1.00000
CL01 COST2100 B001 .31000
CL01 B002 .07000 D001 1.00000
CL02 COST 4.4000 U001 1.00000
CL02 COST 4.4000 U001 1.00000
CL03 COST .07000 D001 1.00000
CL03 COST .07000 D001 1.00000
CL03 COST .07000 U002 1.00000
CL03 B001 .74000 B002 .18000 | 1 | 0002 | | | | | |
| L C004
L C005
L C006
L C007
L C008
L C009
L C010
L C011
L C012
L C013
L C014
L B001
L B001
G D003
G D004
G D005
G D006
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D007
G D008
G D000
G D010
CL01 C02 - 10000 B001 - 31000
CL01 B002 - 07000 D001 1.00000
CL02 C014 1.00000 D001 1.00000
CL02 C014 1.00000 D001 1.00000
CL03 C05T - 07000 D001 1.00000
CL03 B001 - 74000 B002 - 18000 | 1 | 0002 | | | | | |
| L C005
L C006
L C007
L C008
L C009
L C010
L C011
L C012
L C013
L C014
L B001
L B002
G D003
G D004
G D005
G D006
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D009
G D010
CL01 CDT2100 U001 1.00000
CL01 C02 .10000 B001 .31000
CL01 B002 .07000 D001 1.00000
CL02 CDST 4.4000 U001 1.00000
CL02 C05T 4.4000 U001 1.00000
CL03 C05T .07000 U002 1.00000
CL03 C05T .10000 B002 .18000 | 1 | C004 | | | | | |
| L C006
L C007
L C008
L C009
L C010
L C011
L C012
L C013
L C014
L B001
L B002
G D003
G D004
G D005
G D006
G D006
G D006
G D008
G D008
G D008
G D008
G D009
G D001
CL01 C02 +10000
CL01 C02 +10000
CL01 C02 +10000
CL01 C02 +10000
CL02 C05T + 44000 U001 1.00000
CL02 C05T +0700 D001 1.00000
CL03 C05T +0700 U002 1.00000
CL03 C05T +0700 B002 +18000
CL03 C02 +10000 C03 +10000 | - 1 | 0005 | | | | | |
| L C007
L C008
L C009
L C010
L C011
L C012
L C013
L C014
L B002
G D001
G D002
G D005
G D005
G D006
G D005
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D009
G D010
CL01 C02 .10000 B001 .31000
CL01 B002 .07000 D001 1.00000
CL02 C05T 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL02 C05T 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL02 C05T 0.0000 B001 .31000
CL03 C05T .07000 D001 1.00000
CL03 C05T .07000 U002 1.00000
CL03 C05T .07000 U002 1.00000
CL03 B001 .74000 B002 .18000 | 1 | 0005 | | | | | |
| L COOB
L COOB
L COO9
L CO10
L CO11
L CO12
L CO13
L CO14
L B002
G D001
G D002
G D003
G D004
G D005
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D007
G D008
G D009
G D010
CL01 COST2100 U001 1.00000
CL01 CO2 .10000 B001 .31000
CL01 B002 .07000 D001 1.00000
CL02 COST 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL02 COST 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL03 COST .07000 U002 1.00000
CL03 COS .10000 COS .10000
CL03 COS .10000 COS .10000
CL03 COS .10000 COS .10000
CL03 COS .10000 COS .10000 | ĩ | 0007 | | | | | |
| L C009
L C010
L C011
L C012
L C013
L C014
L B001
L B002
G D003
G D004
G D005
G D006
G D005
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D009
G D010
CL01 C02 .1000 B001 .31000
CL01 B002 .0700 D01 1.00000
CL01 B002 .0700 D01 1.00000
CL02 C05T 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL03 C05T .07000 D01 1.00000
CL03 C05T .07000 D01 1.00000
CL03 C05T .07000 U002 1.00000
CL03 C05T .10000 C003 .10000
CL03 C05T .10000 C003 .10000
CL03 C05T .10000 C003 .10000 | 1. | 0008 | | | | | |
| L C010
L C011
L C012
L C013
L C014
L B001
G D002
G D003
G D004
G D005
G D006
G D006
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D008
G D009
G D010
CL01 C057 - 21000 U001 1.00000
CL02 C057 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL03 C057 .07000 D001 1.00000
CL03 C057 .07000 U002 1.00000
CL03 C057 .07000 U002 1.00000
CL03 C057 .07000 B002 .18000 | Ē | C009 | | | | | |
| L C011
L C012
L C013
L C014
L B002
G D001
G D002
G D003
G D004
G D005
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D009
G D010
CL01 C02 •1000 B001 •31000
CL01 C02 •1000 B001 •31000
CL01 B002 •07000 D001 1.00000
CL02 C05T •4.4000 U001 1.00000
CL03 C05T •07000 D001 1.00000
CL03 C05T •10000 B002 •100000
CL03 C05T •10000 B002 •100000
CL03 C05T •10000 B002 •100000
CL03 C05T •10000 C003 •10000
CL03 C05T •0000 U002 •100000
CL03 C05T •0000 U002 •10000 | L | C010 | | | | | |
| L C012
L C013
L C014
L B001
L B002
G D003
G D003
G D004
G D005
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D009
G D010
CL01 C02 | L | C011 | | | | | |
| L C013
L C014
L B001
L B002
G D003
G D003
G D004
G D005
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D008
G D009
G D010
CL01 C02 + 10000 B001 + 100000
CL01 C02 + 10000 B001 + 31000
CL01 C02 + 10000 B001 + 100000
CL01 B002 + 07000 D001 + 100000
CL02 C05T + 440000 U001 + 100000
CL02 C05T + 440000 U001 + 100000
CL02 C014 + 100000 D001 + 100000
CL03 C05T + 07000 U002 + 100000
CL03 C05T + 10000 C003 + 10000
CL03 B001 + 74000 B002 + 18000
CL03 D002 + 60000 U002 + 100000 | L | C012 | | | | | |
| L C014
L B001
L B002
G D001
G D002
G D003
G D004
G D005
G D006
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D009
G D010
G D011
COLUMNS
CL01 C05T21000 U001 1.00000
CL02 C05T 4.0000 B001 .31000
CL02 C05T 4.0000 U001 1.00000
CL02 C014 1.00000 D001 1.00000
CL02 C014 1.00000 D001 1.00000
CL03 C05T .07000 U002 1.00000
CL03 C05T .10000 C003 .10000
CL03 C05T .10000 C003 .10000
CL03 B001 .74000 B002 .18000
CL03 D002 1.00000 | L. | C013 | | | | | |
| L 8001
L 8002
G D001
G D002
G D003
G D004
G D005
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D008
G D010
G D010
G D011
COLUMNS
CL01 COST2100 U001 1.00000
CL02 COST 4.0000 B001 .31000
CL02 COST 4.0000 D001 1.00000
CL02 COST 4.0000 U001 1.00000
CL02 COST 4.0000 U001 1.00000
CL03 COST .07000 U002 1.00000
CL03 COST .10000 CO3 .10000
CL03 COST .10000 CO3 .10000
CL03 COST .10000 CO3 .10000
CL03 B001 .74000 B002 .18000
CL03 D002 1.00000 | L | C014 | | | | | |
| L B002
G D001
G D002
G D003
G D004
G D005
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D009
G D010
CL01 COST - 21000 U001 1.00000
CL01 COST - 21000 B001 31000
CL01 COST - 10000 B001 31000
CL01 COST 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL02 COST 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL02 COST 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL02 COST 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL02 COST 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL03 COST 0.7000 U002 1.00000
CL03 COST 0.7000 U002 1.00000
CL03 B001 74000 B002 1.00000
CL03 D002 1.00000 | L | B001 | | | | | |
| G D001
G D002
G D003
G D004
G D005
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D009
G D010
CL01 CDST21000 U001 1.00000
CL01 C002 .10000 B001 .31000
CL01 B002 .07000 D001 1.00000
CL02 CDST 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL02 CDST 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL02 C014 1.00000 D001 1.00000
CL03 COST .07000 U002 1.00000
CL03 COST .10000 C003 .10000
CL03 B001 .74000 B002 .18000
CL03 D002 1.00000 | L | B002 | | | | | |
| G D002
G D003
G D004
G D005
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D009
G D010
G D011
COLUMNS
CL01 COST2100 U001 1.00000
CL01 CO2 .10000 B001 .31000
CL01 B002 .07000 D001 1.00000
CL02 COST 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL02 CO14 1.00000 D001 1.00000
CL02 C014 1.00000 D001 1.00000
CL03 COST .07000 U002 1.00000
CL03 C02 .10000 C003 .10000
CL03 B001 .74000 B002 .18000
CL03 D002 1.00000 | G | D001 | | | | | |
| G D003
G D004
G D005
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D009
G D010
G D011
COLUMNS
CL01 CDST21000 U001 1.00000
CL01 C002 .10000 B001 .31000
CL01 B002 .07000 D001 1.00000
CL02 CDST 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL02 C014 1.00000 D001 1.00000
CL03 CDST .07000 U002 1.00000
CL03 CO2 .10000 C003 .10000
CL03 B001 .74000 B002 .18000
CL03 D002 1.00000
CL03 D002 1.00000 | G | D002 | | | | | |
| G D004
G D005
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D009
G D010
G D011
COLUMNS
CL01 COST21000 U001 1.00000
CL01 CO02 .10000 B001 .31000
CL01 B002 .07000 D001 1.00000
CL02 COST 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL02 CO14 1.00000 D001 1.00000
CL03 COST .07000 U002 1.00000
CL03 CO2 .10000 C003 .10000
CL03 B001 .74000 B002 .18000
CL03 D002 1.00000 | G | D003 | | | | | |
| G D005
G D006
G D007
G D008
G D009
G D010
G D011
COLUMNS
CL01 CD21000 B001 .31000
CL01 B002 .07000 D001 1.00000
CL02 CD5T 4.4000 U001 1.00000
CL02 CO14 1.00000 D001 1.00000
CL03 CD5T .07000 D001 1.00000
CL03 CD5T .07000 U002 1.00000
CL03 B001 .74000 B002 .18000
CL03 D002 1.00000
CL03 D002 1.00000 | G | D004 | | | | an an an an Arbana an Arbana an Arbana.
An an Arbana | |
| G D006
G D007
G D008
G D009
G D010
G D011
COLUMNS
CL01 COST21000 U001 1.00000
CL01 CO2 .10000 B001 .31000
CL01 B002 .07000 D001 1.00000
CL02 COST 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL02 COST 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL02 C014 1.00000 D001 1.00000
CL03 COST .07000 U002 1.00000
CL03 C002 .10000 C003 .10000
CL03 B001 .74000 B002 .18000
CL03 D002 1.00000 | G | D005 | | | | | |
| G D007
G D008
G D009
G D010
G D011
CDLUMNS
CL01 CDST21000 U001 1.00000
CL01 C002 .10000 B001 .31000
CL01 B002 .07000 D001 1.00000
CL02 CDST 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL02 CDST 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL03 CDST .07000 U002 1.00000
CL03 C002 .10000 C003 .10000
CL03 B001 .74000 B002 .18000
CL03 D002 1.00000 | G | D006 | | | | | |
| G D008
G D009
G D010
G D011
CDLUMNS
CL01 CDST - 21000 U001 1.00000
CL01 C002 .10000 B001 .31000
CL01 B002 .07000 D001 1.00000
CL02 CDST 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL02 C014 1.00000 D001 1.00000
CL03 CDST .07000 U002 1.00000
CL03 C02 .10000 C003 .10000
CL03 B001 .74000 B002 .18000
CL03 D002 1.00000
CL04 CDST .60000 U002 1.00000 | G | D007 | | | $(1,1) \in \{1,2,3,3,4,5,4,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5$ | | |
| G D009
G D010
G D011
CDLUMNS
CL01 CDST21000 U001 1.00000
CL01 C002 .10000 B001 .31000
CL01 B002 .07000 D001 1.00000
CL02 CDST 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL02 C014 1.00000 D001 1.00000
CL03 CDST .07000 U002 1.00000
CL03 C002 .10000 C003 .10000
CL03 B001 .74000 B002 .18000
CL03 D002 1.00000
CL03 D002 1.00000 | G | D008 | | | | | |
| G D010
G D011
CDLUMNS
CL01 CDST21000 U001 1.00000
CL01 C002 .10000 B001 .31000
CL01 B002 .07000 D001 1.00000
CL02 CDST 4.40000 U001 1.00000
CL02 C014 1.00000 D001 1.00000
CL03 CDST .07000 U002 1.00000
CL03 C002 .10000 C003 .10000
CL03 B001 .74000 B002 .18000
CL03 D002 1.00000 | G | D009 | | | | | |
| G D011 COLUMNS CL01 COST - .21000 U001 1.00000 CL01 C002 .10000 B001 .31000 CL01 B002 .07000 D001 1.00000 CL02 COST 4.40000 U001 1.00000 CL02 CO14 1.00000 D001 1.00000 CL03 COST .07000 U002 1.00000 CL03 C002 .10000 C003 .10000 CL03 B001 .74000 B002 .18000 CL03 D002 1.00000 .18000 | G | D010 | | | na an an taon ann an Airtean an Airtean Charlana an Airtean
An t- | | |
| CLOMNS CL01 CDST - .21000 U001 1.00000 CL01 C002 .10000 B001 .31000 CL01 B002 .07000 D001 1.00000 CL02 CDST 4.40000 U001 1.00000 CL02 COST 4.40000 D001 1.00000 CL02 CO14 1.00000 D001 1.00000 CL03 CDST .07000 U002 1.00000 CL03 B001 .74000 B002 .18000 CL03 D002 1.00000 .10000 .18000 | G | D011 | | | | | |
| CL01 C0ST - .21000 0001 1.00000 CL01 C002 .10000 B001 .31000 CL01 B002 .07000 D001 1.00000 CL02 C0ST 4.40000 U001 1.00000 CL02 C014 1.00000 D001 1.00000 CL03 C0ST .07000 U002 1.00000 CL03 C002 .10000 C003 .10000 CL03 B001 .74000 B002 .18000 CL03 D002 1.00000 .10000 .10000 | CUL | UMNS | | | | ····· | |
| CL01 C002 •10000 B001 •31000 CL01 B002 •07000 D001 1•00000 CL02 CDST 4•40000 U001 1•00000 CL02 C014 1•00000 D001 1•00000 CL03 CDST •07000 U002 1•00000 CL03 C002 •10000 C003 •10000 CL03 B001 •74000 B002 •18000 CL03 D002 1•00000 1•0000 1•00000 | | CLOI | COST | 210 | 00 0001 | 1.00000 | |
| CL01 B002 .07000 D001 1.00000 CL02 CDST 4.40000 U001 1.00000 CL02 C014 1.00000 D001 1.00000 CL03 CDST .07000 U002 1.00000 CL03 C002 .10000 C003 .10000 CL03 B001 .74000 B002 .18000 CL03 D002 1.00000 .10000 .18000 CL04 CDST .60000 U002 1.00000 | | | C002 | •100 | 00 B001 | •31000 | |
| CL02 C051 4.40000 0001 1.00000 CL02 C014 1.00000 D001 1.00000 CL03 C05T .07000 U002 1.00000 CL03 C02 .10000 C003 .10000 CL03 B001 .74000 B002 .18000 CL03 D002 1.00000 .10000 CL04 C05T .60000 U002 1.00000 | | CLOI | 8002 | •070 | 00 D001 | 1.00000 | |
| CL02 C014 1.00000 D001 1.00000 CL03 C05T .07000 U002 1.00000 CL03 C002 .10000 C003 .10000 CL03 B001 .74000 B002 .18000 CL03 D002 1.00000 .10000 .100000 CL04 C0ST .60000 U002 1.00000 | | | CUSI | 4.400 | 00 0001 | 1.00000 | |
| CL03 C002 1.0000 1.0000 CL03 C002 .10000 .10000 CL03 B001 .74000 B002 .18000 CL03 D002 1.00000 .100000 .100000 CL04 CQST .60000 H002 1.00000 | | CLOZ | C014 | 1.000 | | 1.00000 | |
| CL03 B001 •74000 B002 •10000 CL03 D002 1•00000 •10000 CL04 CDST •60000 H002 1•00000 | | CL03 | CUSI | •070 | | 1.0000 | |
| CL03 D002 1.00000
CL04 COST .60000 U002 1.00000 | | CL03 | CUU2 | •100 | 00 0000 | 10000 | |
| CL04 COST .60000 U002 1.00000 | | CI 03 | 1000 | • 7 4 V
1 . A A A | 00 0002 | • tovv | |
| | | CL04 | COST | -600 | 00 0002 | 1.00000 | |

C010

B002

•10000

1.59000

.10000

.36000

| | EXECUT | FOR. | MPS/360 | v2- | -M8 | | | |
|------|--------|------|---------|--|------------|----------------------------|--------|-----|
| | | | | | | | | |
| CL04 | D002 | | 1.00000 | p, i i e, | | | | |
| CL05 | COST | | 4.40000 | 1.1 | U002 | | 1.000 | 000 |
| CL05 | C014 | | 1.00000 |) | D002 | | 1.000 | 000 |
| CL06 | COST | | •36000 |) | U003 | | 1.000 | 000 |
| CL06 | C002 | | •10000 |) | C003 | | .100 | 000 |
| CL06 | C004 | | .10000 | y i se se s | 8001 | | 1.190 | 000 |
| CL06 | B002 | | .28000 | la de la calendaria.
Na serie de la calendaria de la calendaria de la calendaria de la calendaria de la calendaria de la calendaria d | D003 | | 1.000 | 000 |
| CL07 | COST | | .68000 |)
 | U003 | | 1.000 | 000 |
| CL07 | C007 | | .10000 |) | C010 | na partika Shine Di kasa R | .100 | 000 |
| CL07 | 8001 | | 1.72000 |) | 8002 | | .390 | 000 |
| CL07 | D003 | | 1.00000 |) | | | | |
| CL08 | COST | | 4.40000 |)
 11 | U003 | | 1.000 | 000 |
| CL08 | C014 | | 1.00000 | t ¹ s | D003 | | 1.000 | 000 |
| CL09 | COST | | .57000 |) | U004 | | 1.000 | 000 |
| CL09 | C002 | | .10000 |) | C003 | | .100 | 000 |
| CL09 | C004 | | .10000 | • | C005 | | .100 | 000 |
| CL09 | B001 | | 1.52000 |) | B002 | | •360 | 000 |
| CL09 | D004 | | 1.00000 |) | | | | |
| CL10 | COST | | .85000 |) | U004 | | 1.000 | 000 |
| CL10 | C006 | | .10000 |) | C010 | | .100 | 000 |
| CL10 | B001 | | 1.96000 |) - ¹¹ - 1 | B002 | | .470 | 000 |
| CL10 | D004 | | 1.00000 |) | | | | |
| CL11 | COST | | .89000 | 1 | U004 | | 1.000 | 000 |
| CL11 | C005 | | .10000 | Ľ | C007 | | • 1.00 | 000 |
| CL11 | C010 | | .10000 | | B001 | | 2.040 | 000 |
| CL11 | B002 | | .47000 |) | D004 | | 1.000 | 000 |
| CL12 | COST | | 4.40000 | | U004 | | 1.000 | 000 |
| CL12 | C014 | | 1.00000 |) | D004 | | 1.000 | 000 |
| CL13 | COST | | .37000 | | U005 | | 1.000 | 000 |
| CL13 | C003 | | .10000 | <u>ا</u> | C004 | | .100 | 000 |
| CL13 | C005 | | .10000 | E. | B001 | | 1.210 | 000 |
| CL13 | B002 | | .29000 | | D005 | | 1.000 | 000 |
| CL14 | COST | | 1.33000 | i i | U005 | | 1.000 | 000 |
| CL14 | C004 | | •10000 |) | C005 | | •100 | 000 |
| CL14 | C008 | | •10000 |) | C009 | | • 100 | 000 |
| CL14 | C012 | | 1.00000 | | B001 | | 2.440 | 000 |
| CL14 | B002 | | .56000 |) | D005 | | 1.000 | 000 |
| CL15 | COST | | 4.40000 | | U005 | | 1.000 | 000 |
| CL15 | C014 | | 1.00000 | l i com | D005 | | 1.000 | 000 |
| CL16 | COST | | .05000 | | U006 | | 1.000 | 000 |
| CL16 | C001 | | .10000 | 1 | C002 | | .10 | 000 |
| CL16 | B001 | | •53000 | | 8002 | | •140 | 000 |
| CL16 | D006 | | 1.00000 | | | | | |
| CL17 | COST | | 4.40000 | | U006 | | 1.00 | 000 |
| CL17 | C014 | | 1.00000 | | D006 | | 1.000 | 000 |
| CL18 | COST | | .10000 | | U007 | | 1.000 | 000 |
| CL18 | C004 | | .10000 | | C005 | | • 10 | 000 |
| CL18 | B001 | | .78000 | t _e ria. | B002 | | •19 | 000 |
| CL18 | D007 | | 1.00000 | | | | | |
| CL19 | COST | | 4.40000 | | U007 | | 1.00 | 000 |
| CL19 | C014 | | 1.00000 | | D007 | | 1.00 | 000 |
| CL20 | COST | | •63000 | I | U008 | | 1.00 | 000 |
| CL20 | C001 | | .10000 | | C002 | | • 10 | 000 |
| CL20 | C003 | | .10000 | | C013 | | 1.00 | 000 |
| | | | | | 지는 것이야 한다. | | | |

| CL20 | B001 | •97000 B002 | •24000 |
|-------|------|-----------------------------|-------------|
| CL20 | D008 | 1.00000 | |
| CL21 | COST | 1.36000 0008 | 1.00000 |
| CL21 | C001 | •10000 C008 | •10000 |
| CL21 | C010 | •10000 C012 | 1.00000 |
| CL21 | C013 | 1.00000 B001 | 1.82000 |
| CL21 | 8002 | •43000 D008 | 1.00000 |
| CL22 | COST | 4.40000 U008 | 1.00000 |
| CL22 | C014 | 1.00000 D008 | 1.00000 |
| CL23 | COST | •52000 U009 | 1.00000 |
| CL23 | C001 | •10000 C002 | • 10000 |
| CL23 | C003 | •10000 C004 | •10000 |
| CL23 | 8001 | 1.42000 8002 | •35000 |
| CL23 | D009 | 1.00000 | |
| CL24 | COST | •84000 U009 | 1.00000 |
| CL24 | C001 | •10000 C007 | •10000 |
| | C010 | •10000 B001 | 1.94000 |
| CL24 | 8002 | •46000 D009 | 1.00000 |
| CL25 | CUST | 4.40000 0009 | 1.00000 |
| | C014 | 1.00000 D009 | 1.00000 |
| CL20 | CUSI | .73000 0010 | 1.00000 |
| | 001 | .10000 C002 | • 10000 |
| (1.26 | C003 | •10000 0004 | •10000 |
| CL 26 | 005 | .10000 8001 | 1.74000 |
| CL20 | COST | •43000 D010 | 1.00000 |
| (127 | C031 | 10000 0010 | 1.00000 |
| CI 27 | C007 | .10000 0010 | - 10000 |
| (127 | 8001 | +10000 C010
2.27000 8002 | 54000 |
| CL 27 | 0010 | 1-0000 | • 54000 |
| CL.28 | COST | 1.21000 0010 | 1.00000 |
| CL 28 | C001 | -10000 - 0006 | - 10000 |
| CL28 | C010 | -10000 C012 | 1-00000 |
| CL28 | B001 | 2.19000 B002 | •53000 |
| CL28 | D010 | 1.00000 | • • • • • • |
| CL29 | COST | 4.40000 U010 | 1.00000 |
| CL29 | C014 | 1.00000 D010 | 1.00000 |
| CL30 | COST | 1.59000 U011 | 1.00000 |
| CL30 | C002 | •10000 C003 | .10000 |
| CL30 | C004 | .10000 C005 | •10000 |
| CL30 | C011 | •10000 C012 | 1.00000 |
| CL30 | C013 | 1.00000 B001 | 2.19000 |
| CL30 | B002 | •51000 D011 | ····· |
| CL31 | COST | 1.70000 U011 | 1.00000 |
| CL31 | C004 | •10000 C008 | •10000 |
| CL31 | C010 | •10000 C011 | • 10000 |
| CL31 | C013 | 1.00000 B001 | 2.72000 |
| CL31 | B002 | •62000 D011 | 1.00000 |
| CL32 | COST | 1.67000 U011 | 1.00000 |
| CL32 | C006 | •10000 C010 | •10000 |
| CL32 | C011 | •10000 C013 | 1.00000 |
| CL32 | B001 | 2.63000 8002 | •62000 |
| CL32 | D011 | 1.00000 | |
| CL33 | COST | 4.40000 U011 | 1.00000 |

| | EXECUTOR. | MPS/360 | V2-M8 | |
|---------|-----------|---------------------|--|--|
| | | | | |
| CL33 | C014 | 1.00000 | D D011 | 1.00000 |
| CMO 1 | COST | 18000 | C002 | •14000 |
| CM01 | B001 | •44000 | B002 | •10000 |
| CMO 1 | D001 | 1.00000 | 5 | |
| CM02 | COST | 4.40000 | C014 | 1.00000 |
| CM02 | D001 | 1.00000 |)
Na shekara ta ta ta | |
| CM03 | COST | •15000 | C002 | •14000 |
| CM03 | C003 | •14000 |) B001 | 1.06000 |
| СМОЗ | 6002 | •25000 | D D002 | 1.00000 |
| CM04 | COST | • 7500 | 0 COO8 | •14000 |
| CM0 4 | C010 | •1400 | О ВОО1 | 2.27000 |
| CMO4 | 8002 | .51000 | D002 | 1.00000 |
| CM05 | COST | 4.40000 | C014 | 1.00000 |
| CM05 | D002 | 1.00000 | C | |
| С МО 6 | COST | •48000 | 0 002 | •14000 |
| СМ06 | C003 | •1400(| C004 | •14000 |
| С М О 6 | B001 | 1.7100 | D B 00 2 | •40000 |
| CM06 | D003 | 1.00000 |) - en statut de la seconda | |
| CM07 | COST | ·8500 | 0 C007 | .14000 |
| CM07 | C010 | .14000 | D B001 | 2.45000 |
| CM07 | B002 | •56000 | D003 | 1.00000 |
| СМ08 | COST | 4.4000 | 0 C 01 4 | 1.00000 |
| CMO8 | D003 | 1.00000 | C | |
| CM09 | COST | .72000 | C002 | •14000 |
| CM09 | C003 | •14000 | C004 | .14000 |
| C M0 9 | C005 | •14000 | B001 | 2.17000 |
| CM09 | B002 | .52000 | D004 | 1.00000 |
| СМ10 | COST | 1.05000 | D C006 | •14000 |
| СМ10 | C010 | •14000 | B001 | 2.80000 |
| CM10 | B002 | •67000 | D004 | 1.00000 |
| CM11 | COST | 1.09000 | C005 | •14000 |
| CM11 | C007 | •14000 | CO10 | •14000 |
| CM11 | B001 | 2.91000 | B002 | .68000 |
| СМ11 | D004 | 1.00000 | > | |
| CM12 | COST | 4.4000 | C014 | 1.00000 |
| CM12 | D004 | 1.00000 | Contraction and the second se
second second sec | |
| CM13 | COST | .5000 | C003 | .14000 |
| CM13 | C004 | •14000 | C005 | •14000 |
| CM13 | 8001 | 1.7300 | 0 B002 | .42000 |
| СМ13 | D005 | 1.00000 | C | |
| CM14 | COST | 1.57000 | O COO4 | •14000 |
| CM14 | C005 | •1400 | C008 | •14000 |
| CM14 | C009 | •14000 | D C012 | 1.00000 |
| CM14 | B001 | 3.48000 | D B002 | •80000 |
| CM14 | D005 | 1.00000 | o n the selection of t | and Christian (1993) and and 1999 - 19 |
| CM15 | COST | 4.4000 | C014 | 1.00000 |
| CM15 | D005 | 1.00000 | 0 | |
| CM16 | COST | .01000 | C001 | •14000 |
| CM16 | C002 | •14000 |) B001 | •76000 |
| CM16 | B002 | .20000 | D006 | 1.00000 |
| CM17 | COST | 4.40000 | C014 | 1.00000 |
| CM17 | D006 | 1.00000 | 0 | |
| CM18 | COST | •18000 | C004 | •14000 |
| CM18 | C005 | •14000 |) B001 | 1.11000 |
| | | 사회는 전기 전자의 승규는 것을 수 | | |

| | EXECUTOR. | MPS/360 | V2-M8 | |
|-------|-----------|---------|---|--|
| | | | | |
| CM18 | B002 | .27000 | D007 | 1.00000 |
| CM1 9 | COST | 4.40000 |) C014 | 1.00000 |
| CM19 | D007 | 1.00000 |) | |
| CM20 | COST | .74000 | C001 | •14000 |
| CM20 | C002 | .14000 | C003 | •14000 |
| CM20 | C013 | 1.0000(| B001 | 1.38000 |
| CM20 | B002 | •35000 | D008 | 1.00000 |
| CM21 | COST | 1.54000 | C001 | •14000 |
| CM21 | C008 | •14000 | CO10 | •14000 |
| CM21 | C012 | 1.00000 | C013 | 1.00000 |
| CM21 | B001 | 2.60000 | D B002 | •61000 |
| CM21 | D008 | 1.00000 | 2 | |
| CM22 | COST | 4.40000 | C014 | 1.00000 |
| CM22 | D008 | 1.00000 |) (1997) | |
| CM23 | COST | •67000 | C001 | .14000 |
| CM23 | C002 | •14000 | C003 | •14000 |
| CM23 | C004 | •14000 | B001 | 2.03000 |
| CM23 | B002 | •50000 | D009 | 1.00000 |
| CM24 | COST | 1.04000 | C001 | •14000 |
| CM24 | C007 | •14000 | C010 | •14000 |
| CM24 | B001 | 2.78000 | воог | •66000 |
| CM24 | D009 | 1.00000 |) - ¹ - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 | i Politika kanto ku mata ing konta kanto kanto ka |
| CM25 | CUST | 4.40000 | C014 | 1.00000 |
| CM25 | D009 | 1.00000 | C | |
| CM26 | COST | •91000 | C001 | •14000 |
| CM26 | C002 | •14000 | C003 | •14000 |
| CM26 | C004 | .14000 | C005 | •14000 |
| CM26 | B001 | 2.49000 | воог | •62000 |
| CM26 | D010 | 1.00000 | D | |
| CM27 | COST | 1.28000 | 0 C001 | •14000 |
| CM27 | C005 | •1400 | C007 | •14000 |
| CM27 | C010 | .14000 | B001 | 3.24000 |
| CM27 | B002 | •77000 | D010 | 1.00000 |
| CM28 | COST | 1.44000 | C001 | •14000 |
| CM28 | C006 | •1400(| CO 10 | •14000 |
| CM28 | C012 | 1.00000 | р воот | 3.13000 |
| CM28 | B002 | •7600 | 0 D010 | 1.00000 |
| CM29 | COST | 4.40000 | C014 | 1.00000 |
| CM29 | D010 | 1.00000 | 0 | |
| CM30 | COST | 1.81000 | C002 | •14000 |
| CM30 | C003 | •14000 | C004 | •14000 |
| СМЗО | C005 | • 14000 | CO11 | •14000 |
| СМ30 | C012 | 1.00000 | CO13 | 1.00000 |
| СМЗО | B001 | 3.12000 | D 8002 | •73000 |
| СМ30 | D011 | 1.0000 | 0 | |
| CM31 | COST | 1.97000 | C004 | •14000 |
| СМ31 | C008 | •1400(| CO10 | •14000 |
| CM31 | C011 | •14000 | 0 C013 | 1.00000 |
| CM31 | B001 | 3.88000 | о воог | •88000 |
| СМ31 | D011 | 1.00000 |) | |
| CM32 | COST | 1.93000 | C006 | •14000 |
| CM32 | C010 | •14000 | C011 | • 1 4 0 0 0 ¹ http://www.commonwealthead.com/ |
| CM32 | C013 | 1.0000 | о воот | 3.76000 |
| CM32 | B002 | •8800 | D011 | 1.00000 |

| | | EXECUTOR. | MPS/360 V2 | 2-M8 | |
|-----|-------|-----------|-------------|------|--|
| | СМ33 | COST | 4.40000 | C014 | 1.00000 |
| | CM33 | D011 | 1.00000 | | |
| RHS | | | | | |
| | RHS01 | 0001 | 458.00000 | U002 | 1178.00000 |
| | RHS01 | U003 | 634.00000 | U004 | 1135.00000 |
| | RHS01 | U005 | 330.00000 | U006 | 755.00000 |
| | RHS01 | U007 | 624.00000 | 0008 | 1320.00000 |
| | RHS01 | U009 | 939.00000 | U010 | 1249.00000 |
| | RHS01 | U011 | 424.00000 | C001 | 87.00000 |
| | RHS01 | C002 | 87.00000 | C003 | 87.00000 |
| | RHS01 | C004 | 87.00000 | C005 | 87.00000 |
| | RHS01 | C006 | 168.00000 | C010 | 168.00000 |
| | RHS01 | C011 | 168.00000 | C012 | 100000.0000 |
| | RHS01 | C013 | 100000.0000 | C014 | 100000.0000 |
| | RHS01 | B001 | 200000.0000 | B002 | 30000.00000 |
| | RHS01 | D001 | 572.00000 | D002 | 1472.00000 |
| | RHS01 | D003 | 793.00000 | D004 | 1418.00000 |
| | RHS01 | D005 | 413.00000 | D006 | 674.00000 |
| | RHS01 | D007 | 780.00000 | D008 | 1650.00000 |
| | RHS01 | D009 | 1174.00000 | D010 | 1561.00000 |
| | RHS01 | D011 | 530.00000 | | un hier er er en det er her er her er
| | | | | | |

ENDATA

| | 1 de laure hann | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|---------------|-----------|
| | | | | | | | | |
| TIME = | 0.19 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| MAIRIXI | ASSIGNED | DIU MAI | RIXI | | | | | |
| ETA1 | ASSIGNE | | 1 | | | | | |
| E104 | NOO LONEL | | • . | | | | | |
| SCRATCH1 | ASSIGNED | TO SCR | ATCH1 | | | | | |
| SCRATCH2 | ASSIGNED | TO SCR | ATCH2 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| MAXIMUM | PRICING N | NOT REQU | IRED - M | AXIMUM F | POSSIBL | E 7 | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| NU CYCLI | NG | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| POOL | S N | NUMBER | SIZE | CORE | | | | |
| H•REG-BI | TS MAP | _ | | 168 | | | | |
| | EGIUNS | 9 | 336 | 3024 | | | | |
| MAIRIX H | UFFERS | 2 | 3400 | 0000 | | | | |
| ETA B | UFFERS | 4 | /152 | 20000 | | | | |
| | | то | | MAL . FE | PEE. | FIXED | BOUNDED | |
| ROWS | (I DG - VAR | .) | RE NUR | 38 | 1 | 0 | 0 | |
| COLUMNS | (STR.VAR | •) | 66 | 66 | ō | 0 | 0 | |
| | | - , | | | | | | |
| 466 | ELEMENTS | S - DENS | ITY = 1 | 1.37 - | 2 MA | TRIX RE | CORDS (WITHOU | T RHS .S) |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| PRIMAL | | | ~ . | | | | | |
| | 000 - | = CUST | RF | is = RHSC |)1 | | | |
| | 000 - | | RF | s = RHSC | 1 | | | |
| TIME = | 0.25 M | INS. | PRICI | NG = RHSC |) 1 | | | |
| TIME =
SCALE = | 0•25 M | = CUSI | PRICI | NG = RHSC | | | | |
| TIME =
SCALE = | 0.25 M | INS. | PRICI | NG 7 | 71 | SUM | | |
| TIME =
SCALE =
ITER
NUMBER | 0.25 M | VECTOR | PRICI
VECTOR | NG 7
REDUCED | | SUM | | |
| TIME =
SCALE =
ITER
NUMBER
M 1 | 0.25 M
NUMBER
INFEAS | VECTOR | PRICI
VECTOR
IN
87 | NG 7
REDUCED
COST |)
)
)
10
10 | SUM
NFEAS
624•0 | | |
| TIME =
SCALE =
ITER
NUMBER
M 1
2 | 0.25 M
NUMBER
INFEAS
10 | VECTOR | PRICI
VECTOR
IN
87
105 | IS = RHSC
NG 7
REDUCED
COST
1.00000
1.00000 | | SUM
NFEAS
624•0
094•0 | | |
| TIME =
SCALE =
ITER
NUMBER
M 1
2
M 3 | 0.25 M
NUMBER
INFEAS
10
8 | = CUST
INS•
VECTOR
OUT
26
33
39 | RF
PRICI
VECTOR
IN
87
105
74 | REDUCED
COST
1.00000
1.00000 |)
- I
- 10
- 10
- 95 | SUM
NFEAS
624.0
094.0
22.00 | | |
| TIME =
SCALE =
ITER
NUMBER
M 1
2
M 3
4 | 0.25 M
NUMBER
INFEAS
10
8 | = CUST
INS•
VECTOR
OUT
26
33
39
29 | RF
PRICI
VECTOR
IN
87
105
74
89 | IS = RHSC
NG 7
REDUCED
COST
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000 |)
- I0
- 10
- 95
- 88 | SUM
NFEAS
624•0
094•0
22•00
48•00 | | |
| TIME =
SCALE =
ITER
NUMBER
M 1
2
M 3
4
M 5 | 0.25 M
NUMBER
INFEAS
10
8
6 | = CUST
INS.
VECTOR
OUT
26
33
39
29
34 | RF
PRICI
VECTOR
IN
87
105
74
89
91 | IS = RHSC
NG 7
REDUCED
COST
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000 |)
I
0- 10
0- 10
0- 95
0- 88
0- 80 | SUM
NFEAS
624•0
094•0
22•00
48•00
68•00 | | |
| TIME =
SCALE =
ITER
NUMBER
M 1
2
M 3
4
M 5
6 | 0.25 M
NUMBER
INFEAS
10
8
6 | VECTOR
OUT
26
33
39
29
34
4 | RF
PRICI
VECTOR
IN
87
105
74
89
91
47 | IS = RHSC
NG 7
REDUCED
COST
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000 | $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 95 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 80 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 74 \end{array}$ | SUM
NFEAS
624•0
094•0
22•00
48•00
68•00
34•00 | | |
| TIME =
SCALE =
ITER
NUMBER
M 1
2
M 3
4
M 5
6
7 | 0.25 M
NUMBER
INFEAS
10
8
6 | E CUST
INS.
VECTOR
OUT
26
33
39
29
34
4
19 | RF
PRICI
VECTOR
IN
87
105
74
89
91
47
79 | IS = RHSC
NG 7
REDUCED
CDST
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000 |)
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
- | SUM
NFEAS
624.0
094.0
22.00
48.00
68.00
34.00
34.00 | | |
| TIME =
SCALE =
ITER
NUMBER
M 1
2
M 3
4
M 5
6
7
M 8 | 0.25 M
NUMBER
INFEAS
10
8
6 | = CUST
INS.
OUT
26
33
39
29
34
4
19
35 | RF
PRICI
VECTOR
IN
87
105
74
89
91
47
79
80 | REDUCED
COST
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000 | $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 95 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 80 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 74 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 74 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 72 \end{array}$ | SUM
NFEAS
624•0
094•0
22•00
48•00
68•00
34•00
34•00
75•00 | | |
| TIME =
SCALE =
ITER
NUMBER
M 1
2
M 3
4
M 5
6
7
M 8
9 | 0.25 M
NUMBER
INFEAS
10
8
6
5 | E CUST
INS.
VECTOR
OUT
26
33
39
29
34
4
19
35
31 | PRICI
VECTOR
IN
87
105
74
89
91
47
79
80
97 | IS = RHSC
NG 7
REDUCED
COST
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000 | $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 95 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 88 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 80 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 74 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 74 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 72 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 61 \end{array}$ | SUM
NFEAS
624•0
094•0
22•00
48•00
34•00
34•00
34•00
75•00
01•00 | | |
| TIME =
SCALE =
ITER
NUMBER
M 1
2
M 3
4
M 5
6
7
M 8
9
10 | 0.25 M
NUMBER
INFEAS
10
8
6
5 | E CUST
INS.
VECTOR
OUT
26
33
39
29
34
4
19
35
31
5 | PRICI
VECTOR
IN
87
105
74
89
91
47
79
80
97
51 | IS = RHSC
NG 7
REDUCED
COST
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000 | $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 95 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 80 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 80 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 74 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$ | SUM
NFEAS
624.0
094.0
22.00
48.00
68.00
34.00
34.00
75.00
01.00
66.00 | | |
| TIME =
SCALE =
ITER
NUMBER
M 1
2
M 3
4
M 5
6
7
M 8
9
10
M 11 | 0.25 M
NUMBER
INFEAS
10
8
6
5
3 | = CUST
INS.
VECTOR
OUT
26
33
39
29
34
4
19
35
31
5
37 | RF
PRICI
VECTOR
IN
87
105
74
89
91
47
79
80
97
51
84 | IS = RHSC
NG 7
REDUCED
CDST
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000 | $\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $ | SUM
NFEAS
624.0
094.0
22.00
48.00
34.00
34.00
75.00
01.00
66.00
83.00 | | |
| TIME =
SCALE =
ITER
NUMBER
M 1
2
M 3
4
M 5
6
7
M 8
9
10
M 11
12
M 17 | 0.25 M
NUMBER
INFEAS
10
8
6
5
3 | = CUST
INS•
VECTOR
OUT
26
33
39
29
34
4
19
35
31
5
37
32 | PRICI
VECTOR
IN
87
105
74
89
91
47
79
80
97
51
84
77 | IS = RHSC
NG 7
REDUCED
COST
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000 | $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 95 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 80 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 74 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 72 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 72 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 72 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 72 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 72 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 72 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ $ | SUM
NFEAS
624.0
094.0
22.00
48.00
34.00
34.00
75.00
01.00
66.00
83.00
11.00 | | |
| TIME =
SCALE =
ITER
NUMBER
M 1
2
M 3
4
M 5
6
7
M 8
9
10
M 11
12
M 13
M 14 | 0.25 M
NUMBER
INFEAS
10
8
6
5
3
1 | = CUST
INS•
VECTOR
OUT
26
33
39
29
34
4
19
35
31
5
37
32
30
20 | RF
PRICI
VECTOR
IN
87
105
74
89
91
47
79
80
97
51
84
77
101 | IS = RHSC
NG 7
REDUCED
CDST
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.0000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.0000000000 | $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 95 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 80 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 74 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 0 \\ - \\ 74 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 0 \\ - \\ 0 \\ - \\ 0 \\ - \\ 0 \\ 0$ | SUM
NFEAS
624•0
094•0
22•00
48•00
34•00
34•00
34•00
75•00
01•00
66•00
83•00
11•00
50•00 | | |
| TIME =
SCALE =
ITER
NUMBER
M 1
2
M 3
4
M 5
6
7
M 8
9
10
M 11
12
M 13
M 14
EFASIBLE | 0.25 M
NUMBER
INFEAS
10
8
6
5
3
1
0 | E CUST
INS.
VECTOR
OUT
26
33
39
29
34
4
19
35
31
5
31
5
37
32
30
38 | PRICI
VECTOR
IN
87
105
74
89
91
47
79
80
97
51
84
77
101
94 | IS = RHSC
NG 7
REDUCED
CDST
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.0000000000 | $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 95 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 88 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 80 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 74 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 0 \\ - \\ 74 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 0 \\ - \\ 0 \\ - \\ 0 \\ - \\ 0 \\ 0$ | SUM
NFEAS
624.0
094.0
22.00
48.00
34.00
34.00
75.00
01.00
66.00
83.00
11.00
50.00 | | |
| TIME =
SCALE =
ITER
NUMBER
M 1
2
M 3
4
M 5
6
7
M 8
9
10
M 11
12
M 13
M 14
FEASIBLE | 0.25 M
NUMBER
INFEAS
10
8
6
5
3
1
0
SOLUTIO | E CUST
INS.
VECTOR
OUT
26
33
39
29
34
4
19
35
31
5
37
32
30
38
N | RF
PRICI
VECTOR
IN
87
105
74
89
91
47
79
80
97
51
84
77
101
94 | IS = RHSC
NG 7
REDUCED
CDST
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.0000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.0000000000 | $\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 95 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 80 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 74 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 0 \\ 0$ | SUM
NFEAS
624.0
094.0
22.00
48.00
34.00
34.00
75.00
01.00
66.00
83.00
11.00
50.00 | | |
| TIME =
SCALE =
ITER
NUMBER
M 1
2
M 3
4
M 5
6
7
M 8
9
10
M 11
12
M 13
M 14
FEASIBLE
PRIMAL | 0.25 M
NUMBER
INFEAS
10
8
6
5
3
1
0
SOLUTION | <pre>= CUST
INS•
VECTOR
OUT
26
33
39
29
34
4
19
35
31
5
37
32
30
38
N</pre> | RF
PRICI
VECTOR
IN
87
105
74
89
91
47
79
80
97
51
84
77
101
94 | IS = RHSC
NG 7
REDUCED
COST
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000000
1.0000000000 | $\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 $ | SUM
NFEAS
624.0
094.0
22.00
48.00
34.00
34.00
75.00
01.00
66.00
83.00
11.00
50.00 | | |
| TIME =
SCALE =
ITER
NUMBER
M 1
2
M 3
4
M 5
6
7
M 8
9
10
M 11
12
M 13
M 14
FEASIBLE
PRIMAL | 0.25 M
NUMBER
INFEAS
10
8
6
5
3
1
0
SOLUTION
OBJ | = CUST
INS.
VECTOR
OUT
26
33
39
29
34
4
19
35
31
5
37
32
30
38
N
= COST | RF
PRICI
VECTOR
IN
87
105
74
89
91
47
79
80
97
51
84
77
101
94 | IS = RHSC
NG 7
REDUCED
COST
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.0000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.0000000
1.0000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.0000000
1.0000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.0000000
1.0000000
1.0000000
1.0000000
1.0000000000 | $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 95 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 95 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 95 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 74 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 32 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$ | SUM
NFEAS
624.0
094.0
22.00
48.00
34.00
34.00
34.00
75.00
01.00
66.00
83.00
11.00
50.00 | | |
| TIME =
SCALE =
ITER
NUMBER
M 1
2
M 3
4
M 5
6
7
M 8
9
10
M 11
12
M 13
M 14
FEASIBLE
PRIMAL
TIME = | 0.25 M
NUMBER
INFEAS
10
8
6
5
3
1
0
SOLUTION
0BJ
0.26 M | <pre>= CUST
INS.
VECTOR
OUT
26
33
39
29
34
4
19
35
31
5
37
32
30
38
N
= COST
INS.</pre> | PRICI
VECTOR
IN
87
105
74
89
91
47
79
80
97
51
84
77
101
94
RH
PRICI | IS = RHSC
NG 7
REDUCEE
CDST
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.000000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000 | $\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 10 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 95 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 80 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 74 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 16 \\ 0 \\ - \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$ | SUM
NFEAS
624.0
094.0
22.00
48.00
34.00
34.00
75.00
01.00
66.00
83.00
11.00
50.00 | | |
| TIME =
SCALE =
ITER
NUMBER
M 1
2
M 3
4
M 5
6
7
M 8
9
10
M 11
12
M 13
M 14
FEASIBLE
PRIMAL
TIME = | 0.25 M
NUMBER
INFEAS
10
8
6
5
3
1
0
SOLUTION
OBJ
0.26 M | = CUST
INS.
VECTOR
OUT
26
33
39
29
34
4
19
35
31
5
37
32
30
38
N
= COST
INS. | PRICI
VECTOR
IN
87
105
74
89
91
47
79
80
97
51
84
77
101
94
RF
PRICI | IS = RHSC
NG 7
REDUCED
CDST
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000
1.000000
1.00000
1.00000
1.00000000
1.000000
1.000000
1.000000 | $\begin{array}{c} 0 & I \\ 0 - & 10 \\ 0 - & 95 \\ 0 - & 80 \\ 0 - & 74 \\ 0 - & 7$ | SUM
NFEAS
624.0
094.0
22.00
48.00
34.00
34.00
75.00
01.00
66.00
83.00
11.00
50.00 | | |

| 00 |
|----|
| |

| | ITER | NUMBER | VECTOR | VECTOR | REDUCED | FUNCTION |
|---|--------|----------|--------|--------|----------|----------|
| | NUMBER | NONOPT | OUT | IN | COST | VALUE |
| M | 15 | 5 | 36 | 26 | 4.40000- | 48562.8 |
| | 16 | | 89 | 55 | 4.45000- | 45563.5 |
| | 17 | | 14 | 40 | 4.61000- | 44659.9 |
| М | 18 | 24 | 51 | 49 | 3.55000- | 40630.7 |
| | 19 | | 8 | 57 | 4.30000- | 37947.5 |
| | 20 | | 17 | 52 | 4.03000- | 36956.1 |
| | 21 | | 20 | 43 | 3.80000- | 36956.1 |
| М | 22 | 9 | 12 | 71 | 2.73000- | 35798.6 |
| | 23 | | 22 | 67 | 3.19000- | 35412.6 |
| | 24 | | 79 | 46 | 1.18429- | 35412.6 |
| М | 25 | 4 | 2 | 56 | •16000- | 35370.7 |
| | 26 | | 13 | 12 | •46000- | .35215.7 |
| M | 27 | 2 | 71 | 42 | .34000- | 35186.1 |
| 1 | | COLUTION | | | | |

OPTIMAL SOLUTION

| SOLUTION | (OPT IMAL | | |
|----------|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| TIME = | 0.27 MINS. | ITERATION NUMBER = | 27 |
| | •••NAME••• | •••ACTIVITY••• | DEFINED AS |
| | FUNCTIONAL
RESTRAINTS | 35186.08000 | COST
RHS01 |

SECTION 1 - ROWS

.

| NU | MBER | •••ROW•• | AT | ACTIVITY | SLACK ACTIVITY | ••LOWER LIMIT• | UPPER LIMIT. | •DUAL ACTIVITY | |
|----|------|----------|-----|-------------|----------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|--|
| | 1 | COST | 85 | 35186.08000 | 35186.03000- | NONE | NONE | 1.00000 | |
| | 2 | UC01 | UL. | 458.00000 | • | NONE | 458.00000 | .23000 | |
| | З | 0002 | 85 | 87.00000 | 1091.00000 | NONE | 1178,00000 | • | |
| Α | 4 | 0003 | UL | 634.00000 | ٠ | NONE | 634.00000 | • | |
| | 5 | U004 | UL | 1135.00000 | ٠ | NONE | 1135.00000 | •48000 | |
| | 6 | U005 | BS | 246.00000 | 84.00000 | NONE | 330.00000 | • | |
| | 7 | U006 | BS | 674.00000 | 81.00000 | NONE | 755.00000 | • | |
| | 8 | U007 | UL | 624.00000 | • | NONE | 624.00000 | .27000 | |
| | 9 | 0008 | BS | • | 1320.00000 | NONE | 1320.00000 | • | |
| | 10 | 0009 | ЫS | • | 939.00000 | NONE | 939.00000 | • | |
| | 11 | UC10 | មន | 545.00000 | 704,00000 | NONE | 1249.00000 | • | |
| | 12 | 0011 | BS | • | 424.00000 | NONE | 424.00000 | • | |
| | 13 | C001 | UL | 87.00000 | • | NONE | 87.00000 | 1.20000 | |
| | 14 | C002 | UL | 87.00000 | • | NONE | 87.00000 | 43.30000 | |
| | 15 | C003 | 85 | 33.30000 | 53.70000 | NONE | 87.00000 | • | |
| | 16 | C004 | 8S | 87.00000 | • | NONE | 87.00000 | • | · • |
| | 17 | C005 | UL. | 37.00000 | • | NONE | 87.00000 | 40.30000 | |
| | 18 | C006 | BS | 168.00000 | • | NONE | 168.00000 | | |
| | 19 | C007 | ÚL. | • | • | NONE | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 6.50000 | |
| | 20 | 0009 | UL | • | - | NONE | • | 7 . 30 000 | |
| | 21 | 0009 | 85 | • | _ | NONE | | | |
| | 22 | C010 | UL | 168.00000 | | NONE | 168.00000 | 30.70000 | |
| | 23 | C011 | BS | • | 168.00000 | NONE | 158.00000 | | |
| | 24 | C012 | 85 | 545.00000 | 99455.00000 | NONE | 100000.00000 | • | |
| | 25 | C013 | 85 | • | 100000.00000 | NONE | 100000.00000 | • | |
| | 26 | CC14 | 8\$ | 7617.00000 | 92383.00000 | NONE | 100000.00000 | • | |
| | 27 | 8001 | 85 | 4581+14000 | 195418.86000 | NONE | 200000.00000 | • | |
| | 28 | 8002 | 8 S | 1105.42000 | 28894.58000 | NONE | 30000.00000 | • | A state of the sta |
| | 29 | D001 | LL | 572.00000 | • | 572.00000 | NONE | 4.40000- | |
| | 30 | DCOS | ԼԼ | 1472.00000 | • | 1472.00000 | NONE | 4.40000- | |
| | 31 | D003 | LL | 793.00000 | • | 793.00000 | NONE | 4.40000- | |
| | 32 | 0004 | Լև | 1418.00000 | • | 1418.00000 | NONE | 4.40000- | |
| | 33 | D005 | LL | 413.00000 | • | 413.00000 | NONE | 4 • 40000- | |
| | 34 | 0006 | LL | 674.00000 | • | 674,00000 | NONE | 4.40000- | |
| | 35 | D007 | LL | 780.00000 | • | 780.00000 | NONE | 4.40000- | N |
| | 36 | D008 | LL | 1650.00000 | • | 1650+00000 | NONE | 4.40000- | ະ
ເດ |
| | 37 | D009 | LL | 1174.00000 | • | 1174.00000 | NONE | 4 • 40000- | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | .38 | D010 | LL | 1561.00000 | • | 1561.00000 | NONE | 4 • 40000- | |
| | 39 | DG11 | LL | 530.00000 | • | 530.00000 | NONE | 4.40000- | |
| • | ~ - | | | | · · · · | | | • | |

. •

SECTION 2 - COLUMNS

NUMBER .COLUMN. AT ...ACTIVITY INPUT COST.. ..LOWER LIMIT. .. UPPER LIMIT. .REDUCED COST.

| | 40 | CLOI | BS | 458.00000 | •21000- | • | NONE | |
|---|----------|--------|---------------|------------|-----------|------------|------|-----------------|
| | 41 | CL 02 | LL | • | 4.40000 | • | NONE | •28000 |
| | 42 | CL03 | BS | 87.00000 | •07000 | • | NONE | |
| | 43 | CL04 | 85 | • | .60000 | | NONE | • |
| A | 44 | CL05 | LL | • | 4.40000 | | NONE | |
| | 45 | CL 06 | LL | • | .36000 | | NONE | . 20000 |
| | 46 | CL07 | ßS | • | •68000 | • | NONE | •29000 |
| | 47 | CLOB | 8 S | 634.00000 | 4.40000 | | NONE | |
| | 48 | CL.09 | LL | • | .57000 | | NONE | 5.01000 |
| | 49 | CL10 | US | 1135.00000 | .85000 | • | NONE | 3:01050 |
| | 50 | CL 1 1 | LL | • | •89000 | | NONE | 4.72000 |
| | 51 | CL12 | LL | • | 4.40000 | • | NONE | - 48000 |
| | 52 | CL13 | BS | 246.00000 | .37000 | | NONE | •40000 |
| | 53 | CL 14 | LL | • | 1.33000 | | NONE | 1-60000 |
| Α | 54 | CL15 | LL | • | 4.40000 | | NUNE | 1003000 |
| | 55 | CL16 | 85 | 325.00000 | .05000- | - | NONE | • |
| | 56 | CL 17 | BS | 349-00000 | 4-40000 | • | NONE | • |
| | 57 | CLIB | 65 | 624.00000 | -10000 | • | NONE | • |
| | 58 | CL 19 | LL | • | 4.40000 | • | NONE | • |
| | 59 | CL 20 | 11 | | .63000 | | NONE | ÷27000 |
| | 60 | C1 21 | 1.1 | | 1-36000 | • | NONE | €00000
82000 |
| ۵ | 61 | CI 22 | 11 | • | 4.40000 | • | NUME | * 00000 |
| | 62 | C1 23 | 11 | • | | • | NONE | 6 7000 |
| | 63 | CL 24 | 11 | • | - 84000 | • | NONE | + 57000 |
| ۵ | 64 | CL 25 | 11 | • | 4.40000 | • | NONE | • 26000 |
| ^ | 66 | CL 26 | | • | 77000 | • | NONE | * 91000° |
| | 66 | CL 23 | 11 | • | 1 05000 | • | NONE | 4.51000 |
| | 67 | CL 28 | 85 | 545,00000 | 1.31000 | . ● | NONE | 4032000 |
| • | 68 | CL 29 | 11 | 343.00000 | 4.40000 | • | NONE | • |
| ~ | 60 | CL 30 | 11 | • | 1.59000 | • | NONE | 5 55000 |
| | 70 | CI 31 | 11 | • | 1.70000 | • | NONE | 1.10000 |
| | 71 | CI 32 | 11 | | 1.67000 | | NONE | × 34000 |
| 4 | 72 | CL 33 | LL | • | 4.40000 | • | NONE | • • • |
| | 73 | CMC1 | LL | | .18000- | • | NONE | 1.48200 |
| | 74 | CMO2 | 85 | 114.00000 | 4.40000 | • | NONE | |
| | 75 | CM03 | LL | • | .15000 | • | NONE | 1.81200 |
| | 76 | CM04 | LL | | •75000 | • | NONE | 1.67000 |
| | 77 | CM05 | 85 | 1385.00000 | 4.40000 | • | NONE | • |
| | 78 | CM06 | LL | • | .48000 | • | NONE | 2.14200 |
| | 79 | CM07 | LL | 6 | .85000 | • | NONE | 1+65800 |
| | ac | C M08 | BS | 159.00000 | 4.40000 | • | NONE | • |
| | | CHOO | 11 | | .72000 | • | NONE | 8+02400 |
| | 01 | CHEF | 11 | | 1.05000 | • | NONE | •94800 |
| | 02 | CMIG | 11 | | 1.09000 | • | NONE | 7.54000 |
| | 83 | CHII | 85 | 283.00000 | 4 . 40000 | • | NONE | • |
| | 84
80 | CNIR | 11 | | .50000 | 4 | NONE | 1.74200 |
| | 65 | CP410 | ъ.
1. 1 | | 1.57000 | • | NONE | 3.83400 |
| | 00 | CMIS | RS | 167.00000 | 4.40000 | • | NONE | • |
| | 00 | CMIA | 11 | | .01000 | • | NONE | 1.84000 |
| | -515 | | La 240 | - | | | | |
EXECUTOR. MPS/360 V2-M8

| NUMBER | .COLUMN. | AT | • • • ACTIV |
|--------|----------|----|-------------|
| | | | |

FIVITY INPUT COST.. ..LOWER LIMIT. .. UPPER LIMIT. .REDUCED COST.

| А | 89 | СМ17 | LL | • • • | 4.40000 | • | NONE | ٠ |
|---|-----|--------|------|------------|---------|-----|------|---------|
| | .90 | CMIB | LL. | • | •18000 | * | NONE | 1.42200 |
| | 91 | CM19 | 8S . | 156.00000 | 4.40000 | | NONE | • |
| | 92 | CM20 | LL | · • | •74000 | • | NONE | 2.57000 |
| | 93 | CM21 | L.L. | • | 1.54000 | • | NONE | 2.62800 |
| | 94 | CM22 | BS | 1650.00000 | 4.40000 | • | NONE | • |
| | 95 | CM23 | LL | • | •67000 | • | NONE | 2.50000 |
| | 96 | CM24 | LL | • | 1.04000 | • | NONE | 2.01600 |
| | 97 | CM25 | BS | 1174+00000 | 4.40000 | • | NONE | • |
| | 98 | CM26 | ՆՆ | • | •91000 | | NONE | 8.38200 |
| | 99 | CM27 | LL | • | 1.28000 | • | NONE | 7.89800 |
| | 100 | C142 B | LL | • | 1.44000 | . • | NONE | 1.50600 |
| | 101 | CM29 | BS | 1016.00000 | 4.40000 | • | NONE | • |
| | 102 | CM 30 | LL | • | 1.81000 | • | NONE | 9:11400 |
| | 103 | CM31 | ԼԼ | • | 1.97000 | • | NONE | 2.89000 |
| | 104 | CM32 | LL | • | 1.93000 | • | NONE | 1.82300 |
| | 105 | CM33 | 85 | 530.00000 | 4.40000 | • | NONE | ٠ |



DO LEE LEEDP .PROC Z/PROC C

Z/FILE LEE.LEEDP.INPUT,LINK =DSET70,FCBTYPE=ISAM,RECFORM=V Z/EXEC LEEDP

Z C P500 LOADING.

FORTRAN IV PROGRAM LEEDP STARTED --- 09/15/73

DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING MODEL

YOUNG LEE

INPUT DATA

| M= 4 | | DELTA = 50 |
|----------|-------------|------------------|
| THE TA = | 5 | NSTAGE= 6 |
| NSTATE: | 9 | OWC = \$ 5000.00 |
| STAGE | DELTA/THETA | STAGE FACTOR |
| 6 | 4.00 | 0.70 |
| 5 | 4.00 | 0.70 |
| 4 | 6.00 | 0.80 |
| 3 | 18.00 | 0.85 |
| 2 | 27.00 | Ú+95 |
| 1 | 27.00 | 1.00 |

 BUS FREQUENCY COSTS

 STATE/STAGE 6
 5
 4
 3
 2
 1

 1
 1846500.
 1455750.
 228850.
 6190500.
 1192200.
 2287090.

 2
 1495250.
 904750.
 128900.
 5345500.
 1098000.
 2083575.

 3
 1500000.
 956000.
 146300.
 4974250.
 1021150.
 1970345.

 4
 1500000.
 1007250.
 163700.
 5061250.
 973600.
 1880190.

 FLEETSIZE(
 1.
 6)
 HAS RANGE OF
 1

| FLEETSIZE | 2, | 6) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 1 |
|------------|----|----|-----|-------|----|---|
| FLEETSIZE(| 3. | 6) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 1 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 4. | 6) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 2 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 5. | 6) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 2 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 6, | 6) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 3 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 7, | 6) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 3 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 8. | 6) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 3 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 9. | 6) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 1. | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 1 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 2, | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 1 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 3, | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 1 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 4, | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 2 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 5, | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 2 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 6. | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 3 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 7, | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 3 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 8, | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 3 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 9, | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| | | | | | | |

| FLEETSIZE | 1. | 4) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 1 |
|------------|----|--------------|-----|-------|----|---|
| FLEETSIZE(| 2. | 4) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 1 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 3. | 4) | HAS | RANGE | QF | 2 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 4. | 4) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 2 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 5. | 4) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 3 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 6. | 4) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 7, | 4) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZEC | 8. | 4) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZEC | Š, | 4) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 1, | 3) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 1 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 2, | 3) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 2 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 3, | 3) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 4, | 3) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 5, | 3) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 6, | 3) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 7, | 3) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 8, | 3) | HAS | RANGE | of | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 9, | 3) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| l, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 1 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 2, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 3 |
| FLEETSIZE(| З, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 4, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 5, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 6, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 7, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 8, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE | 9, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 1, | 1) | HAS | RANGE | of | 1 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 2, | D | HAS | RANGE | OF | 3 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 3, | 1) | HAS | RANGE | of | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 4, | 1) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 5, | \downarrow | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEEISIZE(| 6, | | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEEIDIZE(| 7, | 1) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEEISIZE(| 8, | | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| LTEEIDITE(| 9, | 1) | HAS | HANGE | OF | 4 |
| | | | | | | |

BUS FLEETSIZE COST MATRIX

| | | | | 101 Inn 111 11 | 3 | |
|-------|----------|----------|---------|----------------|----------|----------|
| STATE | STAGE 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 1 | 1846500. | 1455750. | 228850. | 6190500. | 1192200. | 2287090. |
| 2 | 1846500. | 1455750. | 228850. | 5345500. | 1021150. | 1970345. |
| 3 | 1846500. | 1455750. | 128900. | 4974250. | 973600. | 1880190. |
| 4 | 1495250. | 904750. | 128900. | 4974250. | 973600. | 1880190. |
| 5 | 1495250. | 904750. | 128900. | 4974250. | 973600. | 1880190. |
| 6 | 1495250. | 904750. | 128900. | 4974250. | 973600. | 1880190. |
| 7 | 1495250. | 904750. | 128900. | 4974250. | 973600. | 1880190. |
| 8 | 1495250. | 904750. | 128900. | 4974250. | 973600. | 1880190. |
| 9 | 1495250. | 904750. | 128900. | 4974250. | 973600. | 1880190. |
| | | | | | | |

.

CALCULATION =====>

| PAT | H M | ATR | IX | | |
|-------------|-----|-----|----|---|---|
| STATE/STAGE | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |

1

| | SCHEDULE | : P | ERIOD | FLEET | SIZE | |
|-----------|----------|-----|-------|-------|------|--|
| SUN | | 10 | BUSES | 5 | | |
| SAT OFF | | 10 | BUSES | 5 | | |
| WEEK OFF | 0 | 10 | BUSES | 5 | | |
| SAT PEAK | | 10 | BUSES | 5 | | |
| A.M. PEAH | ζ | 15 | BUSES | 3 | | |
| P.M. PEAH | ζ | 15 | BUSES | 5 | | |

| SCHEDULE PERIOD | SERVICE FREQUENCY | |
|-----------------|-------------------|--|
| SUN | 150 DISPATCHES | |
| SAT OFF | 150 DISPATCHES | |
| WEEK OFF | 100 DISPATCHES | |
| SAT PEAK | 50 DISPATCHES | |
| A.M. PEAK | 50 DISPATCHES | |
| P.M. PEAK | 50 DISPATCHES | |

OPTIMUM FLEET SIZE OF PROPOSED BUS ROUTE IS15 BUSESOPTIMUM TOTAL BUS TRANSIT SYSTEM COST IS\$ 10424439.00

.

**FORTRAN ** STOP Z/ENDP .

/DO LEE .LEEDP .PROC 2/PROC C 2/FILE LEE .LEEDP .INPUT, LINK =DSET 70, FCBTYPE=ISAM, RECFORM=V 2/EXEC LEEDP 2 C P500 LOADING.

FORTRAN IV PROGRAM LEEDP STARTED --- 09/15/73

DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING MODEL

YOUNG LEE

INPUT DATA

| M= 4 | | DELTA = 50 |
|----------|-------------|------------------|
| THETA = | 5 | NSTAGE= 6 |
| NSTATE = | 9 | OWC= \$500000.00 |
| STAGE | DELTA/THET/ | A STAGE FACTOR |
| 6 | 4.00 | 0.70 |
| 5 | 4.00 | 0.70 |
| 4 | 6.00 | 0.80 |
| 3 | 18.00 | 0.85 |
| 2 | 27.00 | 0.95 |
| 1 | 27.00 | 1.00 |

| BUS FREQUENCY COSTS | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|--|--|
| STATE/ | STAGE 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | | |
| 1 - | 1846500. | 1455750. | 228850. | 6190500. | 1192200. | 2287090. | | |
| 2 | 1495250. | 904750. | 128900. | 5345500. | 1098000. | 2083575. | | |
| 3 | 1500000. | 956000. | 146300. | 4974250. | 1021150. | 1970345. | | |
| 4 | 1500000. | 1007250. | 163700. | 5061250. | 973600. | 1880190. | | |

| FLEETSIZE(| ι. | 6) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 1 |
|------------|-----|-----|-----|-------|------------|---|
| FLEETSIZE(| 2, | 6) | HAS | RANGE | of | 1 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 3, | 6) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 1 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 4. | 6) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 2 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 5, | 6) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 2 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 6. | 6) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 3 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 7. | 6) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 3 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 8. | 6) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 3 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 9. | 6) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 1. | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 1 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 2. | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF | Í |
| FLEETSIZE(| 3. | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 1 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 4 | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 2 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 5. | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 2 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 6 | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 3 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 7. | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 3 |
| FLEETSIZE(| ຮ໌ | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 3 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 9. | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| | • * | • • | | BANOT | ~ C | |

.

| FLEETSIZEC | lç | 4) | Has | KANGE | Ur | \$ |
|------------|----|------|-----|-------|----|----|
| FLEETSIZE(| 2, | 4) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 1 |
| FLEETSIZE(| З, | 4) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 2 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 4, | 4) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 2 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 5, | 4) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 3 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 6, | 4) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 7. | . 4) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 8, | 4) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 9, | 4) | HAS | RANGE | of | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 1. | 3) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 1 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 2, | 3) | HAS | RANGE | OF | Ž |
| FLEETSIZE(| 3, | 3) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 4 | 3) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 5. | 3) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 6, | 3) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 7. | 3) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 8, | 3) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 9, | 3) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 1. | 2) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 1 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 2, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 3 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 3, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 4, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | 0F | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 5, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 6, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 7, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 8, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 9, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | of | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 1, | 1) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 1 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 2, | 1) | HAS | RANGE | of | 3 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 3, | 1) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 4, | 1) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 5, | 1) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 6, | 1) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 7, | 1) | HAS | RANGE | 0F | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 8, | 1) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |
| FLEETSIZE(| 9, | 1) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 |

BUS FLEETSIZE COST MATRIX

| and the second sec | | | | | • | |
|--|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| STATE. | STAGE 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| 1 | 1846500. | 1455750. | 228850. | 6190500. | 1192200. | 2287090. |
| 2 | 1846500. | 1455750. | 228850. | 5345500. | 1021150. | 1970345. |
| 3 | 1846500. | 1455750. | 128900. | 4974250. | 973600. | 1880190. |
| 4 | 1495250. | 904750. | 128900. | 4974250. | 973600. | 1880190. |
| 5 | 1495250. | 904750. | 128900. | 4974250. | 973600. | 1880190. |
| 6 | 1495250. | 904750. | 128900. | 4974250. | 973600. | 1880190. |
| 7 | 1495250. | 904750. | 128900. | 4974250. | 973600. | 1880190. |
| 8 | 1495250. | 904750. | 128900. | 4974250. | 973600. | 1880190. |
| 9 | 1495250. | 904750. | 128900. | 4974250. | 973600. | 1880190. |

CALCULATION =====>

| PAT | н м | ATR | IΧ | | | |
|-------------|-----|-----|----|---|---|--|
| STATE/STAGE | 1 | 2 | 3 | Ą | 5 | |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | |
| 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | |
| 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | |
| 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | |
| 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | |
| 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | |
| 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

PROPOSED BUS ROUTE NOT RECOMMENDED **FORTRAN ** STOP Z/ENDP / DO LEE.LEEDP.PROC Z/PROC C Z/FILE LEE.LEEDP.INPUT,LINK=DSET70,FCBTYPE=ISAM,RECFORM=V Z/EXEC LEEDP Z C P500 LOADING. EODTHAN LW BROCRAM LEEDD (10 (02 (77))

FORTRAN IV PROGRAM LEEDP STARTED --- 09/28/73

DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING MODEL

YOUNG LEE

INPUT DATA

.

٠

| M= 4 | | DELTA = 50 |
|---------|-------------|------------------|
| THETA = | 5 - | NSTAGE = 6 |
| NSTATE= | 9 | OWC = \$ 5000.00 |
| STAGE | DELTA/THETA | STAGE FACTOR |
| 6 | 12.00 | U.7U |
| 5 | 12.00 | - U . 70 |
| 4 | 15.00 | 0.80 |
| 3 | 18.00 | 0.85 |
| 2 | 27.00 | 0.95 |
| 1 | 27.00 | 1.00 |
| | | • |

BUS FREQUENCY COSTS

| STATE/STAGE 6 | | | | 5 | | 4 | | 3 | | 2 | | 1 | | |
|---------------|-----------|-----|--------------|----|-------|--------|----------|------------|---------|---|-------|----|-------|---|
| | 1 | | 36930 | • | 291 | 14. | 457 | 6. | 123810. | • | 23844 | • | 47740 | • |
| | 2 | | 29904 | • | 180 | 94. | 257 | 8. | 106910. | • | 21960 | ٠. | 41670 | 4 |
| | 3 | | 30000 | e | 1912 | 20. | 292 | 6. | 99484. | , | 20422 | • | 39406 | • |
| | 4 | • | 30000 | • | 201 | 44 . | 327 | 4. | 101224. | • | 19472 | | 37602 | • |
| 12 | EL ELECTZ | 5 | · • | ~ | 114.0 | DANCE | OF | 1 | | | | | | |
| 11 | FLEEISIL | E | , 1 9 | 0) | HAD | RANGE | OF | 1 . | | | | | | |
| 10 | FLEEISIZ | E(| Ľ, | 6) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 | | | | | | |
| 6 | FLEEISIL | E | . J, | 0) | HAS | RANGE | OF | S
/ | | | | | | |
| 8 | FLEEISIZ | E (| 4, | 6) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 | | | | | | |
| 7 | FLEEISIZ | 上(| 2, | 6) | HAS | RANGE. | OF | 4 | | | | | | |
| ć | FLEEISIZ | 上(| 6, | 6) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 | | | | | | |
| 5 | FLEETSIZ | 上(| 7, | 6) | HAS | RANGE | UF | 4 | | | | | | |
| A | FLEETSIZ | E (| 8, | 6) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 | | | | | . • | • |
| | FLEETSIZ | E (| 9, | 6) | HAS | RANGE | <u> </u> | 4 | | | | | | |
| | FLEETSIZ | E (| 1, | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF. | 1 | | | | | | |
| | FLEETSIZ | E (| . 2, | 5) | HAS | RANGE | 0F | 2 | | | | | | |
| | FLEETSIZ | Ε(| 3, | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 3 | | | | | | |
| | FLEETSIZ | E (| 4, | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 | | | | | | |
| | FLEETSIZ | E (| 5, | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 | | | | | | |
| | FLEETSIZ | E (| 6, | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 | | | | | | |
| | FLEETSIZ | E (| 7, | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 | | | | | | |
| | FLEETSIZ | Ε(| 8, | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 | • | | | | | |
| | FLEETSIZ | E (| 9, | 5) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 | | | | | | |

| FLEETSIZE(| 1. | 4) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 1 | | |
|-------------|------------|----|-------|-------|----------|--------|---|---|
| FLEETSIZE(| 2. | 4) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 2 | | |
| FLEETSIZE(| 3. | 4) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 | | |
| FLEETSIZE(| 4 | 4) | HAS | RANGE | 0F | 4 | | |
| FLEETSIZE | 5 | 4) | HAS | RANGE | 0F | 4 | | |
| FLEETSIZE(| 6. | 4) | HAS | RANGE | 0F | 4 | | |
| FLEETSIZE(| 7. | 4) | HAS | RANGE | 0F | | | |
| FIFFTSIZE(| 8 | 4) | HAS | RANGE | 05 | -1 | | |
| FLEETSIZE | 0, | 4) | HAS | RANGE | 05 | 4 | | |
| FLEETSIZEC | 1 | | U A S | DANGE | 0F | 1 | | |
| FI FETSIZE(| 1 9 | 31 | UNC | DANCE | 05 | л
Т | | |
| FLEEIDIZE(| و سک
ح | 2) | U A C | DANCE | OF
OF | 4 | • | |
| FLEEISIZE(| U 9 | 21 | | DANCE | UF
OF | 4 | | |
| FLEEIDIZE(| ~~;
5 | 2) | | DANCE | | 4 | | |
| | <i>,</i> | 2) | NAD | DANCE | OF | 4 | | |
| FLECIOLZE(| 0, | 27 | TAD | RANGE | OF | 4 | | |
| FLEEIDIZE(| (, | 37 | MAD | RANGE | OF | 4 | | |
| FLEEISIZE | 8 , | 3) | RAS | RANGE | UF
OF | 4 | | |
| FLEEISIZE(| 9, | 3) | HAS | RANGE | UF | 4 | | |
| FLEEISIZE(| 1, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 1 | | |
| FLEEISIZE(| 2, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | OF | ও | | |
| FLEETSIZEC | 3, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 | | |
| FLEETSIZE(| 4, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 | | |
| FLEETSIZE(| 5, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | OF . | 4 | | |
| FLEETSIZE(| 6, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 | | |
| FLEETSIZE(| 7, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | 0F | 4 | | • |
| FLEETSIZE(| 8, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 | | |
| FLEETSIZE(| 9, | 2) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 | | |
| FLEETSIZE(| 1, | 1) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 1 | | |
| FLEETSIZE(| 2, | 1) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 3 | | |
| FLEETSIZE(| 3, | 1) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 | | |
| FLEETSIZE(| 4, | 1) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 | | |
| FLEETSIZE(| 5, | 1) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 | | |
| FLEETSIZE(| 6. | 1) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 | | |
| FLEETSIZE(| 7. | 1) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 | | |
| FLEETSIZE(| 8. | 1) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 | | |
| FLEETSIZE(| 9, | 1) | HAS | RANGE | OF | 4 | | |
| · · | , | | | | · | | | · |
| | | | | | | | | |

CALCULATION =====:

| | PATH MATRIX STATE/STAGE 1 2 3 4 5 1 2 2 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4 4 5 5 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 |
|----|---|
| | |
| | * * * * * * * * * * * BUS SYSTEM STUDY RESULTS * * * * * * * * * * * * * * |
| - | SCHEDULEPERIOD FLEET SIZESUN5 BUSESSAT OFF5 BUSESWEEK OFF5 BUSESSAT PEAK5 BUSESA.M. PEAK5 BUSESP.M. PEAK5 BUSES |
| | SCHEDULE PERIOD SERVICE FREQUENCYSUN100 DISPATCHESSAT OFF100 DISPATCHES |
| | WEEK OFF50 DISPATCHESSAT PEAK50 DISPATCHESA.M. PEAK50 DISPATCHESP.M. PEAK50 DISPATCHES |
| | OPTIMUM FLEET SIZE OF PROPOSED BUS ROUTE IS 5 BUSES |
| ۰. | OPTIMUM TOTAL BUS TRANSIT SYSTEM COST IS \$ 242314.00 |

LIST OF REFERENCES

Articles

- 1. Balinski, M. L., "Integer Programming: Methods, Uses, Computation." <u>Management Science</u>, November 1965, pp. 286-290.
- 2. Bennet, B. T. and Potts, R. B., "Rotating Roster for a Transit System," Transportation Science 2, pp. 14-34, 1968.
- Black, A., "A Method for Determining the Optimal Division of Express and Local Rail Transit Service," Bulletin 347, <u>High-way</u> Research Board. Washington, D. C., 1962.
- 4. Blurton, Michael, "Special Bus Service," <u>Traffic Engineering</u>. February 1967.
- "Capacity Analysis Techniques for Design of Signalized Intersections," <u>Public Roads</u>, Vol. 34, Nos. 9 and 10. U. S. Department of Transportation, Washington, D. C., 1967.
- Carter, E. C. and Stowers, J. R., "Model for Funds Allocation for Urban Highway Systems Capacity Improvements," <u>Highway</u> <u>Research Record</u> 20, Highway Research Board, Washington, <u>D. C., 1963.</u>
- Casewell, W. Stearn, "A Theoritical Model for Determination of Expressway Usage in a Uniform System," <u>Highway Research</u> Board Record No. 238, 1968.
- Charnes, A. and Copper, W. W., "Multi-Copy Traffic Network Models," Proceeding of Symposium on the Theory of Traffic Flow, R. Herman (ed) New York: Elsevier, 1961.
- 9. Dantzig, G. B., P. Wolfe, "Decomposition Principle for Linear Programs," Operation Research 8, No. 1, February 1960.
- 10. Deutschman, Harold, "Establishing a Statistical Criterion for Selecting Trip Generation Procedures," <u>Highway Research</u> Record 191, 1968.
- Dial, Robert B., "Transit Pathfinder Algorithm," <u>Highway Research Board</u>, 46th Annual Meeting, Washington D. C., January 1967.
- 12. Eisele, Donald O., "Application of Zone Theory to a Suburban Rail Transit Network," <u>Traffic Quarterly</u> No. 22, 1968.

- Everhardt, D. O. and L. R. Howard, "Airline Simulation for Analysis of Commercial Airplane Markets," <u>Transportation</u> <u>Science</u>, 3 August, 1967.
- Ford, Fulkerson, "Suggested Computation for Maximal Multi-Commodity Network Flows," <u>Management Science</u> Vo. 5, May 1958.
- 15. Foulkes, Prager, Warne, "On Bus Schedules," <u>Management</u> <u>Science</u> 1, 1954.
- Funk, M. L. and F. A. Tillman, "Optimal Construction Staging by Dynamic Programming," Journal of the Highway Division ASCE, Vol. 94, No. HW2, November 1968, pp. 255-265.
- Gagnon, J. Gilles, "Study of Passenger Allocation and Flows for Scheduling," Air Canada Research Paper, (delivered to Transportation Science Section of ORSA May 31, 1967).
- Garrison, W. L. and D. E. Marble, "Analysis of Highway Networks: A Linear Programming Formulation," <u>Highway Research Board</u> <u>Proceedings</u>, Vol. 37, 1958.
- 19. Goldman, A. J. and Nemhauser, G. L., "A Transport in Improvement Problem Transformable to a Best-Path Problem," <u>Trans-</u> portation Science, Vol. 1, No. 4, November 1967.
- 20. Goodman, Joseph M., "Operation of a Freeway Priority System for Buses and Car Pools," Traffic Engineering, April 1970.
- Gulbrandsen, Odd, "Optimal Priority Rating of Resources Allocation by Dynamic Programming," <u>Transportation Science</u>, Vol. 1, No. 4, November 1967.
- 22. Gunn, W. A., "Airline System Simulation," Operations Research, 12, 206, 1964.
- 23. Haikalis, G. and H. Joseph, "Economic Evaluation of Traffic Networks," Highway Research Board 306, 1961.
- 24. Hay, G. A., E. K. Morlok and A. Charnes, "Toward Optimal Planning of a Two-Mode Urban Transportation System: A Linear Programming Formulation," <u>Highway Research Record</u> 148, 1966.
- 25. Haynes, J. J., "Some Consideration of Vehicular Density on Urban Freeways," Highway Research Record 99, 1965.

- Heathington, K. W. et.al., "Computer Simulation of a Demand-Scheduled Bus System Offering Door-to-Door Service," <u>Highway</u> Research Record No. 251, 1968.
- 27. Hyman, W. and L. Gordan, "Commercial Airline Scheduling Technique," Transportation Research, Vol. 2, No. 1, 1968.
- Kingsley, J. D., "Airline Operations and Planning Model," Conference of the International Federation of Operational Research Societies, M.I.T., August 1966.
- 29. Kraft, W. H. and T. J. Boardman, "Location of Bus Stops," A.S.C.E. Proceedings, February 1972.
- Lines, Lampkin and Saalmans, "The Design of Routes, Service Frequencies, and Schedules for a Municipal Bus Undertaking: A Case Study," <u>Operational Research Quarterly</u>, Vol. 18, No. 4, 1967.
- Lathrop, George and John Hambug, "An Opportunity-Accessibility Model for Allocating Regional Growth," Journal of the American Institute of Planners, May 1965.
- 32. Lowry, Ira S., "A Short Course in Model Design," Journal of the American Institute of Planners, May 1965.
- 33. Lyons, D. J., "Bus Travel in Town Centers," <u>Traffic Engineer-</u> ing and Control, 1969.
- 34. Manhaim, M. L., "Search and Choice in Transport Systems Analysis," Highway Research Record No. 293, 1969.
- 35. Nash, Allan N., Stanley J. Hille, "Public Attitudes Toward Transport Modes: A Summary of Two Pilot Studies," <u>Highway</u> Research Record No. 233, pp. 60-77, 1968.
- 36. Nemhauser, G. L. "Scheduling Local and Express Service," <u>Trans</u>portation Science, Vol. 3, No. 2, May 1969.
- Peterson, Stephen G., "Walking Distances to Bus Stops in Washington, D. C. Residential Areas," <u>Traffic Engineering</u>, December 1968.
- Pinnell, C. and G. T. Satterly, "Analytical Methods in Transportation Systems Analysis to Arterial Street Operation," <u>A.S.C.E.</u>, Journal of the Engineering Mechanics Division, December 1963.
- 39. Quandt, R. E., "Models of Transportation and Optimal Network Construction," Journal of Regional Science, Vol. 2, 1960.

- 40. Seshagiri, Marasimhan, Mehndiratta and Chanda, "Computer Generated Time Table and Bus Schedules for a Large Bus Transport Network," <u>Transportation Science</u> Vol. 3, No. 1, February 1969.
- 41. Salzborn, Franz J. M., "Time Tables for a Suburban Rail Transit System," Transportation Science 3, pp. 297-316, 1969.
- 42. Sneed & Wardrop, "An Exploratory Comparison of the Advantage of Car and Buses for Travel in Urban Areas," <u>Institute of</u> Transport Journal 30, March 1964.
- 43. "Systems Considerations for Urban Freeways," Institute of Traffic Engineers, Washington, D. C., October 1967.
- 44. Tomlin, J. A., "Minimum-Cost Multicommodity Network Flows," Operations Research, Vol. 14, No. 1, 1966.
- Tractz, G. S., M. J. Norman, "A Computerized System for School Bus Routing," The Ontario Institute for Studies in Education, 1970.
- Vuchic, Vukan R., "Rapid Transit Interstation Spacings for Maximum Number of Passengers," <u>Transportation Science</u> Vol. 3, Number 31, August 1969.
- 47. Vuchic, Vukan and Gordon F. Newell, "Rapid Transit Interstation Spacings for Minimum Travel Time," <u>Transportation Science</u> 2, pp. 303-339, 1968.
- 48. Wardop, J. G., "The Capacity of Roads," <u>Operational Research</u> <u>Quarterly</u>, Vol. 5, No. 1, 1954.
- 49. Wootton, H., "Public Transport Systems Analyzed," <u>Traffic Engineer</u>ing and Control, Vol 3, No. 2, May 1969.
- 50. Wooton, Pick, "Travel Estimates from Census Data," <u>Traffic Engineer</u>ing and Control, 9 (2), July 1967.
- 51. Wohl, M., "Cost of Urban Transport Systems of Varying Capacity and Service," Highway Research Record 64, 1954.

Books

- 52. Bellman, Richard, Dynamic Programming, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1957.
- 53. Bellman, R. E. and Dfeyfus, S. E., <u>Applied Dynamic Programming</u>, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1962.

- 54. Beckman, M., McGuire and Winsten, Studies in the Economics of <u>Transportation</u>. Cowles Commission for Research in Economics, Yale University Press, New Haven, 1955.
- 55. Charnes, A., W. W. Cooper, <u>Management Models and Industrial</u> <u>Application of Linear Programming</u>, Vols. 1 and 2, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1961.
- 56. Dantzig, G. B., Linear Programming and Extensions, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1963.
- 57. Drew, Donald R., <u>Traffic Flow Theory and Control</u>, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968.
- 58. Ford, L. R. and D. R. Fulkerson, Flows in Networks, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1962.
- 59. Hadley, G., <u>Non-Linear and Dynamic Programming</u>, Addison-Wesley, Readking, Massachusetts, 1964.
- 60. Homburger, Wolfgang S., Editor, <u>Urban Mass Transit Planning</u>, The Institute of Transportation and Traffic Engineering, University of California, 1967.
- 61. Meyer, J. R., J. F. Kain and M. Wohl, <u>The Urban Transportation</u> Problem, Harvard University Press, Cambridge, 1965.
- 62. Nemhauser, George, L., <u>Introduction to Dynamic Programming</u>, New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1966.
- 63. <u>Traffic Engineering Handbook</u>, John E. Baerwald, editor, Institute of Traffic Engineers, Washington D. C., 1965.
- 64. Wilde, D. J. and C. S. Beightler, Foundation of Optimization, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1967.

Reports

- 65. "A Policy on Geometric Design of Rural Highways," American Association of State Highway Officials, 1965.
- 66. "A Recommended Practice for Proper Location of Bus Stops," Traffic Engineering, December 1967.
- Boehme, R. C., R. P. Finkelstein, "A Rational Approach to Urban Highway Congestion," The Stanford Research Institute, July, 1967.

- 68. "Buses, Crises and Response," New Jersey Department of Transportation, May 1969.
- 69. "Census of Population and Housing: 1970, Census Tracts, Newark, New Jersey," SMSA, PHC(1)-146, U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of Census, 1970.
- 70. "Bus Stop Location and Lengths," Report of the Institute of Traffic Engineers, New York Metropolitan Section, June 1963.
- 71. "Cost Analysis Tool for Bus Transit Systems," Vol. I and II, Center for Transportation Studies. Rockville, Maryland, September 1970.
- 72. "Coordinated Transit for the San Francisco Bay Area-Now to 1975," Simpson and Curtin, Transportation Engineers, October 1967.
- 73. "Contemporary Bus Operations in Urban Mass Transportation Systems," Hazeltine Corp., January 1969.
- 74. Cramer, Barton Emmet, "Optional Allocation of Transportation Terminals in Urban Areas," M. S. Thesis, M.I.T., 1966.
- 75. Crane, M. A., "Similation-Analysis of a High Speed Ground Transportation System," M.I.T., September 1968.
- 76. Crosell, T. L., "Simulation of Airline Operation," 1967 Transportation Engineering Conference, New York, August 1967.
- 77. DeVanney, J. W., "Passenger Transportation Scheduling," M. S. Thesis, M.I.T., 1965.
- 78. Deutschman, Harold, "Expansion and Improvement--City of Newark Rapid Transit Study," June 1972.
- 79. Deutschman, Harold, "Urban Transportation Planning, Sources of Information on Urban Transportation," Report No. 4, June, 1968, Journal of Urban Transportation, Inc. for A.S.C.E. Urban Transportation Research Council.
- 80. Elias, S. E. C., "The Use of Digital Computers in the Economic Scheduling For Both Man and Machine in Public Transportation," Kansas State University Bulletin Special Report No. 49, 1964.
- Elias, S. E. G., "A Mathematical Model for Optimizing the Assignment of Man and Machine in Public Transit 'Run-Cutting'," West Virginia University Engineering Experimentation Station, September 1966.

- Elias, S. E. G. and Nelson S. Smith Jr., "The Development and Demonstration of an Automatic Passenger Counter, Vol. I and II, West Virginia University, June 1970.
- Ford, L. R. and D. R. Fulkerson, "Maximal Flow Through a Network," The RAND Corporation, Research Memorandum RM-1400, November 1954.
- Fox, Phyllis and Frederick G. Lehman, "Safety in Car Following, Computer Similation," Newark College of Engineering, Newark, New Jersey, 1967.
- 85. Gedeon, N., "Optimum Location of Distribution Centers," M. S. Thesis, M.I.T., 1966.
- 86. "Geometric Design of Loading Platforms and Bus Runways for Local and Suburban Bus Terminals," A Report of Technical Committee OC, Institute of Traffic Engineers, <u>Traffic Engineering</u>, January 1958.
- 87. Gerlough, Daniel L. and Frank C. Barnes, "The Poison and Other Probability Distributions in Highway Traffic," Eno Foundation, Sagatuck, Connecticut, 1971.
- 88. Goodman, Leon, "The Exclusive Bus Lane on New Jersey I-495," Paper Presented at the Annual Meeting of Association of Highway Officials of North Atlantic States, Vermont, 1972.
- 89. Hartgen, David T., "Optimal Design of a Surface Transit System," New York State Department of Transportation, Albany, New York, February 1971.
- 90. Hershdorfer, A. M., "Optimal Routing of Urban Traffic," Ph.D. Thesis, M.I.T., June 1965.
- 91. "Highway Capacity Manual," <u>Highway Research Board</u> Special Report 87, National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council, 1965.
- 92. Holroyd, E. M., "The Optimum Bus Service: A Theoretical Model for a Large Uniform Area," Proceedings of the Third International Symposium on the Theory of Traffic Flow, American Elservier Publishing Co., New York, 1967.
- 93. "Improved Criteria for Traffic Signal Systems on Urban Arteries" National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report 73, Highway Research Board, 1969.
- 94. IBM, "Mathematical Programming System/360 (360A-co-14X)," Linear and Separable Programming-User's Manual, New York, 1966, 1967.

- 95. "Improving Poughkeepsie Bus Service," Mid Hudson Pattern for Progress, Inc., August 1969.
- 96. "Introduction to Traffic Flow Theory," <u>Highway Research Board</u>, Special Report 79, National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council.
- 97. Lathrop, G. T., "Principals for Urban Transportation Network Planning," Upstate New York Transportation Studies, Albany, New York, November 1962.
- 98. Lisco, Thomas E., "The Value of Commuters' Travel Time, a Study in Urban Transportation," Ph.D. Thesis, University of Chicago, Economics Department, June 1967.
- 99. Lowry, Ira S., "A Model of Metropolis," The Rand Corporation, August 1964.
- 100. "Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices," U. S. Department of Transportation, Federal Highway Administration, 1971.
- 101. Martin, B. V., F. W. Memmott, III and A. J. Bone, "Principals and Techniques of Predicting Future Demand for Urban Area Transportation," The M.I.T. Press, June 1961.
- 102. "The Minicar Transit System," Minicars, Inc., University of Pennsylvania, 1969.
- 103. Ochoa-Rosso, F., "Application of Discrete Optimization Techniques to Capital Investment and Network Synthesis Problems," Ph.D. Thesis, Department of Civil Engineering, M.I.T., Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1968.
- 104. "Optimizing Flow on Existing Street Network," National Cooperative Highway Research Program Report 113, <u>Highway Research</u> <u>Board</u>, National Research Council, National Academy of Science -National Academy of Engineering, 1971.
- 105. "Procedure Manuals, Better Transportation for Your City," National Committee on Urban Transportation, Public Administration Service, Chicago, 1958.
- 106. "Public Transportation and Access to Job Opportunities, Newark to Selected Employment Centers," Edwards and Kelcey, Inc. August 1970.
- 107. "Public Operation of Transit Services in Madison Metropolitan Area," Simpson and Curtin, Transportation Engineers, February 1968.
- 108. Rainville; W. S., Jr., Editor, "Expressway Bus Operations," American Transit Association, New York, August 1963.

- 109. Roberts, P. O., "Transport Planning: Models for Developing Countries," Ph.D. Thesis, Dept. of Civil Engineering, Northwestern University, 1966.
- Roberts, P. O. and M. L. Funk, "Toward Optimum Method of Link Addition in Transportation Networks," Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Dept. of Civil Engineering, September 1964.
- 111. Schwartz, Jesse G., "Network Flow and Synthesis Models for Transportation Planning: A Survey," Dept. of Civil Engineering, M.I.T., Cambridge, Vol. X, Research Report R68-49, 1968.
- 112. Simpson, Robert W., "Computerized Schedule Construction for an Airline Transportation System," Technical Report FT-66-2, M.I.T. Flight Transportation Laboratory, 1966.
- 113. Soberman, R. M., "Road Transport and Economic Development in the Venezuelan Guayana: A Study in the Choice of Transport Technology," Ph.D. Thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1963.
- 114. "A Systems Analysis of Transit Route and Schedule," Alan M. Voorhees and Associates, Inc., November 1969.
- 115. "Tomorrow's Transportation, New Systems for the Urban Future,"
 U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Office of Metropolitan Development, Urban Transportation Administration, Washington, D. C., 1968.
- 116. "Traffic Engineering Handbook," Institute of Traffic Engineers, 1965.
- 117. "VIP Transportation," The City of Rome, New York, March 1969.
- 118. "Transit Usage Forecasting Techniques: A Review and New Directions," CONSAD Research Corporation, Pittsburgh, 1968.
- 119. Ward, D. E., "Optimal Dispatching Policies by Dynamic Programming," M.S. Thesis, Department of Civil Engineering, M.I.T., 1965.
- 120. Webster, F. V., "A Theoretical Estimate of the Effect of London Car Commuters Transferring to Bus Travel," Road Research Laboratory, Ministry of Transport, England, 1968.
- 121. White, W. W. and E. Wrathall, "A System for Railroad Traffic Scheduling," IBM-Philadelphia Scientific Center, Data Processing Division, Technical Report No. 320-2993, August 1970.

- 122. "Williamsport Area Transportation Study Mass Transportation," Technical Report No. 5, Edwards and Kelcey, Inc., December 1970.
- 123. Young, Dennis R., "Passenger Transportation Scheduling," National Brueau of Standards Reports, NBS Report 10 049, June 1969.

VITA

Personal:

Young Lee

Education:

1963 B.S.C.E. Seoul National University1969 M.S.C.E. Newark College of Engineering

License and Society:

Registered Professional Engineer in the States of Pennsylvania and New Jersey

Member, Institute of Traffic Engineers

Professional Experience:

| 1973-Present | Porter and Ripa Associates
Senior Traffic Engineer
Head, Traffic Department |
|--------------|---|
| 1971-1973 | Edwards and Kelcey, Inc.
Transportation Engineer |
| 1969-1971 | Newark College of Engineering
Full time doctoral student and Research
Assistant |
| 1967-1969 | Louis Berger and Associates
Civil Engineer |
| 1965-1967 | Korea Engineering Consultants and Hyun Dai
Construction Company
Civil Engineer |
| 1963-1965 | Republic of Korea Army, Second Lieutenant |